

JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA
JAMIA NAGAR

NEW DELHI

CALL NO. 350.6976 B1.2.1

Accession No. 44 362

TABAĶĀT-I-NĀSIRĪ:



MUNSHIRAM MANOHARLAL

ORIEN AL FOR LUINN & BOOKSTALENN
54 RANG HANS' ROAD NEW DELREIS
NO CO. 446 NALSARAK DELREIK

ŢABAĶĀT-I-NĀŞIRĪ:

A GENERAL HISTORY

OF THE

MUHAMMADAN DYNASTIES OF ASIA,

INCLUDING: HINDUSTAN,

from A.H 194 (810 A.D to A.H 658 (1260 A.D.)

and the

Irruption of the Infidel Mughals into Islam

hy

MAULĀNĀ, MINHĀJ-UD-DĪN, ABŪ-YUMAR-I-YUSMĀN

Translated from Original Persian Manuscripts

bi

MAJOR H G RAVERTY

VOL. H



Reprinted February 1970
FIRST PUBLISHED 1881 IN THE
BIBLIOTHECA INDICA SERIES BY
ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BENGAL

Published by Mrs. Nirmal D. Jain for Oriental Book. Reprint Comparation Post Box 1165, 54 Ram. Jhansi Roa., New De hi-55, and printed to, Mr. K. L. Sachadeva at Skylark Printers, Idgah Road. New Delhi-55

SECTION XXII

ACCOUNT OF THE SHAMSIAH MALIKS IN HIND

Ot R author-after laying much stress on the necessity of showing due gratitude to benefactors for favours and benefits conferred by them, which necessity is clear to every well ordered mind, and which the most wise and pious men have inculcated and enjoined, for, as philosophers have 'They who have no gratitude for man have no gratitude for God, therefore returns his grateful thanks. as in duty bound-in highly-coloured terms-to the august Sultan of the Sultans of Islam Shams-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, I-val-timish, and to those sovereigns, his children and grandchildren), who have placed the foot of dignity on the throne of empire, for their manifold donations and benefactions, and also to those Maliks and Khans. the servants of that dynasty, who have attained to the hall of their sovereignty-the arena of dominion-for their kindnesses and favours towards himself, his children, his dependents, and his followers, from the year 625 H, up to this present time which is the year 655 H, and which benefits and favours, day by day, and hour by hour, have been increasing and augmenting by the granting of offices and dignities, by eifts and benefactions, the enumeration of which cannot be contained within the limits of this abbreviated work. "I have now," he says, " reached the point of my design," and here I must render what he says, as nearly as possible, in his own words l

SINCE Almighty God of His favour prolonged the reign of the Sultans of the I-yal-timishi dynasty, and reised on

high the standards of jurisdiction of HIS servants in the decree of duration, this trail one, in repayment of some of those many debts of gratitude, desired that he should thread upon the thread of description, and string on the string of writing, an account of those Maliks and Khans. the servants of that Court which is the asylum of the universe, more particularly the mention of the successive benefits, and increasing generosity of that Khakan-i-Mu'azzam', Shahr-yar-1-'Adıl wa Akram. Khusrau-1-Banî Adam, Bahā-ul-Hakk wa ud-Din, Mughis-ul-Mulük-i-Islām wa ul-Muslimin, Zıl-l-ullah fi ul-'Alamin, 'Uzd-ud-Daulah wa us-Sultanat, Yamin-ul-Mamlakat, Kutb-ul-Ma'ali, Rukn-ul-'Ala, Ulugh Kutlugh-i-A'zam, Ul.t/GH KHAN-I-BALBAN-US-SULTANI Abi-Salatin, Zahir-i-Amir-ul-Müminin The Great King, the Most Just and Most Gcnerous Prince, the Khusrau of the race of Adam, the precious of God and of the Faith, the auxiliary of the Maliks of Islam and of Musalmans, the shadow of the Almighty upon the worlds, the pole-star of grandeur, the sublime prop, the arm of the kingdom and empire,

It must not be supposed that these are his a tool titles—the greater part of them are confirmed on him by nor author out of gratitude for account received, in their do these titles prove that Ulugh Khim i-italian was suitan of Duhli when these words were point d. The contary is proved over and over region in the following pages—As to the word Khikim which sign ters a king or empirior [particularly the cules of Iran and Chin] being applied to a great not le, without his being a sovere an prince. I have myself seen it applied to a petty Afghān of Multan, who had been a second of the late Diwan Multan on the liberal salary of 15 rūpis monthis. Our author has also styled Ulugh Khōn the father of kings, although he could not tell whither critics of Balban's sons would succeed their father who a very king in 058 to, which he funshed this His ory. Moreover, had Ulugh Khon been Sultan of Dibling this time, he would not have been styled "the right arm of the state," we See next page, and note 4.

² In his titles given further on, as here, he is a C 1 2 Kh d 1 is Mu' 222am, and the same him being called 2 the Sultan 1 or 1. His brother also is styled 4 Ulugh Kultugh, by our author after the source redson.

It will also be noticed that, with some of these titles, or author uses the Arabic article J but with other no J. It is a rectaility, although no rights are contain the mark than to be used, otherwise the numer and interwould be numerable his non-new I expended they be the first the fashion of his fright process. And the second will consider this too "a dangerous morse or, but I is for to read them according to the fright fashion, which he the bye. Mr. Idehmann is sometimes guilty of - 100 Kn time Zanza, Elimia Alone Khater Kalan, 'According to the first time Zanza, Elimia Alone Khater Kalan, 'According to the first time of time of time of the first time of time o

the right hand of the state, the most great Ulugh Kutlugh. ULUGH KHĀN-I-BALBAN of the stime of thel I-val-timishi dynasty, the father of Sultans, the Supporter of the Lord of the Faithfull-May the Almighty exalt his Helper and double his power!-for, since the pen of the orbit of existence on the pages of the dawn of empire delineated the tracery of prosperity and the figure of dominion. it hath not depicted a countenance of felicity more charming than the aspect of his power; and the exalting hand of time, a standard more sublime than his precious and superb banner, hath never raised. The Court of no sovereign of the universe, either in the east or the west, who hath placed the foot on the throne of dominion, hath had a servant more sagacious, and no ear hath heard a tale of the might of dominion more brilliant than the narration of his rule, for verily his equitable age appears like the succession of 'Umr, his benevolence tells of the liberality of Hatim, his sword reminds [one] of the force of Rustam's blow, and his arrow the penetration of the arm of Arash . May God crown his banner with victory; make strong his nobles and chiefs: and annihilate his foes!

In the way of repayment therefore of debts [of gratitude] due to those renowned Maliks, and more particularly for the mention of the rule of that powerful prince [Ulugh Khān], this TABAKAH has been written after the manner of a miscellany, in order that scrutinizers, when they look into these pages, may, according to the benediction for those departed and the invocation for the preservation of those remaining, have the character of every one of them clearly defined upon the page of the mind. In the arrangement also of this TABAKAH, some Maliks were earlier, in time, than they appear here, and some have been mentioned later, arising from the period that the author arrived at this Court. May the Most High God preserve the Sultan of Sultans and the Ulugh-1-A'zam, Ulugh Khān-i-Mu'azzam,

^{*} One of the old Persian heroes—the famous archer—who is also mentioned in the Shāh-Nāmah

^{*} Several are not mentioned it all, the reason of which does not appear.

This proves what I have already alluded to at page 720. Our author would scarcely have invoked blessings upon blugh Khān, as "a great monarch," while Naşir ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, was alive, and prayed for in the same sentence. His manufactor is never noticed.

in the hall of existence to the utmost limits of possibility. Amin 1

I. TÄJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-GAJZ-LAK KHĀN I.

The arrival of the author [of this history] at the Court—the asylum of the world—of the beneficent king of kings [I-yal-timish] took place on Wednesday, the 1st of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 625 H., before [the walls of] the preserved city of Uchchah, at the period when the Shamsi forces had marched from the capital city of Dihli for the purpose of taking possession of the kingdom of Sind, and had turned their faces towards that country. Fifteen days prior to this, the victorious troops of that monarch, comprising the force under Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!—had arrived before Uchchah; and the first personage among the Maliks of that Court who was seen by the author was Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān.

When, on Wednesday, the 16th of the month of Safar, the author proceeded from the city of Uchchah, and reached the camp of the victorious [forces], that Malik of good disposition treated him with reverence, and rose from his masnad, and went through the ceremonial of receiving him, and came to meet him, and seated the author in his own place, and put a rosy apple into his hand, and

⁶ To translate that portion of our author's work referring to the kings of Dihit, without translating this Section, which throws much light on the previous ones, would be much like the play of Hamlet with the Private of Denmark left out.

I He is also called Gaz-lak Khan

^{**} Literally "apple of ruby" Apples grow in Upper Sind, but they are small. The description of apple here referred to, was probably such as the traders, up to this day, bring down from above the Passes. It is usual to carry an apple in the hand for its grateful perfume. I have witnessed this constantly, and, probably, the custom is not new.

The printed text, which has lately become of considerable an'hority, because its statements, in its very defective state, happen to coincide with some errors and errorsous statements made on the faith of translations from Firishtah, has, contrary to all MSS copies collated, the world by the errors of the erro

observed: "Take this Maulānā, that it may be a good omen." I found Malik Taj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, a Malik of sufficiently formidable aspect, his form of magnitude, and his piety pure, and with a numerous suite, and followers countless.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Saniar-i-Gaiz-lak Khan during the reign of the late Sultan. Kuth-ud-Din, I-bak, from the Khwajah, 'Ali, the Bastabadi [of Bastabad *], when he held the government of the fief of Baran, and gave him to his eldest son, the late Malik Näsir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, and in the hall of felicity, along with him, was he nurtured and brought up. After some time, when the Sultan perceived signs of merit upon his forehead, he removed him from attendance on Malik Näsir-ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh, and took him into his own immediate service, and gave him the office of Chashni-gir [Comptroller of the [royal] Kitchen]. After serving fin this office for some time, he became Amir-i-Akhur [Lord or Head of the Stables]. Subsequently, in the year in which the Sultan proceeded towards Multan, namely, in 625 H., the territory of Wani-rut of Multan was made over to him. When the Sultan returned from thence, he conferred upon Malık Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khan, the fief of Kuhram After some time, the preserved city of Tabarhindah was given to him, and, in that year, the author reached the Court.

The Sultan had despatched him [Gajz-lak Khān] in advance, at the head of a force, in concert with Malik 'Izz-ud-

These words might, certainly, be translated "a ruby like an apple in shape," but I think this very unlikely for the reasons above stated; and a ruby of that size would be a very costly present, and not to be carried about in one's hand.

^{*} Or might be, Bust-abad The name is doubtful.

This place, in most of the copies of the text is written with for with in-Lang-rite for Hanj-rite, and also with Ganj-rite, but Wanj rite is a well-known place, giving name to a parginnal. At present there is a tolerably strong fort there, and it is now contained in the Bahāwal-pūr state. At the period Gaji-lak Khān held it, it was in the Multān province, the river Blāh then flowed in its old bed. Between Wanj-rūt and Multān no river then emisted, whilst the Lost River—the Hakṛā and its feeders, in with Sutlaj or Ghārā, separated it from Bikānfr. In Persan words with sometimes used for jobit in Sanskrit words, or words derived from that language, with often substituted for and the terms. The printed text, which displays such a profound knowledge of the geography of India, has tauprat and Multān.

Din, Muhammad-i-Sālāri—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!—from the frontice of the territory of Sind to the foot

lof the walls of Uchchah.

When Sultān Shams-ud-Din [I-yal-timish], with his army, pitched his camp before the fortress of Uchchah, in the year 625 H., Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, was despatched [at the head of a force] in attendance on the Wazir of the realm, the Nizām-ul-Mulk, Muhammad, the Junaidi, against the foitress of Bakhar?. After some time, that fortress was taken, and Malik [Sultān] Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Kabā-jah—The Almighty's mercy be on him!—was drowned in the river Sind, and the fortress fell into their hands, as has been before recorded. The preserved city? of Uchchah, with its dependencies and territories, was all placed in Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar's charge.

When the Sultān with his forces returned towards the glorious capital, Dihli, Mahk Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Gajz-lak Khān, assumed jurisdiction over those territories, and caused them to flourish and prosper; and he brought the scattered people, both gentle and simple, together, who dwelt happily under the justice and benevolence of this Mahk of good disposition. He continued to pursue the beaten track of impartiality and kindness towards all, and exerted his powers for the security, safety, and repose of the peasantry, and the welfare of all [the people], and, after some time, under the safeguard of faith, and alms for pious uses, charitable foundations, and works of public utility, he came to a happy end, and was removed from the house of this world to the mansions of life eternal, in the year 629 H. The Almighty's mercy and pardon be upon him!

IL MALIK IZZ-UD PIN, KABIR KHAN, AVAZI-HĀZAR MARDAH, UT MU IZZI4

Malik Kabir Khan-i-Ayar was a Rūmi Turk, and he had been the slave of Malik Nasīr-ud-Din, Husain, the

⁷ Turned int : Though in the printed text

⁴ What Uchehah was in those days may be gathered from the account of its investment by the Maghale in the last Section

So styled I coarse he was the slave of Sulfan Mu'izz ud-Din, Muhammad i-Sam, Ghari

Amir-i-Shikār [Chief Huntsman] of Ghaznin, and, after he was put to death, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, along with his children, reached the country of Hindūstān. He attracted the benevolent notice of the august Sulṭān [I-yal-timish], and served him in every degree of employment. He was a Turk, wise, prudent, and experienced, and, in agility and martial accomplishments, was the incomparable of his time. Malik Naṣir-ud-Din, Ḥusain, the Amīr-i-Shikār of Ghaznin, who was his owner and lord, was the theme of every tongue throughout the whole of the countries of Ghūr, Ghaznin, Khurāsān, and Khwārazm, for warlike powers and skill; and Malik Kabir Khūn-i-Ayūr had accompanied his master, in all circumstances and situations, and had learnt from him martial accomplishments and the modes of warfare, and had become a perfect master in the art.

When Malik Naşir-ud-Din, Husam, was put to death by the Turks of Ghaznin, his sons, namely Sher Khān-i-Surkh [the Red], and his brother reached the presence of the sublime Court, and Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish. purchased 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, direct from them. Some have related on this wise, that, when the august Sultan brought the territory of Multan under his sway in the year 625 H, he conferred upon 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khan-i-Avaz, the city and fortress of Multan, with the whole of its towns, districts, and dependencies and installed him in the government of that territory, and exalted him to the title of Kabir Khan-i-Man-girni?, and, although he used to be styled by people Ayaz-i Hazār-Mardah—the name he was timed by—he, consequently, became celebrated under the title of Kabir Khan-i-Mangirni. On the return of the Selt. with his forces, to Dihli, the capital, Kabir Khan-e-Vviz took possession of that territory and brought it waler his jurisdiction, and

^{*} He had shown as discious, and, while I will do national towards Dihli against I yal-ionish, the Turkish of its of Ghazma put it in, as well as the former Warfr, to death. See page 504.5

A This fact is not mentioned under the right of I yal timigh, and, in the account of the preceding Malik, it is strictly that he—Gijz lik Khān—had the territory of Wanj rut of Multan conferred upon him in that same year, 625 H.

This name is somewhat doubtful. In the most trustworthy copies of the text it is معرف Man-giril - as above, and also ملهوى Man-giril - as above, and also ملهوى and ملهوى الله معرفي مستكون معلق الله معرفي الله معرفي معلق الله معرفي الله معرفي

caused it to flourish; and, after a period of two, three, or four years, he was recalled to the capital, and Palwal was assigned to him for his maintenance.

When the Shamsi reign came to its termination, and Sultan Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh, succeeded, he conferred upon Kabir Khān-i-Avāz the district of Sunām : and. when Malik 'Ala-ud-Din, Jant, from Lohor, and Malik Saifud-Din, Küif, from Hansi, assembled with hostile intent against the Court, Kabir Khan-i-Ayaz joined them; and, for a considerable period, they alarmed and distracted the forces of Sultan Rukn-ud-Din, Firuz Shah. At last, when Sultan Razivyat 1 ascended the throne, they advanced upon the capital, and for a considerable period molested the city and parts around, and engaged in conflict with the servants of the Court of the Sultan of Islam, until Sultan Razivvat. secretly, by promises of favour, detached him from that party, and he, in concert with Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sălări, went over to the service of the Court. Through their coming [over to the Court party], the Sultan, the servants of her Court, and the people of the city, gained a great accession of strength, and Malik Jani and Malik Kūji, baffled, withdrew.

Sultān Raziyyat showed Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz great honour, and conferred upon him the province of Lohor, with the whole of the dependencies and districts belonging to that territory; but, after a year or two, a slight change manifested itself in the mind of Sultān Raziyyat towards him, and, in the year 636 H., her sublime standards advanced towards Lohor. Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz retired before her, crossed the Rāwah, and the army marched in pursuit of him. Finding it was impossible to follow any other course.

* See under the reign of Rukn-nd-Din, Firuz Shah, at page 633.

Raziyyat only reigned three years and a half.

^a He must have, consequently, fallen under the Sultan's displeasure, for some reason.

Here too is a "dangerous innovation:" I have ventured to spell the name of this queen the right way, and different to the "best authorities."

Most copies of the text have "some years," and a few "some time."

³ Thus written in the oldest copies of the text— "" " See also the account of the march against the Mughals in 643 H. in the notice of Ulugh Khān farther on.

^{*} wee the reign under, page 645

he made his submission, and Multān was again placed under his charge. After a considerable period had passed away, and, when an army of Mughals, under the accursed Mangütah, the Nū-in, and the Bahādur, Tā-ir, turned its face towards Lohor, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz [assumed sovereignty] in the territory of Sind, and a canopy of state, and possessed himself of Uchchah. Shortly after this disaffection, in the year 639 H., he died.

After his decease, his son, Tāj-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayāz, who was a young man of good disposition, fiery, very impetuous, and courageous, brought the territory of Sind under his sway. Several times he attacked the Karlugh? army before the gate of Multān and put it to flight, and showed such great skill and high-spiritedness that he was noted for his manliness and valour, when, suddenly, in the morning of life and flower of his youth, he passed to the Almighty's mercy. May God have mercy upon them both [father and son].

III. MALIK NAŞÎR-UD-DÎN, AI-YITIM-UL-BAHÂ-L

Malik Naşîr-ud-Dîn, Ai-yitim, was the slave of Malik Bahā-ud-Dîn, Tughril, the slave of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad-i-Sām, and some [persons] haverelated that the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Dîn, I-yal-timish, had purchased Malik Naşîr-ud-Dîn, Ai-yitim, from the heirs of Bahā-ud-Dîn, Tughril.

The feudatory of Multān got the fief of Lihor in lieu of it. See page 747.
 This indicates that the province of Multān, as well as Cchchah, was called

Sind in those days. Some writers style all the tract as far north as the Salt Range by the name of Sind, but see next page.

* Also Kirlugh. I have given an account of them in the last Section. See note *, para. 2, page 374. This was the second invasion of the Karlughs.

See page 730.

This shows the state of the Dilli kingdom at this time, for, although the father had openly thrown off allegiance to its sovereign, the latter appears to have been unable to recover possession of those provinces until after some time elapsed on the death of the son, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayāz. Nothing whatever respecting this assumption of sovereignty is mentioned under Mu'izz-ad-Dln, Bahris reign. The igāfat here stands for \$in\$. Ayāz was the father's name, another of thousands of undoubted proofs, were any wanting, to show that "the use of the igāfat" is not "restricted to poetry, and that it constantly occurr in prose for \$in\$ or pisar. See Blochmann's "Contributions," Part III., page 138, last line, and note \$\frac{1}{2}\$

See page 544 for an account of Malik Bahā-ud-Din, Tughrif.

Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Ai-vitim, was a man of great prudence and experience, intrepid and steadfast, and just. When he first was honoured by the august Sultan's service. he became Sar-i-Jan-dai [Chief or Head of the Jan dars 1]. and after some time, having done good service, the fiel of Lohor was assigned to him. When in the year 625 H. the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] came for the purpose of seizing the territory of Sind, and Uchchah and Multan, by the Sultan's command, Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Ai-vitim, advanced from Lohor and appeared before the fortress of Multan, and did good service in the acquisition of that fortification; and, at length, that stronghold and city he gained possession of by capitulation When the Sultan came back from the territory of Sind, and returned to the capital, Dihli, the Siwalikh country, and Aimir, Lawah, Käsilf, and Sanbhar Namak , he made over to his charge, and the Sultan assigned him an elephant, and in this honour he was distinguished above the other Maliks.

On Mahk Naşii-ud-Din, Ai-yitim's proceeding to Ajmīr, he showed many proofs of vigour and judgment, in undertaking expeditions and making holy-war upon the infidel Hindūs and devastating their country, and performed great achievements. Once, during the time he held that government, the author found him in the territory of Sanbhar Namak, and he was pleased to show him much honour and respect, and, of a verity, he was a Mahk of exemplary faith. Suddenly, he set out on an expedition against the infidel Hindūs into the Bundī territory, and came upon the Hindūs in a position in a defile, and was under the necessity of passing a river which lay at that place. Being heavily armed with cuirass, and other defensive armour, he sank in that river, and was drowned.—The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

Already described, in note 7, page 603

¹ He says 624 H. at page 542 at pages 723, 725, and 731, we have 625 H. See also under the reign of 1-yal-timish

See under Kaba-jah page 544, and 1-yal-timish's reign, pages 611 and 612

^{*} Sänbhar—which our author writes as above, and also Sanbhal, with I, is the name of a town and district, on the great Salt Lake in Rājpūtānah, north of Ajmir Kāstill is written Kassillie in I od's map, but, in the Indian Atlas, sheet No 33, it is turned into Adult Lawah is more to the SW, in Long 74? I at 25°, 10

IV. MALIK SAIF-UD-DĪN, Ī-BAK 4-I-Ū<u>CHCH</u>AH.

Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was the slave of the august Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, and was a Turk of energy and sagacity, and exemplary taith, and the Sultan had purchased him from Jamal ud-Din, the Armourer, at Budā'ūn.

At first he was made Sar-1-Jān-dār [Head of the Jān-dārs]. He was directed to enter upon that office against his wishes, and the sum of three laks of jītals for the maintenance of his position he did not receive with appreciation. When this came to the Sulfān's hearing he in-

4 From the fact of so many chiefs, mentioned in this work, being styled sa well as Sultan Kuth-ud Din, there is some room to doubt whether this word may not here be intended to be pronounced otherwise than I-bak. since all of them would have imports, although all could scarcely have had any peculiarity of finger, and, as regards Kuth ud Din, the matter is cleared up by the adjective shill or snal added to it. With other vowel points—the word At-bak-signifies but-idol or, may be a compound word, from in atmoon, and so bak-lord the moon-lord which, although it might be the by name of one, could scarcely be the by name of serval individuals, all of whom were sold as slaves. The probability however is that Ar-but is the most correct meaning here, vi. at --moon, and but--face, countenance - the moonfaced, but even then II would be strange that there were so many of them. Another matter for consideration is, that the word so has several other meanings, and is written with we will not described as Persian b, which signifies ψ---p, and that the vow-1 points also may change its meaning; for example pak means a finger joint, and the heel, and also, beauty, grace, &c., and puk significs a frog

with muid over the 40f- and that is linking and signifies female, not moon. Another matter for consideration is, that, if we divide the word and assuming it to be a compound word - and take the last portion of it-ad- it has various significations, most of which are said to be Tankish, according to the pronuncustion as shown by the vowel points, and also whether the and a are described as 'Arabic or Persian letters, the former being 6 and 6, and the latter p and g; but, at the same time, it must be understood that they are continually used indiscriminately, for ex imple - Bac, a loof or chief 2. A wild cucumber Buk, check, countenance 2 Ignorant stupid 3 Weak, languid, &c. Bik, finger 2 A live coal Pak, aid, belp = A defender, patron. 3 The finger joint, the heel. A furban Tue, in Persian is the same in signification as the 'Arabic of's, which means, relaxed, weak, languid. 2. Lean, ignorant, &c As well as ? Delicate, beautiful. There are some other meanings which I need not mention, but I fear we shall be unable to come to anycertain or satisfactory conclusion until some competent scholar, who is thoroughly acquainted with the old Turkish dialects, shall examine this and several other titles in this Section which are undoubtedly Turkish.

b Literally, one who gives to swords or armour the fine water, as it is termed, so much esteemed in the east

quired of him the reason of his not regarding it. Appreh nsive he replied: "My lord, the Sultan, in the first place. commands his slave to take an office of affliction, while his humble servant is unable to practise blood-shedding, torture, extortion, and oppression upon Muslims and subjects. Let the Sultan be pleased to assign other employment to his slave." The Sultan showed great reliance on him fin consequence] and made Nārnūl his fief. He served in the government of that fiel for sometime, and, subsequently, the fief of Baran was assigned to him, and, after that again, the fief of Sunam was conferred upon him. When the expedition into Lakhanawati was undertaken, and the force had reduced Balka, the Khali, and was on its way back to the capital, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Gaiz-lak Khan, died at Uchchah [while holding the government of Sind), and the august Sultan, Shams-ud-Din, I-val-timish, assigned the fief of Uchchah, and the fortress and city of Uchchah to Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak.

For a considerable period he exercised the government, and was guardian of the people of that country, and brought it under his control. When the Sultān passed to the Creator's mercy, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, became very powerful; and, at that juncture, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Karlugh, became covetous of the possession of Uchchah and the Panjāb territory, and he arrived before the gate of the city of Uchchah, from the direction of Baniān with a large army. Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak, with a well organized force, in battle array, marched out of the fortress of Uchchah and encountered them in battle. Almighty God gave him the victory, and the Karlugh forces were routed, and retired without gaining their object?

This, truly, was a very important victory, at this time, because, at this period, through the decease of Sultan

This is the tract of country so often mentioned in these pages and which I have already indicated the position of, but it is often written in a very careless manner [the Calcutta printed text sometimes turns it into Multan], and this fact has led Thomas into a great error, at page 76 of bis. "Pathan Kings of Dehli" All the references made by him to the printed text in the foot-note to that page refer to Banian—o's, and not to Multan.

⁷ This, of course, has been omitted under the reign to which it properly belongs. It was the first occasion on which the Kār-lūghs, or Karlughs—the word is written both ways—invaded the Diali kingdom after Shams-ud-Dia, I-yal-timish's decease. See also page 677.

Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, awe and fear of the kingdom of Hindustān in [people's] hearts had sustained detriment, and enemies had sprung up on all sides of the empire, and the vain desire of appropriating its territory began to trouble their minds, when Almighty God bestowed this victory on him. The good name of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, remained in that country, and in all the territory of Hindustān his renown was diffused.

Shortly after this victory, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, sustained a fall from his horse, and the animal kicked him in a mortal place, and he was killed. The mercy and forgiveness of the Almighty be upon him!

V. MALIK SAIF-UD-DÎN, Î-BAK-I-YUGHÂN-TAT.

Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Yughān-tat, was a Khiṭā-ī Turk, and was, both externally and internally, adorned and endowed with divers manly qualities. The august Sulṭān [Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish] had purchased him from the heirs of Ikhtiyār-ud-Din-i-Chust-Ķabā [of the tight-fitting vest], and he distinguished him by his intimacy, and conferred upon him the office of Amir-i-Majlis [Lord of the Assembly or Council]. After he had performed good service in that appointment, he was raised to a high position, and the fief of the district of Sursuti was bestowed upon him. At the time of this honour being conferred upon him, he gave directions for the presentation of a horse to each of the Amirs, Maliks, and Grandees; and this gift caused him to be remembered, and his acquirement of some influence.

In the year 625 H, at the time that the author found the Sulfān's camp in the territory of Cchchah of Multān, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was the feudatory of Sursuti, and, in the presence of the Sulfān, he posses ed much influence and intimacy; and when, after some time, he had done distinguished services, the fiel of Bihār was entrusted to his charge. On Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jānf's being deposed from

This appears to have been the nick-name of two persons who dealt in slaves, since I-yal-timigh himself was sold to Kutb-ud-Din, by Jamal-ud-Din-I-Chust-Kaba.

Referred to in the List of Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timigh's Malike as Prince of Turkistän, who gave such trouble in the reign of Sulfan Rapiyyat.

the fief of Lakhanawati, that country was made over to

Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak.

In that territory he displayed great vigour, and captured several elephants from the country of Bang, and despatched them to the most sublime Court; and, from the Sultān, he received the title of Yughān-tat, and his name became great. He held the government of that country for some time, and in the year 631 H. he died. The Almighty's mercy and pardon be upon him!

VI. MALIK NUŞRAT-UD-DİN, TĀ-YASA'İ*.

Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, was the slave of the illustrious martyr, Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām. He was a Turk of short sight, but Almighty God had adorned him with all manly virtues and humanity, and he was endowed with great resolution, gallantry, and vigour, and possessed perfect sense, and sagacity.

At the time that the writer of this TABAKAT, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, attached himself to the sublime Shamsi court, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, was the feudatory of Jind [Jhind], Barwālah, and Hānsi. After some time, as he had performed approved services, two years subsequent to the taking of the fortress of Gwāliyūr, the august Sultān [Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish] entrusted Bhiānah and Sultān-kot to his charge, together with the Superintendency of the territory of Gwāliyūr, and he received directions likewise to make Gwāliyūr [the fortress] his

Stewart in his "HISTORY OF BENGAL" says [page 65] that Sief Addeen Yugan Tunt [11] died in 651 H.—a mistake of only tuenty years

it is written with vowel points thus

VAMBÉRY considers it is a Chinese word, and that it means a writer, or secretary, but that does not seem applicable here. I think it undoubtedly Turkish, and it possibly may refer to his shortsightedness, but more probably to the name of some place. A somewhat similar term occurs in Sharf-ud-Din, 'All's, Histony, but written Taight, but it may be wholl, different from the above.

Its being founded is mentioned in the account of Malik Bahā-nd-Tughril, at page 545.

The word here used is <u>rankanage</u>—which is rarely used by our author except with reference to those states and territories over which the Mughals obtained sway. The meaning of Shahneh has been already given.

residence. The contingents of Kinnauj, and Mahir [or Mihar], and Mahā'ūn were all placed under his control, in order that he might undertake an inroad into the Kālinjar and Chandiri territories. In the year 631 H., he accordingly led an army from Gwāliyūr towards the Kālinjar country, and the Rāe of Kālinjar fled discomfited before him. He plundered the townships of that territory, and, in a very short period, obtained vast booty, in such wise, that, in the space of fifty days, the Sultān's fifth share was set down at twenty-five laks [of ittals or dirams].

On the return of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, the Rānah of Ajār', Chāhar, by name, occupied the route of the Musalmān forces, and blocked up the road in the narrow parts of [some] deep ravines, and was drawn up [with his forces], at the head of the road, prepared to oppose their passage'. Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, was somewhat weak in body [from sickness?] at the time, and he divided his force into three bodies, at the head of three roads—the first body consisted of the unincumbered horsemen [under his own command]; the second body of the baggage, material, and the followers of the force, with an Amir in charge; and the third consisted of the booty and the cattle with an Amir with it also. I heard Nusrat-ud-Din himself state, saying: "Through the divine favour,

In the account of Ulugh Khan farther on, it is stated that this took place in 632 II., and Jamü is mentioned as well as Kinnanj and the other places just mentioned, but 632 II was the year in which I-yal-timish himself advanced into Mālwah, and took Bhiliān and Uljain. See under his reign, page 621

This is according to the best copies of the text, which style him, respectively, المعالية المارة ا

In his account of Ulugh Khān farther on, our author, in all the oldest copies, mentions "the ravines of the river of Amanah or Garānah" which, in the more modern copies of the text, is some Sindi. This latter river flows by the fortress of Nurwil, previously referred to at page 690, bounds the Gwäliyür territory on the east, and falls into the Jūn or Jamunā. In about the direction Nugrat-ud-Dīn must have taken on his return to Gwäliyūr, this river is about 200 yards broad in the rainy season, and about forty in the dry, and some two feet deep; and, at this part of its course, its banks are steep, and cut into numerous ravines. Whether the Karānah or Garānah ānd the Sindi be one and the same river in difficult to say; but it is not improbable that the first is its proper name, as Sindi is, of course, derived from some river, and that one and the same river is referred to.

never in Hindüstän had an enemy seen my back; and, on that day, that Hindü fellow fell upon me like a wolf upon a flock of sheep. I divided my force into three bodies in order that, in the event of the Hindü confronting me and the unincumbered horsemen, the baggage and war material and cattle might pass on in safety, and, in case he should show a desire towards the baggage and war material or cattle, I, together with the auxiliaries of the true faith, would come behind him and take satisfaction on his malignity." The Hindü confronted Malik Nusrat-ud-Din's own division, and Almighty God gave him the victory. The Hindüs were routed, and numbers of them sent to hell, and he returned with his booty to the fortress of Gwäliyür in safety

An anecdote of an occurrence, showing his perfect sagacity, which happened during this expedition, which was made known [to the author], is here related, that readers may derive profit therefrom: and that anecdote is as follows. A milch sheep, from among his flocks, had been lost for some time-nearly a month and a half-during this inroad One day, Malik Nusrat-ud-Din was moving round the camp among the tents, after the force had been encamped at that same place a week, and every one had set up something or other to shade himself. Suddenly during his perambulation, the bleating of a sheep reached his car. He immediately said to his attendants: "That is the bleating of my sheep." They proceeded in the direction, and found that it was as that Amir-1-Ghazi had said, the animal was there, and they brought back the [stray] sheep again

Many other acts of his sagacity and intelligence occurred during this expedition, and one of them is as follows. At the time when the Rāe of Kālinjar faced about and retired routed before him, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, pursued him Having succeeded in obtaining a Hindū guide, he set out, on their track, in pursuit of the fugitive [Hindūs] and pushed on for four nights and days, and part of the fifth night until half the night had passed, when the Hindū guide stated that he had lost the road, and was unacquainted with the route in advance Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din commanded so that they sent the Hindū to hell, and began to

act as guide himself. So they reached some high ground *. at which place the fugitives had watered, and the cattle of their army had cast the water and heavy baggage away. In the victorious army every one said: "It is night and the enemy near: let it not be that we fall among them Their camp must be near by." Malik Nusrat-ud-Din Tāvasa'i dismounted from his horse, and went up round the place on foot, and examined the water cast away by the horses of the infidels. He exclaimed fafter his examination]: "Be of good cheer, my friends: the force which is here and has watered here, is the rearmost column of the enemy's army, by this proof. Had it been the van or the main body, in this place would have been the tracks of the rest of their army, but, on this place, there are no tracks: keep up your hearts, for we are on the rear of the enemy!" With these prognostics of victory he remounted, and, at dawn the following morning, came up with those infidels. and sent the whole [!] of them to hell, and captured the canopy of state, and the standards of the Rae of Kalinian and returned in safety from that expedition *

When the reign of the Sultān [Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh] terminated, and Malık Ghiyaş-ud-Din, Muhammad Shāh [his brother], son of Sultān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, became the victim of misfortune land Raziyyat conferred [the fief of] Awadh upon Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i; and, at the period when Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni, and Malık Saif-ud-Din, Kūji, advanced to the gate of the city [of Dihli], and began to act in a rebellious manner, he set out from Awadh for the sublime Court of Sovereignty to render his services Suddenly and unexpectedly, Malik Kūji moved against him, and took Malık Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, prisoner land en werecome by sickness

^{*} The untrustworthy Calcutta printed text makes "in-a bridge, of "high ground, a height, &c.

This important expedition took place during the reign of I-yal-timigh, in the year after he gained possession of Gwallyur, and the year before he took Bhilian and Upain, but not the least reference is made to it under that Sulfan's reign, and no reference is made to either Rānah Chāhar nor to the Rāc of Kālimjar. See the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, and page 690, and note 1.

¹ This refers to his rebellion . See page 633

^{*} Sec page 639.

at the time, and the malady carried him off, and he died. The mercy of the Almighty be upon him!

VIL MALIK 'IZZ-UD-DĬN, ŢUGHRIL -I-ŢUGHĀN KHĀN.

Maiik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān was a Turk of good looks and good disposition, and his origin was from Karah Khitā. He was adorned with all sorts of humanity and sagacity, and graced with many virtues and noble qualities, and in liberality, generosity, and winning men's hearts, he had no equal, in that day, among the [royal] retinue or

military.

When the Sultan [I-val-timish] first purchased Tughrili-Tughān Khān, he made him his Sāķī-i-Khās [own personal Cup-bearer]*; and, having served in that capacity for sometime, he became Sar-Dawat-dar [Chief Keeper of the Private Writing-case], when, suddenly, he lost the Sultan's own jeweled pen-case. The Sultan administered to him a sound chastisement, but, subsequently, bestowed upon him a rich dress of honour and made him Chashni-gir [Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen] After a considerable time, Malik Tughril-1-Tughan Khan became Amir-i-Akhur [Lord of the Stable], and, subsequently, in 630 H, was made feudatory of Buda'un. When the territory of Lakhanawati was made the fief of Malik [Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-1]-Yughantat, the country of Bihar was conferred upon Malik Tughril; and, when Malik Yughan-tat died [in 631 H.]. Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan became feudatory of the country of Lakhanawati, and he brought that territory under his jurisdiction.

After the decease of the august Sultān [I-yal-timish], between him and the feudatory of Lakhanawati-Lakhanor, I-bak, by name, whom they used to style Aor Khān, a Turk of great darinh and impetuosity, enmity arose, and a

or Pajān a slave!

Tughān, in the Turkish language, is equivalent to the Perman word page 544.

Our author writes this Turkish word Kara and Karah indiscriminately.

It is worthy of notice regarding these great men of the so-called PATHÁN" dynasties, that nearly every one of these Malika were Turkish Mamlüks or purchased slaves; but did any one ever hear of an Afghin

battle took place between them for [the possession of] the town of Basan-kot of Lakhanawati, within the environs of the city of Lakhanawati itself. During the engagement, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān pierced Aor Khān with an arrow in a mortal place, and he forthwith died. Tughril's name became great [in consequence], and both sides of the country of Lakhanawati—the one part of which they style Rāl [Rārh] which is towards Lakhan-or, and the other is named Barind [Barindah] on the side of Basan-kot—became one, and came into Malik Tughril's possession.

When the throne of the kingdom passed to Sultān Raziyyat, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān despatched some persons of note to the sublime Court, and he was dignified by being honoured with a canopy of state and standards, and was paid high honour. He made an inroad into the country of Tirhut from Lakhaṇawati, and acquired much valuable booty.

When the throne devolved upon Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, Malık Tughril-i-Tughān Khān was distinguished in the same manner, and was in the continual habit of sending for the service of the sublime Court offerings of great value. After the termination of the Mu'izzi dynasty, in the beginning of the 'Alā-i reign [the reign of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd-Shāh], his confidential adviser, Bahā-ud-Din, Hılāl, the Sūriāni [Syrian], instigated him to take possession of the territory of Awadh, and Karah and Mānik-pūr, and An-desah-i-Balā-tar [Upper-most An-desaror Urnā-desa]. In the year 640 H., when this author, with his dependents, and children, set out from the capital,

All this is omitted from the reign in which it took place.

⁷ This indicates then that at this time there were two great field in this part—Lakhapawati and Lakhan-or, one on each side of the Ganges, but that, by way of distinction, as stated above, the Rärh "wing" was called Lakhapawati-Lakhan-or. See also page 585, and note *.

⁸ This is equivalent to acknowledging him as a sovereign, but tributary, of course. Some few onlies have red standards. He duly publishes this in his Biblir inscription given in Blochmann's "Contributions," page 37.

That part of Tiblat through which the Sutlaj flows on issuing from the lake Räwan Hinda, and bounded by the Kailäs and Himälaya ridges. In the time here referred to this name may have been applied to a larger extent of country, farther to the south-east, now included in Nepäl.

Dihlt, for Lakhanawatt, when he arrived in Awadh, Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān had reached the country of Karah and Mānik-pūr. The author, taking his family along with him, proceeded from Awadh and waited on him; and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān remained for sometime in that vicinity, close upon Awadh, but afterwards returned to Lakhanawatt again. The author accompanied him i.

In the year 641 H., the Rae of Jaj-nagar commenced molesting the Lakhanawati territory; and, in the month of Shawwal, 641 H., Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan marched towards the Jai-nagar country, and this servant of the state accompanied him on that holy expedition On reaching Katāsin, which was the boundary of Jāj-nagar [on the side of Lakhanawatil, on Saturday, the 6th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 641 H. Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan made his troops mount, and an engagement commenced holy-warriors of Islam passed over two ditches, and the Hindu infidels took to flight. So far as they continued in the author's sight, except the fodder which was before their elephants, nothing fell into the hands of the foot-men of the army of Islam, and, moreover, Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khān's commands were that no one should molest the elephants, and, for this reason, the fierce fire of battle subsided.

When the engagement had been kept up until mid-day the foot-men of the Musalmān army—every one of them—returned [to the camp?] to eat their food, and the Hindüs, in another direction, stole through the cane jangal, and took five elephants; and about two hundred foot and fifty horsemen came upon the rear of a portion of the Musalmān army. The Muhammadans sustained an overthrow, and a great number of those holy warriors attained martyrdom, and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān retired from that place without having effected his object, and returned to Lakhanawati. He despatched the Sharf-ul-Mulk, the Ash'ari,

¹ See pages 662 and 66 t.

² See note 4, para 8, page 587.

In every copy of the text collated this sentence, like the preceding, is very defective—no two copies being alike—and, altogether, our author's account of this affair seems imperfect. It appears improbable that 250 Hindus only should throw a whole army into confusion, in broad daylight.

The title of the Malik's minister probably, not his name. At page 664 it is stated that Kāzi Jalal ud-Din, who was Kari of Awadh, was directed to

to the Court of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, to solicit assistance. Kāṣi Jalāl-ud-Din, the Kāsāni—on whom be peace!—was deputed, along with the Sharf-ul-Mulk, bearing a rich robe of honour, a canopy of state, a standard and tent, coupled with [expressions of] much honour and reverence, to return to Lakhaṇawati; and the forces of Hindūstān', under the orders of Kamar-ud-Din, Tamur Khān-i Ķ1-rān, who was feudatory of Awadh, moved towards Lakhaṇawati, by the sublime command of the Sultān, to operate against the infidels of Jāj-nagar.

In this same year likewise [642 H l. the Rae of Tainagar , in order to avenge the plundering of Katasin, which had taken place the preceding year, as has been already recorded, having turned his face towards the Lakhanawati territory, on Tuesday, the 13th of the month of Shawwal. 642 H., the army of infidels of Jaj-nagar, consisting of elephants, and pāyiks [foot-men] in great numbers, arrived opposite Lakhanawati Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan came out of the city to confront them. The infidel host, on coming beyond the frontier of the Jaj-nagar territory. first took Lakhan-or; and Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Karim-ud-Din. Laghri, who was the feudatory of I akhan-or, with a body of proceed to Lakhanawati along with the Sharf-ul-Mulk, bearing a red canopy of state, and a robe of honour, and that they reached Lakhanawati on the 11th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 645 H This is impossible, as the repulse before Katasin took place eight months after this date. The year must be 642 H. Another discrepancy is that [page 664], under the reign, it is said that the agent was sent to the Court, when Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan returned from Kurah towards Lakhanawati !

⁸ That is of the Antarbed Do-ābah and districts lying immediately east of the Gang.

⁹ Mr. Blochmann ["Contributions to the History and Geography of Bengal," page 143, page. 4] is really too magnanimous when he says that "Regarding Jámagar" I have "come to the same conclusion" he "had." I beg leave to state that I HAD come to the conclusion in 1865, when I first made translations of the history of Bengal from as many works as I could find—eight in all, I think, or more—and then collected the materials which enabled me to insert the notes in question in this translation. I hope to publish the fruit of these translations not long hence, with additions since obtained.

The italics noticed in the same "Contributions" [note §, page 144] namely, Jāt-nagar, page 59s of my translation, I darray, do not imply a reference to Jāj-nagar; and, further, whether it be a mistake or not, the work I quoted has the word, and also the account of Kadhah-Kataakah. Perhaps Mr. Blochmann will refer to the Ma'dan-i-Akhbār-i-Ahmadī and satisfy himself.

7 He must have succeeded I-bak-i-Aor Khān in that fiel, under Tughril-i-Tughān Khān perhaps. See page 736.

Musalmans, they made martyrs of, and, after that, appeared before the gate of Lakhanawati. The second day after that, swift messengers arrived from above [the Do-abah and Awadh, &c.] and gave information respecting the army of Islam that it was near at hand. Panic now took possession of the infidels, and they decamped.

When the army from above reached the gate of Lakhanawati, distrust arose between Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khān and Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān, and led to strife; and a conflict took place between the two armies of Musalmans before the gate of the city of Lakhanawati, and continued from day-dawn to the early forenoon, when certain people appealed to them, and the two forces disengaged from each other, and each returned to its own camp. As Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's own quarters were before the city gate, by the time he had alighted at his own tent. the whole of his troops had returned to their own dwellings within the city, and he remained alone Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān however, on returning to his camp, continued ready armed as before, when, finding opportunity, and becoming aware that Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan was all alone in his tent within his camp, he mounted with his whole force, and made a dash upon Malik Tughril-i-Tughan Khan's camp. The latter was under the necessity of mounting and flying within the city; and this event took place on Tuesday, the 5th of the month of Zi-Hijjah, 642 H.

On Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's reaching the city, he employed the author, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, and despatched him out of the city to seek an accommodation and his safety; and a truce and compact were entered into between the two Maliks, under the engagement that Lakhanawati should be delivered up to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān, and that Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān should proceed to the Sublime Court, taking along with him his treasures

The Jāj-nagar forces must have crossed the Ganges before they could invest the city of Lakhanawati, if the course of that river was then as it is at present. For further details of this—for our author appears to have been totally unable to give the details of one affair in one place—see the account of Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķī-rān at page 763, where the name of the leader of the infidels is also mentioned. These are the Mughals of Chingu Khān referred to at page 665, and note.

and elephants, his dependents and followers. Under this agreement, Lakhanawati was delivered up to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān, and Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, in company with Malik Karā-Kagh Khān, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Māh-peshānī [of the moon-like brow], and the [other?] Amīrs of the Court¹, returned to the sublime presence. The author, with his family and dependents, returned to Dihli along with Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, and the Sublime Court was reached, on Monday, the 14th of the month of Safar, 643 H.

On Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān's arrival at Court, he was distinguished by great honour and reverence, and, in the [following] month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal of that same year, the territory of Awadh was consigned to him, and he

received much comfort and encouragement.

When the throne of sovereignty acquired additional glory from [the accession of] the Sultăn-i-Mu'azzam, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, in the year 644 H., Malik Tughrıl-i-Tughān Khān proceeded into Awadh; and, a short time afterwards, on the night of Friday, the end of the month of Shawwāl of that year, he passed to the Almighty's mercy. Of destiny's wonderful decrees one was this, that, enmity and contest having arisen between Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān and Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān, and each having seized the other's territory, Tamur Khān should have died in Lakhanawatī, and Tughān Khān in Awadh [the same night], in such wise that neither of the two, in this world, was aware of the other's death.

On this subject, that prince of mortals of the great

Who had accompanied the troops sent to the relief of Lakhanawati, or,

rather, under pretence of relieving it.

Not so, by the writer's own account: Tughril-i-Tughin Khin's territory

was seized by treachery, but he had not seized his rival's.

^{*} The sending of a robe of honour and standards to Tughril-i-Tughān Khān must have been merely for the purpose of putting him off his guard, and it must have been previously determined to deprive him of his government. See pages 665—667.

² See Blochmann's "Contributions" previously referred to, page 38. 'Izz-ud-Dfn, Tughrik-t-Tughin Khān, did not withdraw from Lakhanawati direct into Awadh, but proceeded to Dihli first, and then, in Nāṣir-ud-Din's reign, proceeded to take charge of the latter fief, as shown mimediately under, and at page 744.

and of the less, Sharf-ud-Din, the Balkhi, composed a verse 4:—

"On Friday, the end of the month entitled Shawwäl,
In the year, according to the 'Arab era, khā, mim, dāl,
Was Tamur Khān's and Tughān Khān's march from the world
This [one] at the beginning of the night went, that at its close b."

Doubtless, their meeting will have taken place in the Court of the King of Glory in the everlasting mansion in the other world. The Almighty's mercy be upon them!

VIII MALIK KAMAR-UD-DĪN, ĶĪ RĀN-I-TAMUR KHĀN-US-SULŢĀNĪ.

Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān was a Turk of good qualities and excellent disposition, and very hasty and impetuous, prudent and intrepid. His origin was from Khifchāk, and he was good looking, and had a long beard and mustachios. The Sultān [I-yal-timish], at the outset [of his career], purchased him of Asad-ud-Din, Mankali, the brother's son of Malik Fīrūz, for the sum of fifty thousand Sultāni dirams.

During the expedition to Chand-wal * [i. e. Chand-war],

- 4 This paragraph, and these lines may be looked upon as an interpolation, for they are only contained in some of the more modern copies of the text.
- The printed text has sin—but that letter stands for energy, which is not correct Ahd—shands for 600, min—f—for 40, and dal—shor 4=644 H. The last day of the month is the 29th.
 - Tamur, in Turkish, signifies tron
- In some copies, "brother" of Malik Ffrüz This is the person who stands first in the list of the Maliks of Sulfan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timiah, and bore likewise the latter Turkish name See page 625
 - 8 All the old comes have dirams, but the modern ones jitals
- Chand-wall and Chand-war are synonymous. It is, no doubt, the place referred to at page 470, near which Jai-Chand, Rājah of Kinnauj and Banāras, was overthrown by Sultān Mu'izz ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām, Ghūrī Its name even has nearly perished, and Firūzābād has arisen almost upon its ruins. It is situated about twenty-five miles east of Âgrah on the banks of the Jūn or Yamimā. There are other places bearing similar names which led me to suppose, as stated in note? page 470, and, also from the loose manner in which native writers refer to at, as noticed in para 5 to note at page 518, that it was a different place, several authors stating that the battle above referred to took place "in the neighbourhood of Chand-war and Itāwah," while, at the same time, these two places are some forty or more miles apart. The ruins of the ancient city of Chand war cover the surrounding country for miles round Fīrūzābād—masjids, mausoleums, gatewaya, and other extensive buildings—indicating the size and importance of the place.

unexpectedly, the son of the Rāe of Chand-wāl, Laddah, by name, feil into his hands; and, when he brought him to the Sultān's presence, Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān received suitable commendation. Subsequently, he became Nā'ib Amīr-i-Ākhur [Deputy Lord of the Stable], and, at that time, the Amīr-i-Ākhur was Tughril-i-Tughān Khān [No. vii.]. Having obtained this office, he performed approved service therein; and, when Tughril-i-Tughān Khān was assigned the fief of Budā'ūn, Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān became Amīr-i-Ākhur.

During the reign of Sultan Raziyyat—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—he became feudatory of Kinnauj; and, during that reign, by the sublime command, he was despatched towards Gwaliyūr and Malwah in command of the Islami forces, and, during that expedition, he did good service. Subsequently, after he returned to the Court, the fief of Karah was entrusted to him, and, in that part, he undertook many expeditions against the infidels, and discharged, in a complete manner, all the duties of good general-ship.

When Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, who was feudatory of Awadh, died, the territory of Awadh, with its dependencies, was entrusted to Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ki-rān's charge. In that part, as far as the frontier of the Tirhut territory, he performed great deeds, and obtained possession of vast booty; and compelled the Rāes and Rānās, and independent [Hindū] tribes², of that country, to pay him tribute. On several occasions he plundered the territory of Bhati-ghor², and extorted tribute.

In the year 642 H., when he proceeded to Lakhanawati, his behaviour towards Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, and to what point it reached, has been previously recorded in this Section i and, whilst Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān

¹ No mention of this expedition occurs in the account of her reign.

s Here the word مواسات referred to in note 7, page 705, is used evidently as the plural of مواس The meaning is apparent.

³ Bhati-Ghora, or Bhath-Ghorah—the tract lying on the left bank of the Son, east of Banaras, in the centre of which Kalinjar is situated.

See pages 664-667. His death occurred on the 29th of Shawwil, 644 H. There is an inscription respecting him in the Bihir Museum, dated in the first month of this year, which has been published in the Bengel Anate Journal for 1871. That inscription tends to show that he considered himself inde-

was at the capital, he came, unattended, to Mānish, and removed his family, and the whole of his effects, from Awadh to Lakhaṇawati. For a period of two years he continued, in rebellion, at Lakhaṇawati, and afterwards died, on the same night in which Tughril-i-Tughān Khān took his departure from the world; and, as the daughter of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Yughān-tat, was his wife, she duly performed her obligation [to him], and took his corpse to Awadh from Lakhaṇawati, and there he was buried. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

IX. MALIK HINDÜ <u>EH</u>ÂN, MU-AYYID-UD-DİN, MIHTAR-I-MUBĂRAK-UL-<u>KH</u>ÂZÎN-US-SULŢĀNĪ.

Hindū Khān, Mihtar -1-Mubārak, was, by origin, of Mahir. When he first came into the august Sultān's service, the Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him of Fakhrud-Din, the Ṣafahāni. Hindū Khān was a man of exceeding good disposition, and of exemplary conduct, of sincere piety, and, in the Sultān's service, had attained great intimacy with him, and had reached a position of the most

pendent, unless, as is often the case, and as repeatedly shown in this work, his subordinate has given him titles after his own fancy. This inscription is also given in Blochmann's "Contributions," page 37, with a translation. There is an error in the last however, the words Tughril-us-Sulţāni do not mean Tughril, the Royal, but Tughril, the Sulţāni's [I-yal-tunish's] slave—the Sulţāni Tughril—a term applied to the following Malık and to several of the great Malıks herein mentioned. See also note *, to page 4r of the same paper

as above, اختي as above, اختي and عالي and عالي The Calcutta printed text has عالي as above,

Some copies of the text have شكر نشي—organization and conduct of troops—but it is evidently a mistake for المستحدة به المستحدة المستحدة والمستحددة والمست

7 In the printed text, the word farsand-child or daughter of-has been left

out, so it may be imagined what a sentence it makes.

The word Mihtar signifies greater, and a lord, the head or chief of a

tribe, &c. It is here probably used as a title.

If he was originally from Mihir or Mihir, which is probably intended for the place of that name in the Sagar and Narbadah territories, in Lat. 24° 16′, Long. 80° 49′—for I know of no place of such name in Turkistän—Hindü Ehän was probably a converted Hindü. This seems to show that there was a brisk trade carried on in Hindü as well as Turkish slaves.

perfect confidence. Throughout the whole reign of Sultan I-yal-timish—from the beginning to the close—and the reign of Sultan Rasiyyat likewise, he was honoured and esteemed, had held the office of Treasurer, and had done good services. All Sultan I-yal-timish's slaves who attained offices in the state, and positions of greatness, were objects of his regard and affection; and they all looked upon him as a kind and loving father.

When Hindū Khān first came into the Sultān's service, he became Yūz-bān [Keeper of the hunting leopards], and, subsequently, he was made Torch-bearer; and, whilst holding that office, within the limits of the territory of Baran, at the time when the Sultān [I-yal-timish] was feudatory of Baran [before he succeeded to the throne], in the reign of the beneficent Sultān, Ķuṭb-ud-Din, I-bak, during a raid against one of the independent tribes of Hindū infidels, Hindū Khān Mihtar-i-Mubārak, with the spike of his torch, unhorsed a Hindū and sent the man to Hell. The Sultān [afterwards] made him his Tasht-dār [Ewer-bearer], and, in that capacity, he served for a considerable time.

When the affairs of the kingdom came under the administration of the Shamsi dynasty, the Mihtar-i-Mubārak became Treasurer to Sultan I-val-timish, but he did not ever give up the office of Tasht-dar up to the end of the Sultan's lifetime, and used, as heretofore, to perform the duties of personal Ewer-bearer. When the august Sultan encamped before the preserved fortress of Gwalivur, and took that place, this servant of the victorious dynasty. Minhāi-i-Sarāi, during that expedition, for a period of seven months, in accordance with commands, was in the habit of delivering a discourse, twice in each week, at the entrance of the royal pavilion; and, throughout the month of Ramazān, and on the 10th of Zi-Hijjah, and 10th of Muharram, the author used to perform the service daily1. After the fortress was taken possession of, as the just claims of his priestly duties had been established, the administration of all matters of law and religion of that fortress was entrusted to the author, and this installation took place in the year 630 H. This is mentioned because.

¹ See page 619. There our author makes a different statement.

^{2.} This appears to have been our author's first appointment under the government of Dibli, at least the first one he mentions.

at the time of investing the author with the direction of law affairs, that Mihtar of Mihtars, Mihtar-i-Mubārak, Hindū Khān himself, was present in the royal treasury, and treated him with such kindness and encouragement that this servant of the state was much beholden to him for such honour on his part. Almighty God reward him, and have mercy on him!

When the Shamsi reign came to a termination, in the reign of Sultān Raziyyat, the territory and fortress of Uchchah was entrusted to Malik Hindū Khān's charge; and, when the throne passed to Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh, he returned from that province to the Court, and the territory of Jalandhar [Jalhandar] was con-

ferred upon him, and there also he died

X. MALIK I<u>KH</u>TIYĀR-UD-DĪN, ĶARĀ-ĶA<u>SH</u> ² <u>KH</u>ĀN-I-AET-KĪN,

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Ķarā-Ķash Khān-ı-Aet-kin , was from Ķarah-Khitā, and was a man of exceeding amiable disposition, magnanimous, pure in mind, and adorned with all manliness and valour, and was one of the

ancient slaves of the Sultan [I-yal-timish].

When the august Sultan first purchased him, he made him his personal Cup-bearer, and, after he had served in that capacity for a considerable time, he acquired the fiel of Barihūn and Darangawān [Daran-gā-on']. Some years subsequently, he became the Superintendent of the Khālisah [crown province] of Tabarhindah, and, after that, also during the reign of the august Sultan, Multan became his fiel, after Malik Kabir Khān [Izz-ud-Dīn, Ayāz-i-Hazār-Mardah], and his title then became Karā-Kash Khān.

On the expiration of the Shamsi reign, Sultan Raziyyat

^{*} Also written هرا نوش Karā-Kūsh.

⁴ Some writers give the pronounciation of this word Aytkin, instead of Aet-kin, but the last, I think, is the most correct. See page 318 Under the reign he is styled Malik-al-Kabīr—the Great Malik.

I fail to recognize these places satisfactorily. One may be meant for Dharam-gā-on, a very common name. The words are written— وربكوا and دربكوا and دربكوا and دربكوا عليه عليه المربود عليه المربود عليه المربود عليه المربود عليه المربود

took Lohor from Malik Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, and made over to him, in lieu thereof, the fief of Multan, as has been previously narrated. What befell Malik Kara-Kash at Lohor, and his evacuation of that city, during the inroad of the infidel Mughals and their appearance before Lohor. will be recorded in the account of the Lohor disaster. He [then] had the territory of Bhianah conferred upon him. and he continued in that part some time. When the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, came, and the Maliks revolted, Malik Kara-Kash Khan, with Malik Yuz-Bak [Ikhtivār-ud-Din, Tughril Khān], came to the capital and attached themselves to Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram As Mihtar-i-Mubārak [Fakhr-ud-Din. Mubārak Shah, the Farrashl, Farrukhi, conspired against the Turk Maliks and Amirs, he influenced Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din. Bahram Shah, against Malik Kara-Kash and Malik Yūz-Bak, and the Sultan imprisoned both of them .

After the city of Dihli was taken, and the throne passed to Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shāh, Malik Ķarā-Ķash Khān, became Amir-i-Ḥājib, and, shortly afterwards, on Friday, the 25th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 640 H., Bhānah became his fief! After some time Karah was assigned to him; and, from thence, in company with Malik Tamur Khān-i-Ķi-rān, with troops, he marched towards Lakhanawāṭi, and returned from thence along with Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khān.

When the throne of sovereignty acquired beauty and adornment from the auspicious dignity of the Sultān of the Universe, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, in the year 644 H., Malik Karā-Kash Khān was killed within the limits of Karah. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

⁷ At pages 644 and 727.

In the account of the Mughal irruption in the next Section. See also page 655.

See pages 659 and 761.

³ This must mean that he was restored to that fiel again, because, just before, it is stated that he was made feudatory of Bhianah after the evacuation of Lahor, and that, from Bhianah, he marched to support Sultan Mu'izz-ad-Din, Bahram Shah.

See page 741.

² No particulars of this affair occur anywhere throughout this work

XI. MALIK IKHTIYAR-UD-DÎN, ALTÜNÎAH, OF TABAR-HINDAH.

Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Altuniah of Tabarhindah, was a great Malik, of vast boldness and gallantry, manliness and energy, lion-heartedness and magnanimity; and the whole of the Maliks of that time were unanimous as to his manliness and valour. At the time of the imprisonment of Sultan Raziyyat-on whom be peacel-he had fought encounters with the forces of the disaffected Maliks, in conjunction with Sultan Raziyyat, and had displayed great heroism 4.

When the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] first purchased him, he gave him the Sharab-dari soffice—the care of the liquors]. After some time, as the Sultan had remarked proofs of manliness depicted upon his brow, he gave him the office of Sar Chatar-dar [Head of the state canopybearers]; and, when the Shamsi rule came to its termination, during the reign of Sultan Raziyyat, the fief of Baran was conferred upon Ikhtivär-ud-Din. Subsequently, Tabarhindah was given to him; and, at the time when the hearts of the Turk Maliks and Amirs, who were the slaves of the Shamsi dynasty, became changed towards Sultan Raziyyat, on account of the favour Jamal-ud-Din, Yā-kūt, the Abyssinian, had found with her, the Amir-1-Hajib, Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din-i-Aet-kin, and Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Altūniah, of Tabarhindah, were connected by a firm compact of unanimity and friendship, and bonds of intimacy; and, by virtue of this fabric of union, Malik Aet-kin, secretly, gave intimation of this change to the latter. Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Altuniah, in the fortress of Tabarhindah, began openly to rebel, and withdrew his head from the yoke of obedience to that Sultan.

Sultan Raziyyat, in the month of Ahar , moved from the

⁴ The ambitious and rebellious conduct of himself and his colleague in sedition was the cause of her downfall, as is stated under

Not necessarily intoxicating

This was a Khalisah district, as mentioned at page 746

⁷ Ahar, from the Sanskrit WITE the third solar mouth of the Hinday June-July. The Muhammadans, as early as thus, it seems, had begun to use the names of the Hinds months. Under her raign it a said to have been the

capital towards Tabarhindah with the [contingents] comprising the centre [division] of her forces, as has been related [under her reign]; and, when Sultan Razivvat was seized and imprisoned, and the Maliks and Amirs returned to the capital again, and the throne of sovereignty came to Mu'izz-ud-Din. Bahram Shah. Malik Ikhtivar-ud-Din. Altūniah, contracted marriage with Sultan Raziyyat, who was in duress [under his charge in the fort of Tabarhindah]. and, by reason of that union, began to evince contumacy. When Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin [Altūniah's confederate], was assassinated, and Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Sunkar, the Rumi, became Amir-i-Haiib, Malik Ikhtivarud-Din. Altüniah, brought forth Sultan Raziyyat from the fortress of Tabarhindah, assembled forces, and marched towards the capital. In the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal. however, they retired unsuccessful. Sultan Raziyyat was taken prisoner within the limits of Kaithal; and Malik Ikhtivär-ud-Din, Altūniah, was taken in the Mansur-pur district, and was martyred by Hindus on Tuesday, the 25th of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 638 H. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

XIL MALIK IKHTIYAR-UD-DIN, AET-KIN.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin, was a Ķarah-Khitā-i, a well-favoured Turk, a man of good disposition and of hand-some countenance, dignity, wisdom, and sagacity.

The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased him from Amir I-bak, Sanna-1, and he had served the Sultan long in

9th of Ramagán 637 H.—May, 1230, A D. It was a great object with the rebels to make Sulfán Ragsyyat move in the hot season.

• See note *, page 634.

They lost no time, for on the 28th of the same month they set up Mu'zza-ud-Din. Bahram Shah.

Contumacy towards the usurper of her throne. See under Raziyyat's reign, page 645, and 647. His confederates in sedicion against Raziyyat had now been removed from the scene and their ambitious designs frustrated, and therefore Altuniah thought it to his advantage to espouse her cause

West of Dihlf, and north-west of Kaithal, Lat. 30° 21', Long 76° 5'.

These events are related differently under Rappyat's reign, which see.

⁴ In some copies Nralwi—native of Nisa, and, in the list of Malika at the beginning of the Naşirl reign, page 673, there is a Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Balka Khan, styled, Saina-i, but not the person here referred to He may have been the son of the above.

every office and degree, and become deserving of royal kindness and dignities of greatness. In the beginning of the Sultan's reign, he was made Sar-i-Jan-dar [Head of the Jan-dars], and, after some time, as proofs of merit were conspicuous upon his brow, Mansur-pur was given to him in Some time after, Küjäh and Nandanah were entrusted to his charge, and, on that frontier, he performed distinguished services. When the period of sovereignty devolved upon Sultan Raziyyat, she summoned him to the Court, and assigned him the fief of Buda'un. After some farther period, he attained the dignity of Amir-i-Hajib, and performed approved services before the throne; but, on account of the favour which Jamal-ud-Din, Ya-kut, the Abyssinian, had acquired, the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, Turks, Ghūris, and Tājiks 1, were withdrawing from their attendance on the Court of Sultan Raziyyat, and were afflicted in heart, particularly Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin, the Amir-i-Hājib, as has been recorded in the account of Sultan Raziyyat. For this reason, Jamal-ud-Din, Yā-kūt, was martyred, and the throne passed to Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah ".

On the day of rendering fealty at the Kūshk [the

• In some copies, Sar-i-Jamadar [Sar-i-Jamah-dar 1] or Head of the Wardrobe, and, in one good copy, Shart-badar

6 This place is generally mentioned in connexion with Banian and the Karlugh Turks. The word might be spelt with g-Gujah. The majority of copies and the oldest have but one has كرمان and a second كوهاء The E likewise may be intended for E See list of victories, page 627

7 At pages 304 and 333, our author says the Churis are Tapks, or Tarks -1. e. descendants of Arabs born in 'Ajam-but here he evidently applies the term as it originally means, and Ghuris to natives of Ghur only The compilers of "Pathan" dynasties may see that such a term as there never occurs m any Muhammadan History Tājiks are not Scythians, I beg leave to say

4 The "affliction" that appears to have troubled him was ambition and sedition, as may be gathered from the statement in the account of Malik

Altuniah, just related, and a little farther on

⁸ The modern copies of the text have an additional sentence and a verse here, but it is evidently an interpolation they are as follows:-"As sovereignty turned its face from Sulfan Raziyyat, on this account, a wag gives these lines :--

> Sovereignty from her robe's skirt turned away. When it perceived black dust on the hem thereof '"

Our author, who was resident at her Court, does not attempt to make us believe that Sultan Rasiyyat was guilty of any criminal familiarity with the Abysamian, although more modern writers do instinuate it, but, I believe, without reason.

Castle], the royal residence, when they seated the [new] Sulfan on the throne of the kingdom, and the Maliks, Amirs, 'Ulama, Şadrs, and the Chiefs of the troops and Grandees of the capital were assembled together in the sublime audience hall for the purpose of the public rendering of fealty', all pledged their allegiance to the sovereignty of Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, and the Deputy-ship [Lieutenantcy] of Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin; and he stipulated with Sulfan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, that, as he, the Sulfan, was young in years, he should, for the period of one year, leave the administration of the affairs of the realm to his slave [Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin], and that the Sulfan should issue an order in accordance with all this'.

His petition having been complied with, Malik Ikhtivärud-Din. Aet-kin, in union with the Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, the Wazir, proceeded to administer the affairs of the kingdom. He requested [permission] from the Sultan to assume the naubat and to have an elephant. He took a sister of the Sultan to wife, and the whole of the affairs of the country appertained to him? From these circumstances lealousy entered into the heart of the Sultan, and, secretly, several times he plotted against him, to get rid of him, but did not succeed, until, on Monday, the 8th of the month of Muharram, 638 H. They related on this wise, that the Sälär [chief, leader], Ahmad-i-Sa'd—the Almighty's mercy be upon him!—came secretly to the Sultan's presence and made a representation, in consequence of which intoxicating drink was given to several Turks, and he [the Sultan] gave directions to those inebriated Turks. who descended from the upper part [upper apartments] of the Kasr-1-Safed [White Castle], and came down in front of the dais in the Audience Hall , and with a wound from a knife martyred Malık Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Aet-kin . They

¹ On Sunday, the 11th of Shawwil, 637 H.

⁹ The period for which he was to act is not mentioned under the Sulţăn's reign.

³ See under Mu'uz-ud-Dîn, Bahrâm Shāh's reiga. There it is stated that he assumed the triple names, and stationed an elephant at his gate. The Sultān's sister had previously been married to a Kāṣi's son. See page 650.

⁴ Where Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Act-kin, as Deputy, would be transacting state

A This is related in a very different manner under the reign, which see, at page 651.

inflicted several wounds on the Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, the Wazir, but he got away from them, wounded as he was, and made his escape.

XIII. MALIK BADR-UD-DÎN, SUNKAR 4-1-RÛMÎ.

Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, was a Rumi [of Rum-Rümiliah] by origin, and some of the trustworthy have related after this manner, that he was the son of a Musalman and had fallen into slavery: but he was a man of exceedingly good disposition, with comeliness and dignity, of admirable morality, humble, and endowed with kindness and laudable qualities for winning men.

When the Sultan [I-yal-timish] first purchased him, he became Tasht-dar [Ewer-bearer], and, after he had performed that office for some time, he became Bahlah-dar [Bearer of the Privy Purse]. Subsequently, he became Shahnah' [Superintendent] of the Zarrad Khanah of Buda'un : and, after some farther time, he rose to be Na-ib Amir-i-Akhur [Deputy Lord of the Stable], and served the Sultan in every capacity, and did approved services. After he became Amir-i-Akhur, he used never to be absent from the gate of the royal stable for a moment save through unavoidable necessity; and, whether on the move or stationary, he used to be always present in attendance at the threshold of sovereignty. Whilst the fortress of Gwaliyur was being invested, he was pleased to show such goodness and countenance towards the writer of these words, and to treat him with such honour and respect, that the impression of such benevolence will never be effaced from his heart. May the Almighty have mercy on him !

When the sovereignty passed to Sultan Raziyyat, the fief of Buda'un was given him, and, in the year 638 H , at the time that Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Act-kin, was

Sunkar, in the Rümi [Turkish] dialect, is said to signify a black-eyed falcon, which lives to a great age, and to have the same meaning as Shunghar

⁷ See note 4, page 732.

Whilst I-yal-tunish held that hel before he came to the throne. The office was the same as that of Sar-1 Jan-dar. ec note 7, page 603. On the 8th of Muharram, 638 H

assessinated, in the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din Rahram Shah, the latter summoned Malik Badr-ud-Din. Sunkar. from Buda'un, and conferred upon him the office of Amir-i-Hājib. When Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Altūniah, of Tabarhindah, along with Sultan Razivvat, resolved to march upon the capital, and they arrived in the vicinity of Dihli. in the quelling of that sedition, Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, performed excellent services; but, after a short time, disagreement arose between him and the Khwajah, Muhazzabud-Din, the Wazir, through a trifling cause which it behoveth not to mention. This irritation continued to increase, and, on this account, the Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din 1, incited the Sultan against him, and the Sultan's confidence in Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, departed, and his faith in the Sultan likewise ceased. He [Badr-ud-Din Sunkar] convoked the great men of the capital, for the purpose [of discussing] a change in the government, at the mansion of Sayyid Tāj-ud-Din, Mūsāwi, on Monday, the 14th of the month of Safar, 639 H. The Khwajah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, gave intimation to the Sultan of this circumstance, and the Sultan mounted, and called upon Malik Badr-ud-Din. Sunkar, to give up his intentions2. He joined the Sultan, and, on that same day, he was sent off [on his way] to Buda'un.

After some time, the decree of destiny having gone forth, it brought him back to the capital again, without having received orders to return, and he came to the city of Dihlt, and alighted at the dwelling of Malik Kutb-ud-Din [Husain, son of 'All, the Ghūri]—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—thinking that perhaps, under his protection, he might obtain mercy. A mandate was issued from the sovereign's Court so that they seized him, and he was cast into prison. He continued in imprisonment and confinement for some time, and, in the end, on the night of

¹ This is the "upright officer" in ELLIOT, referred to in note ⁶, page 641.

² Some copies here, as well as under the reign, disagree about this date. Some have the 10th, and some, the 17th, but two of the best copies have here, as well as previously, the 14th of Safar

² The particulars of this affair have been already given under the resgn, pages 652 and 653. Here hierarchies is additional proof, were any required, to show who the parties were, and who betrayed Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar.

Wednesday, the 14th of the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, 639 H., he attained martyrdom. The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

KIV. MALIK TĀJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-ĶĪĶ-LUĶ.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Ķīk-luk, was a thorough man, and his native country was Khifchāk. He was a person of vast energy, manliness, sagacity, stateliness, gallantry, and valour, and in all endowments he had reached the acme. He was of great rectitude and continence, and no intoxicating drink was ever allowed to come near him.

The august Sultān [I-yal-timish] had purchased him from the Khwājah, Jamāl-ud-Din-i-Nadimān; and, in the beginning of the Sultān's reign, he became Jāma-dār [Keeper of the Wardrobe]; and, after some time, he became Shahnah [Superintendent] of the Stable, and in every department he performed distinguished services for the Sultān.

When the Shamsi reign came to a termination, and the throne devolved on Sultan Raziyyat, Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Ķīķ-luk, became feudatory of Baran, and was appointed to proceed at the head of a body of troops towards the fortress of Gwāliyūr, and in Sha'bān, 635 H., the writer of these words, the servant of the victorious dynasty, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in company with Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Ķīķ-luk, came out of the fortress of Gwāliyūr and proceeded, and presented himself at the Court of Sultan Raziyyat. On the road Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar, showed

⁴ See note 8, page 654.

There must have been some reason why he returned to the capital—probably to sue for pardon in person—and our author could, evidently, have said more, had he chosen to do so. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, son of 'Alf, the Gharf, is the same venerable chief as mentioned, at pages 658 and 661. He was one of the greatest of I-yal-timish's Maliks, and his name is entered in the list of them at the end of his reign. He too was made away with, in some mysterious manner, during the reign of that paragon of perfection, according to our author, Nāṣn-ud Din, Maḥnāud Shāh, in 653 H. The events, which induced him to seek an asylum in Hind, will be found in the account of the Mughal irruption in the next Section.

⁴ Sec note 4, page 732

See page 643, and note

such goodness towards the author as cannot be expressed At the time of removing from Gwāliyūr he carried two chests of books, the private property of this servant, upon one of his own camels, and brought them to Mahā'ūn, and upon other occasions, had treated the author with manifold kindness—May the Almighty make him be acceptable, and have mercy upon him!

On his reaching the capital again, Malik Tāi-ud-Din, San iar, became feudatory of the district of Sursuti; and, whe the throne of sovereignty came to Mu'izz-ud-Din. Bahrar Shah, he performed abundant services. On the termina tion of the Mu'izzi reign, and the throne passing to 'Alā-ud Din, Mas'ud Shah, he became feudatory of Buda'un': and in the year 640 H., he overthrew the independent [Hindū tribes of Kathehr of Buda'un, and performed many ex ploits against the infidels, and founded Jāmi' Masjids i several places, and established pulpits for the Khatibs He assembled a numerous body of forces-8000 horse an foot, besides payiks with horses 2-and his determination was to lead a force towards Kālinjar and Mahobah, an reduce that tract of country to subjection. A certai party [however] began to be envious of the number of hi following, the quantity and efficiency of his war materia the greatness of his power, the awe in which he was held and his intrepidity in leading troops. The deceits promptings of the spirit of devilry moved them, so that the prepared some poison placed in a betel leaf and adminis tered it to him, and disease of the bowels supervened, and from that disorder, in a few days, he joined the Almighty mercy. May the Most High God accept, in behalf of tha amiable Malik, in repayment of the many debts of grati tude he owed him, the prayers of this frail one!

One among those debts of gratitude due to him is this. In the year 640 H., when the author resolved upon leavin,

In the oldest copy of the text the name of this city and district is alway written of the Budantin—the middle # is masal, and this is the correct mode of writing the word.

^{*} There seem to have been numbers of the Mew tribe in that part in their days.

¹ The preacher who pronounces the Khutbah already explained.

All the copies of the text are alike here—mounted payiks is a novel teri I think—considering that the word means foot-man.

the capital city of Dihli, on a journey to Lakhanawati, and sent off his family and dependents, in advance, towards Buda'un, that Malik of excellent disposition assigned a stipend for his family and children, and treated them with all sorts of honour and reverence. Five months afterwards, when the author, following after his family, reached Buda'un, Malik Tāi-ud-Din, Saniar, beetowed upon him so many gifts, and treated him with such honour as cannot be contained within the area of writing. He was pleased to assign the author a fief with a residence at Buda'un. together with ample benefits and favours; but, as destiny, and the means of livelihood, was attracting him to the country of Lakhanawati, and the decree of fate was carrying him, the writer proceeded thither. May Almighty God accept in his favour the kindness stowards the author) of that Malik of good disposition!

XV. MALIK TÄJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-KURET KHĀN 6

Malik Kuret Khan was a Turk of Khischak, of great manhood and courage, energy and wisdom, and among warriors, for warlike accomplishments, he was the peerless in all the ranks of the army of Islam; and, in horsemanship and skill in arms, he had no equal. For example, he would have two horses under saddle, one of which he would ride, and the other he would lead after him, and thus used to dash on, and, whilst the horses were galloping, he would leap from this horse to that with agility, would return to this first one again, so that, during a gallop, he used several times to mount two horses. In archery he was so skilful that no enemy in battle, and no animal in the chase used to escape his arrow. He never used to take along with him into any Shikar-gah [chase] either leopard, hank, or sporting dog: he brought down all with his own arrow; and in every fastness in which he imagined there would be game he would be in advance of the whole of his retinue.

This is the only Malik among twenty-five who was not a slave.

³ Our author was evidently unable to remain at Dihli, in safety after the attack made upon him by the Khwajah Muhasgab-ud Din's creatures, and hence resolved to retire for a time

Shah, pages 659 to 662

He was the Shahnah [Superintendent] of rivers and vessels; and this author had a great regard and affection for him. May Almighty God immerse him in forgiveness! When the Turks of the [late] Sultän [I-yal-timish] first rose against the Khwājah, Muhaggab-ud-Din, the Wazir, on Wednesday, the 2nd of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 640 H., the ring-leader of the party in that outbreak was Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Kuret Khān; and a slave of the Khwājah, Muhaggab-ud-Din, Mihtar Jattā [by name], a Farrāsh [carpet-spreader, &c.], wounded the Malik on the face with a sword in such manner that the mark of it ever after remained.

After the Khwājah, Muhazzab-ud-Din, was put to death, Malik Sanjar-i-Kuret Khān became Shahnah [Superintendent] of the elephants, and, after that, was made Sar-i-Jān-dār [Head of the Jān-dārs]. Subsequently, he was made feudatory of Budā'ūn, and, some time after that again, obtained the fief of Awadh. In that part he undertook many holy expeditions against infidels, achieved numerous gallant exploits, and reduced several powerful independent [Hindū] tribes. From Awadh he proceeded into Bihār and plundered that territory. Suddenly, when before the preserved city of Bihār, an arrow struck him in a mortal place, and he attained martyrdom?. The mercy of the Almighty be upon him!

XVI. MALIK SAIF-UD-DÎN, BAT KHÂN-I-Î-BAK, THE KHIŢĀ-Î. Malik Saif-ud-Dîn. Bat Khān-i-Ī-bak, the Khitā-î, was a

^a The word used is —ocean, sea, great river, which last meaning must be intended here, as the Dihli kings had no more to do with the ser and sequency vessels than Sher Shāh the Afghin had, who is said, by a modern translator, to have "built great ships to convey Pilgrims to Makkah," by land, possibly.

^{*} It was when the "upright officer"—the Khwijah, met with his deserts in the plain of the Rant's Asses, or reservoir.

I From this it is apparent that, after the fall of the Khalj dynasty, and the death of I-yal-timigh, Bihār could not have remained in Musalmān hands. We hear of the fief of Karah, Mānik-pūr, Awadh, and Lakhaṇawaṭi, but never of Bihār, which must have been recovered by the Hindūs in the same way as Kāhnjar, Mahobah, and other places which, previously, our author says, were conquered, and as mentioned in the lists of victories of some of the Sulţāns. The particulars respecting this chief's death before Bihār, which would have been so interesting to m, our author either considered not worth mentioning, or has purposely suppressed.

person of very excellent qualities, gentle, humble, and of exemplary piety, and, in skill and warlike accomplishments, had become a master, and for manliness and sagacity was famed.

The august Sultan [I-val-timish] purchased him in the beginning of his reign, and he became Sar Jama-dar [Head Keeper of the Wardrobe |. Subsequently, in the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, he became Sar-i-Jan-dar [Head of the Jan-dars] , and Kuhram and Samanah became his fief. Afterwards he obtained the fief of Baran, and was appointed to proceed at the head of [a body of] forces for the purpose of taking possession of the territory of Uchchah and Multan. During that expedition, one of his sons, who, at the very outset of his youth, had become a proficient in manliness and skill, together with his horse, was drowned in the river Sind.

Some time after his return from thence, during the reign of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Mahmūd Shāh, Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, the Khitā-i, became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bar], and, in the service of the Sublime Court, performed distinguished services 1.

He served for a considerable time during the Sultan's reign, and during the expedition to Santūr he suddenly sustained a fall from his horse and was killed 3. The mercy and pardon of the Almighty be upon him!

Bee the printed text . the editors are sorely puzzled here.

This expedition is not referred to under the reign, but probably has reference, in some way, to the advance of the Dihli forces to the Biah, the Mughals having appeared before Uchchah mentioned at page 667 Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, was probably sent to Uchchah to take charge of it after the death of Malik Taj-ud-Din, Abu-Bikr, son of Malik 'Bz-ud-Din, Kabir Khan : Aylz. mentioned at page 727.

There are two other persons named Sauf-ud-Din, I-bak, an account of one of whom has been given at page 729, and the other is Ulugh Khan's brother,

an account of whom will be found farther on.

1 See page 699. He appears to have become Wakil-1-Dar, when 'Imad-ud-Din-1-Rayhan was disgraced, from what is stated in the account of Ulugh Khan farther on, in which the events of this period are much more detailed than under the different reigns.

2 On Sunday, the 6th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., the 12th year of Sultan

Nāṣir-nd-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign.

XVII. MALIK TÄJ-UD-DĪN, SANJAR-I-TEZ KHĀN.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez Khān, is a Karakhī [of Karakh] , and is exceedingly impetuous, manly, sagacious, and intelligent, and is endowed with many excellent qualities, and numberless worthy habits. He is famed for his valour and military talents, and distinguished for his amiable disposition.

The august Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him; and, in the reign of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dîn, Bahrām Shāh, he became Amīr-i-Ākhur. Subsequently, in the reign of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, he was made Nā-īb Amīr-i-Ḥājib (Deputy Lord Chamberlain) and Jhanjhānah was made his fief; and, when the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in felicity, proceeded towards Nāg-awr, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Tez Khān, who was particularly devoted to his interest and friendship, received charge of the fief of Kasmandi and Mandiānah, of the country of Hindūstān, and there he continued some time. When the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, again joined the Court, Malik Tez Khān again returned to the capital, and Baran was made his fief, and there he remained a considerable time.

In the year 654 H, he became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bar] to the sovercign of Islam, and obtained the fief of Buda'un. Since Malik Kutlugh Khan a remained in Awadh [as feudatory], contrary to the commands of the Sublime Court, and, with the forces of Hindustan advanced

³ Karkh is the name of a village near Baghdad, but the name of this place is pronounced Karakh, with the difference of a vowel point. It is the name of a place [township] in Mawar-un-Nahr.

⁴ In Rajab, 647 H., shortly after the marriage of Ulugh Khan's daughter to the Sultan

⁶ A district in Awadh, a few miles N.W. of Lakhnau, also written Kasmandhi in some copies of the text

Who married Sulfān Nāṣṇr-ud-Dīn's mother, and who appears to have held the fief in conjunction with her husband. These matters are related in quite a different way under the reign of Nāṣṇr-ud-Dīn, page 703. There our author says that Malik Bak-Tamur, the Ruknī [i. e. of Sulfān Rukn-ud-Dīn, Pīrūz Shāh'a, reign] was sent from the capital to expel Kutlugh Khām from Awadh, and that Bak-Tamur was defeated and slain, and that, upon this, the Sulfān had to take the field with Ulugh Khām. See also in the account of Ulugh Khām farther on, where these events are again differently related.

towards Budā'ūn, Malik Tez Khān, at the head of a body of troops, was nominated, along with Malik Bak-tam-i-Aor Khān, to march from the capital for the purpose of repelling the troops of Hindūstān. When the two armies met within the limits of Sihrā-mū', Malik Tez Khān was under the necessity of retiring, and he returned to the capital again. The fief of Awadh was now given to him, and he proceeded into that part, and brought that territory under his control; and gave the independent communities of infidels of Hindūstān a thorough chastisement, and extorted tribute from them.

Malik Tez Khān returned to the sublime presence in conformity with orders, and, at all times, kept the neck of service within the yoke of obedience; and, in the year in which this history was written, namely the year 658 H., he returned to the capital in conformity with the sublime command, and by the counsel of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, at the head of the [contingents forming the] centre [division] of the forces, and those at the capital, marched towards the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt, and performed distinguished services, and returned again to the presence of the Court, the asylum of the universe.

On a second occasion, in attendance at the illustrious stirrup of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, he again proceeded to the Koh-pāyah of Mewāt on an expedition and holy war against the Hindūs, and displayed great gallantry and activity. On his return to the capital, he was distinguished by being presented with ample honours; and he returned again towards [his fief of] Awadh. May the Almighty God preserve and continue the servants of the Nāṣirī dynasty in power and dominion. Amīn!

⁷ A place west of the Ghograh river, in Lat 28° 19', Long 80° 24', the Sera-Mow of the Indian Atlas.

⁶ The available troops at the capital probably The kalb-or centre contingents forming it—has been explained in a previous note. See also the latter part of the year 657 H, under Nāṣir-ud-Din's reign, page 714.

Our author ends his history, under Nāşir-ud-Din's reign, with the force leaving upon this expedition, on the 13th of Safar, 658 H, and the events of the following day. These operations, on this occasion, were against the Mew or Mewra. See page 715, and in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on, where these events are related in a totally different manner.

xviii. malik i<u>kh</u>tiyār-ud-dīn, yōz-bak-i-tu<u>gh</u>ril khān.

Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dîn, Yūz-Bak-i-Ţughril Khān, was a native of Khiſchāk, and the slave of the august Sulfān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Dîn, I-yal-timish; and during the investment of the preserved fortress of Gwāliyūr he was Nā-tb Chāshnī-gìr [Deputy Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen]. When Sulfān Rukn-ud-Dīn, Firūz Shāh, came to the throne, the office of Amīr i-Majlis [Lord of the Council] was entrusted to Malik Yūz-Bak, and he was confirmed therein. Subsequently, the Shāhnagī [Superintendency] of the elephants was assigned to him; and, during this reign, he became especially distinguished by the Sulfān's intimacy and favour.

When the Turkish slaves of the Sultan broke out into rebellion in the plain of Tara'in', and a number of grandees, such as Tāj-ul-Mulk, Muhammad [Mahmūd?], the Secretary, Bahā-ud-Din, Ḥasan [Ḥusain?] -i-Ash'art, Karim-ud-Dini-Zāhid [the Recluse], and Niṣām-ud-Din, the Shafurkāni, were put to death, one of the ringleaders of the faction was Malik Yūz-Bak-i-Ṭughril Khān. 2

When the throne came to Sultan Raziyyat, he was made Amir-i-Åkhur [Lord of the Stable], and on Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh's, ascending the throne, and when, subsequently, a party of the Turkish Maliks and Amirs invested the city of Dihli', Malik Yūz-Bak, along with Malik Karā-Kash, came into the city and attached themselves to Suitan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrām Shāh's party', on Tuesday, the last day of the month of Sha'bān, 639 H., and, upon several occasions, rendered approved service. Mihtar-i-Mubārak Shāh, Farrukhi, who had acquired entire power over the Sultan, and had caused the Turkish Maliks and Amirs to be expelled from the capital, instigated the Sultan in such manner that he seized Malik Yūz-Bak and

¹ The scene of Rise Pithori's victory over the forces of Sulpin Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-Sim, and of his own total overthrow in the following year—the present Taliwari.

See under the reign at page 635.

B See the account of Malik Karl Kark Khin, page 747-

⁴ See under the reign, pages 658 and 659

Malik Kara-Kash, and they were imprisoned on Wednesday, the 9th of the month of Ramasan, 639 H. When the city was taken, on Tuesday, the 8th of the month of

Zi-Ka'dah, Malik Yūz-Bak was liberated .

When Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, ascended the throne, Tabarhindah was entrusted to his charge, and, subsequently. Lohor was made his fief. There he continued some time, when a feud arose between him and Malik Nastr-ud-Din, Muhammad of Bindar, and, subsequently, he began to rebel against the Court, for rashness and imperiousness were implanted in his nature and constitution, until Ulugh Khan-i-Mu'azzam, unexpectedly, brought him to the Court, and he was made much of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam likewise made a representation for the royal consideration so that Malik Yūz-Bak was distinguished by the Sultan's favour, and his disobedient conduct was pardoned. Subsequently, for some time, Kinnauj was his fief, when he again began to act in a contumacious manner, and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain [son of 'Alī, the Ghūri], on whom be peace -was despatched from the capital, at the head of a body of troops, against him. He reduced Malik Yūz-Bak to duty and obedience, and brought him back to the sublime Court again.

After some time had passed, Awadh was entrusted to his charge. When he again returned to the capital, the territory of Lakhaṇawaṭi was made over to him. After he went to that part, and brought that country under his jurisdiction, hostility arose between him and the Rāc of Jāj-nagar. The leader of the forces of Jāj-nagar was a

Malik Kara-Kash was liberated at the same time.

E See page 747.

The same person, no doubt, who is styled Chā-ush, or Purcurvant, in the list of I-yal-timish's Maliks at page 626.

There is nothing of all this referred to either under the reign of Sulfan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, Sulfan Naşır-ud-Din, or in the a count of Llugh Khan.

This also is not mentioned under the two last reigns. STEWART, in his "History of Bengal," page 65, states that "Ikhtyar Adden I ghri Khan, Mulk [mult signifies a country] Yuzbek," succeeded "Sief Addeen Yugan Tunt," who died in 651 H., as governor of Bengal, but, as he makes a mistake of only twenty years respecting the death of Saif-ud-Din, I bak i-tughān-Tai, it may be imagined what dependence can be placed upon the statements in that work.

person, by name, Sāban-tar [Sāwan-tara?] 10, the son-in-law of the Rāe, who, during the time of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Tughril-i-Tughān-Khān, had advanced to the bank of the river 1 of Lakhanawati, and, having shown the greatest audacity, had driven the Musalmān forces as far as the gate [of the city] of Lakhanawati 1. In Malik Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's time, judging from the past, he [the Jāj-nagar leader] manifested great boldness, and fought, and was defeated. Again, another time, Malik Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak fought an engagement with the Rāe of Jāj-nagar, and again came out victorious.

On a third occasion, Malik Yūz-Bak sustained a slight reverse, and a white elephant, than which there was no other more valuable in that part, and which was ruttish, got out of his hands in the field of battle, and fell into the hands of the infidels of Jāj-nagar.

The following year, however, Malik Yūz-Bak asked assistance from the Court of Dihli, and, then, marched an army from Lakhanawati into the territory of Umurdan, and, unexpectedly, reached the Rāe's capital, which city [town] they style Umurdan'. The Rāe of that place retired before Malik Yūz-Bak, and the whole of the Rāe's family, dependents, and followers, and his wealth, and elephants, fell into the hands of the Musalmān forces.

On his return to Lakhanawati, Malik Yüz-Bak began to act contumaciously towards the Court, and assumed three canopies of state, red, black, and white. He then marched an army from Lakhanawati towards Awadh, and entered the city of Awadh; and directed that the Khutbah should

¹⁰ Evidently the Sanskrit-Will-brave, heroic, and Wo or We-nature, bottom.

A branch of the Ganges 15 probably meant here, as it is styled in the original, the Åb-1-Lakhanawatî.

² See page 740.

STEWART, [page 65], who states that Tughril Khān-i-Yūz-Bak, "eneuded the dominions of that prince"—the Råe of Jäj-nagar, and "was completely defeated, and lost all his elephants; among which was a white one, esteemed a great curiosity."

⁴ This evidently refers to the capital of Jāj-nagar, and not a different territory—Sylhet—as STEWART makes it out.

In the oldest copies the word is أورودي as above, but in others أرودي Armurdan or Urmardan, and ارمودي Armurdan or Urmardan. See note 4, page 587, para. 8.

be read for him, and styled himself Sulfan Mughis-ud-Din. After a couple of weeks, one among the Turkish Amirs, belonging to the troops of the sovereign which were [located] in the vicinity of [the territory of] Awadh, unawares, pushed forward into Awadh [giving out] that the Sulfan's troops were at hand Mahk Yūz-Bak, discomfited, embarked on board a vessel and returned to Lakhanawati

again

This rebellious act on the part of Malik Yūz-Bak, the whole of the people of the realm of Hindustan—both clergy and laity, Musalmans and Hindus —condemned, that he should have become a traitor to his sovereign, and displayed such hostility and sedition. Undoubtedly the evil consequences attending such conduct befell him, and he fell from foundation and root. After he returned from Awadh to Lakhanawati, he determined upon marching into Kāmiud, and transported an army across the river Beg-matis. As the Rae of Kamirūd had not the power to resist him, he retured precipitately some whither. Malik Yūz-Bak took the city of Kamirūd and possessed himself of countless wealth and treasure, to such extent, that the amount and weight thereof cannot be contained within the area of record.

The author, at the time he was sojourning at Lakhan-awati, had heard from travellers whose statements are to be relied upon, that from the reign of Gushtasab? Shah of 'Ajam, who had invaded Chin, and had come towards Hindustan by that route [by way of Kamrud], twelve hundred hoards of treasure, all sealed which were [there deposited], and any partion of which wealth and treasures not one of the Raes had availed himself of, the whole fell into the hands of the Musalman troops. The reading of the Khutbah, and Friday religious service were instituted

⁵ The remark would seem to show that the Harles were actually begun to be thought smoothing of our that even influences reproducted out he constact.

of Bakht var, or the same river. The old capital of Kamind was Kometa, par on the west bank of the Dolla river, and the mention of it and the Regimation the self-to-closed which have before stated respecting the rivite taken by W. hominad, son of Bakht yar, referred to at page 361. See also note: and 4 in the same page.

⁷ Garshis is as a ginge 561, in some copies

in Kämrüd, and signs of the people of Isläm appeared there. But of what avail was all this, when the whole, from phrensy, he gave to the winds? for the wise have said that, "the seeking to perform overmuch work hath never turned out fortunate for the seeker." Distich:—

"Wealth is best which will be falling and rising :
Wealth will be quick in springing up."

After Kāmrūd was taken [possession of], so they related, several times the Rāe sent confidential persons [to Malik Yūz-Bak], saying: "Thou hast subdued this territory, and no Malik of the Musalmān people ever before obtained such success. Now do thou return, and replace me upon the throne, and I will send to thee tribute every year so many bags of gold, and so many elephants, and I will continue the Khutbah unchanged, and the Musalmān stamped coin as established."

Malık Yüz-Bak did not become willing to agree to this in any way; and the Rāc gave command that all his train, and the peasantry, should go to Malık Yüz-Bak, and get him to pledge his right hand [for their safety], and buy up all the grain procurable in [the city and country of?] Kāmrūd, at whatever price he might require, so that the Musalmān troops might have no provisions left. They did so accordingly, and bought up from them all the produce that was obtainable at a heavy rate.

Depending on the cultivated state and flourishing condition of the country, Malik Yūz-Bak did not lay up any stores of grain; and, when the time of the spring harvest came round, the Rāe, with the whole of his subjects, rose, and opened the water dykes all around, and brought Malik Yūz-Bak and the troops of Islām to a state of helplessness, in such wise, that they were near perishing through destitution. They now took counsel together, and came to the conclusion that it was necessary to retreat, otherwise they would die of starvation.

Out of this passage STEWART [History of Bengal, page 66] makes the following, which is rather a free translation, certainly—"Mith [Math probably: Mulk means country] Yuzbek ordered a mosque to be built aird, ofter the accustomary prayers and thanksgiving, for the success of the Mohammed in arms, had been read, he was proclaimed Sovereign of the United Kingdoms of Bengal and Kansroop"!

They accordingly set out from Kāmrūd with the intention of proceeding towards Lakhanawati. The route through the plain [country] was flooded with water, and occupied by the Hindus. The Musalmans obtained a guide to bring them out of that country by conducting them towards the skirt of the mountains. After they had proceeded some few stages, they got entangled among passes and defiles, and narrow roads, and both their front and rear was seized by the Hindus. In a narrow place a fight took place in front of the leading rank between two elephants, the force fell into confusion, the Hindus came upon them from every side, and Musalman and Hindu mingled pell mell together. Suddenly an arrow struck Malik Yüz-Bak, who was mounted on an elephant, in the breast, and he fell, and was made prisoner; and all his children, family, and dependents, and the whole of his force, were made captive

When they carried Malik Yuz-Bak before the Rae, he made a request that they would bring his son to him, and, when they brought his son to him, he placed his face to the face of his son, and yielded his soul to God . The Almighty's mercy be upon him!

XIX. MALIK TÁJ-UD-DÍN, ARSALÁN <u>KH</u>ÁN, SANJAR I-<u>CH</u>AST.

Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān, was an impetuous and warlike man, and had attained the acme of capacity and intrepidity. The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] had purchased him from Ikhtiyar-ul-Mulk, Abū-Bikr, the Habash [Abyssinian]. Ikhtiyar-ul-Mulk had brought him from 'Adan'; and some have narrated on this wise, that he was one among the sons of the Khwarazmi Amirs, in the territory of Sham [Syria], and Misr [Egypt]2, and had been carried away captive from those parts and sold to Ikhtiyarul-Mulk, Abū-Bikr.

When the Sultan first purchased him, he became Jamahdar [Keeper of the Wardrobe], and in that office he served

See pages 769 to 776, farther on, where our author makes a totally different statement from this, and also in the account of Ulugh Khan farther on-

¹ Anglicized, Aden.

See page 230

some copies have Khāşah-dār, instead of Jāmah-dār.

the Sulfān some time. When the period of the Shamsi sovereignty terminated, and the reign of Sulfān Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh, also came to its conclusion, he became Chāshni-gir [Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen] in the reign of Sulfān Raziyyat. After some time, he obtained the fiel of Balārām.

During his own lifetime, the august Sultan [I-yaltimish) conferred upon him, in marriage, a daughter of Malik [Sultan] Baha-ud-Din, Tughril, of Bhianah!, which territory and adjacent parts were, in the beginning of the Musalman rule, rendered flourishing and cultivated by him. By this connexion, in the reign of Sultan Nasir-ud-Din. Mahmud Shah-May his sovereignty continue!-Bhlanah was made Arsalan Khan's fief. Some years subsequent to this, the dignity of Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Darbar] was entrusted to him. Subsequently, when the preserved city of Tabarhindah was recovered from the dependents of Sher Khan [Malik Nusrat-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkarl, it was made over to his charge, in the month of Zi-Hijjah, 651 H. After that. when by the sublime order of the Court, the Khān-i-Mu'azzam. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had departed, and gone to Nag-awr . and proposed to return again to the service of the Court, Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar attached himself to his service and accompanied him . When they arrived at the capital, Arsalân Khān-i-Sanjar received honour at the Court, the asylum of the universe, and returned again to Tabarhindah!

⁴ In Awadh. In some copies Balaram or Balram.

Nearly every copy of the text here, the Calcutta Text included, has the words سعيد خهد august martyr, but it is a blunder of course.

It does not appear how I-yal-timigh became possessed of the right to dispose of another man's daughter, and we must suppose that, after Bahā-ud-Din, Tughril's death, his family, in some way, came under Kuth-ud-Din, l-bak's authority, and from him to I-yal-timigh. See the account of Tughril, page 544.

⁷ See page 695.

This occurred some time after Ulugh Khan had been banished from the Court, and directed to proceed to Hansi. See in the account of Ulugh Khan faither on.

^{*} Joined in the outbreak against 'Imād-ud-Dfn-i-Rayḥān, in the middle of the year 652 H.

This must have been early in 653 H.—in Muharram probably—as Ulugh Khān, having recovered power again, returned to Dihli, in company with the

Malik Sher Khān, having come back again from Turkistān, determined upon [re-]possessing himself of Tabarhindah. He brought a large number of cavalry and infantry from the side of Lohor along with him against Tabarhindah, and, at night, appeared before the walls of the fortress. Sher Khān's troops dispersed themselves in the town, and about the fortress; and when, in the morning, the world became illumined with the sun's light, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, with his sons and principal retainers, attacked him As his cavalry had become dispersed, Sher Khān was under the necessity of retiring When Sher Khān, subsequently to this affair, came to the sublime Court, in conformity with the royal command, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar likewise presented himself there 2.

He continued to sojourn at the capital for some time, after which Awadh was entrusted to his charge. On several occasions, Kutlugh Khān , with those Amirs who had confederated themselves with him, began to harass the borders of Awadh and Karah. Arsalān Khān averted this annoyance: he led a body of troops against them, and compelled that faction to disperse. After that, a slight change in his mind, antagonistic to the Court, became manifest, and the sublime standards moved towards Awadh and Karah for the purpose of suppressing his designs. When the sublime standards cast their shadow upon that country, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar retired before the [contingents forming the] centre division of the royal forces, and he despatched confidential persons, and sought safety for himself, under the

Sulfan, on the 9th of Zi-Ḥijjah, 652 H. See also the account of Sher Khan farther on,

² See page 793 Sher Khan's hel of Taharhindah was restored to him, together with others he had previously held. The year is not mentioned, but, from the occurrence of other events, it appears to have been early in 653 if

assigned to them, our author says, on the 6th of Muhariam, 653 n See page 701, and note. The statements there and in the account of Ulugh Khan, farther on, differ greatly from this

In one of the oldest copies of the text, and a more modern one, "Awarth and the Koh-pāyah" The reason for this movement is very differently stated in the account of Unigh Khān. Arsalān Khān is said there to have delayed joining the Sulfān's army concentrated before 15hhl, on the invasion of Smil by the Mughals at the end of 655 ii, and Kutlugh Khān- there styled Kuhji Khān, Mas'ūd-jānī—had done the same, and, consequently, they were in a state of appachenision.

stipulation that, when the Sultān's troops returned [to the capital], he, Arsalān Khān, along with Kutlugh Khān', son of [the late] Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jāni, should present themselves there. Their supplication was graciously complied with; and, when the royal army returned again to the abode of sovereignty and illustrious seat of government, Dihli, after some time, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar again attached himself to the sublime Court, and was distinguished by ample honour and deference.

After he had remained in attendance at the Court for some time, in the year 657 II, the city of Karah was assigned to him as a fief, and, in the beginning of that same year, he led an army from Karah with the intention of pillaging the country of Malwah and Kälmiar. After he had advanced some stages, he turned aside and marched towards the territory of Lakhanawati. At this time, the feudatory of Lakhanawati [Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yuz-Baki] had proceeded towards the country of Bang and left the city of Lakhanawati empty [of troops] Arsalan Khān-i-Saniar had not unfolded this secret to a single person among his Amirs and Maliks, his sons and slaves, that he was entertaining the intention of marching against Lakhanawati, and he had neither permission nor orders from the sublime Court for this undertaking. When he reached the frontier of that country, a number of his sons, Amirs, and slaves, discovered that which he had resolved in his mind, and they refused to follow him. As, however, there was no means of returning, out of necessity, they accompanied him

When Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar arrived before the gate of the city of Lakhaṇawati, the inhabitants thereof took refuge within the walls [and defended themselves]. Annalists have stated on this wise, that, for a space of three days, they fought, and, at the end of that time, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar took the city, and gave orders to sack it. The property, cattle, and Musalmān captives that fell into

^{*} This second Kutlugh Khān cannot be correct, and does not refer to the Sulfān's step-father. The person here referred to, as stated in the previous note, is, in some places, styled Kulij and Kuligh Khān. See also the List at page 673, and page 712, where he is styled Jalāl ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd.

⁶ See following note, para third. This is not mentioned in the account of Nasn-ud-Din, Mahmad Shāh's reign.

the hands of his followers was very great; and, for a period of three days, that plunder, sack, and rapine was kept up. When that tumult had been allayed, and he had taken possession of the city, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, who was the feudatory of Lakhanawati, at the place he then was in, became acquainted with this misfortune. He returned from thence, and between him and Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar an engagement took place.

From the sublime Court an order granting the investiture of the government of Lakhanawati had been [previously] issued to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Bakt', after that he had despatched, to the presence of the sublime Court, two elephants, valuable property, and precious things to a

large amount.

Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar thus gained the upper hand, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn', 'Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, became a captive, and it is so stated that he was martyred'. This much,

7 STEWART appears to have used the I O L. MS, No 1952, of our author's work, for his History of Bengal as well as another mentioned subsequently; and, when I mention that, on the margin of that MS, which is quite correct, he has written, in pencil, that "this person"—from his being also named Balban, I suppose—"is The Visier," it is not surprising that the History of Bengal, in that place, contains so many absurd errors

• One of the oldest and best copies has 'Alä-ud-Dīn, Balban, here, but 'n

other places agrees with the above

Very great discrepancy occurs here, and in other places in this work, with respect to the history of Lakhanawatl, which is the more to be regretted because our author is the sole authority, as a contemporary writer, for the events of this early period. This discrepancy a occasioned chiefly from the loose manner in which he records important events, which may have appeared to him of minor consequence, and from the fact of his mentioning them in different places, with, very often, considerable difference in the details. Another cause of confusion is his omission of dates, and, as his history is brought to conclusion in 658 H., just six years of the reign of Sulfan harifuld-Din, Mahmud Shāh, is a perfect blank in the history of Muhammadan India which no other writer has supplied

Since I wrote note to page 617, some further facts have been gleaned about the previous obscure period in the history of Lakhanawati, viz from the putting to death or butchery of Sultān Ghijāṣ-ud Inn, 'Iwaz, the Khalj, by the eldest son of Sultān I-yal-timish—Nāṣir-ud-Inn, Maḥmūd Shāh [the first of that name]—who invaded his territory from Awadh whits he was absent on an expedition into Bang and Kāmrūd, and had left the ca ital, the city of Lakhanawati, denuded of troops, and the appointment, as feudatory, but of which the date is not given, of Mahk Saif-ud-Inn, I-bak-i-Yughān-Tat [Malik, No V-], who die I there in 631 H.

I must, therefore, go back a little, in order to make the facts stand out a little more clearly; but, first, I must refer to Mr. Blochmann's "Contributions

that the author was aware of, as to the state of affairs in

to the Geography and History of Bengul," as there are some errors and discrepancies therein which require to be noticed and corrected.

At page 37 of Part I., he says the Muhammadan period of the history of Bengal may be "conveniently divided into five parts. I. The 'Initial period,' or regus of the generalizer of Lakhnauti appointed by the Dihli sovereigns, from the conquest of Bengal by Muhammad Bakhtyár Khiljí [i. c. Ikhtiykr-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Din, Mahmüd, the Khalj] A.D. 1203 to 1338 A.D."

In Part III. of his "Contributions," page 134 [See also APPENDIX D, page xxiv.], he enticizes my statement respecting the year of the conquest of Bengal by the Khalj chief, and says it was conquered in 594 H, or A.D. 1798, whilst A.D. 1203, mentioned in the preceding paragraph, is equivalent to 600 H.—a difference of only six years! He also calls these rulers "governors," and says they were "appointed by the Dihli sovereigns," but this is erroneous. The Khalj rulers, from the "conquest" to the acknowledgment of Lyaitimish's suzerainty by Sultan Ghiyāş-ud-Din, 'Iwaş, in 622 H., were entirely independent of the Dihli rulers with the single exception of the mad-man, 'Ali-i-Mardān

Mr Blochmann also commences his 'Initial period' [Part I., page 38] with "Tughril" in 613 H, but 'Izz-ud-Din, Tughril-:-Tughin Khān, was the third feudatory of Lakhanawaṭi after the downfall of the Khalj sovereigns. Mr. Blochmann's List [condensed] is as follows —

" "Saifuddin Aibak Dies at Lak'hnauti in 631 H.

"Tughrii, 631 H, to 5th Zi Qa'dah, 642 H.

"(Qamarud-din Timur [3] [See page 742, note 6] Khán, governor from 5th Zi Qa'dah, 642, to 20th Shawwal, 644.

HIkhtiyáruddin Yuzbak Tughril Khán, proclaims himself king under the title of Sultán Mughişuddin. Perishes in Kámrúp. No dates are given

" Jalaluddin Mas'ud, Malık Jáni Khilji ['] Khan becomes governor, 18th Zi Oa'dah 656"

[Mr Hochmann eschews späfats, and criticizes my use of them as un-Persian. By not using an späfat here, as is meant in the original, he turns Jalal-ud-Din, Mas'ild, into his fielder, Malik Janf, who was killed in 634 H, and at page 206 of the Calcutta Printed Text, what Mr Thomas styles the impossible name of Khilji Khān is not given, but he which is an error in the "officially imperfect." I suppose is meant—for he —Kulich. He is also styled his—Kutingh, in some copies, but Malik "Alā-ud-Din, Jānf, who is styled, at page 626 of this Translation [Calcutta Text, page 187, with here for her.]. Shāh-zādah of Turkistān, was his father.]

"Izzuidin Balban, was governor in 657, in which year he was attacked by Tajuddin Arsalán Khán Sanjar i Khwárazmi, who, however, was captured or killed by 'Izzuddin. Tabg p. 267 [in a foot-note]—Hence Tajuddin Arsalán

Khan should not be put among the governors of Bengal."

[He must be put among the Suljāns then, for he ruled some years. The "official text" here kills the wrong man. It was 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-ı-Yūz-Raki, who was made captive by Arvalān Khān-ı-Sanjar, and "some say was put to death." The certainty of this is proved from the fact that Tatār Khān, who now follows in Mr. Blochmann's list, was Arsālan Khān-ı-Sanjar's son.]

"Muhammad Arsalán Tatar Khán, son of Arsalán Khan Sanjar. He had been for some time governor, when the emperor Balban ascended the throne 16641. Barani, p. 66 After a few years he was succeeded bythat country, and of the events which happened in those parts, is here recorded. May Almighty God have mercy

"Tughril, who proclaimed himself king under the name of Sultán Mughişuddin. His fate has been mentioned above. No dates are given."

See also note at page 589 of this translation.

In Part II. of his "Contributions," Mr. Blochmann varies the latter part of the above list; and, after "Muhammad Arsalán Tátár Khán," we have two additional names, "Sher Khán," "Amín Khán," and then Tughril [11], Amín Khán's Náth, but, as I do not propose, at present, to go into matter relating to the successor of Sultān Nāṣur-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, I will here return to the Khalj dynasty, with the object of giving a brief consecutive account of those rulers and the fendatories who succeeded them, from the time that Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaṣ, was forced to acknowledge the supremacy of I-yal-timesh.

This event happened about the middle of 622 H, and the coins of Sulfan Ghiyāş-ud-Din 'Iwaş [See Thowns "Initial Comage of Hengil," Journal R. A. Soc., vol. vi., 1873, pages 352—357], prove that he was an independent sovereign up to that period, and used the title of Kasimis animal which was peculiar to the Shansabānīs of Fluiz-koh, and never assumed by their manifak successors, but he did not necessarily "smare his property" with the Khalifah For the origin of the title see note 8, page 315, and pages 365 and 389.

Mr. Thomas also gives, in the same paper, come of Sulfin I val timesh as early as 614 II and 616 II —eight and six years before Ghiyāṣ-ud Dān, 'lw iz, had to acknowledge a superior, and these come are attributed by him to the Bengal mints. I am not aware, how this conclusion has been urrice in, 'or leval-timish had certainly not been acknowledged by the ruler of Likhimawati at that time. I think the issue of these come may be well accounce i for, from a passage in our author [see pages 590—591], which may not have received such attention as it ought to have received, nunch, that I-val-timish, "on several occasions, sent forces from Dibli towards Likhianawati, possessed himself of Bhār, and installed his own Amūs therein," but our author, unfortunately, mentions nothing definite until 622 II, when Ghiyas ut-lifin, lw iz, was reduced.

We may therefore conclude that the coins bearing I-yal timish's name and titles, attributed to the Bengal mints, were struck in Bihūr on the occusions mentioned by our author in the passage above referred to, and before he had obtained any decided advantage over the Khali Sultan

However, having compelled Sulfan Ghiyas ud-Din, Twaz, about the middle of 622 H, to acknowledge him as surerain and to coin the money in his name [page 593], I-yal-timish left Malik 'Ala ud-Din, Jani [who is called 'Izz-ud-Din, Jani, at page 594], Shāh-zādah of Turkistan [see I ist, page 620] in charge of Bhār, but I yal-timish had no sooner with-Irawi than Sulfan Ghiyāş-ud-Din, 'Iwaz, marched into Bhār, compelled Malik Jani to fly into Awadh, and took possession of that territory again. I show imagina the coins bearing the high-sounding titles given by Thomas, at page 357 of the same paper, must have been issued at that time—622 H or 623 H - but he reads the date 620. This, however, is not very material to the present subject.

With this fact before him, it seems a explicable to me why Mr Thomas calls him "this self-made king," and that "Altamsh" [I yal timish] "con-

on that great Khan, and long preserve the Sultan of Sul-

ceded the tardy justice of decreeing, that, in virtue of his good works, Ghiyás-ud-din 'Awz ['Iwaz?] should, in his grave, he endowed with that coveted title of Sultin, which had been denied to him while living." Who denied it to him? Minhāj-ud-Din, even at page 163 of the Calcutta "Official Text," does not say sit. What he did say will be found literally rendered at page 587 of this Translation. Sultān Ghiyāg-ud-Din, 'Iwaz, was equally as much entitled to the "coveted title" of Sultān as his adversary, I-yal-timish, was. He had been chosen precisely in the same way, by the chief men of the country, he owed no fealty whatever to Dihli or its sovereigns, was a Turk like his rival, what is more, was a free-born man, and not a manumitted slave—the slave of a slave—which I-yal-timish was, and was included among the great Malika of Sultān Mu'izz ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, master of I-yal-timish's master.

These erroneous ideas respecting the two sulfans I have felt myself bound to correct, according to historical facts, and our author's statements

I would also remark, en passant, that Naşir-ud-Din, Kahā-jah, was not overcome by I-yal-timizh until ten years after 614 H. See page 348 of "Initial Counge of Bengal," and page 542 of this Translation, and Printed Text, page 144

At the time Mahk Janf fled before the Khalj Sultan into Awadh, Malik Nasur-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, the eldest son and herr-apparent of I-valtimish, was there located, having been entrusted with the fief of Awadh in 622 H. About two years and a half after he had been compelled to acknowledge the suzerainty of the Dihli Sulfan, as shown by his coins, Sulfan Ghivas-ud-Din, 'Iwas, having set out on an expedition against the infidels of Bang and kämrud [See page 594], Malik Näsir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, incited by Malik 'Ala-ud-Din, Jani [I-yal-timish was occupied elsewhere in 624 H., but he sent reinforcements to his son, see page 611], whom the Khali bultan had expelled from Bihar, seized the opportunity-no intimation. of course, having been given beforehand-and invaded Lakhanawati, which had been left nearly empty of troops, seized the fortress of Basan-kot, and took possession of the city of Lakhanawati Sulfan Ghiyag-nd-Din, Iwas, on becoming aware of this perfidious act, flew to the rescae-with a portion only of his forces, from what our author states at page 595-encountered the son of I-val-timish, but was defeated, and taken captive, along with "all the Khali Amīrs," and the whole of them were butchered.

Malik Nāṣtr-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, died, or was killed, for there is some mystery about it, seemingly, some time in the month of Rabi'-uṣ-Sānf pro-hably, since the news reached Dibli in the following month, or it may have happened in that same month—How or where he died our author, "the sole authority for this period," does not say, but he repeatedly styles him "the martyred Malik" [See note 1, page 630]—Finghtah's assertion that he died at Lakhanawaji is like a good many of his assertions, without any proof whatever, and his own invention probably

Immediately after the death of Ghiyāş-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaş, it appears, another Khalj chief succeeded in acquiring power, but how, is not clear, and, I fear, can never be thoroughly made so. He is styled, by our author, at page 617, Balkā Malk, i-Husām-ud Dīn, 'Iwaş—that is to say, the son of Husām, &c., the iafast standing for son of [See APPENDIX D.], which is sufficiently proved, I imagine, from the fact that he tous not at all thicky to have been called by the precise title of his predecessor—Husām-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaş—as well; at page 626,

tāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, in sovereignty and prosperity!

in the List of I-yal-timish's Maliks, Daulat Shāh-i-Balkā, son [the iṣāfat anderstood] of Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, 'Iwaş, Malik of Lakhanawatī, thus showing beyond a doubt that he had been acknowledged by I-yal-timish as dependent ruler, otherwise why put him in the List of Maliks? [See Thomas, "Initial Comage," page 366]; in two copies of the text, Ī-rān Shāh-i-Balkā; in one, his title is Abū-l-Ma'ālī, and by others he is styled Nāṣri-ud-Dīn-i-'Iwaş [See pages 617—618]; in the Calcutta "Official Text," at page 177, Malik Gazlak Khān Daulat Shāh, Khaljī, with two names jumbled into one, and, the next page, Ī-rān Shāh, Balkā, Khaljī. Balkā is not peculiar to the Ghaznawī rulers: it is a purely Turkish name

There is another Balkā—Saif-ud Dīn, Ī bak is Balkā Khān—in the List of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's Maliks at page 673, and, from what is said in the account of Malik Kaṣhlī Khān [No X N IV], there were many Khalj Amīrs in the time of Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh

From the coin given by Thomas ["Initial Coinage of Bengal," page 367], his titles and name—plate, fig. 9—are Abū-l-Ma'ālī [Thomas, himself, as men tioned at page 367, was in doubt about \$\(\pi_1\)\] being correct, and thought it ended in \$\(\pi_1\)\]—1-Daulat Shāh, \$\(\theta_i\)\ m Mau-dūd [and there is nothing in the titular name of Sulfān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, who, before he came to the throne was entitled Husām-ud-Dīn-1-'Iwaş, to show that his name was not Muu-dūd] and, although he acknowledges the suzeranty of I-yal-timish, and styles him Sulfān-ul-A'gam, he calls himself Shāhan-Shāh, and also inserts on his coin the name of the Khalifah, and, doubtless, had received a patent conveying the titles from Baghdād. The date on this coin, the only one that has ome to light, I beheve, is aligned a same of the Khalifah. The date on this coin, the only one that has ome to light, I beheve, is aligned a same accurring again. It is not to be wondered at that "the coin does not give him the name Husafauddin." glusām ud-lin was his title before he assumed that of Ghiyāṣ-ud Dīn, which he did—not at all ar unusual thing—with the title of Sulfān

In 628 H., I-yal-timish had to move against this Khalj Sultan, who, doubt less, was getting too powerful to please the Dihli sovereign, and he was overthrown, and "secured," as our author remarks, much in the same way, probably, as Tāj-ud-Dīn, I-yal-dūr, was—in the grave—With him the Khalj dynasty finally terminated

I-yal-timish now conferred the "throne of Lakhanaw ati" upon Malik 'Ala-ud-Din, Jānī—the Shāh-zādah of Turkistan—but he was shortly after deposed, and then governors, or more correctly feudatories, were appointed from Dalif, and the first of them was Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-1-k ughān-Iat, as he is styled at page 729, which see

It will be noticed from the above that Mr Blochmann has fallen into considerable error [See "Remarks on Mr Thomas's readings," in the "Proceedings of the Anatic Society of Bengal," No x, December, 1872], in assuming that "Daulat shah seems to be the Malik 'Alauddin Jan mentioned in the Tabaqat 1 Naçiri (Bibl Ind Edition), pp 174, 178) " Our author very distinctly shows that they were two totally different persons.

In the same way, I cannot agree with him that "The royal titles assumed by the early Bengal Governors were customary in those days," but, on the contrary, such titles were never assumed unless the feudatory rebelled as in the ease of Malik [No XVIII] Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Lak-i-Tughril Khān, who assumed the title of Sulfān [see page 764] Malik Tughril-i-Tughān Khan

xx. malik '12z-ud-dīn, balban-i-ka<u>sh</u>lū <u>kh</u>ān-ussultanī <u>sh</u>amsī.

Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, is a native of Khischāk, and

had been specially honoured by his sovereign [See note *, page 641], hence he records it in the Bihār macription, in which the words "Tughril-us-Sulfāni," with pd-r-nushat, merely show, as in Mu'izzi, Kuthi, Shamsi, &c, that he was a slave of the reigning dynasty, as shown at page 736.

Under the events of the 13th year of Sultan Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, it is stated that, on the 18th of Zi-Ka'dah—the last month—656 H., the kingdom of Lakhanawati was conferred upon Malik Jalal-UD-Din, Mas'OD Shāh, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Din, Jāni—the same, who, in the List of Maliks at the end of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-tunigh's reign, is called "Prince of Turkistān," and who was made ruler of Lakhanawati at the close of the Khāh dynasty, as already related, and subsequently held Lāhor, rebelled, and was slain in 634. See page 640. Afterwards, in the account of the 14th year of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, it is mentioned that, on the 4th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 657 H., two elephants, treasure, &c., reached the capital from Lakhanawati, but who the sender was is not mentioned.

In his account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, our author states that Arsalān Khān-1-Sanjar—the subject of the above notice—and KUTLUGH [KULICH] KHĀM, MAS'UD-1 JĀNĪ, 1 e son of ['Alā-ud Dīn], JānĪ, on the advance of Ulugh Khām against them with the Suljān's forces, and as referred to in note 4, page 768, having agreed to present themselves at Court, did so on the 27th of Shawwīll—the tenth month—656 H. Two months after this, which would be the twolfth month of that year, the state of Lakhanawati was conferred upon KUTLUGH [Kulich] KHĀN—as he is styled—and the districts of the Koh-pāyah upon Arsalān Khān-1-Sanjar.

A few lines under, it is stated, that, on the 4th of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 657 H, only the sixth month after Lakhanawaṭi is said to have been conferred on Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, two elephants, treasure, and other valuables, reached Dihii from Lakhanawaṭi—some time must be allowed for Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, su called, to have reached that part from Dihli, and some time also for the elephants, &c., to have arrived from thence—and that the sender of these things was not Kutlugh [Kulich] Khān, but Malik 'Izz-un-Din, Balbani-Yoz-Baki; not Yūz-Bak [\u03c4 \u03c4 rom these statements of our author, it would appear, that 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yüz-Baki, would not allow Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'üd-i-Jāni—otherwise Katlugh [Kulich] Khān—of he ever ment there, to assume authority, or that he had died suddenly, and 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, had assumed the government. Had the word been Yüz-Bak, and not Yüz-Baki, we might safely assume that he was Tughril Khān i-Yūz-Bak's, otherwise Sultān Mughig-ud-Din's, son, and the same that had been made pursoner with his father in the disastrous retreat from Kāmrūd [just related at page 766], and named successor by him. This assump-

a man impetuous and gallant, of good disposition, and the votary of 'Ulama, upright and good men, and recluses.

tion, too, would have explained what appears strange above, namely, that the first mention of 'Izz-ud-1) in, Yüz Baki, is that he was absent on an expelition, in Bang, when Arsalin Khān-1-Sanjar took advantage of it to invade his fiel, slew him, and took possession of the territory.

This also shows what a state the Dihli kingdom must have been in for one feudatory to make war upon another, put him to death, and seize his fief and hold it with perfect impunity.

Our author himself is uncertain of the upshot of the circumstances, and it must also be remembered that these events must have happened about the time our author closes his history so abruptly, and leaves all the rest of the events of Näşir-ud Dîn's reign a perfect blank, and, from the time he closed his history, no other writer, that we know of—or, at least, that is available—continued the history of the Dihli sovereigns, until nunety-five years after, when Ziyā-ud Dîn, Barmi, fimished his work, which, however, only took up the events from the accession of Sultān Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn, Balban, and still left the events of six years—from 658 H to 664 H—blank as before.

Very little is to be gathered from the Tārīkh-i-Fīrūz-Shāhī of 71yā ud 1/in respecting the events which happened in Lakhanawatī—for the work is written in a gossiping style, and dates are rarely given. This much, however, is stated therein, that, in 662 H, on the accession of Sulfān Balban (the date is corrected to 664 H in a foot-note—I quote from the printed text), sixty-two-elephants were despatched from Lakhanawatī to 1/hhlī by Tuār Khūn, son of Arsalān Khān. From this it must be assumed that he held the fiel, but when or how he was appointed, or when and how he was removed, and warmed Tughril, who subsequently rebelled, succeeded him or what, cannot be decorrected therein. Mr. Blochmann ["Contributions," page 114, Pat II] says a person named Sher Khān succeeded Tatār Khān, and that another named Arafn Khān succeeded him, but the authority is not stated. The title of Khan, given to both these persons, savours much of I rightahi's statements

No dependence whatever is to be placed on either the Tababat-1 Abbat. Buda'ani, or Finishtab, for the events of Sulfan Balban's reign. They all copy one from another, and the first-mentioned work closes the reign of Nasir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, when our author does, takes the reign of Eulem from Ziya ud-Din, Barani, and says neither more nor less, except in an abbieviated form, than is contained in Ziya ud-Din's work.

Stewart is totally wrong in his statements [HISFORY OF BINGAL, pages 66 and 67] respecting this period. He says "Mult - Mulk means a country Kingdom, &c. - Yuzhek"—he means Matta Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Lughrit Khan i Vūz-Bak, otherwise, Sulfān Mughīṣ-ud-Din.—" died, on the occasion of his being taken captive" [as related at page 766], "in 656 Hi," but who says so and in what work is such a statement to be found? Or author does not says of and in what work is such a statement to be found? Or author does not says of and Stewart takes his account from him, for I have now before meth. 115, copies of our author's work which Stewart used, with his writing in pencil, every here and there, on the margins of the pages, where he alters the name and makes those written correctly totally wrong—as "Asa Addica," "Mult Tischek," &c. At this very place, in one M5 which has "Ize ud-Din he there it with a pencil to Jalal Addica Khany, and, in his History, this cause name is thus given. This enabled me to discover that I had one identical copies he used, before me.

The august Sultan [I-yal-timish] purchased him of

As I have already shown, our author does not give the date of Tughril Khāni-Yūz-Bak's death [see page 766], and there is not a word more used than I have rendered above; yet Stewart goes on to say that Jalal Addeen Khany [A'Sany, that is Khāni, I suppose, signifying Khān-ship, the opine or dignify along Khāni was sent to take possession of the province of Lucknowty, "as soon as the death of Mulk Yuzbak was known at Court." There is not the least authority for all this, more than our author's words above, and yet his statement respecting the elephants and treasure, and the name of 'Izz-ud-Din-Balban-i-Yūz-Baki, is ignored altogether or changed at the caprice of Stewart into "Jalal Addeen Khany";

Stewart then perpetrates a terrible blunder, in consequence of altering the names given by our author, in stating, that it was this Jalal Addeen Khany who was killed in battle with Irislian Khan [Arsalan Khān-i-banjar], the Imperial Governor of Kurrah [Karah b], who had "been into usted with the command of an army to subdime the Raja of Callinger [Kāinjar b], in Bundelcund," &c [see our author's statement, page 769], and then crowns the blunder with another still greater in making Tāj-ud Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i banjar, whom he here styles "Izu 41 Minl. Try addicem Irislian Khān Sunjar," the sender of the elephants, for he says [page 68], that, in consequence of his sending "elephants, horest, and other property of the mindered chief, as a bribe to the flagitious minister [ril this is his own amplification of our author's simple statements], the Vizier [Wazīr b] of the contemptible Court of Dehly, his conduct was overlooked."

He then goes on to say, under his account of "Iso Addeen," that, "The Governor, Jelal Addeen, returning soon afterwards, an engagement took place, in the month of Jamael [there are two months named Jamael]—the first and month [maili], 657, hitneen the two chiefs. The latter (sic) was slain in the contest the is the mardered chief just before], and the plunder of his property having been remitted to Debly procured the continuation of the usurper. He continued to rule Bengal for two years, and died at Lucknowty in the year 659."

Whether Stewart obtained these dates from the very modern Riag-us-Salatin, which eschews its authorities, referred to by Mr. Blochmann in his "Contributions," page 1, I cannot say, or whether they were taken from some such work as I have shown Emishtish's to be, but these dates are certainly to be doubted, unless sone authority for them is forthcoming

The Tabakat-1 Akbari says—evidently copying our author, after a fashion—in 656 H., "Malik Jalal-ud Din i Jani "-i. e Jalal ud-Din, son of 'Ala-ud Din, Jani -- "was presented with an honorary robe, and sent to Lakhanawati," and that, "in 657 H. Ac sent two elephants, jewels, &c." Immediately after, it is stated that "Malik 'Izz ad Din, Kashiu Khan, who is mentioned above, died in Raiab" of that yea Now this is a pretty hish, but if the reader will look at the passages under Näsir ud-Din, Mahmüd Shah's reign, and in the account of Ulugh Khan, previously referred to, and compare them with the work in question, he will find that the author of the Tabakat-i-Akisari has turned 'Izzud Din, Balban-ı-Yüz-Baki, ınto 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-ı-Kaşhlü Khān, the seditious feudatory of Sind, who marched upon Dihli, in concert with Kutlugh Khān, Nāşir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shāh's step-tather, in 655 H., who is the person "mentioned above" immediately before in that work, and who was never in Bengal in his life. He, too, did not die in Rajab, 657 H , for he was living when our author closed his history, in 658 H, as may be found at page 786

The Tabakat-1-Akbari, and I mightah, both make the same great blunder

a merchant, when before the fortress of Manda-

throughout Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign of confusing 'Iɛz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Aaihiā Khān, with Ulingh Khān's brother, Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak-i-Kishā Khān, who died on the 20th of Rajah, 657 H. Ia fact, because the name Balban occurs, Kashā Khān is often mistaken, in that work, for Ulingh Khān himself Finshtah of course, by copying from the Tabaḥāt-i-Akbari, copies all its blunders, without exception.

From what our author states in different places in this work, it may be supposed, merely, that, when Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn [Kulich Khān], Mas'ūd Shāh, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī, was appointed to the government of Lakhanawati, in the last month of the year 656 H, there must either have been a vacancy, or the Court had determined to oust Ikhtiyār ud-Din, Tughril Khān i Vuz-Bak, who had invaded it, and issurped the fief, and, if the former,

it must, in all probability, have been caused by his death

If this latter supposition be correct, the 'Lr-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yüz-Baki, mentioned by our author, who is evidently the same person who is referred to at page 827—Kutlugh Khān's [the Sultān's father in law] son-in-law—who became Deputy Amīr-i Hājib, when 'Iniād ud-Din-i Rayhān conspired against Ulugh Khān, must have been confirmed in it by the Court of Dibli

I thank he must have been a dependent of Tughril's, not his son, for this reason, that, when referring to a son, our author merely adds the father's name to the son's, using the igafat for bin [see Appendix C], namely - Muhammdis-Sām, Mas'ūd-i-Jānī, Abū-Bikr-i-Ayāz, and the like, but, when he refers to a retainer, freedman, or slave, he always adds the yā-i mishat, signifying relation or connexion, to the person's name, as, Sulfānī, Kuṭbī, Shāmsi, Ayazī, huz-Bakī, as previously stated

The Zubdat-ut-Tawārīkh, which quotes our author largely, as for it goes, throws a little more light than others on this subject (Alfi, possibly, which I have not the means of examining just at this time, might throw more), although very meagre—It states that Malik, 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānī, ruled over Lakhanawatī three years, and was removed

Saif-ud-Dīn, Ī-bak-i-Yughān-Tat, succeeded, and died in 633 H. Our author says he died in 631 H

'Izz-ud-Dîn, Tughril-1-Tughân Khân, succeeded nim and held the government thirteen years and some months [to the end of the year 642 II See page 740]

Ki-rān-i-Tamur Khān succeeded and held it ten years [two years less two months. He died in 644 ft. See page 741], and, after him, in Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, Ikhiiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz Bak i-Tughril Khān, who assumed the title of Sultān Mughiş-ud-Dīn, became feudatory.

The Tārīkh-i-Firūz Shāhā says "this assumption of sovereignty took place in Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban' reign, but God know ebet" He ruled over Lakhanawatī twenty-six years [from the death of Ki-iān-i Tamur Khārl, twenty-six years would bring us to Shawwāl, 670 II, however], and, after him, Sultān Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban, conferred Lakhanawatī upon his youngest son, Bughrā Khān

The Gaur M5, previously referred to [in note?, page 558], also states that Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān reigned twenty-six years, from 653 H to 682 H, and both authors make the same mistake with respect to Ki rān-i-Tamur Khān's holding the government ten years instead of two, but, in this matter, our author himself gives the date of his being ousted from Lakhaṇawaṭi as the last month of 642 II

war. At the outset [of his career] he became Cup-bearer, and, after he had served the Sultan some time, he was made Shrab-Dar [Purveyor of Drinkables], when before the fortress of Gwaliyūr. Subsequently, Barhamūn? [or Barhanmūn] was assigned to him in fief; and, after some time, the fief of Baran was entrusted to him.

When the Shamsi reign came to its termination, in the outbreak of the Turkish Amirs in the camp of Sultan Rukn-ud-Din, Firūz Shāh, at Tarā'in', he was the ringleader. On the Rukni reign passing away, and the disaffection of Malik Jāni and Malik Kūji' towards Sultān Raziyyat continuing, during the conflicts [which then took place,] before the gate of the city of Dihli, between the Turkish Amirs who were the slaves of the [late] Sultān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish, and who were present in the service of Sultān Raziyyat's Court, Malik Balban fell captive into the hands of the rebels. He again obtained his release, and was treated with distinguished honour and

It seems utterly impossible, in the face of Ziyā-ud-Dīn, Baranī's statement about Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar's son—Tātār Khān-that Tughril Khān i-Yūz-Bak, otherwise Sulṭān Mughīg-ud-Dīn, who is said to have been mortally wounded in the retreat from Kāmrūd, and died there, and the Tughril, who also assumed the very same title of Mughīg-ud-Dīn, and was killed on the frontier of the Jāj-nagar territory, can be one and the same person, but such the works above quoted consider to be the case, and, from the remark of Mr. Blochmann, in his "Contributions" [Part I fifth line, page 39], he seems to entertain the same opinion.

Farther research may throw more light on this matter of Tughrii Khān-i-Yūz-Bak's, and Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar's successor to the fief of Lakhaṇawail; but, at present, the matter is clouded in obscurity

Thomas, in his "PATHAN KINGS OF DEHLI," gives, at page 8, a list of the rulers and kings of Bengal, in which he styles Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Bak-i-Tughril Khān, Yuzbeg, and Izz-ud-Dīn, Balhan-i-Yūz-Bakī, ('abega and, in a note, says "These contrasts in the orthography follow the Pers: text of Minhāj-uz-Sitaj, who seems to have designed to mark a hisference in the pro-nunciation"! This is erroneous, the words are—wity and well-y-missal, is merely added to the last, and nothing indicates any gin the names.

1 This was in 624 H. See page 611

Now A'şım-ābād-ı-Talāwarf, the scene of Rāc Pithorā's victory and sub-sequent defeat. See page 635.

⁴ Not they only: there were several others. See page 639.

favour; and, when the reign of Sultan Raziyyat lapsed, and the throne of sovereignty devolved on Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, he was honoured as heretofore, until the time when the Khwaiah. Muhazzab-ud-Din, the Wazîr, caused animosity to show itself between that Sultan and his Turkish Amirs, as is recorded [under his reign]. Previous to this, the whole of the Amirs and Maliks had entered into a compact together to expel Sultan Mu'izzud-Din, Bahram Shah, from the throne. In the year 640 H., the whole of them, in concert together, advanced to the gate of the city of Dihli*, and, for a period of five months or more, this hostility and strife went on: and, when the city was taken by the Maliks [and Amirs], the ringleader in this outbreak was Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān.

Early in the day on which the troops of the Amirs [and Maliks entered the city, Malik Balban proceeded to the Royal Palace, and once, by his command, a proclamation was circulated throughout the city fannouncing his assumption of the sovereignty]. Immediately, Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Dīn, Aet-kin, of Kuhrām, Malık Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar-i-Kikluk, and Malik Nasir-ud-Din, Ai-yitim, and several other Amirs, assembled at the mausoleum of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish-May he rest in peace !- and repudiated that proclamation, and, in concert together, brought forth the sons of the late Sultan [I-yal-timish], and the princes who were in confinement. When Malik Balban became aware of this, he took part with them, and they raised 'Alaud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, to the throne. He assigned the territory of Nag-awr, together with an elephant?, to Malik Balban, and he proceeded thither.

After some time had passed, when an army of the infidels of Chin [Mughals] appeared before the fortress of Uchchah, and Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, marched from the capital with the troops of Islām towards the river Biāh to repel them, Malik Balban came from Nāg-awr with a body of troops [and joined the Sultān's army], and that

<sup>See under the reign, pages 658-662
See pages 660 and 661 and note 1.</sup>

⁷ This was accounted a great honour in these days, as may be seen from what is stated at page 650, note 5.

momentous affair terminated successfully. When the army of infidels retired from before Uchchah precipitately, Malik Balban returned to Näg-awr again, and Multān was placed under his charge.

When the Sultan of Islam, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, ascended the throne of sovereignty—May it ever continue!—after Malik Balban had come [to Court?] he, on several occasions, made a request for Uchchah together with Multan. This was acquiesced in, under the understanding that the Siwalikh [territory] and Nag-awr should be relinquished, by him, to other Maliks who are servants of the government, and that the Court should have the nomination [of them].

After he brought Uchchah under his jurisdiction, he still continued to retain possession of Nag-awr, and did not relinquish it. The Sultan-i-Mu'azzam—The Almighty perpetuate his reign!—with the Maliks of Islam—Be victory always theirs!—particularly the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—Be his Khilafat¹ perpetuated!—determined to proceed from the capital in the direction of Nāg-awr. On the Sultan's reaching that part, after making much difficulty of the matter, and protracting as long as possible, in the semblance of submission, Malik Balban presented himself [in the Sultan's presence], relinquished Nāg-awr, and proceeded towards Uchchah.

When the territory of Uchchah and Multan was made over to Malik Balban's charge from the sublime Court. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh', from the direction of [the

⁹ See note ⁶, page 667, para. 4, and proceedings of Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, in the last Section.

Our author has just above said that Multān was made over to him before this. He means that the understanding was, that, if he got Ochchah as well as Multān, Nāg-awr was to be relinquished. After he was compelled to give it up, Ulugh Khān's brother, Saif-ud-Dfn, I-bak-i-Kaghli Khān, got that fief. See page 798.

¹ Khilifat signifies deputy-ship, or lieutenancy, as well as imperial dignity and monarchy, but, under any circumstances, the Sulfin was alive when this was written.

² Some details are necessary respecting the Karlugh Turks, which I must reserve for the concluding Section, in which Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, again appears, and his previous history is referred to. I will merely observe here, that he had appeared before Multān long before this—soon after the death of Sulfān I-yal-timiah, who, it will be remembered [page_623], was marching

territory of Banian brought an army before the gate of Multan in order to possess himself of that city [and fortress], and Malik Balban advanced from Uchchah to repel him. When the two armies came opposite to each other, a band of warriors and heroic men in Malik Balban's service, to the number of about fifty picked horsemen, having formed a ring, attacked Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, charged into the centre [of his army], and Malik Hasan was slain, the greater part of those heroic men, who displayed such impetuosity, having fallen in the attack. Malik Balban entered the fortress of Multan; and the Karlugh troops kept the death of their Malik concealed , and pitched their camp before the gate of the city of Multan. Emissaries passed to and fro between the two armies and discussed terms of peace, and the surrender of Multan to the Karlughs. The peace was concluded, and Malık Balban delivered up Multan to the Karlughs, and returned towards Uchchah; and the Karlughs took possession of Multan.

When Malik Balban became aware that Malik Ḥasan, the Ḥarlugh, had been killed, he repented of having given up Multān, but it was useless. After some time, Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, wrested Multān out of the hands of the Ḥarlughs, and took possession of it, and located there Malik Kurez On Saturday, the 2nd of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 648 H, Malik Balban, having advanced from Uchchah for the purpose of regaining possession of Multān, appeared before the walls of that fortress. The writer of these words, two days subse-

towards the territory of Banian, when taken ill, and obliged to return to Dihli, where he soon after died

The whole band must have fallen or have been taken, otherwise the news of Hasan's having been slain would certainly have reached Malik Balbani-Kashlu Khān. Or, perhaps, the band, or, rather, the remainder of it, did not know whether they had killed him or not, or whether he had only been left for dead. Hasan's son, who is referred to under Sulfan Raşiyyat's reign [note 7, page 644], and in the account of Ulugh Khān, farther on, and in the last Section—Malik Nāṣu-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad—would, probably, have been the person to whom Multan was surrendered; and it is strange our author does not mention who succeeded Malik Hasan in the command of the Karlughs.

⁴ He is the person referred to under the fifth year of Sulfan Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, page 688.

4 Under the events of the year 648 H., at page 688, our author states that he

quently [to that], arrived before Multan from the illustrious capital, Dihli, for the purpose of despatching [a number] of male slaves to Khurāsān . After that he [the author] continued at that place for a period of two months; and the fortress did not come into Malik Balban's possession, and he returned again towards Uchchah.

Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar advanced from Tabarhindah and Lohor, and appeared before the fortress of Uchchah and invested it, and remained before it for some time. Malik Balban, who was away from it at this period, placing confidence in this, that they were both of one house and of one threshold, unexpectedly, presented himself in the camp of Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar, and seated himself down in the latter's pavilion, upon which Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar manifested some show of regard towards him, arose, and came out by way of the back of the pavilion, giving directions to guard Malik Balban, and not permit him to depart from the place until such time as the garrison of Cchchah should surrender that fortress. As Malik Balban was helpless and in straits, he gave directions to the garrison of the fortress to surrender it to Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who, after he had taken possession of the fortress of Uchchah, set Malik Balban at liberty, who came to the capital *.

Having presented himself at Court, the [fief of the]

reached Multan on Wednesday, the 6th of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, of that year, and that Malik Balban-i Kashio Khan reached it from Cchchah, the same day In his account of Ulugh Khan, farther on, the same date is given

* See note ', page 686

- 7 Under the events of Sulfan Naşır ud Din, Mahmüd Shāh's reign, 648 H, our author says he had an interview with Sher Khān, on the banks of the Blāh, on the 11th day of the second month of that year, when proceeding to Multan [see page 687], and that Malik Balban-i-Kaghlü Khān reached Multān, to endeavour to take it, on the same day that he himself reached it—the 6th of the third month,
- The "official" Calcutta Printed Text and the MSS from which it is chiefly taken have a lal-nest-for a lal-threshold.
- See page 689 There he says, under the events of 649 H, that Malik Balban-i Kaahlu Khān began to act contumaciously at Nāg-awr in that year, and the Sulfān had to move against him, and that, after that, in the fourth month of that same year, he presented himself at Dihli Between that date, and the date on which he made his submission, at Nāg awr, he had fallen into Sher Khān's hands.
- I Under Sultan Naşir-nd-Din, Mahmud Shah's reign, it is stated, that, on the 22nd of Shawwii, 650 it [in the account of Ulugh Khān, it is the 12th].

province of Budā'ūn with its dependencies was assigned to him; and, when the sublime standards advanced towards the upper parts [of the kingdom—the Biāh and Lohor], and the preserved city of Tabarhindah was recovered, forces were nominated to march towards Uchchah and Multān. Between Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar and the Maliks of the Court, contention went on; and Sher Khān proceeded into Turkistān, and Uchchah and Multān were entrusted, a second time, to Malik Balban's charge.

No sooner had Malik Balban taken possession of that country than he became disloyal to the Court, and, making Malik Shams-ud-Din, Kurt, the Ghūri, his medium, preferred a request [through him] to Hulā'ū [Hulākū] the Mughal, who was a Shāh-zādah [Prince] of Turkistān, for a Shahnah [Intendant]. Malik Balban sent [to Hulākū's Court] a grand-son in pledge, and brought a Shahnah thither [into Sind and Multān]. Subsequently, when the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had returned to

the Sulpin moved towards Lähor, intending to march to Uchchah and Multin, and that Mulik Kutiugh Khān from Bhlānah, and Mulik Balban-1-Kaahlü Khān from Budā'ūn, with their contingents, accompanied him. On reaching the Biāh, however, 'Imād-ud-Din-1-Rayhān's plot developed itself, and Ulugh Khān was banished to his fief.

Under the year 651 H, it is again stated that the Sulfan marched from Dibli to "secure" Uchchah and Multan, and that, on reaching the Biah [it flowed in its old bed then], a force was detached to Tabarhindah to secure it, as Malik Sher Ehân-i-Sunkar had withdrawn to Turkistân, and that they were taken possession of on the 26th of Zi-Hijah, the last month of 651 H, and made over to Malik Arsalân Ehân, Sanjar-i-Chast; but, in the account of the latter Malik [page 767], it is said he got Tabarhindah, and Uchchah and Multan are not mentioned.

2 See pages 695 and 792, where are two other and different statements, with respect to the cause of Sher Khan-i-Sunkar's withdrawal.

His restoration to the fief of Uchchah and Multan is never referred to in any other place in the present work save the above, but that he was restored to it there is, of course, no doubt from the context. It appears probable that, when Ul. gh. Khān succeeded in ejecting 'Imād-ud-Din-Raybān from power at the end of 652 H, and on his being sent to rule at Budā'ūn, Malik Balban-i-Kashtu Khān got Uchchah and Multan agam, some time in 653 H. See also note 3, page 692

4 He will be noticed in the last Section with reference to the Mughal

invasion of the Panjab

Hula'ü or Hulakü was, certainly, a Prince of Turkistän, but, at this time, ruled over Îrân on the part of his brother, Mangü Ķā'an. More respecting him will be found in the next Section.

4 He thus threw off has alleguance to Duhlf.

the Court, and Malik Kutlugh Khān had separated from it and had joined Malik Balban, and the Sultān and his forces had returned to the capital, Malik Balban, in the year 655 H., suddenly resolved to advance to the frontiers of the kingdom of Dihli with the troops of Uchchah and Multān. When this determination and purpose [of the confederates] was represented before the sublime throne, the royal command was given to repel that faction, and Malik Ulugh Khān-i-A'ṣam, with the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, marched against the troops of Malik Balban.

On the 15th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 655 H, when, within the limits of [the districts of] Kuhrām and Sāmānah, they drew near [Malik Balban's army], a faction of seditious [persons] of the capital city, Dihli, consisting of turban wearers [priest-hood] and cap-wearers [Sayyids], wrote and despatched letters secretly to Malik Balban, soliciting him to come thither, saying: "In order that we may deliver up the city to thee it behoveth thee to set out for it." Malik Balban accordingly moved towards Dihli, and, on Thursday, the 6th of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 655 H., he [and Kutlugh Khān and their forces] reached the environs and suburbs of the city; but his conceptions were not realized, and the faction, who had written letters to him, had, by the sublime command, left the city.

When Malik Balban reached the Bāgh-i-Jūd [the Jūd Garden]³, which is in the environs of the city of Dihli, along with Malik Kutlugh Khān and the Malikah-i-Jahān [Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Din's mother], the account of the expul-

⁷ He had been sent away from the Court, with his wife, the Sulfan's mother, as early as 653 H., but the events here referred to took place in 655 H, some time previous to which Kutlugh Khan was in rebellion. See page 707

See page 707

^{6&#}x27; Only turban-wearers are mentioned under the reign, but cap-wearers merely refers to others besides the regular prest hood, such as the descendants and disciples of Zam-ud-Dfn, 'Alf, probably, who were black caps or turns. The allusion is to Sayyid Kufb-ud-Dfn, the Shatkh-ul-Islâm, and this party. See page 707.

¹ They had been sent away out of the city four days before. See page 708.

In the Calcutta Printed Text, the word Jud—has been invariably mistaken for Jud—khūd, which signifies self, &c., and thereby the Jud Garden is turned into his own garden. The Bāgh-1-Jud, and Şaḥrā-1-Jud, are often mentioned.

The account of this affair varies from that detailed under the reign, page 708, and in the account of Ulugh Khān farther on.

sion of that faction became known to them, and that flame of the fire of hope [from the faction] was extinguished with the water of disappointment. After the time of forenoon prayers, they advanced to the gate of the city, and made a perambulation round the place. They remained at the Bagh-i-Jud for the night, and, at morning dawn, they came to the determination to retire. On the Friday, which was the 7th of the month of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, the troops of Uchchah and Multan, the whole of them, separated from Malik Balban, and went off in bodies in various directions, but the greater number were those [among them] who entered the city, and who joined the service of the sublime Court.

Malik Balban-the Almighty have him in His keeping! -withdrew, and by way of the Siwalikh [country], and, with a slight retinue, less than 200 or 300 in number, returned to Uchchah again. Subsequent to these events, Malik Balban came to the determination of undertaking a journey into Khurāsān, and proceeding into 'Irāk to the presence of Hula'ū, the Mughal, who is a Shah-zadah [Prince] of Turkistan, and presented himself before him He returned from thence, and came back to his own place of residence again [to Uchchah], and, up to the date of this narrative, which was the year 658 H, he has despatched his own agents, along with the Shahnah [the Mughal Intendant] of the territories of Sind, which was on account of the army of Mughals [then on the Dihli frontier], to the presence of the Court 4.

Please God, it may turn out well and advantageously,

of Jamadî-ul-Ākhır

It is remarkable that he should have gone to Hulākū's camp in 'Irāķ, and Sher Khan to that of the Great Khan-Mangu Ka'an, in Turkistan object, probably, was the same

^{*} Our author always uses the word "gate" when guter may be understood In this instance he may mean the gate on the side of the Jud plain and garden According to some copies the dates are, respectively, the 26th and 27th

This refers to the return of Ulugh Khan's agent despatched some time before to Malik Näsir-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Hasan, the Karlugh, the details of which affair will be found at the end of this Section There he says Shahnagan-Intendants-as if there were more than one at Uchchah The Mughal army referred to, is that of the Nu-in, Sari, or Saiin, as he is also called, which entered Sind, a few months after Malik Balban's attempt on Dihli, in the latter part of 655 it, an account of which will be found at page 711,

and may He long preserve the Sultan of Islam. Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-Maḥmūd Shāh, on the throne of sovereignty!

XXL MALIK NUŞRAT <u>KH</u>ÂN, BADR-UD-DİN, SUNKAR-I-SÜFİ', THE ROML

Malik Nuşrat Khān-i-Sunkar, the Şūfi, is a Rūmī [Rūmīliān] by birth. He is a person of exceeding laudable qualities and inestimable virtues, valuant and warlike, and of good disposition, and adorned with all the attributes of manliness and resolution

He was a slave of the august Sultan Shams-ud-Din [Iyal-timish], and he had, in the reigns of every one of the Sultans [his descendants], served in offices of every degree; but, in the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, in the year 640 H., when the Turk Amirs rebelled and put the Khwaiah, Muhazzab, the Wazir, to death, this Malik, Nusrat Khān-i-Sunkar, was one of the Amirs, the principal ringleaders in that outbreak. After that event he became Amir of Kol, and he brought that territory under his control, and, along with his retinue and the people, on the beaten track of equity and justice, he passed his days that same year [640 H.], the writer of these words, Minhāji-Sarāi, chanced to undertake a journey to Lakhanawati. On reaching the district of Kol, this Amir of excellent disposition treated him with great kindness and encouragement.

Subsequently, Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunkar obtained other fiefs; and, in the reign of the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, the territory of Bhiānah was made his fief. He continued to remain some time in that part, and many times punished the seditious and evil doers.

At the time when Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū

and in the biography of Ulugh Khān, but more particularly detailed in the last Section.

⁷ He was of the Suff sect, apparently.

^{*} Our author has never used the word Amir like this before, he generally uses feudatory.

Khān, advanced out of the territory of Sind and appeared before the gate of Dihli, Malik Nuşrat Khān-i-Sunkar, with a numerous force, reached the city of Dihli from Bhiānah. The inhabitants of the city, and grandees of the Court, were placed in safety by his arrival at the head of a body of troops. After that affair, in the year 657 H., from the implicit faith which the Sultān of Islām placed in Malik Nuṣrat Khān-i-Sunkar, and the powerful support of the Khān-i-Mu'azṣam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the preserved city of Tabarhindah, and Sunām, Jhajhar, and Lakhwāl, and the frontiers, as far as the ferries over the river Biāh, all were conferred upon him?, and his title became Nuṣrat Khān.

On those frontiers he performed distinguished services, and assembled a numerous body of troops; and, up to the date of this book's [being written], by the sublime command, he is still [stationed] on that frontier, with ample military resources, and a large army '. May the Almighty long preserve the Sultan of Sultans upon the throne of sovereignty!

XXII. AZ KULLĪ DĀD-BAK³, MALIK SAIF-UD-D**Ī**N, **Ī-BAK**, THE <u>SH</u>AMSĪ, 'AJAMĪ

Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, the Shamsi, 'Ajami, is, by

9 It was at this period that Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar had the extensive fiefs of Bhianah, Kol, Balaram, and Gwallyur, conferred upon him, but, under that year, in the Sultan's reign, the plaquing of these frontier territories in Nusrat Khan-i-Sunkar's charge is not stated. See page 794.

The mention of "the frontiers," and the "ferries of the Blah," taken in connexion with the orders of Hulkkü to his general, mentioned at the end of the account of Ulugh Khān, plainly indicates the limits within which the

Dihli territory was now confined

The Biāh, as before stated, then flowed in its old bed, entirely separate from the Sutlaj

¹ Why are not his "distinguished services" mentioned, and, if he had such "ample military resources and large army," why did he not drive away Sarl, the Nū-In, and his Mughals, who were making constant raids upon the Dihli territory?

The Calcutta Printed Text has with instead of with Amir-1-Did, and Dad-Bak, are synonymous, the former being Persian and the latter the Turkish form, and the office appears to have been much the same as that of Mir-1-Adi in Akbar's time. The words as kulli show that he was the head of that department and exercised full powers. See note 4, page 529, and page 605, note 1.

origin a, of Khischak, a Malik adorned with justice, sagacity, strictness, and judgment, and famed and celebrated for all manner of energy and ability. In the learning of the Musalman faith he was proficient, in religiousness perfect, and in words and in deeds sincere, on the path of probity and justice staunch and regular.

It must be about eighteen years 4 since the bench of the administration of justice was adorned by his dignity; and, during the whole period, he has followed the path of justice and equity, and been obedient to the canons of the [Muhammadan] law, and beyond those which the law decrees he has not added a tittle. The writer of this History, Maulana Minhaj-i-Saraj-God protect him!upon two occasions, for nearly eight years, by the gracious command of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din-The Almighty perpetuate his rule and sovereignty !- is seated on the same bench with that just Malik in the Court of Justice at the capital city. Dihlf. and the author has seen that the whole of his acts, procedures, and expositions have been conformable with the faith and its ordinances. By the dignity of his punishments, and the majesty of his justice, the multitude of contumacious [persons] round about the capital, and the gangs of evil doers and robbers, having drawn back the hand of violence within the sleeve of relinquishment and suspension, are quiescent in the corner of fear and terror.

From the period when Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, became enrolled among the series of slaves of the Court of the Shamsi dynasty—the asylum of the universe—he has, at all times, been reverenced; and every district, fief, or tract of country, which has been entrusted to his charge, through his equity and rigour, has become flourishing and pros-

³ His being styled "Shamsi, and 'Ajami," in this ustance, means that, originally, he was the slave of the Khwajah Shams-ud-Din, the 'Ajami.

What year is referred to is left to conjecture, unless he means the year in which he closed this history—658 H. He was, however, appointed Kārī of the realm, for the second time, in 649 H. See page 690.

⁶ This expression shows that the term Malik is not peculiar to the military only, and the fallacy of translating the word general, as in Elliot, in sumerous places.

[&]quot; Nearly every copy of the text, Calcutta Printed Text included, has ملاطين Sulphins—for مناطق -- neries, &c. In this instance the L O. L. M.S., No. 1952, and the R. A. S. M.S., are both correct.

perous, and the commonalty have dwelt in quiet and tranquillity, and have continued safe and exempt from oppression and violence. During this period since he has been the Amir-i-Dād [Chief Justiclary] of the kingdom of Dihli, the customary fees at the rate of ten or fifteen per cent, which other Chief Justices before him have imposed, he has not extorted, nor has he had any concern with such, neither has he considered such to be legal.

At the outset of his career when he became severed from the tribes of Khifchāk and his native country, and through the discord of kindred became a captive in the bonds of misfortune, he chanced to fall into the service of the generous Khwājah, Shams-ud-Dīn, the 'Ajamī, who was the Malik-ut-Tujjār' [Chief of the Merchants] of the countries of 'Ajam, 'Irāk, Khwārazm, and Ghaznīn, and, up to this period of time, they call Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak, by the

term Shamsi, after that great man.

When Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-bak, reached the sublime Court of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish [along with his master], and the Sultān purchased him, he acquired favour and influence. Perceiving the indications of energy and vigour which were depicted on his brow, the august Sultān used to send him upon important affairs into different parts of the kingdom, and assign him duties, until, in the reign of Sultān Raziyyat, he became Sahm-ul-Hasham [Marshal of the Retinue 1] In the reign of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh, he became Amīr-i-Dād [Justiciary] of Karah; and, when the throne devolved on Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, in the year 640 H, he became Amīr-i-Dād of the illustrious capital, the city of Dihli, and the fief of the Amīrs-i-Dād, and the bench [pertaining to that office] passed to him

After some time, when the throne devolved upon the Sultan of Sultans, Naşır-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Maḥmud Shāh, the fief of Palwal and Kāmah, with the bench of

⁷ That is, Prince or Chief of the Merchants—a term often used in the Arabian Nights, and applied to the chief or general syndic of the merchants, trading exclusively with particular countries

See note , page 150

In the Bharat-pur territory, on the rate from Mathurah to Ffrur pur, 39 miles N W of the former place, Lat 27° 40′, Long 77° 20′ It was taken by Najaf Khān about eight; years since, and was then a small city fortified.

the justice-ship, was entrusted to him; and, after some time, he obtained the fief of Baran; and, in that part, inflicted condign punishment upon the contumacious. Some time subsequently, Kasrak¹ [?], with the office of chief justiciary, was given him in fief, and, after two years, he again obtained Baran; and, up to this present time, it is in his charge.

AXIII. MALIK NUŞRAT-UD-DİN?, <u>SH</u>ER <u>KH</u>ÂN, SUN**K**AR-I-SA<u>G</u>HALSÜS?

Malik Sher Khān is a person consummately brave and sagacious, and distinguished for all princely qualities, and famed for all kingly accomplishments. He is the uncle's son of the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, in Turkistān, their fathers have been persons of importance, and among the families of the Ilbari [tribe] have borne the name of Khān, and, for their numerous clan and dependents, have been noted and renowned, each of whom will, Please God, in the account of that Malik of the Maliks of the universe, be separately mentioned.

Sher Khan was the slave of the august Sultan [I-yal-timish] who purchased him ; and he performed much ser-

with walls and towers. If sought after, perhaps some inscriptions might be found at this place

I fail to find this place, and there is great doubt as to the correct reading. One of the oldest copies has $0 \neq 1$ as above, the second $0 \neq 2$ the third is minus a whole line, and another copy has $0 \neq 1$ —Karak or Kuruk, which certainly is the name of a place in 1 arianah, between Rot-hak and Bhawani, in Lat. 28°, 49′, Long. 76°, 22′, about 58 miles W of Duhil. Other copies of the text have what appears to be $0 \neq 1$.

In some copies he is also styled Bahā-ul-Ḥakk wa ud-Din, instead of Nusrat-ud-Din.

Thomas, however [PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLÍ, page 125], turns him into a brother of Ulugh Khān's '

A It seems somewhat strange that I-yal-timigh should also belong to the Ilbari tribe, as well as Ulugh Khān, his brother, and his cousin, and all be slaves of the former.

6 Our author relates now Ulugh Khān and his brother became slaves, and from whom they were purchased, but he appears not to have known much

vice before the throne, and the signs of worthiness were indicated upon his brow. He served the Sultans of that dynasty much in every rank and degree; and, when he attained greatness, Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, at the time he led an army from the capital towards Lohor, with the object of repelling the army of infidel Mughals which was before the walls of the fortress of Uchchah. assigned to Malik Sher Khan the fortress of Tabarhindah

and the whole of its dependencies as his fief.

Afterwards, when the Karlughs wrested Multan out of the hands of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlu Khan', Malik Sher Khan led an army from the preserved city of Tabarhındah towards Multan, and liberated it again out of the hands of the Karlughs, and placed therein Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Kurez . Subsequently, upon several occasions, contention arose between Malık Sher Khan and Malik Balban, arising from their proximity to each other, as has been previously stated; and Malik Sher Khan wrested the fortress of Uchchah out of the hands of Malik Balban, and the whole of the territory of Sind came under the sway of Malik Sher Khan When the Malik-1-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, led a body of forces 1 towards Nag-awr, and strife went on between Malik Sher Khan and him near the banks of the river Sind, Malik Sher Knan [retired from thence], and proceeded towards Upper Turkistan, and went to the urdu [camp] of the Mughal, and presented himself at the Court of Mangu [Kā'ān].

respecting Sher Khān's early years, or was unwilling to relate much on the subject.

2 See page 667, and page 811.

See also the account of Malik Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, page 782

It will be seen, from this, that these great Maliks were like so many dependent langs, and had Maliks of their own. Kurez is the person who, in 648 H, sent some Mughal prisoners to Dihli, and they were, evidently, so

rare, that, even for this small mercy, Dihli was decorated

1 Our author gives no less than three other and different accounts of these events—one, at page 693, another at page 794, and a third in his account of Ulugh Khan, farther on. Leading "a body of forces towards Nag-awr" is our author's mode of stating the fact of Ulugh Khan's banishment to his fief, when custed from power by the Rayhani plot, already referred to, and further detailed in the account of Ulugh Khan. Strife, with his cousin, seems altogether improbable, for, immediately on his return to Hind, he joined the party of his cousin, Ulugh Khan, in ousting the Raybani clique 3 This whole sentence is one of the most defective in the entire work : there

He returned with honour from thence, and set out towards Lohor. When he arrived in the neighbourhood of Lohor and these parts, he joined Malik Jalāl-ud-Din Mas'ūd Shāh, son of the august Sulṭān Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish. In the end, matters did not go on without disagreements between them, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, retired in disappointment, and his dependents and followers fell into the hands of Malik Sher Khān's train.

After that affair, Malik Sher Khān endeavoured to gain possession of Tabarhindah [again], but, as Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar [the then feudatory], issued from the fortress [to oppose him], Malik Sher Khān was under the necessity of withdrawing again. Swift messengers went from the capital from the nobles, and a covenant and pledges were entered into, and Malik Sher Khān proceeded and presented himself at Court. Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān, likewise came to the capital, and [the fief of] Awadh was assigned to him, and Tabarhindah was [again] committed to Malik Sher Khān, with the whole of the territory and fiefs which he had previously held.

For some time he remained upon that frontier, during

are scarcely two copies alike. One copy has something more after the words "Upper Turkistān," namely, that "he proceeded towards Lohor, and every one who used to see him, would bow down his head to the ground [ورمهدا الدول] out of awe of him "

^{*} The neighbourhood of Dihli where our author wrote his History

^{*} This too is one of our author's mysteries I shall have to refer to it again. See note \$, page 600, and note 1, page 767

⁴ For some further particulars respecting this Prince, whose proceedings are made a mystery of, see pages 683, 699, 818 and note ⁴, and pages 830 to 834. I shall have to refer to him, in connexion with the Mughals, in the last Section.

STFWART has written on the margin of the MS. I have referred to in note *, page 776, notwithstanding it is plainly indicated who Jalal-ud-Din was, that he is " Jallal Addrew King of Khuarism," who died or disappeared from the world nearly tharty-fine years before!! See page 297, and note *.

See under Arsalan Khan-1-Sanjar, page 768

⁷ Although Lähor is mentioned, after fourteen years' silence, as the fief of Malik Jaläl-ud-Din, Mas'üd Shāh—probably half-brother only of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh—in the ninth year of the latter's reign, page 700, it does not again occur. It also appears that Jaläl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh, held Lähor with foreign aid, independent of Dihli. I shall have to refer to this matter again. The frontier bese referred to indicates, as in several other places, the limits of the Dihli kingdom is thus direction—namely, the banks of the Blāh,

which contention used to go on between him and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dîn, Balban-i-Kashlü Khān, as on former occasions. A mandate was issued from the sublime Court so that Malik Sher Khān returned to the capital; and, in order to avert strife on the frontier, the fief of Tabarhindah was entrusted to the charge of Malik Nuşrat Khān, Sunkar-i-Sūfi. The territory of Kol and Bhiānah, and Balārām, Jalī-sar, Baltārah, Mihir and Mahāwan, and the fortress of Gwāliyūr, which is among the most famous strongholds of Islām, were placed in Malik Sher Khān's charge'; and there he still is, up to the date that these pages were written, in the month of Rajab, 658 H.

May the Most High God long preserve the Sultan-i-Mu'azzam upon the throne of sovereignty !!

not as it at present flows, but when it ran in its old bed. See also page 818, and note 4

In the best copies "Li as above, but in one, instead of his we have on the 21st of the month of Safar, 657 H. See pages 712 and 788, and the account of Ulugh Khān farther on. It is strange that such lenency was shown to Malik Balban-1 Kashiu Khān, for this was after his attempt to scue the capital, and after he had thrown off allegiance to the Dihli kingdom, and had received a Mughai Shahnah. He appears always to have been treated with the utmost consideration, and there must have been some reason for it

It may not be amiss here to give an extract from the Tārīkh-i-Firūz-Shāhī of Ziyā-ud-Dīn, respecting Sher Khān, as there may be somewhat of e leaven of correctness in it, but, at the same time, it shows that the statements of Ziyā-ud-Dīn are not to be wholly appended upon, at least for the accounts of Sulfān Balban's reign. I take this from the printed text, which, in many places, is lamentably incorrect.

"After four or five years of Sultan Bolban's reign [had passed], thirty years after the decease of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, Sher Khan, the uncle's son of Sultan Balban, who was a Khan greatly honoured, and who had become as the Sadd-1-Yājūj Mājūj [the Barner of Gog and Magog, or Great Wall of

China] to the Mughals, died "

[As Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn died in 633 H, Sher Khān, consequently, died in 663 H According to Ziyā-ud-Dīn, himself, Balban came to the throne in 662 H, but, according to others, Nāsir ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, did not die until 664 H]

"I have heard from some credible persons, that he, Sher Khan, used not to come to Dibli, and that Sulfan Balban caused poison to be a immistered to him in his drink. [The word used is go which is said to be a inquor made from barley and other things, a sort of beer] This Sher Khan had built a lofty cupola at Bhatnir, and the fortresses of Bhanndah and Bhatnir are among the places founded by him.

"He was one of the great slaves of Sultan Shams-ud-Din, and one among the CHIHL-CANIAN—of that Sultan—Child—forty, ganian—the plural form of the redundant particle used after numerals—Battogs' "Toorky tribe of

xxiv. Malik saif-ud-dīn, ī-bak-i-ka<u>sh</u>lī <u>khā</u>n-ussul<u>t</u>ānī.

The Malik-ul-Ḥujāb [Chief of Chamberlains], Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashit Khān —on whom be peace!—was the

THELGARY "!]—every one of whom became styled by the trile of Khān, and Sher Khān had great confidence placed in him. From the reign of Nāṣir-ud-Din [Maḥmūd Shāh], Sunnām [in the text photo instead of w-belonging to the first clause of the sentence—and photo judical photo fine text photo photo judical photo ju

[The dependence to be placed upon the statements in this list sentence may be judged of from our author's account above—the statement of a contemporary writer living at Dihlf, who knew him personally, and the statement of one "who heard" about these things ninety-five years after, and, who states that he has only taken up the history of these times from the end of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, where our author left off Sher Khān did not hold these fiefs during the period our author's work embraces; and so the last years of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign still remain a blank with Ziyā-ud-Din, as with others.]

"He [Sher Khān] entertained many thousand well-organized and efficient cavalry in his service, and several times had he fallen upon the Mughals, turned them upaide down, and dispersed them, and cassed the Khatbak to be read for Sultān Niksir-sud-Din at Ghamis; and, on account of his vigilance, valour, strength, and grandeur, and the number of his followers, it was impossible for the Mughals to prowl around the frontiers of Findustin "!!

The statements contained in this paragraph are enough to stamp the Tarizh i-Firiz Shāhi for the history of this period as utter rubhush. Our author's statements respecting Sher Khān and the fiefs he held, and of the state of the frontier on the Biāh, in the latter part of his account of Ulugh Khān, show, that these things were not true, and could not have been true. Malik Balbani-Kaahiu Khān, who held Sind and Multān, and who was in league with the Mughals, is ignored altogether by Ziyā-ud-Dīn, but he, like Sher Khān, was living when our author closed his history. Firiahtah, probably, got his version of this absurdity about Ghaznin from Ziyā-ud-Dīn, only he relates it as taking place in 649 H. See page 689, and note *.

According to Ziyā-ud-Din, Sher Khān reduced under his subjection the Jats, Khokhara, Bhatle, the Minls, and the Mandāhara, and was succeeded in the fiel of Sunnām by Tamur Khān, who was also one of the Chihl-gānfān. He is not the person referred to by our author, at page 741, he having died many years previously.

² At page 702, he is styled Malık Kashli Khān, Saif-ud-Din, I-bak, Sulţāni Shamsi [1 e. the slave of Sulţān Shams-ud-Din, I-yai-tunısh], and further entitled, "Ulugh Kutlugh, A'gam-1-Bär-Bak."

There is no doubt, I think, but that the 'Alf-garh inscription given by Thomas (PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLI, page 129, and by Blochmann, in his Contributions, page 40) refers to him, as his brother, Ulugh Ehan, is never, throughout this work, styled "A'sam-i-Bâr-Bak," but his brother did hold the office of Bâr-Bak, and is styled Kintings and Saif-ul-Hakk wa ud-Dîn. He

brother, paternally and maternally, of the <u>Khān-i-Mu'azzam</u>, Ulugh <u>Khān-i-A'zam</u>. They were both two pearls of one shell, two suns and two moons of one exalted constellation, two rubies of one mine, two flowers of one parterre of prosperity, two Maliks of one royal Court, and two great lords of one imperial conclave. Their lineage was from the <u>Khāns</u> of the Ilbarī, and, when the infidel Mughals acquired predominance over the countries of Turkistān and the tribes of <u>Khifchāk</u>, as a matter of necessity, it became incumbent on them to remove, with their families, dependents, and effects, from their accustomed place of abode.

Mahk Kashli Khān-i-I-bak was the younger brother, and the Khān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-l-A'zam, the elder. At this time the [future] Mahk and Amīr-i-Ḥājib was of tender years, and, when they [the tribe] decamped before the Mughals, on their way was marshy ground, and the [future] Mahk, the Amīr-i-Ḥājib, in the might, fell out of the waggon, in which he was, into the mud, and no one had the power to take him out of the quaginire, because the Mughals were at their heels. They urged forward their waggons, and he [the child] remained in that same place [where he fell] Ulugh Khān returned to the spot where his little brother was, and took him up. A second time the Mughals came up behind them, and the [future] Malik, the Amīr-i-Ḥājib, fell into their hands

By the decrees of heaven, a merchant, having purchased him, brought him to the cities of Islām, and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Rashid-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr, the Ḥabash [Abyssinian], who had proceeded from the Court of Dihli on a mission to Misr [Egypt] and Baghdād a, purchased the [future] Malik, the

also held the fief in which 'Alf-garh, otherwise Säbit garh, is situated, but not until 653 Π . I doubt, however, the correctness of the reading of Balban in the inscription given in the first-named work.

The year 652 H, mentioned in the reading of this inscription, is that in which Ulugh Khān and his party, who had been ousted from power, succeeded, in the latter part of it, in reguining it; and, at this time, his brother had been recently deprived of his office, and sent to the fiel of karah. Whoever he was, it would require a great stretch of the imagination to conceive what he had to do with China—

⁸ This, evidently, is connected with the arrival of the emissanes from Baghdad with a robe of honour, from the Khalifah, for I yal tumah, mentioned under the latter's reign, at page 616, which see, and note ⁹.

Amir-i-Ḥājib, from that merchant. Indications of rectitude were manifest on his brow, and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk brought him from thence to the capital, Dihlì, and the august Sultān [I-yal-timish] purchased him of Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk. The lights of intellect and intelligence, and the indications of rectitude and sagacity were beaming upon his brow. These words are written from a sense of justice and truth, for, among the Turks, a Malık more sagacious, with more modesty or more constancy, the eye of beholder never gazed upon. The Most High God had graced him with divers endowments of manliness and humanity, and adorned him with laudable qualities and excellent conduct.

In wisdom and sagacity he excelled all the Wazirs of the past, and, in valour and prowess, had placed the foot of manhood higher on the pinnacle [of perfection?] than the whole of the champions of Irān and Tūrān May the Most High God, in the gardens of bliss on high, confer upon him pardon and mercy, and bless him; and continue the Khāni-Mu'azzam* [his brother], in sway and authority, permanent and perpetual! 'We now come to the topic of history.

When the august Sultan purchased the [future] Malik, the Amir-i-Hājib, he continued to serve in the Sultan's

I-yal-timish evidently despatched this envoy to the court of the Khalifah of Baghdād to seek from him a deed of investiture as sovereign of Hindustān. This was done probably after he had "secured" all his rivals, and found himself firmly established, and the person above mentioned was his circoy. The Khali Sulfān of Lakhanawait appears to have done the same — See note page 774.

⁴ No place mentioned, but, from what is stated above, he may have purchased him at Baghdad.

The Calcutta Printed Text, and two modern copies of the text, with slight variation, have the words—"who is the Bädshäh of the age, and the Shähan-Shäh of the time" here, and, from this, Thomas says, it is a proof that this part of our author's work was written when Balban was King of Dihlt. There are, however, many proofs to the contrary, in the shape of several invocations for the reigning Sulfan and for Ulugh Khān in the same sentence farther on, and a more particular proof in the fact, that this evident interpolation does not occur in two of the three oldest copies of the text, nor even in the I. O. L. MS. 1952, and R. A. S. MS. By what follows after the word Mu'aggam we need scarcely imagine, from the power which Ulugh Khān held as Deputy of the kingdom under the puppet Sulfān—the former possessing and exercising the whole power in reality—that our author means that Nāṣur-ud-Dln, Maḥmūd Shāh, was dead, for, a few lines farther on, such a doubt is set at rest completely. Our author evidently refers to his authority as Deputy of the kingdom, and no more.

own presence chamber, until in the reign of Sultan Raziyyat, he became the deputy Sar-i-Jan-dar. After some time, in the reign of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, he was made Sar-i-Jan-dar. Subsequently, during the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, he became Amir-i-Akhur. He continued, in this manner, to exercise that authority and office up to the time that the throne of sovereignty became adorned with the august dignity of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Mahmud Shah-May God prolong his reign and sovereignty!-and, when the Sultan conferred upon the Khan-1-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam-May God prolong his prosperity! -the name and title of Khan, the Malik, Kashli Khan, was elevated from the office of Amir-i-Akhur to the dignity of Amir-i-Hājib. When Nāg-awr was taken from Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, it was entrusted to the charge of Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khan, the Amfr-i-Haiib

Whilst Malik Kashli Khan filled the office of Amir-i-Hājib, he studied so much to please the great, the middle rank, and the least in degree, as the pen cannot write, and showed such regard and favour towards the Turk Maliks, the Tājzik nobles, and the Khali Amirs, as cannot be contained within the limits of writing. All hearts became filled with good-will towards him, and all persons felt obliged by his favours. When the Khan-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, departed for Nag-awr, they gave the khittah [district or territory] of Karah to the Amir-i-Hājib, Kashii Khān, his brother, and to that part he proceeded. When Ulugh Khan-1-A'zam returned to the Court again, the Amir-i-Hājib returned likewise, and he became Amir-i-Hājib the second time.

After some time, when, in the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 653 H., Malik Kuth-ud-Din, Husain, son of 'Ali [the Churi, who was the Lieutenant of the kingdom]-May he rest in peace!-departed to the eternal mansion, the territory

1 He was put to death, and the reason of it is not plainly stated. It is another of our author's mysteries.

fan-där mto جامدار Jām dār — إندار Jām-dār mto 1 See pages 689 and 781

When he was ousted from office, deprived of the fiel of Hansi, and forced to content himself with the fiel of Nag-awr

and city of Mirat was placed in the Amir-i-Hājib's charge, to the skirt of the mountains of Bandfaran. During some years he reduced under his sway those confines and districts. and he took possession of the country within the mountain territory of Bandiārān, as far as Rurki, and Miā-pūr, and extorted tribute, and overthrew Rānās and independent [Hindū] tribes, and reduced them to subjection, until the year 656 H., when weakness gained the ascendancy over his dear body and delicate form, and his intestines became swollen. Through excessive modesty and bashfulness, he did not make known the whole of his disease to any one, and, for a period of some months, he endured affliction; and, as the appointed time was come, on Sunday, the 20th of the month of Rajab, 657 H., he transmitted his blessed soul, on the couch of pardon, attended by the escort of sincere piety, to the presence of majesty and nearness of glory.

May the Most High God keep in His protection the sovereign of the present time, the Sultan of Sultans, Nasirud-Dunya wa ud-Din, for the sake of His most illustrious prophet, Muhammad!

XXV. UL-KHĀĶĀN-UL-MUA'ZZAM-UL-A'ZAM, BAHĀ-UL-HAĶĶ WA UD-DĪN, ULUGH KHĀN-I-BALBAN-US-SULŢĀNĪ •.

The Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, is of

s Six copies of the text, including the three oldest, have المنافران as above, two have المنافران one المنافران one بالمنافران one unintelligible. The kumā'un mountains are undoubtedly referred to, and I' should have expected the first part of the word to have been المنافر Nandah Diwf is the name of one of the peaks overlooking them.

The second word is written of classification of the copies, in some of and of the copies, in some of and of the copies, in some of and of and of and of are much slike if carelessly written, and of Miä pür occurs in every copy collated with a single exception, which has Mahā-pūr

I have spelt Rurki, as it should be written with the equivalent of Sanskrit The Mia-pur, here meationed, is probably Mia-puri, a very old place, a little to the S.W of Hardwar [Hrad-war].

⁸ Hernia probably

4 That is, Balban, the Sulfān's slave. It is a wholly erroneous statement that Ulugh Khān was called "Bahá-ud-Dín Balban, Ulugh Khán:" the title Bahā-ul-Ḥaḥḥ wa ud-Dín—a title bestowed by our author—is also given to his cousin, Sher Khān, and to his brother, Saif-ud-Dín, I-bak. Ulugh

the posterity of the renowned Khans' of the Ilbari [tribe]. The father of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din. Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, and the father of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, were born of one father and one mother. The father of these two was of the seed of Abar Khān, the Ilbari, and he was the Khān of about 10,000 families; and their affinity to the Ilbari [tribe] of Turkistan is well-known among the tribes of Turks. At this period, the sons of his [Ulugh Khān's] paternal uncle still continue to hold the name of greatness among those tribes of Turks: this fact was heard [by the author] from the late Malik, Kuret Khan-1-Sanjar

Forasmuch as the Almighty God had willed to grant a bulwark for the support of Islam and the stability of the Muhammadi religion, and confer a shelter of protection in [this] the end of the world, and keep Hindustan within the area of His own favour and the sphere of integrity, He had removed Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in his youth, from Turkistan, and, on account of the domination of the Mughals in that country, had severed him from his family and kinsmen, and from among his tribes and people, so that they brought him to Baghdad . The Khwaiah, Jamal-ud-Din, of Basrah

Khan's name, before he received that title, was Ghiyas ud-Din, Balban

Thomas Pathán Kings, page 124

There is not a word about "Khakans" of the Ilbari either in the MS copies of the text or the Calcutta Printed Text, as in Filiot - INDIA, vol II page 360 The word is ... The renown of the "renowned" Rhans above mentioned is not recorded in history I believe. It is somewhat remarkable that Shams-ud-Din, and his three slaves-Uluch Khan, his brother, and their consin - should have been all of the same tribe, as I have before noticed one copy of the text only, the name of the tribe is written J. - liberry is also strange that the name of Ulugh Khan's father is not mentioned

Several copies of the text have "Suttan" instead of Ulugh Khan i-

A'zam, but the former may be attributed to over zealous copyrists

7 This name is written in several ways, but the majority of copies have 😭 -Abar, with the vowel points, some have - Ayab, one a - Abah, one 41

-Ayyah, and some leave it out altogether

Some copies have "and from thence into Gujarat," but this does not occur in the oldest copie. Abd 'Abd ullah, Muhammad, of Tenjira, otherwise, the son of Bathtah, in his Travels, gives the following account of Ulugh Khan, I quote the translation by Lee "This man's name was originally Balaban [Balban], his character had been just, discriminating, and mild he filled the office of Nawab [Nawwab] of India, under Nava Oddin [Nava ud-Din], for twenty years he also reigned twenty years he lived at Bokhāra in the possession of one of its inhabitants, and was a little despicable ill-looking wretch Upon a time, a certain I akeer saw him there, and said, 'You little Turk " which is considered by them as a very reproachful

—on whom be peace!—who was noted for his piety, honesty, resolution of purpose, and conscientiousness, purchased him, and used to foster him in the hall of his kindness like a son. As the signs of integrity and sagacity were clear and manifest upon his sacred brow, he [the Khwājah] was wont to look upon him with the eye of benevolence, and regard him with special esteem; and, in the year 630 H., he brought Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to the capital city of Dihli, at which time the throne of sovereignty was adorned with the sublime majesty of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-tīmish—May he rest in peace!—and brought, along with him, several other Turks, to the presence of the Sultān.

When the sacred look of that august monarch fell upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, under the auspices of his dignity and sagacity, the whole of those Turks were disposed of, and he was honoured with an office before the throne. As the lustre of felicity and the effulgence of prosperity

term. The reply was "I am here, good sut" This surprised the Fakeer, who said to him, 'Go and bring me one of those pomegranates,' pointing to some which had been exposed for sale in the street. The urchin replied, 'Yes, Sir,' and immediately, taking out all the money he had, went and bought the pomegranate. When the l'akeer received it, he said to Balaban, 'We give you the kingdom of India.' Upon which the boy kissed his own hand, and said, 'I have accepted of it, and am quite satisfied.'

"It happened, about this time, that the Sultan Shams Oddin sent a merchant to purchase slaves from Bokhāra and Samarkand. He accordingly bought a hundred, and Balahan was among them. When these Mamluks were brought before the Sultan, they all phased him except Balahan, and him he repeted, on account of his despitable appearance. Upon this, Balahan said to the Emperor, "Lord of the world," why have you bought all these slaves?" The Emperor smiled, and said, "For my own sake, no doubt." The slave repited, "Buy me then, for God's sake." "I will, said he. He then accepted him, and placed him among the rest, but, on account of the badness of his appearance, gave him a situation among the cup-bearers." 1"

Ulugh Khan has the reputation of having been a very fine man.

The traveller appears to have mixed up an anecdote respecting the Atā-Bak, Îlatt-giz, of 'Irāk and Āṣarhājjān, and that related of Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish, by our author [page 600], into one delightful jumble

There is a great deal more of such like nonsense as this, but the translator mentions Firightah, and quotes him—his text not a translation—showing that, according to the crude idea of the writer, he considers the name Balban to be that of a Turkish tribe because several persons, so named, occur in Firightah's history. After the same fashion I-bak would be the name of a Turkish tribe perhaps. See also note 8, page 678.

* ELLIOT. "When the monarch observed him he bought all the lot of Turks

and appointed them to attend before his throne 1"

shone upon his brow, the Sultān made him his Khāṣah-dār¹ [personal attendant] as if he had placed the falcon of dominion and power upon his sacred wrist; and, in fact, this took place in order, that, in the reign of his children, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam should restrain the enemies of the realm from violence and bar their ambition, and so it turned out to the end that the glory of the Shamsi sovereignty might shine forth from the horizon of good fortune. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam continued to serve in that office; and, by the will of heaven, he found his brother [the future] Kashit Khān, the Amīr-i-Ḥājib, again, and greatly rejoiced at his re-appearance, and acquired strength therefrom.

When the throne of empire devolved upon Sultān Ruknud-Din, Firūz Shāh, Ulugh Khān went out of the capital along with the Turks when they left it, and proceeded towards [upper?] Hindūstān?, and, when they were brought back, he returned likewise, in their army, and was imprisoned for a short time, and disappointment overspread his sacred face. The purpose, in that incident, may have been—God knows!—that he might realize the measure of misery of the griefstricken, so that, when he attained the felicity of dominion, he might have compassion upon such persons, and give thanks for the blessing of power.

¹ Ehāşah-bardār is the name formerly applied to a soldier whose arms were urnished by his master, and, in more recent times, applied to the bearer of the betel box; but we are not to suppose that Sulfān 1-yal-timigh was so much of a Hindū as to chew pān supārī. The word above used seems to signify a page, henchman, or personal attendant, perhaps a falconer.

The Tabakāt-i-Akbari states that Ulugh Khān was the slave and dāmād—son-in-law, and sometimes, but rarely, used for the husband of the sovereign's sister—of I-yal-timish, and Firishiah, of course, agrees. But where is there the least authority whatever for such a statement? Our author was not likely to conceal such a matter as this, tending to the glorification of his patron. To judge from the fact of his causing himself to be proclaimed Sulfān [see page 661], the lement manner in which he was treated after such continual and repeated misconduct, and an elephant having been assigned him, Balban-i kashlu Khān is more likely to have been "elated by marriage to I yal-timish than Balban i-Ulugh Khān. Fancy Ibn-Baṭūtah's "tittle derpicable til-looking wordch," his master's son-in-law! I-yal-timish died only about two years after the future Ulugh Khān's purchase, but Kashlu Khān was purchased in 624 H.

This refers to the affair which culminated at Tara'in in 634. See

ANECDOTE.

They have related that there was a monarch at the acme of felicity and the zenith of dominion. He had a son of extreme beauty and sagacity, merit and innocence. That monarch commanded, so that wherever wise, intelligent, learned, and accomplished persons were [to be found], they got them together for the purpose of instructing that son; and one of those accomplished men, who was superior to the others, and excelled the rest in a variety of wisdom, knowledge, and learning, and various arts and sciences, was selected by the monarch, and placed in charge of the education of that light of his eyes, his son.

The king commanded, saying: "It is necessary that this son of mine should acquire instruction in, and information respecting, the theory of the truths of religion, and thorough knowledge of the difficulties of power, the subtile distinctions of knowledge, the treasuring up of information, the conditions of government, the institutions of prosperity, the ways of fostering subjects, and the laws respecting the dispensation of justice, and that he should be acquainted with the contingencies and complications of them all."

That learned man placed the face of acceptance to the ground of service, and occupied himself in his task. When the prescribed period of the youth's education terminated. and the seeds of instruction came up, and the honorary robe of erudition became fitted to the person, and that son, the one fruit of the king's tree, became embellished in all accomplishments, they made known to the monarch the matter of his son's perfect acquirements. He commanded. saying: "It is necessary that the preceptor should be present in the audience-hall of sovereignty to-morrow at morning dawn; and let the prince also be brought thither. in order that the divers pearls of learning, which he has acquired, he may string upon the thread of demonstration. so that gentle and simple-high and low-may become enlightened and cognizant of the perfect knowledge, the elegance of attainments, the realities of capacity, and performances of penetration of my son."

On this command being issued, the preceptor solicited three days' delay from the monarch; and, on his request

being granted, the preceptor, early in the morning of the [next] day, mounted, and brought forth the young prince from the city for the purpose of taking a ramble. After they had passed beyond the habitations, the preceptor made the prince dismount, and constrained him to walk on in front of his [the preceptor's] horse, and obliged him to run along several leagues to keep up with his horse's cantering, in such wise that the delicate person of the prince became excessively afflicted from the fatigue of walking and running. So he brought him back to the city again.

The second day, the preceptor entered the school-room, and commanded the prince, saying: "Get up, and remain standing," and, in this way, he kept him standing during the whole day, in such wise that the prince's tender body was sorely pained. When the third day came, the preceptor entered the school-room, and directed that the place should be cleared, tied the hands and feet of the king's son together, and inflicted upon him more than a hundred blows with a cane; and, from the severity of the flogging, all the limbs of the young prince's body, from the number of blows, became wounded. He left him thus bound, repeated the invocation before flight, and disappeared.

A number of servants, on becoming aware of the circumstance, liberated the king's son from his bonds, and sought for the preceptor, but could not find him. They made a representation to the king, and he directed them to bring his son before him, and, upon every science wherein they questioned him, they found him so proficient that "there is no exceeding perfection" fitly described his proficiency. The king remarked, saying: "The preceptor, in teaching and instructing, and making his pupil perfect, has, by the grace of Almighty God, not neglected the least thing. It would be well to know the cause of these cuts and hurts, and what was the reason of his flight."

He commanded, so that they used their utmost endeavours in seeking the preceptor, and, after a considerable time, and at a distant period, they again found him, and brought him into the monarch's presence. He showed the preceptor great reverence and honour, and inquired of

² A prayer or invocation according to Musalman custom

him the motive of the severe flogging, and compelling the young prince to run on foot on the first day, making him stand all the second day, the reason of leaving him bound. and the cause of his own disappearance, on the third. The preceptor, bowing the head of service to the ground of representation, replied: "May the king's felicity continue! It will be manifest to the sublime mind, that it behoveth the possessor of dominion to understand the condition of those persons who are objects of commendation and approval, and likewise the state of those individuals who are the objects of indignation and reprehension, so that whatever he may command in such circumstances may be fitting; and, in no manner whatsoever, either in pleasure or displeasure, may he deviate from the bounds of modera-Your slave was desirous of making the prince acquainted with the condition of the oppressed, the captive. and of the numbers who have to run before [his] horse, of the people who may have to remain standing [before him], and of the state of those persons who may have become deserving of condign punishment, or of being made public examples of, so that, when exercising his royal wrath, he may conceive what measure of distress and pain their hearts and bodies suffer, and that, when he should have endured somewhat of such severities, whatsoever he may direct as regards punishment, running, or standing, he may do so in proportion to their powers of endurance and strength. The reason, moreover of my flight and disappearance was apprehension, since the noble person and delicate frame of the prince had sustained affliction, lest parental affection should have induced the king, in requital of such act on the part of his humble servant, to have censured him, whereby all his pains and labours would have been thrown away."

This anecdote was applicable to the case of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam in the amount of trouble that befell him in being brought back again to the capital among the Turks', in order that, when he attained unto power, and became Lieutenant of the kingdom', he might be cognizant of the

condition of the broken and oppressed. May Almighty God cause justice and beneficence to be the associate of all his acts and proceedings!

We have returned to the subject of the narration of this

history.

When the sovereignty devolved upon Sultan Raziyyat, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was, as before, Khāsah-dār, until good fortune tame to his aid, and he became Amir-i-Shikar [Chief Huntsman]. The ball of fate was saving: "a world will be the quarry of his power, and a universe the prey of his authority," hence the first of his offices was that of the Chief Huntsmanship. When he had filled that office some time, and had done approved service, suddenly, the sun of the Razivyat dynasty came to its setting, and the luminary of the Mu'izzi sovereignty arose, and the Ulugh Khani prosperity began to increase As in the duties of Chief Huntsman he had filled that office well, and done approved service, he became Amir-i-Akhur, and the horses of state and of sovereignty came under the bridle of his possession. When Malik Badr-ud-Din, Sunkar, the Sufi, was made Amir-i-Hājib, he, having a parental affection towards Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, showed a sincere regard for his welfare, and raised Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to a still higher degree. The fief of Riwari was entrusted to his charge; and, when he proceeded to that part, he thoroughly chastized the independent [Hindū] tribes of the Koh-päyah? by the power of his valour, and reduced those tracts under his jurisdiction.

When the Mu'izzi sovereignty began to totter, and the Maliks, in concert together, appeared before the gate of the city [of Dihli], and the whole of the Amirs and Maliks

as above, and another has the word but, if I left out the word deputy or lieutenant—the sense would be materially changed, and it would be "in order that when he attained unto power and sovereignty," &c.,—but this is not meant by our author—and it might then naturally be supposed, by a reader, that this history was written during Halban's reign, if one solitary passage were sufficient to prove it, contrary to scores of others. See note *, page 797

⁶ Not "lands." it was an extensive and important tract of country, as the context shows.

In Ellion, page 362, the words and a few are rendered "hill chiefs," but in several other places the word is not translated at all, and "the Mawalis" are introduced as if the word was a proper usine. The Koh-pāyah is a tract repeatedly mentioned, the literal meaning of which is "hill skirt."

conspired among themselves, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—May his power be perpetuated !—who was feudatory of Riwāri, in concert with other Maliks and Amīrs, displayed so much sagacity in ascertaining the aims and intentions of the Maliks, that among the whole of them—Turk or Tājzīk, not one attained to the hundredth part thereof; and the whole [of them] admitted his firmness, heroism, intrepidity, and enterprise to be greater than that of all the Maliks and Amīrs of that period. On the city being taken, the fief of Hānsī was made over to his charge, and, on that territory coming under his control, he turned his attention to its cultivation and improvement; and the people, from the effects of his justice and conspicuous liberality, became contented and prosperous.

Ulugh Khān's affairs became so flourishing, that the whole of the Maliks and Amirs began to be jealous at the freshness of his good fortune, and envy's disquieting thorn began to prick their minds, but, as Almighty God had willed that he should be greater than the whole [of them], by how much the more the fire of their envy increased, by so much the more did the incense of his prosperity, within the censer of time, diffuse additional perfume: "They endeavour to extinguish the light of God with [the breath of] their mouths, but God rejects aught but the perfection of his light."—May Almighty God prolong his office of power,

The Printed Text, and two MS. copies—modern enes—but neither of them the I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, nor the R. A. S. MS., have "the Sulfan—May his dominion and sovereignty continue!—who was feudatory of Riwart," &c., which convinces Mr. Dowson [Ellitt vol. 11. page 362] "that this part of the work was written in the reign of Balban." The majority of copies, however, including the oldest, have as rendered above. See note 4, next page.

The word "Malika," here, does not signify princes any more than it does kings: it refers to those persons, with a single exception, slaves, mentioned in this Section. Compare Elliot here.

In a few copies "to the charge of his retainers."

[&]quot;Here is a good proof of how the Calcutta Printed Text—the "official Text"—has been "revised" before printing. It has, whilst referring to Ulugh Khān the whole time—"The continuous of Ulugh Khān, where Sulfān has been inserted in the Printed Text, or Ulugh Khān, which latter mode of writing makes it "the prosperity of the Ulugh Khān! "The world whilst the first would be "the prosperity of the Ulugh Khān! In two modern copies of the text, the world within written in mastake for "Jaca".

and make this servant of the state. Minhāi-i-Sarāi, who is the author of this TABAKAT, feel due gratitude towards him, for his abundant benefactions combined with respect, for, should a thousand sections of paper be filled with closely written encomiums on his admirable qualities and inestimable virtues, it would be but as a drop in the vast ocean, and not even a single particle from out of the fragrance from the paradise-like flower garden [thereof] would have affected the smelling sense of hearer or reader, and, should a hundred thousand such-like effusions be composed. out of gratitude for the princely countenance of this great lord, at the foot-step of the exalted throne of the king of the sovereigns of the face of the earth-May God perpetuate his dominion and sovereignty -towards this servant, in the entrusting of offices, the bestowal of appointments, and abundant benefactions, together with honour and reverence, which he still continues to bestow, even yet. the debts of gratitude will be due to him, in return for those benefits, by this servant, by his children, and by his family. May the Almighty God long preserve his high majesty, the Sultan of the Sultans of the universe, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, ABU-L-MUZAFFAR-I-MAHMUD SHAH, in the pomp of power, decked in the jewels of submission, and adorned with the garment of the services of that KHĀKĀN-I-MU'AZZAM, the Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, to the utmost bounds of possibility in the revolution of time,* for the sake of Muhammad and the whole of his posterity!

We have returned to the subject of this history.

This frail one, in the year 640 H., chanced to undertake a journey to Lakhanawati. On this journey he continued two years with his family and dependents. Trustworthy

But two copies have the correct word here, which is celesial all the rest have the adjec ive derived from the Malik, or Mulk

⁴ Had those, who looked upon the imperfect passages in the Printed Text for "proofs" that this account of Ulugh Khān was written in 1 s reign, read or translated this passage, where "The author continuer in a high itrain of benediction and eulogy," they would have found undoubted proof that Ulugh Khān was not king when this was written. He was, however, father in law to the Sulfan and his Deputy or Lieutenant, in fact, his master, and possessed all the power, and Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Mahmūc Shāh, was a mere puppel. It is somewhat strange that there is not the most remote allusion to Ulugh Khān's having been manumitted throughout this work. It seems scarcely possible that he was still a slave

persons have related on this wise, that, in the year 642 H.5, the Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, became Amīr-i-Ḥājibin the illustrious capital, Dihlī, when the august standards—may victory and success expand them!—moved out of Dihlī, into the Do-āb of the Jūn and Gang, and when he gave the rebels of Jarāli and Datoli, and other independent [Hindū] tribes, a thorough chastisement, and carried on holy war, as by the faith enjoined, and the roads in the adjacent parts of that territory were cleared of the violence of the contumacious. The author of this work [at this time], in conformity with the sublime commands, in company with Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Tughril-i-Tughān Khān, returned from Lakhanawati again with his family and dependents, and arrived at the capital, Dihlī, in the year 643 H.*

In this same year, Mangūtah' the accursed, who was one of the Mughal leaders, and of the Maliks of Turkistān, led an army from the borders of Tāe-kān and Kunduz, into the territories of Sind, and invested the fortress of Ughchah, which is one of the famous strongholds of the country of Sind, and of the territory of Mansūrah. Within

⁶ The Calcutta Printed Text has 642 H, and 641 H, as in Elliot, is incorrect. See page 664, and note ²

⁶ He is thus styred, except at page 810, throughout the remainder of this work, but I shall, for simplicity's sake, merely style him as previously, Ulugh Khān-1-A'zam

Herry copy of the text has واله as above with very trifling differences, and the oldest and best copies have also ومن as above, but some have واله which seems intended for the same, but the copyies have put the points under and made the letter a instead of a and a few have no points at all. I fail to recognize these places. There is a Janowh in Lat 28° 17′, Long 78° 17′, in the Sarvey Map, and a place named attowlf, in Lat 28° 2′, Long 78° 20′

^{*} This is not mentioned under the reign See page 663, and note *

⁹ See page 667, and 735

In some few copies Manguti, which is not correct. In Elliot, vol in page 363, Mr. Dowson makes Mangu Khān of hun, although his name is given correctly in the text. The Great Khān, Mangu Kā'ān, was never east of the Indus in his life.

Two of the three oldest copies have Jallam—Tal kān—here, the third oldest and others Jallan, and Jallan Tāc kān, and some have no points to the third letter, hence it may be read Tāc kān or Tāl-kān. The place intended is that east of "Kundur," and is correctly Tāc-kān as distinct from Tāl-kān of Tukhāristān. I shall have to refer again to these places in the last Section, as they are very liable to b. mistaken one for the other.

The word ''does not mean "equal to" The Printed Text is quite correct here, and "the words" are "as precise" as they are anywhere through-

that fortress a Khwājah Sarāe [Eunuch], one of the servants of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, son of [the late] Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Kabir Khān, Ayāz-i-Hazār-Mardah, named Mukhliş-ud-Din, was the Kotwāl-Bak [Seneschal], and a slaveof Ķabir Khān, Ak-Sunkar, by name, was the Amir-i-Dād [Lord Justiciary],

When intimation of this irruption reached the capital, Malik Ulugh Khān' represented it' for the sublime consideration, and caused an army to be organized for the purpose of repelling the Mughals. While every one of the [other] Amirs and Maliks' was showing indecision about this undertaking, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam showed determination in carrying it out; and, when the royal standards moved for-

out that work See Ellior vol ii. page 363. The very same word, at page 303 of that work, is rendered "lands"

Malk 'Lez-ud-Din, Kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, and his son Tāj-ud-Din, Abū Bikr, are both mentioned at page 727, and there it is stated that, when the Mughals under the Nū-in, Mangūtah, turned their faces towards Lohor, kabir Khān-i-Ayāz, who was feudatory of Multān, assumed sovereignty, and soon after, in 639 H., died. The death of his son is also recorded, but nothing whatever respecting this attack upon Üchchah, which must have happened after the son's death, the date of which is not given

There is an excellent specimen of the lamentable errors that may be caused through not knowing when and where the szāfat.—so "un-Persian," and "never use' to signify son of," according to Mr Blochmann [See Appendix C., xvii., and his "Bengal Contributions," part in page 138], and which "is restricted to pretry, and does not occur in prime!"—ought to be used, in the extract from our author's work given in Elliot. The following is the rendering of this passage in that work, vol. is page 363: "He laid siege to Uch, one of the most renowned fortresses of Sindh, and equal to Mansura. There was a cumuch in (command of) the fort who belonged to the household of Tapid din. Abil Bakr-Kabir Khân Aksunkar was chief justice, and Mukhitus-d-dis well korwal." This last rendering is well worthy Mr Blochmann's attention.

Here we have Tāj-ud-Dīn, Abū-Bikr's title and name separated onto two parts, then his name is given to his father. Kabīr Khān, and the father's name and title, and his son's name also, are all given to Kabīr Khān's SLAVE whose name was Ak-Sunkar. I need but add that, in this instance, the Calcutta "official" Text is perfectly correct with the exception of not having all the father's names and titles recorded as above had they all been contained in it, what a number might not have been heaped upon the slave! Kabīr Khān's titles, and his son's will be found at pages 724—727

4 He is thus styled in the text, but did not receive the title of Ulugh Khan until many years after—in 664 H At this period he was Malik Ghyas ud-Din, Balban, only

⁴ Not "his views" He brought it to the Sulfan's notice by virtue of the office he held. He was the cause of an army's being got ready. See page 667

⁴ See note 9, page 807

ward towards that [threatened] quarter, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—Be his power prolonged!—despatched guides in advance on the line of route, so that [the troops] used to get over the marches with rapidity. He was wont to represent to the troops that the [next] halting-ground would be about eight kuroh? off, and [consequently] about twelve kuroh, and even more than that they used to march, until the forces reached the banks of the Biāh, and passed over that river, and he conducted them to the banks of the river Rāwah [Rāwi] of Lohor.

In this manner used he to show such-like determination on this expedition, and such lion-heartedness, and was wont to stimulate the Sultan and Maliks to repel the infidel Mughals, until Monday, the 25th of the month of Sha'ban, 643 H, when intimation reached the royal camp that the army of infidel Mughals had raised the investment of the fortress of Uchchah. The cause of it was, that, on reaching the vicinity of the river Biah, Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam appointed couriers, and directed so that they wrote letters from the sublime presence to the garrison of the fort of Uchchah, and announced to them the approach of the royal standards, the vast numbers of the array and elephants, the host of cavalry with the army, and the courage of the soldiery in attendance at the august stirrup, and despatched them towards the fortress of Uchchah. A division from the army was moved on in front, to act as a reconnoitring force and advance guard

When the couriers reached the vicinity of Cchchah, a few of these letters fell into the hands of the host of the accursed, and some reached the people of the fortress. On the drum of joy being beaten in the fort, and the subject of the letters, the advance of the victorious army, and approach of the royal standards, becoming manifest to the

⁷ His object, in making the troops believe that the marches were much shorter than they were in icality, can be easily seen through, but compare Elliot, vol. ii. page 363

There is nothing in the text about "reaching Labore:" it is the Rawah [in some, Rawi] of Lohor See also page 736, and page 793

As the Bih and Rawi then flowed, before the Sutlar ran in its present bed, the Dilhi forces would have been in a position to threaten the Mughal line of retreat, as stated farther on, and would have marched down the Do-abah and reached Uchchab without having any other river to cross. See the note on the Lost River or Hakyā, and the changes in the beds of the Panjāb rivers.

accursed Mangūtah, and the cavalry of the advance guard approaching the banks of the river Biāh of Lohor, near to the frontiers of the territory of Sind, fear and terror became manifest in the heart and spirit of the Mughal [leader], and the favour of the Creator became a helper of the Dihli army].

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, when Mangūtah became aware of the advance of the army of Islām, and approach of the royal standards, and that the army moved towards the river Biāh, near the skirts of the mountains, and from thence, in the same manner, was marching along the banks of that river, he made inquiry of some persons what might be the reason of the deviation of the army of Islām towards the skirts of the hills, because that was a longer route, while that by way of Sursuti and Marūṭ was near. They replied that, on account of the number of islands on the banks of the river there might

- See under the reign of Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, page 667.
- 1 Not " prisoners " necessarily.

³ Compare Elliot, vol 11. page 364, where Marilt 15 supposed to be intended for Mirat. It is the same place as mentioned at page 350 of the same volume, where the same error is made. See also page 688

Marij; is a well known place. It is a small town with a bastioned wall, in the direct route from Dihlf to Bahāwal-pūr and Uchchah, and to Bahāwal-pūr and Multān. It is sally about five digrect west of "Mirat," if that eact of Dihlf is referred to Bahāwal-pūr is, comparatively, quite a modern town. The Mughals seem to have been pretty well acquainted with the geography of these parts.

² Sic in MSS. Long narrow banks of sand, probably extending in some places for several miles, and, sometimes, of some height, are doubtless meant here, such as are formed after the annual mundations, with water, sometimes of considerable depth, between. These would have caused great obstructions, and have taken much time to cross, and, therefore, the forces of Diblif kept faither north, and made their march a flank movement at the same time, which may have been the original intention. In what direction they went may be seen farther on.

The above passage, as rendered in Elliot, is quoted by the writer of an interesting article in one of the numbers of the Calcutta Review for 1874, entitled "The Lost River of the Indian Desert," to prove his theory respecting it; but the passage in question is not correct in the Calcutta Printed Text, neither is it quite correctly rendered in the translation referred to. The word in the printed text which is supposed to mean "figures" [plural], namely per is but part of the word plure—signifying uslands, &c.; and, moreover, the writer in the Calcutta Review does not quote Elliot correctly. He says, "It is stated in the Tabakát-i-Násiri that when Uchh was besieged by the Mughals in 643 H. (A D. 1245) the army sent to its relief was maskle to marrie by Sarsun and Marci, in consequence of the drought on the banks.

not be a road for the army of Islām. Mangūtah remarked: "This is a vast army: we have not the power to resist it: it is necessary to retire;" and fear overcame him and his army lest, if they remained longer, their line of retreat should be cut off. Their army was formed into three divisions, and routed, they fled, and numerous captives, both Musalmān and Hindū, obtained their liberty [in consequence]. The instrument of that success was the vigour, the military talent, intrepidity, and zeal of Ulugh Khān-i-A'ṣam', for, had he not shown such lion-heartedness and heroism, such a success would not have resulted. Almighty God of His favour and beneficence have him in His keeping!

After such a success came to pass, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented that it was advisable that the royal forces should move towards the river Sūdharah, in order that their strength, their number, valour, and energy might be established in the hearts and minds of the enemy, and, according to that counsel, the army marched to the banks of the

of the river." Neither is drought nor mahilus to much incutioned in our author's text, and, even in the passage in LLLIO1, there is not a word about drought

The lower part of the course of the present Ghārah, which formed, or close to which lay, at the period in question, the bed of the Biāh, before they flowed in the same channel, had low banks of soft alluvial earth which were over-flowed to the extent of several miles on occasion of the slightest swell

I shall probably have to refer to this article on the "Lost RIVER" again when I come to the account of the investment of Cchchah in the next Section. The mention of this lost river is by no means new a great deal respecting it is contained in a geographical work in Persian, written in the last century from a personal survey, and to which excellent work I have often referred in hese notes.

4 Compare this passage in Elliot.

Our author had forgotten, probably, that he had just before attributed this avourable upshot of the affair to Divine aid, and forgets to mention, here, the side spread disaffection, at this very time, in 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah's irmy See page 668.

I think it is rather doubtful, from our author's own words, in his previous accounts of this reign, whether Ulugh Khān possessed such power at this time. As Amfr-i-Hājib, no doubt it was very considerable, but there were a great number of powerful Maliks living at this period, who brought about the lethronement of 'Alā-ud-Dia, Mas'ūd Shāh, and set up his uncle, Nāṣir-ud-Ma, Maḥmūd Shāh. Our author does not mention Ulugh Khān's having ad anything in particular to do with that matter, and would scarcely have mitted to mention it, had he been the instrument of the latter's accession to he throne.

river Südharah, until, on the 27th of the month of Shawwäl, 643 H., the army set out from the banks of the Südharah on its return to the capital, Dihli, which was reached on Monday, the 12th of the month of Zi-Ḥijjah, of the same year.

During this short time, the disposition of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, received a change towards the Maliks; and, for the greater part of that [time] that he was wont to be invisible to the army, malignity had become established in his mind. The whole of the Maliks, in league together, wrote secretly and surreptitiously, and tendered their allegiance to Sultān' Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, and besought him to put his august standards in motion [towards the capital], for the purpose of assuming the sovereignty. On Sunday, the 23rd of the month of Muharram', 644 II, he reached the capital accordingly, and ascended the throne of sovereignty—May he be preserved for many years!

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam' represented [to the new Sultān] "Since the Khutbah and coin of the kingdom are adorned with the august Naṣiri name, and, in the past year, the army of the accursed [Mughals], having fled before the forces of Islām, are gone towards the upper country, it may be advisable that the royal forces should march towards the upper [parts] 1." In accordance with this expedient counsel 3, the expedition to the upper parts was determined upon; and, on Monday, the 1st of the month of Rajab, 644 H, the sublime standards moved out of the capital, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in using celerity and getting over the stages, continued to strive, until the banks of the river Sūdharah were reached. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam,

⁶ This movement is not mentioned at all under the reign — See page 668, and page 678, and note ¹. Our author seems to have confused his statements here.

I That is, who became Sulfan subsequently. He was simply Mairk Naşırud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, at this time

⁸ The same day on which 'Ala-ad-Din, Mas'ad Shah, was wired and imprisoned.

What office Ulugh Khān held, after the accession of the new Sultan, is not mentioned, but we may assume that he was confirmed in his former office of Amir-i-Hāṇh.

¹ Compare Elliot here.

² The R. A. S. MS is defective from this word, to the words "sacred knot," page 820.

³ The Calcutta Printed Text is defective here

with the Maliks and Amirs of Islām [with their contingents], separated from the army on an expedition to the Jūd Hills in order to wreak vengeance on the Rānah of those Hills, who, in the previous year, had acted as guide to the Mughal army.

With that object they pushed onwards, and the Jud Hills and parts adjacent to the river I lam f [] lilam they assailed; and the army of Islam carried its incursions, and ravaged [the country], as far as the banks of the river Sind. and despoiled Jas-Pal, Sihra [or Schra], and the whole of his tribes '. The Musalman force was taken over the river Iilam [Ihilam], and carried its ravages as far as the banks of the river Sind, in such wise, that all women, families, and dependents of the infidels who were in those parts, took to flight, and a body [of men] from the army of the infidel Mughals came to the ferries of the Illam [Ihilam], and beheld the lines of the Musalman troops serving under Ulugh Khān-1-A'zam, and fear fell upon their hearts, at the number of troops composing his army, the number of cavalry in defensive armour, and the abundance of arms. and war material, and they wondered greatly, and great terror took possession of that gathering. That vigour, military organization, and overthrowing of enemies, in the assault of mountain heights, the gorges of mountain passes. and of ravines, the capturing of strong places and forts. and penetrating of forests, which Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam displayed, cannot be contained within the area of recital, and the fame of that holy warfare extended as far as the land of Turkistan.

In this tract, as there were neither fields nor tillage, supplies were not to be obtained, and, of necessity, Ulugh

⁴ Maliks and Amirs are not necessarily "generals"

³ This plainly indicates that the Mughals came through the Sind-Sagar Doaliah, and accounts for the flank much of Sulfan 'Alä-ud-Din, Mas @d Shah's army

⁶ Around Nandanah, and the territory of the Khokhar tribe See under the reign, page 678

⁷ Many copies of the text are defective here.

^{*} Compare E11101 also The Mughals would have scarcely "crossed over the Jadam" [Jihlam?] the same side as the Dihlī army was, when they were so terrified at Uhigh Khai,'s host, unless they wished to become captives.

What a pity that our author did not deem it necessary to name some of them 1 Beyond the Julian

Khān-i-A'ṣam was compelled to return again. When he presented himself in the presence of the Court, victorious, triumphant, and safe, with the whole of the troops, and the Amirs and Maliks who were along with him, the sublime standards moved to return again towards the illustrious capital, Dihli, on Thursday, the 25th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 644 H. On Thursday, the 2nd of the month of Muharram, 645 H., the capital was reached.

Since, through the firmness of counsel, and the justness of determination of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, the army of Turkistan and Mughal had beheld those exploits and that military organization, during this year, 645 H., not a single man, from the parts above, came towards the territory of Sind Therefore, in the month of Sha'ban of this same vear. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented for the sublime consideration, "it is advisable, that, during this year, the sublime standards should be put in motion for the purpose of ravaging and carrying on holy war in the extreme parts of the territory of Hindustan , in order that the independent [Hindū] tribes, and Rāes and Rānahs, who, during the last few years, have not been punished, may receive a thorough chastisement that booty may fall into the hands of the troops of Islam, and means to repel the infidel Mughals, in the shape of wealth, may be amassed."

In accordance with that prudent counsel, the august standards were put in motion towards Hindustan, and moved down the middle of the Do-abah of the Gang and Jun; and, after much fighting with the infidels, the army gained possession of the fort of Talsandah. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam,

³ The Sultăn remained with his camp, and a great portion of his forces, on the banks of the Sudharah or Chināb during this raid to the Suid or Indus

³ That is from the Sind-Sägar Do-ābah, and west of the Indus—It seems that the Mughals, previous to this, made almost yearly raids upon the border tracts of Sind and Multân.

⁴ In the Antarbed Do-āb, E of Dihlī, and in Awailh

The word Nandanah, contained in the Calcutta Printed Text only, is totally incorrect Nandanah is in the Sind-Sagar Do abab. The correct word is given in a foot-note in the Printed Text, but, in Eletiott, the former is copied. See foot-note of page 347 to that work also

At page 679, under the events of the year 645 H, it is stated to have been situated within the limits of Kinnauj. See note 1 to that page.

The word is plainly written, in the three best copies of the text, and in two others and the only variation, in other coud comes is defined and the only variation.

with others of the Maliks of Islām, and troops, were despatched to coerce Dalaki of Malaki, and he was a Rānah in the vicinity of the river Jūn, which is between Kālinjar and Karah, whom the Rāes of the parts around Kālinjar and Mālwah a used not to be able to gain superiority over, on account of the number of his dependents and followers, the immensity of his wealth, the difficulty of the routes, the stability of position, the strength of the narrow, winding, defiles, the denseness of numerous forests, and strong mountains, places, which had never, at any time, been reached by Musalmān troops.

When Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam reached the locality where that Rānah had taken up his position, and the place of his abode, he displayed so much perseverance in his own defence, and the defence of his family and children, that, from the time of early morning until the period of evening prayer, he remained; and, when night came, he repeated the invocation of flight, and removed from that place to [other] strong positions*. When the day broke, the troops of Islam entered that place and dwelling of his, and [afterwards] pursued him. That accursed one had ascended the high mountains, and had withdrawn to a place, to enter the narrow defiles of which was impossible without the greatest contrivance, and the aid of ropes and ladders'. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam stimulated the Musalman troops to holy warfare, and, animated by his entreaties, commands, and gestures, they took the place'. They captured the whole of the Ranah's family, kinsmen, and children. together with cattle, and horses, and captives, in great number; and such an amount of booty fell into the hands of the troops of Islam that the conception of the arithmetician would be helpless in recounting it.

The Raes of Kalinjar and Malwah are not referred to. The text has, as rendered above مرايان اطراف الاحراد مالود --- عالم و مالود المرافعة الاحراد المرافعة

⁷ This shows the fallacy of reading "Chin" instead of Uljain, referred to in note 2, page 517, when the Sulfans of Dihli, half a century subsequent to the period there mentioned, had not subdued the Hindu rulers of these parts so near their very capital

^{*} Compare Ellitor here, page 366-367, vol. ii.

Here the hill tracts extending to the left bank of the Son are evidently referred to.

¹ Our author appears not to have known the name of the place in question.

On the last day of the month of Shawwal, 645 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with vast booty, rejoined the Sultān's camp [at Karah']; and, after the festival of the Azhā, the sublime standards moved forward to return to the capital. An account of the whole of that expedition and holy warfare is composed [by the author] in verse, in a separate book, and that book has been named the Nāṣiri Nāmah. On the 24th of the month of Muharram, 646 H., the capital was reached.

Subsequently, in the month of Sha'bān, 646 H., the royal standards moved towards the upper provinces as far as the extreme confines, and the bank of the river Biāh, and from thence returned again to the capital.

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, along with other Maliks under his orders, with numerous forces, was appointed to proceed towards Rantabhūr⁸, and to ravage the Koh-payah of Mewāt, and the territory of Nāhar Dīw⁸, who was greatest of all the Rāes of Hindūstān The whole country, and the

2 The word used is 2- which does not signify "beginning," but the contrary

There is considerable discrepancy here. Under the events of this year at page 681, it is said that Karah was reached, by the Sulfin, on the 12th of Zi-Ka'dah—the 'Īd-i-Aṣḥā is on the 10th—and that, though discrepancy to that date, Ulugh Khān, and other great Maliks associated with him, had been

dest stehed on this expedition

On the march back from Karah, Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas ud Shāh, the Sulţān's brother, who held the fief of Kinnauj, presented himself to the Sulṭan, and the fiefs of Sanbhal and Budā'ün were assigned him. The prince, som after, for some reason or other, became frightened or disaffected, and fied to Lāhor, abandoning his fiefs. I shall have more to say, respecting this mysterious matter, in the next Section. The march to the Biah, mentioned a few lines under, was evidently connected with his flight in some way, but, strange to say, under the reign it is not mentioned, and an expedition "against the infidels of the hills and plains" is stated to have been undertaken in that month and year, and the despatch of forces towards Rantabhūr is afterwards mentioned. See page 684, and page 793, and note. See also where Sher Khān-1-Sunkar is mentioned as having joined Jalal-ud-Dīn, Mas ūd Shah, at page 793, and note.

⁸ This stronghold had been taken by I-yal timish in 623 H, but, after his death, the Hindus had closely invested it; and, in Raziyyat's reign, the garrison was withdrawn, and the fort destroyed. See page 642

The Calcutta Printed Text refers its readers to page remains if this chief were one and the same with Chāhar, the Ajār, mentioned at page 691, which see Thomas [PATHÁN KINGS OF ID-HI f., page 125] also falls into the same error. Ulugh Khān did not make war upon Chāhir, the Ajai, reside, but once. This Nāhar Dīw is a different person altogether. See pages 824 and 828, farther on.

confines of that territory were ravaged, and a large amount of booty was acquired, and, at the foot of the fort of Rantabhūr, on Sunday, the 11th? of the month of Zi-Hijjah, 646 H., Malik Bahā-ud-Din, I-bak, the Khwājah, attained martyrdom. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was engaged [at this time] in holy warfare on another side of the fortress, and his dependents were [also] occupied in battle and holy warfare, and despatched numbers of the infidels to hell. Immense booty, and invaluable property was acquired, and the Musalmān troops were made rich with plunder, and returned to the sublime presence.

In this year, the royal mind evinced a desire to enter into a matrimonial alliance with the family of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam*, who, every year, in leading the forces, and efforts in the service of the sublime Court, continued to display praiseworthy proofs, to such degree that no monarch has ever had a servant who, having attained the dignity of Khān and Malik, possessed a greater soul or more august temperament than Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, of honour greater, of counsel more prudent, in leading armies more intrepid, in overthrowing foes more victorious, more worthy of being dignified with the honour of a matrimonial alliance with His Majesty, the Sultan-ul-A'zam, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, ABC-L-MUZAFF AR-I-MAHMOD SHAH-whose dominion and sovereignty may God long continue!--and, by virtue of that alliance, labours for the glory of the kingdom, and the destruction of enemies in adjacent parts were likely to be increased

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with due reverence and submission to command, complied, and repeated the saying: "The slave and what he possesses is the property of his master," and that union became completed by the sacred

[?] In a few copies, the 15th, but, under the reign, the 11th of the month is also mentioned.

Mr Dowson, who translates the account of Ulugh Khan in Elliot, renders this passage thus "In the course of this year his majesty was fleased to recognize the great ability of his general. He therefore promote dam from the rank of Malek. See," and adds in a foot-note, "Many lines of culogy and the compressed into this short but adequate statement." This adequate and compressed statement, as may be seen, leaves out all about the marriage of the Sulfan to Ulugh Khan's daughter, in fact, both the following paragraphs given above. See also page 665 and note 4

knot, on Monday, the 20th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 647 H., and the verse, "He hath let loose the two seas which meet together.... Out of them come forth pearls and coral'," was made manifest. May the Most High God, during the lifetime of the Sultān-i-A'zam, and high position of Ulugh Khān-i-Mu'azzam¹, continue those[?] Princes, in the Shamsi dominion and sovereignty, life-heirs of the whole of the monarchs³, for the sake of Muhammad and the whole of his house!

After such a propitious event happened, which must have been the result of the felicitous conjunction of the stars, the status of Ulugh Khān was raised, from the rank of Malik and Amir-i-Ḥājib, to the dignity and eminent position of Khān, and on Tuesday, the 3rd of the month of Rajab, 647 H, [a mandate] issued from the sublime Court, conferring the Deputy-ship of the kingdom and leader-ship of the forces, with the title and name of Ulugh Khān [the Great Lord], upon that incomparable individual of august disposition, and, in truth, one might say, "titles come down from Heaven," for, from that day forward, the Nāṣirī rule acquired additional freshness from the zealous services, and heroism, of Ulugh Khān.

On the title of Ulugh Khan being conferred upon him, his brother, who was Amīr-1-Akhur—that beneficent and humane Malik, of pure morals and excellent disposition—Saif-ul-Ḥakk wa ud-Dīn, Kashli Khān-1-, I-bak-us-Sultāni—on whom be peace!—became Amīr-1-Ḥājib, and Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-1-Tez-Khān, at that time, became Deputy Amīr-i-Ḥājib, and the Malik-ul-Ḥujjāb [Head of the Chamberlains], 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Ayāz, the Zinjāni, became the Deputy Wakīl-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār], who

⁹ Kur'An Chap Lv verses 19-22 'sale's translation is somewhat different -- "He hath let loose the two seas that they meet each another. .
From them are taken forth unions and lesser pearls."

¹ He makes a distinction here, and Ulugh Khān, who, upon other occasions, gets the title of A'sam, receives the lesser title

³ Such is the original, but it would seem more natural that during the lifetime and eminence of Ulugh Khān an heir might be born to the Sultan, and the Shamsi line be perpetuated.

³ Up to this date his correct title was Malik Ghivag-ud-Din, Balban, only This our author means, although he styles him by the title he held when this work was written.

⁴ Hujjab is the plural of Hajib, and, therefore, there must have been

is my son and the light of my eyes, and adorned with all laudable qualifications, of whom no stronger encomium is needed than that of his loyalty to Ulugh Khān's service, and may such augment! The assignment of these appointments took place on Friday, the 6th of the month of Rajab, 647 H., and the Deputy Amir-i-Ākhur, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Aet-kin, the Long-haired, became Amir-i-Ākhur.

Subsequently, on Monday, the 9th of the month of Sha'ban, 647 H., he [Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam] moved from the capital [with the troops] for the purpose of [undertaking an expedition against the infidels, and, at the ford of the river lun, the camp was pitched, and they engaged in holy warfare and hostilities against the infidels, the independent [Hindū] tribes around, when intelligence reached this author, from Khurāsān, from his sister, and her lonesomeness affected his heart much. He proceeded to the camp and waited upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and laid the matter before him, who gave him such support and showed so much kindness as cannot be recounted, and conferred a dress of honour upon this his devoted servant. Minhāj-i-Sarāj, presented him with a bay horse saddled and bridled, a great roll of gold brocade cloth, and the grant of a village producing about thirty thousand jitals; and, up to this present date, that grant comes to this sincere votary every year May Almighty God make this the cause of the augmentation of Ulugh Khan's dignity and power, and make him victorious and triumphant over the enemies

some difference between the Amir-i-Hājib—Lord Chamberlain—and the Malik-ul-Ḥujjāb—Head or Chief of the Chamberlains, or Janutors. Such an officer has never before been mentioned in this work

4 He may have been our author's son in law, adopted sen, or a favourite disciple so styled. He would not be styled the Zinjāni-native of Zinjāni-had he been his son in reality. Jurjāni would have been given him here if he were. In one of the best copies of the text he is styled i-bak.

Under the reign, our author says "Hindus" merely The troops moved from Dihli on the 22nd of Shawwil, the Jun was crossed, and the camp pitched on the left bank, on Sunday, the 4th of Sha'bān. The infidels must have been very close at hand for the troops to be able to undertake operations against them immediately they crossed the Jun.

⁷ All from this place, to the end of this and the two following paragraphs, is left out entirely in ELLIOT [vol. ii. page 368] as "matters personal of the author," and page 350 is referred to, where the forty captives are turned into "a hundred beauty of burden," itc., noticed at page 686, note?

of the faith! Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam represented the situation of this servant of the state, and his anxieties, to His Majesty; and, on Sunday, the 2nd of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 647 H., a command was issued from the sublime Court for forty chains of captives, and a hundred ass-loads of presents to be transmitted to the sister of the author into Khurāsān—May the Most High God continue the Nāṣirī dynasty and dominion until the conclusion of time's revolution, for bestowing so many benefits!

On Monday, the 29th of the month of Zi-Ka'dāh, of this same year, the author proceeded on a journey from the capital to Multān of for the purpose of despatching the gifts to Khurāsān. On the road, on reaching every town, city, or fort, held by the dependents and servants of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the servants of that household showed the author so much reverence and honour that the eye of sense would be fatigued in recording it—may God accept them all for it! On Wednesday, the 6th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 648 H., Multān was reached, and the author proceeded as far as the bank of the river Jilam [Jhilam] After having despatched those captives and loads to Khurāsīn, for a period of two months the author

The Printed Text here, as well as in the former instance, has "forty chains of cap ves," but the editors seem astonished at it, from the note of interrogation added, and, in a foot-note, they put a piece upon it, by making them "forty chain of elephants loaded with captives [as contained in one copy of the text] and several ass-loads".

There are certain technical and idiomatic words applied to men and animals, and other things, in use in the East, which the mere tyro in Oriental languages is expected to be acquainted with, and such a term our author has applied to these captives, at page 686, where the term nafar-person—which is applied only to human beings, is used , sanjar—chain—is applied to dephants generally, but, here, is not quite incorrect, as the captives were, no doubt, secured by chains, and rā—head—to oxen and horses, Se, just as we apply corry to partridges, shool to fish, swarm to bees, latter to puppies and pigs, and so on, but I never heard of separately applied to think any one ever did.

⁹ Our author's object in going to Multan for this purpose arose avidently from the fact that Lahor and the upper parts of the Panjab were in the hands of the Mughals and Khokhars, and he had to send the captives by one of the other, and more southern routes into Khurāsān

¹ At prige 688 also, our author says he reached Multan on the 6th, the same day on which Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlu Khan, reached it, but, at prige 782, he says that Malik reached Multan on the 2nd of Rabi'-ul- \www.nod that he arrived himself two days subsequently

⁻ According to the theory advanced in the article on the "Lost River"

happened to stay in the army of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlü Khān, at the foot of the walls of the fortress of Multān, for the air was still exceeding hot. When the rainy season set in, and the rains of compassion fell', on the 26th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, the author set out on his return from Multān, and, on the 22nd of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, reached the capital again.

At this period the Kāzi-ul-Kuzāt [Kāzi of Kāzis]. Jalal-ud-Din, the Käsani-on whom be peace and pardon! -was Kāzi of the realm of Hindustan; and, when the term of the days of that unique one of his age came to conclusion, great countenance and favour was shown by Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam towards this devoted suppliant, and his invaluable support was the means of this servant of his power being entrusted anew with the office of the Kāzi-ship of the kingdom, and he [Ulugh Khān] submitted it for the sublime consideration. On Sunday, the 10th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 649 H.5 for the second time, the Kāzi-ship of the realm was consigned to the author-May Almighty God, continual and enduring preserve the Sultan of Sultans, Nasır-ud-Dunya wa ud-Din, Abū-l-Muzaffar-i-Mahmud Shah, upon the throne of sovereignty, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in the royal audience hall of power'l

previously referred to respecting the Sutlay, as no river is here mentioned by our author between Dihlf and the Jhilam, all the others must have left their beds or become dried up

³ It would appear, from the above remark, that a great change has taken place since this period, for the effects of the mirrow do not now extend to Multin, and, while further east they are enjoying the coolness of the ramy season, at Multin and parts adjacent, and in Sind, the heat is at its height. I have known rain fall for a few hours at a time now and then in August, and some good showers, towards the close of the monsoon, in September, but the heat is not much lessened, except for a short time after rain, until about the close of the latter month.

The date on which our author left Multan to return to Dihli was about the rul of June, the hottest part of the hot season in these days, a fearful time to have to cross the Indian desert. The fact of our author setting out from Multan, and proceeding to Dihli by way of Abühar [page 687], is a pretty conclusive proof that, at the period in question, the now Lost River must have fertilized those parts.

6 See under Näşir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, sixth year, for other events of this period.

⁵ Here end the "matters personal of the author" referred to in the previous note 7, page 821.

818, which see

On Tuesday, the 25th of the month of Sha'ban, 649 H., the sublime standards moved towards the territory of Mālwah and Kāliniar7. When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the troops of Islam, arrived in those parts, he overthrew Chāhar, Ajāri , who was a great Rānah, with a numerous following, and a multitude of dependents and people, and who possessed ample resources of horses and arms, and rooted him out from his country. This Ranah of [?] Ajari, who was named Chāhar, was a great man, impetuous, and experienced; and has been previously mentioned. In the reign of the august Sultan, Shams-ud-Dunya wa ud-Dinon whom be peace! - [namely] in the year 632 H., the troops of Islām from Bhīānah, Sultān-kot, Kinnauj, Mahir, Mahāwan, and Gwaliyur, were despatched for the purpose of ravaging the territory of Kālinjar and Jamū, the leader of which forces was Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yas'ī, the Mu'izzi, who for manhood, competency, judgment, vigour, military talents, and expertness, has been distinguished above all his compeers, the Maliks of that time. For a period of fifty days', they proceeded on that expedition, from Gwaliyur, and vast booty was acquired, to such degree that, for this short

7 Une we the reign, page 690, it is stated that the troops moved towards Gwallyür, Chandiri, Nurwul, and Malwah

After also, in all the copies of the text collated, the words are جاهر احاري and الماني — which, from the mode in which they are written, mean, that Chāhar was his name, and Ajārī [Achārya] the name of his caste or title, but, three lines under, he is styled الماني — which, if the kamsah [·] is correct, can only be read, from the original, as above, thus tending to show that our author considered the word المانية — Ajārī—to be the name of his territory, for, immediately after, he says his name was Chāhar. In the account of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, Tā-yas¹, page 733, the Rāe of Kālinjar is mentioned as well as Rānah Chāhar, and there the latter is called Rānah of Ajār See also page 691. The Mir¹ā¹i--Jahān-Numā has what may be read either Māhar, Bāhar, or Nāhar Dīw, but it must refer to the Rāe of Rantahhūr mentioned at page

This name does not occur in two copies of the text, and there is great probability that the word partial Jamü—is an error for Jamü.—Damow or Damü, a place giving name to a parganah, about 46 miles E. of Saugor [Sāgar], in Lat. 22° 50', Long 79° 30'

The first word might easily be written by mistake, for the latter, and there would be no error in the direction.

1 There is not a word in the text about marching "on fifty days from Gwalior," as in ELLIOT.

⁶ It appears that, from the time Ulugh Khān was raised to the dignity of Deputy or Lieutenant of the realm, Nāşur-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, very seldom accompanied has armies as before, but left all to Ulugh Khān's energy.

period, the Sultān's share of a fifth amounted to nearly twenty-two laks. In short, at the time of returning from Kālinjar, the passage of the army of Islām lay [through the territory of] this Rānah of Ajāri, and that Rānah had seized the route of the Musalmān forces in the narrow ravines leading from the [banks of the] river Gārānah [or Kārānah].

The author of this book heard from the mouth of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Tā-yasa'i, himself, [who said]:-" Never, in Hindüstan, did a foe see my back, [but] that Hindüak [Hindu fellow] of Ajarl made an attack upon me in such wise that you might say it was a wolf falling goon a flock of sheep. It was necessary to turn aside before him, until I emerged from another direction, attacked, and routed him." This anecdote has been related in order that readers [of these pages] may understand to what degree was the genius and success of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, that, with one onslaught, he overthrew and routed such an enemy, and wrested out of his possession the fort of Nurwul ', which is a famous stronghold, and, on that expedition and inroad, he displayed such sagacity and promptitude, and performed such exploits fagainst the infidels], as will remain a record on the face of time.

On Monday, the 23rd of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 650 H., the sublime standards returned to Dihli again, and, for a period of six months, the troops continued at the capital of the empire, the city of Dihli, until Monday, the 12th of the month of Shawwal, when the sublime standards moved towards the upper provinces and the river Biāh; and, at this period, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, was feudatory of Budā'ūn, and Malik Kutlugh Khān feudatory of Bhiānah, and both Maliks were requested by

At page 733, the sum is 25 laks.

He was coming up from the river, not going down towards it from the statement above. This does not agree with the details given in the account of Malik Nugrat-ud-Dîn, Tâ-yas'î, at page 773, in fact, tather tends to contradict them. Compare ELLIOT, vol. ii page 369

⁴ See page 690. Nurwul and Nurwur are one and the same thing.

At page 692, seven months, until the 22nd of Shawwil. One of the oldest copies has Sha'ban.

Towards Lobor, with the intention of marching to Uchehah and Multan, but the Blah was the farthest point reached. See pages 692, and 767, and page 783, and note 2.

His Majesty [to attend him]. Both these Maliks, with the whole of the other Maliks, were present in attendance during this expedition, at the audience tent of majesty.

When the sublime standards reached the districts on the river Biah, 'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan secretly plotted with the Maliks, and began to excite them all greatly to envy of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's power, and malicious eyes were beginning to regard his brilliant position with repugnance, and they conspired whether, in some hunting-ground, or the defiles of some passes, or in crossing some river, they might not injure or afflict the sacred person and august body of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam: "They endeavour to extinguish the light of God with [the breath of] their mouths, but God rejects aught but the perfection of His light "," continued to shield the Ulugh Khani good fortune with His own protection, and gave no opportunity to his enemies to injure his gentle nature and noble person. Since that which was conceived in the hearts of that faction was not easily carried out, they concerted together, and, assembling before the entrance of the royal tent, represented for the regal consideration, that it was advisable that a mandate should be conveyed to Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam that he should proceed towards his own fief", and to this effect 'they caused a mandate to be conveyed to him: and, from the halting-place of Hasirah', on Tuesday, the last day 2 of the month of Muharram, 651 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with his retinue and family and dependents. departed towards Hānsi³

- 7 Kur'an, chap ix. verse 32
- Not "estates," but a province

⁹ Compare Ellior here. There is nothing in the text about "indirectly" or about being "brought about in a left-handed way." The Printed Text has the left wing of an army - instead of the name of a halting place.

- Exactly where to look for it, as we do not know from what part they were returning. The following are the different ways in which it is written, as near as types will permit and an expectation one, and the Calciutta Printed Text have some which means the left wing of an army, left side, &c., which in ELLIO1, as said above, has been turned into "brought about in a left-handed way," but the words "halting place" along with it show that it is intended for the name of a place of some sort. See also under the reign, page 693, and note?
 - 3 Under the reign in first of the month here last day.
- The name of this place is derived from the tribe named Hans.

When the sublime standards returned again to the capital, and the prickings of the thorn of envy towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam were afflicting the darkness-filled heart of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, he, consequently, represented for the royal consideration: "It may be advisable that a command should be issued to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to proceed to Nāg-awr, and that the Hānsi territory should be given in charge to one of the Princes of the Universe" — May God long preserve their lives! In conformity with that counsel the sublime standards moved in the direction of Hānsi in order that Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam should depart to Nāg-awr'; and this undertaking was entered upon in the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 651 H.

On reaching Hansi, 'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan became Wakil-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bar], and he took into his own hands the direction of affairs within the hall of the pavilion of majesty, and, according to the promptings of that envy and malevolence, the office of Kazi of the kingdom was taken from this servant of the state, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in the month of Rajab of the before-mentioned year, and was committed to Kāzi Shams-ud-Din. the Bhara'ii; and, on the 17th [27th?] of the month of Shawwal, [the Sultan and his forces] returned to the capital. Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khan,-on whom be peace!-who was the brother of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the Amir-i-Hājib", they sent to the fief of Karah, and the office of Deputy Amir-i-Haiib was consigned to Malik 'Izz-ud-Din-i-Balban', the son-in-law of Kutlugh Khān. Every one holding an office or employment which

In the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal

⁸ This, in other words, was to out him from the fief of Hāmī and confine him to that of Nāg-awr. See note ⁸, below.

⁶ Differently stated under the reign, page 694, which see. There it is stated that he became Wakli-i-Dar, after returning to the capital.

The words عرافق عال are rendered, in Elliot, page 370, "the royal orders," but, at page 369, the same words are rendered "the royal abode"

At page 695 our author says Prince Rukn-ud-Din [Firiz Shih] was nominated to the office of Amir-i-Häjib and the fief of Hänsi. See also note 8 to that page, and page 798.

This does not refer to Mahk 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-1-Kaahlü Khān, but to the person who, subsequently, in 657 H., became ruler of Lakhanawati--'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-1-Yüz-Baki. See page 770, and note 9 at page 775, para. 4.

appertained to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's patronage was removed and transferred; and the established affairs of a quiet kingdom were deranged by the pernicious counsel of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān.

During the period that Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam had gone to Nag-awr, he led the troops of Islam towards the territory of Rantabhur, Bhundi ', and Chitrur. The Rae of Rantabhur, Nahar Diw , who is the greatest of the Raes, and the most noble and illustrious of the Maliks of Hind. assembled an army in order that perchance he might be able to inflict a disaster upon Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam. Since the Most High and Holy God had willed that the renown of His Highness, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, for victory, triumph, and success, should endure upon the records of time, the whole of that army of Rae Nahar Diw, notwithstanding it was very numerous, well provided with arms, and elephants, with choice horses, and famous Rawats 1, he put to the rout, and the heroic men sent great numbers of the enemy to hell. Vast booty was captured, and horses and captives * bevond computation were taken. Safe and rich, under the protection of the Creator, Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam returned again to the province of Nag-awr, and that place, through his felicitous presence, became a large city.

When the new year of 652 H. came round, a change took

¹ His own contingent of troops—the forces of his fiel.

^{*} Also spelt Bhunds. The "official" Printed Text, by a great blunder, makes Hinds of this well-known place, and, in ELLIOT, of course, it is the same.

This was the second time Ulugh Khan had encountered him. In some copies here, as well as in a previous place, we have him Bahir or Bahar, but in others with Nahar, and in some was well as in a Rapput name.

According to Tod, the state and city of Boondee, as he calls it, was only founded in the year [S. ?] 1342--A D 1286, and yes this, our author's work, was finished in A.D 1259!

⁴ Champions, heroes, in the vernacular.

bardah—is used here, as at page 350 of that work, and yet it is there declared that "It can hardly bear this meaning," and so it is turned into "beast of burden," from the verb burden, to carry!! See also at the end of this account of Ulugh Khān

See under the reign, minth year, pages 096-7, for an account of the expedition into the Do-abah and Käthehr. The events recorded in the reign and this account of Ulugh Khan together form a chronicle of the reign, but one

place in the condition of the number of oppressed, who, by the hand of tyranny, and through removal from office, occasioned by the absence of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, had remained' in a place of seclusion like unto fish without water and the sick without sleep, from night to day, and, day to night, continued to beseech the Holy Creator, that the morn of the Ulugh Khāni prosperity might raise its face from the east of power, and the darkness of the Rayhāni tyranny might be changed to the sun-light of the Ulugh Khāni administration. The Most High God was graciously pleased to grant the prayers of the afflicted, and the appeals of the distressed, and was pleased to cause the victorious standards of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam to be given to the wind from the preserved city of Nāg-awr, towards, and with the design of proceeding to, the capital.

The reason was this, that the Maliks and servants of the Sultān's Court were all Turks of pure lineage, and Tājziks of noble birth, and 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, [who] was castrated and mutilated, and of the tribes of Hind', was ruling over, the heads of lords of high descent, and the whole of them were loathing that state, and were unable any longer to suffer that degradation'. The case of this frail individual was on this wise, that, for a period of six months, or even longer, it was out of his power to leave his dwelling' and go to the Friday's prayers, for fear of the

is incomplete without the other, as often the events mentioned in one are left out in the other, or barely touched upon

I According to the version in Elliot, vol. ii page 371, which see, it was only "at the opening of the year," that they "retired to their closets," and offered up their prayers "like fish out of water (sic), and sick men without slumber"!!—the Calcutta Printed Text, which is quite correct here has—they sale years, as

Our author, being one of those deprived of office, writes feelingly on this subject. The I. O. L. MS, No 1952, and R. A. S. MS. are both defective here, in the same place, to the extent of two or three pages.

In fact, a Hundüstäni Musalmän, one of a Hundü family previously converted to the Muhammadan faith, or, possibly, a new convert.

Raybin is a common proper name of men among the Muhammadans of Egypt, and now commonly given to staves, according to Lane, but the term Raybini means a Seller of Flowers, and, probably, this upstart's father followed such an occupation.

¹ This alone indicates what a Sulţān it was—a mere puppet in the hands of the strongest party.

In Elliot, instead of our author being obliged to stay at home for six months, as the printed text has, like other copies, all those, who "retired to

violence of a gang of villains who were patronized by 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān: so the condition of others, every one of whom consisted of Turks and conquering, ruling, and foe-breaking, Maliks, may well be conceived. How

could they continue under this disgrace?

In short, the Maliks of Hindūstān, namely, from the territory of Karah and Mānik-pūr, and Awadh and the district of Tirhut, as far as Budā'ūn, and from the side of Tabarhindah as far as Sunām, Kuhrām, and Sāmānah, and the whole of the Siwālikh [country], prayed Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam to return to the capital. Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, brought forth a body of troops from Tabarhindah, and Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Bat Khān-i-Ibak, the Khìtā-i, issued from Sunām and Manṣūr-pūr, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam assembled forces from Nāg-awr and the Siwālikh, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, son of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn [I-yal-timish], from the side of Lohor joined them, and they turned their faces towards the environs of the capital.

'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān represented to the Sultān that the sublime standards should move out for the purpose of repelling his own servants, and they [the Sultān and his adviser] marched the forces from Dihli towards Sunām, for that purpose. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the other Maliks, was in the neighbourhood of Tabarhindah; and the author set out from the capital for the purpose of joining the royal camp, because it was impossible for him to remain in the city [of Dihli] without the presence of the sublime Court?. On Monday, the 26th of the month of

their closets to pray like fish out of water," &c., are all made to suffer "from the hands of the bullies" of 'Imād-ud-Dīn, "so that for six months they could not leave their houses"!

⁸ This explains what he means by Hindüstän, and which I have previously alinded to.

⁴ See under the reign, page 699. There it is said that these Maliks gathered about Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, the bulgān's brother, no. about Ulugh Khān, but that the latter, with others, joined the prince, who is there made the ringleader in this outbreak

B See pages 683 and 699, and note 4, page 818. More on this subject will be found in the last Section. Lähor, at this time, was not under the away of the ruler of Dihli, apparently, and Jaläl-nd Din, Mas'üd Shāh, is said to have gone to the Mughals.

Compare Ellior here also.

⁷ In Elliot, page 372, this is rendered: "The author of this book started

Ramaşān, 652 H., the author reached the royal camp, and, on Lailat-ul-Kadr* [the night of Power], in the public apartment of the august Sultān's [pavilion], he offered up

praver.

On the second day [after the author's arrival], on Wednesday, the 28th of the before-named month, whilst on the march, both armies drew near towards each other, and the advance guards came in contact, and immense disorder arose among the Sultān's forces. The prayers appropriate to the 'Id-i-Fitr [the festival of Fast-breaking—1st of the month Shawwāl] were performed at Sunām. On Saturday, the 8th of the month of Shawwāl, the sublime standards made a retrograde movement towards Hānsi, and Malik Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ūd Shāh [the Sultān's brother], and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the other illustrious Maliks, marched towards Kaithal?

A number of Maliks and Amirs on both sides [now] spoke about a mutual accommodation of affairs, and the Sipah-Sālār [leader of troops] Karah-Jamāk¹, one of the personal slaves of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, who was noted for manliness, arrived from his chief's camp, and the Amir of the black standard, Ḥusām-ud-Din, Kutlugh Shāh¹, that Amir of angelic attributes, of great sincerity, and excellent disposition, who was qualified above the other Amirs of

from the capital for the royal camp, which was stational [sic] in the city near the royal residence"! The Sulfan and his party were, at this time, near Sunam.

The 27th of the month of Ramagan—the fast month This night is greatly revered, because on it the Kur'an, according to the Musalman belief, began to descend from heaven. On this night all orthodox Muhammadans continue in fervent prayer, imagining that every petition then offered up to the Almighty will be favourably received. The occasion must have been pressing to cause active operations to be undertaken during the fast month

See under the reign, page 699. There these events are differently related.

¹ He was Ulugh Khān's right-hand man, his Chief of the Staff so to say. Whether he was so kyled as well as "General" in Ulugh Khān's Army List I cannot pretend to say

In one of the oldest copies the name is written و الماء و الماء Karah-Kamāj. الماء Chamāk signifies a six-horned or six-spiked mace. The Calcutta Printed Text, in a foot-note, has إنه جائي but it does not occur in any copy of the text collated.

² He is not mentioned either in the List of the Shamal Maliks, nor in that of Naair-ud-Din, Mahmun Shah.

Islām by his age³, was nominated, on this side [the Court's], to treat, and [on the other side] the Sipah-Sālār, Karah-Jamāk, while Malik Kutb-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain ⁴, son of 'Alī, the Ghūrī—on whom be peace!—made use of every effort and endeavour that was possible to bring the matter to an accommodation. The representation of the whole of the Maliks to His Majesty was this: "We all bow our heads in submission to the mandates of the Court, the Asylum of the Universe, save that we are not safe from the malice, deceit, and iniquitous conduct of 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān. If he should be removed from before the sublime throne of sovereignty, and sent away to some part, we all will present ourselves and return to allegiance, and lay the head of servitude on the line of obedience to the sublime mandates ⁸."

When the sublime standards moved from within sight of Hānsī towards Jind [Jhind], on Monday, the 22nd of the month of Shawwāl, 652 H., 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān was removed from the office of Wakīl-i-Dar [Representative in Dar-bār]; and praise be unto God for this and all other blessings! The government of the province of Budā'ūn' was committed to him', and 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban [-i-Yūz-Baki], the Deputy Amīr-i-Ḥājib, proceeded to the camp of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, on Tuesday, the 3rd of the month of Zi-Ḥa'dah, Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khītā-i—on whom be the Almighty's mercy!—reached the [royal] camp for the purpose of concluding the reconciliation Here is a strange occurrence which happened, of the matter

³ Compare Killiot, vol. 11. page 372.

⁴ In some copies here, as in other places, Hasan. He seems to have acted peacemaker between the two factions.

⁵ The domineering proceedings over these great chiefs of this eunuch, who was a Hindu by birth or descent, have been styled, by a modern writer, an effort to shake off the Turk tyranny and give power to Hindustants*

⁶ This was the greatest Lef of the kingdom in Shams ad Din, I-yal-timish's reign, and was so, probably, still. There is not a word about "privileges attaching to the government" in any copy of the text, printed or otherwise.

A solitary copy of the text, one of the St. Petersburg MSS., after this word, has "and it was three years and six days, and may Almighty God preserve our sovereign," &c., as though it was meant thereby that the eunuch had held power for that time, but the period was much less—from Muharram 651 H to ZI-Ka'dah 652, just one year and eleven months.

of which the author was cognizant, and it is this. 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān conspired with a number of Turks', is whose hearts somewhat of the leaven of opposition towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam was implanted, that, when Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khiṭā-i, should reach the entrance of the royal tent, they should cut him down in the vestibule of the tent, so that, when intimation of it should reach the camp of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, they [the confederate Maliks] should bring 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki', the Deputy Amīr-i-Ḥājib, under the sword also, and this accommodation should not come about, so that 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān might continue in safety, and Ulugh Khān's return to the Court be impossible.

Malik Kuth-ud-Din, Husain', son of 'Ali, Chūrl, on becoming aware of this intention, despatched the Ulugh-i-Khās Hājib' [the Chief Royal Chamberlain], the Sharf-ul-Mulk, Rashid-ud-Din, 'Ali, Hanafi, to Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, the Khītā-i [saying] — "It is advisable that you remain quiet in your own quarters to-morrow morning, and do not go to the entrance of the royal tent. As Malik Bat Khān-i-I-bak, in accordance with this advice, delayed proceeding to the entrance of the royal tent, the scheme of 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān with those hostile Turks' did not succeed, and the grandees gained a knowledge of it. 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, in obedience to the sublime mandate, was sent away from the royal camp towards Budā'ūn; and, on Tuesday, the 17th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, the Sultān of Sultāns, and the Maliks of the sublime Court,

^{*} There is not a word about "Turks of low degree" in the text.

The same person who is referred to in para. 4 of note to page 775 and at page 827, who afterwards became feudatory of Lakhanawati. He was Kutlugh Khān's-the Sulţān's step-father's—son-in-law. Kutlugh Khān was himself of the Rayhāni party.

¹ In some, Hasan, as before

I Under the reign our author mentions the Amfr-i-Hājib, and the Malik-ul-Ḥūijāb, and, b. re, the Ulugh-i-Khāṣ Ḥājib. This last is an official never before mentioned, and seems to refer to the chief chamberlain of the Sulţān's own household, as distinct from the other Ḥājibs. The hteral translation of the words would be—Great Personal or Private Chamberlain

³ The entrance or vestibule of the tent where those waiting an audience would assemble. The word for tent is in the singular, but a suite of tents is meant.

⁴ Among the hostile Turks the Sultān's step-father, Kutlugh Khān, was, no doubt, included, and this is apparent from what afterwards happens.

commanded this servant of the state, Minhäj-i-Saräj, for the purpose of effecting a reconciliation between both parties, so that he proceeded [to the other camp] and gave them pledges and guarantees. The next day, Wednesday, the 18th, Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam, with the other Maliks, returned and presented themselves at the sublime Court, and obtained permission to kiss the sovereign's hand.

Praise be to God for this and other blessings!

The sublime standards were now brought back, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'şam, in attendance at the king's august stirrup, on Wednesday, the 9th of the month of Zi-Ḥijjah, 652 H., again returned to the capital city of Dihli. During the period of Ulugh Khān's absence from the capital, the rain of mercy had not rained upon the land, but by the wisdom of the Divine favour, at the blessed footstep of Ulugh Khān-i-A'ṣam, the gate of the Divine mercy opened, and rain, which is the source of life to herbs and vegetation, mankind and animals, fell upon the ground; and all people accounted his auspicious arrival an omen of good unto mortals. On the arrival of his august cavalcade, all became glad and rejoiced, and gave thanks unto Almighty God for that immense boon.*

When the new year 653 H. came round, on account of some occurrence which manifested itself in the womens' apartments of the royal household, with the secret of which not a soul had any acquaintance?, on Wednesday, the 7th of the month of Muharram of the same year, Kutlugh Khān was directed to assume the government of Awadh, and he set out in that direction. At that time the government of Bharā'ij was entrusted to 'Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān.

⁵ Compare Elliot.

See ELLIOT also here.

The cause is stated under the reign. The Sultan's mother seems to have contracted a second marriage with Kutlugh Khān—respecting whose antecedents not a word of particulars is given, nor is his name contained in the List of Mahks—without the knowledge or permission of her son. She hail been with the latter in that part when, as a boy of thirteen, he held the first of Bhara's, and this may have been the reason why it was assigned to her and her new hishand. See page 676

At page 701, Tuesday, the 6th of Muharram

Just before he is said to have been sent to take charge of Buda'in. He may have been subsequently removed to Bhara'ij, but this is not mentioned.

When the Ulugh Khani good fortune emitted a blaze of brightness, the garden of hope assumed freshness, and the key of divine favour opened the closed gates of the dwellers in retirement. One of that number was this servant of the sovereign dynasty, Minhāj-i-Sarāi, Jūrjāni, who, by the power of enemies' accusations, and the oppressive tyranny of eunuchs, had kept within the cell of dismissal and misfortune, and in a retired corner from adversity and malevolence'. Through the patronage and favour of Illush Khan-i-A'sam, who submitted it for the sublime confirmation, on Sunday, the 7th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 653 H. . for the third time, the Kazi-ship of the kingdom and the bench of jurisdiction [as chief Kazi] was conferred upon this loyal supplicant, the enunciator of prayer and thanksgiving; and "Verily, He who hath prescribed to thee the Kur'an will bring thee back to a place of return " was manifested towards this frail one. May the most High God, of His favour, unto the uttermost revolutions of the heavens, in felicity and supremacy, preserve and perpetuate the Nasiri sovereignty and Ulugh Khani authority, for the sake of Muhammad and his whole race!

After Kutlugh Khān proceeded towards Awadh, a considerable period clapsed, [when] the eventualities of destiny became the cause of disaffection displaying itself, and, on several occasions, mandates, which were issued on that subject, were treated with indifference. Imād-ud-Dîn-i-Rayhān still continued to use great efforts to enkindle the

A little farther on it is said that Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar, had been appointed to the charge of Bharā'fi. See also under the reign.

^{1 &}quot;In a corner retired from clamour and the cruel joy of others." See page 829, and note 2.

² A month after this, on the 23rd of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 653 H, that illustrious Malik, Kuth-ud Din, Husam, son of 'Ali, the Ghūri, was put to death in a mysterious manner, and his fief made over to Ulugh Khān's brother. See page 702, and note ³

^{**}EUR'AN, chap axxim verse 85. Sale's translation of this verse is "Verily He, who hath given thee the Koran for a rule of faith and practice, will certainly bring thee back home to Micro," but others translate the last part of the verse as "a place of return," or "some retreat," that is, a future state. The verse some commentators say was revealed to Muhammad to comfort him in his flight from Makkah.

⁴ This seems to refer to Kutlugh Khān's plotting with 'Imād-ud-Din-a-Raybān, and not giving up Bharā'ij to Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar, referred to farther on

fires of sedition in order that, perhaps, he might, by fraud and deception, with the mud-mortar of his own vicious artifice, overspread the sun of Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam's good fortune, and veil the moon of that great chief's glory with the cloak of his own knavery, but the favour of the Eternal without beginning, and the all-sufficiency of the Eternal without end, used to be the averter of that depravity.

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjar-i-Māh-Peshāni [of the moon-like brow]—God preserve him!—who had been detained and imprisoned by Malik Kutlugh Khān, and to whom the government of Bharā'ij had been entrusted by the Court, and on which account he had fallen into captivity, by his own manly stratagem, freed himself from Awadh', and the hands of wicked wretches, crossed the river Sar'ū in a boat, and, with a small force, advanced towards Bharā'ij. The decree of the Creator was on such wise that the prosperity of the Turks rose victorious, and the influence of the Hindūs sank into the dust of defeat. 'Imād-ud-Dīn-i-Rayhān fled discomfited before him, and was taken prisoner, and the sun of his existence set in death

By the death of 'Imād-ud-Din-1-Rayhān, the affairs of Malik Kutlugh Khān declined, and he ['Imād-ud-Din-1-Rayhān] met his doom at Bharā'ij, in the month of Rajab, 653 H.¹ Since those seditions still continued in Hindūstān, and some of the Amīrs withdrew their heads from the yoke of obedience to the sublime Court, with the necessity of quelling that sedition and tranquillizing the servants of the victorious Nāṣirī dynasty, the sublime standards were put

[•] Compare Elliot here also. - The Calcutta Printed Text has واع for واعداء المادية ال

There are several Tāj-ud-Dīn, Sanjars, among the Maliks, two of whom, Nos XVII and XIX, lived at this period, but this must be addition person from either of them. Under the roign he is called a Sihwastani See page 703, and note?

The old city of Awadh is probably referred to

[•] The Sar'u-j-gazetteerized into Sarjoo, Sarjou, &c Bhaia'ij is on its E bank

^{9 &#}x27;Imād-ud-Din-i-Rayhān, on the strength of Malık Kutlugh Khān's support, who, seemingly, belonged to the same party, refused to give up bharā ij to Tāj-ud-Din, Sanjar The Sulfān's mother, Kutlugh Khān's wife, was, evidently, of the Rayhānf party also, and this may probably account for their being sent away to Awadh so suddenly

¹ See under the reign, page 703, where the accounts of these events are very differently related

in motion from the capital, Dihli, on Thursday, the last day of the month of Shawwal, 653 H., with the intention of marching into Hindustan. When the royal tent was pitched at Talh-pat as the [contingent] forces of the Siwalikh a [districts], which were the fiefs of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, had been delayed in the completion of their equipment for the expedition. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam set out for Hansi from the camp at Talh-pat, on Sunday, the 17th of the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 653 II. On reaching the Hansi territory, he, with the utmost celerity, issued his mandate. so that, in the space of fourteen days, the troops of the Siwālikh, Hānsi, Sursuti, Jind [Jhind], and Barwālah, and confines of that territory, assembled so fully organized and equipped, numerous, and well provided with warlike apparatus, that you would say they were a mountain of iron when still, and a tempestuous sea when in motion. He reached the capital. Dibli [with this force], on the 3rd of the month of Zi-Hijiah, and halted at the capital seventeen days for the purpose of further completing his preparations. and for the purpose of directing the assembling of the contingent] troops of the Koh-pāyah of Mewat. On the 10th of Zi-Hijiah, with an army resplendent with arms, and ranks arrayed with warriors, he proceeded towards the Sultan's camp; and in the month of Muharram, 634 H., they [the Sultan and his army] reached the frontiers of Awadh.

Malik Kutlugh Khān, and those Amirs who followed him—notwithstanding they were all vassals of the sublime Court, still, through contingencies and urgent obstacles of fate, the countenance of their good-fortune was strewn with dust—left Awadh and crossed the river Sar'ū, and receded before the royal army. By the sublime command, in the

² Also written Tal pat, about thirteen miles 5 S.E. of the present city of Dihlf.

⁹ There is not a word in the text, printed or otherwise, about Siwálik hills or in these mountains. ¹¹ See Et Liot here

^{* 4} The Burwala of the Indian Atlas, in Lat 75° 59', Long 28° 22'.

^{*} Compare Elliot also here

These events are related very differently under the reign, so much so indeed, that any one might suppose they were the events of a different period. Here there is not the least allusion to Malik Bak-Tamur's defeat and death. See page 703.

month of Muharram, 654 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with numerous forces, started in pursuit of them , but, as separation had taken place among them . [through] the obstacles of the jangals of Hindustan, the deep ravines, and denseness of numerous forests. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam did not find them. He pushed on until near to Badi-kot ', and the frontiers of Tirhut, and ravaged the whole of the independent [Hindū] tribes and Rāes, and faced about to return to the sublime threshold, with vast booty, in safety and in opulence. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the troops, having crossed the river Sar'ū, from Awadh, the sublime standards were directed to return to the capital. When Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, from pursuing those [disaffected] Amirs, turned his face to return to the Sultan's encampment, he reached it in the limits of Kasmandah and, on Saturday, the 16th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 654 II., [the entire force] crossed the river Gang and they

7 Under the tenth year of the reign our author states that the Sulfān, with his army, advanced towards Awadh, on which Kutlugh Khān retired before him, and the Sulfān moved towards Kā-lair, and then Ulugh Khān was despatched in pursuit of the rebels. He, however, did not come up with them, and he returned, and rejoined the Sulfān's camp. All this is said to have taken place in 653 H., and, when the new year came round, in Muharram—the first month—654 H., the Sulfān's forces after achieving this success—the success of putting Kutlugh Khān to flight and not catching him—and the Sulfān, turned their faces towards Dihli, and reached it on the 4th of Rabi'-ul-Ākhīr, just three months after

As soon as Kutlugh Khān became aware of the return of the Sultān's troops towards Dihli—which they reached on the 4th of Rabi ul-Āthur 654 H, as stated above—he began to lay hands upon the districts of Karah and Mānik-pūr, to the south of Awadh, and only found it necessary to take to the northern hills after he had been defeated in an encounter with Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar. There is great difference in these accounts. See also page 704, and note 4.

⁸ Not "They had, however, got a good start:" the words of the text are عيون قفره دديشان راه بأمت

This refers to what is termed the "Tarrai," but correctly—Tara'l—the marshy forest at the foot of the Sub-Himalayah.

This name is very doubtful, and is written in various ways. The best and oldest copies are as follows, according to the age and dependence to be placed in them . المن كورستهي كورسته

² Or Kasmandi: it is written both ways, but, as above, in the öldest copies. It is the name of a town, now much decayed, giving name to the parganah.

3 All the copies of the text collated, with the exception of two, are defective

reached the illustrious seat of government, Dihli, on the 4th of Rabi'-ul- $\overline{A}\underline{k}\underline{h}$ ir.

As Malik Kutlugh Khan found it impossible to make any further resistance within the limits of Hindustan, he came, through the midst of the independent [Hindu] tribes, towards Santūr 4, and in that mountainous tract sought shelter, and took up his abode. All [the people of that part | were wont to serve him, for he was a great Malik, and one among the grandees, and a servant of the Court , and one of the Turk Maliks, and had just claims upon them all. Wherever he used to come, on account of what was owed him for the past, and having regard for the possible issue of his affairs, they were wont to hold him in veneration. When he sought safety and protection in the Santur mountains, Ranah Ran-pal' [Ran-pala], the Hindi, who held the chieftain-ship among the Hindus-and it was the usage among that people to protect those who sought shelter with them-assisted Malik Kutlugh Khan,

When the report of that came to the sublime hearing, the royal standards, in the beginning of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., moved towards Santūr, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with his own personal forces, and the Maliks of the Court [with their troops], used great exertions in those mountains, and carried on holy war, as by the faith enjoined, in the defiles of the hills and passes, and on the crests of the mountains of Santūr, in describing which the eye of intellect would be bewildered, gained the advantage [over the infidels], and penetrated as far as the fort and territory of Silmūr [i. e. Sirmūr] 3,

⁴ His object, in proceeding towards Santür or Santür-garh [Lat. 30° 24', Long. 78° 5'], according to the statement under the reign, was to reach the Biah and Lähor, after he had been defeated by Arvalän Khān-i-Sanjar, which seems to be referred to at page 836, but our author's account is very confused.

There is not a word about chiefs.

^{*} They were also doubtless aware that he had marned the Sultan's mother.

In one old copy Ju, in another Just but in others it is plainly written as above, a correct Hindu name, from Rap-battle, &c.

[#] Compare Elliot, vol 11, page 375.

Nähün or Nähun, a very old place, situated on the accivity of a mountain, the defiles leading to which were fortified, in ancient times, was called the that—city or town—of Silmür or Sirmür, and the territory belonging to it was also called by the same name. From the description given of it by

which is in the possession of that great Rāe. All the Rāes round about pay homage to him and do his bidding. He fled before the army of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam; and the whole of the market-place and city [town?] of Silmūr was plundered by the Musalmān troops. The followers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam acquired power over a place where the troops of Islām, in any reign, had never before penetrated; and, by the grace of the favour of the Creator, the Glorious, the Most High, and the aid of the Divine assistance, with great booty, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam [with his forces] reached the sublime presence, and the illustrious capital, Dihli, under the shadow of the august standards of the kingdom, on the 25th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 655 H.

On the return of the sublime standards to the capital, Malik Kutlugh Khān issued from the mountains of Santūr, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, had [previously] marched out of the territory of Sind and advanced to the vicinity of the river Biāh? These two great Maliks [with their followers] effected a junction together, and turned their faces towards Sāmānah and Kuhrām, and began to take possession of the country. When intimation of that assemblage and this audacity reached the royal hearing, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, I-baki-Kashlī Khān [his brother], with other Maliks of the Court, and troops, were appointed to proceed for the purpose of quelling this sedition.

Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam moved from Dihlī on Thursday, the 15th of the month of Jamādī-ul-Awwal, 655 H. *, and pushed on with the utmost expedition to the limits of Kaithal; and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān

modern travellers, and the remains of ancient buildings, it must have been a strong place.

If he fled, where was the fighting ?-the "holy war as by the faith enjoined?"

² See the account of Malik 'Izz ud-Din, Halban 1-Kashlü Khān, page 784. At this time, he had thrown off his allegiance to Dihli, he had been to Hūlā-kū's camp, had received a Mughal Shahnah [Intendant], and had sent a grandson to the Mughals as a pledge of his own fidelity. This advance from Uchchah and Multān was, evidently, with the object of aiding Kutlugh Khān, and invading the Dihli territory. The Biāh, at this period, flowed in its former bed, as mentioned in a previous note.

^{*} See under the reign, page 707, and note 7.

and Malik Kutlugh Khan were in that vicinity. When they drew near towards each other-all brethren and all friends of each other, two hosts of one dynasty, two cavalcades of one Court, two armies of one habitation, two wings of one main body '-never could there be a case more wonderful than this! All were cronies of one purse, and messmates over one dish, between whom, Satan, the accursed, disclosed such discord. A gang of demonnatured men, for their own carnal objects, and of their infernal malignity, were sowing dissension among those brethren and were raising the banner of sedition, and, for the aggrandisement of their own affairs, were setting things by the ears. Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, in accordance with his own wise policy, was keeping his own personal followers together with those of Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar, who was his brother and the son of his paternal uncle, separate from the troops of [the contingents forming) the centre of the Sultan's forces, and Malik Saif-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Kashli Khan, the Amir-i-Haiib, who was his own full brother, with the Maliks of the Court, and the [contingent] troops of the centre, and the elephants, separate also, in such wise that those two columns of the army were appearing like two efficient and distinct hosts

The both armies [the Sultān's and the rebels'] came into near proximity to each other in the vicinity of Sāmānah and Kaithal, and all were in expectation of an engagement, when the intriguing among the turbanwearers? [i e. ecclesiastics] of the capital, Dihli, indited

⁴ This last simile is somewhat differently expressed in a few copies where -a cavity or hollow is used for in-a troop or body, &c. Compare Elliot here, vol is page 377

⁴ Malik 'Izz-ud Din, Balban-i-Ka<u>sh</u>lü <u>Kh</u>ān, can hardly, by our author's own account, for the reasons mentioned in the previous note ², page 840, have been considered as a subject of Dihlī at this time.

That is to say, like a brother to him. He was, by relationship, his cousin. The object of Ulugh Khān in keeping his own personal forces—not "the household troops,"—on whom he could depend, separate, is evident, as also the object of stationing his brother with the other Maliks. The Sulfān's mother, Kutlugh Khān's wife, who, evidently, was the cause of a good deal of this sedition, if not the whole of it, was also present with the disaffected party.

⁹ Compare El 1 tor. The original is plain enough in the printed text. See also page 708, and note 9.

letters to Malik "Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashiū Khān, and Malik Kutlugh Khān, and entreated them, saying: "The gates of the city are in our hands: it behoveth you to move towards the city, for it is denuded of troops. You are among the servants and supporters of the sublime Court, and are nothing alien intervening. When you shall come hither, and shall attach yourselves to the service of the exalted throne of sovereignty, Ulugh Khān, with that army [now with him], will remain outside, and affairs will come to pass according to desire; and this, which is stated [herein], will be rendered easy and brought to pass."

A number of persons among the loyal adherents of the Sultān's Court, and well-wishers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's service, on becoming aware of this hostility and design of theirs [the turban-wearers'], with all despatch, wrote a statement and sent it to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, from Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, a representation reached the sublime throne, to the effect that the disaffected parties should be expelled from the city, the whole of which circumstances are recorded in the account of the reign of Sultān Nāṣirud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh,—whose glory God preserve!—and, in the details thereof, the names of the persons concerned are mentioned. May Almighty God overlook their enroity, and cause them to repent of it!

During this state of affairs while the two armies were in close proximity to each other, a person of a certain name, whom they were wont to call the son of so-and-so, came [to Ulugh Khān's camp] as a spy on the part of Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, and made out that he was come to present himself to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and [pretended], on the part of the Maliks and Amirs who were supporting Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, to represent that they all desired to make their submission in Ulugh Khān's presence, and that, if a deed of immunity were granted, and the right hand pledged, assurance given, and means of subsistence and a fief assigned to himself who had presented himself before Ulugh Khān, he would

Among the first of whom was our author, no doubt.

The fact of the matter is that, generally, what is detailed there is slurred over here, and what is slurred over there is detailed here. See also page 785.

bring over all the Maliks and Amirs of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's party, and cause them to be ranged on the series of the other servants [of the state].

As Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in secret, had become cognizant of the bent of that individual, he commanded that the whole of the troops should be paraded before him, in such wise that he might behold the whole force with their armament, their numbers, their efficiency, and the elephants, and horses in defensive armour's. Then Ulugh Khani-A'zam directed that a letter should be written secretly and clandestinely to the Amirs and Maliks of Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's party saying: "Your communications have come under observation, and the purport thereof has been understood. There is no doubt that, if, in an obedient manner, you shall present yourselves, fiels and suitable subsistence will be assigned to you, indeed even more; and, if the contrary should happen, on this day it will be manifest and evident unto mortals what the upshot of each one's affairs will come to by the wound of the flashing sword and flaming spear, and, when confounded and humbled, bound in the bonds of destiny, they are dragged to the foot of the sublime standards and banners." When that letter, after the manner of honey mixed with gall, a sting with sweet drink, and graciousness with rigour. was written, and that person went back again, and related to Malık Balban-i-Kashlü Khân-The Almighty have him in His keeping |-- all that he had seen and heard, those having an insight into the human mind will conceive to what the state of antagonism between the Maliks and Amirs and the agent [deputed] would reach,

¹ Compare El LIOT

² What this defensive armour was like may be gathered from some of the ancient illuminated historical MSS in the Persian language.

But the letter was not given to him a modern copy, has, "and had shown the letter," but this is not so in the oldest copies of the text. It stands to reason that, if 'Izz ud-Din, Balban's spy, had brought that letter to his camp, much less shown it to that Malik himself, the latter would have known that it was a mere ruse, and could have suppressed the said letter, but the letter was written by command of Ungh Khān as if aidressed to 'Izz-nd-Din Balban's partisans, that it might fall into 'Izz-ud-Din Balban's hands and rouse suspicion in his mind, that his own partisans were negotiating with the other party. The modern copies of the text, generally, are minus about two lines here

In the meantime the letters from the city of Dihli reached them, and Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, and Malik Kutlugh Khān turned their faces towards the capital, but returned again from thence without having effected their

object [as previously narrated].

Two days subsequently, their design became known to Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and he became disturbed in mind as to how affairs might be [going on] at the Court, and before the throne of sovereignty, when, after the happening of this strange circumstance [the arrival of the spy in his camp] letters reached him from the city. He set out for the capital, and reached it safe and prosperous under the protection of the Creator, and under the Divine guardianship and keeping, on Monday, the 10th? of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir. 653 H.

The royal troops continued at the city of Dihli for a period of seven months, until, in the month of Zi-Ḥijjah of the before mentioned year, an army of infidel Mughals arrived in the territory of Sind, and the head of those accursed ones was the Nū-yin, Sāri. Since Malik Balban-i-Kashlū Khān had brought a Shaḥnah [Intendant] of that people thither, as a matter of necessity, he had to go to them, and they [the Mughals] dismantled the defences of the citadel of Multān. On intimation of this reaching his

A These are the letters referred to at page 842—not fresh letters. This passage, with respect to the letter referred to in the previous note, and the letters mentioned at page 842, is thus rendered in ELLIOT, vol in page 378. "When the letter was delivered to the officers of Balban, the wise among them perceived its drift, and knew that the dissensions between the nobles and generals would be settled elsewhere (yakyh). Fresh letters now arrived from Dehli, and Malik Balban and Katlagh Khán set forth in that direction and showed no intention of returning"! There is nothing of this kind in the Printed Text, nor in any MSS copy. See under the reign, page 707, and in the account of Malik 'Izzud-Din, Balban-1-Kashlū Khān, where these events are given in detail

The patrols of Ulugh Khān's army could not have kept a very sharp look-out in this case

See under the reign, pages 708—710, where our author relates differently, and also note 1.

⁷ At page 710, the date given is the 14th of that month

In other places he is styled Salin and Sali, which last is the same as Sari, being interchangeable with r

[•] See the account of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashiu Khān, page 786 ¹ In Elliot [vol 11 page 378], this pass-age is rendered .—" When their general brought in this army, Malik Balban went to them of necessity, and the forces of the fort of Multan fell back," but the Calcutta Printed Text.

august hearing, Ulugh Khān-i-A'sam represented for the consideration of the sublime Court, that it was advisable that the royal standards of the kingdom, conjoined with victory and triumph, should move from the capital. It was the year 656 H., and, on the 2nd of the month of Muharram of that year, the sublime standards, under an auspicious horoscope, moved out from the capital, and the Sultan's tent was set up a outside, in sight of the city of Dihli. In consultation with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, mandates were at once issued and despatched to all parts of the country, to the great Maliks and Lords of the kingdom. and on the confines, directing them all to turn their faces towards the capital, and, in the greatest possible state of efficiency, present themselves [with their contingents] at the sublime threshold. On the 10th of the month of Muharram, within the tent of sovereignty, which in victory and triumph be ever set up, and the ropes of its prosperity, be secured with the pegs of stability!—this suppliant, by command, delivered an exhortation , with the object of

although so often incorrect, is right in this instance. The compound verb here used is not necessarily faro-raftan, to subside, come down, &c., but the verb faro-rufian—the consonants are the same in both, but not the vowels—to sweep away, destroy, and the like.

The correct reading, as in all copies of the text, is evidently المان هو رافده literally:—They swept away, destroyed, the parapet wall, battlements, pinnacles, &c., of the citadel of Multān. The object of the Mughals, taken into consideration with the fact of their harassing the frontiers of the Dilik kingdom as far as the west bank of the Biāh, at this period, is plain enough. Their object also will be further seen from the events mentioned in the last Section. Malik Balhan gave Multān up to them as a vassal of their sovereign, and they then dismantled it, that it might not be an obstacle to them in future.

* The 6th of the month at page 711.

It is usual to pitch a tent in this manner previous to undertaking a journey or expedition, but, in this case, it does not follow that the Sulfan dwelt in it the whole time. In this instance, it was like "a sovereign setting up his standard" around which his troops assembled, in fact it is so stated just above. In ELLIOT, this passage is incorrectly rendered "Ulugh Khan advised his Majesty to set the royal army in motion, and, accordingly, at marched forth on the 2nd Muharram." It was not assembled yet, as our author plainly states. Mandates were issued for the Maliks to present themselves with their contingents. There is not a word either about collecting "all the forces they could."

4 Here, too, is an absurd mistake in the same work [page 379]: "On the 10th Muharram, the author received orders in the royal tent to compose an ODE to stir up the feelings," &c ! The words, as in the Printed Text also, are, and if which have nothing to do with odes.

stimulating to holy warfare, and the merit of fighting against infidels, and efforts to defend the glories of Islām, and serve the sublime Court, by obeying the orders of the legitimate commanders—May God increase the execution of His commands!—and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with a body of troops in array, and attended by a numerous equipage, in association with the august stirrup of sovercignty, issued forth. All the Maliks joined [with their contingents], and all the troops united.

When intimation of this concentration reached the accursed [Mughals] and their camp, they did not advance beyond the frontiers which they had assailed and ravaged, and evinced no further audacity. but it was considered advisable that the army, for a period of four months, or even longer, should remain concentrated within sight of the city. Bodies of horse [during this period] used to go out in various directions, and make holy war upon the independent [Hindū] tribes, until, when news of the withdrawal of those accursed [Mughals] arrived, and the heart was freed of the

* The words are and proper came out, he from the city to the camp, not that they "marched in company with his majesty". The force never moved out of sight of Dihli The troops, which did come out of Dihli with the Sulfan and Ulugh Khān, were personal followers, a large force in themselves. Those of the Sulfan might be styled the household troops. Detachments of horse only were sent out, and those not against the Mughals.

This concentration of the forces of Duhli, if not intended as a defensive act, turned out to be one, and the Mughals were left to ravage the frontier provinces—which then appear not to have extended beyond the Biah, that is, when it flowed in its old bia already referred to—with impunity. The state of Mewât, and the independent Hindū tribes, appear to have prevented operations against the Mughals, as referred to at page 850. See also page 862, where our author states that Hulakū Khān was so good, out of regard for Ulugh Khān, as to direct his torces not to molest the frontiers of the Dihli kingdom, a sufficiently humiliating statement for our author to make

This passage is rendered in ELLIOF [page 379] "When the infide! Mughal heard of this host on the frontier he had assailed, he advanced no further and showed no spirit," &c All the copies of the text are as above, even the "official" Calcutta Printed Text

If At page 712, "five months," but seven months was the correct period. See note 7 to that page. The halb or [the troops forming the] centre of the Sulfan's army returned to the city, from the camp outside, on the 1st of Ramagan.

The forces continued thus encamped in sight of the capital all the hot season, until the commencement of the rains. The year 656 H. began 7th January, 1258 A.D.—the year in which Simon de Montfort, Earl of Leicester, and the other Barons, his supporters, imposed terms upon King Henry III.

sedition of that gathering, [the Hindūs s] a number of intelligencers brought to the blessed hearing of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam that, probably, Tāj-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān-i-San-jar s, from Awadh, and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān s, Mas'ūd-i-Jānī, on account of their having delayed in joining the Sultān's camp s, were, in consequence, in a state of apprehension, and in their minds thoughts of contumacy were presenting themselves. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam submitted to the notice of the sublime Court that, before that party acquired feathers and wings, and, through the fear they were in, should take a flight into the air of contumaciousness, it was advisable that time should not be given them, and that this fire should be speedily smothered.

In conformity with the prudent advice of Ulugh Khān-i-A'ṣam, notwithstanding it was the time of the hot season, and that the army of Islām, on account of the advance of the accursed Mughals, and guarding the frontiers, had experienced trouble, still, as there was expediency in moving, on Tuesday, the 6th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 656 H., the sublime standards departed towards the country of Hindūstān , and advanced, march by march, as far as the boundaries of Karah and Mānik-pūr . Ulugh Khān-i-

As mentioned a few lines before. The Mughals were not gone yet.

See under Arsalän Khān-1-Sanjar, page 768

In one of the oldest copies Kutlugh Khān, Mas'ūd-1-Jānf. This is not the Kutlugh Khān who married the Sulfān's mother, but a som of Malik 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Jānf, the Shāh-zādah of Turkistān, referred to in the List of Shams-ud-Dīn, I-yal-timish's Maliks, at page 626. For more respecting Kuhj, Kulīch, or Kutlugh Khān, who, under the reign, at pages 673 and 712, is also styled, but wrongly, Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh 1-Jānf, see note at page 775, para. 3, and page 848.

^{*} The camp before Dihli just previously referred to.

³ The Antarbed Do abah

In his account of this Malik [page 768] our author says that, after Malik Arsalān Khān-t-Sanjar had been successful against Kutlugh Khān [the step-father of the Sulfān], he became disaffected towards the Court, and Ulugh Khān had to move into Awadh and Karah to coerce him and Kutlugh [Kutlch?] Khān, Mas'tūd, son of the late Malik 'Alā-ud-Dfn, Jānf [see Lust of nobles, page 673]. Arsalān Khān-t-Sanjar was entrusted with the government of the fief of Karah in 657 H , and, subsequently, got possession of the City of Lakhanawati by treachery, and without orders from the Court, and yet, in his account of the events of the thirteenth year of Nāṣn ud-Dfn, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, our author says that, on Malik Jalāl-nd-Dfn, Maṣūd Shāh.

A'zam made such exertions in chastising the seditious Hindus and harassing the Ranahs as cannot be conceived. On his reaching that territory [Karah and Manik-pur]. Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar, and Malik Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jāni, got away, and out of necessity sent away their families and dependents among the independent [Hindu] tribes, and despatched confidential persons to the presence of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam asking that he should make a representation before the exalted throne and explain the necessity they were under of withdrawing, and to supplicate that the sublime standards might be directed to return towards the capital on the stipulation that, when the royal standards should reach Dihli, the illustrious capital. Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, both of them, should present themselves in attendance at the sublime Court, the Asylum of the Universe. When Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam made this representation, the sublime standards returned towards Dihli, and, on Monday, the 2nd of the month of Ramazān, 656 H., the illustrious seat of government was reached.

On Sunday, the 27th of the month of Shawwal, of the same year, Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, and Kutlugh [Kulich?] Khān, Mas'ūd-i-Jāni, presented themselves at the threshold of sovereignty, and made their obeisance. Notwithstanding so much opposition, their flight, and the tardiness and negligence they had displayed, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam gave them his support, and manifested towards them such kindness, gentleness, moderation, good faith, and sympathy, out of his great benevolence and infinite clemency, and lordly assistance and princely favour, as neither the fingers can record nor explanation relate. May the Most High God have him perpetually in His keeping for the sake of Muhammad and the whole of his posterity!

After a period of two months, through Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's patronage, the states of Lakhanawati were made

son of the late Malik Jāni, the kingdom of Lakhanawati was conferred. The account here given, and that in the notice of Arsalān Khān-i-Sanjar, are widely different. The discrepancies respecting Lakhanawati I have noticed at page 770.

over to Kutlugh [Kulich?]* Khan, Mas'üd-i-Jani's charge, and the district of Karah to Arsalan Khan-i-Sanjar.

When the new year of 657 H. set in, on the 13th of the month of Muharram, the sublime standards were directed to be moved out of the capital, and the pavilion of majesty was pitched in sight of the city of Dihli?. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—may God perpetuate his prosperity!—held it meet to exercise his patronage in behalf of Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, who was his paternal uncle's son, and he made a representation before the exalted throne, so that the whole of the territory of Bhānah, Kol, Jali-sar, and the preserved city of Gwāliyūr was entrusted to his charge ', and that assignment was committed to him on Sunday, the 21st of the month of Ṣafar, 657 H. For the remainder of that year, by reason that—and thank God for it!—there was no cause of apprehension, the sublime standards made no farther movement.'

On Wednesday, the 4th of the month of Jamādi-ul-Ākhir, 657 H., treasure, valuables, and elegancies to a large amount, with two elephants, reached the sublime threshold from the Lakhaṇawati territory, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, in return for such commendable assiduity, exerted [his] interest, in behalf of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Yūz-Baki,' who was the sender of these elephants and property; and the investiture of the fief of Lakhanawati was bestowed upon him by his majesty, and that territory was confirmed to him, and a robe of honour and other distinctions were transmitted to him.

In this place again there is a great difference in the title of this personage. In seven copies of the text, including the oldest, he is styled Kulij, in one Kutlugh, and in three Kulij or Kulich.

In some copies the Koh-päyah, perhaps both Karah and the Koh-päyah districts may be meant

In Elliut, they are made again to march from Dihli, which is not so stated, even in the Calcutta Text. The reason why no marching was necessary is given below.

⁸ See the account of Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar, page 794. There it is stated that Balaram, Baltarah, Mihir, and Mahawan, were also entrusted to him. Under the reign, page 712, there is no mention of Jali-sar.

In Rajabof this year a grandson was born to Ulugh Khān. His daughter, Nāşir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's wife, presented her husband, the Sultān, with a son; and two months after, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ī-bak, the Ulugh Kutlugh. i-A'sam, the Bār-Bak, died.

¹ This is the nerson referred to at pages 770 and 827

When the new year 658 H. came round, and the month of Safar arrived, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam resolved upon making a raid upon the Koh-pāyah [hill tracts of Mewat] round about the capital, because, in this Koh-pāyah, there was a community of obdurate rebels, who, unceasingly, committed highway robbery and plundered the property of Musalmans, and the ejection of the subject peasantry, and destruction of the villages in the districts of Harianah, the Siwälikh, and Bhianah, necessarily followed their outbreaks. Three years previous to this period, they had likewise carried off herds of camels, the property of the vassals and loval followers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's household-be victory ever theirs!-from the outskirts of the Hansi territory. The leader of the rebels was a person, Malka by name, an obdurate Hindū gabr [infidel], like a gigantic demon and a serpent-hued 'Ifrit'. They had carried off herds of camels and camel-men, and had, in the meantime, dispersed them among the Hindus throughout the Koh-payah [hill tracts]. as far as the vicinity of Rantabhūr, and the time that these camel-men and camels were carried off was a time when an expedition was pending, and the camp-followers of the force, and the warriors of the retinue of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, were in urgent need of them for the purpose of carrying the equipage of the troops When that contumacious rebel committed this act, an infinite load weighed upon the dear heart of Ulugh Khān-1-A'zam, and all the Maliks and Amirs and warriors of the troops of Islam—May God ever accord victory to them! Nevertheless, it was impossible to chastise that sedition by reason of anxiety [consequent] on the appearance of the Mughal army' which continued to harass the frontier tracts of the dominions of Islam, namely, the territory of Sind, Lohor, and the line of the river

³ Two copies have "one year," but this can scarcely be correct. The period referred to seems to be the year 656 H, on the appearance of the Mughals under Sarl, on the western frontier. See page 844

³ This must not be supposed to refer to Dalai f. of Malaki, the great Rānah in the vicinity of the river Jūn, between Kālinjar and Karah, for he is a wholly different person

⁴ Compare Lillot here.

بواسطه دل متعرابي واقع اشكر مقل -- The Printed Text has واقع for واقع and, hence, the passage in Elliot is incorrect

Not much of Lohor remained for them to harass at that time belonging to Dihli, but see page 846, where our author says the Mughals "evinced no

Błāh, until, at this period, emissaries of Khurāsān [coming] from the side of 'Irāk from Hulāū [Hulākū], the Mughal, who was the son of Tūll, son of Chingiz Khān, had arrived in the neighbourhood of the capital. Command was given that the emissaries' party should be detained at the halting-places of Bārūtah , and that vicinity; and Ulugh Khān i-A'zam, and other Maliks, with the forces of the Court, and the [contingent] troops of the [different] Maliks , suddenly and unexpectedly, resolved upon an advance into the Kohpāyah [hill-tracts of Mewāt].

On Monday, the 4th of the month of Şafar 1, 658 H. the sublime standards of victory pushed forward into the Kohpāyah, and, in the first advance, made a march of nearly fifty kurok 3, and fell, unexpectedly and unawares upon the

further audacity," &c. More on this subject will be found in the following Section.

These were not "ambassadors to the Sultin," who "came TO [sic] A'Aurdsán from 'Irák, on the part of Hulákú Mughal, son of Toll," as in Elliot [page 381], and had the "long digression of no interest"—from page 196 to 202, farther on, been translated, it would have been found who and what they were.

The name of this place is written with $b = a_{ij}b_i = a$ above in eight copies of the text, including the three oldest, with the slight exception of there being no point to the b_i thus $a_{ij}b_i = a$ and the next to the last letter having but one point instead of two in one of the three copies; three copies have $a_{ij}b_i = a$ three copies have $a_{ij}b_i = a$. The Calcutta Trinted Text has $a_{ij}b_i = a$. Mārūtah, but this I look upon as a mere guess on the part of the Editors, because it is a well-known place, and more particularly since, in a foot-note, that text has $a_{ij}b_i = a_{ij}b_i
It is evident, from all this, that the first letter is b and not w, and there can be little doubt but that the next to the last letter is f. There is a place in the Barwalah Parganah named—sly and there is Mārūt—spi—in the direct route from Cchchah to Dibli, but this cannot be meant here, for our author has written that name correctly in two different places; and there are other Mārūts, but not in this direction. It appears to me that the place is sign or sight styled Sarāe-i-Barūtah, from the ruins of an extensive karwān-sarāe, two kurok to the S. E. of Jagdespūr, on the road from Dibli to Suni-pat, and, about twenty miles N.W. of the capital, the barāe being a convenient distance, and an eligible place wherein to lodge them until the muster of the forces, referred to at page \$56, was complete, which muster was, no doubt, to enable the emissaries to carry back with them a good impression respecting the number and efficiency of the Dibli forces.

Among the Maliks who accompanied Ulugh Khān upon this expedition, and also on the subsequent one, was Malik Tāj ud-Dhī, Sanjar-t-Tez Khān [No. XVII.], who was ordered from Awadh for the purpose—See end of the year 657 и, under the reign.

1 Under the reign, the date is the 13th of Safar.

2 Near upon 100 miles. Such a word as "kes," which is Sanskrit, as in Elliot, does not occur throughout this work.

contumacious rebels of that tract. All those that were on the mountain sides, in the deep defiles, and great ravines, were taken and were brought under the swords of the Musalmans. For a period of twenty days he [Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam] continued to move about that Koh-pāyah in every direction. The dwelling-places and villages of those mountaineers were on the summits of the high hills. and the whole of their edifices on the acclivities of rocks. so that you would say they were, in altitude, equal to the stars, and even with the sky. By command of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, the whole of those places which, in strength, might compare with the tale told of the wall of Sikandar in solidity, were captured and plundered, and the people of those places, who were knaves. Hindus, thieves, and high-way robbers, were all put to the sword. The Ulugh Khani orders to that army of holy warriors were, that whoever should bring in a head should receive one tangah of silver, and whoever brought in a man alive two tangahs of silver from the private treasurer.

The detenders of the truth, in conformity with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's commands, penetrated into all the loftiest places, into the defiles, and deep ravines, and acquired heads and captives, and became filled with property and money, especially the sept of Afghāns, every one of whom you might say was some huge elephant with [the tails of] two Khiṭā-i bulls over his shoulders, or some tall tower of a fortress, placed on its summit, for the purpose of overawing, with banner displayed. The number of them, employed in the service of, and attending the stirrup of, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, was about 3000 horse and foot, daring, intrepid, and valiant soldiers, each one of whom, either on mountain or in forest, would take a hundred Hindūs in his grip, and, in a dark night, would reduce a

In nearly every instance, throughout this work, the Calcutta Printed Text uses ورهاي and لوره اي for ورهاي

^{*} The same word—ghajz-ghāe—is used here as applied to Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Sabuk-Tigīn, page 68 — It evidently refers to their hairy faces and the long curly hair hanging down their backs, and as some tribes wear their hair to this day — These Afghāns are the first PATĀNS mentioned in this work, and in no other place in it, either before or after, are they mentioned — Compare Ellitor here also.

demon to utter helplessness. In short, the whole of the Maliks and Amirs, Turks and Tājziks, displayed zeal and energy, the mention of which will ever endure upon the pages of time; and, up to this period, since the standards of Islam were first displayed in the land of Hind, at no time had the Musalman troops ever before reached that locality or ravaged it. Under the auspices of the good fortune of the Sultan of Sultans, Nasir-ud-Din, Mahmud Shah, the Most High God facilitated the delivery into the hands of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam that obdurate Hindū, who had carried off those camels and camel men, together with his sons and family, all of whom were taken, and the decree of fate brought them into the bondage and captivity of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's followers, and the whole of the heads and chiefs of the rebels, to the number of about 250 persons, among the chief men of that people, fell into the chains of bondage. One hundred and forty-two horses reached the Sultan's stables, and sixty badrahs? of tangahs, the amount of [each of?] which was 35,000* tangahs, he [Ulugh Khān] extorted from the Ranahs and Raes of that mountain tract. and they were conveyed to the royal treasury; and, in the

⁴ One of the St. Petersburg copies of the text ends the Section here, and passes at once to the last Section

6 The tract of country here indicated, the Koh-pāyah of our author, seems to be Bharatpūr, Dholpūr, and part of the Rājpūt states of Jaipūr and Alwar. The Musaimāns had penetrated before this much farther south to the vicinity of the Narbadah

We may be sure these successes will not be found recorded in Rājpūt annals.

* A smail bag of cotton or linen cloth, goats' leather, or felt cloth, rather longer than broad. The word also means a bag of 10,000 dirams

The probability is that each hadrah contained that number of tangehs—in which case the total would be 2,100,000 tangahs, or about equal to 21 tahs of rupls, not a very large sum to extort from several rich Räes and Ränahs, the smaller sum would have been too paltry to convey to the royal treasury. One of the best copies has gold tangahs, in which case the total amount may have been that given above, but, even then, the sum would be but a comparatively paltry one

In the Printed Text, the original word—J2—he extorted—from the verb—J3—is turned into—i3—and this has been followed in ELLIOT, hence this sentence has assumed the following amusing form "and six bags of tankas, amounting to thirty thousand tankas, were taken from the Ránas of the hills and the Rádis of SixD, and sent to the royal treasury"

As the word *** stands in the place of there is no word for taken in this sentence in the Printed Text, and so the literal translation of it would be: "so much from the Ránahs, &c, to the royal treasury conveyed"—an unintelligible numble of words.

space of twenty days, such were the important feats effected through the vigorous and energetic command of Ulugh

Khān-i-A'zam-May his glory ever continue!

On the 24th of the month Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 658 H., Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam returned to the capital. The august canopy of sovereignty, and the king of the world like an imperial sun under the shadow thereof-God perpetuate his sovereignty !- and all the Maliks, Amirs, Sadrs, men of rank and position, and the inhabitants of the city, came out to the plain of the Hauz-i-Rani [the Rani's Reservoir], and drew up in lines, extending from the Bagh-i-Jud 1 [the Jud Garden to the Rant's Reservoir, and hastened in the footsteps of loyalty to meet and do honour to the sublime standards which accompanied Ulugh Khān-1-A'zam . The Sultan of Sultans-God long preserve his sovereignty! -at the head of the Rani's Reservoir, on the exalted seat of the throne of sovercignty, held an audience, and Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, with the Maliks and Amirs of the force, arrayed in robes of honour conferred by Ulugh Khan himself, attained the honour of kissing the threshold of the place of audience, so that one might say, from the various coloured robes, of satin, silk, brocade, gold and silver tissue, and other expensive textures, and gold embroidered tunics and other garments, that that plain bloomed like a thousand flower gardens. All these Grandees, Maliks, Amirs, incomparable champions and warriors of the force, one day previous to this, in their own quarters, had donned these honorary dresses from out of the lordly treasury of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam-May it never cease being replete with riches and spoils !-- and [now] the whole of them, victorious and triumphant, safe and rich, hied to the sublime audience-hall, and great and smallhigh and low-attained the honour of kissing the Sultan's hand, together with thousands of commendations, favours,

In one copy of the text—one of the older ones—this is here written with the vowel points— $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ — $\frac{1}{$

The Hamilton MS, is minus the whole of the remainder of this bection.

^a This grand reception plainly shows that Ulugh Khān's force had achieved a great success over the unbelievers

and assurances, and returned thanks to the Most High and Holy God for that success 4.

After two days, the royal cavalcade [again] proceeded out of the city to the plain at the Rani's Reservoir, with the intention of making an example of infidels, and command was given for the elephants, of mountain-like form and reaching to the sky, of demon-like aspect, and wind-like speed—so that you might say they were the delegates of destiny and the soldiers of the angel of death-to be brought for the purpose of inflicting condign punishment upon the infidels. The relentless Turks, of the profession of Mars, drew their well-tempered, fire-flashing, swords from the scabbards of power, and then the sublime order was issued so that they commenced to execute [the rebels]. After that, some of those rebels they cast at the feet of the elephants, and made the heads of Hindus, under the heavy hands and feet of those mountain-like figures, the grain in the orifice of the grinding mill of death, and, by the keen swords of the ruthless Turks, and the life-ravishing executioners, every two of these Hindus were made four, and, by scavengers, with knives, such that, at the gashes of them. a demon would be horror-stricken, a hundred and odd rebels were flaved from head to foot, and at the hand of their skinners, they quaffed, in the goblet of their own heads, the Sharbat of death Command was given so that they stuffed the whole of their skins with straw, and suspended them over every gate-way of the city.

In short, an example of retribution was made such as the plain at the Rāni's Reservoir, and the open space before the gate of Dihli never remembered the like of, and the ear of no hearer ever heard a tale so terrible as that.⁶ Such like religious warfare and victory over the infidels, and such amount of booty was acquired, and such efforts

⁴ In El.1107 [page 382, vol. 11.], the Editor considering that "The author here becomes very diffuse in his descriptions and praises, which are not worth translation," this entire paragraph is dismissed with a very few words. — "His Majesty, with a great retinue of chiefs and nobles, came forth to the plain of Hann-rind to meet him, and a great court was held in which many honours and rewards were bestowed."

[•] We must make allowances for the age in which this occurred, but what an idea it gives us of the merciful disposition, and amiability of "the king of the world," and copier of Kur'ans, if he had any authority?

were brought about through the power of the Ulugh Khāni good fortune. May the Most High God preserve the Sultān of Sultāns, NĀṢIR-UD-DUNYĀ WA UD-DIN, MAḤMUD SHĀH, on the throne of sovereignty, and adorn the exalted seat of Ulugh Khān-I-A'zam with perma-

nency and stability!

Having achieved such deeds. Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam represented before the sublime throne, that it was right that the Khurasan emissaries should be conducted to the capital and attain the honour of kissing the royal hand. On the command being issued, on Wednesday, the 8th of the month of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 658 H., the august retinue [of the Sultan moved to the Kushk-i-Sabz [the Green Castle], and Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam gave orders, so that the Sahib, the Diwan-i-'Ariz-i-Mamalık [the Head of the Department of the Muster-master of the Kingdom marshalled in order the men bearing arms from the different parts around and in the vicinity of the capital. About 200,000 footmen, well armed came to Dihli, and they drew up, in battle array, about 50,000 horse, fully equipped with defensive armour, and with banners [displayed], and of the populace of the city—the higher, middle, and lower classes—so many men bearing arms, both on horseback and on foot went forth, that, from the Shahr-i-Nau [new city] of Gilūkhari to within the city where was the Royal Kasr, twenty lines of men, one behind the other-like the avenue of a pleasure-garden with the branches entwined - placed shoulder to shoulder, stood row after row. Truly you might say-"It is the last great day, the time of the general resurrection, the hour of perturbation, the rendering of account of good and evil "-through the experience, energy,

Now, in Elliot, we have "the Mughal amhassador in Khurásán." In the Printed Text "they" correctly, the المراجية [plural of مراجية]. See

note ⁷, page 851.

Twenty-seven lines, in some copies "The author becomes very diffuse in his description and praises, which are not worth translation," according to Elliot, vol. ii page 382, which see.

^{*} Compare ELLIOT here

The Calcutta "official" Printed Text, copying the I O.L. MS 1952, has a very amusing blunder here. Instead of "shi—signifying "prepared," "ready," "drawn up," &c., after—i—it has—sib—signifying "female"—thus turning them into 50,000 female horse!! The R A S. MS is also incorrect, but has—sib—and the former word is meaningless.

ontrol, and lieutenancy of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—God erpetuate his good fortune! The arrangement of the ines, the assignment of the place of every one of the Amirs, staliks, Grandees, and Şadrs, with their followings and lependants, the disposition of the standards and banners, he donning of arms, the preservation of every one's rank, which Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam directed, he himself saw to, by moving from one end of the lines to the other, placing very one in the place which had been assigned to him.

That concourse of people presented such a tremendous ppearance, that the ear of the heavens, at the din of the ymbals and kettle-drums, the cries of the trumpeting eleshants, the neighings of the prancing horses, and the vocierations of the people, became deaf, and the eve of the nalicious and envious blind. When the Turkistan emisaries' mounted and set out from the Shahr-i-Nau fof Gilū-khari], and their sight fell upon that concourse, their right was such from the awe inspired by that immense oncourse and the warlike apparatus, that the danger was est the bird of their souls should take wing from their podies. It is most likely—indeed it is the fact—that, at the ime of the charge of the trumpeting elephants, some of 2 hose emissaries got thrown from their horses and fell to he ground. May the Most High God avert the evil eye rom this kingdom and realm, capital and army, and the Maliks of the dynasty!

When the emissaries reached the city gate, by the royal ommand, and the approval of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, all he Maliks observed the custom of going to receive them, nd, in doing honour to the emissaries' party, observed towards them the usages of respect, and with due reve-

I They are so-called here in all the copies of the text collated, with a single xception, but, hitherto, they have always been styled emissaries "from Khuāsān," and "of Khuāsān," and the context proves the above a mistake for Churāsān, because they came from thence, and not from Turkistān. See page 59.

They and their followers must be meant, as the emissanes were but three and.

These persons came with no political object: merely respecting this matriionial alhance with Ulugh Khān, and therefore I have neither styled them avoys nor "ambassadors from" Halākū Khān, but Ulugh Khān evidently vished to let them see the Dihlf forces to the best advantage, and carry back a tool report of them.

rence conducted them to the Kasr-i-Sabz [the Green Castlel and before the exalted throne of sovereignty. On that day, the Castle of Sovereignty was adorned with various kinds of carpets and cushions, and a variety of princely articles of luxury and convenience, both of gold and silver, and round about the royal throne two canopies one red and the other black, adorned with jewels of great price, were extended. The golden throne ornamented with the masnad [seat] of empire, and the series of illustrious Maliks, great Amirs, distinguished Sadrs, eminent personages, the handsome young Turk slaves with golden girdles, and the champions in pomp and pride ranged around, made the assembly halls studded with gems, and saloons burnished with gold, seem like unto the garden of bliss, and the eighth heaven, so that the [following] lines having become applicable to the occasion, and having been pronounced before the exalted throne by one of the sons of this suppliant, from his composition, are here introduced. [These lines are a mere repetition of the same fulsome epithets and exaggerated figures as are found in the preceding and following prose, and are scarcely worth insertion here]

Thou mightest with truth say that that assembly was as a heaven full of stars, or like a firmament teeming with planets. The sovereign of the universe on the throne appeared as a sun from the fourth heaven, with Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam in attendance as a shining moon, kneeling upon the knees of veneration and reverence, the Maliks in rows like unto revolving planets, and the Turks in their gold and gem-studded girdles like unto stars innumerable.

In short, all this arrangement, and preparation, and [these] different matters, were carried out with the approval and wise counsel, and sagacious conception of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, for, although the Sultān of Sultāns, in conformity with the Prophet's sayings, accords to him the position of a father, nevertheless he is more obedient and submissive than a thousand newly-purchased slaves. So

If pa-signified an umbrella merely, it would scarcely be applicable here. What canopies of state are may be seen from Plate vii. to Blochmann's Translation of the A'in-i-Akbari

⁶ I should imagine that this remark-in fact the whole of this account-

the emissaries, after their reception, were conducted, after receiving various marks of favour, and different benefits were conferred upon them, to the assigned place prepared for their residence.

It is necessary at this place to mention what was the motive of the arrival of these emissaries from the country of Khurāsān, and from Hula'u [Hulāku] Khān, the Mughal. and how it fell out. The facts of the matter are these, that Malik Nāsir-ud-Din. Muhammad, son of Malik Hasan the Karlugh-The Almighty's mercy be upon him!-perhaps, entertained a strong inclination to cause a pearl from the ovster shell of his family to be transferred to the string of marriage to Shah, the son of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, in order that, through that union, he [Nasir-ud-Din, Muhammad might display his glory over the Maliks of the time and the great rulers of the world, and that that connexion might be a means of strength to him, and a source of On this subject he wrote secretly and confidentially, to one of the servants of the household of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, and endeavoured to obtain an inkling as to the possibility of the [proposed] connexion, and intimated that he himself would under this veil submit the matter for the august consideration of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam. by way of sincerity and duty. As Malik Nasir-ud-Din. Muhammad, son of Hasan the Karlugh, was one of the illustrious Maliks of his day, tit became necessary, on the

clearly proves that Ulugh Khān was not Suljān when this was written. It is somewhat remarkable that our author has never once mentioned whether Ulugh Khān had obtained his freedom or not. We must hence suppose that he had not, for our author would scarcely have omitted to mention such an important fact.

⁶ The following six paragraphs are what, in LLLIOT [page 383, vol. ii.], is said, by the Editor, to be "a long digression of no interest"

7 All the copies of the text are alike here, but it is very certain that Ulugh Khān's son must have had some other name prefixed to the word Shāh, but no son of his is mentioned in history of whose name Shāh forms a part.

⁶ See Thomas Pathán Kings, page 98 It is there stated that he, Nāṣirud-Din, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, "sem. to have succeeded to iais father's dominions in Sind, and to have been held in consideration as a powerful monarch. He was still reigning on the arrival of the ambassadors of Hulagu Khān in A. H. 658." His holding dominions in 'ind is entirely erroneous. Malik 'izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashiū Khān, held Sind in 658 H., and was still holding it when this history was brought to a conclusion, and where the dominions of the Karlugh lay will be found in the following statement, and likewise the proof respecting 'izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān's still holding Sind and Multān also.

part of Ulugh Khān, to give an answer on the subject, and his consent to the connexion. He, accordingly, directed one of the middle rank among his retinue to bear the answer to this request, and that bearer, a Khalj, they used to style by the name of the Hājib-i-Ajali [the most worthy Chamberlain], Jamāl-ud-Dīn, 'Alī.

On this Khalj being nominated to this important matter, he obtained from the royal revenue department an order for a number of captives, on account of unavoidable necessaries, and the expenses of the road, and to enable him to get over his journey. When he set out upon the road, at the different stations and stages, the toll-collectors, on the way, continued to demand of him and expect payment of the established tolls and fixed cesses, and the Hājib, 'Alt, continued, in this manner, to repudiate them [saying]: "I am an agent [and therefore exempt]."

By the time he had got over the stages and stations within the kingdom [of Dihli] and reached the territory of Sind, the report of his being on a mission became public; and, when he passed on to Multān, and from thence to Uchchah, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū Khān, commanded that he should be summoned.' So they summoned the Ḥājib, 'Ali, and detained him,' and demanded of him the letters he was bearing, that they might become acquainted with the nature, import, and contents of the documents. The Ḥājib, 'Ali, denied his mission; but, when the affair assumed severity, on being constrained, he avowed, in the presence of the Mughal Shahnagān [Intendants] : "I am an Emis-

This Nāṣur-ud Dīn, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, is the same who presented himself to Sulṭān Raṣiyyat when in the Panjāb in 637 H., and was probably personally known to Ulugh Khān. See page 644, and note?

These slaves or captives must have been given him for the purpose of being sold to provide for the expenses of his journey as occasion required, after the same fashion as our author obtained a grant of forty head to send to his "dear sister" in Khurāsān These captives could have been of no other use to him, and the object is evident.

TAVERNIER says, respecting an ambassador of the king of Mingrelia whom he saw at Constantinople when he was there, "The first time of his audience, he had a train of above 200 persons. But every day he sold two or three to defray his expenses."

¹ See note ⁸, preceding page

The Calcutta Printed Text has all parchastizing, &c., instead of delaying, postponing, and the like
The word is in the plaral here— This conduct on the part of

sary, and I am going upwards." Having, in the presence of that assembly ', made such a statement, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlū-Khān, as a matter of necessity, gave over requiring aught from him, and said: "It is necessary for thee to proceed, that I may have thee taken to thy place of destination." The Ḥājib, 'Ali, replied: "My orders are on this wise, that I should proceed to the presence of Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan the Karlugh," and, consequently, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban, was under the necessity of allowing him to proceed in the direction indicated.

When the Hājib, 'Alī, reached the khittah [district or country] of Baniān, the report of his coming from the borders of Dihli, on a mission, having become published and disseminated among the Mughal Shahnagān [Intendants], and the gentle and simple of that territory, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan, the Karlugh, had to send him, perforce', towards 'Irāk and Āzarbāijān, to the presence of Hulā'ū, the Mughal, and he [Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Ḥasan], of his own accord, and without the sanction of this Court [the Court of Dihli], indited letters as from the dear tongue of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, and, sending some small present along with the Ḥājib, 'Alī, despatched him along with confidential persons of his own.

On arriving in the neighbourhood of the 'Irāk territory, they reached Hulā'ū's presence in the city of Tabriz of Āzarbāijān. Hulā'ū treated the Ḥājib, 'Ali, with much honour, and showed him great consideration. At the time they desired to read out the letters unto Hulā'ū, the Accursed, it became necessary to translate them from the Persian into the Mughali language. In the letters they had written the name of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, 'Malik,' for the

Malık 'Izz-ud-Dîn, Balban-i-Kaahlü Khān, shows that he had thrown off all dependence on the Court of Dihli, but he does not appear to have benefited much from so doing, as he was now a mere vassal of the Mughals.

⁴ The word sales is used here, with reference to the Mughal Shahnagan, and shows that Malik Balban-i-Kashlü Khān must have had several—more than one, at least—of the Mughal Intendants to take care of him.

Malik Näpr-ud-Din, Muhammad, also, had been obliged to succumb to the Mughals, and receive their Shahnagan. He will be referred to again.

These last three paragraphs prove how erroneous is Mr Thomas's statement, mentioned in note 2, page 859-

custom of Turkistan is this that there is but one supreme ruler, no more, and him they do not style Malik, but KHAN, and all others have the name of Malik. So, when they read out the letters to Hula'ū, the Mughal, he said: "Why have ye given an equivalent for the name Ulugh Khān? it behoveth that his designation of Khān be preserved." Such honour and respect did he esteem fit to show towards Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam. Every person of the Khāns of the countries of Hind and Sind, who proceeded to the presence of the Khans and rulers of the Mughals, they altered the title of, and styled them all simply 'Malik,' with this exception of the name of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam which they recognized as it originally stood. This is one, among the proofs of the Divine grace, that both friend and foe, believer and unbeliever, mention his august name with veneration :- "This is the grace of God which He bestows on whomsoever He pleaseth; and God is the possessor of great grace?."

When the Hājib, 'Ali, was dismissed, on his return, the Shahnah [Intendant] of the khuttah [territory] of Baniān, who was the son of Amir Yagh-rash, a famous person, and a respected Musalmān, was nominated by Hulā'ū to accompany him, and Hulā'ū sent orders to the Mughal forces which would be under the standard of Sāri [Sāli], the Nū-in, saying: "If the hoof of a horse of your troops snall have entered the ground of the dominions of the Sultān of Sultāns, Nāṣir-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh—God perpetuate his reign! —the command unto

Kun'an : chap, lyst verse 21

The Calcutta Printed Text is a mere jumble of words here.

Why then is his name not given as well as his father's? The Mughal troops had, at this time, been nearly three years—from the end of 655 H.—on the western frontier of the I hill kingdom, and this fact does not speak much for its power. Perhaps internal dissension prevented vigorous measures being taken against them. For what purpose this person came to I hill does not appear, unless it was to inform the Sulfan of Sulfans, that, out of respect for Ulugh Khān, his troops had been directed not to molest the narrowed frontier on the Biāh.

This name is somewhat uncertain, and may possibly be Bagh-rash. It is written المرض as above in three copies, including two of the oldest, and in others بعرش عوش عوش

We may scarcely suppose that our author wishes up to believe that these are the exact words of Hulaku Khān's order

you is this, that all four feet of such horse be lopped off." Such like security did the Most High God miraculously vouchsafe unto the kingdom of Hindüstän through the felicity attending the rectitude of the Ulugh-Khāni counsels.

When the emissaries reached the capital, the sovereign of Islām, in requital and return for that honour which Hulā'ū, the Mughal, had been pleased to show towards the Hājib of this Court', conformable with the saying, "Verily the reward of kindness should be nought save kindness"—great favour was lavished upon his emissaries likewise. This [which has been related] was the reason of the arrival of the emissaries of Khurāsān and the troops of Turkistān.

May the Most High God long preserve the Sultan of Islam, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, ABO-L-MUZAFFAR-I-MAHMUD SHAH, upon the throne of sovereignty, and the prosperity of the Khakan-i-Mu'-azzam, Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, in successive increase and augmentation, for the sake of Muhammad and his posterity!

² At page 860, he is said to have been a Hājib of Uiugh Khān's own household. No doubt, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, was acquainted with the matter of this proposed alliance from the outset.

This remark is unintelligible save as referring to a retinue or escort accompanying this nameless person, the son of the Shahnah of Baniān, and the other nameless persons who accompanied him. The word used is signifying an army, a body of troops, large or small. As to emissaries, there is only one mentioned here—the person above referred to, but, in the account of Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, Balban-i-Kashlü Khān, our author states that he despatched his own agents along with the Mughal Shahnah [at page 860 the plural is used—Shahnagān] of Sind, on account of the Mughal army being on the Dishli frontier, to the Suljān's presence Nāṣir-ud-Din, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, in all probability, also despatched an emissary of his own with Ulugh Khān's Ḥāph. Our author is either very reticent or appears not to have known the upshot of these matters when he finished this work, for he says, at page 786, "Please God it may turn out well and advantageously "It is also plainly apparent that both Malik Balban-i-Kaṣhlū Khān and Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the Karlugh, could not act independently, and that eir Mughal Shahnahs must have had the control of their affairs.

It is much to be regretted that our author has not given us more particulars respecting these events, and particularly of the last six years of the reign of Nāṇir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh. It would have been interesting to have known the upshot of Malik Balban-a-Kaahlū Khān's career, and whether the matrimonial alliance took place between the son of Ulugh Khān, and the Karlugh chief's daughter, and many other interesting matters, which are not to be found in any subsequent writer.

We have again returned to our history, and the last of the events thereof is this, that Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, having carried out, after the manner [before related], holywar upon the infidels of the Kon-payah with such condign severity, a large number of the remainder of the kinsmen of those rebels, who, previous to that, had escaped from the neighbourhood of the Koh-pavah from the hand of the troops and defenders of Islam-may victory ever attend them I-and fled into different parts, and, by great stratagems, had managed to preserve their abominable lives under the protection of flight from the keen swords of the retainers of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam's house, began, a second time, to renew their sedition, and commenced to infest the roads and to shed the blood of Musalmans, and, by reason of the violence of that gathering, the roads were perilous. This fact being brought to the august hearing of Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam, he despatched intelligencers, informers, and spies, so that they reconnoitred the remaining positions of the rebels, and made thorough inquiry as to the present whereabouts of those vagabonds. On Monday, the 24th of the month of Rajab, 658 H, Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, mounted with his own following, the forces of [the contingents composing] the centre [division], and other troops of the Maliks and warriors, issued from Dihli and pushed on towards the Koh-pāyah, in suchwise that, in one march, he proceeded about fifty kuroh or more , came unexpectedly upon that gathering, captured the whole of them, and put about 12,000 persons, consisting of men and women, and their children, to the sword. All the passes, defiles, and the crests of the hills, were purified of the bodies of the rebels by the wounds of the swords of the Auxiliaries of the Truth, and much booty was captured. Praise be unto God for this victory of Islâm, and honour

This much, which had been witnessed of that dynasty by the author, came under the pen of sincerity—from

⁴ There is not a word about ker in the whole text. Fifty kurch is not a very astonishing distance for a forced march of cavalry, and is not more wonderful than the previous one of the same distance mentioned at page 851. Compa e

readers and examiners he is hopeful of benediction, and, from the possessors of dominion, hopeful of honour, that which is hoped for through God the Beneficent, and that asked for through God the Merciful—in the month of <u>Shawwall</u>, in the year 658 H.

Praise be unto God, and blessings upon His Prophet, and progeny, and his companions all, through Thy mercy, O Thou Most Merciful of the Merciful!

Shawwil is the tenth-month. In the account of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din, Sher Khān-1-Sunkar, page 799, he states that he finished at in Rajah, the seventh month.

ADDITIONAL NOTE.—As I am unacquainted with the Turkish language Mr. Arthur Grote was kind enough to refer, at my suggestion, a List of the less known Turkish titles and names occurring in this and the preceding Sections, but in this one more particularly, with the various readings and the names pointed, as in the very old MS. of our author's work in my possession, to Professor A. Vambéry, to ask him if he could submit them to some Turkish scholar for elucidation. In reply, the Professor himself has been so good as to supply the following explanations; but, while tendering to that gentleman my best thanks for the trouble he has taken, I fear I cannot possibly adopt his solutions of the difficulty, with two or three exceptions, for reasons here mentioned —

Page 720—Als All—" (Ulug Kutlug) the great blessed, can be taken as a proper name as well as for an attribute paid generally to princes. To hutlug corresponds the Arab shi— and Mongo) Oldiattu."

The Professor seems to read & _gi—as simple _g—which is not correct. Of the meaning of Ulugh there was no doubt.

Page 722—1/5—" Judging by the subsequent of is a proper name, and is probably instead of all knajink = the mighty, the powerful. all can only signify a knife, in king [?] dialect "

There was no doubt of its being a name or title, but, in the majority of copies collated, it is written with j—is, not with s, or with a

Page 722-" ملات balaban, a hird of prey, a much used proper name."

The word in my List is جلبان mot مبليان which does not occur in any work I have ever met with.

Page 725--- "عكرتي an erroneous transcription of كير خان مكرتي an erroneous transcription of herris = he broke, annihilated thousands. (2) menguet = he was like. (Instead of mangitt [me in MS.]), of the tribe of سمات manget."

As the name is not written with interest to cannot possibly refer to any tribe called 'Mangit.' The second definition is nearer the mark—but not with two d's—and that reading, viz.—was given in my List. It is by no means improbable, although it only occurs in one of the copies of the text collated, that the fifth consonant should be a instead of _—a mistake which is very liable to arise, and, from what our author him cli states at page 725, that he

was styled Ayaz-i-Hazar-Mardah, that is Ayaz [in prowess] equal to a thousand men, the first signification is not inapplicable

This definition will certainly not apply to the person in question.

Pages 513, 729, &c — " هايا for eybek, sybek, also sybuk, decidedly the name of a bird (swan, kookoo, the Arab كوك and Osmanli— چاوش قوش المرابعة على and Osmanli وكول عدد chaush kushu) frequently used as a nickname in older Turkish writing."

From the way the word is pointed it cannot be read otherwise than I-bak, which is fully described in some of the best lexicons as a Turki word, with the definitions I have given to it at pages 513 and 729, and is frequently used in Persian Histories, as our author's work shows. What will Mr. Blochmann say to the above definition? Fancy Kuth-ud-Din, the Swan! The Arabic—Nüküi signifies the cooing of a dove, not a swan

Page 732—"اسس" —Instead of مناسب dushs = a writer, a secretary. It is a Chinese word, and occurs in Vassal's and Sherefeddin's works

Since note *, page 732, was written, I find the word wite Inight, repeatedly used in the History of Amir Ilmur, the Gungin Abu l-Ghair, Bahādur Khān, in his Shajarah-ul-Atrāk, says a man powassing time some is styled, and Vambery says it is Chinese for a writer, but he has evidently confounded it with the Turkish word Bittle-chi, which hears that signification. There cannot be any doubt of its being a Turkish word, and it is undoubtedly a title of rank. It is therefore clear that the words in the text— and a sold a safe with the solution of the matter is, that a Turk of that rank should have been in a state of bondage; but he may have been taken captive in some of the constant feuds between the Turks of the Tättär and Mughal i-māks, and sold as a slave

Page 731—عان لت-Yughān-Tat-I his title the l'rofessor defines thus - الله - المالة

The above definition is wholly out of the question with respect to Suffud-Din, I-bak, who received the title of Yughān-Tat, on account of, or, after his capturing several elephants in Bang

Page 761-- "علم Toghral = a proper name, not the righteous as inherito believed, but toghrand = the breaker, from toghrand = to put in pieces."

Here the Professor writes a correctly with ach, but the definition of this well known word, which depends upon the pointing, is thus described in a very trustworthy work before referred to —"Spell "Tughrul," It signifies a species or the falcon tribe used in the chase "—and, as plundy indicated by our author farther on, page 936, with reference to the Awang Khān—"and 'I ughrul," the name of a man," which may signify "the breaker." Moreover one noble is named Tughrul-i-Tughān Khān—See page 743

Page 742--- تمر قبران خان Ether a displacement of تمر قبران خار Temir kiran khan = the iron breaking Khan, or temir who defeated Kamreddin "

The Iron [hke] Khān would be appropriate, and the word tantur—iron—has already been described at page 742.

Page 746-" مراش مان ایکن "Kana kash khan ayikin = the man named

Kara kash khan. Kara hash = black eyebrow, is a frequently-used proper name."

" altun yay [yāhi ?] = the golden bow. التوب " mstead of التوب الم altun yay [yāhi ?]

Ikhtıyār-ud-Din of the Golden bow is not inapplicable, but the word signifying gold is written either ألخان or ألخان with long d=1.

Page 752-"___sonkar, shonkar = a bird of prey, a hawk "

Sunkar, as it is written, on the authority before referred to, which gives the meanings of some—but not all, I regret to say—Turki words, signifies a black-eyed falcon of a particular species. See note 6 to the page above referred to.

Page 754— القاني - kabakluk = the gross, the thick; or a mistake of the copyist instead of kulluk = ساره "

The word in the majority of the copies of the text collated has with the rowel points, but two copies have certainly with the rowel points, but two copies have certainly with and the first meaning assigned to it is not inapplicable, i. e. Tāj-ind-Dīn, Sanjar, the Stout of Gross. The Professor previously said that latting meant of the professor previously said that latting meant of the professor previously said that latting meant of the professor previously said that latting means of the professor previously said the professor previously said the professor previously said the previously said the professor previously said the previously said the previously said the professor previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously said the previously s

Page 756--- کوت عال "- Kerit khan a title = the prince of Kerit, a known Turkish tribe."

This I look upon as wholly inadmissible, because the Kai āyat—tribe—not sep certainly—so famous in the history of the Mughals, as will be found farther on, was a purely Mughal tribe, and Karāyat signifies dark or swarthy. The Malik referred to at page 756 was a Turk, and not of the Mughal I-māk.

Page 673 -- الله بكتم الرحال "The incomprehensible part is بكم and here I suppose it to be an orthographical mistake for بكتم my champion, a hero."

Page 775 - مثان كالب balaban keshiu or keshils = of the tribe Balaban. Keshi [or !] Auks means a person, an individual, but keshi [sic in MS] or kishil, if preceded by a proper name, signifies a man of. Thus Urgur kishil = a man of the Urgur tribe; balaban kishil = a man of the Balaban tribe."

Unfortunately for these definitions the word I submitted was "h-balaban not "h-balabān, and this latter word has already been stated to mean "a bird of prey, a much-used proper name" Since these words were submitted to the learned Professor, I have found, beyond a doubt, according to my authorities, as will be found farther on, that Kashiü Khān is a title, and it is said, in the history of the Mughals, that Koshlak Khān, the Nāemān, was entitled Kashiù anti Kashii Khān, which title is said to be the same in signification as Koshlak, who is also called Kojiak Khān "A man of" therefore is entirely out of the question for Kighlü here, at least.

Page 831---بمان -- rock. هان = a block, a hatchet. جمان -- hara chumak = the black hatchet, a proper name."

This rendering is not improbable, and not unlike many other Turkish nicknames, but between a block and a batchet there is a great difference except

when they come together. In the work I have before alluded to Chumāk [in the text it is Jos-Jamāk] is described as an iron mace of six points or divisions.

From the above result, I fear that a satisfactory solution of the correct algusfications of these titles and names, with the few exceptions referred to, must remain in abeyance until some good Dictionary of the old Turkish language shall be forthcoming.

SECTION XXIII.

THE AFFAIRS OF ISLAM, AND IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS.

[As our author relates here the various prophecies respecting the end of the world, of which the irruption of the Mughals was one of the chief indications, I need scarcely follow him, since the world has not yet come to an end, although more than six centuries have elapsed since he foretold it, and closed his history, and, therefore, I may pass over these matters altogether, and begin where he commences his relation of events.]

Notwithstanding that, by the will of the Almighty, and the decrees of Destiny, the turn of sovereignty passed unto the Chingiz Khān', the Accursed, and his descendants, after

¹ This is, perhaps, the most interesting portion of our author's work; and it contains much information not hitherto known, and many important particulars respecting the Panjäh, Sind, and Hindustän, and throws additional light on other events mentioned in the preceding Sections. This highly important portion has not been given at all by ELLIOT in the extracts from our author's work contained in the second vol. of his ¹¹ Historians of India."

² Chingiz or Chingiz Khân signifies "THE GREAT KHAN," and therefore, although apparently pedantic, that is the correct mode of writing his title, which will be explained farther on.

I did not intend to give an account of the descendants of Yāfiş, son of Nūḥ, but, perhaps, it will be well to do so, since many persons appear to entertain very erroneous ideas respecting Turks, Tāttārs, and Mughals, and respecting their correct names, and as our author here has also made some errors respecting the last-named people. I shall be as brief as possible; but I fear that, in giving this account, I shall seriously interfere with some people's theories on the subject.

This account is taken from several histories which I will name, in order that I may not have constantly to quote them, viz:—'Abd-ullah bin Khurdād-bih, Tārīkh-i-Fanākatī, Jāmi'-ut Tawārīkh of the Wazīr, Raghīd-ud-Dīn, Tārīkh-i-Ghāxānī, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Tārīkh-i-Alfī, Shajarah-ul-Atrāk, Mujmal-i-Fa-sh i, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-Ārā, Lubh ut Tawārīkh-i-Jahān-Kushāe of the Jūwaunī, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-Ārā, Lubh ut Tawārīkh, Tārīkh-i-Iahān-Mujl-Ahān-Ārā, Lubh ut Tawārīkh, Tārīkh-i-Abū il-Khyār, Tārīkh-i-Abū il-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, and the Akbar-Nāmah, the last of

the kings of I-ran and Turan, that the whole of the land of

which works contains the history of the Mughals more for the purpose of glorifying the author's master than anything else, as I shall presently show.

I. YAPIS, son of Nüh, from whom the Turks and all their ramifications claim descent, after coming out of the ark with his father, is said to have been sent, by him, into the farther east, and to have fixed his yūrat or encampment, and to have pitched his tent, at a place written in the original—which is somewhat doubtful, in the vicinity of the rivers Atil—whi—the Wolga, which rises in the country of Rüs and Bulghär—and Jātk—whi—He received from his father the famous stone which possessed the virtue of producing run and other blessings, which stone the Turks call yadah-tāth, the 'Ajamfs, sang-i-yadah, and the 'Arabs hajar-al-matar—the rain-producing stone

In after-times the descendants of Yāfiş casting lots for the possession of this miraculous stone, the Ghuzz, hereafter to be mentioned, are said to have made an imitation of it, and the Khalj tribe won the false stone, while the Ghuzz secured the real one. The author of the Habīb-us Siyar says it was preserved among the Uzbaks and Mughals, and possessed the same virtues when he wrote!

Yāfis had eight sons — Turk— ترا 2 Chin - بعن 3 Khurz - 3 Khurz - 3 Sak-[also Şaklah] 5 Rüs—ريس-[an 'Uşmanlı Turkısh author, who lately published a work at Paris, very correctly, contends-according to the historians previously quoted, among whom the Funakati says his work contains what the Sages, Astronomers, and Chronulers of the 1-ghurs, the people of Tibbat, and the tribes of the Turks relate in their chronicles—that the Russians are not Sclaves-1 e Saklābs. See also Mascou's History of the Germans, vol ii page 615] 6. Mang - also written Minsag - Manj - and Manship 7. Tāraj— الم also written lārakh— الم Bārakh— الم and even Mārakh— الم from the fourth son of whom is descended Sikandar-i-[Gomer] also styled, كارى - Karnain, not the Macedonian. 8 Gumari by some of the writers quoted, Kimal or Gimal-- Just and Gimal or Kimal -and Gimfal or Kinifal—کبال [I may repeat here that I always put the most trustworthy names first, in all instances]. Some of these writers, and also the author of the Jami'-1-'Uzm, add the names of three more sons-Khalj-1-Ghuzz-e-and Sadsan-but the two first mentioned cannot be sons of Yang, from what these writers themselves subsequently state respecting the origin of their names, presently to be noticed.

Some of the authorities mention the confusion of tongues, which necessitated the eight sons of Yāfiş separating, and they are mentioned as taking up their residence, with their families, in different parts of what they call Turkistān, and which, subsequently, were called after their respective names, but the others state that Nūḥ sent Yāfiş mto the farther east, into Tūrān

II Turk, the eldest son of Yāfiz, son of Nüh, took up his residence in that pleasant locality famous for its hot and cold springs, which the Turks call Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل Salingre—الله عمل المنافقة الم

According to 'Abd ullah-1-Khurdād-bili, and Abū-l Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, "'Salingāe hes round about Issīgh-Kol, which is a little sea, or great lake, seven days' journey in extent [about 120 miles long], surrounded by mountains,

Turan and the East fell under the sway of the Mughals

and into which sevenly rivers fall, but the lake 13 salt, and some say the wate 16 warm."

Chin, son of Yāfig, was very clever, and inventive, and among other thing invented by him was the custom of winding silk (from the cocoon), and weavin silken textures. He had a son whom he named Mā-Chin, who was exceedingly wise. When the latter's faintly became numerous, he said to his father that he would construct a place of abode for himself, and he founded the city of MI Chin. He acquired the jade stone, and discovered its properties and virtue and made it known to his people, and he also took musk from the musk-deer.

Khurz, son of Yāfiş, was very mild, tractable, and tacitum, and, havin rounded about in all directions, at length fixed his residence by the bank of the river Atil—bi In the summer season he dwelt [with his family] in the ope country, and, in winter, in a town [14]—a fixed habitation, probably.

Saklab, son of Yans, made some request to the chief of his with or trit -one writer says, to Gumari, Khurz, and Rus, to be allowed to dwell wit them-which was not granted, and, on this account, enmity arose betwee them. Others say, that the descendants of Sakiāb, having become much mor numerous than the others, came to a fight with their kinsmen, but, bein worsted, took up their residence in more distant parts, farther west, beyond th seventh clime, where the cold is great. They appear to have not only mad up their feud in these latter days, A D 1876, but also, for political purposes, t have merged into one people "Abd-ullah-i-Khurdad-lah calls him Sag-laband says that he was suckled by a bitch, hence the name, and which if true, may account for the very Christian-like proclivities manifested latel by his simple-minded "Christian" descendants, so-called, in cutting off ear lips, noses, and heads, and otherwise mutilating their dead foes, a very dog lik disposition They—the slave of European writers—are notorious for such-lik acts, as Tacitus and Procopius testify.

Rūs, son of Vāfis, is the ancestor of the Rūslāns [Russians] Being hel in little account, and without sufficient means, he continued, for some time, if dwell along with Saklāb, but, subsequently, left him. Among the descendan of Rūs the custom prevails of giving the parents' whole inheritance to the daughters, and nothing but a sword to the sons.

Mang, or Mansag, son of Yafig, was full of deceit and artifice, and I took up his dwelling on the side of Bulghar There is the land of the Ghuz and the whole of that race are his descendants, from his son, named Ghuzz and they are the worst of the descendants of \ansats Some few writers as "the worst of the Turks," but to be Turks they must have seen descende from Turk, which does not appear to have been the case. After the decease of Vafig, Mansag managed to get possession of the rain stone, and it remaine with the Ghuzz, but, on one occasion, when Turk required it to bring rais he sent and demanded the stone from them. They substituted a false one an sent it, which being discovered, strife arose, and numbers of the Ghuzz fami were killed in consequence, and, from that time, enmits has continued betwee this name.] Ghuzz's eldest son was killed in this affair. He was name hence the Turk-mans style themselves Beghu. See note 5, page 374, at note 4, page 433.

Gumari [Gomer of European historians who is also called Kimal or Gim

and that the authority of the Muhammadan religion de-

Some instorians are of opinion that the Yūnānīān, and Rūmīān [Ionians and Romans], are descended from Gumārī, otherwise Kīmāi or Gīmāl, and that Yā-jūj and Mā-jūj [Gog and Mā-gog]—who, probably, are the ancestors of the

Samoydes -- were likewise sons of Gumart

No account whatever is given of the eighth son of Yāfiş—Tārakh, or Bārakh.

This is the genealogy of the descendants of Yāfia, son of Nūḥ, but, as such numbers of tribes have sprung from them as cannot be easily enumerated, and, as the object of the writers was merely to give an account of the Turks, they do not chronicle much more respecting the other sons of Yāfiā, but concentrate their attention on the movements of his cidest son, Turk

Yāfiş, son of Nūḥ, having died at the age of 250—some say 240—years, Turk was chosen head of his people, and they styled him Yāfiş Ughlān, or the Younger Yāfiş The vast tract of country, called Turkistān, takes its aame from him. He is said to have been contemporary with Gaiū-inurt, the first of the Maliks of 'Ajam, and he was the first who was chosen Khān among the children of Yāfiş The Jāmi'-i-'Ugm states that he succeeded to the authority at a place named Sflük—inite.

Fe had four sons — I Tunak or Tunag—why—but, according to some, his name was Tunag or Tunak et also written Jikal or Jigal—Ji—and Chikal or Chigal—Ji— [This latter name is still known, and is now applied to a small tract of country]. Barunjär—and Barunjür—and 4. Amiāk or Imiāk—Jikal which may be written also Amilāgh or Imiāgh—sil.

Tunag, or Tunak or Tutak or Tutag, took up his quarters on the banks of the river Atil, but the dwelling places of the other brothers are not mentioned

At this point considerable discrepancy occurs among the authors quoted, respecting the successor of Turk, entitled Yafia Ughlan. With a single exception they state that, when his end drew near, Turk made over the chieftam-ship to his son, whom they tyled Alminjah—sell—Alminjah—sell—and Almijah—sell—and ilipa —sell—and Abū.l-Ghārī, Bahādur Khān, states, that "Turk, at his death, bequeathed his sovenegity to his son Tūnak" [Tūtak or Tūtag, previously mentioned], and that "Tūnak left the sovereignty to Jalzah [؟ مار أوار], his son " This

parted from those regions, which became the seat of

Jalzah may be meant for Alinjah or the like, but I must mention that Abū-l-Ghāzī is the most modern of all the authors I have referred to, he having only begun his History in 1074 H. == 1663 A D, and that, in one place in his work, he states that he himself copied from other authors what is contained therein down to the time of his ancestor Shaibānī Khān, the contemporary and enemy of Bābar. Those authors must have been some of those whose works I have already named; but Abū-l-Ghāzī differs from them essentially, and can scarcely be considered a better authority than those who centuries previously compiled the history of the descendants of Yāfiş by command of Mughal sovereigns, and from the best authorities.

For the above reasons I must assume that Turk was succeeded by his grandson, Alminjah or Alinjah, possibly the son of Tütag or Tünag

V. KIWAK—who—also written Kiwak—who—by some, Kyūk, and by two authors Kūr, or Gūr—ho—another son of Alminjah or Alminjah, but, according to some, his eldest son, succeeded to the chieftain—hip over the Turks. He became an idol worshipper according to the Fanākatī, who then makes a sudden leap to Aghūz Khān who does not belong to this dynasty at all Others state however that Kiwak did not deviate from the just and virtuous path of his forefathers.

VI I now come to a period respecting which all the authors named, with a single exception, to be referred to presently, agree, namely, that wherein Kiwak was succeeded by his son, ALINIAH-will-Khan, during whose chieftain-ship his people, filled with arrogance at their prosperity and flourishing condition, continued to fall deeper into darkness and perversity until they all became infidels. After a considerable time, two sons were born to him at one birth, to the eldest of whom he gave the name of Tattar-, bu-and to the second and -مغول -- which is also written Mughul معل -- and but Mongol is wholly enoneous I cannot imagine how it ever came to be adopted. When Aliniah became old and infirm, and his two sons had grown up, he divided his territory between them, giving to each a half, and retired from the world The two brothers appear to have ruled jointly, and in harmony, for some time, but, eventually, separation took place between them, and two septs or tribes arose, which authors call by the Turkuh words I-mak - 'e e - I-magh - Lad Ui-mak - 'c or اويماع — Ui-magh

It may be well to mention another matter which occurs to me here, and, although it is not a necessary or very material part of the present subject, it can scarcely be deemed foreign to it.

Those Turks—Tättärs, and Mughals—who occupy at prevent the old seats of the Täjzik Ghūris, between Hırāt, Kābul, and Kandahār ["the Afghans of Ghore" as they were wont, until very lately, improperly to be styled, and who are said to have "founded the 'pre-Mughai' Putān dynasty of Him-

paganism, the kingdom of Hindūstān, by the grace of

dostan"], to whom ELPHINSTONE refers in his " Account of Caubul," under the name of "Emanks," still style themselves "Chahar [Four] I - mak or Di-mak," after the same Turkish words as given above, they having been originally four tribes of those people, and the people now styled Hazārahs-which word is not a proper name but derived from hazar, a thousand, the name given by the Mughal rulers to bodies of 1000 men, but these so-called hazārahs often contained many more, even 4 and 5000 One or more bodies of these troops were, with their families, stationed in those parts-once exceedingly flourishing and populous-after their conquest by the Mughals, subsequent to which period likewise the Chahar I-mak were settled therein. One of the former was the Hazārah of the Nü-yin, Mukah, a Karāyat Mughal, who with his ulus, was sent to reside on the frontiers of Khurasan, and occupied the tracts extending from the limits of Balkh to Badghais of Hirat. They were not the first, however, for, long prior to the time of the Turkish rulers of Ghaznin, we find Turkish tribes settling in the N W parts of that tract of country which is called Afghānistān in later times, and in the parts between Kābul and Peshāwar, about the skirts of the Safed Koh Elphinstone says, "Their features refer them at once to the Tartar stock, and a tradition declares them to be the offspring of the Moguls (su)," and, in a foot-note, he adds . "I find it difficult to account for the number of Toorkee words which are met with in the language of those tribes. Why, if they be Meguli, should they have spoken Toorkee?"

"Toorkey," I beg leave to observe, is the mode in which Dow and BRIGGS thought proper to write the word TurkI-Ja-after the absurd elegancies of a "pronouncing-dictionary," I suppose, or the Fonetic Nuz, and they appear to have been under the impression that Turk and Turki referred solely to the Usmanii (Ottoman) Turks and their language, and that they, according to their supposition, were a totally different rice from the children of the sor of Yans, and so they invariably wrote the word, without any authority whatever-Toorkey-as if it were written in the original gow with , which it It will also be seen that Flphinstone's difficulty was a self-made one, and that the "Moguls should have speken Toorke" is not to be wondered at He also says [vol 11 p. 222] "the Mogule and Uzbeks"-for he seems to have been unaware that the Uzbaks are Mughals in reality-"compose what we call the Tartar nation" ! The fact however is precisely the conting

BABAR mentions these Chahar I-mak He styles them respectively "the Turk I-māk," "Hazārah Mughals," "Turk-māns," and "lāimānf [not 'Tymunee'] I-mak " I have never come into contact with them my elf or I would have learned the correct names of their I make and then descent, but, certainly, the Nikudaris rever included among them in former days " Firus-kohi" is a mere local same

I now return to the account of the two I-maks of Tattar and Mughal, and commence with the eldest branch

THE TATTAR I-WAK

The chiefs or sovereigns of the Tattar I-mak consist of eight persons, the first of whom was the eldest of the twin sons of Alinjah Khan 1 TATTAR KH ואים של של son of Alinjah, ruled for a considerable time,

and was succeeded by his son,

II. Būkā Kilān نواو also written, in some histories, Būkū به who was succeeded by his son,

Almighty God, and the favour of fortune, under the shadow

ابلي -- whom some style And or Insi-ابلي -- and Isley-ابلي -- and without discritical points. Abū-l-Ghād styles this ruler Itelah

[الباد] He was succeeded by his son,

Which is written in some of the works quoted Altūr التر and Astir التر but they are evidently both intended for اسم which is a well-known Turkish name. This however is a specimen of the manner in which careless copyists, ignorant of the subject copied, make correct names incorrect Abū-l-Ghārī calls him Atasir or Atsir [3]. He was engaged in wars, but against whom is not recorded. Hostility had probably already arisen between the Tattar and Mughal I-maks.

VI ARDO or URDO 40,1 also written Ardah or Urdah 40,1 son of Atsir,

succeeded to the authority, and, at his death, his son,

VIII. SON) KITS and by some styled STNDZ-son of Baidi, succeeded, but, of fore I say more concerning him, I must bring the

Mughal I-mak down to ins time.

THE MIGHAL OR MIGHEL I MAK

This I-mak was ruled over by nine persons, and from this circumstance the number nine is held in great veneration by the Mughals. The first was,

I MUGHAT — Jan — or Mughūl — Jan — KIUN, second son of Alunah, who was a chieffum of great dignits. It must be remembered, however that nearly every one of the writers remed at the heal of this account urete for, on under the reigns of, the Mughal Soveregus, and, consequently, nothing good is said of the Tättärs. Mughal Khūn hed tour sons. I Kuā Khān— ja Awar, Awar, or Aor Khān— ja 3 Km Khīn— ja ad, 4 Kur, or Gur Khān— ja - also written Kūi or (cūr— ja). With respect to the second and fourth sons' names, parise larls with regard to the second, considerable difference exists in these Histories. Some cill him Tgar or Agui— al— perhaps Azar or Azur— j— is meant, others, Awaz or Awuz— i— Awas or Awas— j— And the fourth son is called Kuz, or Kawa. Gūz or Cīwu— ja and Kuz or Guz— j— &c., according to the vowel points, that may be used with the word when not marked in the original.

H. KARA KHAN, eldest son of Mughal Khān, succeeded his father, and in his time, most of the descendants of Tink were idol-weishippers, and but few followed the faith of their ancestors. During his reign a morement took place among his people, and he made subject the parts about Kara-Kin in, and the tracts lying between those two lofty mountain ranges which they call Ur-Tāk—jū j—and Kar-Tāk—jū j—and Kar-Tāk—jū j—and some, War-Tāk—jū j—and Kar-Tāk, or, as—j—k is interchangeable with s—gk in Turkish words, it may be more concept written 1:-1 igh or Ur-Tāgh, and Kar-Tāgh, or War-Tāgh and Kar-Tāgh. Tāgh, in Turkish, signifying a range of mountains—and therein took up his jūrar—encamping

of the guardianship of the Shamsi race, and the shade of

ground-and his i-lak or i-lagh, or summer, and kish-lak or kish-lagh, or These terms are still used by the Chahar-I-mak in Afghanistan, winter station and even the Afghans have, during the course of time, adopted the terms from them.

Abū-l-Ghāzī says "those mountain ranges are now [in his time] called Ulugh-Tagh—the high, great, over topping mountains, and the Kizil-Tagh—the red or ruddy mountains, " which are sufficiently delineated on all good maps, but under various different names Kara Khan's kirh-lak, or winter quarters, was generally at the foot of the mountains in about Lat 40°, Long 94° or 95°. The name Assu-red-occurs constantly in the names Rizil-kol, Kiril-kum, and the like Another Wher describes the country of the Mughal I-mak as bounded E by Khrfa. W by the I-ghur country, N by Kirkir [which is also written, by some, Kirkiz, and Kirkiz, but Mīrzā Ḥaidar always writes it Wira-kir سراهر-which evidently refers to the country around the Kirkir Nor of the Jesuits' map, and the Farkh-i-Alfi has Kirkir-J. Mirza Haidar and S. by إرجير and S. by حرصر سكوت--Kharkhez Tungat

Karā Khān was a tyranıncal and odious infidel, and greatly feared and avoided. During his sovereignty he had a son born to him by his chief Khātūn, who received the name of Aghūr, which name refers to his refusal of nourishment from his mother's breast for three days and nights, according to the Mughal tradition-but I have not space to detail it here-because she too had become an infidel, the word Aghür being said to mean the sound of milk taken from an ewe which has recently had young It was usual among the Mughals not to name their offspring until they were a year old, but Aghūz turned out to be a most remarkable infant, and so he named himself, to the surprise of his parents, who were consulting upon what name to give him. crying out from his cradle "My name is Aghūz"

Aghur Khan grew up in the true faith, which his mother returned to, and his father, Karā Khan, discovering this, resolved to put him to death, and to take advantage of such time as Aghuz should be following the diversion of hunting in order to carry it out Aghūz was married, in succession to three daughters of his three uncles, one of whom adopted the true faith, and she gave him intimation of his father's design, and he, being in consequence joined by numerous partisans, kept aloof-from his father. At last, however, a conflict ensued between the father and son, and Aghūz slew his parent. Abū-l-

Ghazi says the father "was killed" during the rout of his followers

III. AGHUZ KHAN - al - also written AGHUZ-e'-which is equally correct, and by the Fanakati, UGHOZ -- having succeeded to the authority. for a space of two m three years, but, according to some of the best of the Histories this account is aken from, for seventy-two or seventy-three years [seventeen years are more probable], waged war with his paternal and maternal uncles and other kinsmen and their dependents, and his own tribe, and, at length, succeeded in compelling the greater part of them to return to the true faith, and those who would not be treated with the utmost rigour. His uncles, however, with their dependents, and some of his father's likewise, fled from his territory farther to the east, to the horders of Chin, and sought protection from the Malik of the Tattar I-mak He aided them with his forces, and they marched against Aghuz Khan, but, being filled with fear and terror of nun, they were put to the rout Aghār Khān pursued them, and even

the protection of the I-yal-timishi dynasty, became the

penetrated to the frontiers of Chin, and subdued part of that clime likewise. How this will agree with the Chinese annals remains to be seen, but, as one of his uncles was named Kin Khin, this may possibly account for the Kin—who are styled "Kin Tartars," but, perhaps, more correctly, should be Kin Mughils—in the farther east, who subsequently conquered Northern China.

After this, such was his power, and the awe he was held in, that many wifes or tribes of the Tättär I-mäk, as well as that of Mughal, became subject to him. He also brought under his sway the city of Tälägh, and Şairām or Sīrām to the frontiers of Bukhārā.

If e adopted wise laves and regulations, and assigned names to several Turkish tribes [i.e. his own Mughal I-māk and such of the Tāttār I-māk as had acknowledged his sway], by which names they are known still, such as I-ghūr—jayl—[an offshoot of Mughal Khān's family] which signifies "coming to one's aid" and "making a compact," they having been the first to join him when his father resolved to take his life, Kānkulī—which is also written Kānghulī—which is also written Kānghulī—which is also written Kānghulī—which is also written Kanghulī—which is also written kānghulī—which is also written kanghulī—was difficulty in removing it. They are still to be found on the banks of the Sīḥūn and parts adjacent. Abū l-Ghāzī says kankul—Ji—signifies the creaking of awheel; Kalchāk—wije—which is also written Khaſchāk—wije—and Kaſchāk—Ji—signifying a tree hollow in the trunk

One of the conflicts in which Aghūz Khān was engaged was with Ayat, the Burāk, and Aghūz was overthrown, and had to retire into a delta, but seventeen years after he finally overcame him

There; was with his forces, on that occasion, a pregnant woman—the Mughals and Tättärs used to take their wives with them in war—whose husband had been killed in that affair, and, being taken in labour, she took shelter within the trink of a hollow tree which happened to be there, and gave birth to a son. Aghūz, hearing of it, took pity on her, as her husband had been slain in his defence, and adopted the boy, and gave him the name of Kabehāk because he was born in a hollow tree. When he grew up, Kabehāk was sent lino the tract of country called Tāmāk, to guard that frontier, and it got the name, in course of time, of darkt or plain of Kabehāk

The next to whom he assigned a name were the Kārlūķis—13,4—also blood Kārlūķis—13,4—and Karluķis—and the circumstance which gave rise to it was this. Aghūr Kāhin, returning from an expedition into the eastern parts of i-rān Zamin, was passing the boiders of Ghūr and Gharjistān on his way back to Tūān. It was the depth of winter, and he then fore commanded that his followers should not lotter on the line of march because of the dangerous state of the route by reason of the frest and snow. Some few of his followers however—men of one family—did loiter, and soon found themselves unable to come up with the main body until a considerable time afterwards—some say Aghūz wis on his way into i-rān Zamin, and that the loiterers did not rejoin him until the following spring. After punishing them he gave them the nick-name of Kārlūgh, which word, in Turkish, according to my authorities, signifies "the father of snow," i.e. "pertaining to snow," but here, "detained by the snow," which mane their descendants, who formed

focus of the people of Islam, and orbit of the possessors of

a separate tribe of Turks, were ever after known by, and continue to be known by up to this day. There is a couplet respecting them which is well known :-

and they are continually mentioned in Oriental history, but European trans-- accord مراني and قرائع - قاراوي - قاراوغ ard علاما accord ing to their ideas of the value of the letters of the 'Arabic alphabet, and the different languages in which they themselves wrote, and turned them into Carlouks, Karluks, Corlucs, Carlugues, Carracs, Marluks, and the like, whereby they are nearly unrecognizable,

Some of these simple Turks, who appeared on the N W frontier of the 1-yaltimishi kings of Dihli, subsequent to the irruption of the Mughals, have been turned into "Indo-Scythians" by Major-General A Cunningham THOMAS, "Pathan Kings," p 97 See also the theories on this name contained in the Geographical Magazine for 1875, vol it page 217, last para

Aghūz Khān also named the Turk tube of Khalj-de-sometimes pronounced Khalaj, in poetry-from the following circumstance (In one of Aghur's expeditions, the particulars of which are too long for insertion here, some of his men fell out on the line of march, and remained behind they came up with the army again, Aghuz demanded the reason of their disobeying his strict orders against loitering. One of them replied, although they had been directed to take food with them sufficient for some days, that they had stayed behind in search of it, and that, in his own case, he had to remain because his wife was taken in labour, and, when the child came into the world, the mother, for want of nourshment, had no milk to give it. He had no food to offer her, when, looking about him, he espeed, near by, a fox which had caught a partridge. He threw a stick at the fox which dropped the bud, which he seized, and, having toasted it, gave it to his wife to eat, and il creby she was able to afford nourishment to her babe Heating this tale, Aghūz gave the child-a boy-the name of Khali or Khalig, which signifies according to some authors, "leave the woman behind," but others again say it is a compound word derived from b-khal, lett, and -a, hungry-"left hungry" The posterity of this man became, in time, very numerous, and various branches of them went out into Mawar-un-Nahr, the Guinsir of Chur, and other parts of Khurāsān, and into 'Irāk [see also note 9, page 287] They furnished subsequently several independent Sultans to Lakh mawati [Bengal], and other independent kingdoms of India. There are branches of them still to be found in Central Asia C noily, during his travels, had one of their descendants as his guide at Astarābād

The 'Ugmanlf [vul Ottoman] Turks trace their descent from Aghūr

It may not be amiss here to mention likewise the tradition respecting the origin of the Turk-mans, and the reason of their being so named. On the occasion of Aghūz Khān's entering Khurāsān with his tribes, some of them had children born to them there, and, liking the climate "in preference to the rigorous winters and hardships of Turkiah," and partly through certain exigencies which arose—they were enemies of the Turks from the time Beghu, son of Chuzz, was slam-they selected to remain and dwell there, near the banks of the Amuiah. They multiplied considerably, and by degrees, possibly by further intermixture with the natives of the country, their appearance became, in course of time, somewhat like the Tajotha or have, as they are also styled,

religion; and, as from the extremity of the territories o

but, as they were not Tājaiks [Can the term Sārik—a].—or Sārigh—a].
—applied to the Tājaiks by the Turks, signifying poor-spirited and the like, be the derivation of Sart? The Üzbaks call traders, and citiseus, and people of the towns generally, Sarts, while others style them Bukhārs], those people styled them Turk-mānand, and Turk-mān, the both terminations, mānsind am mān, signifying, like, similar, âc. In this tradition, Khwārazm or the northeri tracts between the Sīḥūn and the Jīḥūn, and not Khurāsān, must be meant because most authors agree that it was many centuries after the time assigne to Aghūs Khān before any Turk-māns made their appearance west of the Jīḥūn or Oxus, which, by two channels, then fell into the Caspian. Se note b, page 374. Some traditions assert that the Turk-māns have neithe connexion nor affinity with the Turks, and that they are altogether of a different race, which is tolerably correct, since they are not descended fron Turk, but his brother.

The Kankuli tribes dwelt in the same tracts as the Turk-mans for some time in the sandy desert, but, on a great movement among the latter, and some o them taking up their residence in towns and villages, the Kankuli's left them, and pitched their tents about the Tälägh river, and Issigh-Kol, or the Issigh Lake, but the greater part of those who continued there were massacred by the Chingia Khān on account of their relationship by marriage to the Khwānzami Suijāns.

The movement of the Kärlüghs is connected with that of the Ghuzz already mentioned in note 4, page 374, which see.

The other tribes of the Turks, not being so much mixed up with the events of Western Asia and frontiers of Hind, at the period of our author's history, need not be referred to here, as the details would make this account much longer than necessary

To return to Aghūz Khān He, having returned to his original yūrat, "which was Kar-Tāgh and Ur-Tagh," after his great expeditions and proposed conquests, gave a mighty fixst, to which all the cliefs and principal men of all the tribes were summoned, and, at which, 90,000 sheep and 900 mares were consumed, besides other dainties, and a vast quantity of kāmīs, and other strong drinks. He assigned yūratis and names to all the different tribes [under his swar], made laws, and regulations, and organized armies into the various divisions, as subsequently continued to be observed. He occupies much the same position and celebrity among the Mughai İ-māk, as Jamshed among the Ī-rānīs. According to Abū-l-Ghārī, he was contemporary with Gatū-murt and his son Hūshang, but, as he said the very samething previously with respect to Tūtag or Tūnag, son of Tutk, we may doubt his accuracy upon other subjects.

One day, Āghūz Khāu, attended by his six sons, went out on a hunting excursion, when the latter found a golden bow and three golden arrows which they brought to their father. He gave the bow to the three eldest, and the arrows to the three youngest. The former divided the bow into three portions, for which reason they were styled Bardz-ūki-—and Baj-ūki-—and Baj-ūki-—and Baj-ūki-—significant to mean "broken how," but, more probably, "sharers of the broken bow," and the three youngest were styled Ūdz-ūki-—significant Ūj-ūki-—il-ik

Chin, Turkistan, Mawar-un-Nahr, Tukharistan, Zawul,

sovereign's representatives and heutenants. On all state occasions, and in war, the right hand, which the Turks call baranghar-or barankar-or barankarand the succession to the sovereignty, was assigned for ever to the Badzto the Udz-ūki, with the lieutenancy and command of the soldiery.

After having ruled for 116 years, Aghūz Khān died, leaving the sovereignty

to his eldest son, Kun or Kün

which كون or Kun و The six sons of Aghur Khan are named I Kun or Kun - بولدر- -Yül-duz - بالدور - Moon, 3 Yal-duz - بولدر- - Yül-duz - بولدر-Vul-duz-بوندر or 1-yal-duz-الدر or 1-yal-duz-الدر (See Appendix B, pages xi and xii This is a complete answer to Mr Blochmann's "Contributions" as to " a a -a moon"-instead of yal-b- and I-gal-being contained in the name of 1-yal-timish-سمس or 1-yal-timish-سملها هد , as well as in I-bak who were the eldest or Badz-ükī, and 4 Kük-els-or Kuk-elssky, 5. Tak-sb-or Tagh-sb-and Dagh-s a [Turks use ! where 'Ajami's use d, and substitute b where the latter use of mountain, and to Tingle-L sea, but probably lake, and this name is still used for the great lake known as the Bal Kash, or the Tingle

From these six sons descended twenty-four sons, and, according to some historians, each had four sons, while some others say that each of the brothers had six sons, but this last seems an error. Abu-l Gh ivi states that each of the six brothers had four legitimate sons, and also four natural sons, which appears from the very even numbers to be doubtful and improbable, and is totally contrary to other writers, thus making them forty-eight in all, but, farther on, he again contradicts his own words

The Akbar Nāmah of Abū-l-Fazl, contrary to all others, asserts that Aghaz's sons and sons' sons are twenty-four in all, and that "the whole of the Turk-mans " are descended from these patriarchs or great men statement does not give us a very favourable opinion of that writer's knowledge of his subject, and, if all these six sons' descen lants were Turk-mans, where does he manage to get a Mughal pedigree for his master from . This is what my Akbar Nāmahs have what other Akbar Nāmahs may contain I am unaware

The Fanākatī says that "Dghūz Khān sent some of his sons and kinsmen, with a body of forces, into the parts more to the east, now called Müghülistän," which statement I shall have to refer to again farther on

or KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کرor KÜN – کر father. He ruled over an extensive territory, and acquired predominance over great part of Samāīrān, and died after a reign of eighty years, but some say seventy-three, and some seventy By advice of his father's old Wazir, Kabal Khwajah, he made such wise arrangements that each of his brothers and their sons had an appanage conferred upon him, and the place and rank of every one was so specifically assigned that each knew his proper place and his share even to the portion of the sheep at meal times, and this tended to keep them all on a good understanding towards each other,

Abū-l-Chāzī says he divided his territory among his brothers and "their twenty-four legitimate sons and natural sons " He appears to have forgotten that, by his own previous account, four legitimate sons, and as many natural ones, belonged to Kun Khan houself. What he calls a division of dominions is, no doubt, what I have just previously mentioned.

Ghur, Kābul, Ghaznin, Irāķ, Tabaristān, Ārān, Āzarbāijān

V. On the death of Kun Khān, his brother, Āz or Ā-ī Khān, succeeded, and, after a long reign, was succeeded by his eldest son,

VI. YAL-DÜZ -بالدور TI-YAL-DÜZ بولدور or I-YAL-DÜZ الدور During his rule the people of Mughalistän were flourishing and prosperous. Abū-I-Ghāzī, contrary to other writers, says he was not Āe Khān's brother of that name, but merely one of the same family, and says nothing of his being Āc Khān's son.

VII. Next succeeded MANGALI KHĀN—, who son of Yal-dūz. He also made his people happy and prosperous, and died after a long reign. Some writers, however, do not even mention his name

VIII. TINGIZ KHĀN—Jā also written, according to the Tājzik method of substituting f for g—Tinjīz—jā son of Mangalf, succeeded on the death of his father. A few writers are in doubts whether he was the son of Mangalf or not, but there can be no reasonable doubt on the subject. By some he is said likewise to have abandoned the just ways and customs of his ancestors, but the contrary seems the fact, and that he reigned worthily for a period of 110 years over Mughalistān, and then resigned the authority into the hands of his son, and retired from the world Some say his rule extended to a period of 100 years, and some 102

IX I-YAL KHAN---, I-but which may be, according to the vowel points that may be used with it, Il Khan, son of Tingiz or Tinjiz, succeeded to the chiestain-ship of the Mughal I-mak. His reign is a most important one in the annals of the descendants of Turk; and, at the period in question, Tür, son of Faridun, sovereign of 'Ajam, ruled over [what the 'Arabs subsequently styled by the name of Mawar-un-Nahr, and Turkistan, which he had, it is said, lately reduced, and invaded I-yal Khan's territory with a numerous army. Inveterate enmity had, long prior to this, arisen between the I-mak of Tattar and I-mak of Mughal, as previously mentioned, and Tür succeeded in gaining over to his side Sun; or Sundz Khan, the eighth chief of the Tattar dynasty, and his subjects, and the 1-ghurs, who were descended from another son of Mughal Khan, and had formed a separate tribe at an early date, and had now become a great nation, likewise aided Tür. The confederates marched against I-yal Khan, but the tribes of the Mughal I-mak, being much attached to I-yal Khān, fought bravely in his defence, and a great number of Tättärs and Ighurs and followers of Tur were slain in the conflict which ensued, and were pursued for two farsakhs by the Mughals; but victory was soon turned into defeat. The retreat of the confederates was a mere ruse, and, the Mughals having left their strong position and broken their array to pursue them, the confederates faced about-some say the confederates did not renew the attack until next day, when they fell upon them unawares-and entirely overthrew them, put the whole of them to the sword, and made a general massacre of the Mughal people, in such wise that, with the exception of Kai\[anda] is son of I-yal Khan, and Nagur- -- son of I-yal's maternal uncle, and their two wives who were sisters, and all four of whom chanced to be without the camp at the time, not a soul escaped of the whole Mughal I-mak

This event is said to have happened 1000 years after the time of Aghuz Khān. At this rate, his five successors must have regard 200 years each on the average, and it is therefore evident that, either what are termed rulers are the names of dynasties, or that only the names of the most celebrated of their chiefs or sovereigns have been handed down to posterity, or the thousand years must mean from the time of Yāfig, not Aghu

the Jazirah, Anbar, Sijistan, Mukran, Kirman, Fars,

At this point, the Fanakati, who gives but a very brief notice of the Chingiz Khan's ancestors, seems quite at sea. He says nothing whatever about Aghuz Khān's five successors, but states that, "after Ughuz had conquered many countries, and had become firmly established, he despatched some of his sons and kinsmen, with other persons, and a body of forces into the east, into the parts now, i e in his time, called Müghühst'in [only Aghüz himself was ruler of Mughalistan as his forefather, had been before him], and, after a period of 4000 years, one among the Badshahs of Khitae began to make expeditions against those peoples, crossed the Karā Mūrān or Black River, made a night attack upon them and massacred the whole [of the males], and carried off into captivity their women and children. Of that people, but two persons, named Naguz and Kaian, with their families, fled into the mountain tract which they call Irkanah- ... in one copy, and Itakanah Kun- ... in another, entered it, and there continued to dwell for a period of 400 years, during which time they increased to such degree that that tract became too confined for them," Ac

This statement of his is simply impossible, because, if it were only those peoples sent into the eost, into what in the writer's time was called "Müghülstän," by Ughür, under "some of his sons and kuismen," that were missacred, what became of the parent stock of the Voghuls over whom Ughür regned? They too must have also increased immensely during 4000 years. How is it that he says nothing about them? He has turned two events into one, and the last part of his statement is the account of the extermination of the Mughai I-mäk related above, and the former refers to a great mission of the Jala-Ir tribe by the Khili-is in after years, as will be presently telued.

There is little to be gathered from the traditionary history of 1-rai respecting these events, and the little init is mentioned is contriblet by of the Mughal accounts. Coreful comparison of the voluminous traditions of the two peoples in throw some light upon these occurrences, and same dry I may attempt it. According to the I-rainI accounts, however, I urdain divided his dominions among his sons, and gave Tū in—not all. Asia cust of the Osus, as modern writer appear to assume—the capital of which is kitship ir, and put of which tract was afterwards called Māwar-un-Nahi by the Abs. Rhuir, and Saklah, to Tūr, and Tūrān is so named after him. Some of the I-rainI chronicles relate that the Turks are of the seed of Tūr, and thin Afresy to Juho is certainly styled "the Turk" by the 'Ajamīs] was his given give doon, and that he ruled over the countries east of the Jīī ūn, from the limits of Hind to the frontier of the Turks.

Our author, Minhāj-ud-Dīn, in his account of the I-rinī or 'Ajunī kings, also says that Afrā-ijāh vas third in descent from Iurk, and that his father was Sunj——which is much the same name as that of Sunj——Khān, the VIIIth of the fattār I-māk, which may also be written Sunj, without the long u

But, as all this happened 1000 years—taking the most moderate period—after Aghur Khan, and as Faridun, father of Iút, was contemporary, they say, with the patriarch Ibrāhīm, who was born in his reign, Aghur Khān must, according to those chion less have flourished very fai back indeed, and anterior to Nuh's floor!

Abu l- \underline{Gb} $\nu \overline{\imath}$, whose history, as previously mentioned, is the most modern of those named at the beginning of this account, relates these events differently

Khūzistān, the Divār-i-Bakr, and Mausil, as far as the

He says that I-yal or Il Khan and Sündz Khan were continually at war with each other, and the former was always victorious, but it must be remembered that Abū-l-Ghāzî sprung from the Mughal I-māk Sūndz Khān had therefore to seek aid from the Khan of Karkir or Karkiz, who was a very powerful ruler, and he also worked so much upon other tribes, the neighbours of I-val Khan. that they too combined with him I-yal Khan, in consequence, took up a very advantageous position which he strengthened so much, that the confederates were unable to force it, but, having placed their best soldiers in ambuscade, metended flight, to draw the Mughals from their stronghold

The rest agrees with what has been already stated, and Kar-kir or Kar-kiz is of خرير -also written Khar-kher - مرجر also written Khar-kher evelently a the I-ghurs, which is generally used in conjunction with Tungut in the history of the Chingiz Khan. Abu-l-Ghazi however mentions, with regard to those who escaped the massacre, that they were taken captive by the Tattars, but, subsequently, escaped from the solitary guard placed over them. The Khan of Kar-kir or Kar-kiz, in this account, would seem to be meant for Tur of the I-tant authors.

Abū-l-Ghāzī however constantly falls into error, for, after having given this account of the destruction of the Mughals by the Tättärs, he, in another place, makes "the tribe of Tattars" an entirely new subject, as though another, and distinct tribe. See also the translation of that work :- " History of the Turks.

Moguls, and Total s," page 38, vol 3 London, Mix CXXX

Mîrza Muhammad Hasan Khan, otherwise Mirza Muhammad Haidar, son of Muhammad Husam, Doghlätf, who preceded Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khan, by about a century, says, that the country and tribes of Mughalistan had become so utterly runned and dispersed a hundred years or more before his time even-he wrote in 951 H -that not a sign or trace remained of men capable of writing history, and mentions this as the reason of his own inability to furmsh better accounts of them than he has done. I mention this to show that Abu-l-Ghāzī, although he did possess eighteen books on the subjectincluding the Tarikh i-Ghazini-written by Turks and Tipiks, preferred, it seems, rather to collect oral traditions, many centuries old than refer to his written authorities.

Abu-l-Fagl, the author of the Akbar Namah, who conceals everything that he fancies does not tend to the glorification of his master, Akbar, smoothes over this total overthrow and almost extinction of the Mughal I-mak, from which his master traces his descent, gives a cock and bull story to begin with, and says that, "after putting I ur, and Sunde Khan, and the I ghurs to flight. they played the part of the for upon the Mughals," and winds up with excuses and apologies for the disaster, where none are required, and the unction of consolation that it was "all for the best," &c., &c. If the Mughals had been the triumphant party, what a flourish of trumpets we should have been treated to !

Thus it was then that the Mughal I-mak was exterminated, with the exception of two males. Kaian and Nagüz, and two females, their wives, and, hence, all Mughals whatsoever are descended from them-with the exception of those of the I-mak who followed the uncles of Aghūz into the farther east, according to the traditions contained in some works-and are not Tattars, although they are, by descent, Turks.

From what has just been stated, and what has been previously mentioned, it will now be clearly seen why such hostility existed -and continues to exist to

boundaries of Rum and Sham, fell into the hands of the

this day—between the Turks of the Tättär I-mäk, from that time known in the writings of Oriental historians under the general name of Turks as well as Tättärs, and the descendants of the two Mughals who escaped this general massacre, and who were destined to become the progenitors of that sanguinary conqueror, the Chingiz Khān This cumity, doubtless, burnt in the breasts of himself and his tribe, when he invaded and attacked the dominions of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārarm Shāh, besides the provocation he had received through the treatment of his envoys and merchants, that Sultān being a Turk of the Tättār I-māk, and also allied to them by marriage

We cannot fail to perceive the same enmity existing from the pages of our author The great Turk Maliks of the Dihli kingdom, and the Dihli sovereigns. were Turks of the Tattar I-mak, and, consequently, natural foes of the Mughal I-mak, and our author, probably taking the cue from his patrons, myamably styles the latter the "inhdel Mughals," and hence too the refusal of Sulfan, I-yal-timish, to hold any communication with the emissaries of the Mughal Khans, the descendants of the Chungo Khan, and of Barkah Khan in particular, although he was a Musalman like himself, and his emissaires likewise were of the same futh, and the Sultan's sending them to the fortress of Gwallyur, and afterwards, by command of his diughter, Sulfan Raziyyat, they were confined at Kinnau, beyond which city they were not allowed to 40, and there they subsequently died, as will be found farther on Ulugh Khān's own tribe -the Ilbari - too had to fly before the Mughals when they acquired predominance over Turkistan, and the tribes of Kh tighak, and his little brother, afterwards the Amii-j-Haub of Sult in Nasir ud-Din, Muhmud Shah, fell into the hands of the Mughals, and was sold by them as a slave, as previously related, at page 800, which see

The same natural county probably influenced Timür in some way, in after years, in his hostility towards the 'Usmāni' sulfān, Bāyazīd, for Timūr was of the Mughai Ismāk, and of the royal 'ribe of the Mughais, whilst Iāyazīd was of the Tāttār Īsmāk. Fo cail a Turk, or a Tīttār Turk, a Mughai was the greatest insult that could be offered him, or to call a Mughai a Tāttār, but several Luropean writers have held peculiar ideas respecting these two Īsmāk. I extract the following as a specimen, from a work entitled "Travels in the Suppes of the Caspan Sia, the Crimea, the Caucasus," &c., by Xavier Hommaire de Hell London, 1847

"Perhaps no people has given occasion to more discussions than the Tatars and Mongols, nor is the problem of their origin completely solved in our day, notwithstanding the most learned investigations. Some admit that the Tatars and Mongol's formed but one nation, others allege that they are two essentially different rates. According to Levvicque, D'Herbelot, and Lesur, the Tatars are but Turks. Klaproth, while he asserts that the Tatars and Mongols spring from the same stock, nevertheless regards the White Tatars whom Genghis [Chingiz?] Khān conquered, as Turks."

The first three authors mentioned were quite correct in their statements, and Klapioth is both right and wrong, for his "white Tatas," like all other Tātiārs, are undoubtedly Turks. The statement of D'Ohsson is the most astonishing, and totally incorrect "Lastly, D'Ohsson, in his remarkable history of the Mongols, treats the Mongols and Tatars as austinet race, but does not admit the theory [1] of the Turkish origin."

The writer continues -" The same uncertainty, that hangs over the Mon-

infidel Mughals, and not a trace of the Muhammadan

gol and Tatar hordes of the fourteenth century, prevails with regard to the people who, under the name of Tatars, now dwell in the southern part of the Russian empire; and they have been considered sometimes as descendants of the Turkish tribes that occupied those regions previously to the twelfth century, sometimes as remnants of the conquering Mongal Tatars.

This last compound is an utterly impossible name. There is no uncertainty, and no theory, whatever, in the matter, as might have been seen had the Oriental writers been correctly read, and the difference between the Turks of the two I-māks of Tāttār and Mughal been properly understood. I hope I have clearly demonstrated the fact now, because, according to compilers of Indian history, who merely draw their inspirations from Dow, BRIGGS, and some few others, the Tarks with other wholly different races have formed their "PATHAN OF APHAN DYNASTIES," so-called—the "Dehli Pathans," "Jounpoore Pathans," "Ghorf Pathans," "Khili Pathans," "Tughluk Pathans," &C., of the Oriental Congress of 1874—and which fantastic names, I am lately informed, signify, or, are meant to signify, "Pre-Mughal" dynasties!

The same writer continues to show into what a state of utter confusion this simple genealogy has been thrown by the writers themselves —"The Chinese writers for the first time make mention of the Tatar people in the eighth century of our era, under the name of Tata, and consider them as a branch of the Mongols. The general and historian, Meng Koung [Klaproth Ana Polyglotta], who died in 1246, and who commanded a Chinese force sent to aid the Mongols against the Kin, informs us in his memoirs that a part of the Tatar horde, formerly dispersed or subdued by the Khitans [who, in the same work, are said to have occupied the country north of the Chinese provinces of Tschy Li and Ching Ching, watered by the Charamun [Karā Murān*], or Liao Ho and its confluents] quitted the In Chan mountains, where they had taken refuge, and joined their countrymen who dwell north-east of the Khitans. The volute Tatars and the savage or black Tatars then formed the most important tribes of Kaiān and Nagūz is referred to, which I shall presently mention.

The author continues in the same strain, making similar blunders, while the truth lies under his very nose, but he fails to see it, and here is a very rich specimen.

"The princes of this empire [Kaptihu!—Khafchāk ?] were Mongols or Tatars, but the majority of their subjects were Turks"! He also states, taking his information from different European writers, that "Genghis Khan, though born in the tribe especially designated as black Tatars, yet adopted the denomination of Mongols for his people," and "that the appellation Tatar lost all signification in Ana under the destroying power of Chenghis (inc) Khan, and has ever since existed only in the European variability"

The writer of this last marvellously incorrect statement also asserts that "the word Tatar owes its origin only to a jew de mots of which St. Louis was the anthor." Perhaps St. Louis stood god-father to Tattar the son of Alanjah, and gave him that name.

The assertion that the Chingiz Khān was "a black Tatar," and adopted the denomination of "Mongols" or Mughals for his people is, as I have already shown, and shall show still more faither on, totally and utterly incorrect, and for that, as well as the other incorrect assertion contained in the book, and its author's authorities, respecting the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, I challenge

Maliks and Sultans of Islam remained in these countries-

any one to show me a single proof in any Muhammadan, or in any Asiatic, writer whatever

I notice, and, I must say, with utter surprise, that much the same erroneous ideas are put forward in "AN ANCIENT HISTORY from the Earliest Records to the Fall of the Western Empire, by Platin Smith, BA, one of the principal contributors to the Dictionaries of Greek and Roman Antiquities, Biography, and Geography," published in 1868, and which has gone through several editions. He says (vol. 111., page 737].

"Sober criticism has cast more than a doubt upon the romantic story, originated by Des Guignes and adorned by the pen of Gibbon, which seeks in wars upon the frontiers of China the remote cause of the appearance of the Huns in Europe in the former part of the fourth: century

It is quite true that the people belonged to that great Turanian race, known to the Greeks as SCYTHIANS, and in modern times as TAPARS," &c., &c.

No "rommitic story" originated with Des Guignes, he merely related what he found in the history of the people he wrote about, and who, at least, may be allowed to have known then own history and traditions better than a Greek who wrote down what he heard from illiterate soldiers, and the often idle tales of traders.

In a foot-note he says. "The extension of this from a specific to a generic name is due to the prominent place occupied by the Tatars of Eastern Mongolia in the army of Zingis (or Genghis) Khain and the common corruption into Tartar is ascribed to a pin of St. Louis . As the name of Tatar is even more specific than that of Mongol, it were to be wished that chinologists would follow the practice of the Greeks, and use Scythians for the generic name."

If ethnologysts vere to do so, they would commit a terrible blunder. The error of asserting that the name of Tattār—which appears in the earliest of the Muhammadan writers—is modern, is not greater than the assertion that they owed the name "to the prominent place they occupied in the a.my of Zingas (or Genghis) Khan."

"The Tatars of Eastern Mongolia" did not occupy "a prominent place in the army of Zingis (or Genghis), 'but the Mughal I-mak did, and the Tātiārs contained in it, who were comparetively few, had been compelled, by the Chingiz Khān, to join him

Again [page 738] "The Scythians are divided into four great races—1 The Mongolians are the least numerous though many writers apply their name to the whole family, in consequence of the fame of their chief /ingis Khan. 2 The Innguinan race. 3 The Innunease 4 The Inskish race occupied not only the great region of West Central Asia from the Lake Baikal to the Caspian, which the progress of Ru sia is fast deprising of the name of Independent Taxtary, but they extended over the sast steppes of south-eastern Europe, round the northern sides of the Caspian, the Caucisus, and the Them precise partition from the Slavonian race is a difficult partition."

Then "precise partition from the Slavonian race" is no "difficult problem" whatever. In the Seythians so-called, we have clearly included the whole of the descendants of Vafis' sons, as given in the fourth para of this account of them. The localities of the Turkish races are wrongly given, and most of the tracts mentioned above were peopled by the descendants of other sons of Vafis, more particularly of Bulghar, ancestor of the Bulgarane, Sak-läb, of the Slavonians,

the Almighty's mercy be upon them, and may He long pre-

and Rüs, of the Russians. It is something new to hear the name of "Mongo-lians," who "are the least numerous," applied to the whole race of Scythians.

The writer continues in a similar strain, and we are at length told that the name "Decebalus" is "strange to Gothic, strange to Slavonic, not strange to Turkish history," but the writer would have had some little difficulty to name any Turkish history in which such a name occurs, and Darius and Herodonic are also quoted to prove such statements as these, but which the authors who wrote in the country of the Turki, Tättärs, and Mughals, and with many tribes of those people dwelling around them, would simply ridicule

After this long, but necessary, digression, I return to the subject of the Mughal

Í-māk

The reason why we possess much greater information respecting the Mughal I mak is owing to their overwhelming successes and conquests, and because nearly all authors who have written on the subject were subjects of the Mughal julers, and their object was to trace their descent more particularly, but the mun facts are not concealed -- they merely gave more attention to the history of the Mughals It is to be regretted however that we have no detailed accounts of the movements of the tribes of the Tattar I-mak and their rulers, after the time of Sandr Khan the VIIIth of the Tattar dynasty herein mentioned, who, with the aid of his allies, nearly exterminated the whole Mughal race; but, from what may be gathered from Oriental history, and as shown in this Translation, the lättär I-mak, the offshoots of the descendants of the seven chieftains preceding Sands Khan, also formed, like preceding off-hoots of the descendants of Turk, in the course of time, great independent tribes who are correctly styled Tattars, as well as those springing from Tattar Khan and his descendants, the whole being undoubtedly Furks, or, in other words, all those who do not spring from the Mughal I-mak, and tre not descended from Mughal Khan-Tattar's brother -being correctly styled Tattars, and others, not springing in a direct line from Tattar Khan, but from others, the offshoots of his ancestors, being properly called Turks.

All these formed mighty tribes and nations, the names of some of which have been herein mentioned, and some formed great empires, like as dul the Saljūks, Khwārazmīs, and others. The cirty Musalmāns made raids upon them, and the Khalifahs also, from a very early period, entertained numbers of Turks and Tāttār I-māk in their service, but we never harr of Mughals being entertained by them. There is no doubt that their taking service under the Khalifahs and their great vassals, many of whom were their own countrymen, considerably tended to the greater civilization of the Turks and Tāttārs, and their early conversion to the Musalmān faith, but, with regard to others not converted, it is evident that feuds arose among them and that Musalmān merchants carried on

a large traffic in Turkish slaves

The four persons, two males—Kaiān, son of I-yai-Khān, and Nagūz, his maternal uncle's son, with their respective sisters, their wives—the Tīmūr Nāmah says two females, sisters, who, at this juncture, they took to wife—having escaped the slaughter of their people, secured some of their effects, and as soon as night set in they mounted horses, and made for the mountains which were some distance off. They also collected some of the stray caltle and flocks which they met with in their flight, and reached the mountains—some say, the next morning—entered them by a narrow track, scarcely distinguishable, made by the wild animals haunting it, and which was almost maccessible, from rocks

serve the Nasiriah dynasty!-I desired to record in writing

and forests, and proceeded onwards for a long distance among its mazes until they reached a more open country where was plenty of pasture. At some distance, farther off, they perceived a still higher range, the sides of which, bare and barren, appeared to be of flint alone, and which towered upwards like a vast fortress. With much difficulty they ascended it, and to their great pay found themselves in a delightful tract of country, well watered, with plenty of rich pasture, and abounding with game, and there they resolved to take up their dwelling. This higher range is called Irgānah Kū or Kūn - 39 or \$\pa\$ at \$\frac{1}{2}\$ or \$\pa\$ a valley, and \$\pa\$\$ or \$\pa\$ in \$\frac{1}{2}\$ or \$\pa\$ in \$\pa\$ or \$\pa\$ in \$\frac{1}{2}\$.

The mountains referred to are evidently those mighty ranges towards the sources of the Sālingah and its upper tributures.

In this place of residence Kaian and Nagür flourished, and in the course of time their posterity multiplied, and separated into several linanches under different names. The descendants of Kaian are styled Kaiat—w—and those of Nagüz, Düral-gin or Dür-la-gin—w—nor Duril gin or Dur-la-gin or Dur-la-gin—which most of the works I have quoted do not pretend to fix, their writers moreover stating that no chronicler is cognizant of it, but which the Fanākatī, who is followed by Abū-l-Ghāzī, says, was over 400 years, the tribes of Kaiāt and Dūral-gin had increased to such degree that the country about Irgānah-Kūn was insufficient to afford them subsistence. They therefore resolved to leave it, and seek the cuc imping grounds which they had heard, through tradition, their ancestors had formedly possessed, and they entered the old country of the Mughal I-māk accordingly.

Abū-i-Faşi, the author of the Akbar Nāmah, however, makes a very bold guess indeed, and has the assurance to fix the period at "about 2000 years."

—This is almost as absurd as the Fanākatī's 4000 years previously referred to—He has thised up the account of the I-ghūrs with that of Kuāt and Dūnal gīm and hence this assumption. He also asserts that this migration took place at the end of the reign of Nūṣḥīrwān, the Just, ruler of I rān [x 1) 524 579], and "supposes" that the art of writing and reading did not exist. He also states that, during that period of "nearly 2000 years," while they dwelt in Irgānah-Kūn, twenty-five persons "reigned," which would give over eighty years' reign to each, but most of the other writers I have compiled this account from, with the exception of Abū-I-Ghāzī, say nothing about any previour rulers, while some others distinctly state that they obeyed no single chief, at the period when the chief authority over the lifferent tribes fell to I-y ti die, son of Mangalī

At the time that the descendants of Kaiān and Nagūz determined upon issuing from Irgānah-Kūn, the chieftainship had fallen to Vai DUZ-1,134-07 YÜL-DÜZ-1,134-07 I-YAL-DÜZ-1,144-07 I-Khān, son of Mangdī Kh.n. [styled Mangalī Khwājah, by some] son of Tīmūr-Tāṣḥ, of the rice of Kaiān, and he was a chieftam of considerable power and dignity. In this matter all agree except Abū-l-Ghāzī, who states that, when they issued from Irgānah-Kūn, their chief was named Bartazīnah [? [1]], and gives names of seven other chiefs before he reaches that of Tīmūr-Tāṣḥ, Mangalī Khān, and Yūldīz or I-yal-dūz, but gives no account of them beyond their succe eding and dying. This however we cannot ciedit, suice, in the account of the Chingiz Khān, almost immediately after, he says, that, while dwell up in Irī inth-Kūn, the Mughals were in total ignorance of the names of the ralers, but that they

an account of these occurrences, from the beginning of the

were certainly Kailts, and, since the books of the Mughals contain nothing certain on this head, he is obliged to leave a gap in this place !!

At this point the different writers I have been quoting mention the boundaries and limits of the Mughal country-Mughalistan. "It lies," they say, "a long way east, and far from cultivated countries, and is seven or eight months' journey (in extent)," some say it is a year's journey. The Mughals lived in forests and wilds, their food was from the animals of the chase, and the produce of their flocks and herds, and their parments the akins thereof. 'The extreme eastern limit was the frontier of Khita, on the west it adjoined the country of the I-ghurs. On the north it extended as far as the country of the Kirghisthe Akbar Namah has Farghanah which is 5.] which is also written Birghiz—ن and Kirkiz—فرفر—and Kirkiz فـــــــ and Kirkiz—فرفر and خرات Birghiz—فرد and خرات and خرات being inter-changeable, and Sälingäe—خالكان—(the country towards the River Sälingah is evidently meant here, and south it reached to the frontiers of Tibbat. Our author [page 273] says the forces of the Chingia Khan had to undertake a three months' march through "the wilderness," or steppe, from the place where he assembled his host on hearing of the massacre of his emissaries and merchants by the Khwarazmis, to enable them to reach the Utrar frontier

The country of the I-ghurs is described as containing two great ranges of mountains, one of which they call Karā-Tu, and the other. Uskun-Luk, and the mountain (range?) of Karā-Kuram hies between these two ranges. The residence built by Uktae Ka'an near it is named after this kot of Kara-Kuram. To the south of these two great ranges, before named, is another which they style Küt-Tagh. Out of one of these ranges ten rivers flow, and out of the other nine, and, in ancient times, the I-ghürs dwelt along the banks of these rivers. Those who dwelt on the ten [un] rivers were called Un-1-ghūrs, and those who were located on the nine [tokūs], Tokūz-Ī-ghūrs. There were some other tribes dwelling near them, but space forbids my going into farther

detail here.

Mirzā Muhammad Haidar, of the Doghläti tribe of the Mughals, previously mentioned, a native of Farghanah, in his work, written in 951 H., explains the southern boundary as extending towards Tungüt or Tungüt, and the northern to Kira-kir, the Kirkiz or Kirghiz of others. He adds [I only give a brief abstract] that of "these four boundaries, mentioned in the lahan Kushae of the Juwaini, the country of Khitae is distinct and known, but, as regards the I-ghur country, nothing is known of it at present as to where it is, and, at this day, nothing is known of Kara-kir or Salingae, and no places with such names are indicated. The name of Tungui often occurs in the history of the Mughals, and the Chingiz Khan, at the outset of his power, despatched an army thither, but now nothing whatever is known of it, nor is information to be obtained regarding these parts which are mentioned in books, and the same may be said of many famous cities such as Bilasa-ghun, Turaz, and the like."

He further states, that "the extent of Mughülistän, so called in his time, which was much contracted from what it had been [and which is styled Jatah in the History of Timur, was seven or eight months' journey in length and breadth, and gives the following as its boundaries. On the N. the Kokjah .S. - فراتال -- Bom-Labas or Laba -- يوم لبس-and Kari-Tal - كوكيد فيكر - Tingiz the territory of Farghanah, Kashghan, Aksu, Jalish, and Turfan. On the E. it adjoins the land of the Kalimaks [the Kal I-mak? this is not the name

irruption of that race, and domination of that nation, up to

of the people, but merely their nickname] which is the Paras or Pars-Kolalso the name of a tract of country] and the Paras-Kol is its eastern limit, and the W boundary is the territory of Turkistän and Tashkand Ithe Altan or Golden Mountains, the northern boundary of Tashkandl The writer was him with well acquainted with its southern boundary, and, respecting the other three, obtained his information from persons who had visited, and were acquainted with them, and Mughülistan consists entirely of mountains and plains [steppes] " What he himself saw of it, he says, he cannot find words to praise sufficiently, and that, from the accounts of others, the other parts are equally delightful, but the winters are cold, "Mughülistän," he says, "has several rivers, like unto the Jihun and Sihun in size and extent, such as the I-lah-di-I-mil-lahall of which, in respect of volume, are not less than the Jihûn and Sihûn. These waters mostly fall into the Kokjah-Fingir, which is a Kol or Lake Bae-Kul [the Baikal Lake if our maps) between Mughulistan and Urbakistan - Its length is eight months' [weeks'?] journey, and its width, in some places, by computation, is thirty fareallys, and, when it is frozen in the winter, the Cabaks pass over it, and enter Mughühstan The Issigh-Kol is also in Mughühstan

Babar however, who preceded Mirza Muhammad Haidar, a few years, says that Almaligh, Almatū, and Utrār, lay north of Farghanah, but that they have

been laid waste by the Uzbaks

Abii-l-Ghārī says the true Mughal country contains two ranges of very lor-mountains [which are plainly shown on the best maps of Central Asia] extering from east to west, and between these two ranges, nearest to the west, the true country of the Mughals. Still more west lay the country of the Leghürs. This description agrees with that given by other authors in the account of Karā Khān [page 875], and those two great ranges. In mountain have been already named.

To return to the descendants of Kaiān and Nagūz. They, having determined to visue from Irgānah-Kūn, thought of doing so by the same route of defile by which their ancestors had entered it, but they found it impossible. The pass had been destroyed by an earthquake, and no trace of it remained. They searched about in all directions, and at last they found one spot which seemed easier than any other, but it was impeded by a hill in which was a mine of iron [iron-stone rock possibly], and to enable them to get out they split the rocks by means of fire [Hannibal used vinegar in the Alps], and succeeded in making a practicable route. The tribe of Kungkurāt or Kungkurāt, as it is also written, led the way out, and were in such haste to do so that they are said, in the tradition, to have burnt their feet. The Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār distinctly states that this event took place some time after the 200th year of the Hijjah

This is improved upon by Petis de la Croix, in his "Life of Gengh can the Great," page 6, who says that the Cayat [Kaiāt?] derived their name "from a certain people who haved in the remotest Northern Parts of Mogolistan which were called Cayat, because their Chief had heretofore erected a Foundry for Iron-work in a mountain called Arhenehom, which gained them a great Reputation, and made this Branch of the Moguls highly esteemed, by the great advantage all the Moguls Country received from this Invention, they therefore called these people the Arhenehom-Smiths." This is history with a venoceance!

the year 648 H., when this work was brought to a conclusion,

Their former country had been in the meantime occupied by tribes of the Tättär I-mäk, and other Turks, and the Mughals fought with them, and drove them out. The former, consequently, had to seek other tracts. Some went away to the eastward, while others went west, and south, and north; and, about this period, we find a great movement among the Saljūks and the Ghuzz m a south-westerly direction. Those tribes of the Mughal I-mäk which left Ughūz Khān's country, as previously related, and had gone towards the borders of Ghin and sought the protection of the Tättärs, now returned, and rejoined the tribes of Kaāt and Dūral-gin, while some other amall tribes, but of which I-māk is not mentioned, which submitted to Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūs Khān, were permitted to dwell in his newly acquired territory.

According to the Fanākatī the name Mughūl or Mūghūl is the appellative or generic name applied to those who came out of Irgānah-Kūn, and to the others of that I-māk who rejoined and continued to dwell with them, and that name commenced to be used respecting them from this period, but they had been known, centurier before, as Turks of the Mughūl I-māk, by his own account.

Some writers who approach this subject from the "Mongol" point of view, and who, unable to read the originals for themselves, imagine that every author who wrote in the Persian language must necessarily be a Persian, and, consequently, cannot know anything of Mughal or Mughal history, because such a word as "Mongol" is not to be found in their works, hig themselves with the idea that the History written by the "great Raschid" may contain something in support of their crude ideas. For the information of such I here append the headings of the first four Sections of Raahld-ud-Dln's History of the Mughalis, as he styles them, and which was compiled from the Åltän Daftar, or Golden Record, and other authorities:—

"First Section.—History of the tribes of Aghüz, who was the great grandson of Alminjah Khān, son of Turk, son of Yāng, son of Nūḥ, the Prophet, and of the tribes descended from his uncles, with an account of their genealogy and ramifications.

Second Section.—Account of the Turk tribes whom they designate by the name of Mughūls, but every one of which, in ancient times, bore distinct and particular surnames, and have had Sar-wars and Amfrs over them

Third Section.—Account of the Turk tribes, every one of which have had Bādahāhs and Chiefs, but who bore no relationship to the tribes mentioned in the preceding Sections. [This is a paradox, even from his own words, because, being Turks, they naturally bore relationship to the Turks as descendants from a common aniestor]

Fourth Section —Account of the tribes of Turks, whose surname from time immemorial was Mughāl, and this Section is in two parts. I Account of the Dural-gin Mughāls. 2 Account of the Nairūn Mughāls [The author cannot be right, for has not Mr. H. H. Howorth, in the Geographical Magazine for November, 1876, declared that Mongols are not Turks? This may be correct with regard to "Mongols," but scarcely so with respect to Rashid-ud-Din's Mughāls.]"

The next Section treats of the ancestors of the Chingu Khan.

Every year, when the anniversary of that day comes round on which the Kaiāt and Düral-gin came out of Irgünah-Kūn, the Mughals keep it as a great festival, and on the night thereof the Mughal sovereigns have the implements of the blacksmith brought in, place a piece of iron in the fire, and heat it, and,

and in order that that which I myself witnessed, and what

when hot, best it on an anvil with a hammer, in commemoration of opening the way out, and this custom, imperfectly understood by Ibn Bafüțah, and others, led them probably to make the absurd statement that the Chingiz Khan, or Tamur-chi, " was in his outset a blacksmith in the country of Khita"!

Other authors say that all who can trace their descent to Kaian or Nague-

Kasats and Dural-gins-are considered true Mughals.

On the death of Yal-duz or I-yal-duz his son succeeded to his authority.

-مويد- and even خوطر but these two last forms are erroneous without doubt, particularly the last, succeeded his father, Yal-duz or I-yal-duz, in the chieftan-ship, but the Tärikh-i-Jahān-gir does not account him as a ruler. and makes Jū-inah's daughter next in succession to Yal-duz or I-val-duz, The Tarikh-1-Ghazani, which Abu-1-Ghazi also quotes, differs considerably from other writers. It states that Yal-düz or I-yal-düz had two sons named Bakjadi or Bagjadi and Bilkadae or Bilgadae [according to Rashid-ud-Din, in the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, Nush-Tigin-i-Gharjah, the founder of the Khwarazmi empire, claumed descent from Aghūz through this very Bilkadae or Bilgadae. See note 2 to page 231, para. 4], but these names are somewhat doubtfully written and may not be quite correct. According to the same authority, both died before him, and he gave to the son of one of them, named Do-yun or Dof-un Byan-دويون با بار or Do-yun Bayan-دويون با بار which is also written Dubun-crys-Divun- and in other ways, the daughter of the other son, who was named Alan-Kuwa, a damsel of great beauty and talent, in marriage. Abu-l-Ghazi however differs from the preceding this much that he says the husband of Alan-Kuwa, who was Yal-duz's grandson, did not succeed to the sovereignty because he had not attained the age of thirty, and died soon after his father, leaving two sons-named as above-by Alan-Kuwa, and that she acted as regent only, while others say that her husband was chief over some few ulus, and that the Turks generally were under various independent chiefs

The Tarikh-1-Jahan-gir however says that Alan-Kuwa was given in marriage by her father, Ju-inah, to her uncle's son, as stated by the others, and that, by him, she had two sons named Bilkadi or Bilgadi and Bakjadi or Bagjadi, and after his death she assumed the sovereignty and occupied herself in the nurrure of her two sons. At this time she dwelt near the upper sources of the

Kalür-An river,

ALAN-Kuwa-الل عوا-which is also written Alan-Kii - الل عوا-and ALAN-KUWAN " on the death of her father, her husband being dead, was entrusted with the direction of affairs until such time as her eldest son should become of age to succeed, but, in the meantime, although she refused to marry again, whilst lying asleep upon her couch, on a certain ocassion, a mysterious light entered through the hole in the top of the felt tent and enveloped her, and the light passed through her mouth, penetrated her, at I she concoived This mysterious light came more than once, such was her story, and, as matters could no longer be concealed, it was made known unto her tribe, who reviled her, and refused to believe her story Some writers state that she asked some of the chief persons of her tribe to keep watch, and satisfy themselves of the truth of what she had stated, and that some did so, and found her story correct, and the trabe were satisfied

This is not much like the "story of the incarnation of the Buddha Sakya-

I became cognizant of from the accounts of trustworthy

muni" as a recent writer asserts, considering that the husband of his mother [who had never consummated her marriage with her husband] was, according to Chinese belief, ruler of Kaahmir, and that his birth took place 4222 years before the Christian era, while the Hindus, on the other hand, give a different account of his birth.

This story of Alān-Kuwā is related somewhat differently by nearly every author, including Abū-l-Qhāzī, but I have no space for the various versions here. Abū-l-Faṣl, however, for the glorification of his master, according to his usual unctuous system of flattery, compares this circumstance to the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mother of our Blessed Saviour.

In due time, Alān-Kuwā gave birth to three sons at one time, with one of whom, the youngest, a new dynasty, and a new era commences, and therefore it will be well to conclude this one here.

THE BU-ZANJAR OR BU-ZANJAR DYNASTY

I BU-ZANJAR. Alan-Kuwa, the widow, having given birth to three sons at once, fathered on the mysterious light, according to the fabulous tale just narrated, the youngest of the brothers, according to some writers, and the الو راحر-- eldest of the three, according to others, who was named BU-ZANJAR -which some write Abu-zanjar-je and Bu-zanjar-je which is said to signify Badshah-i-Mu'aggam-Great Sovereign-and who is the ninth ancestor of the Chingiz Khān, and fourteenth of Amir Timūr, in due time succeeded to the chieftain-ship over the Mughals, and, as I wish to compress as much as possible, I will only mention that the other two sons of Alan-Kuwa-the eldest and second sons-became the progenitors of the Kat-ghan and halifut-ساليون tribes, and whose descendants, together with those of Bü-zanjar himself, are designated Nürün-نورو from nür- ju-light, which some authors write, Nairūn—برون The whole of the Mughal Khans [one copy of the Tarikh-1-Jahan-gir adds - " and all the Sultans of Turkestan"] trace their descent from Pü-zanjar, but, really, the whole of his descendants are Mughals only on the mother's side, unless the father, of which there can be no doubt, was a Mughal also.

The descendants of Ålan-Kuwa's legitimate sons, by her husband, are styled by the general name of Dural-gin-فرائص previously written Düral-gin-bural-gin-bural-gin-and applied to the descendants of Nagūr, whilst, by the different writers' own accounts, without exception, they, as well as their father and mother, belonged to the Kaiāt sept. They are considered lower in rank than the Nūrūn or Nairūn

The majority of writers state that the birth of these sons of light took place in the time of Abū-Mushim, the Marwazi, the proclaimer of the rights of the 'Abbāsīs to the Khilāfat. He was born in 99 H [A D 717—718]—some say in the following year—and he began to advocate the claims of that house in 128 H. [A D. 745—746]. Abū-l-Ghāzī states that 450 years elapsed between the flight of Kaiān and his cousin Nagūz and the reign of Bū zanjar, and, calculating from these dates, their flight would have taken place about 322 years before the first year of the Muhammadan era, that is, about the middle of A.D. 300, but other historians, as I have stated before, mention that the Kaiāt and Nagūz continued to dwell in Irgānah-Kūn 400 years, and they say that Bū-zanjar succeeded to the chieftain ship, when in his fifteenth year, in

informants, the events of the Muhammadan territory, and

Rabl'-ul-Awwal, but no year is given. One work, however, the "Shajarahul-Atrik," states that it was on the 1st of that month in the year 130 M.

Abū-l-Faşl, in the Akbar Nāmah, as before mentioned, says the Mughais came out of Irganah-Kun at the end of the reign of Nushirwan, but he died in A.D 579, about forty-three years previous to the year of the Flight or Higrah, but Abu-l-Ghazi gives neither month nor year. Fasib-i, on the contrary, states, that Alan-Kuwa gave buth to Bu-zanjar and his brothers in the year 376 H. [middle of A.D. 986], and this, compled with the statement in the Majāmi'-ul-Khiyār, that the Kaiāt and Nagūz issued from Irgānah-Kun some time after H. 200 [A.D \$15-\$16], doubtless, is the correct date. Now, if we add 400 to 579—the date of Nüghirwän's death, and suppose that the date of Kasan and Naguz entering Irganah-Kun, instead of the date of leaving it, we shall have 979 years, and, if we take 400 years from A.D. 986-the year mentroned by Fasth-I, namely 376 H, we shall have 407 years remaining, and this seems, to me, to show that the flight of the two fugitives and their wives took place about the middle of Nüshirwan's reign, and not their issuing from Irganah-Kun, which took place some time after H. 200 [A.D. 815-816], and, if we allow the average of thirty years for each generation, and consider that Bü-zanjar was the great grandson of Yal-düz or I-yal-düz, we shall not be far from the year 376 H. [A.D 986].

More events are assigned to the period of Abū-Muslim than can be credited, and this is the period our author assigns to the rise of the Shansabānis of Ghūr. The date given by Faṣiḥ-i, for the birth of Bū-zanjar, is 186 years previous to the death of the Chingiz Khān's father, the eighth in descent from Bū-zanjar, an average of little more than twenty years to each, but 130 K. for the accession of Bū-zanjar gives an average, to the death of the Chingiz Khān's father, of exactly fifty-four years to each reign. On the other hand Bū-zanjar was third in descent from Yal-dūz or I-yal-dūz.

Bū-zanjar, who is styled Kā'ān, framed laws and regulations, and divided the Mughals into tribes as they still existed at the period when the different authors I have named, with the exception of Abū-l-Ghāzi, and Abū-l-Fasi, wrote their accounts The Tārīkh-i-Jahān gīr and a few other histories like wise state, that some of the Tārtār chiefs and Amīrs of other tribes which, for a long period of time, had been ruled by their own chiefs, now submitted to Bū-zanjar Kā'ān's authority, and acknowledged his suzerainty, but this, it must be remembered, is a Mughal account. Bū-zanjar at his death, of which no date is given, left two sons, Būkā—Up—also written Būkīā—up and Būkāe—up—and Tūkā—up—and Tūkā—up—and Tūkā—up —and Tūkāe—up —also written Tūkīā—up —and Tūkāe—up —also written Tūkīā—up —and Tūkāe—up —also Written Tūkīā—up —and Tūkāe—up —also —als

II BÜKÄ or BÜKÄE KHÄN succeeded his father in the chieftain-ship, and, dying, was succeeded by his 'on,

TII Zūtūmin موزومين — Zūtūmin موزومين — Zūtūmanin موزومين — Zūtūm Manin موزومين — as it is variously written, who was the father of nine sons, one of whom, Kāidū, succeeded to the chieftauiship. During the time of Zūtūmin's chieftauiship hostilities broke out between the Jalā-ir tribe, of the Dūral gin branch of the Mughals, and the Khiṭā-is. The Jalā-ir had become a very numerous tribe at this period, and amounted to about 70,000 families, and had pitched their tents on the banks of the river Kalūr-An—, and had pitched their tents on the banks of the river Kalūr-An—, and hostilities continued perpetually to go on between them. At the period in question, the latter suddealy

the transmission of the Mughal sovereignty from one to

resolved to make a raid upon the Jali-fra, but on reaching the river found at too deep to ford. They constructed a temporary bridge, crossed over in the night, fell suddenly upon the Jali-fra, and almost annihilated them. Those that escaped, and other portions of the tribe not then present, fled for shelter into the parts where the other Mughal tribes dwelt.

This is the affair about which the Fanākatī makes such a great blunder previously noticed in the account of Äghūz Khān. He there stated, that, "when Oghūz became firmly established in his sovereignty, and had secured his conquests, he sent a party from among his sons and kinsmen, and a considerable army, into the east, into the land called, in the writer's time, Mughūlistān. Four thousand years after, one among the Bādshāhs of the Khitā-Is moved against them, crossed the river Karā-Mūrān in the night, fell upon them, and slew the whole of them [the males] and made their wives and children captives, and only two persons escaped—Kaiān and Nagūz—with their wives, who fied to Irāganah-Kūn, where they and their posterity dwelt 400 years." It will easily be perceived what a muddle we have here he has confounded the massacre of the lalā-frs.

After the death of Zütümin, his Khatun, Matulun-or, as some an error probably of s for t-who was a talented عدون an error probably of s for t-who was a talented woman, with eight of her sons, and her numerous herds and flocks, took up her residence in the retired tract of country-some say hill tract-named, but but the first الوش اركي -but the first مسلط الوش اركي -but the first -and Kolūsh-كولوش and Kolūsh-كولوش and Kolūsh-كولوش (Ulūs-1-Aurgah or Urgah—the Ourga or Kuren of modern maps, in about Lon 1080 Lat 48°] whilst her mith son, Käldü, was absent. He had gone to his uncle, Mã-Chin-some say, to his uncle's son-to demand in marriage a daughter of a kinsman of the sept of Dural gin, who had become exceedingly numerous, and who were also kinsmen of Mā-Chin. During Kāīdū's absence some of the Jala-irs, overcome by the Khita-is, came and took up their quarters among the if or tribe of Matulun and her sons, and, in a dispute arising between them, the Jala-Irs slew her and her eight sons. Kāidū sought his uncle's assistance to avenge them, and a message was sent to the heads of the Jala-irs demanding satisfaction for this outrage. This had such an effect upon the chief men of the tribe, who were absent with their people fighting against the Khiti-is, that they slew seventy Jala-irs concerned in the slaughter of Matúlun and her sons, and sent their wives and

families, with many apologies, to Kāfdū to do with them as he might think fit. Kāfdū kept them as slaves; and, from one generation to another, for a

another, might become known, and also that [such account]

Tättärs, and given up to the Åltän Khān, who put him to death, but this appears to be incorrect, and to be the same circumstance which happened to Kabal's son, mentioned farther on, as it is unlikely Kabal himself would have ventured to the Åltän Khān's court after one of his race had been thus put to death

Kāfdū cut a canal, in his territory, from a river, and named it Jarā-lūm—, [D'Ohsson's Karokol?] and thereby rendered that part exceedingly prosperous and flourishing. He also went to war with the Jalā-frs and overcame them. At his death he was succeeded by his son.

V BĀE-SUNKAR—بائي سفر or BĀF-SUNGHAR—بائي سفر which the Fanākatī writes Bāe-Sunkūr—بائي سفور who made some conquests, and, dying, was

succeeded by his son,

VI. TÖMINĀI— توسط — also written TOMNAH or TOMINĀH— المستخب — and TUMĪNĀH— المستخب — the was a powerful chieftain, and added other territories of Turkistān to his own, brought the whole of the Nūrūn or Nairūn iribes under his authority [this seems to indicate that part of them had been independent some time previously], and in all Turkistān [sic in MSS], but probably Mughalistān] there was no sovereign equal in power to him. He had two Khātūns, by one of whom he had seven, and, by the other, two sons, who were twins. These twins were named Kā-jūli— المرابط — also written Kā-chūli— المرابط — also written Kā-chūli— المرابط — also called Kabalf

In consequence of a strange dream which Tüminā-İ had, when its interpretation was told him, he made these two sons enter into a solemn compact, whereby it was agreed between them, in their father's presence, that the sovereignty should pertain to Kabal and his descendants, and the Deputyship or Lieutenancy, and leadership of the troops to Kā-jūlī and his progeny A compact to this effect was drawn up in the İ-ghūrī language—which is said to be the same as was in use in Tibbat, and written in what are called Tūngūt characters, signed by them both, and deposited in the treasury Abū-l-Ghāzī does not mention this circumstance at all Aghūr Khān is said to have made a similar arrangement with respect to his six sons—siyled the Bardz-ūkī and Udz-ūkī, but, when all perished but two persons, the compact terminated

If I mistake not, we shall find that the people named Budziāk, who dwell on the banks of the Borysthenes, W of the Black Sea, are offshoots of the Bardz-ūķī division

VII In accordance with the above compact, on the death of his father, KARAL—JJ—or KABALI—JJ—WHAN succeeded to the chieftain-ship, and his brother Kā-jūlī, to the leadership of the troops. The Mughals style Kabal Khān Alan-jik—elaj—or Alan-jik—elaj—which signifies "the cherisher of his people." He is the great grandfather of the Chingir Khān, and of Kā-jūlī, who is the eighth ancestor of Amīr Tīmūr. All the tribes of the Mughals were in unanimity and accord with him, and stood in awe of his power and ascendancy, and the Altān Khān of Khitā sent an emissary to him and summoned him to his Court. Those, however, who desire to glorify the Mughals, say, he "invited him to his Court, in a friendly manner," but there is little doubt, even by their own accounts, that the Mughals were dependent upon, and paid tribute to the Altūn or Altān Khān, as our author, Minhaj-ud-Dīn, likewise asserts.

Kabal, leaving he brother Ka juli as his Deputy or Lieutenant, set out for

might remain a memorial of the writer of this TABAKAT-

Khijā, and, having arrived there, was treated with honour and consideration; but, while in a state of intoxication, at an entertainment, Kabal committed an offence which greatly displeased the Åltān Khān, so he presented him with a head-dress and belt, and sent him away. The glorifiers of the Mughals say "a crown," but crowns are not generally pre-ented at such times; and Abū-l-Fagl, not to offend his master's vanity, and Abū-l-Ghāzī, who was himself a Mughal, and descended from Kabal, leave out this little incident altogether.

After Kabal had departed, the Altan Khan was blamed for letting him go so easily, and messengers were sent to recall him. He refused to return, upon which the Altan Khan sent a party after him to compel him to do so. They came up with him whilst be was stopping in the camp of a friend named Sanjutt Kabal was for going back with them, but his friend lent him a very swift horse he possessed, and advised him to fly. This certainly does not bespeak the powerful sovereign. He at once mounted and made off for his own yurat or camp. The party still pursued, but only found him after he had reached his home and people. He then, with the assistance of Ka-juli and the tribe, put the whole of the Altan Khan's men to death. At this period also, the eldest son of Kabal, whose name was Ukin or Ukam-Bark اوكن برقائي Also written Ükin-Barka-اوكين وقا while out on an excursion, was fallen in with, suddenly, by a tribe of the Tättär I-mäk, their mortal enemies—some say Ükin-Barkak was following the tracks of the Ghuzz Turk-mans at the time---who carried him off to the Altan Khan, who put him to death. Here was a fresh cause of feud between the already inveterate foes, the Mughal and Tättär

Some few writers, as I have just noticed above, say he was called Hamanghā or Hamanghā, thus showing that it was merely one person who was thus put to death, and that those writers divided one event into two.

Besides Ükin-Barkāk, Kabal had five other sons, two of whom were Kübilah Khān and Bartān Bahādur, but the others are not named, and the eldest of them, Kübilah, succeeded on the death of his father

VIII. KOBILAH—dip—also written KUBILAH—dip was a man of prodigious strength, immense stature, and great valour "His voice would pierce the seventh heaven, and his grip was like that of a bear. He could take a strong man, and with both hands bend him like a twig until his back broke, and one author states that he delighted in amusing himself in this pleasant way! During the cold nights of winter he was wont to go to sleep naked before a great fire made of the trunks of trees. He used not to care for the sparks of fire which used to fly out and touch him, for, if he chanced to awake, he would fancy the fleas had disturbed him, and he would scratch himself and go off to sleep again!"

In order to average the death of his brother, Ukin Barkāk, whom the Tāttārs had carried off, and delivered over to the Āltān Khān, who put him to death by having him mounted upon a wooden ass and nailed to it with iron spikes, and kept there until he expired, Kūbilah led his forces against the Āltān Khān, and the Tāttārs, overthrew them [1], and carried off immense booty.

Kübilah Khān is not even named by Abū-l-Ghāzī, whose work is much confused here; and, in several places, he relates events twice and even three times over, and differently each time

This is the Katula of Beresine and Kutlah of Erdmann, derived from this

Minhāj-i-Sarāj. He confidently hopes that, during his life-

word incorrectly written with two dots over the third consonant instead of one under.

IX. On the death of Kübilah Khan, his brother, BARTAN-all, - succeeded to the authority. The title of Khan was dropped with respect to him, and the new one of Bahadur was introduced. It is said that there was no one among their rulers who was endowed with greater valour and wisdom, and hence that title was assigned him. During his reign Ka-julf died, and his son, Iradamchi, succeeded his father in his hereditary offices. "In the Turkish language, Iradam or Iridam-15,1 -they call a Mirza-a secretary or writer-to which instrument, when applied to Turkish words." From this explanation, however, iridam may mean writing, not a writer He used to be styled Barlis, by Bartan, because he had no equal in valour, and hence he is known as Indam-chi, Barlas, but some say Barlas signifies a leader of troops. He had twenty-nine sons, and the tribe of that name are so called after Iridam-chi. The Bahadur, Bartan, had four sons, some say, several, one of whom succeeded him. "Baghatur," I beg to remark, is an utterly impossible title, and shows how those, who cannot "dig out the gold," are apt to vitiate the metal-the pronunciation of names.

X YASSŪKĀ—المراكة — the Bahādur, whose name is also written YASSŪKĀ—المراكة — and YASSŪKĀE—المراكة — and, erroneously, Tasūkā—المراكة — the most competent and sagacious of Bartān's sons, succeeded to the chieftain-ship of the Mughal tribes, and ruled over 40,000 families. This last statement shows plainly, however, that these persons, whom chroniclers make out to be such mighty sovereigns, could only have ruled over a few tribes, or their power must have dwindled considerably. The rulership over 40,000 families was not considerable, since the Jalā-īrs alone were previously computed at 70,000. Yassūkā is the father of the Chingiz Khān, and, during his chieftain-ship, Indian-chī, the Barlās, died, and his eldest son, Sūghūj-chī————whose name is also written Sūghū-jijan————sequelly——signifying wise, succeeded to his late father's offices. He is the fifth ancestor of Amīr Tīmūr, the statement of Mr H. H Howorth, in his "Mongols Proper," notwithstanding.

On account of the ancient enmity which had come down from one generation to another, and still more recent causes of hostility between the I maks of the Tättär and Mughal Turks, the Bahadur, Yassükä, in concert with Süghü-man led an army against them, overthrew them, and made captive Tamu-chi, but more correctly, Tamur-chi, which is also written Tamur-chin-the meaning of which will be explained farther on in the account of the Chingiz Khan-and Karbūķā or Karbūghā, who were their rulers and chiefs, and plundered their property and effects. After this the Bahadur, Yassūka, set out in great pomp, -which is also written Dilun-Yulduk-ديلون يوندون.-which is also written Dilun-Yuldukand, on reaching that place [which Petis de la Croix, in his innocency, says-Life of " Genghizean the Great," page 13-was "his Country-House, where he commonly resided " !], Yassüka's Khatun, who was named but whom the Fanakati and Fasih i call اور أستعه but whom the Fanakati and Ulun-Kunn الون قوهي of the tribe of Ülkünüt, who was pregnant, gave birth to a son, on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H [25th January, 1167 A D], and to commemorate his victory over the Tattars, by Sughu-jijan's advice, he named that son Tamur-chī, afterwards the Chingiz Khān. Vassūkā, the Bahādur, besides this son, had, by the same Khatun, three other sons-Juji Kasartime, he will be [considered worthy to be] remembered with pious benediction, and, after his death, with invocation

or Kājlūn—بورم المري or Kājlūn—بورم المري or Kājlūn—بورم المري or Unghl—بورم المري المان wand to mean the youngest son, and also called U-tigin or Aw-Tigin—بالمورد المرابع المري المرابع الم

When Yassükä died in 562 H., his son Tamur-chi was in his thirteenth year. About the same time Sughū-jijan also died, and the Nū-yān, Karāchār, his son, was also young in years, and the Nūrūn or Nairūn tribe—their own—forsook them and went over to the Tāijiūt, and other tribes.

At this period the tribes of the Mughals, Tättärs, and Turks, were ruled by seventy-one chiefs or häkims, each of whom ruled over one or two tribes; and this shows very clearly what I have before stated, that the chiefs I have been here giving an account of were not supreme rulers over the whole of the Mughal tribes even, but only over a certain portion of them, and that only a portion of the Nürün or Nairūn division of them were under the sway of the Bahādur, Vassūkā.

I have now brought down, in an abstract form, an account of the Turks, and the Tättär and Mughal I-maks, according to the accounts compiled by command of the Mughal sovereigns, and contained in the Histories I have named at page 869, to the point where our author begins his account of Tamur-chi, afterwards the Chingiz Khan. I have done it chiefly because he has confused events, and with respect to their earliest history he is in some error, and states contrary to all other authors who have written on the Mughais, but I also do so because European writers go on floundering and blundering with respect to these people, the descendants of Yafig, while, at the same time, the matter lies in a nutshell. One of the latest specimens of this kind is contained in the "TIMES," whose special correspondent, writing from "Therapia," Nov. 7th, 1876, says. "The conglomeration of Eastern faces. the Turks and Arabs, detest their enforced unity with their Turanage oppressors, their very existence culminating with a common feeling of unextinguahable hatred for the Osmanlı." So the writer appears to have made the wonderful discovery that the 'Usmanli Turks are not Turks but Türanlans. and so, by the same logic, these Turks are not Türänfans. Who knows? perhaps he has discovered that they are Aryans, or even "Tartars," as some of the newspaper philosophers have lately discovered.

The Yarkand Mission [to the ruler of the State of Kāshghar] made some similar ethnological discoveries in that part of Central Asia, of which the following is one specimen out of many. At page 81 of the "Raport," we are told respecting "the urban population," that they consist of "two typical forms," one of which, "the Mongolan," contains "the Manjhu, the Moghol or Mongol, the Kaimák, the Kirghiz, the Noghay, the Kapchak, and the Usbak. All of whom are designated Tartar, together with the Kara Khitay, the Khitay, and the Tungani, who are excluded from the catalogue though of the same stock." This may be termed, confusion worse confounded, but two pages farther on we are informed that "all that can be distinctly stated is that Tartar blood predominates with a greater or less admixture of the Turk element," &cc., &c.

The monkish travellers found, centuries ago, how incorrect it was the style Mughals by the name of latters. The Plano Carpini [A.D. 1246] says he and

of pardon, in the world-illumining opinion of the Sovereign of the people of Islām—NASIR-UD-DIN, MAHMUD SHAH—and other readers of his work.¹

FIRST INROAD OF THE TURKS OF KARAH KHITA.

Trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that the first irruption of the Turks was that the tribes of Karah Khitā issued from the territory of Chin and land of the East, and came out upon the confines of Kaiālīk and Bilāsāghūn, and withdrew their allegiance from the sovereign of Tamghāj, and made the frontier tracts of Islām their dwelling-place, and their grazing-grounds. On agreeing to pay certain fixed imposts, for pasturage, to the Afrāsiyābì Maliks, who were Musalmān sovereigns, of the

his party "came to the land of the Munchals, whom Europeans call Tartars." Rubruquis also [A D 1253] says, "near them [the Mughals] are the Tartars, by which name the Muals cannot endure to be called"

Turks consist of those branches and offshoots from Turk and his descendants before the time of Tättär Khān and Mughal Khān, who continued, and continue to retain the name of Turks, and of the two latter, who gave name to the two lambs of Tättär and Mughul. Both are Turks, by descent, but lättärs are not Mughals, nor are Mughals Tättärs

Here our author proceeds to give an account of the various predictions respecting the end of the world, which the irruption of the Mughal's prognosti-

cate^A, but which I need scarely insert here

Rubruquis describes Kaillik, under the name of Koylak. He says it was a great trading city in his time, and had three idol temples, the doors of which

were always open to the south.

This country will be found referred to at page 933.

At page 154 our author says "they solicited Sultan Sanjar to saign them lands," but, although expressed in different words, the same thing, in fact, is there meant as is here related. Sanjar was the suscerain, and the Afrasiyabl Sultans or Maliks were subject to him, as is plainly indicated from the following account of them. Nothing is more dangerous or more likely to bring a writer into trouble than a superficial knowledge of Oriental authors derived from translations often made from a single and imperfectly written MS

It will probably be well to give, however, a brief account of the Afranyabl

posterity of Afrasiyab-the descendants of the I-lak

Malks, because our author, both here and in his account of the Saman's, Saljūks, and Khwārazm's Suljūns, occasionally confuses their names in such a manner as to puzzle and bewilder his readers. Such brief account of them will also tend to make the preceding account of the Turks clearer, and throw light upon the previous account of the Khwārazm's dynasty and of the Gür Khāns farther on, and correct some crude theories accountly put forth.

The Muḥammadan writers make continual mention of the Turks and infidels of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān, from the time that the first 'Arab—Haksm, son of 'Umr, in the Khilāfat of Mu'āwiyah, drank of the waters of the Amūfah, and 'Abd-ullah, son of Ziyād, was the first to cross it, but those writers give no consecutive accounts of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks until they come down to the year 367 H. It must also be borne in mind that the name of Afrāsiyāb does not occur in the Turkish traditions, although the 'Ajamīs style him "the Turk"

The first person with whom most Muhammadan writers begin this dynasty is the Bughrā Khān, [No IV in this account] whose Musalmān name was Abū-Musā-i-Hārūn, and his title, Shihāb-ud-Daulah, and who, in 380 n., defented Mardāwan, the general of the Sāmānī forces, near samrkand. Although this Bughrā Khān, "the Turk," is said to have been the son of Sulīmān—whom some also style by the title of the I-lak Khān—son of the I-lak Khan, the meaning of which will be presently given, they do not include these two personages, among those rulers, although the latter, probably, brought the dynasty into greater notice, and splendour

A few writers, however, including Abū-Sa'Id-i-'Abd-ul-Ḥaiy, son of Zuḥāk, a native of Gardez in Karmān of the present Afghānistān—begin somewhat earlier, and, accordingly, I shall follow them. On reaching the time of the Bughrā Khān, Abū Musā-i-Hārūn, son of Sultman, the different accounts agree. The Gardezi wrote about 441 H, in the reign of Sultān 'Abd-ur-Raahīd, son of Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigin, the seventh of the Sultānis of Ghaznīn, and that writer is, therefore, a little before Abū-l-Fagl-i-Baihakī, who wrote in the reign of Farrukh-zād, the eighth of the Sultānis of that line, and who died in 470 H.

I SAIUK KIJAH, written Sātūķ——by one author, was an infidel, and the ruler of Turkistān; but, from a remarkable dream which he had one night, he, in the morning, became a convert to Islāni, and induced his people to embrace it also. This happened probably about the year 315 or 320 H, but no dates are given. In Alfi he is called Satuk-Karachār

There is a History, so-called, of this personage, who, in recent times, has been regarded as a saint, and a tomb and masjid have been raised over him. The account is written by the Shakh Najm-ud-Din, in Persian, and translated into Turki; but, as might be expected, it is history burlesqued. It is quoted by Surgeon-Major Bellew, C S I, who was attached to the late Kāshghar Mission, who has composed a "History of Kāshghar, from the Tahāti Nājari," etc., etc., which may be styled history in chaos. Shakh Najm-ud-Din causes Harrat Sātuk to be born in 333 if., and to die in 430 if., at the age of minety-six years. Unfortunately for such history, the INth sovereign of the race, and the eighth or minth in descent from the Satuk in question, was reigning over Turkistān including Kāshghar in 430 if. The Doctor, however, "would identify" Sātuk with, whom he calls. "Iylik Māzi—as he is usually styled Il-lak-Māzi, probably—the past, or of days of yore, as he is

[Khān], of days of yore a-and who were subject to the

* This is the I-lak-i-Mast referred to m para. 4, of the preceding note.

always called in the Persian, and who was not an "Uighur,"], son of the Bughra Khan, who invaded Bukhárá, where he died in the reign of the Amír Sáid Abul Kásim," etc., etc The reign of the Sāmānī ruler, the Amīr-i-Sa'īd, or August Amīr, Abū-l-Kāsim-i-Nūh, will be found at page 45 of this Translation, and, farther on, when and where Shhāb-ud-Daulah, Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn, entitled the Bughrā Khān, died, which event did not take place at Bukhārā, neither did his dominions extend to the Caspian, but, on the west, adjoined the Sāmānī empire.

The Dictor, in several places, states that the Kāshghar territory, "from occupation," was styled, "Mogholistan, or the country of the Moghol," but this is wholly erroneous, and no History will show that Turkistan was ever styled Mughalistan, which Mirzā Ḥaidar, the Mughal Prince, and others distinctly describe, as may be seen in the note at page 889

The Doctor tells us that the name of the city—Kāṭhghar—only "came into use under the rule of the Chaghtay Khans," as referring to the territory, but this is also erroneous, as Histories written before their time sufficiently prove, and as may be seen at page 133 of this Translation.

II After Satuk-Küjah's death, of which likewise no date is given, his son, MUSA, succeeded him as ruler The date of his death is not stated.

III. On the death of Musa, his grandson, Abū-Naṣr-i-Aḥmad, son of 'Alf, hon of Musa, son of Satul-Kūjah, succeeded to the sovereignty, and became famous under the title of the I-Lak-Khān. I-lak, in the Turkish language, is said to mean "prudent in counsel," but some writers say that it is the title by which the rulers of Yughmā, that is to say, Turkistān, who are the lowest of the rulers of Tūrār, are known, and that, in comparison with Khān, it merely signifies a chieftain, or leader, the ruler of a tribe. The poet, Abū-l-Faraḥ is also quoted, to show that a difference exists between the two titles, by the for wing couplet:—

This I-lak Khān bore the Musalmān title of Shams-ud-Daulah, and is evidently the same who entered Māwarā-un-Nahr from Turkistān in 367 H, just eleven years before Ālān-Ķuwā gave birth to the three sons of light.

IV. We now come to Shihāb-ud-Daulah, BUGHRĀ KHĀN, whose name was Abū Mūṣā-i-Hārūn, son of Sulimān, son of the Ī-lak Khān, and no doubt the latter is one and the same person with the one previously mentioned above, No. III

The Bughrā Khān entered Māwarā-un-Nahr, from Kāshghar, the city of which name was his capital, the first time, in 372 H Subsequently, he was induced to invade it again, by Abū 'Alī-i-Sīmjūr, and Fāyik-i Khāṣah, the traitor nobles of Amīr Nūh, son of Manṣūr, the Sāmānī. [See their dynasty, page 45, and note a, where, from the similarity of names, some slight confusion arises through our author calling Hārūn [Abū-Mūsā-i-Hārūn], "I-lak Khān," instead of which, the Ī-lak Khān was his grandfather's title] On comparing our author's statement, at page 51, with the account of the Gardezī, I find he confirms that author's statement by mentioning "Amīr Abū-l-Ḥasan, Ī-lak-i-Naṣī, son of 'Alī, brother of the Great Khān," which evidently refers to the first Ī-lak Khān [No III] here mentioned, viz ---Abū-Naṣī-i-Aḥmad, son of 'Alī, son of Mūsā, son of Satuk-Kūjah

Saljūķi Sultāns, they occupied those plains and pasture

During the reign of Abu-Musi-i-Hārun, Shikāb-ud-Daulah, son of Sulimān, son of the I-lak, the Bughra Khan, in 375 of the Hr., Saljuk, son of Lukman, and his family and dependents, entered Mawara-un-Nahr from Kara-Khita for the sake of pasturage. In this same year a wonderful bird was seen to rise daily, for three days in succession, from the sea of 'Umman, which was said to portend evil to Islam; and indeed, in the following year, 376 H., Alan-Kuwa gave birth to the three sons "of the mysterious light," as related in the Mughal tradition, one of whom was Bu-zanjar, the great ancestor of the Chingis Khan. The Bughra Khan was subsequently induced once more to invade Mawara-un-Nahr by 'Abu-Ali-1-Simjur and Fayik-1-Khasah, the traitor nobles of Amir Nub; and, in the year 380 H, he entered Mawara-un-Nahr and defeated Mardawan, the general of Amir Nüb, in the vicinity of Samrkand. Favik also became subject to him, and was allowed to hold Issaniab. In 782 H., accompanied by Fäyik, he appeared before Bukhārā, entered it in Rabi'ul-Awwal, and Amir Nuh fled. Whilst at Bukhārā, the Bughra Khān was attacked with a painful disorder, and set out to return into Turkistan, but death overtook him on the way in 383 H.

Bughrā in the Turkish language signifies a stallion kept for breeding purposes, but, more particularly, a two humped stallion camel.

The Bughra Khan was a just and well-disposed monarch, the friend of learning and the learned, and ruled over the vast tract of country extending from Kanghar to Chin. He was succeeded by his brother's son, the I-lak Khan, Abū-l-Ḥasan-i-Naṣr, son of 'Ali

V. The I-LAK KHAN, Abu-l-Hasan-1-Nast, son of 'Ali, brother of Harun-1-Bughrā Khān (this is the person our author mentions at page 51], marched from Uz-gand, and acquired predominance over Bukhārā on the 10th of Zi-Hujah, 389 H., seized Amīr 'Abd-ul-Malik, son of Nüh, the Sāmāni, and sent in Farghanah [a totally different place from Urgany—اوركو as stated in note , page 52, through an error of the copyist in writing, for ,-and from Gur-ganj-Jof Khwaruzm], and took possession of the whole of Mawara-un-Nahr. He again came to Samrkand in 391 H; and, in 393 H., Abū-Ibrāhim-i-Muntaşir, the last of the Sāmānis, with the aid of the Ghuzz, defeated the I-lak Khan, and compelled him to retire. With the help of the Ghuzz tribe, under their Militar, or Chief, Beghü, Abü-Ibrāhim re-took Bukhārā, and re-subdued all Māwarā un-Nahr. It was but a temporary advantage however, although the I-lak Khan was a second time defeated by the confederates, for he returned soon after with a great host, and subsequently completely overthrew Abu-Ibrahim in 395 H., who, in the meantime, had been deserted by the Ghuzz tribe.

In Jamādi-ul-Awwal, 391 H, it is stated that an envoy came from the Î-lak Khān to Sulţān Maḥmūd-i-Sabuk-Tigīn, proposing that all the territories of Māwarā-un-Nahr should appertum to him, and all Mādūm-un-Nahr Leq 11-1-1-1 I have never noticed this term applied to the cis-Āmūfah or cis-Oxus countries but in one old author. I shall refer to this again farther only to Maḥmūd. Other writers state that a treaty to this effect was entered into between them in 396 M. There may possibly have been two treaties, the latter modified.

Whilst Mahmud-1-Sabuk-Tigin was at Multan, after taking it in 396 H., intimation reached him that the Turks had broken the treaty, crossed the Amulah in great numbers under Subäghi-Tigin, and had penetrated as far even as Hirat and Nighāpūr, but, that they had been driven back, and all Mādūm-

lands; and, being few in point of numbers, they there

un-Nahr cleared of them. In the following year, Sultan Mahmud marched to Balkh, m order to avenge this attack; and the I-lak Khan assembled 40,000 horse in Māwarā-un-Nahr, and crossed the river to encounter him A battle took place in the plain a few miles from Balkh, and a charge of elephants decided the face of the battle, and the I-lak Khan and his ally, Kadr Khan-his brother, probably-ruler of Khutan, were completely routed, on Sunday, the 22nd of Rabi'-ul-Akhır, 398 H., many prisoners were taken, and, in crossing the Amuiah, the I-lak Khan lost a great number of his followers who were carned away by the current and drowned nourished the hope of revenge, but Time did not permit him to gratify it, and

he died in 403 H.

VI Sharf-ud-Din-1-TUGHAN KHAN, his brother, succeeded to the throne of Mawara-un-Nahr and Turkistan In the Turkish language tughan signifies a species of hawk-[6,3]-the Hawk or Falcon Khan In the year 408 if , [began 29th May, 1017, A D, old style], his dominions were invaded from the side of Chin, by a vast host of infidel Turks, who had been displaced from their former localities, to the amount of 300,000 khargaks-felt tents so-called by the Turks-and equivalent to that number of families. This must have been about the time of the Mughal ruler, Zūtūmin No III, at page 894-which They certainly were not the people called Kara Khita-I, or "Kitan" of European writers, subsequently to be noticed Tughan Khan, although suffering from illness at the time, sallied out against them; and, after much fighting, drove them back again. Vast booty, and a great number of captives fell into the hands of the Musalman Turks [and their Musalman allies?] Tughan Khan died in the same year, and was succeeded by his brother

VII. Abn-l-Mugaffar-i-Arsai an Khan-also styled Ul-Asam, or "the deaf" brother of Tughan, succeeded him in the sovereignty. In 410 H, he is said to have fought a battle with Sultan Mahmud-i-Sabuk-Tigin, and was overthrow , and, during his retreat across the Hhūn or Amūlah, most of his troops were drowned, the incident which happened after the defeat in 398 II . above referred to Mahmud, however, was engaged during part of this year in his expedition in Hind. The date of Arsalan Khan's death is not given , but, in 408 II, a princess of the family of the I-lak Khan, who had previously been betrothed to Prince Mas'ud, Mahmud's son, arrived at Balkh on her way to Ghaznīn.

VIII KADR KHAN, son of Yüsuf, son of the Bughra Khan-i-Harun, son of Suliman-the Gardezi, calls him Yusuf-i-Kadr Khan, and states that he was one of the cousins of the I-lak Khan [No V ?], and who had been made governor, on his part, over Samrkand-succeeded to the sovereignty on the death of Arsalān Khān. He was a prince of great justice and goodness Garderi states that, in 415 H., Mahmud-1-Sabuk-Tigin reached Italkh with the intention of crossing the Jihûn into Māwarā-un-Nahr, to deliver the Musalmans from the tyranny of 'Ali-Tigin, ruler of Bukhārā, and one of the Afrasiyāhi Khāns, upon which, Yūsuf-i-Kadr Khān, who was the Sālār of all Turkistan and the great I-lak Khan, hearing of Mahmud's having crossed, left Kashghar and came to Samrkand He then proceeded to meet Mahmud [see pages 116-118], and they entered into a fresh treaty 'Ali Tigin [this is the person referred to at page 121, which see I hearing of this, fled to the desert [the steppes E of the Sihim] Fasih-i, however, says this took place in 419 H It was at this time that Mahmud seized Isra'il, the Saljuk, and sent

continued to dwell, without violence or disturbance, in peace and tranquillity.

him off to Hind [Kähnjar in the Panjab]. In 416 H. [Faşıh-i, 419 H.]; Jaghar Beg, Abû Suliman-l-Da'üd [also called Da'üd-ı-Jaghar Beg. See page 116. Here are some more mafats showing how they are used, and the necessity of their usel, son of Tughri or Tughril Beg, son of Mika'il, son of Saljūk [the Gardezī styles the Saljūks Turks and Turk-mans indiscriminately]. broke out, left the Bukhārā territory and the Sughd of Samrkand, and retired into Khwarazm [see page 121], with the consent of Sultan Mahmud, but not the approbation of his ministers .

In 417 H., envoys came to Ghaznin, to Sultan Mahmud, from Kaya [4] Khān, and Bughra Khān, requesting a matrimonial alliance Mahmud replied that he was a Musalman and they were infidels, and that it was not the custom to give the sisters and daughters of Musalmans to infidels, but that, if they would embrace Islam, the matter would be considered. These chiefs were Kadr Khan's brothers. Subsequently it was agreed that Zainab, the Sultan's daughter, should be betrothed to the son of Kadr Khan, who was then styled Yughan-Tigin, and afterwards took the title of Bughra Khan, and a daughter of Kadr Khān was betrothed to Muhammad, but subsequently to Mas'ūd, Sultān Mahmiid's eldest son. Kadr Khan died in the year 423 H, and was succeeded

IX. ARSALAN KIIAN, son of the Bughra Khan [No. IV] who was, at that period, Lord of Kashghar, Khutan, Khujand, and Bilasa-ghun, now succeeded to the sovereignty, but, between him and his own brother, liughra, hostility arose, and the latter overcame Arsalan, and made him captive. Arsalan is the person to whom 'bultan Mas'ud of Ghaznan sent a despatch after the

battle of Dandankan, mentioned in note *, page 94.

X The HUGHRA KHAN, son of Kadr Khan, who was Lord of Banki, or Jaraz, and Sinjab [Islanjab or Slanjab, as it is also written See page 28], after having overcome his brother, Arsalán, became absolute ruler. The mention of these provinces and countries sufficiently indicates the extent of country under the sway of the Afrasiyabi Maliks. The Bughra Khan nominated his eldest son, Ja'far-Tigfin, his heir, on which the mother of Ibrāhīm, the youngest son, poisoned the Bughra Khan, together with some of his Amirs, and also put an end to Arsalan Khan, who was still in confinement, in 439 H.

Bughra, also written with 3 for p-Bukra, is the same well-known Turkish name that is turned into Bagora Khan by SIEWART in his "History

of Bengal," and Baikara by others

XI IBRAHIM KHAN, son of the Bughra Khan, succeeded to the sovereignty after the muider of his father 111s mother sent him against Bināl Tigīn [one author has Nial-Tigin], who used to act rebelliously, and, in the encounter which ensued, Ibrahim was killed, and the family of the Bughra Khan [No X], in the direct line, terminated with him. The year of his death is not mentioned

In 453 or 454 H , Sulfan Alb-Arsalan, the Saljuk, undertook the subjugation of Turkistän, but had to return from the frontiers of Kashghar and Bilasa-ghun

to the aid of the Khalifah See page 134.

XII. Abū-i-Muzaffar-i-Ţab-kāj [-bab] KHĀN, son of another Ibrāhīm, son of Naşr, who was likewise of the house of Afrasiyah, and whose father had withdrawn from the world, succeeded to the sovereignty. He had previously been ruler of Samrkand, under the sovereign. He died of paralysis in 460 H.

XIII. Shams-ul-Mulk (some Muluk) the haikin, NASE, son of Taf-kaj

When the period of repose continued for a prolonged

Ehān, succeeded his father, and the daughter of Sulfan Alb-Arsalān, the Saljūķ, was married to him, and the daughter of 'Īsā, his brother, was married to Alb-Arsalān's son, afterwards Malik Shāh. The Khāķān, Shams-ul-Mulk, died in Zī-Ķa'dah, 472 H.

XIV. KHIZA KHĀN, brother of the Khākān, Naşr, succeeded to the throne,

but very soon after died.

XV. AHMAD KHĀN, son of Khir Khān, succeeded to his father, but used to act in such a manner that Sulfān Malik Shāh had to march into Māwarā-un-Nahr, in 482 it to coerce him. He defeated him, and sent him away to Isfahān, to the care of his aunt, Turkān Khātūn, Alb-Arsalān's daughter. After a time Sulfān Malik Shāh restored him to the sovereignty, but in 488 ii. he was put to death on being accused of heresy. The Ranzat-uş-Şafā states that Sanjar gave the throne to Ahmad's son, Naşr by name.

XVI. MAHMGD KHĀN, uncle's son of Ahmad Khān, succeeded to the throne of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān; and, in the year 490 H. Dabkūli—[[]]he]—1-Tughān Khān, son of Karā Khān, marched an army against him and

slew him Who he was does not appear.

XVII. KADR KHĀN, son of 'Umr Khān, son of Ahmad Khān, succeeded him. In 495 H he became ambitious of possessing himself of part of Khurāsān, and invaded it. In Shu'bān of that year he was accountered by Sulfān Sanjar [this was long before Sanjar became supreme ruler of the Saljūk empire] near Tirmit, and was defeated, taken prisoner, and put to death. He is called Kunduz Khān elsewhere See note 8, page 147

XVIII MUHAMMAD KHÂN [some, by mistake, call him Ahmad Khān] to whom the title of Arsalân was assigned, son of Sulfmān by a sister of Sulfan Sanjar, son of Dā'ūd, son of the Bughrā Khān [No \], and who, for a long time, had been an exile from Māwarā un-Nahr, and dwelling at Marw,

at the Court of that Sultan, succeeded to the sovereignty in 495 H

In 523 H, Sayyid Ashraf, the 'Alawt, and the men of 'amrkand, slew Naş the son of Arsalān Khān, and openly rebelled against him. Arsalān Khān called upon his uncle, Sultān Sanjar, for aid, who set out in person with an army to succour him Before Sanjar reached Samrkand, Arsalān Khān had suppressed the outbreak, and he despatched an emissary to make apologies to the Sultān [not wishing him to come seemingly] This conduct did not please Sanjar, and he continued his advance toward. Samrkand Arsalān Khān was also accused of sending persons to assassinate the Sultān The latter invested Samrkand, took it in 524 H., imprisoned him, and sent him off to Marw, to his mother, Sanjar's sister

Muhammad-i-Arsalan Khān, son of Sulfmān, was restored to the sovereignty of Māwarā-un-Nahr by his uncle, Sulfān Sanjar, in 526 ii Most of the authors I have taken this account from style him Muhammad as before, and one calls him Mahmid [his son], but Faşiḥ-f, and some others, distinctly but they give 530 ii as the date of his restoration. This can scarcely be correct, as his son succeeded in 526 ii. What subsequently became of him is

The Tarikh-i-Alff, the accounts in which are generally derived from the best authorities, without mentioning who he was or where he ruled, states that Kadr Khān invaded Māwarā-un-Nahr, at the L.ad of a lage army, with the object of conquering it and Turkistän, and that Arsalān Khān, Muḥammad,

. *

time, and their offspring and posterity had become nume-

unable to cope with hun, fied into Khurāsān to Sulţān Sanjār for protection, and gave the Sulţān a daughter in marriage. Sulţān Sanjār marched into Māwarā-un-Nahr, overthreu Kadr Khān, put him to death, and restored Arsalān to his throne again.

After a short time, a number of the Khans of the Turks became hostile to Arsalān, and, unable to resist them, he again fled to Sanjar for help, and again the Sultan restored him, after punishing his enemies. The soldiery of Arsalan Khan were principally of the two septs of Karlughiah Turks, and Ghuzz, and the former, having been intrigued with, and gained over by Ashraf, the 'Alawi, son of Muhammad-1-Abi-Shuja', the Samrkandi, to combine with Nasr Khan, Arsalan's son, the 'Alawi incited Nasr Khan to dethrone his father Arsalan Khan gained intimation of the plot, and forthwith put his son, and the 'Alawi to death. After this, Arsalan regarded the Kärlüghlah with hostility, and they looked upon him with dread they combined to destroy him, and Arsalān had again to fly to Sulţān Sanjar. He marched towards Samikand to quell this outbreak, upon which, the Kärlüghiah took to flight and retired to the mountains. Another version of these events is, that Arsalân Khân had located 12,000 kharghas, or felt tents of Karlughs, equal to that number of families, on his castern frontier to protect it from the incursions of the Chinese [Khitā fs], but he had latterly ill-treated them, and they had left his dominions, and retired into the territory of Bilasaghun, the particulars respecting which will be found in the account of the Gür Khans, farther on

Sulfan banjar entered bunrkand, and remained there a short time. It was at this period that, while occupied in the chase, the Sulfan perceived a hand of armed men lying in ambush in the Shikar-gah, or preserve. These were seized, and, they his ing confessed that Arsalan Khan had sent them thinker, the Sulfan proceeded to invest the ingrate within the walls of Samrkand, captured him, and sent him away to Balkh, where he died. Some say his death was natural, but others, that the Sulfan had something administered to him.

During this reign, in the year 522 ii. Aghūz [36], the Chīnī, with a host more numerous than ants or locusts, invaded the territory of Kāshghar. The Wālī of Kashghar, Aḥmad, son of Ḥasan, collected his forces to repel the invaders. The two armies met within the frontiers of Kāshghar, and an obstinate battle ensued, which ended in favour of Aḥmad.

Who Ahmad was is not menuoned, but he was, doubtless, one of the Afrasiyabi family, subordinate to Arsalan as head of the house, and has, evidently, from the discrepancies above noticed, been taken for one of the sovereigns of this dynasty

Aghūz, the Chīnf, after the slaughter of great part of his army, took to flight; and, after he had reached his capital, the name of which is not given, died of grief and chagrin. "The Gür Khān," according to the same authority, "became his successor over the country of Chīn," as will be presently mentioned.

XIX HARAY-TIGIN, son of 'Alf, son of 'Abd-ul-Mümin [Alfi has, son of 'Abd-ul-Mümin, son of 'Alf], famous under the name of Abū-l-Ma'ālī, Kulij-1-Tamghāj, who likewise was of the same family, wis, by command of Sulfan Sanjar, raised to the sovereignty, but he died very shortly after

XX Rukm-ud-Din, MAHACO KHAN, Arealan's son, and great nephew of Sanjar, who is mentioned in the lami-ut-Tawarikh and in Alfi as the

rous, they began to act in a refractory manner. The

KHĀĶĀN, Rukn-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd, son of Muḥammad, son of the Bughrā Khān INo. X Yāfa'ī says he was his great grandson], with the support of Sulţān Sanjar was raised to the throne in 526 H, and, in Ramaşān 531 H., he encountered the Gūr Khān of the Karā Khṭā-ā in battle, within the limits of Khujand, but was defeated, and compelled to retire to Samrkand. Sultān Sanjar advanced soon after to his assistance with his forces, but he also was overthrown [in 534 H, A D 1134 Gurīdah and others say in 535 H Jāmr'-ut-Tawārlth says in 536 H] by the Khṭā-ās under Āt Khān and Bāntko, as has been already stated under Sanjar's reign, at page 154, but, since that portion of this work was translated, some further particulars, tending to throw light on this subject, will be found in the notice of the Gūr Khāns farther on

Rashid-ud-Din, m the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, further states, that Sulfān Itsuz [our author's Utsuz], Khwārazm, Shāh, m 547 H, marched against Sak-nāk—also written Sagh-nāk, which hes north of Utrūr, and other tracts, and also against Kamāl-ud-Din, Arsalān Khān, son of Maḥmūd, the Wāli or ruler of Jund, who fled to Rūdbār Who he was si not said, but he is evidently one of the Afrāsiyāb family He was induced to return, but was put to death, and Sulfān Itsus annexed Jund, which he gave to his own son, I-yal-Arsalān The year above-mentioned is that in which the Ghuzz acquired such predominance, but, according to some writers, and more correctly, the year previous to Sanyar's falling into their hands.

Maḥmūd Khān, forsaking country and possessions, after the victory of the Karā-Khifā-Is, in 534 H, came into Khurāsān along with Sulfān Sanjar, and continued at his Court, and, subsequently, after the Sulfān was taken captive by the Ghuzz, he was raised to the sovereignty of Khurāsān, as a temporary measure. After his uncle's escape out of their hands, and his death soon after, Maḥmūd, in 552 H, for a time, again obtained the nominal sovereignty over Khurāsān, but, after a stormy reign of five years and a half, in 557 H, he was a'eprived of his sight by Sanjar's slave—Mu'ayyid ud-Din, the A finah-dār [See page 180], and died in 558 H.

XXI Tamehā, Khān, on of Muhammad Khān (but whether this is the same Muhammad, who is called Ahmad, and dethroned and again restored to sovereignty by Sulfān Sanjar, is not stated), became Wält of Māwarā-un-Nahr after Sulfān Sanjar's imprisonment by the Ghuzz, but he did not possess much grandeur or power, and his reign was a very stormy and agitated one. He was tributary to the Karā Khitā-fs, who continued to hold sway in those parts, after Sanjar's captivity, until finally driven out and expelled by Sulfān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh

Tamghā, Khān was at length slam and his corpse cast into the desert by the Karlūks or Kārlūghs. This ha pened in 551 H, some say, in 550 H.

One author refers to a Tamghāj or Taghmāj Khān, named Ibrāhīm, son of Al-Ḥusan [Al-Ḥasan], as one of the Khāns of Turkıstān, who, when he became absolute, came to Samrkand, and dwelt there many years. He was a great patron of 'Ulamā and other learned men, and wrote Kur'šns which were sold, and the prices realized therefrom he subusted on He must be one is a discrepancy with respect to his father's name, and he too had a son, Khirr Khān, who is said to have been a Sulfān of grea, pomp Probably, Nos, X and XI are referred to

period of the Sanjari empire had nearly reached its termi-

I may also add that Tamgha, the name of which often appears in this Section, is a territory of Turkistan, as well as a name given to rulers, and that some writers state that it is the name—dynastic name, probably—of the sovereigns of Tibbat and Yughma, which last word is also the name of an old

city and territory in Turkistan.

XXII. Jalāl-ud-Dln, 'Alf, son of Ḥasan-Tigin [Rashfd-ud-Dln calls hum Ḥusan merely], son of 'Alf, son of 'Abd-ul-Mümm, who bore the title of Khiṭa Khān—some say Jaghar Khān—with the support of the Gūr Khān of the Karā-Khiṭā-is, after Sulṭān Sanjar's defeat at Kaṭrān, succeeded to the sovereignty of Māwarā-un-Nahr Khiṭa Khān, subsequently [in 553 H] slew, in Khiṭā it is said, Beghū or Beghūn Khān, the chief of the Kārlūks or Kārlūghs, and other chiefs of that tribe, then located in Māwarā-un-Nahr, such as Lā-chīn Beg, and, the sons of the slam Beghū, fied to the Khwārazm territory, and connecting themselves with Sulṭān I-yal-Arsalān, Khwārazm Shāh, instigated him to attack Khiṣr Khān, the Khāṭān, as he is also styled—another title by which this dynasty is also called—of Samrkand, that is to say, Māwarā-un-Nahr This is a sufficient proof that the rulers were not Kārlūghs, and that they were Ì ghūrs is utterly out of the question.

1-yal-Arsalan, Khwarazm Shah, accordingly, in the same year [553 H.-A.D. 1158], in Jamādi-ul-Akhir, marched an army into Māwarā-un-Nahr, arrived at Bukhārā, and, from thence, moved towards Samrkand On the news of his movements reaching Khizr Khan, he at once summoned to his standard all the Tarakamah nomuls of those parts, from the Kara Kol or Black Lake, as far as Jund, and brought them to Samrkand He mustered his forces on the bank of the Bagh-dad river in the Sughd, near the capital, and within the walls of the city. He likewise sought aid from the Kara-Khita-is, who despatched to his aid the I-lak of the Turkan, but the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, and Raugat-us-Safa, both style him the I-lak of the Tarakamah [plural of Turkman, which, since they also consider them Turks, or belonging to the Turk tribes, is much the same in signification], who, soon after arrived, with a force of 10,000 gallant men to the aid of Khizr Khan, and, through the I-lak's endeavours, an accommodation was entered into, and the Khwarazm Shan retired into his cwn territory again These were one portion only of the Karlugh Turks, for Ibn-Dastah, in his account of the Jihun, says, several rivers flow into the IIhun, among which is the considerable river called the Wakhsh river, which issues from the tract of country above the land of the Karlugh Turks

The Beghū are often refurred to by our author, after this period, in several places in this work, as being located in Wakhsh and Badakhshān [see note s, page 374, and page 494], and they are the tribe of this same Beghū, the Kārlūk or Kārlūgh. The Ghuzz are also styled Beghū, as previously stated in the account of the Turks, but these Beghū Kārlūghs are totally distinct from the Ghuzz. There are no such people, I beg leave to say, as "Ghozzis," or "Ghoz" or "Gusses," nor do "av know that the Osmanlı claim descent from the Ghozz," any more than they do from the "Kankulis," but we know quite the contrary

Khirr Khān, and his predecessor also, were tributaries of the Gür Khāns, as was likewise 'Uşmān, Khirr's successor; but the mention here, by different historians, of the I-lak of the Tarākamah or Turkān being sent by the Gür Khān himself to the assistance of Khirr Khān is very important, proving, as it does, what I was quite cognitant of before, how crude and erroneous are

nation, and they broke out into rebellion. Sultan Sania

the theories put forward by a writer-Mr H H Howorth-who has bee writing largely of late on " Mongols," " Chozzes," " Gusses," and the like, ar imagines that the " lik [sic] Turkan," of D'Ohsson, was one of the sovereign of this dynasty I am here giving an account of, and that they were all style "Arslan Khans," Le "Lion Khans," when, out of the twenty-three sovereig here mentioned, but three were styled Arvalan, i e I ion. The I-lak-i-Turka or I-lak-1-I arakamah, as he is also called, was certainly one of the descendan of Afrasiyab, and that was why the Gür Khan sent him to the aid of his kinsma Khisr Khan fand he had good cause to hate Karluksl, and there were sever others, too, who claimed similar descent, as well as the dynasty of the Bugh and I-lak Khans I have here given a brief account of I-phurs they certain were not.

The I-lak-1-Turkan above referred to II most likely the very same perso who, in 522 H [A.D. 1128], gave up his authority to the Gur Khan, or other wise his son or successor in that title. The former is the more probabl The length of his reign, which must have been considerable, is not give neither the date of his son's succession,

XXIII SULTAN 'USMAN, son of Jalal-ud-Din, 'Alf, son of Has. [Tigin] of the Bughra Khan family, who, on account of the antiquity of h race, is styled Sulfan-us-Salatin, is the last of this dynasty, but, at what peric he attained the sovereignty, is not stated. As he had solicited a daught from the Gur Khan, to whom he was tributary, in marriage, and been refuse Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, for that very reason, to spite the Gi Rhan, gave him a daughter of his own in marriage in 606 H Great friendsh and intimacy arose, in consequence, between Sultan 'Uşman and his fathe in-law, but it developed into great resentment. 'Uşman abandoned tl Sultan's friends...ip and was going to ally himself again with the Gur Khā At last, Suntan Muhammad marched against him, took Samrkand, and secure the person of Sultan 'Uşman Sultan Muhammad was inclined to forgi h n, but his own daughter, 'Uşman'e wife, whose name was Khan Mali was against it, and, in 609 H, he was put to death, at her instigation, son say, by her command, and with him that dynasty became extinct. The leng of his reign is not mentioned

From the account of 'Uşman in the notice of the Kara-Khita-is farther of considerable discrepancy will be noticed respecting his again attaching himse to the Gür Khan after his alliance with the Sulfan of Khwarazm, and th Sulfan's occupying Samrkand, and the absolute contrary would appear to l the fact, but, that something unpleasant did occur between him and h father-in-law, 'Usman's being removed from Samrkand, and taking up h quarters at Khwararm plainly show

Sultan 'Uşman-said to have been a second Yüsuf in beauty-it was, who when along with the Gür Kt an's army, interceded with the Kara Khitaand saved Sulfan Mu'122-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-Sam, Ghuri, from captivity and enabled him to escape from Andkhud, after his defeat there in 601 ; See page 480

Another proof that Turkistan was miled by many petty princes is, the among the Maliks of the Dihlt kingdom in Sulfan Shams-ud-Din, I-ya timish's reign, one was 'Alā-ud-Din, Jānī, a Prince of Turkistān-a refuge apparently—but of what family he came is not mentioned. He subsequently gave considerable trouble, and was put to death in 634 H See page 646 marched to coerce them; and Bānīko of Tarāz, from the side of Khitā [the Karā-Khitā-i territory—from Tarāz] with a numerous army, advanced to encounter the Sultān, and a battle took place between that host of infidels and Sultān Sanjar. The army of Islām was defeated, and Turkān Khātūn, who was the Sultān's consort, became a captive [in the hands of the Khitā-is]. After the Sultān retired, they [1] sought for peace, and sent back Turkān Khātūn, and they obtained immunity.

When the insurrection of the Ghuzz [tribe] of Khandān broke out and continued, and the dominion of Sanjar declined, as has been recorded, the Karah Khitā-is acquired vast strength, and the Maliks of Turkistān, with their assistance, used to subdue each other, and were wont to send them riches, valuable gifts, and presents, in hope of their aid and help. Those Maliks continued to use their utmost endeavours in the subjection and destruction of each other for so long a time, that the Karah Khitā-is became rulers over the whole of them; and, for a period of near eighty years and over, their power continued

At first, when they became supreme, the chief men among them, in succession to each other, were several persons; and those who lived near unto my own time, and of whom I have heard from narrators, were I-mā, Sunkam, Arbaz, Tūmā, and Bāniko [of Tarāz], and their sovereign was a woman, and, at last, after that female, there was a man, and his title was "the Gūr Khān," and they were wont to style him "the Khān-i-Khānān." Some have related that

Another is mentioned as holding Utrār, and another Jand, during Sulfān 'Uşmān's reign over Māwarā-un-Nahr

See also the account of the rulers of Sinstan and Nimroz, page 188.

⁷ Some copies of the text, as in the account of Sultān Sanjar's reign, where the particulars of these events will be found [page 154], have Khatlān, some Khandān. Here, the former is correct there the latter. The Ghuzz or Chūzz—A or Maria [the would require a good deal of "twisting" to turn their name into the impossible one of "Guzzes"] came into the Musalmān territories from Khandān, which is on the frontiers of Chīn or China, but, when they revolted against Sultān Sanjar, they were dwelling in Khatlān, whence the confusion, and only crossed the Jiḥūn towards the close of Sanjar's reign, prior to his defeat by them. See notes 6, page 374, 8, page 424, and 6, page 426

Which is the Persian translation of the title "Gur Khan" Mr. II H. Howorth in his book on the "Mongols Proper," page 719, has the following:-

this Gür Khān had, secretly, become a Musalmān, but God knows the truth in this matter. It is agreed, however, that the first among them [the Karah Khitā-i rulers] were just sovereigns, and were adorned with equity, and ability, and used to treat Muhammadans with great reverence, show respect unto ecclesiastics, and used not to consider tyranny and violence allowable towards any created being.

"Colonel Yule adds, "the tendency to swelling titles is always to degenerate, and, when the value of Khan had sunk, a new form, Khán-Khánán, was devised at the court of Dehli, and applied to one of the high-officers of state." Here we have the "new devised form" as early as 1259 A.D., nearly three contains before the first Mughal Sulfan of Dihlf appeared in India The title of Khāns--Khānān--Khānān of Khāns--is not at all uncommon, and is frequently mentioned in histories centuries before any Mughals reigned at Dilhf

Surgeon-Major Bellew, the Historian of the Kāṣḥghar Mission of 1873, has fallen into error in his account of the "Gorkhán" from the "Tabedis Nāṣari," and other works quoted by him, for he makes out, in the first place [page 132], that the "Kara Khitāy," who "came to the cities of Cubaligh and Bālāṣghūn, took the government upon themselves" from "the Afrāṣyāb Princes descended from Tylik Marzī [nc]," and "kept it for eighty and odd years," and then tells us that "their rulers in succession were Ayma, and Sangam, and Arbar, and Tana, and Tāynko, and then a queen who was succeeded by Gorkhan" All this is different from the Tabaṣāt-i-Nāṣirī, of which this work is a Translation

It would tend, probably, to elucidate the above statement, and to correct some of our author's errors and shortcomings, if I gave, here, a brief account of the dynasty known as the Gür Khāns of Karā-Khiţāc or Karah-Khiţā.

The original country of these rulers is Khitā or Khitāe, which consists of everal vast tracts of territory, and the designation of Khitā differs according to the different races who speak of it. For example, "that great and famous country which has always been the seat of government of powerful sovereigns, and is so at present [whin the Fanākatī wrote 578 years ago], is called by the people themselves—

Jah-kūt 7½ Khān-kūc—and the Mughals call it Chīn, while we, in Māwarā-un-Nahr, term it Khitā and Khitāe.

"There is another country of great extent, to the east of Khifā inchining south—S. E.—which the Chiuis [Chimese] call by the name of and the Minghals style it which is to say Chini-Buzurg, or Cical China." [That Khutan was ever called Chin or Mā-Chin, as Remusat is said to have stated, cannot be shown, but it formed part of the Chinese empire]

"To the north of Khifā there are certain tribes of Saḥrā-Nishinān [Nomads] whom they [the Khifa-ī] call Jīdān or Jaidān, and the Mughais know them by the name of Karā-Khifā-ī or Black Khifā-ī. The great barrier or wall separates Khifā-ī from the lands of the Turks and Nomads." It must be borne in mind that it is a custom among eastern people to distinguish countries, and sometimes people, by the epithets of white—āf and chaphan—and black—farā or karah, the former name being given to the most extensive or fertile countries, and most civilized people, and the latter to the poorest and least fertile countries, and the

Upon several occasions the armies of these rulers had

less civilized people. The same may be remarked with respect to the term, surkk-ris—red-faced, that is to say, honourable, of good fame, and nydh-ris—black-faced, meaning dispraced or dishonoured.

"The dwelling-places or lands of the Jidān tribe adjoin the plains, wilds, or steppes of Mughalistān; and, on one occasion, a person of the Jidān tribe rebelled, seized the sovereign of Khijā, and became Bādahāh himself. For several generations his descendants reigned. They were afterwards ousted by another person, and the Altān Khāns, who were finally overthrown by the Chingiz Khān, and his son, Üktāe Kā'ān, were his descendants."

The family of the person who afterwards rose to sovereignty with the title of the Gür Khan ["Gorkhan," "Kawar" and "Gawer," and "Kur, a form of Gur Khan," and the like, of European authors, and some European translators, are entirely wrong] was named قرطون طاهر Kumkin or Komkin The-GHO or TAYA-GHO, also written موشتين طايغو Kushtin or Koshtin Jae-ku, or Tāya-kū [the Yeilu Taishi probably of D Ohsson], which names might vary a little more according to the vowel points, but not the consonants, except that and in the middle or end of a word are interchangeable, and that Turks. Tättärs, and Mughals, change p and t into b and d occasionally. I have read the above words according to the usages of the Persian language Kümkin The ghu's family was one of distinction in those parts, and, long before the time of the Chingaz Khan, and antecedent to the rise of the sovereigns of the Khūrjah or Khorjah—+ dynasty [Corea of Europeans is here referred to], forced, through the vicissitudes of destiny, he left his native country along with 80 persons of different tribes or families, and took up his dwelling-pitched his tents-within the borders of Kirkiz or Kirkiz, respecting which see the account of the Turks at page 876 This tract is generally mentioned along with Tingkut by most of the authors I have quoted in my note on the descent of the Turks, Tättärs, and Mughals

Some again say that these 80 persons were his own family and kin, and that they were accompanied by their dependents and followers, who made up a considerable number, and, from their proceedings, this last statement appears the more correct of the two.

The Karā-Khitā-f fugitives assailed the people of those parts—Kirkiz or Kirkiz—and were themselves attacked in return, and hard pressed. On this account the Karā-Khitā-lis moved away from those tracts, and entered the territory of 1-mil—Jal or 1-mil—Jal-or the territory on the river of that name, and there founded "a city," in, and about which, the Gūr Khān being an exceedingly just and efficient ruler, some 40,000 families, Turks, and numbers of others, soon gathered around him. The remains of that city, the name of which is not given, were still to be traced at the time the Histories I take this account from were written, but, in the time of Mīrzā Muḥammad Ḥaidar, the author of the Tārfkh-i-Raṣhīdf, who wrote subsequently—whose work I have partly translated, and of which more hereafter—neither traces of this place nor of the city of Bilāsā-ghūn were known.

Subsequently, the Karā-Khīṭā-fs moved from the territory of I-mil, because it could not contain them, they had multiplied so greatly, and advanced towards the boundary of the Bilāsā-ghūn territory. This city—Bilāsā-ghūn—the Mughals, subsequently, but long previous to the days of Amir Timūr, styled Kū or Ghū and Akū or Aghū—Bālīgh, that is to say, according to the Ḥabib-us-Siyar, and some other works, the pleasant, good, or

crossed the river Jihūn, and had made raids upon the fron-

beautiful city. The ruler of that tract of country was a person who claimed descent from Afrāsiyāb, but whose name is never once mentioned, and he possessed neither power nor grandeur; and the Turk tribes of Ķārlūķ or Ķārlūķ—whose immigration thither has been recorded in the account of Arsalān Khān—and Ķanķulī, who were dwelling in those parts [and also the Khifehāk tribes, according to another writer, only Khifehāk or Ķibehāk is not the actual name of any tribe, but a tract of country], having withdrawn their allegiance from him, used to resist his officers, harry his people and followers, carry off their flocks and herds, "and were wont to act as wolf and fox"

This Amir of Bilasa-ghūn, as previously shown, was a totally different person from either of the rulers mentioned in the account of the Afrāsijābī dynasty of kings just recorded, for all the accounts given by different writers, and what has been stated respecting the IAth and Xth sovereign of that dynasty, tend to show that, besides that dynasty, there were several other Khāns, who appear to have been, in some way, subject to them; and our author, in several places, as well as other writers, confirms this, as in the following examples

At page 51, our author mentions "the rulers of the Afrasivabi dynasty of kings," and one as "the Great Khan," thus showing that there were lesser Khāns At page 84 he mentions, "Kadr Khān," and "the Khāns of the Turks," and "the Khākāns of Turkistān" Saljuk also is said [see note 3, page 117] to have descended from Afrasiyab Agun, at page 118, our author says "all the Muliks of Turkistan and the Afrasiyabi rulers" were afraid of the son of Sahuk, and, at page 121, "Alī Tigīn, the late ruler of Bukhārā, who was one of the Afrasiyabi Khans," is referred to At page 133, he informs us that Sultan Alb-Arsalan "led an army into Turkistan and Turan, and the Mahks of Turkistan, and the Afrasiyabi Amirs, submitted to his authority," and, on the next page, that he had reached the frontiers of Kash. ghar and Bilasa-ghun, in 453 or 454 II, when he had to hasten to the K., alifah's succour At page 137 he says Malik Shah brought under his sway "the whole of the countries of Turkistan" At page 260 also, our author states that "the Sulfan [Muhammad, Khwarazm Shahl set out towards Mawara un-Nahr and Turkistan, and the whole of the Maliks and Sultans of the Afrasiyabi dynasty, who held territory in the countries of Mawara-un-Nahr and Farghanah, presented themselves before him," and this was immediately before the total downfall of the Gür Khan and his dynasty. All this, and what has been already related, certainly does not show that "the Afrasiab dynasty is a mistake," as a recent writer, merely because he has not found any. thing about them in the foreign translations to which he alone has access, supposes.

The Amfr of Bilāsā-ghūn, unable to coerce these Turks—the Kārlūghs and Kankulis—hearing of the arri al in his vicinity of the Gūr Khān, the plenitude of his power, and the number of his dependents and followers, despatched envoys to him to state his own weakness, and inability to keep the Kārlūghs and Kankulīs in subjection, and to invite him to move towards his capital, that he might cede unto him his territories, and release himself from the troubles and sorrows of his present state, and his people be protected

Before I proceed farther it may be well to say something on the geography of these parts, as described by Oriental authors, and also to refer to some

tiers of Khurāsān, and had ravaged Upper Khurāsān lying

statements on the subject which have recently appeared in the Geographical Magasine, and in the Journal of the Reyal Assatic Society, and more particularly because the geography of these parts refers as much to the notice of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, of whom I have just given an account, as to the Karā-Khiṭā-Īs, and will tend to elucidate the history of both dynasties.

In the Geographical Magazine for December, 1874, page 389, is an article or letter on "Hala Saguin and Karakorum," referring to a "brochure of Professor V Grigoreif, on the Khāns of Turkistān, who quotes the Chronicle of "Derwith Akhmed Effendi" [probably meant for Darweiß Ahmad Afandi,—there is no such a name as Akhmed] from a Turkish translation, in which it is said that "The capital of their dominions was at first the city of Balasagun, but afterwards Bukhara and Samarkand They began to rule over Mavrennahr in the year 383 (993 AD), and their dynasty came to an end in 609 (1212 AD.) Their main possessions were I Bala Saguin, which was their capital, situated at the beginning of the 7th climate in 102° of Long and 48° of Lat, not far from Kashghar, and considered from of old the old boundary city of Turkistan, 2 Kashghar, the capital of Turan, in the 6th climate in 120° of Long and 45° of Lat; it is also called Ardukend, &c; 3 Khotan, in the most distant part of Turkistan, Long 170°, and Lat 42°; 4 Karakorum, 5 Taras; 6 Farab all three important cities"

This statement is tolerably correct, according to the Oriental geographers, with a few exceptions. They could not possibly have begun to reign over Māwarā-un-Nahr ir 383 H, because "the Great Khān" did not take possession permanently of Bukhārā until the 11th month of 389 H, up to which time, the Sāmanis ruled over Māwarā-un-Nahr [See page 52 of this translation]

In no histories, however, that I have met with, and they are not a few, is such a statement made as that, "at first, the city of Bala Sagun [What has the "Liffendi Akhmed" done with the ga in the name Bilasa-ghun? He is not likely to have written it with simple g any more than he would write Ahmad with 44, I was the capital," and afterwards Bukhārā and Samrkand. Bilāsā-Thun continued to be the capital of a branch of the family up to 522 H , when it was given up to the Kara-Khita-is. The Afrasiyabi began to reign centuries before 383 H. Without referring at all to pre-Muhammadan times, we find a Turk dynasty, the ruler of which is styled Khākān, as the Afrasiyābi kings are also sometimes called, of Samrkand and Bulhara when the 'Arabs first crossed the Jihun, and they are, doubtless, one and the same. The first we hear of them in Muhammadan times is during the period of the early 'Arab governors of Mawara-un-Nahr, previous to the time of the Tähiris and Samanis, but the earliest date mentioned is about the year 53 or 54 H, when Muhallab made a raid on Bukhārā. In 77 H, the people of the Sughd of Samrkand are mentioned, and their Malik, Tarkhun by name. Inroads were made into Farghanah by the 'Arabs in 87 H., and a treaty was entered into with the Turks. In III H, the Turks issued from the tracts north of Bukhārā and Samrkand, and invaded Khurāsān, but the Khāķān of the Turks was routed by Junaid. Soon after, the Khākan again returned with a great army, and the 'Arab Amir of Samrkand had to render aid to Junaid, but nothing decisive was effected. Then followed the rise of Abū-Muslim, when the tracts east of the Jihūn were little thought of, the rise of the Tähiris and Samanis followed, who forced the Turks back from Mawara-un-Nahr, last, in 367 H., Shams-ud-Daulah, the

on the bank of the Jihun, and the confines of Balkh,

1-lak Khan of the Turks, entered Mawara-un-Nahr, as already stated in the account of them. In no history is Bilasa-ghum mentioned as their capital, but Kashghar is constantly referred to as such Ahmad, the first of the Samanis [See page 28], who died in 261 H, held Farghanah, Shash, and Islanjabmost of the people of which were Ghuzz, and Khal) Turks, who had embraced the Musaiman faith-together with Kashghar and Turkistan to the frontier of Chin, and this shows where some of the Turk tribes were located at that period. In 280 H, Isma'il, Samant, made a raid upon the country of the Turks, took their chief town, the name of which, unfortunately, is not mentioned, and carried off great booty and a vast number of captives, but it appears that, the more the Samanis turned their attention to Khurasan, the stronger grew the Turks beyond the Sihūn On disturbances arising in the Samaui empire, from the time of Amir Nuh, the 1Ath of that dynasty, the Afrāsiyābi Maliks began to meditate conquests in Māwarā-un-Nahr, and, in 383 H. the son and successor of the I-lak Khan-Abu-Musa-i-Harun, the Bughrā Khān - determined to attack Bukhārā, but he did not retain possession of it Three sovereigns of the Samani dynasty reigned after 387 II The former date was about seven years after the widow, Alan-Kuwa, gave birth to the " sons of light"

It is amusing to read the various theories put forth with regard to the site of Bilass-ghun, and the derivation of its name

In the Geographical Magazine for June, 1874, we are told, in a paper by Mr Robert Michell, who quotes M Paderin, that "Bela-vigun," as he styles it, is indifferently called Kara-Korum, Kara-Kherem, Kara-Korum, and Kara-Khelin, and that, "by Muhammadan writers, it is called Urdu Balik (D'Ohsson, Hist des Mongols, t. 1, p. 76) or Bela-agun, now written [by whom?] Balgasun, which M Semenof explains is only a title."

This may be dismissed as simple nonsense. Bilāsā-ghūn and Karā-Kuram

are totally distinct places

'n the same Magasine for July, 1874, p. 167, Coloncl Yule, C.B., referring to the above, says "That Belasaghun was a corruption of the Mongol Balghassun, or 'city or royal residence,' as is intimated in the same passage, seems highly probable," but he thinks that it is "greatly to be questioned" whether "Belasaghun was the same as Karakoram. By the story Belasaghun should lie somewhere between these (the Caspian, Aral, and Jaxartes) and Imil," &c.

Who is the authority that "Belasaghun was a corruption of the Mongol Balghassun" is not mentioned, nor do I think any Eastern author will be found to contain such a statement for reasons I shall mention farther on

In the next month's Geographical Magazin. Mr. Michell again informs us that the correct version of the previous quotation is taken from M. Semenof's Russian edition of part of Ritter's Asia as follows — "Muhammadan writers call this ancient capital of the Turks [Korin, or Kholin, or Kaia-Korum] Ordu-Balig [D'Ohson, Hist des Mongols] or Belasagun [Balgassun], which, however, is only its title "

I certainly should like to know the name of any Muhammadan author who has made such an astounding assertion

Farther on Mr. Michell says. "In conclusion, I would suggest that Pinjan, near Turfan, which is, too, situated near a take [But w' o says the capital of the I-lak Khān, the Afrāsiyābī Mahk, was near a lake?] may be the ancient

Tirmid, Amūd, Tāl-kān, Guzarwān [also Juzarwān] and

¹ Probably Amūf, or Amūfah, a town on the banks of the Jihūn, a place frequently mentioned in history, and which gives the name of Amū, Amūn, or Amūfah to the river Jihūn, which separates Khurākān and I-rān from Tūrān and Turkistān, the signification of which words are, full, replete, running over, full to the brim.

The inroads of Karā-Khiṭā-Īs into Khurāsān refer to the time of the Khwārazmī rulers, particularly Sulṭān Shāh. See note 7, page 245

Balga-sun (Balga meaning "guarded refuge," and Sun being, perhaps, an objective case, and derived from Su, water," &c.

Such a situation for Bilāsā-ghūn is scarcely possible

In the next number of the Geographical Magazine, for September, 1874, Colonel Yule again writes, referring to the above, "Balghasun is a Mongol word apparently meaning city" (perhaps "walled city," but I have no access to a dictionary), and, in a foot-note, adds "It is, I presume, a derivative from Balgh Asian one sees in a common Mongol termination, but I do not know its force"

We are not informed who says "Balghasun" is a Mongol word, but considering that we only hear of it through the Musalman writers, who give us the account of the Gür Khān, and the battle between the Sultan of Khwārasm and the Karā-Khtā-Īs, and before the irruption of the Mughals, is it likely to be "a Mongol word"? It appears also to have been entirely overlooked with regard to these theories, that the Mughals did not dwell in cities, towns, or houses, but in felt tents

Asūn is certainly a Mughal, or Turkish name, as in Ţā-ir Asūn who was chief of the Ūrhār Markit tribes, and some others.

I shall have something more to say respecting Kari-Kuram under Uktile Ki'an's reign.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, of the late Käahghar Mission, informs us that "Balásághun," is "the Kúbalígh of the Moghol"!

Mr Eugene Schuyler, in the Geographical Magazine, for December, 1874, p. 389, is quite correct in supposing that Bilāsā ghūn is not a Mughal name, but it certainly does not come from Persian "bala," upper, as he supposes, because the second letter in that Persian word is alij—1—14—whilst the second letter in the Last written with is—k—for a gh—which is pronounced, according to the vowel points mentioned in explanation of it—Bilāsā-Ghūn—is lām—j—and, without doubt, this place was a long way west of Karā-Kuram, and more to the south.

Colonel Yule, in "a note" to Mr. E Schuyler's "letter," says, Juwaine's expression as given by D'Ohsson conveys the impression that the name "Gubakk" was given to the city by the "Mongols" of the "Chinghis age," and that "Balghasun" alone could not have been the cartier name of the city, meaning as it does merely "city," and that "Gubalik" may be a clerical error for Armalik, and may indicate Cobalek (or Gubalik) was the same as Almalig," &c

It is very certain that the Mughals called Bilāsā-ghūn Ghū-Bālīk or Bālīgh with the guitural جغر مالية or غربالية or مر بالية or مر بالية or مر بالية or مر بالية or مر بالية or من الله and the Juwainī says so as well as many others, but neither "Gubalık," "Armalik," "Cobelık," nor "Balghasun" Bilāsā-ghūn was certainly its previous name, and by no other was it known, according to the histories avail-

Gharjistan, as far as the frontier of Ghur. All Mawara-un-

able, until the Mughals gave it a name among themselves, but I look upon the Mughal name as merely a by-name. The city did not lose its previous name in consequence of this by-name, but it is serveely mentioned after its sack by the army of the last Gür Khān, and it was subsequently destroyed by the Mughals at the time of the Chingia Khān's irruption into Islām. The meaning assigned to Ghū by Ohental writers is "good," "fine," "pleasant," &c., and Baligh signifies "city"—as Bīsh-Bāligh, Khān-Bāligh, Mau-Bāligh, and the like, but Ghū alone does not mean "good arg," "fine cay," nor "beautiful city."

Mr. H. H Howorth has been writing voluminous articles lately on "Avlie Ata," the "Khara Khitais," "Balasagun," and other kindred subjects, but, to judge from them, he appears to change his opinions, as well as his proper

names, with each fiesh one.

In the Geographical Magazin, for July, 1875, p. 217, he writes with reference to "Balasagun" that "(-n-Bahk" is probably the literal translation of "-uty on the Chu," and he follows one of the writers just referred to, and says it "Balasagun" [all three writer mentioned spul the word dufferently, it will be observed] "merely means city," and that "Balasagun is a wholly indefinite term." In this last opinion I do not by any means agree with him. What more definite name is required I cannot conceive it is as definite as Samikand or Bukhārā. But in what tongue does "Gu-Bahk" mean only "city"? This is diametrically opposed to Colonel Yule's theory

In the Geographical Magazine, for December, 1875, p 378, Mr Howorth makes a very "bold guess" indeed "that Kayalik is no other than Go-bally [ne] i e Beautiful City," and so—as he states above that "Balasagun," while is "a wholly indefinite name," and "only means city," is "Gu-Balk"—Bilāsāghūn and Kayālik ir ust, consequently, be one and the same place, while, on the very same page, Kayālik, the existence of which is undoubted, long before the Karā-Khitā-Is were heard of in that part, is supposed to be "a city or town "their foundation." In the map to his book, "The Mongo's Proper," however, "Bilasaghun" and "Kabalik (Kayalik)" are some 500 miles apart !

On that same page it is also said that Kayalik is no doubt compounded of the well known Turkish particle baligh or town, but in the FR As Soc., vol. viii., part ii, p 275, he writes "The site of Balasaghun has been much debated. It was the capital of the ancient Turkish Khans of Turkistan....

It merely means city "

Agam, in the J R. As. Sec., p 277, we have: "Another important town of the Kara Khitaes was Kayalik or Kabalik . . . which name is not improbably a corruption of Kobalik or Kabalik," and, "the present Russian station

of Kopal" is supposed to be its site

In the same paper, page 267, "Bi-h balig" is said to mean "six courts," which consisted of "six towns," but what authority exists for this last statement is not said. At pages 6 and 2x of his "Mongels Proper" we are informed that "Urumtsi" is "Bishbalig," and in the map prefixed to it we have "Bishbalig (Urumch);" but at page 737 it is stated that "Piechpali is no doubt Bishbalig," and at page 165 it is "Bish Balig, the capital of Uruma."

Another writer says the word signifies "five towns," which is correct, for bigh,

in Turki, means five.

The "Afrasiab dynasty" is also believed, by Mr. Howorth, "to be a mistake," in reference to the Khāns of Turkistān [whom I have, I think,

Nahr, Farghanah, and Khwarazm, and some parts of

shown to be substantially palpable sovereigns], contrary to every Muhammadan writer, who has written on the subject, without exception, although, in another place [Geog. Mag. for July, 1875, p 217], we have "the descendant of Afrasiab, who was deprived of his title of Khan, leaving him only that of 'Ilk Turkan!'"

In another place this very "Ilk" Khān, or "Ilk Turkan," is said to be "one of the Lion Khans—Lion Hoei or Lion Uighurs of Visdelu, whose northern capital was Almaligh, a well known city in the middle age history of Central Asia, which is said to mean City of Apples" [there is no bāligh, however, in the word], but in what tongue is not said . . "It is fixed on the site of the modern ['] city of Old Kuldja, on the river Ili,' but who fixed it is not said. In Col. Walker's last Map (1875) Kuldja figures as Ili!

A line or two after we have, "I have no doubt, therefore, that it was its chief [the chief of Almahgh], the Lion Khan of the Uighurs," &c, . . the metropolitan city of the Lion Khans," &c, &c. See Nov IX and X. of that dynasty, note to page 905

Page 277, of the same paper, the writer sayr — "The deposed Khan of Turkistan had his seat of empire at Samarkand," it was at "Bilasagun" before, and, just above, p 269, "Almahgh" was "the metropolitan city", and, by way of improving this, at page 272, I find that "At Khan" [Āt Khān—the Karā-Khiṭā-t, who, with Bāntko of Tarāz, fought the battle with Sulṭān Sanjar] "is probably the dispossessed khan of Turkistan," his "Ilk Khan," and, at p. 282, that "the old royal race of the Turkish Sultans of Turkistan still held subordinate authority at Samrkand" ! I in no History is the chief of Bilāsā-ghūn ever styled Sulṭān, which was the title of the head of the Afrāsiyāhī dynasty

So the upshot of all this is that the Afräsiyäbî dynasty is "a mistake," and yet they are said to have reigned at three different capitals—Biläsä-ghūn, Almäligh, and Samrkand, and also to have "held sway at Kashgar," to be "descendants of Afrasiab," also "Lion Uighurs" [Ī-ghūrs], and of "the old royal race of Turkish Sulfāns," and yet also "Karluks.' What a tissue of mistakes and inconsistencies have we here! See also the note on Koshluk farther on.

I have already alluded to some of these statements in my account of the Afrasıyabi dynasty.

Mr. Howorth's latest theory [Geog Mag. July, 1878] is that "Kenchak," which Mr Schuyler has "identified" with Merke, "seems to mark the site of the famous capital of the Kara Khitai, Balasaghan, which has been the subject of much controversy!"

Khurāsān also, used to send them tribute; and, upon

I-mil?] of Col. Walker's map, in Lat. 44° 10′, Long 78° 10′, and falls into the Tin-ghiz, or Din-ghiz, or Lake Bälkash So Älmäligh is to be looked for, or rather its site, to the nest of the river-lin, and nearer Almäti than "New Khulia."

In looking for sites of such places, it appears to me that sufficient allowance is not made for the physical changes which may have taken place during six centuries. In one great tract of country, in particular, as I shall presently show, a vast desert has existed for some centuries, where, previously, many flourishing cities stood, but the sites even of the cities of Bilāsā-ghūn, Kayālik, Bīsh-bāligh, and Ālmāligh, were enturely unknown upwards of three centuries since. Landmarks have disappeared, and hence people look elsewhere than this desert for lost cities, in many instances. I may also again mention here that our author's Kabālik—at page 154 is incorrect. The copyists of the different MSS wrote ω for ω —and such an error has occurred in other Histories than his carelessly copied. The city's name is properly ω according to other authorities, and the place certainly lay south of the Ulugh Tāgh, or Thianshan mountains, but near them

I will now give a few particulars as to what the Muhammadan authors, and some old travellers say, respecting the geography of the parts herein referred to

In Astley's Collection Bīsh-Bāligh—&Lan-is said to be 26° W. of Pekin, and rather more than 44° N of the equator, and about a degree N. of Turfan, and rather more than 44° N of the equator, and about a degree N. of Turfan as well estance as Bīsh-Bāligh N of the line. The Ērghūr country formerly seems to have included the provinces of Turfan and Khāmil, or at least the middle portion near furfan, within eight or nine leagues of which was their capital called Ho-chew by the Chinese, but, as previously mentioned, the mountain frangel of Karā Kuram was about the centre of it. Whether Ho-chew is Bīsh-B ligh—which was a well known place long after the Mughal invasion—or whether the last was another capital to the N of Turfan, as Gaubil mentions, is difficult to say. The Ērghūrs were masters of a portion of the adjacent parts of Tātāry to the sources of the Īrtīsh and Mount Altai [Alīān mountains], as were the Karghīz

Abū-l-Fidā says Bilāsā-ghūn is near Fārāb or Utrāi—a totally different place from Fār-yāb in the territory of Balkh, but Abū-l Fidā blunders often The authors quoted in Astley say the correct name is Yalasā-ghūn, or "Good Town," not Bilāsā-ghūn, and that B and Y in the Arabic are easy to mistake This is true, but the mistake here is their own Bilā-ā-ghūn is also said to le "still in existence [its ruins?] in Little Bukharia—Kichik Bukhārā, or the western part of the Kāshghar territory, as at present constituted—near the borders of the Greater Bukharia and the country of the Kālīmāks, and one of the principal entrances on that side into Great Bukharia." Others again say that it was near Kāshghar, as Darwegh Aḥmad, quoted by Prof Grigoreif, also says, and some, more to the N, near Utrār or Fārāb, in Turkistān

Others again seem to consider that the town which appears in some maps as "Turkistān"—a very unusual, and I think impossible name for either a town or city, but not for a country—is no other than Bilāsā-ghūn, but this cannot be right. I shall have something to say about this t.wn of "Turkistān" farther on Some call the former place "Tūrān which gives name to the country"

That portion of the Great Desert of Kob, or Shamo, W. of the Kara Muran

several occasions, they had made captive and carried off Musalmans from those tracts.

or Hohang-Ho, is said to be called Karā-Khiṭāe—because the Khiṭā-fs dwelt so long in that part, and herein the empire of the Kin, or Western Lyau of the Chinese writers, appears to have been founded. It is farther east and farther south than what appears in some recent maps as "Karakhitai."

Another writer plainly states that "Fărăb is a city of Turkistăn hetween Chāch, i. e. Chāj or Tāsh-kand and Bilāsā-ghūn, both of which are cities of Turkistān, and that it is the name of the territory likewise in which it is attuated," and, farther, that the word signifies "lands cultivated by artificial irrigation by means of rivers or kārītus—subterranean canals—in distinction to lands irrigated naturally by rain." According to this, Bilāsā-ghūn must be looked for to the northward of Tāsh-kand

It may also be well to mention what the Oriental geographers mean by the term Māwarā-un-Nahr, the Great Bukharia of old European writers and travellers. The term is neither "equivalent to Doub," nor to "Mesopotamia," but simply "that which is beyond the river," i.e. the Jfthin, Āmūlah, or Oxus—Thirs-Oxus. It has the territory of Tāsh-kand on the N., Balkh on the S, Khwārazm on the W, Farghānah on the E, and Samrkand is its capital.

if Farghanah, which is the name of a country [not of the city of Khokand as it is made to appear in Col Walker's map], is bounded on the W by Samrkand and its district, E. by Kashghar, S by the Kohistan of Badakhshān, and, although the parts bounding it to the N, previous to the mith century of the H, were in a flourishing condition, and contained places such as Almālīgh المائة المائ

"In the territory of Farghanah there are seven large and small cities, five to the S, and two to the N of the Sihan—I Andigan [Andigan of the 'Arals], a very strongly fortified place, 2 Uah; 3 Marghanian [arc of the 'Arals], a very strongly fortified place, 2 Uah; 3 Marghanian [arc of the 'Arals], a very strongly fortified place, 1 O Andigan, 4 Khujand, N of which is a mountain called Mughal-Tagh in which much firmah and other valuable things are found, 5 Akhshi, on the N side of the Sihan [the Alxi of maps], which, with the exception of Andigan, is the largest place in Farghanah, 6 Shāsh, a very old place, now [old] Tāsh-kand—It is also called Chāch and Chāj [incorrectly Jaj], 7 [z-gand." Khokand is not mentioned, it being a comparatively modern place.

Farghanah, Māwarā un-Nahr, and Turkistān, are all separate territories.

"TURKISTĀN is mostly in the sixth climate, including Fārāb, a small territory, the chief town of which is called Gugar—Jo, but some say it is the name of a city above Shāsh or Chāi, and near unto Bilādā-ghūn—Jo this other form of writing the name of this famous place—Bilādsā-ghūn—might plausibly be supposed to be from bilād, only it is the plausil form of balad, which means city, town, country

JUND, or, correctly, JAND, was once a great city, but it has been in ruins

for over 300 years.

With the exception of the Sultans of Ghur and Bamian,

The territory of KASHGHAR is bounded N. by the mountains of Mughahstan the Ulugh-Tagh of the Turks-See note on the Turks, p 875, and Thianshan of the maps], out of which several rivers flow. Its W boundary is also a range of mountains which shoot out from the mountains of Mughalistan towards the S -Bilaur [also written Billaur] Tagh-the name of which range does not require "to be abolished," since it has been known from the time of 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdadbih down to Khushhal, Khajak, Afghan, and to modern times-and from these also issue rivers which flow from W to E, and the whole of the country of Kashghar and Khutan lies at the skirts of these two ranges of mountains The E and S boundary is a great sahra or steppe-a plain, not naturally a desert-which is wholly jungle and wiklefness, and hillocks of moving sand. In ancient times there were several cities in this tract, the names of three of which are Katak or Katuk-de-Tur-ju-and Lob-Kasal - ارب كال probably Lob-Katal, between Turfan and Khutan, but they have been all buried in the sands. There was another called Fulad-burnbut its fate is not recorded. It was a well-known place in the Chingiz Khān's time.

YARKAND, in former times, was a great city, but it had greatly decayed, and was becoming desolate, when Mirzā Abū-likir made it his capital. It soon after had 12,000 gardens in and around the city, which was surrounded by a wall thirty cubits high. The people of this part of the territory are [when the author wrote] divided into four classes, the Tumān, cultivators or peasantry, the Kūchīn, or soldiery, the I māle, or nomads, and the officials

KHUTAN was one of the most celebrated of cities and territories, "but of that rose, naught but the thorn remains at present" 'Abū-l-Fidā says it was a city of the I-ghūrs. In former times, before the desert just mentioned approached so near it, Chin could be reached in fourteen days, the whole way was inhabited and cultivated, and one or two persons could pass to and frow with safety, without being obliged to join Kāālahs, but now [when the author wrote], on account of the Kālīmāks—Europeanized "Kaīmūks"—the route is closed, and that which is now followed is 100 stages. Vast quantities of yaihab or yashm, also called bejādah—jade or jasper—is found in the rivers of Kāshghar and Khutan, and in those territories also the camel of the desert, which may be tamed, and the kūtāsh, kūtās, or ghaja-gāū [the Bos Grunniens. See page 68, and note 4].

The territory of Käshghar [Little Bukharia] appears the same precisely as that called Mangali-Sûyah—appears the sum—sump-side"—appear—the boundaries of which are thus given. "On the N. Issigh-Kol, S. Jirjān—and Sārigh i-Ī-ghūr—pelalis. E. Kosān—and Tārbokor or Tārbogor—ppl, and W. Sām-ghar—and Jākashmān or Jākshmān—alalis This tract contains several cities, the greatest of which are Käshghar and Khutan, Üz-gaud, Akhsikat or Akhsisal, Andīgān, Āt-pāshf, Aķ-sī, and Kosān." This may be considered the territory peculiar to the Afrāsiyābī Maliks before they again obtained possession of Samrkand and Bukhārā on the downfall of the Sāmānīs.

An account of Kāshghar and other places on the Sibūn, written by me some twenty years since, will be found in the Journal of the Bengal Anatic Society for 1857. At that period a Chinese Jān-Jang or Governor General resided at Kourah near Ilih

I now return to the history of the Gür Khāns.

who used not to submit to them, all the rest of the Maliks

Some say the first of this dynasty assumed the title of Gür Khān before Bilāsā-ghūn was given up to him by the Afrasiyābî Khān, but certainly, it was not conferred upon him by Musalmans. Its assumption is said to have taken place in 522 H. [A.D. 1128], at which time Muhammad Khan [Ahmad of some writers), who bore the title of Arsalān Khān, ruled over Māwarā-nn-Nahr. See No. XVII. of the Afrasiyabi dynasty, who, certainly, is not the person referred to as surrendering his sovereignty and capital to the Gür Khan; and, from what follows, and what I have already stated, it is proved beyond a doubt, that there were several petty dynasties of Afrasiyabi Khans in Turkistan. besides the rulers of Mawara-un-Nahr.

Alfi says that, at the period when the Kani-Khita-is fought with Sultan Sanjar, the territories of Turkistan, namely, Kashghar, Bilad-saghun, Taraz, Khutan, and other parts besides, were in the possession of great Khans, who were Turks, who accounted themselves of the lineage of Afrasiyab, and descendants of Satuk Karachar, and that, at that time, all had become converts to Islam.

The Gür Khan, having assumed the sovereignty over the Afrasiyabi Amir of Bliasa-ghun and his territory, now despatched Shahnaha [Intendants] into different provinces and districts, and, after a time, his dependants and followers increasing, and growing still more flourishing, and their cattle fat [sie in MSS.]. reduced the Kankulis to subjection, despatched an army towards "Kashghar and Khutan of Turkistan, and subjected those territories." The Karlughs are also mentioned, but another division of that great tribe, not included in the one mentioned as being located on the eastern frontier of Arsalan Khan's dominions, appears to have moved, or to have been forced, farther south-west; for, about this period, or perhaps a short time previously, this portion of them had worsted the Ghuzz, and expelled them from their former pasture-lands, and compelled them to enter Chaghnanian and Khatl, the plural form of which word, Khatian, is also applied to that district or tract of country fout Khutlan is incorrect, the first vowel is fith, not sammak], and it is also called Kol-1-Åb, which is a dependency of Badakhshan, and famous for its beautiful damsels and fine horses. See note 4, page 374, and note 4, page 423

Sulse juently the Gür Khan despatched a great army towards the territory of the Kirkiz to take vengeance for the treatment he had suffered there, and Fish-Băligh was taken possession of. From thence the Gür Khān's forces were despatched towards the territory of Farghanah or Andigan and Mawara-un-Nahr.

The situation of the land or territory of Khirkhiz, or Kirkiz, or Kirkiz, as it is also written, has been a puzzling subject hitherto, but its situation is apparent here, more particularly if we take the description along with what is stated in the Masalik wa Mamalik, and in IBN-HAUKAL. Speaking of China, the former work says:--" If one desires to proceed from the east [Chin] towards the west, by the country of the Nāemāns, the territory of Khirkhiz, the Taghar-1-Ghuzz [see note on this subject farther on], and Kimak towards the sea, it is a journey of nearly four months. . . The country of Tibbat lies between the land of Khirkhiz and the kingdom of Chin Chin lies between the sea, the land of the Ghuzz and Tibbat," &c., &c.

The Sultans of Mawari-un-Nahr, " who were the father and grandfather of Sultan 'Usman of the Afrasiyabl dynasty, also laid their heads upon the line of the Gur Khin's commands, and became his tributaries " See the

dynasty of the Afrikajyābi Malika, Nos. XIX. and XXIII.

of the confines had become subject to that race. On two

In 534 H. [A.D. 1137, but the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, contrary to several others, says in 536 H.], his troops defeated Sulfān Sanjar on the frontiers of Samrkand, as already recorded at page 154; but, since that was written by me, I have elsewhere found some further particulars respecting that defeat which clear up so completely a most obscure passage in our author's account there given, that I must relate them here. The identical passage in our author referred to is as follows "After a great part of his [Sanjar's] reign had elapsed, a body of people from Karā-Khrtāe, from Tamghāj [see Afrāsiyābī Malk, No. XXI.], and the dependencies of Chin, entered the confines of Karā-Kuram of Turkustān, and solicated Sulfān Sanjar to assign them grazing lands; and, with the Sulfān's permission, they took up their quarters on those confines, in Bilāsā-ghūn, Kabālik [Kauālīk—J.] is the correct name], and Almālīk, and made those parts their grazing grounds."

In an article by Mr. H. H. Howqrth, entitled "The Northern Erontagers of China: The Kara Khitai," in the Journal Ro. As. Soc. for April, 1876, p. 271, the above passage from this Translation is quoted, and its writer adds, referring to our author, "The latter author is mistaken in supposing that Turkistan was then subject to Sanjar," &c. Now, considering that Mr. Howorth is wholly dependent on foreign translations for his information on these mattern, such a statement on his part, to say the least of it, is presumptuous. I need scarcely mention to those who can read the eastern Historians for themselves, that every author who has written on the subject in the Persian language agrees with our author, even the "great Raschid" himself, respecting Sulfan Sanjar's suseramty over the parts in question, as well as to

his father's and grandfather's suzeramty likewise.

"When their progeny became very numerous, during the Sultans reign, they rebelled against his authority, and fought a battle against him. Tanko of Taraz, at the nomination of Sunkam and I-mā, was at the head of the Ehitā-is. The Sultan's forces, from a long period of inaction, and enervated by protracted ease and luxury, were unable to cope with or stand before the enemy, and were overthrown; . he [the Sultan] concluded a peace with them, and the pasture-lands of Turkistan and Bilāsā-ghūn, along with the extres and towns included in those frontier tracts, were left in the hands of the Ehitā-i invaders."

The particulars I refer to, tending to throw light on the above, are, that, when Sultan Sanjar proceeded to Samrkand and dethroned Muhammad [No. XVIII. of the Afrasıyabis], a part of the Kara-Khıţa-is had a pūrat or camping ground in that part-on the frontier-the tracts assigned them by the Sultan in former years, for our author 15, by no means, mistaken, as the author of "Mongo's Preper" imagines, in stating that Sanjar's authority extended as far as the confines of Turkistan, for his being at Samrkand, on this occasion, proves it, and, moreover, as mentioned at page 133, the Maliks of Turkistan, and the Afrasiyabi Amirs submitted to the authority of Alb-Arsalan, Sanjar's grandfather. Some of the Sultan's Amirs persuaded him that this was a good opportunity for seizing their flocks and herds, and driving out altogether these Kara-Khita-is, whom they accused of contumacy. They implored the Sulfan's mercy, and offered, through those Amirs, to present 5000 horses, 5000 camels, and 50,000 sheep, as a proputatory offering to him to allow them to remain where they were This was approved of by the Sultan, but, in the meantime, the chiefs of the tribes of those Kara-Khita-is

or three occasions, the forces of the sovereigns of Ghür, the

[Sunkam and I-mi apparently] fied to the archi of the Gür Khan, whose power was a drag upon the Sultans of Turkistan (the Afrasivabl Malika), and represented to him that the Sultan of Khurasan had become enfectiled by the infirmities of age, and that the affairs of that country had fallen into the hands of slaves and boys, and urged the Gür Khan to wrest Mawara-un-Nahr and Khurāsān out of their hands. He accordingly put his forces in motion, and Sulfan Sanjar and his troops, despising them, moved to encounter them without concert or precaution, or caring for immensely superior numbers, thinking to overthrow them easily. Sanjar's troops however, who were but few in comparison with the enemy, were soon completely surrounded by the Kara-Khita-Is, and Sultan Saniar had to attempt to cut his way out with a body of 300 men. He succeeded, but he came out with only ten or fifteen In this affair 30,000 Musalmans were slain, and Thi-ud-Din Abu-l-Fath, Malik of Sijistan and Nimros, who, with the centre, maintained his ground to the last [see page 188] was taken prisoner. The rest agrees with what our author has already stated under Samar's reign.

The Tarikh-1-Alf gives another account of the origin of the war between the Sultan and the Gur Khān.

The Karlughiah families stattoned on the frontier of Arsalan Khan's dominions had been harably treated by him. He considered they multiplied too fast, and set overseers of his own over them to prevent them having intercourse with their wives. They endured this tyranny for a considerable time. not knowing whither to fly. At last, grown desperate, on the arrival on the frontier, which it was their duty to guard, of an immense kāfilak of traders and merchants, consisting of Turks, Khiţā-is, and people from all parts to the eastward, they attacked the kāfilak, and seized all the property and effects of the merchants composing it. They then made known to them that, if they desired to get their property restored to them, they must put them in the way of finding a place beyond Arsalán Khān's dominions, provided with water and forage sufficient to enable them to subsist, as they were revolved to stay no longer under his rule. The merchants told them they knew of a tract of country well provided with what they required, sufficient for the subsistence of ten times their number, and that it lay in the territory of Bilad-saghun in Turkistän The Kärlüghiah Turks, on this, restored the property of the merchants, seized their overseers, and, taking them along with them, made for the territory of Bilad-saghun, and there took up their quarters.

They were, however, in constant dread of Arsalān Khān, until the Gür Khān, who had, by this time, arrived in that part, entered into hostilities with the ruler of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān, and the Kārlūghfah entered into combination with him. At this juncture, Arsalān Khān, as pieviously mentioned, died, and Hasan-Tigfin, who had been installed in his place by Sulfān Sanjar, soon followed him. The sovereignty then devolved upon the Khākān, Maḥmūd, son of Arsalān. Shortly after, the Gür Khān, with a vast army of Khitā-is and Turks, numbering, it is said, 300,000 men, advanced into Maḥmūd's territory, and began to annex it. The Gür Khān imposed one dinār as a tax upon each house in every city he reached, but neither allowed his troops to enter the people's dwellings, nor their cultivated lands, and did not farther molest them. To such of the Maliks of Māwarā-un-Nahr as submitted, the Gür Khān assigned a tablet of silver to be hung up at the entrance of their palaces. See Yournal Ro. As. Sov., vol. v., for 1870, p. 29.

champions of which army were the Sipah-salar, Khar-jam

As previously mentioned, the Khākān, Maḥmūd, was defeated, and, at his urgent prayer, Sultān Sanjar prepared to succour him. Sanjar is said to have taken six months to complete his arrangements, and, in the month of Zi-Hijjah, 534 H., to have crossed the Jihūn with an army of 100,000 cavalry—an exaggerated number—and moved towards Samrkand. Serving in his army were the Maliks of Sistān, Gharnin, and Ghūr, and the Hākims of Māgandarān.

When Sanjar reached Samrkand Mahmüd complained bitterly of the conduct of the Kārlūghiah, and the Sultān resolved to chastize them first. On becoming aware of his intention, they sought the protection of the Gür Khān, who sent a letter to the Sultān demanding what crime was laid to the charge of the Kārlūghiah. The Sultān's reply, as may be imagined, was sufficiently haughty. The hostile forces moved to encounter each other, and, after an obstinate battle, the details of which have been already given, victory declared in favour of the Khītā-īs, who were immensely superior in point of numbers; and the Sultān, having cut his way out with a few followers, fled to Tirmiz The Wālf of Sīstān was taken prisoner [see page 188], and Amīr Ķimāj, who had charge of the Sultān's harum, and the whole of that establishment, were also made captive.

It is stated in the Tārīkh-1-Yāfa'ī, that nearly 30,000 Musalmāns fell in this battle, and that among the slain were 4000 women "In some other Histories it is also stated that, after the flight of the Sulfān, the Turks and Khifā-īs poured into the camp and began to plundir. On their approaching the part where the haram was, Turkān Khātūn, the Sulfān's chief consort, and most of the wives of the Amīrs, and the suldieny who acted a then guard, defended it against the infidels, and slew a vast number of them, and it was only after 4000 women had fallen that the rest of the haram was captured, including Turkān Khātūn. The Gūr Khān left the females in charge of those of their own people who remained, and would not allow them to be interfered with. They were treated with honour and reverence, and, soon after, were sent back to the Sulfān in Khūrāsān."

Mr H. H Howorth has not quoted my translation quite correctly [Yournal & As Soc Vol viu p 272] Nowhere have I said that "Ai Khan was in alliance with the chief of Kara Khitai" In my note s, to page 154. I say "Sanjar fought a battle with At Khān," which, as my authority related it, refers to one of the leaders of the Gür Khān's forces Most certainly At Khān was not "the dispossessed Khan" of Turkistān, nor was he the dispossessed chief of Bilāsā-ghūn, nor does Rashīd-ud Dīn, in his Jāmi'-ut-Tawārlth, anywhere state that the ruler of Karā Khītāe adopted the title of Gür Khān—not "Ghar" Khān—"after this great battle in 525 H " because he says, [in the MSS. before me] that this battle took place in 536 H [which began 5th August, A.D 1141], while others make it one year, and some, two years earlier—534 H, A.D. 1139-40, and 535, A.D. 1140-41

Amir Timūr, I also beg leave to say, never adopted the title of "Emir Timur Gar Khan," for the very significant reason that the title of the Karā-Khijā-i chief consists of two words while the word applied to Amir Timūr, which appears to have mailed Mr Howorth, is one and is written of Gürgan, and in signification there is no connexion between them whatever.

To return to my story. After having gained this great success, the Gür Khān overran great part of lurkistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr, acquired predominance over those countries, and made their rulers imbutaries. He in-

[cham], and Muhammad-i-Khar-nak-on whom be peace!

creased his forces, and his war materials accumulated; and, shortly after, he despatched an army under the command of his general, Arban-jul-[this name is also mentioned by our author: "Irmax" is not correct] towards the Khwāraxm territory, in order to sack and devastate the rustāju [a word particularly applied in Khwāraxm to villages or collections of huts or felt tents, in distraction from the words dit and faryat used in 'Irāk and Khurāsān]. His troops created great havoc, and Itsiz, Sultān of Khwāraxm, despatched an envoy to the Gür Khān to sue for peace, and agreed to pay allegiance to him for the future, and a yearly tribute of 30,000 dizārs, besides cattle, flocks, and other things. On this accommodation having been entered into, Arbas retured; and soon after, in 537 H. [A.D. 1142-3], the Gür Khān died. He was of the Mānī [Manichean] religion himself, but his wife was a Christian.

It is stated in Alff that he died in the month of Rajab of the following year. He was succeeded by his wife, as no son remained to him, but some authors distinctly state that his daughter succeeded. To judge, however, from the events which followed, it is very improbable that the daughter then succeeded her father, because the name of the husband of the female sovereign who ruled so long is given, and it is scarcely probable that the Gür Khān's wife married again, without some mention of it being seconded, nor was it the custom, I believe, for widows to re-marry.

The wife, whose name is not given, dying some time after her succession, but without any date being mentioned, was succeeded by the Gür Khān's daughter, Konik or Konavik Kilatun, but whether the late sovereign was her mother has not transpired. The word is somewhat uncertain in some works, but I put the most trustworthy reading first upon all occasions, and that used by the majority of writers. It is written which, according to whether g or the used, may be spelt in various ways, and why which may be Koyūnik, and polytomer without another author, in two copies of his work, has Komānik or Gomānik or Komānig or Gomānig—who according as to whether the Tāt or 'Ajami e be meant.

In the seventh year of I-yal-Arsalān, Khwārazm Shāh's reign [557 or 558 H], because he was not punctual in the payment of his tribute, as stipulated by his father, his dominions were assailed by the Gür Khān's forces. The Sultān sent forward, in advance, Ghā-fr Beg, the Kārlūgh, a native of Māwarā-in-Nahr, with an advance force towards the Amūlah, but he was defeated and taken captive before I-yal-Arsalān could come to his support, and the latter fell sick and returned to Khwārazm where he died in the month of Rajab of the same year.

On the death of I-yai-Arsalān, there were two claimants to the throne—his two sons, Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Sulţān Shāh, and 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Takiah Khān, who was the ekkest son, but he, not being sufficiently powerful to oust the former, who, with his mother—a strong-minded woman—was in possession of the capital, and being at that time absent in charge of the territory of Jand, which his father had taken from Kamāl-ud-Dīn, Arsalān Khān, son of Maḥmūd, and aunexed, entered the territory of the third Gūr Khān, and sought lice help to recover his patrimony. She agreed to aid him, on the stipulation that, on his being put in possession of Khūnaram, he should pay over a certain amount of treasure, and a yearly tubute afterwards.

A large a my was accordingly despatched to support Sulfan Takish, and put him in possession, under the command of her husband, I aimā or Farmae,

-had caused the overthrow of the forces of Khita, and, in

by name,— رماني م رماني who conducted the affairs of her empire. In the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh he is styled Farmāe Āķā. Sulfān Shāh and his mother fied, and Takish was put in possession of the capital, in Rabi'-ul-Ākhir, 568 H. [the end of December A.D. 1172].

It is evident, from this, that this female Gür Khān must have reigned a considerable time, since she was, at this period, living, and lived for a considerable time subsequently, for, not long after, the Karā-Khiṭā-l ruler sent to demand more tribute from Sulṭān Takish than had been previously stipulated, and, her envoy having behaved in a manner it was impossible to overlook, Takish pat him to death, notwithstanding he was one of the most distinguished

of the Khita-is.

On this, hostilities broke out between Takish and the Gür Khān, which the former's brother, Sulfān Shāh, taking advantage of, left Ghūr, where he then was, and hastened to the presence of the Gür Khān, and sought assistance from her. Thu was in 569 st. Our author distinctly states that the Ghūrlān Sulfāns treated Sulfān Shāh with honour, but plainly refused to aid him against Takish with whom they were in alliance [see page 245, and also note 8, page 239, para 2], and our author was certainly well acquainted with Ghūrlān affairs generally. Mr. Howorth [Journal Ro. As. Soc.], in the article before referred to, quotes Visdelu, but, if Visdelu ever styled Mu'AyYID-UID-DĪN--which title signifies "The Arder of the Faith"—the Ā'INAH-DĀR, or Mirror-Beaver, by the impossible and meaningless names of "Umayyid: Aimakdur," the value of his authority is clearly indicated.

The assistance sought by Snlfan Shāh was granted by her [the Gür Khān] in 574-5 H. [A.D. 1178-9], and again Farmãe was sent with an army, but the success was only partial. The particulars will be found in note 3, page 239, and note 3, page 246. According to the authorities from which I take this account, the fear and to abandon herself to sensual desires, until matters went so far that the late Gür Khān's brother, and the chief men in the empire resolved to rid themselves of her, and they put her to death along with her paramour

It is very evident, from this, that she must have reigned many years, for, from the date of the first Gür Khān's death, viz 537 II, to the year in which she rendered aid to Sultān Shāh, 574-5 H, is no less than thirty-eight years mearly, and therefore, had this been his wife, she must have been a very old woman, and her desires must have cooled. It appears to me, therefore—although all the Musalmān writers, unthout exception, mention but three persons, two males and a female, as composing this dynasty, which lasted altogether ninety-five years, and has greater credit for its mighty power than it is entitled to—that the first Gür Khān must have been succeeded first, by his wife, and then by his daughter, Konik or Konayik. The date of her being put to death is not given, and, I fear, not to be discovered. Having put Konik or Konayik Khātūn to death, they [the chief personages in the empire] chose one of the two brothers of the first Gür Khān, who were then alive, to succeed her, and the other, who was wont to embarrass and obstruct the affairs of the empire, was passed over.

Some authors state that it was the brother of the late Gür Khān—named Koman or Kūmān—who accused her of hving a dissolute life and thus brought about her destruction, and that he became the Gür Khān himself.

On his-Koman, or Kuman-tellibertoming established in the sove-

[one of] those battles, the Sipah-sālār, Khar-jam, had

reignty, he sent out Shahnahs [Intendants] into different parts, and appointed persons, with due discrimination, according to their different capacities, to various offices.

Sultan Takish, Khwardson Shah, had, on his deathbed, enjoined his son and successor, on no account to embroil himself with the Gur Khan if he desired to preserve the integrity and safety of his dominions, because, he said. he was a strong barrier between very powerful enemies, which should by no means be broken down. This refers to the Chingiz Khan, who, at this period, was becoming very powerful. When Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, came to the throne, he continued for some time to transmit the tribute regularly as before, and friendship continued to subsist between him and the Gür Khān; aud, when Sultan Mu'zz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, Sultan of Ghür, became hostile to Sultan Muhammad, and invaded his dominions in 601 H., the Gür Khan despatched to 000 men to the Sulfan's assistance under Baniko of Taraz [see pages 474 to 481 for our author's account of it]; and before the gate of Andkhud [Indakhud] the Khuti-is fought an engagement with the Ghuris, and overthrew them. On this occasion, Sultan 'Uaman of Samrkand, the last of the Afrasiyabis, was present, as a vassal, with the Khita-I army he had not, at that time, withdrawn his allegiance from the Gür Khan.

Now it was that Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, being successful in all his affairs, considered it time to throw off the yoke of the Gūr Khūn, to pay tribute to whom, as an infidel, he considered a blot upon his sovereignty, more especially since the insolence of the Karā-Khiṭā-is had reached such a pitch, that their envoy, who is styled Tonshi or Tūnshi—وشي—and, by some, Tūshi—وشي—probably Tāishi, was his title [See also page 73z, and note at page 866], presumed to seat himself upon the throne along with the Sultān. He accordingly withheld the tribute for two or three years, and manifested great tardiness in paying it. At length, the Gūr Khān despatched, as his envoy, his Wazīr, Muḥammad-i-Tāe [-b—in some places written Nāe—b], and others, to demand payment, including all arrears.

When the Kara-Khita-I envoy reached Khwarazm [the site of this famous capital has for centuries been known as Urganj-i-Kubri— عدمی اورکم کری at which place the Russians have erected a fortress to protect their "trade routes." Khiwak, anglicized Alma, as it appears in Col. Walker's last map is not Khwārnam. What appears there as "Kuma Urganj," correctly, Kuhnahold, ancient-Organi, is the placel, the Sulfan had made his preparations for an expedition into Khifchak [our author, at page 254, says the Sultan's mother was the daughter of Kadr Khan of Khifchak-other authors style her tribe Uranian-but he does not refer, in the least, to this expedition; and, at page 260, calls the Gür Khan, by mistake evidently, Kulij Khan-unless Kuli was another of his titles, or an error for Komān-of Khitāe, whose general was Banko of Taraz], and was unwilling, at the same time, to disobey his late father's last request, and, moreover, did not wish to give the Kara-Khitā-is a pretext or an opportunity for molesting his dominions during his absence in Khifchāk, while he felt it a disgrace even to acknowledge his hability to pay this tribute. On this account he did not open his hips on the subject, but lest the affair in the hands of his mother—the celebrated Turkan Khattin, whose subsequent misfortunes are so pitiable—and set out on his expedition into Khischak, the particulars respecting which expedition are not related by any author with whom I am acquainted.

attained martyrdom." The last of their armies which

Our author has not given any details respecting these events in his account of the Ghüris, nor has he referred to any but the last person here mentioned.

Turkan Khatun directed that the envoys should be received with befitting honour; and the annual tribute due was made over to them A number of distinguished persons of the capital were likewise despatched, along with Mahmud-1-Tae, to the presence of the Gür Khan, to apologize for the delay which had occurred, and they were charged with expressions of homage and fealty as heretofore Mahmud-1-Tae, however, "had witnessed the lofty bearing and stubbornness of Sultan Muhammad, knew his humour, and fathomed his thoughts, that he considered himself, in power and magnificence-he was master of some of the richest parts of Western Asia, west of the Amulah-the superior of the Gur Khan, and that he considered it beneath him to show humility or flattery to any human being, being satisfied, in his own mind, that the Maliks of the world were his vassals, and that, in fact, fortune itself was Mahmud-i-Tae represented these circumstances to the Gür Khan, and assured him that, after this time, the Sulfan would certainly never pay him tribute again, and, consequently, the Sulfan's emissanes were not treated with the usual respect or consideration.

Sulțăn Muḥammad, having returned to the capital of his dominions, successful from his Khifchāk expedition, began to make preparations for his campaign for liberating Māwarā-un-Nahr from the yoke of the Karā-Khifā-5-. He had been constantly receiving communications in secret, with promises of support, from Bukhārā particularly, as far back as 600 H, and from Sulţān 'Ugmān of Samrkand, and other rulers of Māwarā-un-Nahr to whom the protracted yoke of the Gür Khāne was affliction, and who groaned under the exactions, the rapacity, and the injustice, of the Gür Khān's representatives, who had begun to act contrary to previous usages. The Sulţān, accordingly, marched an army to Bukhārā then held by an upstart, named Sanjar Malik, and sent messages inviting the rulers above referred to to join him in his proposed enterprise. They were well satisfied to accept the Sulţān's offers, and, under the determination of commencing hostilities against the Gür Khān, in the following year, he returned from Bukhārā. This was in 606 H

Kojlak - also called Koshlak by some writers, and "Kashli, otherwise Koshluk" by Yasa'i, and Kashlu Khan-1-Sunkar, the Tatar, by our authorson of the sovereign of the Naemans, after the death of his father, and dispersion of his tribes, had, some time before, sought shelter with the Gilr Khān from the power of the Chmgız Khān. He had entertained rebellious ideas towards his protector, previous to Sultan 'Usman's becoming a partizan of Sultan Muhammad, and now that some of the Gur Khan's own nobles likewise, in the eastern parts of his territory, had rebelled against his authority [occasioned, no doubt, by the Chingiz Khan's proceedings], and, on the Chingiz Khān's [first] expedition against Khītā [not against the dominions of the Kara-Khita-le], Kojlak pretended to the Gur Khan that, if permitted to do so, he would go and collect his wandering Naemans, from whom he had been so long separated, and who had been too long dispersed like sheep without a shepherd, and would bring them to his assistance, that he had many of his tribes at and around I mil, at Bīsh-Bāngh, and in the limits of Kaiālik (. Kasaligh who wanted a leader, and that, since the Chingo Khan was then occupied in the country of Khita, he could carry out his plans with facility.

crossed the Jihūn and passed over towards Khurāsān was

The Gür Khān took the buit, conferred great honours upon him, and gave him the title of Khān-Koilak Khān.

Kojlak having departed, the Gür Khan, when too late, repented of having let him go, and sent out commands to have him recalled, but without effect. Korlak assembled around him all the scattered Näeman tribe, and his fame became noised abroad : all, who were in any way connected with him in the Gür Khan's forces, also jouned him, and he found himself at the head of a large army. On reaching I-mil, and Kaialik, he was joined by Tük-Tughan, more respecting whom will be found farther on, the Amir or Chief of the Makrit Mughals of the Kanat division [see note 4, page 268], who had fied on hearing of the power of the Chingiz Khan; and, in concert, they began to plunder and devastate the country; and the Tumats, another Mughal tribe. dwelling near the frontier of Khita fon the S E h also joined in the outbreak. The Chingiz Khan had to despatch troops against them, the details respecting which, not being connected with the fate of the Gur Khan, I reserve for their proper place farther on.

Kollak, having now become sufficiently powerful, showed open hostility to his benefactor, the Gur Khan, having previously instigated Sultan Muhammad to attack his dominions on the side of the Sibûn or river of Fanakat. Among others, to whom the Gur Khan had despatched messengers with instructions for Kotlak's arrest, was Sultan 'Usman of Samrkand. He had asked the Gür Khān to bestow upon him a daughter in marriage, and had been refused: and this had completely alienated 'Usman from his cause He took no notice of the message, and forthwith entered into communication with Sultan Muhammad, acknowledged his suzerainty, read the Khutbah for him, and began to com the money in his name

The Gür Khān, on becoming aware of this state of affairs, despatched a force of 30,000 men against 'U sman, and again reduced Samrkand, but did not deem it advisable to injure 'Usman further, as he looked upon Samrkand as the treasury of his empire, and, as Kojlak was acquiring great power, and making head in the other direction [i.e. in the E and S E], and molesting his territories, the army was withdrawn from Samrkand, and sent against Koilak, who made an attempt to capture Bilasa-chun, but he did not succeed. and, subsequently, was overthrown, details respecting which will be found farther on

There is considerable discrepancy with regard to these last events in connexion with the Kara-Khita-is and the Khwarazmi Sultan, since it is stated by several authors, as already given in the notes on that dynasty, that the Gür Khan's troops appeared before Samrkand, and assaulted it several times without success, and were finally recalled to operate against Korlak however, seems to refer to the defeat of the force sent by the Gur Khan against Samrkand a second time, after the victory over Ban ko, narrated farther on, while the former happened before the Gur Khan's defeat by the Khwārazmis, as soon as he heard of 'Uşmān's disaffection, as the Tārikh-i-Talian-utr confirms

Immediately on the withdrawal of the Gür Khān's army to attack Kojlak, Sultan Muhammad, who had been waiting his opportunity, now marched to Samikand with an army 'Usman came forth to meet him ceded his territory to him, and Turtiah, a relative of the Sultan's mother, was located there, as the Sulfan's heutenant. The Sulfan and his troops, account raied by

that which fought an engagement with the Sultan-i-Ghazi,

Sultān 'Uamān, and his available forces, probably, commenced his march to invade the Gür Khān's dominions. Having crossed the Sthūn at Fanākat, which is also called the Jihūn—i. e. great river—of Fanākat, by a bridge of boats, the Khwārazmī army advanced until it reached the Ṣahrā—plain or ateppe—of I-lāsh, in the territory of Tarāz, which is also called Talās, and Talāsh, situated beyond the frontier of Shāsh [now Tāshkand], on the side nearest to Turkistān

In Col Walker's map this place is called "Turkistan (Hazret)," and this gives me a clue to the probable reason how it obtained this name. Near it is the tomb of the Khwājah, Aḥmād, a Musalmān saint of Turkistān, and as the word Ḥaṣrat is applied to saints as well as to capitals, such as "Ḥaṣrat-i-Dihli," or "Ḥaṣrat Ḥusam "—this place which sprung up near it, as Tarīz declined, became-known as the Mazāi-i Ḥaṣrat-i-Turkistān—the Tomb of the Saint of Turkistān, but Mazāi, having been, by some means, dropped, Ḥaṣrat-ī-Turkistān, Europeanized into "Turkistan (Hazret)," has been the result Tarīz, in its day, was a large place, but was ruined, like many others, by the Uzbak inroads centuries since, as already stated

Having reached the plain of 1-läsh—also written 1-lämigh—Bāniko, who held that territory as his appearage, and was the leader of the Gür Khān's troops, and who was then at Tarāz awaiting them, issued forth to encounter the Khwārazmis; and, on the 22nd—some say the 7th—of Rabi'-ul Awwal, 607 H [12th of Sept. A D 1210], a battle was fought in which the Karā-Khitā-is were completely overthrown, and Bāniko wounded and taken prisoner.

For the remainder of the events which followed see my notes to the Khwāraznrī dynasty, page 262, note 1, note 4, page 900, on the Afrāsiyābī Maliks, and other details farther on

Surgeon-Major Bellew, who turns the Gür Khān into "Gorkhan," after previ usly stating that "a Khan or Ruler of Afrásiyáb descent" applied to "Gorkhan of the Kará Khitáy," immediately after turns "Gorkhan" into an "Uighin," and his army into "Uighir," as well, and makes Khwáhrizm [where does the & come from in Khwarazm?] Sháh "defeat the Uighir army," and capture "Atrar," in 620 H., four years after the Chingiz Khān captured Bukhārā, and more than thirteen years after the defeat of Bāníko of Tarāz and the army of Karā Khitā-Is, which took place in the third month of 607 H.

"Gorkhan" is then "deposed by the Shincar Tartar [I wonder what sort of animal a "Shūncar Tartar is] Koshluk," who "destroys the Unghur empire" A aitle further on [p 133] we have the same "Koshluk"—though probably unknown to the Doctor—made "chief of the Nayman tribe of Christians" who "was a Budhist"! Then we are told that "Gorkhan, now ninety-two years of age, at once took the field, recovered Atrar," &c., and then that "Koshluk"—the "Budhist Christian Nayman," and "Shūncar Tartar"—"captured Gorkhan, whom he consigned to an honourable captivity, in which he died two years later aged ninety-five"!

For an account of these events see page 260.

The Doctor, besides making "Uighurs" of them, has skilfully turned all the Gür Khāns into one "Gorkhan," and the period; during which the Karā Khṛṭā-İ dynasty continued, into the years of the life of his one "venerable Gorkhan, 95 years old"!

Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sam; and, when the

A few lines further on the real I-ghūr sovereign, and successor of a long line of rulers, is turned into "another Budhist chief, Aydy Cút, Tártar," who "had risen to power at Bálásaghún"!

To the "History of Kashghar" above referred to, is appended a note, signed by Sir T. D. Forsyth, K.C.S.I., C.B., which states that "no one has gone into the History of Eastern Turkistan from the earliest times with such deep and careful research as Dn Bellew. He has spent many months in reading voluminous Persian and Turki manuscripts, &c., &c."

The History in question only came under my notice since this account was written, or I should have referred to many other errors. I merely do so now to correct a few of them, for history unless correct is worse than useless—nothing can be more pernicious, because it misleads.

I may also add that the Rausat-us-Safā, which the writer refers to in his list of authorities, is quite correct in its statements, and agrees with other writers.

Before closing these remarks, I must say a few words on "the powerful dynasty of Kara Khitae," which is said to have "revived on a small scale when destroyed by Gingis Khan [I always imagined that Kojiak and Sulfān Muḥammad destroyed it before the Chingis Khān's advance westward, at least the Oriental writers say so, the "great Raschid" included]," and which Visdelu is said to have made such an incredibly ridiculous statement about [See Mr. H. H. Howorth's article in the J. R. As Soc, before referred to], that the "Kara Khitae should have trave sed Khii asan and the wastes of Control Persia, and found their way into Keiman without a hint from the Persian Kistorians. Nor can we conjecture a riason for such a march, nor why he [the Gür Khān is referred to] should have returned again into Turkistan if it had been made"! Here again is confusion worse confounded.

One of the Persian authors whose work, from a foreign translation, Mr. Howorth so often quotes, but whose name I will not at present mention, at the close of his account of this dynasty, adds: "The Gür Khān, having been seized by Kojlak, in one or two years died, and, since the period of decay in the affairs, and the regression of the foitunes of that dynasty came about, that person, who was the captive of a prison [evidently referring to the brother of the third Gür Khān], became the Amir and Khān of that tribe or people, and the Gür Khān of the grave of the house, home, and possessions of that race [a play upon the words gūr, also written gor, a grave, and khān we mān, house, home, &c.], and his tribe became scattered and dispersed "

About the time in question, and subsequently, several persons of the race found their way into India, and some of the great nobles, mentioned in the preceding Section of this translation, were Kara-Khitā-is. In the reign of Uktāe also, Jai-Timūr of the Karā-Khitā-i tribe or people held the government of Khwārazm, and, subsequently, Māzandarān was added to his government.

The Karā-Khiţā-Îs therefore were not so utterly destroyed, but "the older and younger dynasty," as they are fancifully styled by Mr. Howorth, had no connexion whatever. Burāk the Ḥājib, a native of the Karā-Khiţāe territory, and a relative of the leader of the Gür Khān's troops, taken prisoner in the great battle in which they were overthrown by the Khwārazmī Sulţān, had became a convert to the Musalmān fauth, entered the service of the Sulţān, and rose to the rank and office of a chamberlain.

period of the sovereignty of that Sultan-i-Ghāzi elapsed, and Sultan Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, acquired sway over the territories of Turkistān, Bāniko of Ṭarāz fought a battle with him, was defeated, and taken prisoner, and, at the hand of Sultan Muḥammad, embraced the Muḥammadan faith.

Trustworthy persons have related in this manner, that Bānīko of Tarāz came out victorious in forty-five battles over sovereigns of his own time, and no one [ever] defeated him [before]. On the third occasion, Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, made a dash upon the equipage of the Khitā-is, and captured the whole of it; and Kashlū Khān-

Fanākatī and Alfī say that Burāk and his brother, Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥamīd-1-Būr, came into Khwānam, with others, on the part of the Gūr Khān, in the reign of Sulfān Takish, to collect the tribute, and were treated so well, and liked their reception so much, that they remained there, and became Musalmāns, and rose in the Sulfān's service

Some ten years afterwards, when the Khwarazmi empire had been overturned by the irruption of the Mughals, and Sultan Jalal-ud-Din was fighting against them, his brother, Ghiyas-iid-Din, Ak Sultan, was in Kirman, the subordinate sovereignty of which his father had conferred upon him, Burak, the chamberlain, joined him with some Kara-Khita-is, his own private followers, and some of the late Sulfan's dispersed soldiery After Ghij as-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, had been descated in an attempt upon Fars in 620-621 H . Burak, aggrieved on some account, left him, and set out for Hindustan, accompanied by some other Khwarazmi leaders, to seek service with Sultan I-val-timish. by way of Kich and Mukran On the way he was attacked near liraft by the governor of Gawashir, on the part of Ghiyag-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, near the eastern frontier of Kirman, but chanced to defeat his assailant, through a party of Turks having deserted the latter during the fight. Burāk now resolved to take advantage of the distracted state of the empire, and set up for himself; and succeeded, by treachery and fraud, in gaining possession of Kirman length, in order to secure his own safety from the Mughals, he sent the head of his master and benefactor's son to Uktae, son of the Chingiz Khan, who confirmed him in the government of Kirman, subject, of course, to the Mughals Burak held it eleven years, and it passed to his descendants. Farther details will be found at page 283, and note 9, and page 295.

Burāk was in no way related to the Gūr Khāns, and was a mere successful adventurer. How therefore can his rule over Kirmān be possibly construed into a "revival of the Kara Khitae dynasty on a small scale," without resting the rest of the above grotesque statement as to the Gūr Khān's travels?

It may just as well be asserted that the dynasty of the Karā-Khiţā-i "revived on a small scale" in Khwārazm, at Dihli, or at Mauşil, for the Atā-Bak of Mauşil was a Turk of Karā-Khitāc, as well as Jai-Tīmūr, and Sultān I-yal-tīmāh.

¹ See note 8, page 261.

¹ See note 1 to page 262, para 8, page 264

i-Sankur, the Tattār, fought a battle with the Gür Khān, took him prisoner, and the dominion of the Khiṭā-is came to a termination, and passed away.

ACCOUNT OF THE OUTBREAK OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN THE MUGHAL—ON WHOM BE GOD'S CURSE!

[The author begins here by mentioning the sayings of the Prophet, Muhammad, with respect to the portents betokening the end of the world, that they would be observed about the year 610 H.; but, as the world has not yet terminated, I need merely refer to them with respect to the Ghūrī Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din. Muhammad, son of Bahā-ud-Din, Sam, whose assassination is considered by the author to be the first of those signs.]

The martyrdom of the Sultān-i-Ghāzi, Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i-Sām—may he rest in peace!—happened in the year 602 H.; and he was the monarch who became the last of the just Sultāns, and the last of the conquering Bādshāhs—His sovereignty was a barrier against the troubles of the end of the world, and the appearance of the portents of the judgment-day. According to the indication of these sayings [of the Prophet, Muḥammad], in the same year in which that victorious Bādshāh was martyred, the gates of sedition, war, and tumult, were opened, and, in this same year, the Chingiz Khān, the Mughal, rose up in the kingdoms of Chin and Tamghāj, and commenced to rebel; and in all books it is written that the first signs of the end of time are the outbreak of the Turks.

A number of trustworthy persons, on whose statements reliance may be placed, have related on this wise, that the name of the father of this <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, the accursed, was the Tattār, Tamur-chi, and that he was the Mihtar [Chief] of the Mughal tribes, and ruler over his People.

4 Tamur, with short a and short a, in Turki, signifies iron, and it is some-

³ Tamghāj is the name of a territory of Turkistān, according to the old geographers, and Tamghāj Khān is the title or name of one of the Afrāsiyābī Maliks [see No. XXI], but Tamghāj Khān is the name generally applied to the "Bādahāhs of Tibbat and Yughmā," and Tamghāj and Yughmā are said to have been the names of cities giving names to countries also. Yughmā-oul is also said to be the name of a city or town of Turkistān, the same as the last-named place in all probability.

On one occasion, whilst following the chase, a bird, the name of which is *Tughrul*, fell into his hands, and his surname [thereby] became Tughrul-Tigin. No one, at any time previous, has shown that that bird has fallen into the hands of a sovereign; and they held him in veneration, in

consequence.

Among the tribes of Mughal was another Turk of importance, a ruler and leader, and greatly venerated; and the whole of the tribes of Mughals were under the rule of these two persons. They, and all that people were subject to the family of the Altūn Khān of Tamghāj, and paid tribute to that dynasty; but among them [the Mughals] depravity, robbery, and adultery, greatly prevailed; and, both in their words and deeds, save lying, iniquity, robbery, and adultery, naught went on. All the tracts of [inhabited by?] the Turk tribes, at the hand of their iniquity and sedition, were reduced to misery; and, for these reasons and acts, they [the Mughals] were wont to be treated

times written with i for the first, and long ii for the last, vowel; <u>ch</u>i is the abbreviation of <u>ch</u>iz, and, when it occurs at the end of Turkish words, signifies a maker or agent, as $top_{-i}hi$, an artillety-man, hard $mak_{-i}hi$, a shoe-maker, &c

Our author has fallen into some confusion here, however for the text, which is able in all the copies collated, a defective, and has evidently mistaken the Tattar chief named Timui-chi, after whom Yassakka named his son to commemorate his victory over him, for Yassaka himself Here Tamur-chi means iron-1 i.e, not that he was "a black-smith."

b This is the Awang Khan of after years. Tughrul, with short n in the last syllable, is described as a bird used in field sports, one of the falcon tribe, a jerfalcon probably, and the above title is equivalent to the Hero [taker] of the Tughrul. Another name applied to men is written Tughrul.

Writers on "Mongols" may be astonished to find our author saying that there was among the Mughals another Turk, &c He is literally correct, and means a Turk of the Mughal I-mak. This thief is called Baisu farther on

Our author, like all other Oriental authors, very properly calls the Mughals and Tättärs by the common name of Turks, according to their descent as already recorded.

It may be well to remember here, that our author in one of the two first Musalman writers who wrote about the outbreak of the Mughals and the Chingiz Khān at the time it occurred, and completed his history just after Hulāku, his grandson, had captured Baghdād and entered Asia Minor. He had considerable advantages over Ibn-Athir in many ways. He was nearer the scenes he narrates, knew many persons who were personally acquainted with the Chingiz Khān and his sons, and actors in the events he records; knew personally, and dwelt among, several Turk, Tēttār, and Khīṭā-ī nobles at Dhlif, and in Ghaznīn and Ghūr, who knew how they 'pelt their own names and others of their people, and the names of cities and countries, and had no cause whatever to praise or make out Mughals to be greater than they were

with great contempt by the Court of the Altūn Khān, and much money and a great number of horses used to be demanded of them [as tribute].

When the father of the Chingiz Khān went to hell, and the chieftainship devolved on the Chingiz Khān, he began to act contumaciously and disobediently, and broke out into rebellion. An army from the forces of the Altūn Khān was nominated to lay waste and exterminate the Mughal tribes; and the greater number of them were put to the sword, in such wise, that but a few of them remained.

The remnant of them that escaped the sword gathered together and left their own territory, and proceeded towards the north of Turkistān, and sought shelter in a situation so strong that, from any direction, it had no road leading into it with the exception of a single Pass. The whole of that tract was girt about with massive mountains, and that place and pasture-land they call Kalur-ān. They also say that in the midst of those pastures there is a spring of considerable size, the name of which is Balik-Chāk; and, in these pastures, they took up their abode, and dwelt there for a long period period

In the course of time, their offspring and progeny multiplied greatly: and among that body a great number of men reached manhood. They all assembled and took counsel together, saying: "What was the cause of our downfall and of our being plundered and ravaged, and from whence arose our being made captive and being slain?" All made admission [saying]: "These calamities and misfortunes have arisen through our great misconduct; and it is necessary that we abstain from thus acting, in order that Almighty God may grant us assistance, and that we may take our revenge upon the forces of the Altūn Khān.1

⁷ Also, in two of the oldest copies, Kalūr-ān

in writing g for a few copies written Jäk, but it is only the fault of the copies in writing g for a few copies, including the Printed Text, have July-Abalik but the appears redundant. In the Turki language Chāk is said to mean rapid, fast, violent, sharp, &c., and Balik or Baligh and Balāk mean a spring.

The flight of Kaiën and Nagüz unto Irgānah Kün, is here, evidently meant. It will be easily perceived, from my account of the descent of the Turks and the i-māši of Tāttār and Mughal, that our author has lost himself here, and mixes up the overthrow and destruction of the Mughal i-māš by the Tāttār.

Certainly, to carry out this intention, a firm ruler is neces-

and I-ghur Turks, and the escape of the two fugitives into the fastnesses of Irganah-Kun, with the affairs of the Chingiz Khan at the time when he assumed sovereignty, and the title just mentioned was assigned to him. I will therefore now give a brief account of Tamur-chi from the death of his father up to this period, in order to make our author's account intelligible.

I brought my account of the Mughal i-mail to a close with the death of the Bahādur Yassūkā, in 562 ii [A D 1166-67], who usually resided at a place styled Dilün-Yıldük, at which period the different tribes composing the -māks of Tattar and Mughal were ruled by no less a number than seventy-one separate localities, and feuds and conflicts went on continually among them.

Tamur-chi, or Tamur-chin-the n being nasal-was thirteen years old at the time of his father's death, having been born on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H. [27th January, old style, A.D 1154], about which some recent writers appear to be in great doubt [neither the Persian "Raschid" nor any other of the Persians "says he was born in 1155] and uncertainty, and his brothers were still younger. About the same time, the hereditary lieutenant or deputy, Sughu-Jijan, whose care and counsel would have been so useful to young Tamur-chi, also died, and Sughu-Jijan's son, the Nu-yan, Karachar-the great ancestor of Amir Timur-was young and inexperienced. This is the "tutor" appointed for him according to Des Guignes!

Of the 40,000 families of the Nairūn sept of the Mughals over whom his father ruled, and his own kinsmen and dependents, numbers now began to desert him and go over to the Tanjiūts, until not more than a third remained

under his chieftainship

He endured many hardships and dangers until he reached the age of thirty. when fortune began again to smile upon him for a time, when, in 579 K [AD. 1183], the Nairuns began to return to their allegiance, and Tamur-chi succeeded in bringing some other Mughal tribes under his sway. In 584 H. [A.D. 1187-88] he became a captive in the hands of Türkütäe or Türghütäe Karlitük, the Badshah as he is styled, [great grandson of Hamanka, see note, page 895] of the Tanjiut Mughals, who was descended, in the fifth degree, from Kaidū Khan, the fourth chief of the Bū-zanjar dynasty, which see, and to whom the other Nairuns attached themselves when they deserted Tamurchi, and against a confederacy headed by whom the latter was struggling.

It was not customary in those days among the tribes of Turkish descent to put captives at once to death, and so Tamur-chi had a do-shākhah [a sort of portable pillory, described as a block of wood with two horns, hence the term. It may, in those days, have been formed out of two pieces of crooked wood, but what was used in after-years, and continues to be used still, consists of two flat boards with a hollow for the neck, a drawing of which may be seen in Astley's and other Collections; fastened round his neck, and thus was he detained in captivity. The Fanākatī, Abū-Sulīmān-i-Dā'ūd, who finished his History, and dedicated it to the minth of the Mughal sovereigns of Iran [what would he have said had he been styled a "Mongol"?], 287 years before the "saga-loving" writer who has been much quoted lately, Ssanang Setzen, was born, gives the following particulars of Tamur-chi's escape, which several other historians also relate

Finding an opportunity, Tamur-chi made his escape from the Tänjiüts, taking his do-shākhak along with him, and concealed himself in a lake in the

sary, and a severe Amir is required, to restrain the trans-

neighbourhood of their camp, in such wise, that, of his person, nothing save his nose could be seen. A party of Tanjfitts was sent in search of him, and among them there chanced to be a Süldüs [also written Suldüz], named Sürghan or Surghan Shirah, the tents of whose family happened to be pitched near that part, when, suddenly, his eye fell upon the fugitive's nose. He made a sign to him secretly-but how Tamur-chi managed to see, and notice this sign, with his head under water, the chronicler sayeth not-that he should conceal his head still more—but this must have been as difficult to do as to see, considering that only his nose was out of the water. He then said to the party, "Do you make search in some other directions; I will take care of this part myself," and thus he managed to disperse them. As soon as night set in Sürghan Shirah took Tamur-chi out of the water, removed the doshakhah from round his neck, and brought him to his tent, and concealed him in a cart, under a load of sashw-the fine wool or hair with which goats and several other animals are provided by nature in the cold regions of Central Asia; but, as the party had discovered some trace of Tamur-chi thereabout, and as Sürghan Shirah's dwelling was near by, they began to suspect that Tamurchi must be hidden somewhere by him. They accordingly made search, and even tried the load of pashes by piercing it with spits in various directions. and wounded him slightly in several places, but did not discover him. After they had departed, disappointed in their search, Sürghan Shirah mounted Tamur-chi on his own bay mare with a black mane, supplied him with a little flesh, a roasting-spit, a bow and arrows, and everything required for a journey. but some say he did not give him any tinder-box or means of obtaining fire. The mother of Tamur-chi, and his wives, had given him up for dead, when he arrived in his yarat on the bay mare with the black mane, from which time the Mughal, held such an animal in great veneration. His son, Tuil, was a child at the time, and, for some days before, had been continually saying that his father was coming mounted on a certain coloured mare. This event happened in 587 H. [A D 1191] The descendants of this Sürghan Shirah subsequently rose to high rank in the service of the Chingiz Khan and his sons, and, from him, the famous Amir Chaupan was descended.

Tamur-chī had fought with the Jūrf-āts, also styled Jājar-āts, a sept of the Nairūns, the tribe of Jāmūķah, the Sājān, or the double-tongued [Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur, styles him Jajan and Jachan, which, he says, signifies possessed of sagacity], and other Mughal tribes—the Tānjiūts, Kunghur-āts or Kungkur-āts, as it is also written, Jalārs, and Dūrmāns ["Durbens" and "Durbans" are out of the question], and the Bīgī, Sūjī, and the tribe of Barlās, of the progeny of Īridam-chī, were in alliance with his enemies, but Ķarāchār, head of the Barlās, remained faithful to him.

In the year 589 H. [A.D. 1193], when in the fortieth year of his age, finding his enemies had entered into a confederacy to annihilate him, and that they were too numerous and too powerful to cope with, Tamur-ghi determined on taking refuge with the Awang Khan, Tughrul-Tigin, and throwing himself on his protection, considering the friendship which had previously existed between his father, Yassūkā, and that soweregn, and Karāchār accompanied him.

This is contrary to the statement contained in a recent work on the "Mongols Proper," the authority for which appears to be Wolff or Erdmann, and, considering what follows, on undoubted authority, must be diametrically opposed to the fact. gressors, and the violence of the seditious, to retaliate on

The Awang Khān was the ruler of the Karāyat tribes, a sept of the Durālgin Mughais, and one of the most considerable of the Turkish nation, and he was a monarch [Bādahāh] of great dignity and magnificence, and was in alliance with the Altān Khān, the sovereign of Khitāe. It was thus personage who, before he was styled by the title of "the Awang Khān," bore the name of Tughrul-Tigin, from his having captured one of those rare birds called a Tughrul-Tigin, from his having captured one of those rare birds called a Tughrul-Tigin, was well received by the Karāyat ruler, and his affairs began to prosper. The Khān was wont to consult him on the affairs of his state; and, at length. Tamur-chī rose so high in the monarch's esteem, that he styled him son, and assigned him a position of great dignity.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Käghghar Mission History, previously referred to, quoting some other writer, informs us that "This Aong Khan or Une Cham [1], the Chief of the Karait of Karakoram, is the Tuli [1] of the Chinese writers, and the Toghrul of the Persian. He got the title Unc [uncle perhaps], or Aong, or Wáng, as it appears in different authors [1], and which is equivalent to Khán = "Chief," "Lord," from Kin [1], the soverings of North China."

This is History truly!

For a period of eight years Tamur-chi remained with the Awang Khan, during which time he did good service for him, and gained him several vic-Among these was his victory over Irkah Kara, or Irkah Kara falso called Ukah-Kara], the brother of the Awang Khan, who was in rebellion, and resisted his brother's authority, and Yorkin [it is written by Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahadur, Portakin, Bortakin, and Bortikin), and the Bigi, Tükta, the Peshwa, or leader of the Makrit tribe [also written Markit, but the first appears to be preferred] of the Kaiāt sept, descended from Kaiān; but some call them Nairuns After these events, the tribes of Tanjiut, Saljiut, Kunghur-āt, Dūrmān, Jājar-āt, Jalāfr, Ūir-āt, also written Īūr-āt, Yorkin, and Katghin, or Katkin, and Tamur-chi's former opponents, the Makrits, and some of the Tättär I-milk, entered into a confederacy against the Awang Khan an Tamur-chī They came to a compact, and took oath according to the most stringent tenets of their religion, by sacrificing a horse, a bullock, a ram, and a dog, to be faithful to each other, and, among them, there is no other This was in 596 H. engagement more solemn

On becoming aware of this, the Awang Khan and Tamur-chi got ready their forces, and, at a place near the Biyur Nawar-the Lake of Biyur-the hostile forces came to an engagement, and the Awang Khan and Tamur chi completely overthrew the confederates, and brought their necks within the yoke of subjection Hafig Abru states, however, that Jamur-chi fought a battle with the Bigi, Tüktä, the chief of the Makrits, in 593 H, at a place near the Karas Muran [1 e river] before Kalūr-ān, and near the river Salingāh; another, in concert with the Awang Khan, in 594 H, at Tuku Kahrah, and, agam, in 596 H, after the Dizī, Tūķtā, had escaped from the bonds of the Awang Khan, which is the battle near the lake Biyur already mentioned above. Several other affairs in 597 and 598 H are mentioned by the same author, which are too long for insertion here, but I may mention that Jāmūkahwho had been set up as Rādahāh by several of the tribes, such as Angiras and Kūrlas, Kunghur-at, Durman, Katghin, Saljiūt, and some Tattar tribes, with the title of Gur Khan-was overthrown at Sadi-Kurgan in the former year, and the Kunghur-ats submitted to his authority

After this, Bue-Ruk, brother of the Tayanak Khan, ruler of the Naeman

our enemies, and render the wreaking of vengeance upon

tribe, in concert with the Bādahāh of the Makrīts, the Bīgī Tūķtā, being hostile to the Awang Khān and Tamur-chī, assembled a large army against them, and the hostile forces having met at a place named Kazīl-Tāgh, in 595 H., but in 598 H. according to the Tārīkh-i-Alīf, Būc-Rūķ directed a Jījan, or Sorcerer, to have recourse to his art, which they term padah and bāta, which he effected by means of the sang-s-yadah, the jade or rain-stone, mentioned in the account of the descent of the Turks, which, on being thrown into water, forthwith brought on snow, mist, and wind; but these magical acts recoiled upon his own army, which was nearly destroyed by the cold. The few followers who remained with him were overthrown, a number were slain, and the remnant sought safety in flight. A curious anecdote respecting the Turks and their magical acts in this respect is related by Amīr Ismā'īl, son of Aḥmad, the third Sāmānī monarch, but I have not space for it here.

After Tamur-chi had passed eight years in the service of the Awang Khan in various offices and duties, and had, through his intercourse and intimacy with him, acquired his confidence and esteem, and had been styled son by the monarch, the chiefs and kinsmen of the Awang Khan became envious of Tamur-chi, and plotted together to bring about his downfall. Jämükah, the Bāshlīgh of the Jājar-āts, bore him great enmity of old, and he maligned Tamur-chi to Sangun, the son of the Awang Khan, and convinced him that Tamur-chi sought to supplant him in his father's favour, and in the succession to his kingdom Guridah, the Habib-us-Siyar, Tārīkh-i-Hāfig Abrū, and some other works, however, state that the wrath of the Awang Khan was raised against him through his asking of him a brother's daughter in marriage for his son. Juif, but some say it was on account of Tamur-chi not giving his own daughter, Küchin Bigi, to the Awang Khan's son, Sangun, that the negotiation broke down, and hostility arose Juji did subsequently marry the damsel, and Tuli married another sister, and Tamur-chi, their father, married a third Endeayours were now made to instigate the Awang Khan against Tamur-chi, but without effect at first. By repeated importunity on the part of the son, for even the dropping water at last wears the rock away, the conspirators succeeded in alienating the old ruler's regard for Tamur-cha and he entered into the design These events are said to have taken place in 599 H One of the Awang Khān's chiefs, Jādān, by name, who could keep nothing from his wife, was mentioning the design to her, in his khargak, or felt tent, only the day before it was intended to carry it into execution, when two boys, named Batae, or Badae, and Kashlik, came into the camp with the milk from the flocks, and, by chance, sat down near the tent, and heard the conversation. They at once made known his danger to Tamur-chi He consulted with his kinsman, the Nū-yān, Kurāchār; and it was determined, as soon as night set in, to make for the skirt of the mountain (range) of Kalachin with their followers and dependents, and to leave their tents standing; and this they did, after having first despatched the women and children to a place of safety, called Baljunah Bulak. That same night the Awang Khan came to the tents with some of his forces, and, seeing the files lighted as usual, ordered volleys of arrows to be poured into them, and then, finding all was silent within, entered the tents, but found them empty He then determined to set out in pursuit of Tamur-chi ; but how the Awang Khan knew whither he had fled in not stated · the Karāyats probably tracked him. The Awang Khān succeeded in coming up with him during the next day, when halted for rest, and a picket,

the Altun Khan attainable." As the Chingiz Khan had

posted for the purpose, gave Tamur-cht timely warning of their drawing near the mountain (range) of Mū-āwand or Mū-āwandur, at a spot called Holānt Nūķāt, that is, the place where red canes or reeds grow. Nothwithstanding the disparity of numbers, being sheltered by the hill skirt, he resolved to make a stand; and at last succeeded in beating off his pursuers. A great number of Karāyats were slain and disabled, and Sangūn—who is styled Shangūn by some, but the three dots over the——seem over zeal on the part of the copyiats—was wounded in the face by an arrow discharged at his father, whose person he shielded with his own.

This is the place where Mr. H. H. Howorth, in his "Mongols Proper" page 59, on the authority of some foreign translation says: "He now collected an army and marched agusts the Keraits. His army was very inferior in numbers, but attacked the enemy with ardour," &c. His "flight from the Awang Ehlan" is not alluded to in the least, and he must have been exceedingly clever to collect an army, but, at page 552 of the same book, the story is told from

another translation in a totally different manner

Tamur-chi thought it advisable however to withdraw quietly during the night towards the source of the Balyanah-some say, the Lake Balyanah-Baijunah Nawar -and others, Baljunah Bulak, Bulagh, or Balik, signifying a spring in Turkish, whither the women and children had been previously despatched. This lake was salt, and contained but little water, scarcely sufficient for his If we consider that Kara-Kuram was the chief encampment people to drink or dwelling-place of the Awang Khan, the retreat of Tamur-chi towards this lake of Bäljunah, in which there was scarcely enough muddy water to quench the thirst of man and beast, and his subsequent movements, are sufficiently clear, The people of those parts, of his own Nairun tribes, who had remained faithful, and had become dispersed when he took shelter with the Awang Khan, were dwelling in the tracts adjacent to Bäljunah Bülägh, under his uncle Ü-tigin, also called Utichkin, and, when he reached them, on this occasion, they began to gather around him, as well as many other, from the Awang Khān's territory. At this time, at the suggestion of the Nû-yan, Karachar, Tamur-chi had a register made of the names of all those who had accompanied him in his flight from the presence of the Awang Khan, and assigned certain ranks and offices to each of them. The two youths, Batae or Badae, and Kashiik, who had warned him of his danger, were made Tarkhans He was not "abandoned by most of his troops," nor did he "fly to the desert of Baldjuna," as Mr Howorth states (p. 59), nor was he "a hopeless fugitive at Baljuna," as the same writer states in another place (p 553)

The meaning of Tarkhān is thus explained. "The person so called is secure and safe from all trouble and annoyance, in every place in which he serves, whatever booty he may take is his own, and he is not deprived of it; he can enter the place of audience of his sovereign without being summoned, and without first asking permission; and he can commit or be guilty of nine offences—nine is a number, as I have already noticed, held in great veneration by the Mughals—without being questioned, and Tamur-chī decreed that, for nine generations, the offspring of these Tarkhāns should be exempt from all burdens and imposts."

In the "General Description of Kashghar," contained in the "REPORT" of the Yarkand Mission, previously referred to, we are told [p 100], as to the "Ancient punishments before the 10th century (Moghul)," that, "Under the Moghuls, a moble was entitled to forgiveness nine times, but for the tenth was

become noted and famous among that fraternity for

imprisoned," &c., &c. Something respecting the privileges of the Tarkhāns had apparently been mentioned to the writer, who straightway turned all the Mughal nobles into Tarkhāns! In another place we are informed that "the descendants of these Tarkhāns were still met with in Khurásán in the fiftenth century," which is quite correct. They are also met with in several other centures, and in this ministenth century in many other parts besides Khurásán.

The descendants of the two persons above referred to were the progenitors of two tribes, styled respectively Bādās Tarkhāns, and Kaghlik Tarkhāns. The Tarkhāns of the Daght-i-Kibchāk and Khwārazm are the descendants of Bādās, while those of Turkistān are the descendants of Kaghlik. Several great Amirs arose from these tribes, among whom was the Tarkhān, Ḥāji, who was the founder of a city on the Atil, to which he gave his name. It was known as Ḥāji Tarkhān, which, in after-years, was styled Haghtar Khān, but which European writers have "twisted" into Astrakhan, and not Orientals, as the author of the "Mongols Proper" imagines.

In the battle with the Awang Khan, among other booty captured, was the kharguh of that sovereign, which was of cloth of gold. This Tamur-cht bestowed, with other things, upon Badae and Kashlik, and, in after-times, the distinguishing mark of a Tarkhan was a piece of the golden cloth tent of the Awang Khan, which they used to wear hanging from their turbans,

Tamur-chi now marched from the head of the Baltunah, and putched his tents at a pleasant place on the bank of a river named the Ur or Aor Mürän IUn Muran? Lat the foot of a mountain range on the frontier of Kalanone Kada, or Kad, which is the boundary of Khitae on that side, and there he mustered his followers, and they amounted to 4600 men. Leaving that spot after a time, he moved onwards, and reached a place where was a piece of water- the river Kalar [34-Katlar of our maps] Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadur, calls it the Külä Süe or River Külä-and, there being plenty of grass thereabout, he determined to make some stay. On the way thither, with his forces divided into two bodies, one with the women and followers, and moving on either bank, he fell in with an Amir, Turk-Ili, by name, who had a considerable following, and, on inquiry being made of him as to who he was, and his intentions and objects, he turned out to be a Angiras, a Kunghur-at Mughal, with a considerable body of that tribe, and he agreed to submit to Tamur-chi, and was treated with great distinction Whilst encamped at this spot, Tamurchi was joined by other smaller bodies of his other tribes, until, at length, his force grew formidable. Having marched from thence, Tamur-chi despatched from the banks of the river Kurkan [- some say from the Kala Nawar, Abu-l-Ghāzī says the Kolghā Nāwar] an emissary named Urķāc, or Ūrāķāc Chūn, the Bahadur, to the Awang Khan's presence soliciting an accommodation, and several times emissanes passed to and fro between them, but terms of peace did not result therefrom; and his brother, Juji Kasar, who had been taken prisoner, and carried away with his family to the Awang Khan's presence, now joined him, having made his escape. On the last occasion, Tamur-chi despatched an agent of his own along with the Awang Khan's envoy, to throw him off his guard, apparently, since he followed himself with all his forces, made raids upon that monarch's territory, reduced flourishing spots to desolation, slew great numbers of his people, and made others captive. After some time, wherein the Awang Khān's people had suffered such misery, a battle ensued between Tamur-chi and his forces, and the Karāyats-who were vastly superior in numbers-towards

manhood, vigour, valour, and intrepidity, all concurred in

the close of which Karachar encountered the Awang Khan, struck his home with an arrow, and brought it head foremost to the ground. The Awang Khan then succeeded in mounting another horse, and took to flight along with his son, Sangun, leaving his wives and daughters captives in the hands of the victor; and such of the Karayat tribe as saved their lives did so by submitting to Tamur-chi's yoke. The Awang Khan had fled towards the territory of the Nāemān tribe to seek shelter with their ruler, Tübukü or Taibukü, the Tayanak Khān, but, when he reached the Tayanak Khān's country, some of the latter's chiefs, without communicating with their sovereign, and on account of an old feud, put the Awang Khan to death. Sangun however managed to escape out of their clutches, and succeeded in reaching the territory of Kirkiz and Tibbat, and from thence got to Kashghar-another writer states that he proceeded towards Khutan and Kāshghar, thus indicating the whereabouts of Kirkiz-but he was subsequently put to a cruel death, in the Kashghar territory, by the chief of a branch of the Khalj tribe, of Kulij Kara, called Kara Ma, who sent his family captives to Tamur-chf. The brother of the Awang Khan, whose three daughters were wives to Tamur-chi and his some Just and Tuli, escaped into Tingkut. Of this person more anon.

As the whole of the Karāyat tribe, and the forces of the Awang Ehān, had submitted to him, the mind of Tamur-chī being now at rest from anxiety, he resolved on taking some recreation after his fatigues. He accordingly passed some time pleasantly in the part, near which the battle took place, in pleasant, and in enjoying the diversion of the chase, after which he set out for his native pieret, or encampment. These events happened in the year 599 H. [A.D. 1202-13], when Tamur-chī was 40 years old, but some say he was 50.

After having gained this important victory, and as the greater number of other tribes of the Mughal \$\tilde{i}\$-mak had bent the neck of subjection to him, Tamur-chi assumed the seat of Khān-ship, at the camp or station named Samān-Kaharah, which is also written Samān-Karah [Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, has Namān Karah] which probably was near Dīlūn-Yūldūk, in Ramaṣān of that same year, and the sovereignty exercised by the Awang Khān passed to Tamur-chī; but, as soon as the Tayānak Khān, also written Tayān, and Tayānak, son of Balikto Īnānaj, sovereign of the Nāemāns [a Turkish tribe, but its direct descent is not certain], became aware of his predominance, he set about organizing an army against him, and the tribes of Dūrmān, Kaighīn, Makrīt, and Sāljīūt, the Bādghāh of the Ūīr-āt, Alīn Tāighī, and some other Rāghīghs of the Karāyat, the Jājar-āt of Jāmūkah, the Sājān, and some of the Tāttār tribes, it is said, entered into a confederacy with the Tayānak Khān for the purpose of making war upon Tamur-chī

The Tayanak Khan also despatched an agent to Ülä-Kush-Tigin, the Bădahah of the Ungkuts, a Turkish tribe who had charge of the Great Wall [According to the ideas of Mr H. H. Howorth, set forth in his "Mongols Proper," page 21, "Tigin seems to be a form of the Turkish Tikin," and, at page 26, he says, "Tikin is a title borne by chiefs of Turkish tribes!" He fails to see that "Tikin" is only correct in the sight of those who do not know k from g in the original. That it is a Turkish title there is not the least doubt, and hence it is borne by Turks and Tättärs], asking him to join the confederacy, and aid in putting down the new claimant to sovereignty, which could be easily effected, if he joined him, as two kings in one country could not exist, nor two swords in one scabbard, and not to refuse his alliance, as he would

naming him for the chieftainship [saying] :- "For, save

remedy matters with the sword himself, even if he should reject his offers. Oli-Kuah-Tigin, also written Alikuah, Tigin Kuin, however, was a agactious man, and an experienced one. He consequently despatched one of his Amirs named Niridäah, but, in one work, he is styled Burändäah, and Kuräfdäah, in Alif, which is probably the most correct, to Tamur-chi, and made him acquainted with the message he had received, and assembled his Ungküts for the purpose of joining Tamur-chi, as he was much annoyed at the Tayānak Khān's message. Tamur-chi held counsel with his sons and Amirs, and one of the Nū-yīna, some say it was Karāchār, but others, that it was Tamur-chi's paternal uncle, Dāritāe Unghūki, the Olkūnūt Kunghur-āt, advised that if Tamur-chi' took the initiative and attacked the Nāemāns he would be successful. That advice was approved of; and, in the middle of Jamādi-ug-Ṣānī, 600 H., [in March, a. D. 1204], he commenced his march, and set out to attack the Tayānak Khān.

He moved onwards until he reached the verdant tract of Kalangae, previously mentioned, but, on this occasion, no fight took place. Subsequently, in the same year, Tamur-chi again set out to seek the Näeman Badghah, despatching a force in advance, under the Nü-yins, Küildar Sajan, chief of the Mangkut Nagruns, and Jabah. He then reached the banks of the giver Altienow Siba?] in the territory of Kangaktae- Jack-Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadur, calls it Altae Soning-التابي سوسات in the neighbourhood of which was the Tayanak Khan, who had been joined by the Bigi Tükta, chief of the Markita, and one of the chiefs of the late Awang Khan, the Karayat. At this juncture a stray horse from Tamur-chi's camp, with its saddle turned under its belly, entered the camp of the Tayanak Khan, who, when he beheld it, it being very lean, held counsel with his Amirs, saying . "The horses of the Mughals are miserably lean while ours are fat and in fine condition. It is advisable that we should fall back so that the enemy may be induced to follow us. whereby their horses will get into a worse plight still. Then we will make a stand and engage them. Most of the chiefs approved of this counsel, but the Tayanak Khan had an Amir, Kuri Suhaju, by name, who, from childhood, had grown up with him; and he said to him on this occasion, "Thy father, Balikto Înânaj, was not at rest a day without battle, and never showed his back nor the crupper of his horse to a foe. Thy heart is enthralled with thy Khātūn, Kūr-bāsū, and from thee the perfume of manhood emanateth not " Stung to the quick at these taunts, the Tayanak Khan, filled with rage, "grew hungry for the fight, like a roaring lion for his prey " When the two armies came near each other, and drew out their lines, Tamur-chi entrusted the centre to his son Juji [some say Juji commanded the left wing, and Tamurchi's brother, Juji Kasar, the centrel and the two armies, having sounded their cows' homs and kettle-drums, engaged in battle, and Jämükah, the Jājar-āt, with his followers, having deserted the Tayanak Khan before the battle hegan, marched away to his own parat In the obstinate struggle which ensued, and which continued until evening closed in, the Tayanak Khan was wounded, and his body was so weakened from the effect of his wound as to be almost without a soul; and with a few Amirs he retreated towards the top of a hill. His Amirs complained of this, and urged upon him the necessity, for his own sake, of returning to the field, and renewing the conflict, but he was now too badly wounded to be affected with their taunts and entreaties. Then Kuri Subājū said to the other chiefs: "Since the Bādshāh dies thus deplorably in

The Chingiz Khan bound the whole of the people of the

favour and kindness, but those which manifested contumacy, and refused to submit, he brought under the sword, both chiefs and tribes, so that, in this manner, he succeeded in bringing most of the Mughal tribes under his sway. Those among them who were with him in his first encounter with the Awang Ehān, whom he cherished, and to whom he had assigned certain ranks and degrees, and given certain exemptions, as previously narrated, he now directed should be formed into Tomāns—ten thousands—Hazārahs [there never was, nor is there, a "famous tribe" so named]—Thousands—Sadahs—Hundreds—and Dahahs or Dahahahs—Tens: these words it must be remembered, are not the Mughal terms, but the Persian translation of Un Ming, Ming, Vüz, and Un respectively; and these degrees have continued to be observed among them down to modern times.

In the month of Rajab [the seventh] 602 H , corresponding to the Mughal year of the Leopard-but the Mughal, Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur Khān, says, the year of the Hog-and to the month of February, 1206 A.D., when Tamur-chf was, it is said, by several historians, in the 49th year of his age, but he was really just 52 years and 7 months old, dating from the day of his birth, he commanded that a kuriltäe, or general assembly, of all the Mughal tribes in subjection to him should meet at a certain place, the name of which is not recorded. It was very probably Saman or Saman Kaharah, where he, three years before, assumed the Khan-ship, and this may have been the cause why so many authors confuse these two different events, and make one of them. There, accordingly, his sons, all his Nü-yins and Amirs, from the parts around, of the Tomans, Hazarahs, Sadalis, and Dahahs, assembled together, and a great feast was made. He then set up a white Tük or Tügh-standard-consisting of nine degrees, or tails, indicated by as many tails of the ghajs gau, or bes grunnens, mentioned at page 68, and he was seated on a high throne with a diadem on his head Some authors, including the Fanakati and the author of the 'airikh-i-Jahan-gir, with slight variation, state, that the causer of his setting up this standard was a Mughal, held in veneration by the people, clothed in the guise of a recluse, who used to pass his time in devotion, and whom, from exposure to the elements in a state of nudity, in his wanderings, neither heat nor cold affected He pretended to the knowledge of the secrets of futurity, and asserted that he was sometimes taken up into heaven, and the simpleminded Mughals beheved him. On this account he was styled by them Tab or Tub Tingri—نب سكري The first word has been altered into or mistaken for But -and translated by several European writers, but not by the original authors, "The Image of God." Tingri certainly is the Turkish for God, but "but," signifying an idol, object of adoration, or image, is a purely frant, not a Turki word, and it would be strange indeed if purely Irani words, in combination with Turki, were in common use among Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, at the period in question. For these reasons I think we are not at all certain of the true meaning of Tab or Tub [This, very probably, is the proper name of Malik Saif-ud-Din, the Khita-i, the XVI. of the Dihli Maliks, mentioned at page 757, whose name is written in precisely the same doubtful way, and without vowel points.] Tingri, though, I should suppose, the Devotee of, or Devoted to, or Chosen of God, or something similar, is much more likely to be the correct signification.

His correct name was Kükjü, - or Kükchü [turned into "Gueukdja" and "Gukju" in the "Mongols Proper"] though some write it Kükchah.

tribes by pledges and oaths to obey him in all things, and

Kükjah, and Kükzü, and he was the son of Minglik Ichakah, the Kunakkumār—القبار who marned Tamur-ahl's mother. He stepped forward and said: "Last night a person of a red colour, seated on a grey horse, appeared unto me, and said: 'Go thou to the son of Yassukā and say: 'After this they shall not style thee Tamur-ch! any more; for, in future, thy title shall be "the Chingu Khān :"' and likewise say thou to the Chingiz Khān, 'Almighty God hath bestowed upon thee and thy ageterity, the greater part of the universe." All present repeated it, and with acclamation huled Tamur-chi by that title. because its meaning, in the Türl language, signifies in the Irani, Shah-an-Shih, King of Kings, or Emperor. The agnification, however, is somewhat differently interpreted by authors into the Great King or Emperor, Khan-i-Khānān or the Chief of Khāns, and the like. From that time this was his title. Knowing how cunning Tamur-chi was, several writers have stated that the appearance of Kükiü or Kükchü upon the scene was preconcerted between him and Tamur-chi It will be noticed from the foregoing that his proper title is THE CHINGIZ KHAN, as in the case of the Great King, the Great Napoleon, etc., and not simply "Chingiz." Another writer well informed as to the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, says that Ching signifies in the Mughali dialect, firm, confirmed, established, and the like, the plural form of which is Chingiz- is The impostor was so puffed up with his own importance, after the success of his pretended revelation, that he began to entertain ambitious views for himself, until, one day, he entered into an angry dispute with Tamur-chi's brother, Juji Kasar, when he took hun by the throat and dashed him to the ground with such violence that Kūkjū never rose agam.

After this kuriltae, those who were in the secret of this pretended revelation began to spread the report ail over the countries round, and among the peoples who had submitted to him, so that they began to believe that the Almighty had really given the world to the Chingtz Khān, and future war and conquest

were chiefly considered.

The first victim of these pretended predictions was Büe-Rük, brother of the Tayānak Khān, to whom Koahlük, the latter's son, and the Makrīt chief, the Bīgī, Tüktā, had fied for shelter. Büe-Rük, after he had made such preparations as he was able for resistance, aided by the Makrīts, was surprised by a body of Mughals whilst engaged in the cliase, in the neighbourhood of Awāj Tāk or Tāgh [Habīb-us-Siyar has Ulügh Tāgh] at a place called Sūjā—Sūjā river?—like the quarry in the net of the fowler, and carried off to the camp of the Chingiz Khān, and was forthwith put to death Some say he was killed in the rātāār-rātā, or hunting-ground Raghīd-ud-Dīn says he was surprised "after making a slight resistance," which is rather improbable. His tribe on this dispersed, and Koahlük, and the Bīgī, Tüktā, after directing their followers to disperse and rejoin them, with as many others as possible, at a certain rendervous in Ardīāh, fied also to a place on the frontier of the Nāemān country.

The ruler of Tingküt, Shidarkü, also called Shidāskü, now began to manifest hostility again, upon which the Chingiz Khān, being then near to that country, determined to invade it. He entered it with a portion of his immense forces in 603 N [AD. 1206-7] The capital named Kāshin—the Akāshin—shi of Abū-l-Chāzi, Bahādur Khān—which formerly, it is said, gave name

him, no one will be capable to undertake the carrying out

adversity, better let us show our fidelity, and tura our faces again against the enemy, since we have given the Tayānak Khān to be slain," and, with one accord, like hoss, they descended, and rushed upon the enemy, and fought valuantly while life remained, in such wise as to gain the encomiums even of their foes. They all penshed, but not before they had made great havoe among the Mughals, who lost great numbers.

The Tayanak Khan having died of his wound received in that battle, his son, Koshlük or Koshlak, or Kojlak, as he is also styled, fled to his uncle, Büe-Rük. The Habib-us-Siyar however says that the Tayanak Khan, after the battle, was conveyed to a place of safety, but that he died from the effects of his

wound before the end of that same year 600 H.

The females of the family of the Tayanak Khan fell into the hands of the victors, and, subsequently, his favourite Khatun, Kor-basu, was brought to Tamur-chi, who, in accordance with the custom of the Mughals, married her. A daughter of the Tayanak Khan's son, Koshlük, named Likum Khatun, was given in marriage to Tülf, Tamur-chi's youngest son. The Näeman and Ungküt females are said to have been remarkable for their beauty, above all the other tribes of Turk descent.

After Tamur-chī had been thus successful over the Tayānak Khān, in this battle, the tribes and families in confederacy with the Nāemān sovereign, being without a head, for the most part submitted to Tamur-chī's sway, but the Nāemāns became dispersed, and the Bigī, Tūķtā, the Wālī or sovereign of the Makrīts, was still hostile Tamur-chī marched against him, and speedily overthrew him, and reduced the whole tribe of Makrīt to subjection, but the Bīgī, Tūķtā, with Koṣhlūk, the Tayānak Khān's son, sought an asylum with Būe-Rūk, the Nāemān, elder brother of the latter, as detailed farther on.

Most of the accounts of Tamur-chi's proceedings, after the overthrow of the Tayānak Khān, are somewhat obscure and confused, but the authorities quoted in the Tārfkh-i-Alff throw considerable light upon these events. I must refer to them briefly, leaving numerous details of the life of the Chingiz Khān, both here, as well as elsewhere, in these notes, for some future day, when I hope to give them in proper order, and detail.

About this time Jāmūkah, the Sājān, the Jājar-āt, was seized by his own people, bound hand and foot, and brought to Tamur-ghī, his mortal foe. He, considering that, as the Jājar-āts had not been faithful to their own chief, they would scarcely prove faithful to him, commanded that the greater part of them should be massacred, and this, as will subsequently appear, was the treatment traitors generally received at Mughal hands. Jāmūķah was made over to a nephew of Tamur-ghī, with orders to put him to death by dividing him limb from limb, because this was the treatment he had reserved for his rival, in case he had fallen into his power. He bore it without flinching, merely observing that he would have treated Tamur-ghī after the same fashion, and telling the executioners how to proceed, and thus he met his end.

Tamur-chī, after this success, returned to his own yūrat, and despatched agents to various tribes of the Mughals, and exhorted them to submit. Such as did so were cherished, and such as refused were reduced and punished. In the following year—601 H.—Tamur-chī moved against the Makrīt tribe, which, through their determined hostility, he sought to root out entirely The Raugatus-Şafa mentions these events as taking place a year later. The Bigi, Tüktā, the Makrīt chief, having fled from the forces of Tamur-chī, took shelter with

of these matters, and this affair will not be accomplished, nor succeed at the hands of any other except him."

another division of the Makrit tribe-the Urbar Makrit-the chief of which was named Da-ir or Ta-ir [the Turks, and the people of the different i-maks, use d for t and t, and vice versa] Asun, who, with his division of the tribe. was then encamped on the Taz Muran, or River Taz, hoping to obtain support from them. When the Bigi, Tüktä, and his followers arrived there, Ta-ir Asun told them that he had not the power to cope with Tamur-chi, and so, taking along with him his daughter, Külan Khatun, he sought the presence of Tamurchi, who received him honourably. He then represented that, for want of cattle, the whole of his people were unable to come and join his camp: but Tamurcht, being somewhat suspicious of them, would not allow him and his followers to dwell in his own yarat, but placed an intendant over them, and, soon after. Tamur-chi set out for his own yarat, as before stated. After his departure, the Makrits, with Tā-īr Asūn, took to plundering the Mughais still remaining behind, but were resisted, and the plunder recaptured from them. After this, the Makrits went away. Tamur-chi, on becoming aware of their proceedings, resolved to uproot them He invested one sept of them, the Udüküt, who were in the stronghold of Bijand, which they call Waekal Kurghan, took it, overcame several other septs of the same tribe, and then retired, The Bigf, Tüktä, with his sons and a few of his people, fled to Büe-Rük the Nāemān, the elder brother of the Tayānak Khān, while his own sept, with the rest of the Makrit tribe, along with Ta-ir Asun, retired to the banks of the river Sälingäh, near the fortress of Kürkah Kinchan, or Kipjan [9] and there took up their quarters. Tamur-chl on this despatched a force under two of his Nü-yins, against them. The Makrit, were mostly destroyed, and the remainder of them were conducted to Tamur-chi's presence.

In the month of Jamadi-ul-Akhir of this same year 601 H . Tamur-chi, having ordered his forces to be mustered, resolved to move into the country of Tingkit which is described - الكوت and Tingût - سابت and Tingût - سابت which is described as a mountain country called Anksãe or Ankasãe, of great elevation, adjoining the country of Khitle The Mughals style the country, which contained eities, fortresses, and fine buildings, Kashin [this is the country about which Mr. H. H Howorth, in his "Mongols Proper," quoting D'Ohsson probably, says, "Tangut, the His of the 'Chinese,' had been previously known as Ho St." and had been "corrupted by the Mongols into Kaschin"! Who is the anthority that they or any one else corrupted it? On the very next page of the same work we find that " Tangut " is "Kansuh," and, further on, that " Kan-su" is "dependent on the kingdom of Hia!"], and, on the way thither, Ta-ir Asun, the Urhar Makrit chief was seized and brought to Tamur-chi. Having reached Tingküt, otherwise Kāshīn, the chief place, which appears to have given name to the country-but an Uzbak writer says the country was called Ankasiethe fortress of Lankas was taken by storm and levelled with the ground, and the ter tory of Kashin was plundered and devastated. From thence Tamur-chi advanced towards Kalangüsh - منارث or Kalanküsh, which was a vast city, and very strong. It was taken, and the greater part of the territory of Tingküt was also plundered and devastated. From thence Tamur-chi returned, in triumph, to his own rurat again. Karā-Kuram, I may mention, is never once named in the histories I have been quoting from, up to this period

Every tribe, however, which submitted, Tamur-chi ceased from oppressing and treating with severity, incorporated it with his people, and showed it

submit to his command; and, in conformity with the usual

to the territory, was surprised, and Shidarkū and his people submitted. He was left without further molestation, it is said, on agreeing to pay tribute, and permitting the Mughals to occupy his capital. Abū-l-Ghād, Bahādur Khān, says he was an aged prince or ruler, and that his capital was taken by assault after a long investment, and its walls thrown down. From our author's accounts farther on, however, it will be found that Shidarkū had often boasted of his defeats of the Chingiz Khān, and was, at last, treacherously put to death by him. Kāshīn city was the point at which the great kārudars of traders met from the west and south in their trade with Khījā or China. It was a very rich city, and the abode of learned men. It is evident that it was a city of the Buddhists, but few would recognize Kāshīn under the vitiated name of Campion given to it by the old European travellers. In the Kāshīnar Mission Report the route is referred to, at p. 114, as the Chachan route, but, at page 139, of the same Report, Kāshīn is turned into "Cāshīnin"

At the same period the Chingiz Khān, having returned from the subjugation of Tingküt, subdued the Kirkiz territory.

In the winter of the before-mentioned year [603 H.], but some say the winter of 604 H, which appears to be most correct, the Chingiz Khān set out in order to attack the Bigi, Tüktä, and his Makrits, and Koshlük and his Näemäns, who had again acquired considerable strength on the frontier of the Ardigh territory, which some connect with Tibbat, whilst others say that it is also the name of a stronghold on the frontiers of the territories of the Makrits and Näemäns, but that it was a fortress is very doubtful. Ardigh apparently extended to Tibbat or the south.

In Shaw's account of "High Tartary," Artish appears as the chief town of a district, watered by a river of the same name, lying north of Kāshghar city on the northern frontier of the Kāshghar state. It appears under the name of Artish in Colonel Walker's last map, and, in the Kāshghar Mission Report, under the name of Artish and Artish. It is probable that this name, correctly written Ardish or Artish [with dort], applied to a much larger extent of country, now buried in the sands, extending S W as far as the frontier of Tibbat, as ancently constituted, but the sands of the desert have buried former landmarks in this direction.

Mr. H. H. Howorth, however, straightway, transfers this tract, in his "Mongols Proper," to "the land watered by the littish," about 10° farther North than the part indicated, even according to the map of "Mongolia" in his own book! West of the Yellow River it certainly was

Although the cold was intense and the water frozen, the Chingiz Khān set out with a vast army, and on the way the Bīgī Kolūkah, also written Kūnūkah, of the Mughal tribe of Ūīr-āt [عراب] with his people, unable to resist, submitted to the Chingiz Khan, and they were incorporated with his army, and conducted it into Ardīsh, where they came upon Koshlūl, and the Bīgī, Tūktā An engagement ensued between them, and the confederates were overwhelmed by superior numbers, and Tūktā was killed by an arrow in the action.

Kodū, the brother of Tūktā, and the latter's three sons with him, endeavoured to carry his body off, but, finding this impossible, they cut off the head and carried it with them. They, in company with Koshlūk, fled from the territory of Ardish into that of the I-ghūrs, the situation of which has been already

customs in force among that people, these important matters

indicated, and sent an agent of their own to the Yiddf-Küt, whose capital was Bigh Bailgh, and asked for shelter. He siew the agent, and cast his body into the Kham [--m some MSS. the point has been left out altogether, and in others put under instead of over—hence it has been incorrectly styled the Jam] Murän. This river is said to rise in the hills crossing the Gobf or Shāmo desert, to run S.S.W., and to fall into the Hoang-ho—the Karā Murān—on the borders of Tibbat, and I believe, from the context, that this is correct. I shall refer to it again farther on. This desert of said has destroyed many landmarks, and overwhelmed many cities, hence writers are led to look farther north, east, and west for places, and to make rash guesses respecting them, while they he buried under the sands of the Gobf. The explorations of the Russian Colonel, Prejevalsky, throw considerable light on the parts about Lob Näwar, and the mountains to the south.

The Yîddî-Küt, having slain the agent, turned out with his people to expel them, and the fugitives, tired and worn out from the hardships they had endured in their flight, after a slight skirmish, being unable to cope with the I-ghürs, went off, and the Yîddî-Küt sent the news of their repulse and flight to the Chingis Khān. Koahlük retired, by way of Bigh-Bālgh, into the territory of the Gür Khān of the Karā-Khitā-Î, while the Makrits retired to Kam-Kunghak and a [written and into Kam-Kunghak] [written and into Kam-Kunghak] [written and into Kam-Kunghak], and, consequently, the most absurd errors have arisen, and no wonder "the country to which he went is not known." This must not be mistaken for Kam-Kamjitit——about which I shall have more to say farther on, but as the tract east of Lob Nāwar.

Koshlük was well received by the Gür Khān, who gave him his daughter in marriage, the details respecting which, and his subsequent ingratitude, have been given in a previous note, on the Karā Khitā-t dynasty, page 930.

After the overthrow and death of the Bigl, Tüktä, the Chingiz Khān despatched two agents to the Kirghiz or Kirkiz tribe, calling upon them to aubmit. The Bādahāh, as he is styled, Ūrūs Ī-nīāi, by name, finding himself unable to offer any opposition, sent back with them an agent of his own with presents, including a rare bird—the Ak-Shunkār—probably a white eagle, or some bird of the same species, and made his submission. This event is said to have happened in 603 H, but, as it certainly happened after the overthrow of the Makrit chief, which, as already mentioned, some say took place in 604 H,, the submission of the Kirghiz may have happened in that year also, for, in consequence of the Bigl, Tüktā's finding shelter in that part, the Chingiz Khān called upon them to submit to his yoke.

The next accession of strength gained by the Mughal sovereign was the homage, in 605 H., but some say in 604 H., of Bāūrchik—50., h—a ruler of other tribes of I-ghūrs, which belong to the Mughal i-māh although they are neither Kaiāts, Nagūz, nor Durāl-gins. They consisted of over one hundred and twenty different septs, and were descended in a direct line from Mughal Khān, brother of Tāttār Khān, which former was grandfather of Aghūz Khān, and the I-ghūrs were the first to join him against Karā Khān, his father, as already related. In religion, the I-ghūrs were Lamaists, and, in times previous to those here referred to, the Bāghlighs, or Chiefs of the Un I-ghūrs, used to be styled Il-Iltār, and those of the Tūkūz I-ghūrs, Kol-Irkān, or Il-Irkān,

were caused to be ratified. He said: "If you will be obe-

and, in after-times, when about a century of their sovereignty had passed, those titles fell into disuse, and the title given to their ruler was Yiddi-Kütwhich, as regards the first word, in some MSS. is written in such a manner that the two points of the first letter i-y-are run into one, and made to appear as , b-which alters it altogether. The proper mode of writing it is evidently the above, with the d doubled, which I have taken from a work written by an Uzbak Mughel. In writing words of this kind beginning with an alif-l-is sometimes substituted, thus Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadur Khan, writes it Lil-Iddi. It signifies "the Lord of Sovereignty," but some writers say, "the Reigning Prince," and his territory lay in Turkistan. At the time in question, he was a tributary to the Gur Khan [The "Aydy Cút," of "Balásághún," as Surgeon-Major Bellew styles him at p. 140 of the Käshghar Mission History, had nothing whatever to do with Bilasa-ohun that was the Gur Khan's capital The Yiddi Kut's chief town was Bigh-Bäligh), whose Shahnah or Intendant, named Shäu-kam, dwelt at his court. Having occasion to complain to this Intendant about his illegal and oppressive acts towards the I-ghur people, and receiving naught but insolence and threats in return, the Yiddi-Küt, having heard the noise of the Chingiz Khan's invincibility, and being himself, with his tribe, descended from the same i-māk, slew the Intendant of the Gur Khan at Karā Khwajah, -a place still well known in I-ghuristar-and flung the hody into the Kham Muran, saying, at the same time, that no one was safe who was the enemy of the Chingiz Khan, and he determined to despatch an agent to him The latter, who was, by no means, friendly inclined towards the Gur Khan for giving shelter to Koshlük, the Näeman chief, on hearing what had happened, despatched in agent named Dürbäe, with a friendly message to the Yiddf-Küt, and invited him to come to him, for the I-ghur ruler is said to have previously informed the Chingiz Khān that he had driven Koshlūk, and the Bigi, Tükta's brother and sons out of his territory A few writers say the I-ghur was the first to negotiate Be this as it may, according to the majority of the most trustworthy historians, the YIddī-Kūt, dreading the resentment of the Gür Khan, was well pleased to seek the protection of the Mughals. He accordingly set out from the I-ghur territory bearing rich presents-for he was a very wealthy prince-consisting of gold, silken garments, cattle, and horses, among which were tooo of high breed, and slaves both male and female This was in 605 H [A D 1208-9] When these negotiations began, the Chingiz Khan was in the territory of Tingkut, whither he had gone, in that same year, to chastise the ruler of that country, Shidarku, who, with some other chiefs, had revolted, and among whom was the Khan of Kirkiz whose country was utterly ruined. The Mughals then appeared before the city of Iriki [Polo's Egrigaia", but, in a work written by an Uzbak, it is Atkeyl. the ruler of Tinkut, thereupon made his submission, and is said to have sent his daughter to be espoused by the Chingiz Khan These events took place ш 606 н.

On his way back the Yiddī-Kūt reached his presence with befitting offerings. He was well received by the Chingiz Khān, and a liberal appanage was assigned him. He solicited that he might be considered as the Great Khān's fifth son, being himself the son of a Khān. This was consented to, and one of the Chingiz Khān's daughters was given him to wife, and he became his fifth son—his son—in-law.

dient to my mandates, it behoveth that, if I should command the sons to slay the fathers, you should all obey," and they entered into a solemn promise accordingly; and the first command he gave was that they should slay the sons of the great Amir Bāisū, who had been the associate [in the chieftainship] with the Chingiz Khān's father. He [the Chingiz Khān] brought the whole of the tribes under his own sway, and set about making preparations for hostilities, and employed himself in getting ready war material and arms. As the numbers of the Mughals had largely increased and become very great, and an account of this determination of the Chingiz Khān had reached the hearing of the Altūn Khān, he nominated [a force of] 300,000 horse in order to guard the route against the

There is a different version of this matter, and, from the circumstantial manner in which it is related, it bears the impress of truth. The Yfddf-Küt served under Uktae and Chaghatae Khans during the invasion of the Musalman territories, and was at the siege of Utrar. After returning from that campaign, when he presented himself before the Chingiz Khan, on the latter's return homewards, the I-ghur king solicited an alliance, and one of the Chingiz Khān's daughters was betrothed to him, but the nuptial knot was never tied during the Chingiz Khan's lifetime. When Uktae succeeded, the Yiddi-Küt solicited that the marriage might be completed, but, in the meantime, the lady died On this Okiāe betrothed him to Ulājī Bigi, his own daughter, but before that marriage could be carried out the I-ghur ruler was removed from the world. On this, his son proceeded to the Ka'an's presence, and was married to Uktāe's daughter, but he too soon followed his father, and was succeeded by his own brother in the rulership of his people, by command of Türākinah Khātūn, durang the time she administered the government, after her husband's, Uktāe's, decease

After the Chingir Khān had gained so many victories, and acquired such power, the chiefs of other tribes and their people now began to submit to him, and among them was Arsalān Khān, the most prominent of the chiefs of one portion of the numerous Turkish tribe of Kāilūgh [or Kārlūk: it is written both ways] who submitted to him, and joined him with all his people. This was in 607 H, when the Chingir Khān was encamped at Kalūr-ān. There were several divisions of the Kārlūk or Kārlūgh Turks or Turk-māns, as they are likewise called by several oriental writers, as may be gathered from what I have mentioned in the account of the Afrāsiyābī Mailks, pages 907 and 925, and in note 3, page 376

I have now briefly noticed the most prominent events in the life of the Chingiz Khān up to the time of his revolt against the Altān Khān, where our author's account takes its proper place.

In a few modern copies—" and fathers to slay their sons —in addition to the former part of the sentence.

The Printed Text has Baisū also in a note, but in the page itself the particle I, governing the oblique case, of, is so printed as to appear like part of the word, thus—1,——

Mughals, and hold the pass [leading out of the tract

then occupied by them].

The Chingiz Khan despatched a Musalman, named Ja'far, who was among that people [the Mughals], among the forces of the Altun Khan under semblance of traffic; and the Altun Khan commanded that he should be imprisoned : and he detained him for a considerable time. The prisoner fin question], by some good contrivance that became practicable, fled from that confinement; and, by a secret route, made for the presence of the Chingiz Khan, and related the matter to him, and informed him respecting the road by which he had come. The Chingiz Khan determined upon the design of rebelling, got his forces ready, and first directed so that the whole of the Mughal families assembled together at the base of a mountain. He enjoined that all the men should be separated from the women. and the children from their mothers; and, for three whole days and nights, all of them remained bare-headed: and for three days no one tasted food, and no animal was allowed to give milk to its young. The Chingiz Khan himself entered a khargah [a felt tent], and placed a tent-rope about his neck, and came not forth from it for three nights and days; and, during this period, the whole of the people [there assembled] were crying out, Tingri! Tingri!

After three days, at dawn, on the fourth day, the Chingiz Khān issued from the tent, and exclaimed, "Tingri hath given me victory. Now we will get ready that we may wreak our vengeance upon the Altūn Khān!" For the space of another three days, in that same place likewise, a feast was held. At the end of those three days, he.led forth his troops; and, following the route by which that fugitive, Ja'far, had come through the mountains, they issued forth, and assailed the country of Tamghāj, carried their inroads into it, and put the people to the sword. When the news of the Chingiz Khān's outbreak became spread abroad, and reached the Altūn Khān, he imagined that, perhaps, that army of 300,000 horse, which were holding that pass and the high road, had been overthrown.

In a few copies on a mission to demand peace or war-but he was evidently sent as a spy.

Pass or Defile. Thus in the text, but one of the entrances in the Great Wall

and put to the sword; and the heart of the Altun Khan,

is meant—that of Salū-ling-kiw?—باو لـنات كور which, according to Ḥāfig Abrū, "having once been passed, the country of Khitäe may be considered as subdued."

I must here also briefly relate what other more modern writers, who wrote however under Mughal influence, state; because our author's account contains much that no others have related, and he was contemporary with the Chingiz Khān, knew many of the actors in these events, and was not influenced by the patronage of Mughal sovereigns.

Now that the Chinese Khan, through the submission of the Yiddi-Kut of the I-ghurs, had reduced, nominally, or partially, at least, all the tribes between the Gür Khān's dominions on the west, and Khitā, or Northern China, on the east, and most of the Mughal tribes, and had become exceedingly prosperous, and his forces countless, he resolved to make an attempt upon the territory of the Altan Khan of Khita, Shudai-Shu-o-shu-the "Ninkiassu" of some European writers-to whom, for many ages, his forefathers had been tributary. He wanted a plea, like the wolf in the fable, and found one as easily, and certainly more justly, than another descendant of Yāfis has lately manufactured one against the 'Usmānli Turks: only the Chingiz Khan acted openly, not perfidiously, or hypocritically; so, what had happened seven and four generations before respectively, he now adopted as an excuse for invading the Altan Khan's dominions. The Altan Khans of by-gone times had put to death two chiefs' sons of the Nairin Mughals, as already related in the account of the Turks, namely Hamanghi or Hamanki, and Ükin-Barkāk.

Oriental writers differ considerably in their accounts of these events. It appears that the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> continually conferred with his chiefs and tributaries on the injuries and wrongs their forefathers had sustained at the hands of the <u>Khitā-la</u>, by reason of which the <u>Mughal</u> people were looked upon with scorn by other nations, their neighbours. He recalled to them the prediction [the imposture of Tab Tingri, previously referred to] that they were always to be victorious over their enemies.

On this, the Khwājah, Ja'ār—the very same as mentioned by our author—a Musalmān of sagacity, as he is called, who had long been in the Chingta Khān's service—as being a more respectable agent, probably, than a barbanan Mughal—was despatched to the court of the Åltān Khān, to intimate to that monarch his accession to the sovereignty of the Mughal tribes, and calling upon him to render allegiance, and pay tribute to his former vassals, the Mughals, m which case he might continue as heretofore to rule over Khītā! The Åltān Khān treated the messenger and his demands with utter contempt, and sent him away

The author of the "Mongols Proper," who disdains all who wrote in Persian (while his information is derived from translations from them), with the exception, I suppose, of the "great Raschid," as mere "second-rate authorities," "maddy streams," &c., &c., turns this Musalman, whose name plainly indicates his religion, and who was not a Mughal, into "Jafar Khodsha," and adds that he was "one of the principal Mongols"!!

Then occurred the tent and fast scene related by our author, but in much greater detail. More particulars respecting the impostures of the Mughal ruler will be found farther on.

After this, in the eighth month of 607 [Merch, 1211 A.D.] H., the Mughal troops

and of the whole of the inhabitants of the country of Tamghāj, became much afflicted.

were assembled; a portion, amounting to 10,000 horse, under Tughachār, also styled Dālān, was left behind to guard the Chingiz Khān's own camp and territory, and keep the conquered tribes of Karāyat, Nāemān, and others quiet, while, from the remainder, two armies were formed: one was despatched under the Chingiz Khān's three sons, Jūjf, Chaghatāe, and Üktāe, and some of his Nū-yfns, into Khūrjah [Corea of Europeans], passing through the country of the Kāl-fmāk, who had already acknowledged the supremacy of the Mughals. There they committed great devastation, and sacked cities and towns without opposition, the troops of that country having gone to join the Khifā-f forces.

The country of Khūrjah, or Khūrjat, is said to have been computed at seventy tomāns—700,000—that is to say, such was the number of fighting men it had to furnish—and the city of Sūkin [[[]]] or Sunkin [[]], as it is also called, and the great city of Kūiking [[[]]], which was one of the greatest in the empire, was captured by Jabbah lour author's

Yamah], the Nü-yin, and destroyed.

Subsequently, Jūjī, and his brothers, advanced in another direction, and wrested out of the hands of the Khitā-īa, the cities of Tūng—[possibly alph—Kūng]—Chiw—pop — Sūk-Chiw—pop — Kū-Chiw—pop — Un-ūī—Kūng]—Land Long-Ching—alph—[one MS. alpha-ip]. I may mention that no languages are worse than the Persian, and such others as use the 'Arabic characters, for recording foreign proper names, unless the scribes are very careful to point the letters correctly, and no language is so bad, probably, for vitiating the pronunciation of foreign words as the Chinese, and, therefore, the absolute accuracy of these Chinese names cannot be vouched for. I have added the originals as I find them, but after comparing and anthenticating them as well as possible—I have, among other helps, used four copies of Alfi

The Chingiz Khān himself, with his army, received further reinforcements near the river Til, also written Til, of Karā-Khijāe, and the cities, which lay on the banks of that river, such as Baisūe——and others, were taken.

After that, Ülä-Küsh or Alāküsh, Turin Kürin, chief of the Ungküt Turks, the same who betrayed the Tayānak Khān's proposals to Tamur-shi, again betrayed the trust reposed in him. He and his tribe were subject to, and in the pay of, the Khiṭā-i sovereigns, and located in the part now approached by the Mughals, for the purpose of guarding that part of the Great Wall or Barner called Üin-Kūn-sp 21 by the Turkish tribes, and which was built for the purpose of restraining the Karāyats, Nāemāns, and Mughals, and preventing their molesting the Khiṭāe territory. He had a grievance against the Altān Khān, and admitted the Mughals within the Great Wall, and provided the invaders with guides.

The name Ung-kût or Üfn-kût is said to signify the guards of the Wall or Barner It is also written Unkut—مانورقور Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, says the Turks call this Wall or Barner Tür-kürghah [or Tür-kürghah]

and the Khitā-İs, Üngü—اونكو

Some writers state, with regard to these events, that all the towns and

When the news of that disaster, plunder, devastation,

cities, which submitted without resistance and furnished supplies to the invaders, were spared, but that all others were destroyed.

He then turned his face towards the Åltän Khān's capital, and metropolis of Khitšie, which, in the Tārlich-i-Jahān-gir, Habib-us Siyar, &c., is named Chingdii [مَحْدَر] or Chingdii [مَحْدَر], where the Åltän Khān then was. This must be our author's city of Tamghāj, that is to say, the chief city of the country of Tamghāj.

When the Altan Khim became aware of the advance of the Mughal host, he marched with his army, renaforced by the forces of Khürjah—a numerous host—and took up a position to guard one of the strong entrances leading into his empire, detaching a considerable body of troops in advance to watch the frontiers and harass the Mughals if opportunity occurred. This could have been of little effect with the Mughals within the Great Wall, and, evidently, is the same circumstance as our author refers to; but he says, more probably, that the Altan Khām saws 300,000 horse to guard the entrance into his territory. The sovereigns of Khitle did not usually accompany their armies, and Häßis Abrū also says that he was not present. Our author also mentions the same Ja'fir; and the latter's return, by a secret route, evidently refers to the route by the Great Wall, betrayed by Alä-kügh. Tigin Kūrin.

To return to the accounts of writers who wrote a century or more after our author. The force detached from the Åltän Khān's main army, commanded by the Amfrs of Khūrjah, was so far successful that, information having reached it that the Mughals, after capturing one of the cities in the vicinity, were then engaged, unsuspicious of the near approach of enemies, in dividing the spoil in their camp, the Khitä-f leaders thought this an excellent opportunity, and determined to endeavour to surprise them. They came upon the Mughals when cooking their food, but the Chingiz Khān was speedily on the alert, and his troops, dropping their cookery, were soon mounted, and they speedily put the Khitä-fs to the rout.

The main army of the Åltān Khān, which had advanced to meet the Mughals, when within a few marches of them, was found to be so much fatigued that it was deemed advisable to halt to give it some rest. Its camp was fortified by a deep trench in front, and the waggons or carts of the army were placed on either flank. Hearing, however, that the Mughals were advancing in search of them, they foolishly left this secure position, and, despising the Mughals whom they had so often coerced in former times, sallied forth to meet them. The battle was obstinate and bloody, but ended without any decisive result; for, although the Khiṭā-Îs lost nearly 30,000 men, the Mughals lost even more. The Chingie Khān thought it advisable to retire with his spoils towards his own borden, and the Khiṭā-Îs did not deem it advisable to follow, as they were much worn out with long marchés and their exertions in the late battle.

Hāfis Abrū says it was one of the Chingis Khān's most famous battles, that the Khiṭā-is were nearly annihilated, and that it took place towards the end of 607 M. [about the end of May, 1211 A.D.], while some writers leave it out entirely. If the Khiṭā-is were nearly annihilated, it is strange the Mughals should have retired. The Ḥabīb-us-Siyar also says the Khiṭā-is were overthrown, and that the Āltān Khān fled in dismay to his capital. Fearing for the safety of that city, if the war continued, the Āltān Khān now summoned his minister and his two principal generals, to deliberate on the

and slaughter, reached that [great] army which was guard-

state of affairs. It was agreed that a temporary accommedation should be entered into, if possible, in order to get rid of the Mughals for the present, trusting to what events might happen hereafter; and to give themselves time to make preparations for the future when once rid of them. An ambassador was despatched to treat with the Chingu Khān, and the Āltān Khān's daughter, Konjū by name, was offered him in marriage. This offer was at once accepted for some cause—probably because he had lost so heavily in the great battle, and because he found the conquest of the Āltān Khān's country, at that time, and under present circumstances, was not so easy as he had expected. For these reasons, and flattered with the condescending offer of the Khān's daughter, and such an imperial alliance, the Chingu Khān accepted the offer of peace; and accompanied by the Khiṭā-Ī princess withdrew from the Āltān Khān's dominions to his yārat in the country of Karā-Kuram.

According to the Chinese historians quoted by Gaubil, the great battle just referred to, took place in A. D. 1212 [= 609-10 H.], near the mountain [range I] Yehu, seven or eight leagues W.N.W. of Swen-wha-fü; and, in an attack upon Tai-tong-fü, the Chingis Khān was dangerously wounded, upon which he thought fit to return home.

The Khifa-is on this retook several places, among which was Kü-vang-quan.

These historians also state that, on the subsequent return of the Chingis Khān, in A.D. 1213, a still more bloody battle took place between the Khita-is and the invaders near Whayley, four or five leagues W. of Kü-yang-quan, and that the field was strewed with dead bodies for four leagues together. This coincides exactly with what our author describes at page 965, which see.

As soon as the Muchals had withdrawn, the Altan-Khan left his son at Ching-du, with several distinguished nobles as his counsellors, along with a considerable army, and withdrew himself from the capital, which was situated a little to the north of the city, called, as after-years, Khan-Baligh by the Mughais, and is said to be the Yen-king of the Chinese, situated a little N. of the present Pekin, and, doubtless our author's city of Tamghaj, by which he does not mean to say that such was its name, but that it was the city—the capital-of the Tamghai country or empire. The Altan Khan retired to Taiming-was -the Pyen-lyang of the Chinese, and called also Nanking, and still called Pyen-lyang. Its site is just where Kai-song-fü, the capital of Honan now stands, which his father had founded, and which they likewise call Anta-e or Inta-! [.] | 7], which is somewhat doubtfully written. It is said to have been some forty leagues in circumference, surrounded by a triple wall, and situated on a river which they call the Chang or Ching-Khü [j-wa, and some the Unit-15-Muran], and "in which [on one side of which?] its foundations were laid. The breadth of this river is so great, that, between early morning and evening, a boat passes from one side to the other, and returns with considerable exertion." On the way to this city, some of the Altan Khan's troops deserted him, and went away and joined the Mughals; and the Chingiz Khan, on becoming advised of the Altan Khan's retirement from Chang-du, despatched an army under two Amirs of Tomans-the Bahadur Samukah-[Hafis Abru has Sajukah], the Salifut, and another Nú-yan to mvest Ching-du, which they did.

The Ḥabīb-us-Siyar gives a different account of these events, which agrees more with the Chinese statements, which affairs are said to have happened in 608—10 st. [A.D. 1211—13], that the whole of the northern part of the Āltān Khān's

ing the high road, through panic, on account of the state of

dominions was in a disturbed and disaffected state, and that disloyalty and sedition prevailed, so much so that the Altan Khan's son, through these disaffections, withdrew from Ching-dii, and went and joined his father, and that intelligence of the forsaken condition of that capital conveyed to him through the governor of Khūrjah, who tendered his allegiance to him, induced the Chingis Khan to invade Khita a second time.

Another account is that the Altan Khan had given orders to ravage some parts of Kari-Khitae [i.e. north-west of, and beyond the Great Wall] on which the people sent agents to the Chingis Khan at Kara-Kuram, and sought his protection, and the Khan of one of the disaffected parts, having gained possession of one of the fortresses guarding one of the entrances through the Great Wall, offered to admit the Mughals thereby. This statement is confused, and refers to the first, not the second expedition, as I have shown. However, it is farther stated that the Chingis Khan thought the time propitions, and determined on invading the Altan Khan's dominions again, and that he proposed that his I-ghur and Karlugh allies should take a part in the expedation; but, being unable, through sickness-caused by the wound perhaps, referred to previously—to proceed himself, the command was given to Samükah, the Salıfüt, his oldest Nü-van.

The Chinese authors tell us that the Chingis Khan, having retired from Khitae, after the accommodation with the Altan Khan, and, having received his daughter in marriage, in 1211 A D., set out, accompanied by Jüji, to conquer Kibchak-an error for Kam-Kunchak previously referred to-in order to reduce several tribes which had been subject to the Wang Khan, who had nought to do with "Kipchak," that the tribes inhabiting Jatah [European Getes] submitted, and that, leaving half his forces with Juji, who defeated the Komans, Walaks, Bulghars, and Hungarians, the Chingis Khan retired to Karā-Kuram Now this is wholly incorrect, and caused apparently through mistaking Kam-Kunchak for Kibchäk. The Chingiz Khan never entered Kihchāk, and Jūji was not sent into Kibchāk until several years after-he sever went against either Walāks, Bulghārs, or Hungarians-as will be seen farther on; and it is quite certain that the Awang Khan had nothing to do with their country.

The same writers also state that the Chingis Khān determined to invade Khitle again in consequence of certain threats of the Altan Khan, and, at the instigation of the Gur Khan, who had been provoked by the Altan Khan's ravages on his territory, and who had, by help of some rebels, sexed a considerable fortress which opened the way into China. Here they have terribly confused matters. The Gur Khan dynasty had already terminated, and the Ungküt Turks betrayed the passage through the great mound or Wall on the occasion of the first invasion.

The Raugat-us-Safe also states that another Mughal army was despatched into Khurjah to prevent the forces of that territory from going to the aid of the Altan Khan, and several places in it were taken. Meanwhile, the other army is said to have been met, on its advance, by the army of the Altan Khan, which was pushing forward to meet it; and it is related that the advance of the Mughals was defeated, but that, the main army coming up, the Khujā-la were overthrown and routed, and their troops took refuge in different cities. The capital was strongly garrisoned, and the Altan Khan's son is said to have been there in command [this is totally contrary to the Habib-us-Siyar, written

affairs, they became dispersed, and were slain, and made captive.

The Chingiz Khān acquired domination over the countries of Saghar, and Tingit, and Tamghāj, and he came

by the son of the author of the Raugat-uş-Şafā], and the Mughals are said to have been induced to endeavour to take the city by assault, but to have been repulsed, and the investment continued for a long time. The defenders being numerous and the inhabitants likewise, the besiegers determined to starve the city into surrender, and subsequently it was taken by stratagem; and, this being reported to the Khijā-I sovereign, he was so affected that he destroyed himself by poison.

The Habib-us-Siyar says an attempt was made by a body of troops, each carrying a portion of grain, as well as the followers of the army, to conduct a convoy of provisions, to relieve the capital, but that they were intercepted on the march and defeated, and the convoy was taken. On this two of the Khiṭā-I generals destroyed themselves by means of poison, and others escaped to Tayming, and these disasters were followed by the submission of the capital

and country

The details of the capture of the city in the Raugut-uş-Şafā, and in the work of the Turkish author, Abū-l-Khair, are somewhat similar to our author's account, the details of which he had of persons who, shortly after its capture, visited it, and therefore his account is of the utmost value. The date of its capture is 610 H [A.D. 1213-14].

I have now brought up the events in the career of the Chingiz Khān, as briefly as possible, to where our author begins to give his narrative in greater detail. Hāfig Abrii gives most elaborate accounts of these events, but I must,

for want of space, leave his particulars for another occasion

This is the same name as occurs at page 267 and 270, and in the second para immediately under, and in the account of Tüshi [] uji] Khan farther on. تعرب مرسيفر سيفر الله The word varies in the different copies of the text from نعرب ما الله الله الله الله الله of the Khwarazmi Sultans, I concluded, from the mention, invariably, of Tingit and Tamghaj along with it, that the I-ghur country must be meant, from the third form of the doubtful word as given above---Then again I thought the word must be-saghar-an 'Arabic word in common use, signifying the frontier of an infidel country, but this, too, is, I think, from what is mentioned farther on, also untenable, although Tingit and Tamghāj are still used in connexion with it As, in Turkish words, occurring in the histories of this period, the letters & and as are interchangeable, I was inclined to consider that the word here might be Saghar, or Sakar, or Saghir, or Sakir, and that it referred to the place which the old travellers call, and what appears in the Jesuits' maps as, Sukkier, and Saker, and Sukquier, Sukuir, Suchur, and Sucur, in as many copies of Polo's work, but this idea must also be abandoned, for this reason that Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, never penetrated as far east as the limits of Turkistan, in that quarter, in his pursuit of Kadr Khan, with respect to whose pursuit in 615 H., it is first mentioned at pages 267 and 270, and there it is stated as being in or part of "Tatar," and "Turkistan" But it is also distinctly stated, on the former page, that, in reaching this identical part-"as far as Yighur [I-ghūr]-that is supposing "North Pole"-that the light of twilight never left the sky all night," and, before the gate of the city of Tamghāj and seat of government of the Altūn Khān [and invested it]. He continued

from note 3 to that page, even were the time midsummer, the Sultān must have reached as far north as the parallel of 49° or 50° of north latitude, for such a phenomenon to occur, and, consequently, Saltir or Saghir—the Sukkier, &c., of the old travellers, between Kāṣḥghar and Khṛṭā, is out of the question. Sibr————Siberia, likewise, will not do, as the word is written very differently.

In the notice of Tüghi [Jüji], farther on, referring to the same place and event, it is said that, "in the year 615 H., the Sulfan had gone to make a raid upon the tribes of Kadr Khān of Turkisjān, who was the son of Safaktān the Yamak," and that "Tüghi, from the side of Tamghā, had advanced with an army "--at page 269 it is said that "Tüghi had come out of Chin in pursuit of an army of Tatārs," and that refers to Tuk-Tughān, the Makrit chief, a totally different person from Kadr Khān, the son of Ṣafaktān-i-Vamak, but who, at page 267, is called Yūsuf [his correct name apparently is Yūsuf, and Kadr Khān his title], the Tatār, whose father's Turkish name was Safaktān, and his tribe the Yamak. According to our author, Ulugh Khān, afterwards Sulfān of Dihlit, was connected with the Yamak

In the lines of poetry with which our author closes this History, he styles his patron, Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam "Khān of the Ilbari, and Shāh of the Yamak;" and Sulfān I-yal-timigh is also said to have belonged to the Ilbari tribe, which, at page 796, is mentioned as being obliged to fly before the Mughals, "when they acquired predominance over the countries of Turkistān and the tribes of Khifchāk." Yamak or Yamāk is described as the name of a ruler and of a city or town, and also of a territory of Turkistān; and some add that it is also the name applied to the sovereign of the Î-ghūr, but that was, as already stated, Yīddī-Kūt Respecting the Ilbarī tribe, which I believe to be that which ancient authors call the Abars, or a part of them, I shall have something to say before closing these notes.

In 'Abd-ullah--Khurdadbih's work there are some items of information which may throw a little light on this difficult matter, but, still, a deal remains to be cleared up; and the copy of his work which I have referred to, unfortunately, has been damaged by damp in the middle of each page for some thirty or forty pages, in the very portion I wanted most to be perfect. He says, with reference to the Ghuzz tribe, that "the Ghuzzan are a people, the Malik of copies of our author's text, and may easily be mistaken for # by a copyist], and the capital of the Taghar Khakan is the city of Aral-J. There are Tarsan [Christians-Nestonans] there as well as Buddhists, and others, The people are nomads and live in khargāhs [round felt tents] and tents [different to the khargah], but their Maliks wear dresses of silk brocade, and silk, with wide sleeves and long skirts." He moreover says that the routes from that territory lead to Barsakhan- رسمان - which is said, by another writer, to be a place between I-ran and Türan, not a very satisfactory explanation, and from thence to-which, being without points, may be read many ways, where the routes [or where other routes] meet. From thence to Sakit ['----], and then to مكت Kashmi-ghasur-and from thence to مكت [it appears to be Hakat, but Jakut seems more probable, but I can only give the original word as I find it is a day's journey. It is farther added, that this walking or country-Taghar-is less in extent than Kujā - - described by another author as a place within Chin. Now all this, it appears to me, tends to

before the city for a period of four years, in such wise that every stone which was in that city they [the defenders] used to place in the catapults and discharge against the investors; and, when stones, bricks, and the like, ceased to be available, everything that was of iron, brass, lead, copper, tin, and pewter, all was expended in the catapults, and then ballishts [ingots] of gold and silver they continued to discharge in place of stones. Trustworthy [persons] have narrated on this wise, that the Chingiz Khan, during this period, had issued a mandate that no person in the Mughal army should take any notice of that gold and silver, nor remove any of it from the place where it might have fallen,

After a period of four years when that city was taken, and the Altun Khan had fled, and his son and his Wazir became captives in the hands of the Chingiz Khan. he commanded that, from the records of the treasuries and the Mushrifs [auditors] of the treasuries of the Altun Khan. a copy of the account of gold and silver should be procured. They brought it to the Chingiz Khan accordingly, showing how many balishts of gold and silver had been discharged.

show that the tract indicated in the text is no other than this TAGHAR [the part indicated is described as a uniayat by our author] OF THE GHUZZ, probably as far north as the Aral Näwar, and that, from the two words having been mistaken in MS. for one, the puzzling, but incorrect, I believe, subject of "Taghazgaz" has arisen [اهرهر out of المرهر], the chief of which, like several others in Turkistän, bore the title of Khāķān

Ibn-Haukal says, speaking of Chin, if you wish to proceed westward from the east, you come by Kharkhiz [this has no reference to the Karghiz], and Ghazghuzz عرض طرفر Taghar-1-Ghuzz ?], and by Kimak to the sea, a four months' journey. Again he says, in another place, that Ghuzz is the boundary of the land of the Turks, from Khurz and Kimāk, and to Khuranjiah ? -and Bulghar, and the boundary on the land of the Musalmans from Gurgan

[Jurianish of the 'Arabs] to Barab [1 e Farab] and Isfaniab.

I was in hopes that M. Barbier de Meynard's edition of 'Abd-ullah-i-Khurdadbih, published in the "Journal Asiatique," for 1865, would help me here, but the names of places are so fearfully incorrect as to render it perfectly useless for the purpose. For example: the well-known city of Nishapur is written with - instead of a; Isruahtah-اعروا- is written Iahrusnah المروسة; Uah, in the same way, although so well-known, is printed اوس Al-Ghur-اوس is printed Al-a'tiz, and so on.

7 A ballet or ballett signifies a pillow or bolster for the head, but, here, an ingot of gold or silver in the form of a pillow or bolster, which, in former days, was current among the the Turks. A balish of gold is said to have weighed eight miskals and two dangs, and a balish of silver, eight dirams and two dangs, but the bahsh here referred to must have been of far greater weight to have been of any effect on this occasion.

and, according to those records, he required the whole of the gold and silver: and he obtained it so that not a single bar thereof was missing.

From the Savvid-i-Ajall [most worthy Sayvid]. Bahāud-Din, the Razi-on whom be peacel-who was a Savvid of noble nature, and of manifest lineage, this servant of the state, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the compiler of this TABAKAT, heard, that Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shāh-on whom be the Almighty's mercy!-despatched him on a mission to the Chingiz Khan, and the reason for sending it was, that, when the account of the outbreak of the Chingiz Khan, and the predominance of the Mughal forces over the territories of Tamghai, and countries of Saghar' and Tingit, and the regions of Chin, from the extreme east, was brought to the hearing of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, he was desirous of investigating, by means of trustworthy persons of his own, the truth of this statement, and to bring certain information respecting the condition and amount of the Mughal forces and their weapons and warlike apparatus.* The writer [of this book] who is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, in the year 617 H., which was the first year of the Mughal forces' crossing the lihūn into Khurāsan, heard, whilst within the fortress of Tülak, from the lips of the 'Imad-ul-Mulk, Tāi-ud-Din, the Jāmi, the Dabir [secretary], who was one of the ministers of state of the Khwarazm-Shahi dynasty, that the ambition to appropriate the countries of Chin had become implanted in the heart of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, and he was constantly making inquiry respecting those states, and used to ask comers from the territories of Chin, and the extreme limits of Turkistan, about them. "We [his] servants " [continued

This name varies just as before in the different copies of the text. According to our author's statements at pages 268 and 270, and in his notice of Tüahi [Jüji], between the seizure of the Chingiz Khān's merchants and envoys and the Sulfān's return from 'Irāķ, that is to say, in 615 H., the Sulfān had penetrated into this part in pursuit of Kadr Khān, the Tatār, son of Safaktān, the Yamak, referred to at page 961, but his accounts are somewhat confused, wanting detail, and other writers do not refer to this particular affair.

The idiom varies considerably in different copies here, as in other places previously mentioned.

A few copies have "Hmd and Chin."

Tāj-ud-Din] "used to make representation in order to lead him from entertaining that resolution, but in no manner was that thought to be removed from his mind until he despatched the Sayyid-i-Ajall, Bahā-ud-Din, the Rāzi, for that important affair." ²

* Hamd-ullah, the Mustawii, in his Tārikh, says [see also note 4, page 265] that, before this catastrophe, the Chingiz Khan sent an envoy into I-ran, and entered into a treaty with the Sultan of Khwarazm, that compacts were entered into, and letters passed between them, and that the treaty was ratified Merchants are said to have proceeded to the wrdw of the Mughal ruler, without hesitation, on this understanding between the two rulers; and it is stated that the Chingiz Khan sent envoys and merchants of his own, a second time, along with them. This cannot be correct from the statement of the Sayyid, Bahā-ud-Din, who went thither, as our author relates, and the one despatch of envoys and merchants on this single occasion has evidently been made into two. It 15 also asserted that, as early as 614 H, after his return from Chaznin, the Sultan began to show less respect towards the Mughals, but the Sultan came to Ghaznin two years before that date, and in 615 H invaded the northern parts of Asia. [See preceding note 6.] In the year 614 H, the Khalifah, Un-Nāṣir, is said to have instigated the Mughal to attack the Sultan, as previously related in the note first referred to, to which the Chingiz Khān is said to have replied that the restless nature of the Sulfan would soon afford a plea for attacking him

Rashīd-ud- Din and Mîr Khāwınd state, that one cause of ill feeling on the part of the Mughal ruler towards the Musalmān Sulţān was, that the latter had despatched bodies of troops occasionally into parts under allegtance to the Mughals, and ill-treated the people, as though war had actually commenced, and, at last, overran a territory belonging to Koghlük, the Nāemān sovereign, which the Chingiz Khān considered his by right of conquest Notwithstanding all this, 't is said, the Mughal ruler was still inclined to keep on good terms with the Sulṭān This statement is not correct, however, as may be seen from note 4, page 268, and in the account of Tüshl [Jūjī] farther on The invasion of the territory in question—Ardīsh [turned into "Arwīsh" in the Kashghar Mission History]— they say, was when the Sulṭān fell in with a Mughal army under Jūṭ, and compelled it to fight, but, on that occasion, the Sulṭān's intention was to protect his own territories from invasion by fugitives flying from the Mughals, not to attack them.

Petis de la Croix in his "Genghiccan," pages 158 to 164, causes Abū-l-Khair and Rashīd to make a terrible blunder respecting the battle which took place between the Mughals under Jūjī, after the defeat of the Makrīts, and the Sultān, which he has previously correctly mentioned as having taken place in a.D. 1216 [H. 613], and makes out that a great battle was fought between the Sultān and the Chingiz Khān in person, in A.D. 1218 [H 615], while they never once met. He says the Sultān made secret levies of troops, and all those available from "Corassan, Balc, the Borders of India, and other parts of Iran," were directed to assemble at "Feraber," a town of "Bocara," that the Sultān's army amounted to 300,000 or 400,000 men, but yet was far inferior to the Mughal host. The Sultān is then said to have found the enemy at "Carcou," and an indecisive battle was the result. The details, however, are simply those of the battle which took place between the Gur Khān and the

The Sayyid, Bahā-ud-Din, related after this manner:-"When we arrived within the boundaries of Tamghai. and near to the seat of government of the Altun Khan. from a considerable distance a high white mound appeared in sight, so distant, that between us and that high place was a distance of two or three stages, or more than that. We, who were the persons sent by the Khwarazm Shahi government, supposed that that white eminence was perhaps a hill of snow, and we made inquiries of the guides and the people of that part [respecting it], and they replied: "The whole of it is the bones of men slain." When we had proceeded onwards another stage, the ground had become so greasy and dark from human fat, that it was necessary for us to advance another three stages on that same road, until we came to dry ground again.4 Through the infections [arising] from that ground, some [of the party] became ill, and some perished. On reaching the gate of the city of Tamghāi, we perceived, in a place under a bastion of the citadel, an immense quantity of human bones collected. Inquiry was made, and people replied, that, on the day the city was captured, 60,000 young girls, virgins threw themselves from this bastion of the fortress and destroyed themselves, in order that they might not fall captives into the hands of the Mughal forces, and that all these were their bones.

When we saw the Chingiz Khan, they brought in bound,

Sultān referred to m note 1, page 262, and note 1, page 980, which see, and thus a sad confusion of events is the result,

This worthy official is, I find, a totally different person from Badr-ud-Din, referred to in note?, page 270. I think therefore that such testimony is to be preferred to statements written about a century after by writers in the employ of Mughal sovereigns.

4 It is said that the number of killed in the great battle referred to in para, eighteen, of note 5, page 954, was so great that the beasts of the field and fowls of the air enjoyed their obscene feasts for more than a year, on that battle-field.

Other, but much more modern writers, state that the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, after his successes in <u>Khitā</u>, whither he did not proceed in person on the second invasion of that country, as already noticed, returned to his yārat, and sent officers in command of numerous troops to guard his conquests. From our author's statement above, contrary to all others, and derived from the Sayaid, Bahā-ud-Din, an eye-witness, the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> was himself at <u>Tamghāj</u> when the Sultān's agents had thus interview with him

Surgeon-Major Bellew tells us that "Changu," leaving strong garrisons in "Tughur" [1] and its frontiers, returned to his Yurt or "country seat" [5] at

where we were, the son of the Altun Khan, and the Wazir of his father; and, at the time of our return, the Chingiz Khān sent a great number of rareties and offerings with us for presentation to Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, and said: 'Say ye unto Khwarazm Shah, "I am the sovereign of the sun-rise, and thou the sovereign of the surset. Let there be between us a firm treaty of friendship, amity, and peace, and let traders and kārwāns on both sides come and go, and let the precious products and ordinary commodities which may be in my territory be conveyed by them into thine, and those of thine, in the same manner, let them bring into mine." Among the rareties and presents that the Chingiz Khan sent to Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, was a nugget of pure gold as big as a camel's neck, which they had brought to him [the Chingiz Khān] from the mountain [range] of Tamghai, so that it was necessary to convey that piece of gold upon a cart. With us also he despatched five hundred camels laden with gold, silver, silks, khass*-i-Khitäe [a coarse kind of woven silk of Khita], tarekū [a silken fabric red in colour], kundus [beaver], samur [sable], and raw silk, and elegant and ingenious things of Chin and Tamghai, along with merchants of his own; and the majority of those camels were laden with gold and silver. When Utrār was reached, Kadr Khān' of Utrār acted in a per-

Shaman Gara == "The Shaman's home" 1 wonder what a barbarian Mughal's "country seat" may have been. The Shaman's home—in what language "Gara" may mean "home" is not stated—refers probably to the piace called Saman-Kaharah, where he was chosen Khan. See previous note, paragraph twenty-five, page 937

Abū-I-Fidā says the envoys and merchants came from Mughūlistān through Karā-Khitāe, and Turkistān. No doubt, they took the ordinary

caravan-route by Turfan.

7 In some copies of the text, "a piece of beaten gold:" in others, as rendered above, which is doubtless the correct version.

* This word also means any lescription of fur made up into garments, but here the meaning is as above. Khijā-f, in itself, is, I believe, the name of a fabric, also called nankeen by Europeans.

According to some writers who explain the word, the animal is something like a fox, and some say, like an otter. It may mean the fur of the black fox or of the beaver

¹ Our author has made this same mistake before. His title was Ghā-ir Khān, not Kadr Khān, and his name was Anial Jūķ. Sec note?, page 271. In the Geographical Magazine for June, 1877, Mr. H. H. Hovorth, who

fidious manner, and sought permission from Sulţān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and, out of covetousness of that large amount of gold and silver, had the whole of the traders and travellers, and the emissaries [from the Chingiz Khān], slaughtered, so that not one among them escaped with the exception of a camel man who was at a bath, who, during that occurrence, managed to get out by way of the fireplace of the hot-bath, adopted a contrivance for his own safety, and, by way of the desert, returned to the territories of Chin and Tamghāi.

When he acquainted the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> with the particulars of that perfidy, and as Almighty God had so willed that this treachery should be the means of the ruin of the empire of Islām, it became evident that "the command of God is an inevitable decree," and the instruments of the predetermined will of fate became available—From Thy wrath preserve us. O God!"

This servant of the victorious government, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, heard from the son of Malik Rukn-ud-Din of Khaesār of Ghūr, who heard [the particulars] from Shāh 'Uşmān of Sistān,' who was one among the Princes of Nimroz, and a favourite of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, and the Shāh [Uşmān] swore that, for every treasury in which was

makes rash guesses and assertions on Turks, "Mongois," etc., says, referring to this person, that he was named Inalysis and entitled Ghair Khan," which is probably a corruption either of the Gur Khan or, as the anthor of the Tabakat-i-Nasir makes it, of Kadr Khan." In his "Mongois Proper," on the other nand, we are told in one place, that he was named "Inaljek," and, that Sultan "Muhammed ordered that he was named "Inaljek," and, that Sultan (I a form of Gur Khan); in a second place, that he was called Inalysis but Ghair Khan (I a form of Gur Khan); in a second place, that he was called Inalysis; and, in a third, that he was named Inalians, and "had been granted the title of Gur Khān by the former chief of Kara Khātai!" Now "Ghā-irKhān" is no more a form of Gur Khān than this Kankuli Turk was a "Gho," or an "original Ghuse." Ghā-ir is a purely 'Arabic word, derived from the same root as gharrat, and signifies the Khān jealous in point of honour or love, the high-minded Khān: it was his Musalmān title. The "Tabakat-Nasiri" quoted, if my translation is referred to, certanly does not make Ghā-ir Khān a form of Kadr Khān, for Kadr has a totally different meaning, and is in no way connected with Ghā-ir.

^{*} Kun'an : Chap. manii. verse 38.

³ Shāh 'Ugmān, grandson ot Nāṣir-ud-Din, Ugmān-i-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nimroz, also styled Naṣrat-ud-Din, 'Ugmān, by our author at pages 193 and 196, which see, also pages 200—201. He was related, on the mother's side, to Malik Rukm-ud-Din, of Khaesār of Ghür.

a bit of that gold or silver belonging to the traders of the Chingiz Khān, the whole of such treasury, sovereignty and country fell into the hands of the Chingiz Khān and the Mughal forces.

May Almighty God preserve the kingdom of our Sultan of Sultans from calamity such as that!

HISTORY OF THE EVENTS WHICH HAPPENED IN ISLAM.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, when that fugitive [camel-driver] stated to the Chingiz Khān, the accursed, what had befallen his traders and emissaries, the Chingiz Khān issued commands so that the forces of Turkistān, Chin, and Tamghāj, assembled. Six hundred banners were brought out, and under each banner were one thousand horsemen, and six hundred thousand horses were assigned to the Bahādurs: they call a warrior, Bahādur. To every ten horsemen three head of tāk-lī sheep were given, with orders to dry them; and they took, along with them, an iron cauldron, and a skin of water; and the host proceeded on its way.

From the place where the Mughals then were, on the frontier of the Chingiz Khān's territory, to Utrār, was a three months' march, entirely through wild and uncultivated tracts; and it was commanded that it was necessary to perform that march of three months, and subsist upon this

⁴ In some copies a dang—a bit, &c , and in others a diramak, the diminutive form of diram.

The number given at page-273 is 700,000. The Calcutta Printed Text has 800,000, a few modern copies 300,000, but the above number is confirmed by other authors.

⁶ Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Kāshghar Mission History [page 141], does not quote the "Tabedis Nasarl," as he styles it, correctly. There is nothing in our author's work, as may be here seen, about "horses for the baggage of the army, its carts, and families, &c.," not even in the Calcutta Text, nor does our author make any such statement as that, "just at the time he ['Changiz'] was preparing to set out against Khwáhrizm Shah, he received envoys in 615 from the Khálif [sr] Násir of Baghdad urging him to do so." The Doctor must have been thinking of some other work.

sheep. At page 273, our author says Mughall sheep, which is, doubtless, an error on the part of the copyists for tuk-II.

The Calcutta Text is always incorrect with respect to the name of this well-known city, which was situated on the Sibin. Its rums are still to be seen on the east bank.

quantity of provision, and to eke it out with **pumis* and the milk of their mares;* and, placing herds of horses in front of them, so numerous that their numbers cannot be computed, they turned their faces towards the land of Islām.

Towards the end of the year 616 H., they emerged on the frontier of Utrār, at which place the violence had been hatched; and, although they were jaded from their journey and destitute, yet such was the energy, constancy, and intrepidity, which Almighty God had implanted in the nature of the Chingiz Khān and the Mughal army, that,

هير اسب --for skir-s-esp-- شراب--for skir-s-esp-- هير اسب

¹ Petis de la Croix makes the astounding statement [page 158] on the authority, il would appear, of "Abulfaraje," and "Bin Abdallatif," as he styles them, that "Historians do not precisely mention the places by which the Mogalis entered into the King of Carisme's Dominions," and yet, immediately after, says, "They only assure us that he marched by Caracatay and Turquestan . . and that his troops entered into the Province of Farab, of which the City of Atrar was the Capital," &c. What more specific mention could he want?

The route taken by the Chingiz Khān in marching from his rūrat in the direction of Kara-Kuram, and from which he is said to have set out in the eleventh month of 615 H. [about the middle of February, 1219 A D], was by way of the river of Ardish [ارديش] with the object of making those parts his I-lak or summer quarters. When he reached the boundary of the territory of Kaiālik or Kaiāligh | which, in Ravenstein's map in the "Mongols Proper," in inserted about two degrees south of Lake Bälkash, but is too far N of Khüljah by several degrees Sairam, too, which lies S. of the Ulugh-Tagh and E. of Aksu, is not near the Bälkash, as there shown. From the route mentioned by Goez, and the context of the account of the march of the Mughais, which I shall now refer to, Kasaitk was S of the Ulugh Tagh and between Turfan and Aksul, Arsalan Khan, the Karlugh, the principal [] of the Amirs thereof-thus showing that there were several-came to do him homage, got an apparage assigned him, and joined the Mughal army with his followers. The Yiddi-Kut of the I-ghurs also came from Bish-Baligh, and, likewise, Tükiğ-Tigin [farther on, the name of the chief of Almaligh is said to be Saghnāk Tigin] and his followers or tribe [Ja] from Almāligh [near about Khuljah, but on the left or S. bank of the river I-lih]. been detached, before his father set out, it is said, by some, and, by the way, by others, against the forces [of the Kirkiz, who had manifested contumacy, and shown delay and unwillingness [in furnishing a contingent probably], with directions to p nish that tribe and seize their territory. Another version is that it was not the Kirkiz who were to blame, but a tribe tiwelling along with them, and that the former did not commit themselves Juif set out : and, as the river of Kirkiz [the Kam-Kamjint, on the opposite side of which they dwelt] chanced, at the time, to be frozen over, he crossed it with his army on the ice, and came upon them unawares in the wild country [--- a wild uncultivated tract, overgrown with reeds and the like] in which they dwelt. A good

in a short time, they took Utrār* and put both small and

number were slain by the Mughals, and Urisut [وراسوك], their chief-Urus Inial-bent his neck to the yoke. After this Juji returned and joined his father.

It seems strange to me that the route taken by the Chingiz Khan and his host on the way to Utrar, after what has just been stated respecting Bigh-Baligh and Kaialik, as related in the histories of the Mughals, is not clearly understood. It was much the same line of route-and, doubtless, that in general use by travellers, and kārmāns of merchants—as shown in Col. Walker's map, to Kara-Kol or Almatu, skirting the northern slopes of the Ulugh or Ur-Tagh range, that he was pursuing, after detaching Juji to the southward in pursuit of Tük-Tughan, the Makrit, on which occasion, he [Juji], when returning to re-join his father, was fallen in with by the Khwarazm Shah, near the rivers Kamaj and Kabal, and compelled to fight against his will It must be sufficiently plain, to any one who will consult the map in question, that the great river Irtish is not referred to Ardish, under the name of "Artush," the "Artush Pass," and "Artush, which was the principal town of that region," at "the foot of the Kakshal mountains," is mentioned by Shaw in his work, "High Tartary," and refers to the same tract of country, which, in former times, extended much farther every way, as I have here pointed out. The Ardish Pass is about seven or eight days' journey, according to the author just quoted, from "the plains of Issik-kul ' [Issigh-Kol], only the "plains" there are mostly hills.

After this, the Chingiz Khan continued his westerly course from the vicinity of the Issigh-Kol, by the present Almatu, in all probability-and, undoubtedly, it was an ancient route-through the territory of Taraz or Banki, as it is also called, along the skirts of what is at present known as the Kara-Tagh; and, from the route he subsequently took to Bukhārā, when he detached his two sons to invest Utrar, he must have passed the Sirr or Sihūn, a considerable distance W. of Utrar, at the Julik, or Ak-Masjid ferry possibly say, however, not a single author mentions his passage of that river Having crossed, he took the direct route to Bukhārā He had, by the way, previously detached—by the Sairām or Ardish route southwards, in all probability—an army, which wasmarching southwards against Tashkand and Khujand, while Juil was marching through Farghanah to join him before Bukhara or Samrkand With "Yengigent," Juji had no more to do than I had, unless he flew along with his troops to it Where are Saknak, Ozkand and Ardish in Kāshghar? where Yangī-kant "on the Jaxartes, at two days' journey from its outlet into the sea of Aral " * [" Mongols Proper," pp 76 7] Why only about nine degrees of Long, and four of Lat. distant from each other!

The Chingiz Khān reached the frontier of the Utrār territory, not the city and fortress of that name, for he was never at Utrār hunself, towards the end of autumn, 616 H. [in September, 1219, A D] Finding that the Sultān of Khwārazm had dispersed his forces, and sent them to guard the great cities and fortresses, instead of concentrating them, and that there was no army left in the field to oppose him, he detached his sons Chaghatãe and Uktãe, the Yiddi-Kūt of the I-ghūrs, and other vassals, with several tomāns of troops, to invest Utrār, and, with his son Tūli, and the main army, moved towards Bukhārā Utrār, the chief place, and seat of government, which contained 50,000 troops—Alfi has 15,000, a more likely number, but some copies have 5000 only—was defended with, great gallantry for five months, until the

great—young and old—to the sword, and left not a soul alive: they martyred the whole of them.

defenders were reduced to great straits, at which time the Khas Hajib, Karachah, who had been sent thither with 10,000 more troops to support the Ghiir Khan, was for capitulating to the enemy, but the Gha-ir Khan, who was well aware that he could expect no mercy from the Mughals, scouted the very idea of surrender, and being guilty of such base ingratitude to his sovereign, Karaghah, however, entered into secret understanding with the Mughals [how history has repeated itself within the past year or two !], and, one night, left the place with his contingent, by the Suff-Khanah gate, and submitted to the enemy, who, without delay, rushed in by that same gate, and captured the city. As soon as morning arrived, Karāchah, and his followers, after being reproached and reviled for their ingratitude to their sovereign, were all put to the sword, and the inhabitants of the place were removed outside into the plain and butchered-"the lives of the inhabitants were spared," says Mr. H. H. Howorth in his "Mongols Proper." The Gha-ir Khan threw himself into the citadel, with 20,000 men-some say 8000, and some, 6000-all resolved to fight to the last, and held out for another month, during which they made several sallies, and slew a vast number of the Mughal army. At last, the Ghā-fr Khān was left with but two of his followers; and no shelter remained to them but the flat roof of his dwelling. These two soldiers fell at his side, and then the Ghā-İr Khān, whom the Mughals were expressly commanded to capture alive if possible, was made prisoner, and on the neck of Aniil July they placed a yoke—a play on the word Juk, which signifies a yoke for oxen, a collar. The citadel of Utrar was levelled with the dust, and of the few people of the place, and master artificers, who had escaped the massacre, some were imprisoned, and some were driven along with the army to exercise their trades in the Mughal camp, together with the Gha-ir Khan in chains. As the Chingiz Khan was then before Samrkand, his sons set out to join him there, and to the Ghā-Îr Khān the cup of death was administered at Kiwak Sarae [وقو سراي] a sarie near Samrkand. This place is the Gheucserai of Petis ge la Croix.

I must now endeavour to give a short account of the different operations of the Mughal hordes, in order to bring up events to the siege of Samrkand.

I have already mentioned in my previous notes, 4 page 268, and 2 page 964, how Juji fell in with the Khwarazmi army in the northern part of the present Käshghar territory, and, after a severe handling, his marching away, leaving his camp fires burning, so as to avoid pursuit. He subsequently, by command of his father, marched towards Jand, and, when he arrived near Saknak on the way thither, he despatched the Haif, Hasan, who was a native of that place, and then with his army, as an envoy, to endeavour to induce the inhabitants to submit. The populace, however, put him to death for advising them to submit to infidely and Mughals, and Iuii, filled with rage at the treatment of his emissary, attacked the place, and, in two days, captured it. The inhabitants were massacred and the place ruined [this is a mode of treating them " with tenderness," certainly, after the Muskov fashion. " Mongols Proper," page 761, and a son of the Haji, Havan, who was dwelling there, was made its governor—the governor of a desolated place! Itin then advanced to Yüzkand, also written Uzkand, and, to quote the expressive words of the History in verse which I have elsewhere referred to: "In one night he took it, and in one day demolished it." After that he marched to Aghnas [I think this is an error, although contained in so many works, for the 'Arab Ugh-Shash-the

An astonishing relation [is here given] which was hear by the author from one of the merchants whom they wer

ancient name of Thehland, but, if not, it is now unknown and its site also Jüji was sent against it, and no mention is made of it after. Abd-l-Ghā: Bahādur calls it Astāgh], "a city full of vagubonds and rascals," and, a they showed hostility, they were speedily annihilated. No particulars as given.

When the news reached Kutluk-also written Kutlush - Khān, the Hākir of Jand, he became fearful, evacuated the city, and fied by way of the stepp in order to reach Khwarasm. Just on this despatched thather, as his agen Tai, Timur-but who he was is not mentioned [see note page 933], save that I had been long in the Chingiz Khān's service--to advise the inhabitants t submit. There was no leader or authority with sufficient power there, and th populace raised a tumult and sought to take the agent's life, but he managed i escape by stratagem. On being made aware of the state of affairs. Juil pushs on, and came in night of Jand; and the people had merely time to close th gates and mount the walls, but they showed no other opposition. Mushals placed scaling ladders, mounted the walls, and the city was their As no active opposition had been shown, the people, with the exception of few evil doers, who had spoken fiercely to Jai-Timur, escaped from th Mughal talons; but they were all thrust out into the open country, and the dwellings were abandoned to be sacked for a period of two weeks, and the walls and defences were levelled with the dust. The Khwajah, 'Alf, who wi one of the great men of Bukhārā, was located there in charge of the city. Mughal Amir likewise having been detached with one toman [10,000 ment the city or town of Misjin [الرجس] was taken possession of, and an intendal was left there. After this Juji set out to join the camp of his father.

The Nü-yins, Alak also written Alak, Saktür, and Bükäe, according to the orders proceeded towards Khujand and Fanikat, or Banikat-afterwards know as Shah-Rakhyah - and, on reaching the latter place, the governor there, I-va takü or I-yal-tagü by name, shut himself up in the citadel with a body of Kai kulls, and defended it vigorously for three days. On the fourth they called for quarter, and came out of the city, and "were overwhelmed in the wave blood." Destruction befell the people of Fanakat. Whether stranger (friend, not one remained, and but few escaped with their lives, with th exception of the young men of Taixik race who were incorporated with th enemy's forces, and compelled to serve against their own people Alak the turned his face towards Khujand, the governor of which was Timur Malik, "! whom Rustam, were he alive, would have acted as groom, and Sam, were ? living in his day, would, on his own body, have inscribed his name." Th Timur Malik had constructed a lofty fortress at the point, near the city, when the river separates into two branches [at the junction, probably, of the tributal of the Sihum which joins that river from the South just below the cityl, and with 1000 men, took post therein, determined to hold out as long as he hi the power and means of doing so. The Mughal forces enclosed the city an fortress as in a ring, but, as the musiles from their catapults took no effect upo the fortress, the young men of the city [which, from this remark, must have fallen, although no further mention is made of it] were collected in crowds and assistance was also brought from other places, near by, which had bee subdued, until 50,000 men were assembled together to help the investing for consisting of 20,000 Mughals. The former were divided into gangs of ter

wont to style Khwājah Ahmad, the Wakhshi, a man of veracity, who related after this manner: "It is narrated by

and hundreds, and one Mughal was placed over every ten Tajatks, and, from the hills three farmings distant, they were compelled to convey stones on foot to the river side fin order to construct a causeway apparently, although this is not specified], and the Mughal horsemen cast them into the river. Timus Malik, who was fertile in expedients, had caused twelve vessels to be constructed, which were covered with felts plastered over with a mixture of fresh clay and vinegar, in such wise that arrows and fire [such things as "stinkpots" in the accounts of this affair are purely ideal; a composition of napthe is here referred to] took no effect upon them, but windows [lit. but loop or port-holes are meant] were left, so that arrows and other missiles could be discharged from them against the Mughais. Every day, at daylight, Timur Malik used to despatch six of these vessels on either side, and keep up a desperate desence; but, when matters became serious, and he found his efforts unavailing, seventy boats which had been got ready to provide means of escape he, one night, loaded with his effects, placed his family therein, embarked with his warriors, and like lightning launched into the river. The Mughal forces, becoming aware of it, set out along both banks to oppose his progress: and, in every place where they could offer most opposition, he would draw near with his own vessel, and with his arrows, which like the arrow of destiny never missed their mark, would drive the Mughals off, and would push on again with his vessel On reaching Fanākat, he found the Mughals had drawn a chain across the river, thinking to stop the little fleet, but, with one blow of an axe, Timur Malik made the chain two, and pushed on again. I find no mention, in any author, of a "bridge of boats built at Jend," because Timur Malik did not proceed to Jand at all, but, some distance below Fanäkat, where the mountains approach the river, he landed on the western bank, entered the steppe, and made for the city of Khwarazm, because Juji Khan, on being informed of his heroic conduct, had made preparations to bar his progress farther down the river, which he would have been enabled to do from his position in the vicinity of Tashkand or Ush-Shash. The Mushals however followed in his track, and when they drew near he would face about and withstand them until his family and effects made some progress in advance, and then he would follow. After some days, when most of Timur Malik's men had fallen. the baggage was captured, and, with a few men remaining, he pushed on with rapidity, giving the Mughals no opportunity of taking him, and keeping them at bay. At last his few remaining followers were killed, and Timur Malik was left alone—some say his family at this time had attained a distance which placed them out of danger-and with no means of defence left but three arrows, one of which was broken and its head gone. Three Mughals were still in pursuit of him, so he drew the broken and headless arrow-for he did not wish to have to use the others—and sent it through the eye of the foremost pursuer, and blinded him. He then said to the other two. "Two arrows still remain according to your number, and so it is advisable that ye return from whence ye came." They did retire; and the Iron Malik-Timur signifies iron-proceeded on his way without further molestation to Khwarazm. There he again prepared for war, and with a small force surprised and captured the town of Kat [oc.] on the Ilhun, in the district of Hazar-asp, and from thence he proceeded to join Sultan Muhammad, not considering it advisable to remain in Khwarasm. He pushed on until he came up with him, and told

٦

reliable persons that the Chingiz Khan, after that he had possessed himself of Tamghaj, and had brought it under his sway, and, for a period of four years, had caused great sedition and shedding of blood, saw one night, in a dream, that he was binding a turban of immense length about his head, so much so, that, from the vast length of the turban, and the labour of binding it, he began to grow fatigued after, in his interminable task, he had become like unto a great corn stack. When he awoke from his sleep, he was relating his dream to every one of his confidants, and the men of wisdom who were about him, but not one among them could interpret it in a manner to satisfy his mind, until one of his confidants said: 'The turban is part of the costume of the merchants who are in the habit of coming into this part from different directions of the west, and a party of them has arrived from 'Arabia. It is necessary to summon them in order that the interpretation may be obtained from these persons.' In accordance with that advice, they were called in order to interpret it.

"Among the merchants they found a few persons from the west, 'Arabian Musalmans, turban-wearers, and

him all he had experienced at the hands of the Mughals, and that they were like unto a flood. This made the Sultan still more apprehensive and perplexed, and, though he was himself young his fortune was grown old—a play upon words in the original which is lost in translation.

The Nü-yan Alak, after having obtained possession of Khujand, the next day, set out to rejoin the great army, and reached the camp of the Chingta

Khān after the capture of Samrkand.

A few words more may not be out of place respecting Timur Malik. He subsequently retired—disguised as a Darwesh, some say—into 'Irak-i-'Ajam, proceeded to Shiraz and Jiraft, and, finally, into Sham, until the Mughal troubles had somewhat subsided. He remained there some years; and in the time of Batu Khan, son of Juji Khan, he, impelled by a desire of revisiting his native land once more, and by that monarch's leave, reached Khujand, where he found that his only remaining son had gained favour with the Mughal ruler, and had been put in possession of some of his father's property. He was recognized by an old slave, and proposed to proceed to the court of Uktāe Khān, whose name was celebrated for magnanimity, but, on the way, he fell in with one of the Mughal royal family, Kadka-an Ughlan, who put him in chains, for the old Turk Malik's haughty bearing displeased him. Soon after, the very Mughal, into whose eye he had lodged the headless arrow, happened to come in, and began to question him as to his battles, in an insolent tone which provoked Timur Malik to answer him in such a manner as awakened the wrath of the Mughal savage against his former adversary, and he discharged an arrow, in return for his arrow, as he exclaimed, right through the body of Timur Malik, who fell dead on the spot.

they were sent for; and to the person who was the chief, and the most intelligent among the party, the Chingis Khān related his dream. The Tāsī ['Arabic]-speaking merchant said: 'The turban is the crown and diadem of the 'Arab, for head dresses' of that description are the tiaras of the 'Arab; and the Prophet of the Musalmāns—Muhammad, the chosen one—the blessing of God be upon him l—was a turban-wearer, and the Khalifahs of Islām are turban-wearers. The interpretation is this, that the kingdoms of Islām will come into thy possession, and the countries in which the Muhammadan faith prevails thou wilt reduce under thy sway.' This signification chimed in with the opinion of the Chingiz Khān; and, for this reason, his design of appropriating the territory of Islām was resolved upon."

We return to the subject of [this] history.

After capturing Utrar and martyring its inhabitants,

The word used is الله عام the plural of مانه which more particularly refers to the large turbans of Muhammadan ecclesiastics.

I have several times mentioned the variation of idiom in different comes of the text, and here it varies considerably: in fact, there is greater difference, in this respect, in this Section than in any of the preceding ones.

I doubt very much an 'Arab's saying so, even if he thought it; and, if the merchant told the Mughal this, and it made him determine upon invading Islām, the Musalmāns had not very great reason to be grateful to the 'Arab interpreter.

³ This is a great mistake. The Chingiz Khān, as shown in the previous notes ⁵, page 273, and ², page 970, para. four, had nothing to do with Utrār personally. He reached the frontier of that territory towards the close of autumn 616 H [September, 1219 A.D.]. He left his two sons, Uktāe and Chaghatāe, with a great army to invest Utrār, as already stated, and, detaching another and smaller force, under the Nū-yīns, Ālāķ, Saktūr, and Būķāe, to Fanākat and Khujand, with the bulk of his mighty host, and accompanied by his son Tūtī, marched towards Bukhārā

The name of this celebrated city is said to signify, "a the language of the Mughān.—Fire-worshippers—an assembling place, or rendezvous of science, and this worsh, in the dialect of the idol-worshipping I-ghūrs and Khitā-is, is nearly similar, for their places of worship, which are places of idols, they term Bukhār."

On the way thither, the Mughuls reached Zarnük [there is no doubt respecting its name], and the inhabitants, having issued forth to receive them with due ceremony, were granted security for life and goods. The Chingu Khān changed the name of the place to Kutlügh Bāligh, that is, the Auspicious or l'ortunate City, but he took away all the young men of the place to incorporate with his army. But Tāghkand did not receive the name of "Kutlük balig," as in the recently published work so often referred to. The Habib-us-Siyar says that the people first shut the gates, but, afterwards, were

they [the Mughals] marched, from thence, towards Bukh ārā; and, on the first of the month of Zi-Hijiah, 616 H.,

induced to open them and submit, while another statement is, that the city was surprised, However this may be, its walls were razed to the ground, to make it "the Fortunate City" perhaps. The Bahadur, Ta-ir, whose name will frequently appear in the text, farther on, was sent, in advance, to summon Nür [see page 118]. The place submitted, it and its dependencies were bestowed as an appanage upon the Bahadur, Sahudah or Swidae, as he is also called, the inhabitants paid a year's tribute in advance, and received security for life and property, and were not further molested.

At the end of the year 616 H. [February, 1220 A D -not the 19th of June, 1219 A.D., as we are told in "Mongols Proper," for the year, 617 H., began on the 7th March, 1220], the Mughal hosts appeared before Bukhārā, and the felt tent of the Chingiz Khan was pitched facing the citadel. There was no "several days' siege" whatever. When night set in, the Amirs commanding the troops there, Kıwak Khan, son of Hamid-1-Bur [an elder brother of the Hajib, Burak, the Kara-Khita-I, who subsequently usurped the sovereignty of Kırman, subordinate to the Mughals, after that ingrate had compassed the murder of Ghiyaş-ud-Din, the Sultan's son, and ruler of that territory note , page 283], Kiwak Khan, Sunj Khan, Bughrae Khan, and Kashlu [by some Kashli, which is merely another form of the title] Khan, with 20,000 -but some writers of Mughal proclivities make the number 30,000, in the same manner as they always exaggerate the numbers of the Musalmans -came out, by one of the gates, in order to make a night attack upon the invaders, but, the enemy having got word of it, they were encountered and defeated by the Mughal advance. The great men of Bukhārā, consisting of ecclesiastics, doctors of the law, and distinguished persons, issued forth from the city next day [the 10th of Zi-Hinah], at dawn, and strove, by the manifestation of submission and eloquent appeals, to make terms whereby the inhabitants might be saved from the violent blast of the invader's wrath. The Chingiz Khan entered the city in order to view it; and, when he reached the Masjid-i-Jāmi', or Friday's Masjid, beheld a great and lofty building, towards which he urged forward his horse, and rode into it, with his son, even up to the most sacred place within it—the Saffah-i-Maksurah . the place where the Imam stands when officiating-and inquired . "Is this the Sultan's palace?" They replied: "This is the house of God." He then dismounted from his horse, certainly not out of respect, mounted two or three steps of the pulpit, and sat down [Alfi says, Tuli ascended to the pulpit] and [according to Sharaf-ud-Din, 'Alf,] commanded his troops, saying . " There is no forage in the plain by means of which they [the people] may satiate the horses, [see ye to it]" The Fanākatī, Fasih-i, Alfī, and others, however, relate more circumstantially, that he said to those present "The plain for open country outside] is destitute of grass . it behoveth that ye fill the bellies of my horses," and, on this, they opened the granaries [of the city], and brought orth grain. The Mughals then drew their horses into the Masjid, and made the chests, an which the sections of the Kur'an-which is generally in thirty sections of sixteen pages each-and other religious books were kept, troughs for their horses to feed out of, while the books were trodden under foot, and they handed the head-stalls of their horses to the 'Ulama to hold, while they themselves betook themselves to the cup [neither the wine, nor the "loving cup," but the fermented mares' milk cup-kumiz] and began to sing their Mughall songs

pitched their camp before the gate of that city. Kashiu Khan, the Amir-i-Akhur of Sultan Muhammad, Khwa-

Most European authors who relate this anecdote take it from Sharaf-nd-Din "Alf's work, but neither that work, nor any other that I have met with, will bear translating " The hay is cut, give your horses findler," as quoted by Mr. H. H. Howorth from Valmary's "Bokharah," page 28, and which he or his authority mistakes for "a cynical invitation to plunder." The original words in Sharaf-nd-Din, are "dar yakrā 'alaf nāst hih aspān rā ier idsand;" [Erdmann's rendering of the same sentence is perfectly correct] and "the floor strewn with wine skins" and "the singing summer [the word is alaf introduced" are likewise not to be found in his work; the words are: "he sydk ddiften mathafall ihudand, he ähang-i-Mughāli bar kathādand;" there is not a word about women.

From the Jami' Masad mounting again, the Chingiz Khan rode to the Musalli-e-'Id-the place of Prayer, where the prayers appointed for Festivals are said-and, mounting the pulpit, having first caused the people to be swembled, harangued them about the killing of his envoys, and, telling them that he had been sent against them as the instrument of the Divine wrath, on account of their sovereign's and their own misdeeds—he too was a "divine figure" also "from the north," and, after holding forth in a similar blasphemous strain, which address was interpreted from the Mughal language into Persian by an interpreter who stood by his side, he continued: "Such property as is visible in this city need not be referred to -he had an eye to plunder notwithstanding his divine mission-but all that is concealed it behoveth ye to give up." Much wealth was given up in consequence. As commands had been already issued that the adherents of the Khwārazmi Sultān should be expelled the city, and none should be sheltered or concealed therein, on finding that several of them had been sheltered by the people of Bukhārā, he-merely desiring an excuse-gave orders for a general massacre of the inhabitants, and to set fire to the city, which was mostly built of wood; and, in the space of one day, the whole, with the exception of the great Massid, and a few brick buildings, was consumed, in such wise that the city became the haunt of wild beasts. The suburbs were then given to the flames, and the ditch of the citadel was filled up with whatever could be obtained—dead bodies of men and beasts, stones, timber, rubbish, and the like-and, in a few days, the Mughals captured it. Its governor, Kawak Khan, with all found within the place, were put to the sword-more than 30,000 in all-including grandees and great men, the aervants of the Sulfan, who were treated in the most contemptuous manner, and their females and children were carried away into slavery, but babes were not spitted on lances as in these days of civilization and Christianity. After this the citadel was levelled with the ground, and not a vestige of gate, wall, or rampart, of either city or citadel remained Krwak Mazar, or the Tomb of Kiwak, lies a few miles to the N E of the present city

The young men of Bukhārā, who had been spared for another purpose, were driven off with the troops towards Samrkand and Dabūsah [also called Dabūs and Dabūsā] It was a fortified town about midway between Bukhārā and Samrkand], and from Bukhārā the Chingiz Khān turned his face towards Samrkand

Having heard accounts of the great strength of Samrkand, which had lately been added to, the Chingiz Khān had been led to despatch bodies of troops under Jūjī and the Nū-yān, Alāk, to subdue other places in Turkistān

razm Shāh, was there, on the part of that monarch, with a force of 12,000 horse; and the Mughals invested the city. On the day of the festival of the kurbān [10th of Zi-Hijjah—15th February, 1220] of that same year, they took the city and fortress of Bukhārā, and expelled the whole of the inhabitants—gentle and simple, the learned and the noble, both male and female—and martyred them, and burnt and destroyed the whole of the city, and all the libraries of books; and a few persons [only] were made captive. They then turned their faces towards Samrkand. The leader of the van of the Chingiz Khān's army, which issued from the wilds, and captured Utrār, and advanced to the

before he advanced into Māwarā-un-Nahr, in order to clear his rear of enemies, and to have the whole of his forces at his disposal before he attacked Samrkand. The resistance at Utrar however disappointed him with regard to the troops investing that place, and the movements of the others have been already narrated. Having made a vast levy of the country people, as stated above, to aid his troops, he left small detachments behind to invest Sar-1-Pül and Dabüsah, while he hastened forward with his great host, which, numerous as ants or locusts, suddenly appeared before Samrkand, at the end of Zi-Hijjah, 616 H. [the latter part of February, 1220, A.D.], and the tent of the Chingiz Khan was pitched in sight of the place, at Kiwak-Sarae The next day-some say the third day-he reconnoitred the ramparts, bastions, ditch, gateways, and other defences; and, on the second day, as soon as morning dawned, I-yal-Taz Khan-the Shams Khan of Alfi-Sarsigh Khan, Taghae Khan, Ülak Khan, and several other leaders, with the troops within the citadel and city, with gre t intrepidity and boldness poured out of the place, and attacked the Mughals in their quarters. The number, in all, is said to have been 110,000, namely 60,000 Turks, and 50,000 Tainiks of the country, and twenty elephants On that day, the Khwarazmis displayed immense valour, and a great number were killed on either side, but chiefly, on the part of the Mughals, who also lost a great number of prisoners who were carried off triumphantly into the city This statement does not agree with what our author states above as to the ambuscade, and the number of the troops has been just doubled.

Next day the Chingiz Khān mounted, and, in person, directed the operations, completely invested the place, prevented the troops within from making a sally, had the catapults placed in position, and began to batter the walls, and pour in volleys of stones and arrows. The walls were however defended with vigour until the day closed, but the defenders were disheartened, and, to make matters worse, there was treason within. One party of the inhabitants—the selfish part—"the peace at any price party"—were for going out and seeking quarter from the Mughal, while the other party was for defending the place to the utmost. This very division of opinion—without reckoning the traitors—caused great mischief the leaders of the troops were discouraged, and at a loss what to do, and did not fight as they otherwise would have done, for the place was strong enough to have held out a considerable time. In the fifth day—but from our author's statement above it must have been the ninth—early in the morning, while fighting was going on, the Kārī of the city, the Shaikh-ul-Islām,

gate of Bukhārā and took it likewise, was a Turk whose name was Tamur-chi, the Jazbi, a man of great energy; and, in the Mughal dialect, jasbī signifies a Ḥājib [Chamberlain].

On first reaching the gate of Samrkand, the Mughal forces laid an ambuscade; and the troops in Samrkand, and the people, moved out to give battle. On the ambuscade being drawn, defeat befell the troops of Islām and the people of Samrkand, and nearly 50,000 Musalmāns became martyrs. Subsequently to that, for a period of ten days or a little over, the Mughals took up a position round about Samrkand. Within the walls of that city, on the part of Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, was a force of 60,000

and a body of other ecclesiastics [old officials of 'Usman, the Afrasiyabl, and, doubtless, true to the hostile Khalifah, who incited the infidels], unexpectedly went out, and presented themselves before the Chingiz Khan, who received them with much encouragement and favour. Without any security, and with the mere promise of safety for themselves and dependents—these barbarians rarely, if ever, kept their word—they were allowed to return; and, at the time of prayer, when the rest of the people were off their guard, these traitors opened the gate known as the Gate [some say Gates] of the Namaz-gah-Alfi says -the 'Id-gah-and admitted the Mughals within the walls! During that day and night the infidels completely destroyed the walls and defences of the city, and drove out into the open plain the inhabitants, both males and females. with the exception of the dependents of the Kast, the Shaikh-ul-Islam, and other traitors, who are said to have amouted to 50,000 [5000 probably] persons, and then, as was their wont, proceeded to sack the place, and all whom they found within, with the exception of those mentioned, they slew. The Mughals continued within the city until the night of the next day, when they were with-

The garrison in the citadel was now completely hemmed in, and had no means of escape, but one resolute leader, Karā Alb, the Arsalān Khān, with 1000 men, charged through the Mughal force, cut his way out, and succeeded in joining the Sulfan, to whom he conveyed the dismal news. Next day the Mughals attacked the citadel, and, having destroyed the defences, during the time of the two prayers, which are wont to be said every Friday about mid-day, succeeded in gaining possession of one of the gateways, and poured in. The Kanghuli or Kankuli Turks, the chief of whom was Bar-Sipas [in one MS. Bar-Samas, and, in Alfi, Shame] Khan, Taghae Khan, Sarligh [Sarsigh?] Khān, Ū-lāk Khān, the Ū-lāgh Khān of the Jahān-Kushāe, together with about twenty other Amirs and Sardars of Sultan Muhammad, with the whole of his troops that were therem, were butchered, to the number of 30,000 men, which is a small number in comparison with the 110,000 men said to have been the number stationed at Samrkand Of the remaining people of the city, 33,000 artificers, mechanics, and the like, were selected and divided among the sons and kinsmen of the Chingiz Khān, and the residue of the unharmed inhabitants were ransomed and spared for the sum of 200,000 dinars. These events took place in the beginning of the summer of 617 H. [April 4, A D. 1220]. A Mughal Shahnah was left at Samrkand, and a native of the place, a Musalman official, was placed in charge of the city under him

horse, consisting of Turks, Ghūris, Tājziks, Khalj, and Karlughs, and all the Maliks of Ghur, such as Khar-zor Maliki [Malik ?], and Zangi-i-Khar-Jam [Cham ?], and other Maliks of Ghur, were there. On the day of 'Ashura, the 10th of the month of Muharram, 617 H., the Mughals took Samrkand, and burnt that city and destroyed it, and made captives of some [of the inhabitants, and put the rest to the sword]. Bodies of troops were nominated to various parts of Māwarā-un-Nahr. Farghānah, and Bilāsā-ghūn, and destroyed all the cities,7 and martyred all their inhabi-Mughal armies were also despatched to take possession of different parts of the dominions [of the Khwārazmi Sultān], and forces were sent from Upper Turkistan to pursue Kashlu. Khan, the Tatar, who was a king, and the son of a king, of the Tatar tribes, who had seized and brought [away] the Gur Khan of Kara-Khita; and they captured him [Kashlū Khān] on the boundaries of Tab and Kikrab, which is Ghuzzistan, and the hill tracts of Samrkand; and they slew him.1

6 The leader referred to at page 926—probably Khar-Chām—Ass-energy— This, as well as Khar-Zor—Ass-power or force—is doubtless a nick-name

As I have noticed elsewhere, several of the Ghürî Malıks have such like names, in which Khar, Ass, occurs, such as Khar-post—Ass-skinned, Khar-nak[nag?].—Ass-palate or lipped, and the like. Malikî is contained in all the copies of the text, but Malik must be the more correct.

7 The most modern copies of the text collated have, "as far as the gate of

Bilish-ghun."

It is not to be wondered at that this celebrated city is not mentioned subsequently, considering it was destroyed. The name <u>Ghū-Baligh</u> must have been applied to it by the Mughals in times prior to this period.

Troops had been despatched against Koghlük before entering the dominions of the Sulfan of Khwārazm; and most of the strong places, in Māwarā-un-Nahr and Western Turkistān, had been captured or taken possession of before the investment of Samrkand was undertaken.

In some few copies the first word is $\psi \downarrow -$ apparently—hubab or habab, but $\psi \downarrow -$ Jāb—seems to be the correct name. These names are not to be found on modern maps

The following note further indicates their position

See

also note 5, page 374

1 I have already, in my previous notes to the reign of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, given some details respecting Kojlak, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, Koāhluk, is set severe severe severe severe severe severe name, his intrigues with the Sultān, and his seizure of his father-in-law and benefactor, the Gür Khān, but, to make this account clear and connective, I must go back a little to refer to the chief of the Makrīts and his proceedings.

The Changuz Khān having returned, in 611 H, from the campaign against the Altan Khān, and gone to his yūral or encamping ground on the liver Kalūr-ān

When the news of the taking of Samrkand, and of the

or Lukah, soon after found that the Makrits were again preparing for war. There is considerable discrepancy with regard to these events, and some oriental writers have, through the carelessness of copyists, apparently, turned two events into one, with respect to Kodů [16,5] and the sons of the late Bigi Tüktä, and his nephews, and Tük-Tughān, the Makrit.

At the period in question, Kodů and his nephews were residing in the Näemän country, and were regaining strength, which they were using in support of Koahlük, the Näemän sovereign, who, at this time, had seized the last of the Gür Khäns, and his dominions. The Makrits had likewise incited other tribes of Mughals, who were quite ready to do so, to throw off the yoke of the Chingis Khän, under which they had fallen. Among the tribes instigated to war by them were the Tüm-ät or Tüm-äd Mughals [turned into "Comát," in the Käshghar Mission History, a different tribe from the Burghüts or Burküts], who were dwelling in the tracts towards the southeast from Käshghar—now part of the great sandy desert—towards the frontier of Khitä, about the Kok Näwar, incorrectly written Kokonor in our maps.

In the year 612 H, therefore, the Chingts Khān despatched the Nā-yīn, Sahūdah, or Swidāe, the Urlāngkūt Kūngkur-āt, with a considerable army against the Makrits, and he was provided with carts or waggona, specially made and strengthened with iron, so that they might not easily break down, as the Makrits had taken shelter in a very mountainous tract of country. This tract was called Kum-Kunjak—[646] which, through the careless copying of some scribe, or an imperfect MS., has been mistaken for Kibahāk [146] by many oriental authors with ridiculous results, and European writers generally have followed them.

Sahūdah set out in the beginning of 612 H. [it commenced on the 1st May, 1215 A.D.], and was joined, on the way, by the Bahadur, Taghachar, with another force (this leader, probably, is Güzfdah's Tutmar-j-Chübin, but Abü-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, calls him Toshājār]. The two leaders, after great exertions, reached the whereabouts of the Makrits, brought them to action near the banks of the river Jam-the Jam Muran [جم مران] but, in some Histories, the letter - has a dot over it instead of under, which makes it Kham, while no Turkish word begins [Chinese, and Europeans, however, vitiate & and turn it into 'Arabic & as in Hanum for Khanum, Tophanak, for Top-khanak, Hatti-Humayun for Khatt-i-Humayun, Hodyak for Khwajah, Halyi for Khalji, Han for Khan, Hamil for Khamil, and the like]. Bentinck says the Jam Muran rises in the mountains crossing the Gobi-the Altan, not Altyn mountains-of which but little had been previously known, if the existence of such a range has not been altogether ignored until the recent discoveries by the Russians, and that the river runs SSW, and falls into the Kara-Muran or Hoang-ho, on the borders of Tibbat See the map to Col. Prejevalsky's explorations in the Geographical Magusine for May, 1878, which confirm the existence of this range, which has been distinctly mentioned by Oriental writers and old travellers, and which is clearly laid down, although not quite exactly, in the maps of the Jesuits A Chinese envoy told Gerbillon that he had crossed a river of Kok Nawar, "called in the Mongol [Turki?] tongue Altan Kol, or Golden River," which falls into the Lakes of Tsing-fuhay, and has abundance of gold mixed with its sands.

The Makrirs stood their ground against the Mughals, and the consequence was they were defeated with immense loss. Some say the tribe was almost

massacre and captivity of its inhabitants, and of the troop

destroyed, together with Kodu and all his nephews but one, a mere child, wh was taken to the presence of the Chingiz Khān, who ordered him "to be set to join his brothers and uncle," notwithstanding Jüjf Khān would have take

charge of him, and have brought him up.

It has been asserted that the Makrits were entirely annihilated on this occusion, but such is not correct, as I shall presently show, and Kodü, brother the late Bigf, Tüktä, and Tük-Tughān, who is also called the brother of the same chief, have been misstaken for one and the same person, of which there is no probability, for Tük-Tughān, and the remnant of the Makrit tribe were encountered by Jüjf Khān, in the northern part of the present territory of Käghghar in 615 H., after the death of Koghlük, and when the Ching Khān was on his way westward to invade the territory of Sulfān Muḥammat Khwārazm Shāh, and when Jūjf, against his will, sustained the attack of the Sulfān's army in which his own was roughly handled. To demonstrat this clearly, it is necessary to go back a little, and refer to what is said about the elsewhere

When Koahlük, the Näemän, left the presence of the Gür Khän, his fathet in law, to call around him his dispersed Näemäns, and proceeded toward Kasilik, when he reached its confines and that of 1-mil, Tük-Tughän, th Amir of the Makrits, who had fied—from whence is not mentioned—o hearing the rumour of the Chingiz Khän's fury, joined him, together wit many of the Gür Khän's chiefs. During the time that Koahlük exercise dominion over the state of the Gür Khän, however, after the latter's seizur by him, Tük-Tughän, with the remainder of the trile of Makrit, has separated from Koahlük, and returned to Kum-Kunjak again.

The next information we obtain is, that the Chingiz Khān, having determined to invade the dominions of the Khwārazmī Sulţān, when making hi preparations for the march, considered it advisable, before setting out, to leave on enemies in his rear, and, as Koahlūk, the Nāemān, and Tūk-Tughān the Makrīt, still remained, and their whereabouts was in the vicinity of hi route towards the west, he deemed it necessary to reduce them first, and s the Nū-yīn, Jabah [Yamah], was sent with a large army against Koahlū and Jūjī Ughlān, the Chingiz Khān's son, at the head of another army against the Makrīts under Tūk-Tughān.

It would seem, therefore, that Tuk-Tughān, hearing of the movements of the two Mughal armies, and finding Jūji was coming upon him, moved from Kum-Kunjak, with the remains of the Makrit tribe, and endeavoured to reacl Karā-Kum [which, in some imperfectly or carelessly copied MSS., has been turned into Karā-Kuram], which was the daght or steppe inhabited by the Kankuli Turks, and which tribe had been assigned, by the Sultān p

Khwārazm, to his mother, Turkān Khātūn, as part of her appanage

At page 267, it is stated that, in 615 II, the Sultan had moved from Samr kand to Jand because a body of those remaining of the supporters of Kadi Khān [Kādir Khān of others], respecting whom more will be found in the account of Jūjī Khān farther on, had broken out into revolt on the confiner of Jand, for the purpose of suppressing it, and, that, after he had annihilated that faction, he returned towards Samrkand again. Some other writers, however [See note!, page 262], say that this took place earlier, before the total downfall of the last Gūr Khān, and that, after quelling this revolt, the Sultān heard that an army of the Gūr Khān had appeared before Samrkand.

of Islām which were there stationed, reached Sulţān

and was preparing to march to its relief, when the Karā-Khijāe army was withdrawn to operate against Koshlük, but our author twice distinctly states that this revolt, or its suppression, happened in 615 H., and immediately after says that "the calamity of the infidels of Chin—i.e. the Mughals—rose."

The Sultan being at Samrkand, whither he had returned from Jand, hearing of the movements of Tük-Tughān and the Mughals in the direction of Karā-Kum, moved towards Jand to guard his own territory, and to seize or stop Tük-Tughān, if practicable, and marched beyond it as far as the frontier of the Turks. In the meantime Tük-Tughān and his people, marching westward towards the Kankulf steppe, had been intercepted by Jūjf Khān near the great mountain range forming the northern boundary of the present Kāshghar state, as previously related.

I think I have here shown that Kodii, brother of the Bigi, Tüktä, the Makrit, and Tük-Tughan, the Makrit, are different persons, and that the

Makrits were not wholly destroyed when defeated by Sahūdah.

The author of the "Mongols Proper," on the authority of M. Wolff, states at page 73, that the destruction of the "Merkits" took place "near Lake Kossagol, hetween the Selmga and the Upper Jennessen" [see], but I note that he had some doubts about it, and, at page 712, he places "the Merkits," as "living probably in the valley of the Chu, and perhaps at Balasaghun," after stating that, according to Erdmann, the "Merkit chiefs" were "met and defeated on the banks of the river Jem (not the Kem or Yenussei [see], as Wolff seems to read 11," but without perceiving that, at page 73, he had given, from the same writer, apparently, a much more correct version of the aftur, and had even named some of the places tolerably correctly, but under vitiated orthography!

I must, as briefly as possible, give some account of Koshlük's subsequent acts, after his seizure of the Gür Khün, his father-in-law, and benefactor, and his own fate, which immediately preceded the irruption of the Mughal bar-

barrans into the countries of Islam

After the seizure of the last Karā-Khṭā-ī ruler, his dominions east of the Siḥūn devolved apon Koahlūk, but he did not thereby "become himself Gur Khan," as we are informed in the "Mongels Proper." Had Koahlūk known how to have managed the Gūr Khān, after he became his son-in-law, he might have got up a formidable, and, perhaps, successful, combination against the Mughal ruler, to whom also he was related on the mother's side. Koahlūk was an idol-worshipper—but-parast—a Buddhist, and his wife [or one of his wives?] was a follower of 'Ī sā--Jesus Christ; hence they were both intolerant to the Musalmāns, continually exhorting them to turn idolators—the Rauşat-uş.Şafā says, to embrace Christianity—and those who would not were massacred. The Tārīkh-i-Alfī, however, says that Koahlūk, "for the sake of an idol-worshipping damvel, became himself an idol-worshipper too," but without mentioning what faith he previously followed, which we must presume was the Christian.

Koshlük, for a period of four years, from 610 to 614 H. [May, 1213, to April, 1217, AD], continued to send forces against Käähghar, and they used to commit great ravages, and burn the crops, in such wise, that famine began to show itself in that tract of country. The inhabitants could do no other than give up the city of Käshghar and its defences to him, and the fort surrendered. Koshlük's troops took up their quarters in the peoples' houses,

Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, who was then [encamped

leaving them to shift for themselves, and violence, wickedness, and sedition,

reigned supreme.

Petis de la Croix, who quotes "Mirconde," that is to say, Mîr Khāwind, the author of the Raugat-ug-Ṣafā, makes the astonishing statement in his "Ginghizcan the Great," that the Gür Khān used to reside at Kāghghar, which was the capital of their Turkistān possessions, and sometimes called Urdū-kand. That the Musalmān religion prevailed there is, undoubtedly, correct—and had prevailed for centuries, it may be added—and the Nestonians had churches there, but that, "at this time the capital is Hyarcan, which is the same place as Caichgar was," shows that De la Croix's geography was a little at fault. Vārkand is just 100 miles S.E. of Kāshghar He moreover states, quoting, apparently, the same work, that the people of Caichgar refused to acknowledge any other sovereign than the Gür Khān's son, and that "the size lasted long," and "the city was at last taken."

In the last pars, of the account of the Gür Khāns, I have noticed what has been said respecting the survivors of that family, but, although it is very probable that the last Gür Khān, and the former ones too, may have had descendants, they are not specified, nor is a son mentioned in any author that I am aware of. The statement as to "Gushilk" having killed "the sovereign" of "Kaghgar," contained in the "Mongols Proper," is without any

real authority, I fancy, and would be difficult to verify.

After obtaining possession of Käshghar, Koshlük moved towards Khutan, which 'Abū-l-Fidā and some others say was of the I-ghūrs, or "I-ghūrla," lying in the 42° of Lat , while Kashghar is said to be in the 44°, but correctly, according to the most recent observations, 39" 24' 26", and 37° 6' 58", respectively, which shows the correct direction of the I-ghur country at the period in question, and which extended much farther to the S. W. than shown in the map in "Mongols Proper," and as the events mentioned clearly show. Koahlük took possession of Khutan, and acted towards its people in the same tyrannical manner, as at Kishghar, to compel Musalmans to recant He commanded that all the learned men of the place should come out and hold a disputation with him on the subject, and more than 3000 'Ulama and men of learning appeared. One of them was the Shaikh 'Alä-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, the Khutani, and he got the best of the argument, by the Musalman account, upon which Koshlük began to mock him, and the Shaikh, losing all patience, cried out, "Dust on thy mouth, O Koshlük thou accursed enemy of the faith!" For this the Shaikh was seized and nailed up before the gate of the college he had founded. He lingered for several days, during which he continued to exhort the people to be staunch in the faith, and, at length, he was put to death, and thus attained the felicity of martyrdom. After this, Musslmans were forbidden to exercise their religion, and the call to prayer and public worship were prohibited.

At that period, there was a person dwelling on the confines of Almāligh, of great valour and intrepidity, and a champion—a paklawān, but not "a herald with red arrows" [see "Alonguls Proper," page 130], and his name was Uzār [b]y], and he also belonged to the Kankuli or Kanghuli tribe. He was a freebooter, and did nothing better than steal horses from all parts, and carry on other wickedness, until vagabonds and bold spirits like himself gathered round him, and he began to acquire strength, and to ravage the parts around Almāligh, until, at last, he obtained possession of that place and parts around.

before] Balkh," as has been already related, he became

² He was not "investing" Air own city, as some writers, who probably did not know that Bulkh belonged to him, have made out.

Who held possession immediately before is not stated, but, probably, a governor on the part of the late Gür Ehân did.

This upstart is Mr. H. H. Howorth's "Prince of Almaligh;" and he says [page 20] that Erdmann save "he was known as Mardi Shudsha (i. e. lion heart, or lion man), and adds, "This latter statement is probably well founded, for the Khans of Almaligh are doubtless to be identified with the Lion Khans of Kashgar mentioned by Vindelou," and yet, only on the preceding page, on the authority of Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadar Khan, he at once identifies this adventurer, who had only just obtained possession, as one and the same as "Arslan Khan of the Karladr, who was also Prince of Kayalik or Kabalik." What a jumble of errors have we here! Now, what Erdmann, in his innocence, supposes to be a title is merely the simple Persian words vitiated, namely, mard-iplusid—a bold or intreped man; and it is utterly impossible that he could be a Kankuli and a Kärlügh-two totally different tribes-at the same time, or, that he was ruler or prince of Kayālik, previously referred to m another note, who had submitted to the Mughals years before, and had again presented himself and joined them, on their way to Utrar. Mr. Howorth appears to have also forgotten that, at page 66 of his book, he states that, in 1200 [A.D. == 606-7 H.], when "Jings" returned to his "yurt," he found there "the Idikut of the Uighurs, Arslan Khan, chief of the Karliks (1 e. Turks of Kayalık), and Ozar, Prince of Almaligh, who had come to do him homage."

Arsalan Khan will appear on the scene in several places of our author's narrative, but that he and this upstart were totally different persons is beyond a doubt, as may be seen farther on.

I have already noticed that, because some of the Africayabl rulers of Kich-ghar were styled Arasian, it did not follow that they were all styled "the Lion Khāns." Such an impossible statement will not be found in any of the historians of the Mughals, not even in the work of that Person—"the great Raschid."

Now it is very evident that, if Almäligh had belonged to Araslan Khan, the Kärlügh, and the Kankuli, Üzar, had taken it from him, they would not have both appeared together in the pieral of the Chingia Khan.

The Chinese lustorians state, with respect to these very events, that Ko-pauyu, a Chinese general in the Mughal army, on recovering from a dangerous wound received in a previous battle, was sent to invest Bish-Ballgh, N. of Turfan, the capital of the Yiddi-Küt of the Ighurs of the Muhammadan writers (why this should be, seeing that the Yiddi-Küt was a vassal of the Mughals at this time, is not said] but, on the other hand, the Chinese say Ho-chew, E. of Turfan, was the capital of the I-ghurs; and that, at this time, Gon-chor, chief of the tribe of Yong-hu, in W. Tartary, subdued the city and country of Almaligh O-h-ma-lu. Further, that Kosmeli, one of the great chiefs of the last of the Kitan dynasty, on becoming aware that the Mughals were come to make war on Koghlük, persuaded the chief of the city of Asias (supposed to be near to Kashghar) to submit to Jabah, that Koshlük had raised up all the country N. W. of Tursin, on the E. and W., as far as the Sibin, leagued with the prince of Kichah, or Kinchah, and the Kanglis N.E. of Samrkand, and, after slaying Koshlük, the Näemäns, and Kangh [Kanghulis, or Kankulis]. acknowledged the Chingiz Khān's supremacy.

To return to the upstart, Uzar After he had obtained possession of Alma-

filled with despondency, and retired precipitately towards

ligh-the "Turkish Prince" of which is said, by Abü-l-Fidl, to have been absent at this time, and which city is said by him to lie in the same degree of Lat as Käshohar-he set out for Fulad-Sum [which was one of the greater cities in that part [afterwards buried in the sands, like several other cities], and gained possession of it likewise. Koshlük used continually to lead troops against him, defeat him, and ravage and lay waste his recently acquired territory. When Uzar's position became dangerous, he despatched an agent to the Chingiz Khan, and complained of Koshlük's tyranny ! The Chingis Khan sent him a friendly answer-his being hostile to Koshlük was enough to ensure that-and Uzār proceeded to his presence and was well received, a dress of honour and other favours were bestowed upon him, and Juji sought his daughter in marriage. The Chingiz Khān advised him to abstain from hunting excurmons [the mode of hunting of the Mughals and other peoples of Asia, often referred to in our author's, as in other histories, is very different from our ideas of hunting, but I have not space to describe it here], lest he might fall a prey into the hands of a hunter foe, referring to Koshlük, and presented him with a thousand sheep in order that he might not have occasion to go forth in search of game. However, when Uzar returned to Almaligh, he again went out, when suddenly and unexpectedly the forces of Koghlük came upon him in a hunting-ground, captured him, and brought him before the gate of Almaligh. The inhabitants, however, shut the gates of the city, and resolved to defend it. Fighting commenced, when, at this crisis, news arrived of the coming of the hosts of the Chingiz Khan, for he began to grow alarmed at Koshluk's continued success. On this Koshiük's troops retired from before Almaligh, and, on the way back to their own territory, put Uzār, the Kankuli, to death. The Chingis Khan showed favour to his son, Saghnäk-Tigin [In the account of those who presented themselves to the Chingaz Khan on his way to Utrar, which occurred very shortly after, the chief of Almaligh is styled Tükiä-Tigin See note 1, page 969] gave him one of Juji's daughters to wife, and sent him back to Almaligh [from this it would seem that he had been kept in the Mughal camp as security for his father's behaviour, where he took up his residence; and Arsalan Khan, the Karlugh, who, at this period, was a vassal of the Mughal ruler, who had betrothed one of his daughters to him, was, by him, permitted to go back to Kniāligh or Kaiāliķ.

In the meantime the Chingis Khān's envoys and the merchants had been put to death and plundered through the perfidy of Aniāl-Juk, the Kankuli, whose title was Ghā-ir Khān, and whose title, in the MSS of our author's work, by mustake, is written Kadr Khān. The Chingis Khān, before undertaking the war against the Snilān of Khwārazm to avenge that outrage, determined not to leave behind him any one likely to contemplate sedition in his territories during his absence, and, as his chief enemies, Koghlük, the Nāemān, and Tūl-Tughān, the Makrit, were committing disturbances and sedition in the vicinity of his line of route, he determined to finish Koghlük first, and, accordingly, the Nū-yīn, Jabah, was sent "to the westward" against him, with a large army of several tomāns, from the frontier of Karā-Khītāe, as alread? stated.

Koshlük, having committed violence and tyranny beyond measure in Khutan and Käshghar, and endeavoured to extingurch Isläm aherein, had nothing to expect but hostility from its people, and therefore, on hearing of the approach of a Mughail army to that frontier, he fied from Käshghar, and Jabah was allowed to take possession of it. He at once issued a proclamation that every one might follow his own faith munolested. Every Näemän that

Nishāpūr. On the Chingiz Khān receiving information of the Sultān's departure from the environs of Balkh, and of the sedition in, and dispersion of, the army which was along with him, he ordered 60,000 Mughal horse, from his own camp, to cross the Jiḥūn, and despatched this army, under the standard of two notable Mughals, one of whom was the Bahādur, Sahūdah by name, and the other the Nū-in, Yamah, in pursuit of the Sultān.

fell into his hands was slaughtered, and he sent out bodies of troops in all directions in pursuit of Koshlük He, out of fear for his life, expecting no mercy from his relentless foes, threw himself into the mountain fastnesses of Badakhshan, and, in the agitated and perturbed state of mind he was in, entered a darah [a valley between hills, with a river running through it, also a pass] which had no way out of it. Some call it the Daiah of the Sarigh-Kol-سريق كل-or Sarik-kul-سرمخ كول-or Sarik-kul-سرمغ كول Here we can easily find our ground. The word barigh occurs in Sarigh-i-Ighur, and in Sarigh Pämir, which appears in Col Walker's map under the incorrect form of " Sarre Pamir" A party of hunters, natives of Badakhshan, were pursuing game in those hills when the Mughals suddenly pounced upon They told the Badakhshis that they would spare their lives if they would seize and make over to them some fugitives who had lately fled from So some of the hunters, who had noticed some strangers, surrounded Koshlük and his few dependents, captured them, and delivered them over to the Mughals, who slew the whole of them, and Koshlük's head was forthwith cut off and taken away along with them. In that affair much booty, and precious jewels, fell into the hands of the Badakhshi hunters. Through the death of Koshlük, sovereign of the Näemäns, the countries of Khutan and Käshghar, to the Ab-1-Fanākat, which is also called the Siliun, were added to the empire of the Chingiz Khan

* This name is written in several ways. Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, in the Kazān ed of his History, makes it as Chanah, which is, apparently, a misprint for as Our author, and Fayth-î, and some others, write it Yamah—as but it is for the most part written Jabah—as—in other works. Fayth-î too has Suntăe for Swidāe

I must give a few details here, in addition to my notes at page 276 to 278, respecting the movements of these three Nū-yāns, which may be considered generally correct, and they are chiefly taken from the Tāuīkh-i-Alfī, Jahān Kushāe, Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Raugat-us-Ṣafā, and Ḥabāb-us-Siyar, but it must be borne in mind that the authors of the four last, particularly, wrote under Mughal rule and Mughal patronage, and from one to three centuries after our author, who was contemporary with the Chingiz Khān, his sons, and grandsons, and knew persons who had taken part in the actions he relates, and therefore—although bis accounts are meagre—he is antified to full credit here. The authors who wrote under Mughal influence appear inclined to lessen the number of the Mughal forces on most occasions, while our author, who was very hostile to them, perhaps inclines to exaggerate a little on the other side. However, that a force of 30,000 horse only was engaged in this expedition of over three years, through half of Asia, containing great and strong fortresses, mighty cities, difficult passes, and tortuous defiles, is not worthy of credit, for, had

That host in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 617 H.,

such a small number been sent they would have been liable to be cut off when separated, in a country too where there were men who only wanted leaders to make them fight. Even a force of 60,000, as our author states, allowing for those killed, disabled, or carried off by disease, was small enough; but, it is certain, that the Mughals, as was their custom, compelled men of the places they captured or passed through to join them, and incorporated them in their armies; and, by this means, they managed to save their own men at the cost of their recruits. We must also remember that they had to depend on the parts they overran for supplies. That they could be resisted in far greater numbers than 30,000 or even 60,000 by resolute men, the defence of Utrar. Khujand, Tirmid, Sistăn, and Khwërazm, abundantiy shows, as well as the determined resistance of other places mentioned by our author farther on, but which no other writers have even named, much less described.

When the Chingiz Khan had reached Samrkand, in Zi-Hijjah, 616 H , [end of February, A D 1220], and had completely invested that place, news, it is said, reached him that the Sulfan had crossed the Jihun by the Tirmid ferry [See note 2, page 275. Perhaps his informant was Badr-ud-Dinl, that the greater part of his troops were stationed in different fortresses, and the remainder dispersed [see the note previously referred to] in various parts. The Chingia Khan consequently held counsel with his Nu-yans, saying, that, as but very few troops remained with the Sulfan, and his son, Jalal-ud-Din's advice to concentrate his forces was not complied with, it was now possible to complete his downfall before his nobles and great men, and the armies of the different parts of his empire, should have time to rally round him. It was therefore determined that three Mughai Amirs of tomans, who were among the greatest of the Mughai leaders, namely, the Nü-yan, Jabah, of the tribe of Baisut, the Yamah of our author [see and see might be mistaken one for the other in MSS Our author is distinct in his statement in several places], Yafa-I, Fasib-i, and some others, with one toman [10,000 horse] as the van division, and the Baha ur, Swidae-the Sahudah of our author and others-of the Mughal tribe of Kungkur at, and the Nu-yan [the Bahadur ?], Tukchar, also written Tukachar and Taghachar, with their respective tomans, should be directed to follow Jabah [Yamah] in succession in pursuit of the Sultan They were to pursue him throughout his empire, and not to rest until they had captured him. If they came up with, and found themselves not strong enough to cope with him, they were to make it known to him, the Chingiz Khan, and not to turn aside, to spare those who submitted, and leave Shahnahs or Intendants with them, but to arminiate all that showed hostility. They were likewise to understand that three years were sufficient to accomplish this task, and turn the Sultan's empire upside down, that he himself did not intend to remain in the countries west of the Sibun more than three years, and that they were to rejoin him, at his native yarat, or encamping ground, in Mughalistan, by way of the Daght or Steppe of Kibchāk [along the north side of the Caspian] They were further instructed to acquaint him in case of their being in danger, that his son, Tulf, would be at once sent after them, at the head of an army, into Khurisan, and another army against Khwarazm, under his other sons

These three leaders at once set out, and Jabah [Yamah], with his tomedn, formed the van, while the others were directed to follow him in succession [at an interval of some few days probably] They crossed the Amulah, or Jibun, by the Panj-ab ford, at the end of Rabi'-ul-Akhur-some say in the previous crossed the river Jihun; and, in conformity with the

month---617 H. [about the latter part of June, A.D. 1220], and pushed on to Balkh, where they arrived together. They were waited on by a deputation of the chief men, received supplies, left an Intendant there, and then, according to their instructions, proceeded towards Hipat.

On the arrival of Jabah [Yamah] and Swidze [Sahūdah] at Hirāt, they did not molest it because, when they entered that territory, the Malik of Hirst [Amin Malik, according to the Habib-us-Siyar, whose title was Yamin-ul-Mulk] sent an emissary to meet them, and to signify his submission and obedience, he, from their unexpected arrival, being in no condition to resist them; but such proceedings, on that Maik's part, are contrary to the statements of our author and the tenour of that Malik's life. See the account of Sultan Jalal ud-Din's coming to Ghaznin farther on. They were allowed to receive supplies, but were not admitted within the walls. The two leaders continued to follow each other towards Zāwah; and, when Tükachār reached Hirat, he must needs refuse to believe the statement of the Malık's submussion to Jabah [Yamah] and Swidie [Sahūdah], and commence hostilities. The Malik therefore, of necessity, had to defend himself [See note 3, page 1014], and, in a conflict which ensued between the Mughals and Hiratis, Tükajar was killed, along with a great many of his force. This is a totally different person from the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan killed before Nishabur.

In the meantime, the Malik of Hirat having sent messengers to the two Nü-yans in advance, complaining of Tükachar's conduct, agents from them to the Malik arrived merely in time to conduct his defeated troops to join the other two leaders. From this, it will be perceived, that it was only want of spirit, or rather want of union and concerted action, that prevented the Musalmans from exterminating this Mughal force entirely.

From what has been already narrated by our author above, it will have been seen that he knew more of the actual facts of this affair than the pro-Mughal writers I have taken this from. Tükachār was killed near Fuahanj, a dependency of Hirāt, and not at or before Hirāt itself.

These forces under these three leaders were not the only troops despatched from the Chingiz Khān's camp into Khurāsān in 617 H. Arsalān Khān of Kaislik, and the Jushi, Tulān, the Talangūt [?] [see note *, page 1001], were despatched across the Jibūn, about the same time, to invest Walkh of Tukhāristān, which was bravely defended, and defied all the efforts of the invaders for eight months. See pages 2004—2006.

When Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] reached Zāwah, they were in want of supplies [according to Raghid-ud-Din], and all their solucitions and threats did not induce the people to open their gates or give them any, so, being in want, they stormed it vigorously. Others, however, state, that, the gates being shut upon them, and as the Sulfān was their object, they would have left Zāwah unmolested, but those within began to beat their druins, and sound their clarions, and from the walls greeted their departure with shouts, jeers, and obscene language, which so exasperated the Mughals that they turned back, and attacked the place. In the space of three days they carried it by storm, massacred all the inhabitants, young and old, and levelled Zāwah with the dust, after which feat they turned their faces towards. Nighābūr, without delay, pushing on day and night, "like the autumn blast or clouds of spring, slaughtering all who came across them, and destroying and burning all they possibly could."

Chingiz Khān's commands, they did not inflict any injury on any of the cities and towns of Khurāsān, and had

The Sultan had reached Nishabur in the month of Safar, 617 H [See note 3, page 275], and left it precipitately in the following Rabi-ul-Akhir, and this shows that the Mughals could not have crossed the Jihun in the latter month, but must have done so in the preceding one, as mentioned in the note referred to The Sultan who had lost all heart-indeed some fatality seems to have overcome him-probably, the prophecy of the astrologers, already mentioned, may have influenced his superstition-could not be induced to make any stand, and seemed only to seek a place of safety. The females of his family he sent to the strong fortress of Karan-duig, to the care of Taj-ud-Din, Tughan The Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Nıgam-ud-Din, Abu-l-Ma'all, the Katıb, a native of Jam, the Ziya-ul-Mulk, the 'Ara, the Zawzani, and the Majir [by some, Majd]-ul-Mulk, 'Umr-1-Rajī, the Kāfī, who were of the Sadrs of Khurāsān and Wazīrs of the Sultan, were left to administer the affairs of Nīghābūr and its dependencies, and the Sultan left it, taking the route of Isfarain and Rai, which he passed without making any stay, and made for Kazwin, at the foot of the citadel of which his son, Rukn-ud-Din, the ruler of 'Irak, was encamped with 30,000 'Irakis Others again say, that the Sulfan did stay at Rai, and that he there h and of a Mughal army having On the way to Kazwin, the veteran, Nusrat-ud-Din, entered Khurāsān Hazār-Asp, also styled Hazār-Şaf, one of the greatest of the ancient Maliks, and father-in law of Ghiyas-ud-Din, Pir Shah, the Sultan's son, joined him from Lar, and the Sultan went along with him to inspect Shiran-koh, with the object of staying there.

When Jabah [Yamah] and Swidäe [Sahūdah] reached Niahābūr, they tortured every one they could meet with in order to extort information respecting the Sultān's movements, and sent, and called upon the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, and his colleagues, to submit to the Chingiz Khān's authority. They supplied the wants of the Mughals, and sent out three agents, with offerings, to express them submission, and to state that he, the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, was an old man of the class of people of the pen, that they were in search of the Sultān, and, in case they should overcome him in battle, the country would naturally be theirs—not, "Speed after him," etc., as a late writer, using some imperfect translation, says—" and that he and his colleagues would be their slaves,"

Jabah [Yamah] gave them encouragement, and conciliated them, and, taking into consideration what had happened at Hirāt, left a Shuḥnah, or Intendant, with them for their security, and issued a proclamation, in the name of the Chingiz Khān, written in the Leghūri character, in the following words, or words to the same effect "Be it known to every one, far and near, high and low, great and small, of Lrān and Tūr [i e. Tūrān], that the Pure God [How history repeats itself! Here also the Pure God—the God of Peaue—is invoked, but not under the veil of Christianity] hath given unto me the sovereignty of the east and of the west. Whoso shows hostility to me shull see no more safety in this world. his kinsmen and connexions shall perish, together with his women and children; but they, who place their heads upon the line of obedience unto me, shall, instead of the cap, place a diadem on their heads." He also advised them to submit when the Mughal army, which was following, should armye, and not to trust to the strength of their walls.

The Mughal leaders made no stay at Nighābūr, but pushed onwards. Jabah [Yamah] made towards Mācandarān by way of Juwain, and, on

nothing to do with them, except in the territory of Hirat, at a place which they call the To-i of Būshanj [Fūshanj]

arriving therein, committed great outrages, more particularly at Astarābād, and at Āmul, where he ordered a general massacre. Swidāe [Sahūdah] moved to Jām and Tūs. The latter place refused to submit, on which he massacred the inhabitants, and then proceeded by way of Rādakān [a well known place in history and geography, but it appears in Major St. John's new map of Persia, published by the India Office, under the impossible name of "Rādián". Isfarāīn, or Isfarāyīn, as it is also spelt, and Khabūshān, to Dāmghān The people took refuse in the strong and famous fortress of Gird-Koh, W. of the city, and refused to submit, but a good many, who could not reach it, were massacred. He then moved upon Simnān, where many people were put to the sword, but places which submitted were spared

Nuṣrat-ud-Din, Hazār-Asp or Hazār-Ṣaf, the Atā-Bak of Lār, who, as already stated, had reached the Sulṭān's presence, advised him to take shelter in Tang-Talū—also written Tangah-Takū—bū ab-between Luristān and Fārs, as related in note a page 277, but, hearing of the fall of Rai, and the near arrival of the Mughals, the Sulṭān and his sons retired towards Ķārunduṣz, and Nuṣrat-ud Din is said to have retired to Lār, and other grandees and chiefs likewise sought places of safety.

On his way towards Kārun-dujā, the Sulfān narrowly escaped a party of the enemy, as related previously, at page 277, and he stayed there only two days to get fresh horses, and then turned his face, it is said, towards Baghdād [alab]—the place of all others, save the camp of the Chingiz Khān, which he would be likely to avoid], but some MSS have, to Fulād [ala—ba-Fulād]; but, hearing that the Mughals had already reached Kārun-dujā, he changed his route for the fortiess of Surkhāhān—wald from thence entered Gīlān

Jabah [Yamah] left a force to invest Kāran-dujz, and again set out towards Rai in pursuit of the unfortunate Suljān Now, considering that, at the outset, if only 30,000 men were detached, what with fighting at Hirāt and other places, besides the losses the Mughals must have sustained after such marches, to leave a force behind to invest this stronghold must have so weakened their numbers as to have rendered their destruction easy, I cannot, therefore, for a moment, credit the statement that only 30,000 lorse were detached. Considering that the Pro-Mughal writers generally lessen the numbers of their own forces, to flatter their patrons' vanity, our author's statement, that 60,000 was the number despatched, is much more reliable, and much more probable.

Let i—but, in a few copies, i = bi. In Pushto, i = bi in the innequaline, and i = bi —also written i = bi —in the femiume, signifying—split, rent, scattered, dispersed, etc., is the past part, of the intrans, verb i = bi does not follow that the above is a Pushto word. The printed text is hopelessly defective here

where one of the chief men of the Mughal army, in a foray therein, went to hell. Būshanj was but a small fortification; and they took it by storm, and martyred all the Musalmāns in the place. From thence they pushed on towards Nishāpūr, and arrived there, and appeared before the gate of that city. A battle having taken place there [with the troops therein quartered], the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān was killed. Without occupying themselves in avenging his death, they proceeded onwards towards Tabaristān and Māzandarān in search of Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh. The Sultān was encamped within the darah [valley and pass] of Timmīshiah, on the road to Māzandarān, when, suddenly, the Mughal troops came up with him

Sultān Muhammad left Utsuz, the Ḥājib, under the canopy of state in the centre of his troops, with orders to move them to Dāmghān and 'Irāk, whilst he, himself, entered into the mountains of Māzandarān, and embarked on the sea [the Caspian], as has been previously related. The Mughal forces now separated into two armies; the one, which was greatest, pushed on towards 'Irāk in pursuit of Sulṭān Muhammad's troops, whilst the smaller one proceeded down the darah of Timmishiah

Respecting the movements of both these armies, no fur her information, such as might be considered certain, reached Khurāsān Some said that, not finding Sultān Mubammad, Khwārazm Shāh, in Māzandarān and Irāk, they fell upon the son of that Sultān, whom they were wont to style Sultān Rukn-ud-Din, Ghūrī Shānastī, and martyred him and the forces of Irāk, and, by way of Azarbāijān, came out in the direction of the Dasht-i-Khifchāk, but God knows hest.

Not marked in modern maps. It is also written Timme hah and

This is incorrect it was on the second occasion that a son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān—of whom he had several—was killed. Our author has confused this event. See page 1028, and note 9 page 1024

I He had but a small number with him

As our author, up to the time he wrote his work, was in doubt respecting the subsequent movements of these two Mughal commanders, he having, in the year 624 II, kit his native place and country ah ut the time of their return, and retired into Hind, in order to connect what I have before briefly narrated, I will give a short account of their farther proceedings in this Mughal raid

The Mughals first possessed themselves of Karan-dule [which was never called "Karendar," nor was it situated "between Nessa and Nishapoor," nor was it situated " in the Kurdish mountains, between Kermanshah and Baghdad," st a place called "Ardahan," nor was it "in Khorassan," See "Mongols Proper" pp. 81 and 714], so called after Käran, an ancient Dialamah king and champion, of the Gli race, and situated in the Kazwin Darah-12 at 15that is to say, Karan's Castle. Without the points on the last letter, ; might be mistaken for , but any one acquainted with the Persian language would naturally, whether there were points or not, read affixed to the name of a fortress, as duzs, as a matter of course. It was plundered and levelled with the dust, after which the Mughals moved against I-lal--ايلز, [called Lal by our author, at page 280, which was not called "Ilak" Hen, and I think there is no proof adducible that it is called "Al Ask" now. It is precisely the same word, in the original, as PETIS DE LA CROIX'S "Yisle".] where was the Sultan's mother, and other ladies of his family, and the younger children, and invested it.

No one could call to recollection the time when that stronghold ever wanted for water, for cisterns had been constructed previously, capable of containing such a quantity of water that if no rain fell for years, when they were once filled, there would have been no want of it. It seemed, however, as though Providence was against the Khwārazmīs and Musalmāns in general, for, in fifteen days after the investment began, there was scarcely any water remaining, and no rain fell—an unusual event in that district—from the time the Mughals invested it. Consequently, the Sultān's mother, Turkān Khātūn, and the Wazīr, Nāṣir-ud-Din, who was there also, were compelled to come down, and surrender. Almost at the moment of their reaching the foot of the walls the ruin began to fall, and continued to pour, in such wise, that the water flowed out under the portals of the fortress!

The place was sacked, and all the vast treasures of gems, gold, and other precious things, fell into the hands of the barbarian Mughali, to such an amount that, besides precious stones and the like, ten thousand thousand-10,000,000-miskāls [about 1] drachms each] of red gold, and 1000 kharwārs-a load sufficient for an ass-of silken fabrics, clothes, etc., were among the spoils. This booty was sent, along with the unfortunate Turkan Khatun, her children, grandchildren, and connexions, and Naşir-ud-Din, the Wazir, towards Samrkand, to the camp of the Chingiz Khan; but, on their way thither, they found that his camp was then in the neighbourhood of Tal-kan[Naşrkoh of Täl-kän of Khurāsān, as our author states farther onl. When the captives were brought before him, the Wazir, Nāşir-ud-Din, was forthwith put to death, together with all the male children of the Sultan's family, however young What befel the females may be imagined. I shall have something more to say respecting them and their cruel fate farther on After that, when Sultan Jalal-ud-Din was defeated on the banks of the Sind, and his haram too fell into the power of the Mughals, the females were sent to join Turkan Khatun, and were all kept together m one place

When Sulfan Muhammad, who was then seeking safety on one of the

islands in the Caspian [Åb-i-Sugün, referred to in note 5, page 278, is contained in the map of the Caspian and countries around it, in the MASÄLIK WA MAMÄLIK, and is placed on the south shore, about midway between Āmul and Astarābād], as previously related at page 279, heard of the capture of this stronghold, and the fate of his family, he died, within a few days, of a broken heart [but, according to our author, and contrary to all those who wrote after him, and improbable too—whilst being conveyed back towards Khwārazm. See page 279], in Shawwal, the tenth month of 617 H [end of Nov, or early in Deer, old style, 1220 A D]. Considering that the first day of 618 H. commenced on the 24th of February, 1221 A D, it is very evident that the Sultān could not have died on the 10th of January of that year, as stated in "Mongols Froper," on the authority of M Wolff

After the capture of Karan-dug and I-lal, and the death of the Sultan, had become known to the Mughal Nū-yīns, Jabah ['amah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah], they despatched an agent to the camp of the Chingiz Khān to inform him thereof, and to intimate that the late Sultān's son, Saltān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, was coming in his direction, that they themselves were thus relieved of any responsibility respecting them, and would now proceed to carry out the rest of his instructions by pushing on into Trāk and Māzaudarān, and would rejoin him, within the prescribed time, by way of the Dai-band of Shirwān, and the

Dasht-ı-Khuchak

Where the Mughals wintered-for it was mid winter when they heard of the Sultan's death-if they went into winter-quarters, is not precisely stated, but it must have been in the north-western part of Khurasan, and as soon as the season opened in the following year - 618 H - Jabah [Yamah] set out towards Rai by way of Khowaf Having reached it, expecting he should be unable to take it alone, he summoned Swidae [Sahūdah] to join him. There were, however, unknown to them, allies within the walls. The inhabitants of Rai were divided into two religious factions, the Hanfifs and the Sha'fis former had, not long before, burnt a mayid belonging to their rivals, upon which when it became known that the Mughals were coming, the Kazi of the Sha'fis, and a number of his party, hastened forth to welcome them. They then offered to betray the city into their hands, the price being, for betraying their country and faith, and playing into the hands of barbarians, the destruction of the rival vect. The Mughal leaders accepted the terms, and they, having been admitted within the walls by the traitors, proceeded to butcher the Hanifis, but, having had clear proof of the disinterested friendship of the Shall'is, and their trustworthiness, the Mughals massacred them also, and completely destroyed the city. Thus was Raissone of the most flourishing. populous, and finest cities of Asia-desolated, plundered, and depopulated; and it never after recovered This took place early in 618 H. After this feat, Jabah [Yamah] advanced towards Hamadan, and Swidae [Sahudah] to Kazwîn

When Jabah reached Kum, to use the expressive simile of one of my authorities, "by the Mughals, the people of Kum became gum"—the Persian for lost, distroyed, annihilated, etc. At Kum, too, were two religious factions—the Shī'ahs and the Sunnis. The former sent a deputation to wait on Jabah [Yanah], and metted him to destroy the latter, and, as usual with the Mughals, after slaughtering the followers of the rival sect, they sent the followers of 'Alī after them, carried off such as escaped the sword into captivity, and left not a living soul at Kum, in fact, they "destroyed" them completely, in "the true Circassian style"

When Jabah [Yamah] arrived near Hamadān, the venerable Sayyıd, 'Alā-ud-Daulah, the Hamadāni.—some, including the Rauşat-uş Şafā, say Majd-ud-Daulah, his son—of the race of 'Alī, who was dwelling there—not "commanding," save in a sparitual point of view—sent him offerings, and tendered submission, in order to save the place and people, and agreed to receive a Mughal Shahnah.

In the meantime, the Mughals obtained information that a body of the late Sultān's forces, under two leaders, Beg-Tigin, Salāhi, and Küi-Būkā Khān, had assembled at Najās for Nakhās? MSS [whon which they marched against them, overthrew, pursued, and dispersed them. Jabah [Yamah] attacked Güzrüd, Khurramābād, and Nih-āwand, which were plundered and burnt, after the people were put to the sword. The Mughals continued to carry their depredations into every part of 'Irak, with the exception of Isfahan, which remained safe in the hands of the Khwarazmis, and did not fall under the yoke of the Mughals until many years after, and then treachery caused its fall, as our author relates farther on After the slaughter of a vast number of people, Kazwin was the next point assailed. The people defended it desperately, for the Kazwinis adopted their usual custom of street-fighting, which the disposition and nature of the streets of their city enabled them successfully to do. They fought hand to hand with the Mughals, and some 50,000 men were killed altogether on both sides. The city was captured at last, and those who still remained alive were massacred, and the place was sacked. It stands to reason that, if only 30,000 Mughals crossed the Oxus originally, as said by the pro-Mughal historians, they must have been somewhat reduced even were this the only fighting they engaged in, and therefore, as I have before mentioned, the 60,000 of our author must be much nearer the truth, and even in this case the Mughals must have greatly increased their troops by forced recruiting by the way. In more than one place, farther on, the despatch of fresh troops by the Chingia Khan to reinforce these two Nü-yins is expressly mentioned.

Having plundered, destroyed, and massacred to such degree in 'Irāk, the winter season [618-19 H.] having now arrived, Jabah [Yamah] and Swīdāe [Sahūdah] took up their winter-quarters in some of the dependencies of Rai. When the spring came round the Mughals turned their faces towards Agarbājān, reached Zinjān, took it, and massacred the people. They then advanced to Arbfl, which they treated in the same fashion, and burnt it, after which they marched by way of Sar-i-Āb towards Tabrīz. At that time, the ruler of Agarbāijān was the Atā-Bak, Mugaffar-ud-Dīn, Yūz-Bak [see page 171], 'the son of the Jahān Pahlawān, and the last of that dynasty [see page 172, note 3], who, on the appearance of the enemy near Tabrīz, concealed him-elf, and despatched an agent to Jabah [Yamah], together with valuable offerings, soliciting an accommodation. This was agreed to, and, it having been arranged, the Mughals passed on without farther molesting Tabrīz.

According to the Rauşat-uş-Şafā, however, "the Atā-Bak, Jahān Pahlawān, resisted the Mughals at first, but, having been defeated and routed, sent his son, Yūz-Bak, tendering submission, and despatched valuable presents, and thus saved his territory from further hostility"! The Jahān Pahlawān, however, died thirty-seven years before this, in 582 H

The greater part of 'Īrāk and Āgarbāijān having been trodden by the hoofs of the Mughals, and winter coming on, Jabah [Yamah] and Swīdāe [Sahūdah] took up their winter [619-620 H.] quarters in the plain of Mughān, but, according to Alfi, at Sūfā —equ-

I am unaware whether the accounts taken from Wolff, Erdmann, and other "Professors," quoted by the author of the "Mongols Proper," [p. 82], are verbatim, but, whether or not, these events have been made a terrible hash of in that book, and some, especially respecting the return of the Mughals homeward, have been mixed up with events which happened when Jujf entered "Chepé Noyan, and Subutae Behadur," Khuichāk some years subsequently as they are styled therein, are made to capture, after some other places, "Kum, Hamadan, and Rudbar," and, afterwards, are marched upon "Kasvin," and the Sultan, who is, by the same account, still living, is followed to the south shore of the Caspian. This was in 1220 A D. Then we hear that "Chepe" and "Subutae," after taking Ilak [p 93], marched against Rai, where the rival sects bring destruction upon each other. Then "Aum" is taken a second time, and "Chepe" makes a raid upon "the towns of Irak, Dinawar," etc., attacks "Nehawond, the far-famed Echatana," while "Subutae" captures Kazvin over again [p 93], although it had been already taken, previous to the Sultan's death, and 50,000 people slaughtered [p 82] After this, "Chepé" advances through "Dilem upon Azerbaidjan, which, together with Arran, were then ruled by the Atabeg Uzbeg," etc., etc., and, in the spring of the following year, after wintering in "the rich plains of Mogan," the "Mongols advance into Georgia." These events are said to have taken place before the Sulfan's death, in 1221 AD, at p 82, and, at p. 93, towards the end of 1222 A D [= 618 H]. At p 97, we are again informed that, "in 1224 A D. [= 621 H], a small body of 3000 [the original 30,000?] Mongols" was able to once more "destroy Rays, to do the same to Kum, and Kashan," etc., etc., so "Rar" or "Rays," as it is indiscriminately styled, and also "Kum," were, according to this account, destroyed no less than five and three times respectively, in about as many years How speedily these cities must have recovered again from total ruin and destruction ! All these different statements, however, refer really to one and the same events, for, on the first occasion of their inroad beyond the Oxus, with the exception, probably, of Marw and Khwarazm, no Mughal troops were left to hold any position in Khurasan or 'Irak-i-'Aja 1, and, consequently, in Uktae's time fresh armies had to be sent. See page 1007

During this winter [619-620 H -the winter of 1222-23 A D], 2000-some say 10,000-Gürjî [Georgian] cavalry, all picked men, attacked the Mughalswhere is not said, but in one of their advanced positions probably, and, as might have been expected from such a small force, they were overthrown The Gürjis now made preparations for attacking the Mughals in the coming spring, and sought help from Asia Minor, from the Diar-i-Bakr, and Diar-i-Rabi'. The Mughals, at the same time, were meditating an invasion of Gurjistan as soon as the season should open. At this time, a Turk slave in the service of the Ata-Bak, Yüz-Bak, named Aghrush, also called Aghush, collected together a considerable force, consisting of Khalj Turks, Kurds, and other adventurers, and entered the service of the Mughals This is a specimen of one of the ways in which they received reinforcements As soon as the season opened, Aghrugh, and his force of "free companions," supported by the Mughals, entered Gürjistan, carrying slaughter and devastation as far as the gates of Taffis They were soon encountered by the valuant Gürjis, and the latter, having inflicted great slaughter upon Aghrush's force, were about to overpower it, when the main Mughal army arrived on the spot, just in time to Unable to withstand the combined forces, the Gurjis had to beat a

retreat

In Safar, 620 H. [March, 1223, A.D.], Jabah [Yamah] and Swidze [Sahtidah] advanced to Maraghah, which territory, at that time, was ruled by a female sovereign—I have no space for much detail—who held her court in a fortress named Rū-in-duja, three farsakhs or leagues from Maraghah Although she was unprovided with the means of efficient resistance, and almost destitute of troops, the people defended Maraghah for a week, when it was captured, and the people massacred, and all their property destroyed or burnt.

After the capture of Maraghah, the Mughals moved towards Ardabil [Ardibil of the maps], but, as the fame of its ruler, Mugaffar-ud-Dîn, Gargarî, for valour was sufficiently known, the Mughals gave up the idea of assailing it, and they thought it advisable to retire. On the way back, intimation reached Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] of resistance in another quarter.

In the spring of this same year, 620 H , another attempt, but a feeble one, was made to make a stand against the invaders. It must be remembered, however, that Sultan Jalal-ud-Din had been overthrown on the banks of the Sind some time-about a year or more-before this, that he was now in the tracts east of that river—the present Panjab, and Sindh-and that there was no supreme head to direct an efficient resistance . there was no combination. Jamal-ud-Din. Abiah, one of the confidential officers of the late Sultan, and who held the Intendancy of 'Irak' during his reign, assembled a number of followers, whom the pro-Mughal historians, of course, style "rogues and vagabonds," to oppose the enemy; and the people of Hamadan also rose, slew the Mughal Shahnah, or Intendant-not a "governor"-located there, and openly threw off the Mughal yoke They then seized the Sayyid, 'Ala-ud-Daulah, before referred to, for submitting to those infidels in the first place, and shut him up in the fortress of Kurbat- or Gurbat. On this Jabah [Yamah] re-entered Trak, and moved towards Hamadan again, against Jamal-ud-Din; and all offers of submission [if made], on his part, were rejected, and Jamal-ud. Din was attacked, defeated, and slam. Hamadan, nevertheless, refused to open its gates, and resisted bravely for three days, when the Fakih [the Muhammadan Law-officer, a theologian], who was the Peshwä, or spiritual guide of the Hamadanis, and the prime mover in all this resistance, was killed; and the Mughals succeeded in gaining an entrance into the place by means of a secret passage, which this very Fakth had had excavated from his own house to the hills adjoining the city. The usual scene of slaughter, plunder, and devastation ensued.

After the capture of Hamadān, the Mughals set out towards Tabrīz, at which place, at that time, one of the chief 'Ulamā, Shams-ud-Dīn, 'Ugmān, the Tughrāe, a man of great learning and wisdom, was residing—the Atā-Bak, Yūz-Bak, the ruler, having retired to Khūe—who, in counsel with the chief men, sent presents and supplies to the Mughals, and tendered submission, and, among other things, a vessel filled with mercurial ontiment, which, he thought, "imght be very valuable and useful to the Mughals in freeing their persons from certain troublesome parasites, as they had come from a long distance." This so struck the Mughals, who met his agents with the presents at Maḥmūdābād, and at once proceeded to examine and count them, as a proof of his good wishes and intentions for their welfare, that they then and there turned back, and contented themselves with sending an Intendant to Tabrīz, along with the bearer of the presents, as Shams-ud-Dīn had requested.

The Mughals now marched to Khue, and Salmas, plundering, devastating, and slaughtering, and then proceeded to Nakhjuan, Barda', and Bailkan. This last mentioned place was summored to submit, and its people were

desirous of so doing, but, in a tumult which arose, the Mughal emissary was killed, upon which the Mughals stormed the place, violated all the women, and then made a general massacre of the unhabitants. After this feat of

brutality, they advanced to Ganjah, which submitted

At Ganiah intimation reached the Mughal Nu-yans that an army of Gürjis were on the way to attack them, and they moved from thence to meet them, With 5000 men-probably double the number-Jahah [Yamah] placed himself in ambush, while Swide [Sahūdah], with the main aimy, was sent forward to oppose the Guris, but they treated him so roughly that he had to beat a retreat in considerable disorder, pursued by the Guijis The latter, however, took to plundering the effects of the Mughals, and, while thus occupied, and their ranks broken, Jabah [Yamah] fell upon them unawares from the ambuscade with his fresh troops, and Swidae [Sahūdah] soon after succeeded to rallying his army, and also attacked the Gürjis. They, in their turn, had to reter with the loss, it is said, in Alfi and Raugat-ug-Safa, of 30,000 men, but 3000 may be nearer the truth The defeated Gürjis effected a junction at Taffis with Malik Dā-ūd, their ruler, who had there assembled an army to resist the invaders The Mughals, however, had sufficient experience of Güril prowess, for the difficult nature of their country was made the plea for not further molesting them, and the Mughal leaders turned aside towards the territory of Shirwan On reaching Shamakhi, they proceeded at once to fill the ditch with everything they could get hold of, dead bodies of horses, asses, bullocks, cows, and even sheep included, captured it, violated the females, massacred its inhabitants, and destroyed the place, and Shirwan was reduced to the same state of desolation as other countries they had passed through.

Having carried slaughter, devastation, and ruin-this is "an afflatus of architecture " possibly-from the frontier of Māwarā-un-Nahr to the Kaukasas, the Mughal leaders now prepared to carry out the plan of returning by the Dasht-1-Khifchak into Mughalistan, and rejoining the Chingir Khan, by taking the route of the Dar hand or Barner-the Ball-ul-Abwab, or Gate of Gates, of the 'Arabs, known to the classical writers as the Caspian Gates -but, as they were totally unacquainted with the route, the Mughals had recourse to treacherous stratagem, at which they were such adepts. They despatched an agent to the Shirwan Shah, as the ruler of that territory was styled, who had shut himself up in his strongest fortress, saying "We do not intend to molest your territory any more, send unto us here some persons that we may enter into a compact together for the future, and then we will depart towards another direction " The Shirwan Shah was so delighted at the idea of getting rid of these sangunary barbarians that he was thrown off his guard completely, and despatched ten persons of note to their camp Arrived there, the Mughals at once struck off the head of one of them in order to terrify the others, and told them that, if they guided them to the Dar-hand, and conducted them through and beyond it safely, they should be set free, and, if not, that they should be sent to join their comrade. These lielpless creatures could do no other, so they guided them, and the Mughals, having passed beyond the Barner, entered the territory of the Alan, a feat which no army had been able to accomplish, without guides, since the time of Alexander

The Alanians assembled in great numbers to resist the invaders, and combined with the tribes of Khiichāk [respecting the name Khiichāk see note at page 877, para five] for that purpose, and occupied the route in the front of the Mughals, prepared to resist their passage. The Mughals perceived they were in great danger, and again had recourse to a treacherous

strategem devised by Swidae [Sahudah]. They sent secretly to the Khifchak tribes, saving: "You and we are both Turks there is farther proof respecting what I have said in my note on the descent of the Turks of the i-maks of Tattar and Mughal. See last para, of that note, page 900] of one and the same stock, and all kinsmen together [and as they were Nagüz, vul "Nogays," this was really true, certain ethnological philosophers notwithstanding. See note to page 888, para. two], while the Alanian are aliens and foreigners. Let us enter into a covenant that we will be the friends of each others' friends and foes of each others' foes, and, whatever you may desire to have in the shape of money or goods, we will furnish you with. provided you give no aid to the Alanian, and leave us to deal with them." Jabah [Yamah] and Swidke [Sahūdah] accordingly sent many things from among the plunder the Mughals had brought with them, and money likewise. This induced the Khifchak tribes to withdraw, and they went away, upon which the Mughais fell upon the Alanian, slaughtered great numbers of them. ravaged their country, and got out of their difficulties. Then, according to their usual custom, breaking the pledges they had given the Khifchaks, they made a forced march, fell upon them unawares in their own territory, slew, and dispersed them The Khilchaks fled to the territory of the Russians], while the Mughals halted in the kishlak or winter quarters of the Khifchaks. which they appropriated, and therein they passed the winter of 620-21 H. [A D 1223-24]

After being thus treated, the remainder of the Khifchāk tribes sought aid from the Rūw—in the Raugat-us-Şafā, and some other Histories, they are always styled Urus—il—and, between them, they raised a great army, and set out to encounter the Mughals, who also advanced to meet them. The Mughal leaders, finding the confederates too numerous for them to cope with, again had recourse to stratagem, in order to separate them. When the Rūs and Khifchāks drew near, the Mughals, as though terrified of them, took to flight; and the Rūs, taking heart, followed in pursuit of them for ten or twelve successive days, when, finding the number of their pursuers gradually diminished, and that the horses of the remainder were quite knocked up, one morning, at dawn, the Mughals mounted quietly, and fell upon the Rūs, and, such was the havoc they made among them, that "the ground was made wet with their blood"

It is stated in Alff, that, in the beginning of the year 611 of the Rihlat = 621 H [it certainly is not correct that the two Nû-yîns rejoined the Chingir Khān early in 620 H, as will be proved farther on], the Mughals moved from the country of the Khifchāks, and penetrated as far as the city of Sūādak [[]]—by some Sūādak []—non the shore of the same sea adjoining, and near to [the territory of?] Kusţānţiah [Constantinople?], and gained possession of Sūādak city, after which they entered the country of the Rūs, as above stated

PETIS DE LA CROIX gives another account, however—but does not quote his authority—in which it is stated that the Ālāns were Tāttārs of Dāghistān, but, in the account above, the Mughals, who doubtless knew best, styled them "altens and strangers," and did not by descent consider them, in any way, connected with themselves, who were "Turks" "They devastated their country," he says, "so that the Mughals might not obtain anything, and this enraged them so, that they surprised and ruined their chief city, Tarkū, and took Terki [Mosdok of the present day], the chief city of the Cherkasians, who were in alliance with them, and also with the Kalmak Tartars"!

According to that account, it was to these last—the Khrichāks of my authorities—that the Mughals sent envoys claiming them as kinsmen, and that, by favour of the Kāi imāks, they crossed the Atil or Wolga, and entered Khirchāk. P de la Croix has here brought in events which happened when Jūjī Khān subsequently went into Khirchāk, mentioned farther on, from a totally opposite direction, as the country of the Kāl-imāks sufficiently indicates.

The pro-Mughal writers narrate that, after the defeat of the Rus, as I have narrated above, Jahah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] set out to rejoin the Chings Khan, and, having done so, laid their spoils before him, and that they completed their expedition within the prescribed period of three years, in which case they must have rejoined him before the fourth month of 620 H. [= [une 1223 A D] But what are the facts? Having passed the Atil, with the consent of the Khifchak tribes, the season being far advanced, the Mughals had to winter in the Dasht-i-Khifchak They appropriated the lands and pastures of the Khifchak tribes, in consequence of which hostilities arose between them and the Mughals, but the latter, being unable to cope with the former, had to act on the defensive, and send for aid to Juji Khan, who, since the disagreement with his brothers before Organi of Khwarazm, had retired into the Dasht-i-Khifchāk, which had previously been assigned to him as his The Chingiz Khan did not move homewards from the Indus until the spring of 620 H, and passed the summer at Buklan or Bughlan; and they only joined him in the summer of 621 H, when he was encamped near the Sihūn, while others say they re-joined him only at Kalūr-an. Jūji sent them aid, the Khifchak tribes were now forced to submit; and Juji, at this juncture, was summoned to join his father, who was on his return homewards, and he therefore kept Jabah [Yamalı] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] in Khifchāk during his absence They, by his command, reduced the Naguz [vul Nogays], crossed the river in their route, easily on the ice, reached what was afterwards known as Hāii-Tarkhān, the capital of the Nagūz, situated on an island in the Atil or Wolga, reduced it, and compelled the Naguz to submit, after a war of six months, to the Mughal yoke Juji was directed to return to the Dasht-1. Khischak in the autumn of 621 H [A D 1224], after the great kuriltäe, subseque. ! to which Jabah [Yamah] and Swidze [Sahūdah] set out for the wrdis of the Chingiz Khan, and, in due course, joined him during the summer of 621 H , as stated farther on

Now considering that the two Nū-yīns and their force wintered in the kishläk of the Khifchäk tribes, during the winter of 620-21 H [= AD. 1223-24], and are supposed to have rejoined the urdu of the Chingia Khan " early in that year," the idea of those, originally 30,000 horse, after nearly three years campaigning, during which they must have lost a good many of their number from sickness and fatigue, without allowing for the killed and wounded [P. de la Croix, quoting "Fadlallah," as he styles the "great Raschid," says that "Hubbe and Suida" had lost 10,000 men, and the Muchal ruler had sent off a reinforcement of 20,000 to join them in Mizandaran, when Tuli was sent against Nishapur in 618 H], "dividing into two sections" after reaching the Dasht-1-Khifchak, and partially destroying "Hadshi Tarkan"-"twisted" into Astrakhan by Europeans-and one body going back from thence into the "Krimea," and plundering the Genoese city of "Sudak," then "rejoining their brethren on the Don," and returning by way of "Precop," as stated in "Mongols Proper" on the authority, apparently, of Karamzin and Wolff, respecting this Russian campaign [pp. 94 and 95] is, as regards the expedition under Jahah [Vamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], at this

ACCOUNT OF THE CROSSING OF THE RIVER JIHUN BY THE TROOPS OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN TOWARDS KHU-RASAN.

After the Nū-in, Yamah, and the Bahādur Sahūdah, with 60,000 horse, passed through Khurāsān, and proceeded towards 'Irāk, disturbance and tumult arose in Khurāsān, and sedition manifested itself. Each one of the Maliks, in accordance with the commands of Sultān Muhammad, was in some part or other, and they put the for-

period, as probable as that famous march which the "Gurkhan" made "round the Caspian," and which must have occurred at the same time, and much in the same manner, as when the Karā Khijāe "traversed Khurasan and the wastes of Central Asia, and found their way into Kerman without a hint from the Persian historians" What Jabah [Yamah] and Swidāe [Sahūdah] did was subsequent, while Jūji was absent at the kuritite just referred to

The author of "Mongols Proper" appears to have greatly confused events, or his foreign translations have led him astray, for at p. 94, referring to the raid of "Chepé," and "Subutae," we are told that Georgia was then governed by Russidan, daughter of the celebrated "Queen Thamar," and was overrun by the Mughals, and, at p. 132, under "Ogotai," that, in 1235 [= 633-34 H.], the "Mongols" entered "Erbi," and in the following year "quitted the plain of Mughan"—it was "the rich plain of Mogan," at p. 93—on the Caspian, and sacked most of the towns of Albania, Georgia, and Great Armenia, the Queen Rossisidan [This is the Russidan of p. 94, and Russidan of p. 167. Rū-fn-duja was the name of the fortress in which the female ruler of Maraghah held her court, mentioned in para 1, p. 997, of note,], taking refuge in the fortress of "Ousaneth" of p. 132, but "Usaneth" of p. 167, etc. These events all refer to one and the same period of time.

One must be credulous indeed to believe that a force, which at the outset only numbered 30,000 horse, or even double the number, as our author states, could have performed these exploits. The very fact of the amount of plunder brought along with them indicates a goodly number of beasts of burden or vehicles of some sort, unless their plunder was packed in a very small compass indeed, and must have hampered them in their Krimean journey, and on the Don, or a large portion of their small force must have been left in some secure position to guard it. Therefore, there can be no doubt but that this original force was greatly augmented by reinforcements of Mughals, and fresh recruits; and the probability is, that a number of the Turk and Tättär soldiery, which were dispersed throughout the Sultān's dominions without a head, and some of those taken at the capture of cities and fortresses, must have been taken into pay or forced to serve, and this enabled the two Nü-yins to bring their bloody raid to a successful termination.

The great fault of our author here is that he does not give the events in order as they happened, which makes it somewhat difficult to follow him in thia, otherwise, most interesting portion of his History, and which later historians, especially the pro-Mughal ones, and such writers as D'Ohsson and others, seem to have been wholly unacquainted with.

tresses in repair, and surrounded the cities with ditches, and caused preparations to be made for war, and to defend the fortresses as far as lay in their power; for every part was entrusted, by the Sultan, to the charge of some Malik, who had been [previously] appointed thereunto.

The fortress of Tirmid the Sultan entrusted to the [contingent] troops of Sistan, the chief of whom was the Amir, Zangi-i-Abi-Hafs, and the Sarhang [standardbearer] * Sam, and the Pahlawan [champion]. Arsiah, he despatched to the fortress of Walkh of Tukhāristān, the length and breadth of which fortress is about four farsangs [leagues]. The fortress of Bamian he gave to Amir 'Umr, the Bawardi, and likewise commanded Malik Ikhtiyar-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of 'Ali-1-Khar-post [the ass-skinned], the Ghuri, to proceed from Burshor [Purshor-Peshawar?] for the purpose of securing the city of Ghaznin and to defend that territory To Malik Husam-ud-Din, Husain 1i-'Abd-ul-Malık, Sar-i-Zarrād, who was in the fort and town of Sangah of Ghur, and Malik Kuth-ud-Din, Husain son of 'Ali-i-Abi-'Ali," he gave orders to garrison and put in

2 See note 7, page 103

4 A different place altogether from Balkh, for which it must not be

mistaken See page 1024.

6 The Bodleian and Ro As Soc MSS have "and Dihli" after Ghaznin, which proves how much their copyists knew of geography, or their carelessness,

or they must have had very imperfect MSS to copy from.

Firmed or Tirmez two modes of writing this name, and both correct.

But few copies of the text have this name at all, and two copies have respectively a variation of it-Arsibah and Arsibah

It should not be lost sight of that Peghawar is a modern name Up to the time of Akbar it was styled liagram. The old capital of the province was Tahkal, west of the present city Excavations are being made there at present, I understand, and I have no doubt but that some important archaeological discoveries will be made there

In some copies Hasan Husain-i-'Abd-ul Malik, Sar-i-Zarrad, is the same person as is mentioned at pages 394 and 417, who was set up as ruler over Firuz-koh, after the death of Sulfin 'Ala-ud-Din, Utsuz, and just previous to the termination of the Ghuri cynasty

He is also styled Sipah Sālār.

Sangah is the capital of Mandesh

See page 340

The same person as mentioned at pages 391, 410, and 416, and several times in the account of the Shamsiah Sultans Here is another proof, were any wanting, of an inifat being used for son in the very same sentence with hin Abī-'Alī was entitled Shujā'-ud-Dīn, and he was the son of 'Izz-ud-Dīn, Al-Husain, mentioned at page 338, and he was the father of 'Ala-ud-Din, Muhammad, otherwise Ziya ud-Din, the Pearl of Chur, and the last of its

order the fortresses of the territory of Ghur, and to use their utmost endeavours in the defence of that country. The Malik-ul-Kuttab [chief of secretaries], the Ikhtiyarul-Mulk, Daulat Yār-i-Tughrā-i [the engrosser of the Tughrā or imperial signature], he despatched to the fortress of Kāliūn, and directed that the two famous Pahlawans [champions] of Khurasan, whom they were wont to call the sons of the Sozan-gar, should also proceed thither. Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jūziāni,3 was located in the city of Hirat, and the fortress of Fiwar was entrusted to the charge of the Pahlawan, Asil-ud-Din, the Nîshāpūri, the son-in-law of the Pahlawan, Mubarak, the Kurd. The fort of Nasir Koh of Tal-kan was conferred upon the confidential retainers of Malik Shams-ud-Din-i-Utsuz, the Hājib, and the fortress of Rang of Guzarwan was made over to the vassals of Ulugh Khani-Abi-Muhammad. The fortresses of Gharjistan were assigned to Sherān, the Amid [chief of the tribe] of the Abū Sahlān,4 and those of Ghūr were entrusted to the

Sulfans, and this Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, was the son of 'Alf, brother of the Pearl of Ghür.

Sosan-gar. One set of copies of the text, which almost always agree, in undoubted errors particularly, as I have several times mentioned before, all have wight-Yūx-Bak, which is Turkish, while these chiefs were all Tājātks. This is a specimen how copyists make errors, for wigh is merely a careless error for wight words are more alike in MS. than in type. The meaning of sosan-gar literally is a needle-maker, but that evidently is not the meaning here, but the worker of a description of quilting for covering or spreading over beds or the like, in which flowers of various kinds are worked of silk and thread, termed sosassi.

² Written Jūrjānī in nearly every copy of the text, but Jūzjānī is correct here. The parts about Tülak formed what is called the Jūzjānāt, or the two Jūzjāns of the 'Arab writers, but Gūzgān of the Tājzīks. See note ', page 321, para. 11.

* The same personages as are referred to at pages 266, 281, 399, and 414.

One of the 'Arab tribes of which several, or a portion of several, settled in these parts of Asia, towards the Jihlin, at the time of the 'Arab conquest, and

some of whom remain to this day.

The late Mr. W. H. Blochmann, M.A., in his criticisms on my account of the rulers of Lakhanawati, contained in his "Contributions to the Geography and History of Bengal," JOURNAL BENGAL ASIATIC SOCIETY, Vol. XLIV., page 280, note \$\frac{2}{3}\$, asserted that Sherán by studf is not a Muhammadan name, and objected to my "separating the name of the father of the VIth ruler of Lakhanawati [page 573] from that of his sons." Here is a proof that Sherän is a name by studf, and a Muhammadan name into the bargain. See also my Reply in the same JOURNAL, Part I., No. III., for 1876.

Maliks of <u>Gh</u>ūr. The city of Firūz-koh was made over to Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, and the fort Tūlak was placed under the charge of the Amir, Ḥabashi-i-Nezah-war [expert at the lance]; and, in every fortress and city, the Sultan located one of the distinguished Maliks among the

Turks and Tāiziks.

When Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, fled towards Māzandarān, and the armies of Islām became dispersed and disorganized, the Chingiz Khān had taken complete possession of the territory of Samrkand, and had despatched a body of horse' in pursuit of the Sultān; and other armies were despatched into various parts of Khurāsān. Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, who was a Musalmān, and had [under him] about 6000 [horse-] men, all Musalmāns and 'Ajamis, along with Ţūlān, the Juzbi, and a Mughal force, was sent against the fortress of Walkh of Ţukhāristān, whilst the Chingiz Khān, himself, with the centre [main-body] of his host, advanced from Samrkand to the foot of the walls of the fortress of Tirnid, and attacked it.¹ After some days,

's He is the chief who so gallantly defended Hirat many months from the second attack of the Mughals, and perished in its defence.

4 He was famed for his skill at the lance or spear, his favourite weapon, hence his appellation—the lance or spear-carrying, or the skilled at the lance or spear. See page 1059.

An army of 60,000 horse, as mentioned at page 987

Including an army into Khwarazm, the operations against the capital of, with are narrated under the notice of Tüghi, as Juji Khān's name is also written.

A Kärlügh Turk of the same tribe as Sauf-ud-Din, Hasan, the Kärlügh [Maj-Gen A Cunningham's Indo-Scythian], only the former belonged to those who continued in their old country, while the latter belonged to those

who emigrated to the southwards. See note 4, pages 374-5

Having passed the winter of 617 H. at Samrkand—the winter of 1220 A.D.—the Chingiz Khān, as soon as spring drew near, in the month of Zf-Hijjah, the last month of 617 H., and after he had despatched his three sons into Khwārazm, moved, with the bulk of his host, towards the Jiḥūn—He first reached Nakhāhāb, and, in the pasture-lands in that neighbourhood, remained during the summer, in order to fatten his horses, and, probably, until such time as the water should be low enough to enable him to cross the Jiḥūn after destroying Timud, which was the next point of attack. When the summer came to an end, he set out with his main army by way of Timur Kala'h—from whence he despatched his son Tülf, at the head of a great army against the cities and fortresses of Khurāsān—towards Timud. On drawing near it he despatched an agent to summon it to submit, and threatening the utmost severity in case of non-compliance. The people within, however, placing faith in the strength of their walls—the waters of the Jiḥūn partly surrounded the fortress—refused

during which the Musalmans of Tirmid had fought many battles, and had sent great numbers of the Mughals to hell, and many Musalmans had been martyred and made captive, the people of Tirmid were reduced to helplessness by the stones of [discharged from] the catapults of those accursed ones, and they abandoned the place; and that fortress fell into the hands of the Mughals, who martyred the whole of the inhabitants.

From thence [Tirmid] the Chingiz Khān despatched bodies of Mughal troops down towards Khurāsān, Ghūr, and Ghaznin; and the passage downwards of every army of Mughals which he sent towards Khurāsān and Ghūr used to be by the fortress of Naşir Koh of Tāl-kān. The garrison used to come down from Naşir Koh, and fall upon the troops and followers of the Mughal armies, and retake captives and cattle, and despatch those accursed ones to hell. These gallant exploits against the

to do so, and prepared for a vigorous defence. Catapults were used on either side, and great energy was displayed by besiegers and besieged, but, on the tenth day—Alfi and some others say the fifteenth—the Mughais, having succeeded in destroying the defences, gained possession of the place by assault. It is very probable that our author's account of the city having been evacuated is correct.

Under pretence of selecting people for distribution, as usual, the inhabitants were driven out into the open country without the city, and all, both old and young, male and female, were massacred. An aged female whom they were in the act of slaying on this occasion cried out, "Do not slay me until I shall have given up to you a great pearl." On making inquiry subsequently, they found she meant that she had swallowed—in the figurative language of the original—"one of great value, like an oyster-shell, and like a pearl oyster-shell they treated her they opened her bowels and found it; and, after that, it was usual with them to treat their prisoners in this way, in hopes of finding jewels"

After this bloody feat, the Chingiz Khān, in Zī-Ḥijjah of 61? B.—February, 1221 A.D. [according to the pro-Mughal writers, but three months after according to our author—see page 1008—who was close by at the time, and whose statement is preferable here, and at that page of our author's account it will be found], crossed the Jīhūn by the Tirmid ferry Alfī says in the beginning of 618 H., which is much the same, since Zī-Ḥijjah is the last month of the Musalmān year.

which signifies a fissure or rent, particularly in the ground; some in which is the shortened form of which cannot be right; and others, the oldest, obe as translated above. The letters is were left out by some copyists, hence the error.

⁸ In some copies, Nasr Koh. See note 7, page 1009.

4 The Printed Text has "camels and cattle" مراسر for ما but camels come under the head of cattle I believe

infidels by the Tal-kants having become frequent, a numerous force from the main body of the Mughal host was sent against the fortress of Nasir Koh, and it invested that stronghold completely, and fighting began. Uklan, the Juzbi, and Sa'di, the Juzbi, together with the son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan, which accursed one's name was Fikū, the Nū-in, and who had [under them] 45,000 horse, were likewise despatched to make inroads into various parts of Ghur and Khurasan. The whole of the cattle and flocks that were around about the cities, towns, kasrs, and villages of Khurāsān, Ghūr, and the Garm-sir, fell into the hands of the Mughal forces, and the country as far as the gate of Ghaznin, the territories of Tukhāristan, and the Garm-sir, was rayaged, and the greater part of the Musalman inhabitants were martyred and made captive During this same year. 617 H., for a period of eight months, the Mughal troops continued to carry their devastations into different parts:7 and, at this period, the writer of this TABAKAT, Minhāj-i-Sarāi, was in the fortress of Tūlak, and the writer's brother was in the city and fortress of Firuz-koh. In this year likewise, a Mughal army came before the fort of Astiah of Ghūr, and for the space of eleven days vigorously attacked it. Within this fortress was an Amir and feudatory, the Sipah-Sālār [Leader of Troops], Tāj-ud-Din, Habashi, -i-'Abd-ul-Malık,' Sar-i-Zarrad. He was a great Malik with amr le resources, but, as the decree of destiny had come, he entered into an accommodation with the Mughals, and went unto them. They took him to the presence of the Chingiz Khān, and he bestowed upon him the title of Khusrau 1

The same as mentioned at page 287, and farther on. The Chingis Khan had many sons-in-law

⁸ In a few copies Ughlan, which is also correct, # and g# being interchangeable.

The pro-Mughal historians either did not know of these different expeditions or have concealed them because the Mughals were so often beaten. It is very significant to find that they are not to be found in any other work unhaliver save the present one, and hence, hitherto, this "honey" has not been utilized.

⁸ Not an Ethiopian: it is a by-name here. See note ^a, page 368.

⁹ He is the brother of Malik Husam-ud-Din, Husam-u-Ahd-ul-Malik, Sari-Zarrad, mentioned at page 417 See also pages 394 and 1002.

A king, a prince, a just leader, any sovereign of pomp and magnificence. This, very probably, is the person whom the pro-Mughal writers mistake for Malik Khān of Hirāt Sec note 3, page 987, para. 4.

[Prince] of <u>Gh</u>ūr, showed him great honour, and sent him back again in order that he might, by means of accommodation, cause the other strongholds to be given up. On his coming back again, after the <u>Chingiz Khān</u> defeated Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, on the banks of the river Sind, <u>Tāj-ud-Din</u>, <u>Ḥabashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik</u>, Sar-i-Zarrād, deserted the <u>Mughals</u>, and engaged in battle with them, and attained the reward of martyrdom

In this same year likewise, the army of Mughals under the Juzbi, Uklan, appeared before the gate of the city of Firuz-koh, and attacked it with great ardour for the space of twenty-one days, but did not succeed in getting possession of it, and they withdrew baffled in their attempt When the winter season drew near, and the snow began to fall upon the mountains of Ghur, the Mughal forces turned their faces from Khurāsān towards Māwarā-un-Nahr. The number of the Mughal army which was in Ghur, Mughals and renegades included, was about 20,000 horse,8 and the route of that force lav by the foot of the fortress of Tülak. and, for a period of eight months,4 a force from that army used to carry their raids up to the foot [of the walls] of that fortress, and the veteran warriors of that fort-and this votary, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, was among those holy-warriors -the Almighty's mercy be upon them!-used to join issue with those infidels, in such wise that it used to be impossible for the infidels to come near the fort; and at times during that eight months, all the day long, the Mughal troops continued to prowl around the foot of the fortress.

Trustworthy persons related that there were so many Musalman captives in the hands of the Mughal infidels, that they had selected, for the Chingiz Khan specially, 12,000 young virgins, who followed [the troops] on foot.

[&]quot;The Printed Text, and a few of the more modern MS. copies, have المائل —peoples, families, etc., instead of المائل —mountains

³ This may have been a part of Arsalān Khān's force, or of Fikū's, or, possibly, a separate force altogether.

⁴ One of the best and oldest copies of the text has eight days here instead of eight months, while another, immediately after the word month, has "days" also. The sequel proves that in the first case months are correct, and days after, as rendered above. The Mughals and their Musa'mān Turkish allies remained in those parts the whole period, from the end of one water to the commencement of the next, during which time, for days logother, they used to prowl about Tülak, awaiting an opportunity of attacking or surprising it

The Almighty deliver them out of their hands, and, in His wrath, take vengeance upon the infidels, and annihilate them!

ACCOUNT OF THE PASSAGE OF THE RIVER JIHUN BY THE CHINGIZ KHAN.

When the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal of the year 618 H. came round, the Mughal hosts, a second time, were despatched into different parts of Khurāsān, Ghūr, and Gharjistān; and, as the route of the Mughal armies used to be before the fortress of Naṣīr Koh of Tālkān, the holy-

I have now come to a point where a very great and serious blunder has been made by some of the writers, who, under the ÎI-Khānīān—the Mughal sovereigns of Persia—the descendants of the Chungiz Khān, wrote their general histories, in which the conquests of the Mughals are given in considerable detail, and, consequently, other historians who follow them have generally repeated this grave error, and the fact of its being undoubtedly such I shall, I believe, fully demonstrate. It must cause a rectification of maps, and will overturn some very pretty geographical theories recently put forth in some elaborately illustrated and printed books, which theories hang upon the error in question.

Taking some of my notes from the pro-Mughal writers to illustrate the inroad of the Saljū's, and the life of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, I have, myself, been led into a slight error, at pages 94 and 130, of supposing all three places to be written as I found them, and as the authors themselves appeared to have magined, or the scribes for them, in the same way, and was partly led away by Ouseley's translation of Ibn-Haukal, but even then had my doubts on the point, at pages 290, 376, 398, 399, and other places, however, after examining the Masālik wa Manālik, I found that there was a great difference between the places, and corrected it accordingly, but I little imagined what these grave mistakes on the part of the pro-Mughal writers would lead to here, and what blunders they would commit in consequence

The error is that of entirely ignoring the existence of Tāl-kān—of Khurāsān, and mistaking Tāe-kān—of Tukhāristān, east of Kunduz, for it The latter place figures in our modern maps, including Col J T Walker's last, under the incorrect name of Talikhan, but the word has no kh

in it, and never had

This error on the part of these Muhammadan historians is the more to be wondered at, because some of them describe the situation of Tāl-kān sufficiently correctly to prove that it is the very place referred to above by our author, but in no other are such details given. The author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh—the earliest of the pro-Mughal writers referred to—says Tāl-kān was an exceedingly strong place, seven days' journey from Balkh. The Ianākatī, who is very brief, says the Chinguz Khān proceeded from Balkh to the fort of Fāe-ghān [k and gh being interchangeable] and captured it. In the Rauzat-us-Şafā and Habīb us-Siyar, it is "Tāl kān, situated on a lofty hill called Koh i-Nukrah"—the Mountain or Hill of Silver, after a silver

warriors of that fortress used to display valour and self-devotion. This circumstance coming constantly to the hearing of the Chingiz Khan, and the forces sent against that stronghold being unable to gain possession of it, and it being impossible to capture it, he crossed the Jthun for the purpose of taking it, and pitched his camp on the Pushtah [mound] of Nu'man and in the Bayaban [uncultivated plain] of Ka'b' which is between Talkan and Balkh.

⁶ He did not proceed against it at first, in person, but, subsequently, on finding the troops he had detached for the purpose could not capture the fortress, as explained a little farther on.

To the south of what appears in Col. J. T. Walker's map as ** Dasht-1. Chul," both words, daght and chul, being precisely of the same meaning—a desert, plain, wilderness, unmhabited tract, etc. The Pughtah-1-Nu'mān lay in about Lat. 36° 20′, Long. 64° 40′.

mine—and that it was "situated between Morw and Bolkh," and, in this, the Tärligh-i-Jahān-gir, and the Tārligh-i-Alfi agree. The Tārligh-i-Guzidah also gives the name and situation correctly.

This may also be quite correct; but ضير وا or might also, in MSS, be mistaken for غنواكو and I am inclined to think that نفواكو is a mistake for the other, as our author was not likely to pass over such a matter as silver-mines without referring to it.

The older historians and geographers describe both places most distinctly. Baihaki says "Sultan Mas'ud on the way from Balkh to Sarakhs reached Til-kan;" and that monarch's defeat by the Saljuks occurred in that vicinity Ibn-Haukai says Toe-kon of Tukhāristān 15 seven days' journey from Badakh. ahān, while " Zāl-ḥān of Khurāsān is three stages, i.e. three days' journey from Marw-ar-Rud" [now, Murgh-ab], and the same distance from Shiwarghan. Abū-l-Fidā says "the city of Tal-kan, once a flourishing place, did not exist at this period, but merely a citadel built on Nukrah Koh by a prince of Tukhāristān, on account of a silver mine which it enclosed " Ibn-al-Wardi [Hylander: Lundæ, 1823] says: " [at-Tal-kan] Urbs in Chorásán vel Irak el Ajem (in utraque enim regione urbes ejus nominis sitæ)." There was another place so called in 'Irak-1.' Ajam, as Ibn-al-Wardi says THE MASALIK WA MAMALIK, a work of undoubted authority, says, "From Balkh to Shiwarghan is three marhalah or stages, and, from the latter place to Tal-kan, three stages, and from Tal-kan to Marw-ar-Rud three stages. Tal-kan hes among mountains, and has running water and gardens. A river runs between it and Marw-ar-Rud which is crossed by a bridge." It is often mentioned in connexion with Faryab and Marw-ar-Rud See page 378 In another place it is said " Tāc-kān [which has been mistaken for Tāl-kān] is the largest city of Tukhāristān, which is a district of Balkh, and is situated in a plam near hills, and is watered by a considerable river" In the various maps also in that work the position of Tal-kan is plainly indicated. If we turn to Wood's work, " A Journey to the Source of the Oans," new ed, pages 153 to 157, we shall find his description agree with what is stated in the MASALIK-WA-MAMALIK respecting its situation, and it proves, beyond a doubt, from the

When the affairs of the people of the fortress of Nasir Koh came to a crisis, they resigned their hearts to martyr-

physical nature of the country around, that, what he—led away by the mode of writing the name, as given by Elphinstone, and others—calls Talikhan and Taulikhaun was not the place invested and destroyed by the Mughals—It is a place distant from any hills, and not so situated that "every Mughal army passing to and fro between Khurāsān and Ghūr must, necessarily, pass at the foot of the fortress," as our author says. To crown the whole, at page 147, he mentions "Tāe-kān of Kunduz," in connexion with Walwālij, as a wholly different place

Ibn-Khalkan, too, notices two Tal-kans—Tal-kan of Khurasan, and Tal-kan of Kazwin, but not Tae-kan of Tukhanstan, and, after describing the vowel points, says: "Tal-kan is the name of two cities, one in Khurasan, and the other a dependency of Kazwin, and contiguous to the fortress of Ala-mut."

ELPHINSTONE appears to have known nothing of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and refers to Tāl-kān of Kunduz, as Taulikhaun. Col. Yule, in his "Essay on the Geography, etc of the Oxus," in the second edition of Capt. Wood's "Journey," p. xxvi, refers to both places by one and the same name—"Tālikān on the Murghāb," and "Tālikān, on the borders of Badakshān," but, at p. xxxii. he mentions "the more open country below, Tālikān (or Tālikān), and Balkh," etc., and, at p. xxxii, refers again to Tālikān, east of Balkh, as the fortress invested by "Chinghia," which, of course, is incorrect. Tāl-kān of Badakhshān again is often mentioned in that excellent work the Agār-ul-Bilād. As to the Hayāṭilah see note ", page 423

ELLIOT (Vol. II. p. 578) falls into the same errors as others. He says "Tällhän-a city of Takkäristän between Balkh and Merv, three days' journey from the latter. There is another town of the same name east of Kunduz. The Tällhän of Tukhäristän is the one most frequently mentioned," etc. It is however precisely the reverse, and Tukhäristän was situated cast of "alkh, while Marw is west, in Khuräsän. Täl-kän had ceased to be

known as "a city" or town prior to the time of the Chingiz Khan,

As the clearing up of this terrible error is necessary, I will show how such like mistakes are brought about. Pétis de la Croix's "History of Gen-GHIZCAN THE GREAT," which is one of the cabbage gardens to which manufacturers of histories have recourse for padding, at page 283, says, that Sulfan Jalal-ud-Din dwelt many weeks in the city of Balc, where he got together some troops, and this it was that "displeased Genghiscan against its people." This is a blunder, and his own Jalal-ud-Din was never at Balkh at this period; "Bale" is an error for Ghaznin He gives no authority for his statement in the margin, but, soon after, begins to quote "Abulfarag," and "Mirconde." After mixing up a deal of his own with a little from oriental writers, he says, at page 286, quoting "Fadlalleh" as well as "Abulfarag,"-" After the Mogul Emperor had thus reduced the city of Bale to his obedience, he sent detachments out of his army to India [this is incorrect : Chur and those parts are referred to by the writers he quotes], and Persia, and left a considerable part of his troops in Transoxiana to keep it in awe, whilst he went to Tocarestan, to besiege the city of Talcan (sic), which was but seven days' journey from Bale [here he has mixed up his own remarks], and was esteemed the strongest city in all Asia [his own] for its situation, it being built on a very steep mountain [which Tae-kan of Tukharistan is not] called Nocreceuk . . went to execute his father's commands, Genghizcan planted the engines before

dom, and washed their hands of all hope of life. Three months prior to the occurrence of the capture of the fortress, and their attainment of the glory of martyrdom, the whole of them, by mutual consent, donned deep blue [mourning] garments, and used to repair daily to the great masjid of the fortress, and would repeat the whole Kur'ān and condole and mourn with each other; and, after doing all this, they used to pronounce benediction on and bic farewell to each other, and assume their arms, and engage in holy-warfare with the infidels, and despatch many of the Mughals to hell, and some among themselves would attair martyrdom.

On the Chingiz Khan, the Mughal, becoming aware of

Talcun (sic), etc. . . he caused to be made, with all speed, a great numbe of grappling-trons, long nails, hooks, ladders, and ropes, to ascend the Roci [this cannot possibly be applied to Tše-kān of Kunduz or Tukhāristīn, lying in a plain] . . . animated by the remembrance of the fatigues they have saffered for seven months past, which time the siege lasted," etc. Talcan was situated between Meron and Balc [here he is quite right] and dependent on Tocaristan [this is his own, and is wrong] . . . The first city of this name was not standing in the time of Genghizcan, and there was nothing left but the Cutadel, which a primee of Tocarestan [one of the Shansabāni rulers of Tukh äristän and Bāmiān] had caused to be built on the top of the mountain Norraconh, so called because of the mines of silver which it enclosed," etc. From the above extract it will be perceived how such errors have been brought about

Of modern writers, I find THOMAS is the most correct as to the position of Tal-kan, but he spells the word incorrectly-"Talakan" [" Fournal Ro. As Soc.," vol xvn p 188, " On the coins of the Kings of Ghanni"] , and again at page 208 :- "This is the Talakan in Juzjan [Jawzjan ?], which must not b confounded with the city of the same name or nearly similar name in Tokharistan situated to the eastward of Kunduz . The second city is discriminated is many of the early geographical authorities, by the independent orthograph of "The 'Arabic Ji is not however always, or even often, prefixed to the name except in 'Arabic books. The advantageous position for a per manent camp chosen by the Chingiz Khan at the Pushtah-i-Nu'man can b seen at a glance on looking at a good map, but this position did not secure i from an attack from the fortress of Ashiyar of Gharistan, mentioned at page 1072, when the Chingiz Khān set out towards Ghaznin in pursuit of Sultai Jalaj-ud-Din, after the latter had repeatedly overthrown the Mughal force opposed to him. The fact of this attack from Ashiyar also still further tend to prove the position of the great camp mentioned farther on, and, con sequently, the mistaking of Tal-kan, of Khura-an for Tac-kan of Kunduz eas of Balkh myolves a blunder of only about 360 miles too far to the east. Tal-kai is, undoubtedly, the place visited by the Chinese traveller, Hiouen Thang, unde the name of "Ta-la-kien" on the confines of "Po-la-si" [not "Persia," fo Fars, which is anglicized Persia, only applies to a province, and not to Îran and lay on the great caravan route between Turkistan, Bukhara, by Tirmi and Balkh, to Hirat and Khurasan. See also pages 378 and 398

the severity of the conflict carried on by these warriors of the faith, he moved from the Pushtah of Nu'mān against the fortress in person, and the attack commenced. On one side of the fortress, where the upper gateway was situated, they had excavated a ditch in the rock, and the Mughals, with stones from their catapults, battered down the bastion at that point, and filled in the ditch, and effected a breach to the extent of about a hundred ells. Still the Mughal forces were unable to take the fort; but the Chingiz Khān, through excessive rage, swore his accustomed oath that he would take that fortress on horseback. For a period of fifteen days more fighting was carried on, until an even passage was made, so that the capture of the fort of Naşīr Koh might be effected.

When the Mughal cavalry charged into the fortress, 500 men of the defenders of the place, tried warriors, formed in a compact body, and sallied forth from the gateway of the Koh-i-Janinah ² [Janinah mountain] of Tālkān, and threw themselves upon the Mughal army, broke through its ranks, and cut their way out. As mountains and ravines were close by, some of them attained martyrdom, but the greater number escaped in safety.⁴

The Chingiz khān destroyed that fortress, and caused the whole of [the rest of] the inhabitants to be martyred. May God reward them!

ACCOUNT OF THE COMING OF SULTAN JALAL-UD DIN, MANG-BARNI, SON OF SULTAN MUHAMMAD, KHWA-RAZM SHAH, TO GHAZNIN, AND THE EVENTS THAT BEFELL HIM THERE.

Sultan Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, sent his commands

- When ells occur, the English ell is referred to.
- ⁹ He had to wait for Tüli Khan, whom he had ordered to rejoin him with his forces from Hirat, before he could succeed in taking the place, according to the Raugat-up-Şafa, Habib-us-Siyar, and some others.
 - 1 By filling the ditch and levelling the walls.
- ² That is to say, the gateway facing the Janinah—in some copies, Janiah—mountain.
- 3 The pro-Mughai writers say that it was taken after seven months, that not a soul was left alive within it, and that it was razed to the ground If any place was entitled to be named Mau-bālīgh it was this.
- 4 Great fortresses, often miles in circumference, with towns within their walls. What they were may be seen from the sketches of Captain Hart, Dr Atkinson, and in Sale's "Julal-abail"

to Malik Ikhtivär-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of 'Ali-i-Kharpost fithe ass-skinned], the Ghūri, a man of experience, a valiant warrior, and of considerable firmness, who, some time previously, for a period of ten [two?] years, had held out the fortress of Nasir Koh of Talkan, against the forces of Khwarazm Shah. and who, in Ghur and Khurasan, had, consequently, become famed and renowned, and whose line was of the great Maliks of Ghur, to set out from Burshor? [Purshor-Peshāwar ?], which was his fief, and proceed to Ghaznin: and, when he arrived there, the forces of Islam turned their faces towards him. In the capital city of Ghaznin, great numbers of troops assembled, in such wise, that about 130,000 horse, all brave soldiers and completely armed, were mustered with the intention of undertaking this important enterprise, that he should organize the army, and suddenly fall upon the forces of the Chingiz Khān who was then encamped at the Pushtah s-i-Nu'mān, and [endeavour to] overcome him.

He [Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Khar-post] was constantly occupied in organizing the army, and in the equipment of his train; and those grandees and distinguished men of Khwārazm, who had become severed from the service of Sultan Muhammad, were coming to him at Ghaznin Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Alb, the Sarakhsi, who was the Wazir of the kingdoms of Ghaznin and Ghur on the part of the Khwārazm Shāh, came to Ghaznin. There was [also] at Ghaznin a Kot-wal [Seneschal], whom they used to style Salah-ud-Din, who was of the kasbah [town] of Gird-gan, in conformity with the command of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, Malik Khan of Hirat, who, at the time of flying [from thence], had proceeded towards Sistan, when the hot season set in, turned his face towards Ghaznin, and news from Khurāsān was received respecting Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, Mang-barni, that he was coming to Ghaznin. Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Alb, the Wazir, in secret, had

⁸ "Ass-skinned" or of "Ass-like skin." It is a nickname. See pages 286 and 1002.

[•] When Ghur was independent.

⁷ In some copies, by way of Burahor See note 5, page 1002.

Or Pusht, which is the same in signification

See page 285.

In a few copies of the text - كردكايـ Kodakān, or Godagān.

now devised a treacherous plot with Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, the Koṭ-wāl, and had prepared a banquet and invited Malik Mu-ḥammad-i-<u>Kh</u>ar-post to this convivial entertainment, and Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, the Koṭ-wāl, assassinated that Malik-i-<u>Gh</u>āzi with a knife; and the army which he had gathered together became dispersed.^a

² As our author has not entered into detail here, the following may tend to elucidate the events of this period, but, in some particulars, it differs considerably from his account, though he is certainly worthy of credit, as he was living in those parts at the period in question.

The disloyal conduct of Malik Muhammad, 'Alf-1-Khar-post, towards

Malık Khan of Hırat, brought about his own downfall.

The Jahan-Kushae says that, when Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, fled from the banks of the river of Balkh, where he was encamped [on discovering disaffection among some of his Turkish troops, and a plot to deliver hum over to the Mughals], Yamin [our author's Malik Khan-which is his correct name, and whose title was Yamin-ul-Mulk-1 e. the right arm of the country], Malik-the feudatory of Hirat and its dependencies, having proceeded thither as directed, but unable to remain, retired from thence-which must have happened soon after the departure of the Mughals under Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahudah], on their way towards Nishabur-by way of the Gariu-sir At this time, 'Alf-1-Khar-post, the Ghuri, was at Ghaznah on the part of the Sultan, with a force of 20,000 men; and, when Yamin Malik arrived within two or three stages of Ghaznah and encamped at Surah [, , ,], he despatched an agent to Muhammad son of 'Alf, saying, "assign us grazing ground [for the horses and other cattle), so that we—thou and I—may continue together [for mutual support], since the Sulfan has fied towards Irak, and the Mughals and Tättärs have entered Khuräsan, m order that we may see what may occur in the Sul'an's affairs."

At this time, the Shams-ul-Mulk, Shihāb-ud-Dîn [Shihāb-ud-Dîn-i-Alb just mentioned in the text above See also page 285], the Sarakhsi, who was the Wasir, [one of the Wasirs?] of the Suiţān, was likewise at Ghaznah; and Ṣalāh-ud-Dīn, the Nisāi, who was Koṭ-wāl [Seneschal] of the fortress and city, was likewise located there. From this it appears that Muḥammad, son of 'Alf-i-Khar-post, was merely feudatory of the province, and the Koṭ-wāl was in independent command. The Khar-post and the Umrā [of his troops] in reply to the Yamin Malik's [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk's] request, sent answer "We are Ghūrīs and you are a Turk, and we cannot enter into connexion with you. The Sulṭān has assigned fiefs and grazing grounds to each one i let each of us therefore continue in his own locality until we see what may arise." This is a specimen of one out of the many similar causes of the Mughal successes, and the ruin of the Musalmān empire, and—like some modern Catos, who exclaim. "Perish our Indian Empire"—the faction of Ghazuīn would rather see the Musalmān rule extinguished than their own selfishness and ambition frustrated.

Agents on several occasions passed between them, but no agreement was come to, and the Chürf faction was obstinate in its refusal. As might have been expected, the Shams-ul-Mulk, the Wažīr, and the Seneschal, Şalāḥ-ud-Dīn, conspired against the Khar-post, saying. "these Chürīs are distflected towards the Sulṭān, and refuse to allow \u00e4 anin Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk], who is the Sulṭān's kinsman, to enter the Chaznah territory." The whole of the forces of

In the year 617 H., Malik Khan of Hirat, as above stated,

Ghamah were then collected together, encamped within half a farsang of the city; and the Shama-ul-Mulk and Saläh-ud-Din, who were among them, conspired against Muhammad, son of 'Ali--Khar-post. They invited him to a feast, at a garden near by, when Saläh-ud-Din, senzing the opportunity, stabbed him with his dagger and slew him. After having killed the Khar-post, the Shama-ul-Mulk, and Saläh-ud-Din, before the deed became known, succeeded in throwing themselves into the city, and secured the citadel; and the Chūris became disunited, and, after two or three days, Yamin Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk] arrived at Ghaznah and assumed authority.

Soon after came news that the Chingiz Khān had reached Tāl-kān, and 2000 or 3000 Mughals—20,000 or 30,000 more likely—came in search of Yamin Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk] by way of the Garm-sīr. He sallied out with a body of troops to encounter them; but they, finding him too strong for them, did not venture to stand against him, and made a hasty retreat. Yamin Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk] pursued them as far as Bust and Tigin-ābād; but the Mughals had gone off in the direction of Hirāt, and he, by way of Kuşdār, proceeded into the Sho stān—the Salt Desert between

Hırāt, the Kuhıstan, and Sijistan

He had taken along with him the Wazir, the Shams-ul-Mulk, and imprisoned him in the fort of Kajūran of Bust and Tigin-abad, and had left Salahud-Din, the Seneschal, in charge of the citadel of Ghaznin; but, after the departure of Yamin Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk], the people of Ghaznin rose against Saläh-ud-Dīn, slew him, and gibbeted him There were at Ghaznin, at this time, two brothers, natives of Tirmiz, the Razi-ul-Mulk, and the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk [these are, however, titles not patronyms], and they became the directors of affairs; and, having gathered together a large following, acquired the whole power. The Khalj tribe [a section, see page 539 and note 5, para, 2], and Turkmans, in great numbers, coming from Mawara-un-Nahr and Khurasan. congregated at Parshawar, and their Sar-Khel, or Leader, was Saif-ud-Din, Aghräk, who, in the Jämi'-ut-Tawarikh, is called a Turkman. The Razi-ul-Mulk was desirons of moving against them, so that he might acquire power in Hindustan sic in MSS., but the provinces on the Indus, part of the present Panjab, is meant). He accordingly assembled his forces, and marched against them; but he was overthrown by the Khal; and Turkmans, and killed with most of his followers His brother, the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk, was left in charge at Ghaznin during his absence.

The A'gam-ul Mulk, also styled the A'gam Malik in the Jahān-Kuahāe, who was the son of 'Imād-ud-Dīn of Balkh, as mentioned above by our author, who was the Häkim [here signifying that he held the fief and ruled over it] of Nangrahār, and Malik Sher, the Häkim of Kābul, with the Ghūrī troops of the Sultān, who had gathered around them [they were Ghūrīs themselves], marched upon Ghamīn, and invested the 'Umdat-ul-Mulk in the fort, which is in the middle of the casy. After they had placed catapults against it, and besieged it for forty days, they captured the fortress; but, on the very same day, arrived the Shams-ul-Mulk, the Warīr, whom Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, flying towards Ghamīn by way of Khurāsān [see note ', page 286], had released on reaching the fort of Kajūrān, in which Yamīn Malik [the Yamīn-ul-Mulk] had confined him, and had sent on, in advance, to prepare for his reception at Ghamīn. A week after, the Sultān himself arrived; and troops began to rally round him from all quarters, as already related under his reign, and as will be noticed farther on.

had retired before the Mughal forces and come to Ghaznin, and, from thence, returned again towards the Garm-sir with the intention of proceeding to Sistan. On the way thither, he conferred the territory of Burshor [Purshor] upon Razi-ul-Mulk; and, when Razi-ul-Mulk came to Ghaznin for the purpose of proceeding to Burshor [Purshor], the people of Ghaznin kept him there. Subsequently to that, however, Razi-ul-Mulk set out towards Burshor [Purshor], and the troops of the Ighrak * [tribe] which were there [congregated] put Razi-ul-Mulk to flight. After he had withdrawn from thence the A'zam Malık, the Sipah-Sālār [Leader of Troops], the son of 'Imad-ud-Din of Balkh, who was Amir of Nagrahar [Nangrahar], seized Razi-ul-Mulk, and detained him. Suddenly, Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, Mangbarni, arrived in the Ghaznin [territory], upon which they ['Imad-ud-Din and his partizans] slew Razi-ul-Mulk; and, shortly after, Sultan Jalal-ud-Din, Mang-barni, and Malik Khān of Hirāt, reached Ghaznīn 6

Numerous troops joined them, consisting of Turks, <u>Gh</u>ūris, <u>Tājz</u>iks, <u>Kh</u>alj, and <u>Gh</u>uzz, and a great army collected From <u>Gh</u>aznīn, they pushed forward towards <u>Tukh</u>āristān, and routed an army of <u>Mughals</u> which were before the walls of the fortress of <u>Wālish</u>tān, and came back again [to <u>Gh</u>aznīn].

In some modern copies of the text, this name appears without the point over و العراق عن الله with the point omitted. Some modern historians, and writers of lesser calibre, have consequently jumped at the conclusion that these men were 'Irākis, or natives of 'Irāk—Babylonia. They did not apparently know that 'Irāk cannot be so written, but وإلى The word above as it now stands without a point over g is merely the plural form of 'Arak—بابه—signifying, juice, essence, etc., and that, of course, is wrong.

The latest, and most amusing mistake on this subject is contributed by Surgeon-Major Bellew, C S I, in a book entitled "Afghanstan and the Afghans," written for the present Afghan crisis, in which he says, quoting some translation probably (page 185), that "Changiz at the time of his invasion found the Peshawar valley held by Irac or Persian (sic) troops." When, however, Ghali Afghans are not Afghans but Khuluck Turks (the Khal) tribe is possibly referred to], and "Tarins" are "Ghaljis," and "Sabaktaghin" is the "founder of Ghazm," what may we not expect?

The word 'Ighrāk, as written by our author, is confirmed by the Jahān-ĸushāe and other Histories; and there is not the shadow of a doubt that the 'Ighrāk were Turks, and, moreover, that they were a section of the great tribe of Khalj, as stated in the account of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn

See note *, page 1021, where he is referred to.
 On the 17th of Zi-Hijjah—the last month—617 H.

The name of this place has been mistaken by many authors, who follow

When intimation of the coming of Sultan-Jalal-ud-Din,

and copy from each other, and they have turned it into Wālfān— \$\omega\$\sqrt{1}\$,—which, in \$MS., is not so very different from \$\omega\$\text{bully}\$ but that a careless copyst might leave out the \$\text{1}\$—gh—entirely, seeing that the three shoulders—if they may be so called—in the letter, in its intermedial form in a word—are made one of in \$MS., thus—\$\omega\$\text{bully}\$—and might put the two points of \$\omega\$—t—under instead of over the letter, and thus make it \$\omega\$\text{1}\$, which has been done in the cases in question. Our author, then in his \$\omega\$\text{they pear, and his predecessor, the Baihaki, both of whom were natives of these parts, and government officials, must have known the names of such prominent places correctly. They continually refer to Wālfahtān [in the printed text of the Baihaki, however, the three points of \$\alpha\$ have been left out] as well as to Walwāli, as totally distinct places, but no such place as Wālfān is ever referred to. It is an undoubted error, as a ell as the supposed siege of Bāmān, as \$\omega\$ shall presently show.

Now let us examine what the different writers, generally quoted, say on the subject; for the clearing up of this serious error is a matter of necessity. I must first, however, refer to a European writer.

PETIS DE LA CROIX, in his Life of "Genghiscen," quotes a number of authors, some of whom are undoubtedly good, and some of little or no authority, but the earliest wrote about a century after our author, who was the Chingiz Khān's contemporary Some of the originals (quoted by P. de la Croix), such as I could obtain access to on the spur of the moment, I have examined, and I find that, very often, they are not correctly quoted. The Nixāwi's Life of Jalāl-ud-Dīn, I have not had access to, but I am convinced the author could not have made some statements which he has had the credit of. I will first notice P. de la Croix, in juxtaposition with some of his chief authorities. for all I have not space

Quoting "Abulfarag" and "Mirconde," he tells us that "Genghizean," after taking Talcan, put his troops in motion against Bannan, and was still waiting for news of his troops, sent after Gelaleddin towards India [Ghur and the tracts between the Oxus and Ghazain are meant, but some troops were subsequently sent east of the Indus. See note \$, page 293 and page 297] Then [Murconde] that, "hearing Gelaleddin was at Ghazna, he hastened his march to surprise him, but was stopped in Zabulestan by the garrison of Banuan, which he hoped to take without opposition." He had just begun to batter the place when news reached him that the leaders of the forces he had sent towards India [this is his own, as the sequel proves, or "Marraschi"] had been defeated, and then De la C quotes the Nisawi's History to the effect that, "two or three days after Gelaleddin got to Ghazna, he learnt that the Moguls were near by and investing Candahar." This is quite enough to stamp this quotation as incorrect, for there was no such name known to Baihaki, or to our author, at that period, and for very many years after, as Kandahārwithout taking into account its position from Ghaznin-although the site is undoubtedly ancient. It is probably identical with Tigin-ābād, mentioned at page 448. Then we are told that . " Emin Malic was come out of Hirat to watch the Moguls," and that "Schamseddin commanded in the city for him," after De la Croix had just before said that Schamseddin had usurped possession of it, and that "he had surprised this city in the absence of Emin Malec" [see page 1013 of this translation], while the fact was that, at this time, Hirāt had been taken by Tüli Khan, and had received a Mughal Shahnah or Intendant. Then, again quoting the Nisawi, as he says, "Emin Malec consented" to

Mang-barni, and Malik Khan of Hirat, and the gathering

join his sovereign, and they now moved to relieve Candahar "before the citadel was taken," and "surprised the Moguls, who had already taken and plundered the town," and drove them off with great slaughter, "the town being full of dead bodies of Moguls and Tartars." All the Mogul army at Candahar perished! The Sulţān "repaired Candahar," and "returned to Ghazna."

I have no opportunity, at present, of examining the Nisāwi's History, but I feel certain that no such name as the city of Kandahār will be found in it, unless the interpolation of some more modern writer or copyist; and further that it will not be found in any History of that period Kandahar adjoins the tract called the Zamin-1-Dawar, which Bashaki so often refers to in connexion with Bust and Kuşdar, and whose work, devoted to a single reign, is so full of detail; and he mentions Walisht in connexion with those places, but never mentions such a place as Kandahar. At page 319, our author too, in his account of the five great mountain ranges of Ghur, says, that "the fourth is the mountain tract of Warani, in the valleys and outskirts of which are the territories of Dawar [the Zamin-1-Dawar], Walisht, and the Kasr of Kajūran " Is it possible that such a position as that of the city of Kandahar could then have been in existence, and lying in the easiest route between Ghaznin and Bust, without being once mentioned? It is also improbable that Walight can be Wälishtän, because we are distinctly told that the latter was in Tukhāristan, which lies some five degrees farther north than Kandahar The so-called "Saygill," of some European writers, is merely an error for Sigiz, or Sijiz. The ancient name too of Kandahār is said to have been Waihind, and of the province Balyūs

Neither Bathaki nor our author, who constantly give names of places and routes, especially the former, as from Hirat to Balkh, and Ghaznin to Balkh, ever once mentions such a place as Walian, which, as I have already remarked, is a mistake of some copyist for Walishtan, but both of them The only places mentioned in the MASALIK ولوالي--mention Walwalij WA MAMALIK and in IBN-HAUKAL in any way approaching the words under discussion, in the parts indicated, are Zawalin-والي -and Walin-والي with the- ; -left out in the latter, and which places neither Baihaki nor our author mention, and they are undoubted errors for Walwahi See note 5, page 288 There is a Walshiam in Col. J T. Walker's last map, but no reliance can be placed on our maps for correctness of names—especially in Oriental names—names in the map of a country—which ninety, if not ninetynine, times out of every hundred, are inserted on oral evidence alone, but, for historical accuracy, should be written first in the language of the country and people, and then inserted in the map Walshian of Col. Walker is, however, just 90 miles N. of Bāmīān and 180 N. of Ghaznīn, and 18 not mentioned in any oriental History or Geography, that I am aware of

P. de la Croix then goes on to say, first quoting Abū-l-Faraj for "Candahar" [page 306], and then Fadlallah [i. e. Rashid-ud-Din, Fazl-ullah], that the Chingiz Khān determined, on hearing of this defeat, to despatch Tūli, his son, against the Sultān, and was about to send him off with 80,000 horse, when another courier arrived announcing the revolt of Huāt, and, instead, Tūli was despatched thither, and continues: "Just after despatching Tulican [Tūli Khān, however, had nothing whatever to lo with the second attack upon Huāt See page 1049, and note 3], and after an unsuccessful attack on

of the troops of Islam, reached the Chingiz Khan, he

Bamian, news reached him of the movements of Contoucon Nevian [the Nu-yan, FIfe], previously sent into "India"], who had arrived within a day's march of Gelaleddin, who advanced [quoting "Nisavi" and "Fadlallah"] to meet them, although one-fourth superior to his own force, and came upon them just beyond a town called Bironas, within a day's journey of Ghazna." This force of Mughals was overthrown as already related above, and at pages 289-90 of this Translation. Then we have the astonishing statement [from "Nisavi" it is said] that, after this defeat at Bironan [Barwan], "There was, some days' journey from thence, a party of Tartars [not Mughals] who were besieging a fortress called Omala [the Waltan of others], who, when they heard of the battle of Bironan, raised the siege and fied, and that "the defeat of the Moguli and Tartars was quickly known to the Emperor, who was still before Bamian." Then follows the account of its capture and the massacre of every soul. The subsequent statements are generally correct.

As to some of the originals quoted, which I have examined in order to test the doubtful passages, I find that Rashid-ud-Din's account is very different. He says that Amin Malık [Yamin-ul-Mulk-Malık Khān of Hırāt] joined his sovereign with 50,000 men from the neighbourhood of Ghaznin, that the Sultan married his daughter, that the Sultan and his forces continued the whole winter at Ghaznin, and during that time, on the news of his arrival having spread, was joined by Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, with 40,000 men, and also by the Amirs of Ghur and their followers. He then goes on to say, that, early in the spring, hearing that the Mughals were attacking Walfan [our author's Wālishtān], and its being hard pressed, he advanced to Barwan It was near the sources of the Lohgar river], left all his heavy materials there, and moved to attack them, as related in the notice of Jalal-ud-Din, at page 288, note 3 The Chingu Khan heard of the first reverse within the limits of Tal-kan, not at Bamian, as De la Croix asserts, and not one word is mentioned about any siege of Bamian, and he, after hearing of the last defeat of his troops, moved at once towards Ghaznin from Tal-kan. The Fanākati mentions Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, and makes no mention of any siege of Bämfän.

The Jahān-Kughāe, the account in which I have detailed farther on, says Amin Malik was in the vicinity of Ghaznah when the Sulfan arrived there, and agrees with Raghid-ud-Din's statements in all things, brings the Chingus Khān, at once, from the vicinity of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān to Ghaznin, and makes no mention whatever of any siege or capture of any place called Bāmān.

The Raugatus-Safa [De la Croix's Mirconde, meant for Mir Khāwind] does not mention Wālān at all, and the Chingiz Khān is made to advance from Tāl-kān [the correct name is given], but, to show his geographical knowledge, probably, the author says he came by Andar-āb to Bāmiān, by which the Mughals must have gone only 7 degrees of Long.—some 480 miles—directly from W. to E to reach Andar-āb, then come backwards some 120 or 130 miles more to the S W to reach Bāmiān through some of the most difficult ground in Asia, while between Tāl-kān and Bāmiān the distance is only about 160 miles, and about equidistant from Marw-ar-Rūd and Balkh

The Habib-us-Siyar, written by the son of the author of the Raugat-uş-Safa, agrees with that work.

The Tarikh-i-Jahan-gir agrees with Rashid-ud-Din, and the Fanakati,

nominated the Nū-in, Fikū, who was his son-in-law, to

except that, in it, we have Barant--as in several authors -- for Barwan, and Namiau for the Bamian of the Rangar-up-Safa.

The Tärikh-1-Ibrāhimi says nothing about Bāmian, but the Mujāmi'-ul-

Khiyar agrees with the Raugat-uş-Şafa

Abū-l-Ghāzī, liahādur Khān, says, that, after Balkh was destroyed, the Chingiz Khan despatched 30,000 men, under several leaders, including the Nū-yan Kutūkū, "to cut off [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says, "to keep open "] the communication between Ghazuin in the Kazan edition wrongly spelt Gazmīn], Gharpstān, Zābul, and Kābul [wrongly spelt Zābil and Kāmil], and drive him into Kich; and this shows, likewise, that Ghür and its dependencies, and Zābulistān-N W and S W. of Ghaznin, were the parts assailed by the Mughals, and not Parwan N N.E of Kabul, which m quite in an opposite direction The translation, so called, of Abu-l-Ghazi, Bahadur Khan's history, however, leaves out all mention of Kich, and much of the details; and says that the Mughals separated into two bodies, and that Kutiku, with his force, moved towards Hirst to prevent Khan Malik [the Yamin-ul-Mulk] from 10mmg the Sultan "Another body," the translator continues, "nearly surpriscil Saygill," and he also mentions Saygill above, where the Turki original has Labul Such a place as Saygill is not once referred to, and, instead of Saygill, the Turki has Walfan There is no mention of Barwan or of Kandahār, in fact Abū l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, does not give the name of the place where Sultan Jalal ud Din overthrew the Mughals twice, but, with respect "to Tal-kan, Andar-ab, and Bamian, he implicitly follows the Rausat-us-Safa. One great blunder on the part of this translator speaks volumes for the value of his authority in these matters He says that " Sultan Khan Malik "as he styles Malık Khan of Hırat—the Yamın-ul-Mulk—after the desertion of Saif-nd-Din, Ighrak, and Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's retreat to the Ab-1-Sind, "returned to his government of Hirat " It had been already invested and taken by the Mughals under Tuli Khan, at this time, as already related

I e most astonishing statement, respecting Balkh and Bamlah, is contained in Alfi, which I shall presently notice, but, as to Jalal-ud-Din's movements from Ghaznin, it is very brief, and agrees with Rathid-ud-Din, and the Jahan-Kuahāe, that the Sultan set out in the beginning of spring to Barwan, pushed on to relieve Wälfan, and defeated the Mughale, who retired across the river [the Hirmand, no doubt]-breaking the bridge that the Musalmans might not follow them, and made their escape The Sultan returned to Barwan, after relieving Wāliān [Wālishtān. The same remarks apply here as at the head of this note] But, on the seventh day after, a Mughal army of 30,000 men. [Fikū's force was 45,000 our author says], which the Chingiz Khān had despatched under the Nu-yan, Sankghur, appeared on the scene, but they were overthrown with great loss, notwithstanding their stratagem of dummy horsemen. Then follows Sauf-ud-Dan, Ighrak's desertion and the Sulfan's retreat to the Sind, "which is now known as the Nil-Ah." The same work also adds that the Chungu Khān, at this time, had brought the siege of Tal-kān to a conclusion, and Bamian is not once menhoned in his subsequent movements from Tal-kan to Ghaznin.

The most conclusive proofs, however, against a long siege of any place named Esmian are the dates and the facts that the Chingu Khan heard near Tal-kan of the repeated defeats of his troops, and that he moved straight from the Pushtah-i-Nu'man to Chaznin, and, to cookle him to reach it by the

advance from Hirāt and Khurasān towards Ghaznin. When he [with his troops] arrived on the confines of Barwān, Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din advanced against that army, and gave it battle, defeated it and put it to flight, and despatched great numbers of the Mughal infidels to hell. A second, and a third time, Mughal armies advanced, and were overthrown.

In the army of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dîn were a great number of the Ighrāk [tribe], all warlike men, and ruthless horsemen, and, between that body of the Ighrāk, and the 'Ajamis and Khwārazmis, a quarrel arose respecting the booty, and hostility ensued; and that body of Ighrāk troops separated from Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dîn, and went off to another place, and the Sultān remained with the Turks [only].

shortest route, there was no need for him to have passed the place which appears in the maps as Bāmtān at all. See note ", page 1024.

7 It lay near the sources of the Lohgar [now Logar] river.

See note 3, page 290, next to last para and note 3, page 498

With respect to these movements, a very pretty middle has been made in "Mongols Proper," page 89, and shows what a profound knowledge of the ethnology as well as the geography of these parts some of the "authorities" quoted therein must have possessed. After turning "Khan Melik," [Malik Khān—the Yamin-ul-Mulk] into "the late governor of Mern," it is stated that "Seif ud din Agrik, a Turkoman chief, brought his Turkomans and Kalladges (the latter a mixed race of Arabs and Turkomans, who wandered between the Indus and the Ganges)," joined Sulfan Jalāl-ud-Din, and, subsequently, "Seif ud din" is made to "retire into Beloochistan!" At page 716 of the same book, there is a note to this, and it is said there: "this is a statement from Wolff, and it is not quite exact." Truly! "Erdmann says, towards Kerman and Lenkoran. Raverty calls these mountains Karmān and San-kurān. D'Ohsson says he retired to Peshawar. This is no doubt right [of course! but see note 3, page 230, para. 6, and note 7, page 498, para. 5], and his followers were not the Kankalis but the Kalladges."

The Jahān-Kuahāe, which is generally well-informed on matters of detail, and also tolerably correct—save and except the practice of always lesseaing the number of the Mughals, and increasing the number of their opponents fourfold or more, and concealing their defeats—says, that Sulfān Jalal-ud-Dīn marched towards Barwān, which is the boundary of the Nāmīān [Bāmīān] territory [the situation of Harwān near the sources of the Lohgar river agrees with this description], where several routes converge, in order that he might become acquainted with the state of affairs, when, during his absence from Ghaznīn, a force of 10,000 or 12,000 Mughals [45,000, see p. 1006], who were in pursuit of him, reached Ghaznīn. The place being denuded of troops, they entered the city [!! suburbs?], burnt the Adīnah [Friday] Masjid, and slew all who happened to fall in their way, but, next day, after plundering the country around, they set out in pursuit of the Sulfān, gave him battle [this is his pro-Mughal bias, and is quite the reverse of what took place, as confirmed by every other writer without exception—the author was a high official in the Mughal

When the Mughal Nū-in, Fikū, returned defeated to the Chingiz Khān, the latter moved [from his camp at] the

service], and were defeated, and they retired to Tall-kan, before which the Chingiz Khan then was. The writer then hushes up the subsequent overthrows of the Mughals at the Sulfan's hands, and proceeds to narrate the defection of a great part of his troops, consequent on the quarrel between Yamin Malik, as he styles Malik Khan of Hirat [whose title was the Yamin-ul-Mulk. See page 287, and page 540, note 3, para 2], and Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ighrak, together with other Ehalj Amirs, and the A'sam Malik [the A'sam-ul-Mulk, son, of 'Imad-ud-Din, the Baikhil, a Ghuri chief, with their troops, Khalj [there were Kankulis, and Ghuzz, among them too See page 376], Turkmans, and Ghūris, went off in the direction of Parahawar, while the other Turks and Khwarazmis, with the Sulfan, retired towards Ghaznin.

It must not be lost sight of, in connexion with this mention of Ghuzz, that they were once in possession of Ghazzin, Kābul, and Zābul

There Khalj Turks, under the name of Khalach, Dr. Bellew makes Ghalach Afghāns of, and Col G. B Malleson first turns them into "Abdáhs" and afterwards into "Ghilzais 1"

These selfish and disloyal chiefs, however, very soon received their deserts. They proceeded towards Nangrahar [originally called Nek-anhar-the district unmediately south of the Kābul river, and extending from BhatI-kot on the east to the Surkh-Ab Kotal on the west, and to Kaja on the south, which was the fief of the A'gam Malik, and then included in the jurisdiction of Hurshor. or Parshawar] Arrived there he entertained the other chiefs for a time, but, there being aversion between Nüh, the Jän-dår [in Elliot, incorrectly rendered from an imperfect M.S., probably, "Koh Ján-dár," a strange name has been read وع and mistaken probably for الاع the former is a proper name, the latter signifies a mountain. The office of Jan-dar has been previously described], who was head of a khel [clan] of about 5000 or tooo families, and Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk, he, in consequence, turned his face towards Parshawar with his 20,000 followers, while Nuh, the Jan-dar, stayed behind in the pasture lands of Nangrahar (not "cantoned himself," as in the work above quoted] When Saif-ud-Din had proceeded one stage on his way, he sent a message to the A'gam Mahk saying -" We are as father and son towards each other thou the son, I the father. If thou desirest my good pleasure, send away Nüh, the jan-dar, to his own place of dwelling, and his own locality, and do not allow him to remain in Nangrahar Khalj tribe—or rather a portion of them—had been located in the neighbourhood of the Safed-koh, on the southern slopes, in Karman and Shaluzan for several centuries previous See note 5, page 539, para 2] The A'zam Malik replied "It is not well, at this time of warfare, that aniagonism should exist among the so'diers of Islam." Thus saying, he rode off with some fifty of his Khowas --- or retainers --- after Saif-ud Din, Ighrak, to endeavour tơ brung about a reconcultation between him and Nüh, the Jān dāi Sauf-ud-Din went forth to receive the A'gam Malik, and brought him m, and seated him by his side at a convivual drinking party. The A'gam Malik began to refer to the matter of Nub, the Jan-dar, and to interpose in his favour Saif-ud-Din, Ighras, mebriated as he was, suddenly got up, mounted his horse, and, attended by 100 horsemen, set out towards the encampment of Nüh, the Jan-dar Nuh, under the impression that he was coming to him, consequent on the A'ram Maile's intervention, with a friendly object, went forth, with

Pushtah-i-Nu'mān, with all the forces remaining there with him, and turned his face towards Ghaznin. He fought a battle with Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mang-barni, and Malik Khān of Hirāt, and other Khwārazmi Maliks who stood by him, on the banks of the Sind river; and Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn and the troops of Islām were defeated, and threw themselves into the Sind river. Of the Musalmāns some were drowned, some attained martyrdom, some were made captive, and a few escaped in safety out of the river.

ACCOUNT OF THE TAKING OF WALKH * OF TUKHĀRISTĀN.

When, in conformity with the command of the Chingiz Khān, Arsalān Khān of Ķaiālik, the Musalmān, with his own troops, and the Juzbi, Tūlān, the Mughal, marched to the fortress of Walkh, they sat down before it for a period of eight months; and, as that fortress had no

his sons, to receive him, and saluted him, when Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk, drew his sword to smite Nüh, but his followers seized him, and cut him to pieces.

About that time also Bak-chak and 'Alä-ul-Mulk, of Kunduz, but it is not "Sadr," certainly, as in Elliot], by command of the Chingiz Khān, arrived in order to bring these wine-bibbers to condign punishment. Bak-chak was Amfr of the Mughals, and 'Alä-ul-Mulk, the Sar-Khel-head of the levy or body [yarīk] of local footmen; and so the remainder of those Khalj, Turkmān, and Chūrî troops, two or three months after they had deserted the Sulţān, were all either slain and dispersed at the hands of each other, or slaughtered by the troops of the Chingiz Khān, in such wise that not a trace of them was left. See also page 1043.

No doubt, all these events had something to do with the subsequent movements of the Kārlūks, or Kārlūghs, and the Khalj, towards Sind. See note , page 374, note , page 498, page 534, and page 539, note .

In the best St. Petersburg MS, the copyest, in this heading, had written I—Balkh—but afterwards crossed out the ward prefixed to the word—In tome copies of the text to this heading is added "and the fortresses of the territory of Bāmiān," but Walkh is alone referred to.

1 They had a force of 20,000 men with them.

approach [save one] in any direction, they gave orders to the Mughal troops in such wise that, around and in the parts adjacent to that mountain skirt, they kept felling trees and throwing their trunks and branches at the foot of the fortress, and making it appear to the people within the stronghold that they would [really] fill up the darah [defile], whereas it could not be filled up in the space of a hundred years from its profundity; but, as the vengeance of

This description will not suit the situation of Balkh in any way whatever, which, as the MARALIK WA MAMALIK, IBN-HAUKAL, and others, tell us, is situated on level ground, at the distance of four farsakh—leagues—from the mountains, and that it was forthfied [notwithstanding the author of "Mongols Proper," p 80, tells us "it was unfortified"] with ramparts and a citadel.

As I have hinted before, it seems to me, that, as the words all and a seems to me, that, as the words all and a seems in appearance, and who sometimes used for a sid vice versa, and as Bant is another name for the city of Balkh, some of these writers, who incorrectly make Balkh stand a siege of thirty-seven days, may have jumbled the whole of these words together, and made Bantan out of it.

It will be noticed that our author, although he gives so many details respecting Walkh and other places—strong hill fortresses, sometimes miles in girth—[which the pro-Mughal historians seem quite uncognizant of, or the operations concerning which they were determined not to notice, and never quote], and knew so much about them, makes not the slightest allusion to any investment of Balkh, nor to its having submitted to the Mughals, nor to the slaying of its inhabitants by those infidels. Had such happened, so near his native place, is it possible he could not have known it? or that, had he been aware of it, he would have concealed it, especially when there was no reason for doing so?

is independent of the works previously referred to are exceedingly meagre in their details, and there are numerous discrepancies in their accounts, and confusions in their dates, respecting the movements of the Chingiz Khān after the capture of Tirmid. The Tārikh-i-Alff says. "Having passed the river Āmūlah at the Tirmid ford, early in 618 H., the Chingiz Khān moved towards Balkh [our author's Walkh], which, after an investment of thirty-seven days, was taken by storm, the people having resisted obstinately to the last. He gave orders for a general massacre of the people of Balkh because, at Bāmīlan, his grandson, Chaghatāe's son, had been killed [here is a muddle! and so the attack on Bāmīlan took place first, after crossing the Oxio! This remark sufficiently proves how much some of the historians are at sea. Crossing the Oxio at Tirmid, Balkh would be reached first, and Bāmīlān is some 150 or 160 miles S S E. of it], and, therefore, to avenge his death, the Chingiz Khān gave orders for a general massacre of the people of Balkh, and a'l, both young and old, perished."

P de la Croix pretends, but does not quote his author here, but, subsequently, quotes "Mirconde," that it was because Sulfan Jalal-ud-Dân was "so favourably received by the people of Bale," where "he dwelt many weeks" [but near which he never went], that the people were massacred.

The other version, in which the majority of the works I have been quoting agree, is, that, in 617 H [the end of the year is meant, but, some say, in the

Heaven, and the decree of Fate, had come down supon the Musalmans], the son of the Ra'-is [Chief] of Walkh came into the camp of the Mughals, and he directed and guided

first month of 618 H.], when the Chungiz Khan appeared before Balkh, the chief ecclesiastics and other personages went forth to receive him with offerings for his acceptance, and tendered the submission of the city; but, as Sultan Jalal-ud-Din was still in existence, and causing tumult and disorder [it is here P. de la Croix's error occurs], the inhabitants were expelled from the city into the open country without, and all massacred to the number of \$2,000 souls, after which, the dity of Balkh, "the Tabernacle of Islam," as it is termed, " was levelled with the plain in which it stood."

The Rangat-us-Sain says, that, "in the history of Balkh it is stated, that the city and its dependent villages—not the city only—had attained to such a degree of prosperity and populousness, that II contained no less than 1200 Jami' Masjids, and 1400 baths, and that there were some 50,000 Savvids. Mullis, and Maulaw's there [and yet all the inhabitants were massacred, and the number was 52,000 in all !] Of all the lofty and splendid buildings which the city contained, not a vestige was left standing." The rest

agrees with the accounts above given.

Now, considering that our author is so correct with respect to Tal-kan of Khurāsān, and how most authors have blundered with respect to it, and, as he, who was a native of these very parts, was dwelling within the fortress of Tülak at the time, and personally acquainted with several of the great chiefs he names. I conceive that what the other Histories I have previously referred to speak of as Balkh is no other than the great fortress of Walkh, that their Bamfan is his Tal-kan, and Walfan, as some style it, and "Candahar" of others, is his, and Baihaki's, Walightan.

The MASALIK WA MAMALIK and IBN-HAUKAL certainly tell us that "Tukhāristān and Bāmfān are districts of Balkh," and that "Bāmfān is a town half as large as Balkh, situated on a hill, and is the only town in the district situated on a hill," but others tell us [see note 4, page 426] that there was no town or city so called, and that the chief place in the Bamfan district was Rasif-or Rasif-but in the History of Timur it is written Arguf-and is repeatedly mentioned. In his account of the dynasty of Bamfan and Tukharistan, our author never once mentions such a town, city, or fortress, but he constantly mentions Balkh, and does so in this Section, as well as Walkh, Walwalis, and Walightan, and in this Section, also refers to "the fortress of Bamian," which, as in some other instances, might be correctly rendered, a or the fortress of or us the district of Bamilia. Our author's "fortress of Bamfan" is, doubtless, that which is called by modern travellers "Goolgooleh," built upon an isolated rock in the middle of the valley, through which runs the river of Bamian, and near which, in after times, a town named after the district aprung up. Excavations in the rocks, as may be noticed at page 1058, are by no means peculiar to the well known ones near this Bāmfān The great fortress of Zuḥāk, situated at the extreme en- of a defile on one of the two routes from Kābul to the comparatively modern Bāmfān, is, in my idea, the Walkh of our author. See "Sale's Defence of Jalāl-ābād," and note 6, page 1058.

3 It was previously stated that the Sarhang, Sam, and the Pahlawan, Arsiah, were sent to the fortress of Walkh, but who the Ra'is was is not mentioned.

them by a path by which a single light-footed person, on foot, alone could proceed. In the ridges of that mountain [on which the fortress stands] are numerous niches of stone, like unto couches; and, for the space of three nights and days, he continued to take the Mughals and conceal them in those niches until a considerable number of men ascended towards the fortress. On the fourth day, at the dawn of morning, the enemy raised a shout, and fell with their swords upon the band which guarded the gateway of the fortress, until they cleared the gateway completely of its defenders. The Mughal army [now] ascended to the place, and martyred the whole of the Musalmāns within it, and set their hearts at ease respecting that momentous affair.

They [the Mughal leaders] were directed so that they proceeded from the height of the fortress of Walkh to the foot of the [walls of the] fortress of Fiwar of Kadas, and invested that fortress likewise.

Victory to the true believers, and destruction to the infidels!

ACCOUNT OF THE CAPTURE OF THE CITIES OF EHURA-SÄN, AND THE MARTYRDOM OF THEIR INHABITANTS.

Trustworthy persons relate after this manner, that the Chingiz Khān had four sons. The eldest of them was named Tūshi, the next younger than he was named Chaghatāe, the third was called Uktāe, and the fourth, who was the youngest of all, was named Tūli When the Chingiz Khān marched from Māwarā-un-Nahr into Khurāsān, he despatched Tūshi and Chaghatāe, with a large army, towards Khwārazm, Khifchāk, and Turkistān; and Tūli was nominated to proceed, with a numerous army, towards the cities of Khurāsān; and Uktāe, the Chingiz Khān kept near himself.

In the year 617 H., Tüli turned his face from the [great

* Recesses in the hills probably.

See page 375, note .

Which is also written Juji, using the Irani /.

7 The account of the capture of the capital of Khwarazm will be found in the notice of Tüshî farther on He was afterwards to enter Khifchāk.

camp at the] Pushtah-i-Nu'man towards the city of Marw, and took that city, and martyred its inhabitants.* From

Our author and all other Musalman and Mughal historians must be wrong. for does not Col. Malleson, C.S.I., in his " History of Afghanistan from the Egrisest Times," which some writer in the "Times" has declared "a marvel of accuracy," tell us at page 113 that "Chinghiz" himself took Balkh. Merv. Herat, Nishapor, and Tus in succession? Our author gives no particulars respecting the fall of Marw-1-Shāh-1-Jahān, one of the most celebrated and ancient cities of Khurāsān, and therefore I will endeavour to supply them from other writers. After the Chingiz Khan had crossed the Jihun and destroyed Balkh, but Walkh, according to our author and some others, he determined to subjugate Khurāsān, and despatched, in 618 H., from the neighbourhood of Tal-kan-between that place and Balkh [which agrees with our author's statement], 80,000 horse, computed as one tenth of his whole host, under his youngest son, Tüli, with whom he associated Taghāchār, a younger brother of the Nü-yan, Karachar, the ancestor of Amir Timur. Taghachar, on acount of his having married one of the Chingia Khan's daughters, is "styled the Gürgön, which is to say, in the Turki language, son-in-law, and damad in Persian," and, therefore, those who have hitherto imagined that this is a Chinese title peculiar to, and Arst used with reference to Timur as having married into the family of "the great Khan," [but that was not the reason] will perceive that, although Amir Timur may have been the last to whom that Turki title was applied, he was certainly not the first.

When Tulf had proceeded forward a few marches, he detached Taghachar, in advance, with 12,000 horse, some say 10,000, to Nishabûr, imagining probably, after what had been stated to Jabah [Yamah] and Swidze [Sahudah], as related previously, that that city would be given up at once. The Mughals were mistaken, however, for the Majir-ul-Mulk, the Kaff, 'Umr-i-Rajf, and Ziyā-ul-Mulk, the Zauzani, who had made vast preparations for defending the city, had no such intention. Alfi states that the Amir-i-Mailis, Sharaf-ud-Din, was the governor. They had, among other things, besides catapults and balistas, 3000 tfr-charkh, machines for discharging iron projectiles filled with inflammable composition, in shape like a rocket, and naphtha in flasks, and 300 ghirdrahi [the meaning of ghirarah is variously given as an iron helmet, and also a kind of net, but some sort of projectile must be meantl, all of which were disposed on the towers and ramparts On a Wednesday, in the middle of Ramasan [December, 1220 A.D], at dayn, the Mughals attacked the place, and continued the attack for three successive days without intermission, but, on the Friday, at the time of midday prayer, a rocket struck Taghachar the Gürgan, and killed him.

It is somewhat remarkable that a Tükajär should have been killed at Füshanj near Hirät, in the first Mughal irruption into Khuräsän, and a Taghachär before Nishäbür on the second occasion, but, notwithstanding the similarity of names, the two events are clearly recorded

After this reverse, the Nū-yān, Nūrkā [V₁₉], the next in command, finding it was impossible to obtain possession of Nīshābūr, divided his force into two bodies, and departed. One took the direction of Sabzwār, and, after assailing that place for three days and nights, carried it, and the Mughal leader ordered a general massacre, and slew 70,000 persons. All this, however, seems scarcely possible for 5000 or 6000 men to effect, and the number, evidently, has not been truly stated. The other half of the Mughal force moved to Tūs.

thence he advanced to Nishapur, which, after much fighting,

captured the fortress of Jand, which was near Tus, and likewise massacred the inhabitants. In the work entitled "The Mongols Proper," these proceedings, under the names "Thus" and "Kuhustan," are wrongly attributed to Tulf Khān, who never went near those places.

In the meanwhile Tüli marched towards Marw, but, before doing so, he sent requisitions into the different parts adjacent, which had submitted to the yoke, such as Sarakhs, Abhward, and some other towns, to levy men to assist in his operations against their fellow-countrymen, so that, besides his army, some 70,000 men were brought together. After demoishing some few small forts and places on his route, and drawing near Marw, according to the Mughal custom, he despatched a body of 400 house to recomposite. This force, having advanced during the might, fell upon an encampment of Ilâts, or nomads, and on making investigation found it was an encampment of Saljūk Turkmāns, then preparing to make a raid upon the environs of Marw. How these Turkmāns happened to be there at this time I must briefly explain, for

the details are very long

At this period Marw-1-Shah-1-Jahan--a different place from Marw-ar-Rudwas one of the largest, wealthiest, richest, and most populous cities of Asia [a. place, or rather that which has taken or stands in its position, which, at this moment attracts, and, for some time past, has attracted the serious attention of those patriotic Britons, who would not see the hordes of another Chingiz dominant over Asia and Eastern Europe to the mortal injury of British interests both in India-which they do not desire to see "perish" and in Europe]. Sharaf--ud-Din, Mugaffar, who bore the title of Majir-ul-Mulk, was one of the great men of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah's court, and carried his head very high, because his mother, who had occupied a subordinate position in the Suitin's haram, when she was conferred in marriage on the reputed father. who was made a mughrif [clerk or accountant in a treasury] on that occasion, was said to be pregnant by the Sulfan. The son whon, she bore, in time, rose to a high position, and had been a Wazir, and Hakim of Marw and its dependencies. He had, however, for some reason, been removed, prior to the Mughal invasion, and another person, who bore the title of Bahā-ul-Mulk. son of Naib-ud-Din, had been appointed in his stead, and the Majir-ul-Mulk, Sharaf-ud-Din, Mugassar, consequently, returned to the presence of the Sultan. When the Sultan, dreading lest he might fall into the hands of the barbarian Mughals, proceeded towards Mazandaran, he gave directions to all his Amirs to secure the fortresses of Khurasan, and to have them garrisoned and provided with catapults and other war engines, so as to afford protection to the people around, while of such places as could offer no opposition the unfortunate Sulfan recommended the inhabitants to submit to the invaders on their appearing, and so save their lives, and to trust to the upshot of events. On this command being issued, the Bahā-ul-Mulk removed all the valuable property and treasure from Marw to the fortress of Tak-the Raugat-us-Safa, and Abii-l-Ghazi, Bahadur, have Yazar and Yaraz, respectively-whither he himself withdrew, and left a Deputy at Marw, while the people, all but those whom fate induced to remain, dispersed into various other places. It was at this crisis that the Nu-yans, Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahudah], with a large army, appeared before it, as already related, and the chief ecclesiastics, who had remained behind in Marw, afraid of the Mughals, sent a person to those leaders with presents, and tendered submission. They could not stay to

he captured; and, in order to take vengeance because the

take possession, and so, contenting themselves with the presents and offerings, passed on without molesting Marw.

At this time, a predatory Turkman chief, named Bükä, having concerted with a body of his clansmen, succeeded, unexpectedly, in throwing himself into Marw, and made himself Amir and Häkum, and a great number of the Sulfan's soldiery, and Turks of those parts, as well as other soldiers of fortune, gathered around him, so hostile were they to the Mughals. In the meantime, the Majir-ul-Mulk had left the Sultan in his retreat [Rausat-us-Safa save, after the Sultan's death) in one of the islands in the Caspian, and gained the fort of Sa'lük [in Gilan], the seneschal of which, Shams-ud-Din, 'Ali, received him with honour and reverence, and rendered him all assistance in his power. This enabled the Majir-ul-Mulk to collect a large force of Turks and Taixiks. and he marched to Marw, and took up a position in the garden facing the Dar-i-Sarrājān, or Gate of the Saddlers. A number of the chiefs of the Marghazi, who had formerly been in the Majir-ul-Mulk's service, hearing of his arrival, flocked round him with the men of that tribe. Bükā, however, would not admit the Majir-ul-Mulk, until the latter, by presents and promises, had gained over a great number of the inhabitants, who had gone and waited on him, and on this accession of strength, one day at noon, boldly proceeded towards the city, and entered it without opposition. Bükā, out of necessity, now went and waited on him, and, with his followers, was enrolled among the rest of the Maifr-ul-Mulk's retainers.

The Majir-ul-Mulk, having now gathered around him followers and fighting men to the number of 8000, began to think of something more than a subordinate position This raised the ire of the Shaikh-ul-Islam of Marw, Shamsud-Dîn, Häraşî, who began intriguing against him with a relative, the Kasî of Sarakhs-which place the Mughals had obtained possession of, and left an Intendant at-in order, even at the cost of giving up Marw to the Mughals, to bring about the Majir-ul-Mulk's downfall. Some informers brought this to the latter's notice, and he accused the Shaikh-ul-Islam, who stoutly denied the charge. At last, a letter, in his own hand-writing, to the Kāzī of Sarakhs, in reply to one of his own, the bearer having been intercepted by the way-some say, a letter of the Käzi to him-fell into the Majir-ul-Mulk's hands, who at once requested the Shaikh-ul-Islam to visit him. On his arrival, he said: "What news hast thou from Sarakhs? and what are its people doing?" The Shaikh replied "I have no cognizance of their affairs, and have no information respecting them." The Mailr-ul Mulk threw his own letter towards him, saying, "There, read that " and, seeing his own letter, he was utterly confounded The Majir-ul-Mulk, in a contemptuous manner, exclaimed, "Depart " and the traitor was rising to do so when several chiefs closed with him, and with their daggers slew him, and then, dragging the corpse along by the heels, cast it into the market-place, and left it to the dogs, as all traitors to their country deserve.

After this, the Majir-ul-Mulk began to detach troops to harry the vicinity of Sarakhs; and the Bahā-ud-Mulk [the Sulţān's governor], hearing of the state of affairs, and the predominance acquired by the Majir-ul-Mulk, came forth from the Ḥiṣār of Tāk, and went to the Mughal Amīrs in those parts [our author mentions how numerous bodies of Mughala were sent into Ghūr and Gharjistān about this time], acquainted them with the state of affairs, and sought to obtain, through them, the charge of the territory of Marw

son-in-law of the Chingiz Khan had been slain at that

agreeing to pay a certain amount yearly as tribute. His offers were accepted, and he was sent to Marw, along with a body of Mughal troops. Arrived at Shahristan, the Baha-ul-Mulk indited a letter to the Majir-ul-Mulk, saying: "Some ill-feeling and distrust existed between us on account of a certain office, but that has vanished, and, as the power of the Mughals is such as cannot be coped with, wisdom and foresight alike demand that no other road, save that of submission and obedience, should be traversed. At this time 7000 Mughals and 10,000 levies are on the way to this part along with me, and therefore regret and sorrow will follow aught save submission to them."

When the Majir-ul-Mulk received this communication, he became disturbed and astounded, in such wise, that his most trusted and confidential followers were for at oace dispersing and seeking places of security. After a time, however, they advised him not to believe this statement of an interested person and a traitor, and that to abandon Marw would be an act of great folly. The messengers from the Bahā-ul-Mulk were separated and questioned respecting the actual number of troops along with him, and, on their giving replies confirming the Bahā-ul-Mulk's statement, the Majīr-ul-Mulk ordered both of them to be put to death, and despatched from Marw a body of 2500 Turk troops of the Sultān of Khwārazm, to drive off the Bahā-ul-Mulk and his Mughal allies. When the Mughals found this, they secured the Bahā-ul-Mulk, whose own followers now deserted him, and returned towards Tūs, where they struck off his head.

The body of Turk cavalry, detached by the Majir-ul-Mulk, pushed on as far as Sarakhs, and, on their appearance there, the Musalmäns seized the Kāṣi, Shama-ud-Din, who had taken offerings to Jabah [Yamah] and Swīdael [Sahūdah], and had assumed the authority there, and made him over to a man whose father the Kāṣi had caused to be put to death unjustly, who slew him according to the law of retaliation. All noise respecting the Mughal armies now became suspended—it was the calm preceding the hurricane, however—and the Majīr-ul-Mulk gave himself up to pleasure and revelry, drinking, and other unlawful acts.

At this juncture, Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, a Turkmān, who was Ḥākim of Āmūīah, came to Marw, and reported that the Mughal forces were coming, that they had reached the Āmū, and were then investing Kala'-i-Nau Although the Majīr-ul-Mulk received him with great distinction, nevertheless Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn was hostile to him—on account of his remissness probably, at such a crisis—and he went and took up his quarters with the Turkmāns Soon after 800 Mughal horsemen came in search of Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, and threw themselves upon the Turkmāns. At this juncture, Shakh Khān, and Aghūl, the Ḥājib, who arrived with 2000 men from the su'e of Khwānazm, laid an ambuscade for the Mughals, slew the greater part of them, and took sixty of them captive, who were paraded about Marw, an' then put to a cruci death

Shakh Khān, and the Ḥānh, Aghūl, made no stay, and retired to the Dasht-i-Khurz, upon which the Turkmāns chose Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn as their head and ruler, entered into a covenant with him, left the service of the Majir-ul-Mulk, and contemplated taking the city out of his hands. He, however, got information of it, and prepared to defeat their design, upon which they, being hopeless of surprising Marw, went and pitched their camp on the banks of the river of Marw. They then began to plunder the villages around, and the suburbs of the city, up to its very walls, and to appropriate

place, he martyred every person in Nishāpūr, desolated it,

everything they could lay their hands upon. In the meantime, Tüli Khān, having drawn a levy of 70,000 men from Sarakhs, Nisā, Abiward, and other towns of Khurāsān, which had submitted to the Mughal yoke, and incorporated them into his army, moved towards Marw.

Having arrived in the vicinity, he sent in advance—so say the pro-Mughal historians—a body of 400 horse—much more probably 4000—to reconneitre, so that, in the night, they reached the banks of the Marw river, close to the khels of the Turkmans, and there they halted. There were 12,000 men there assembled for the purpose of making a raid in the vicinity of the city; and, in that dark night, each detachment of Turkmans, as they came up, totally unsuspecting the presence of such foes, were attacked unawares, in detail, and slaughtered: and, during that night, the Mughals destroyed the whole of the 12,000 Turkmans, and Ikhtivar-ud-Din is also said to have been killed. Now if the former only numbered 400 men, each man must have killed thirty on the average, and this they could scarcely have accomplished in the time, even had the Turkmans lain down quietly to be butchered like sheep, which they probably did not do. The whole 12,000 must have come by precisely the same road, just at the propitious time, and when the 400 Mughals had just finished the preceding detachment, and of course, in the stilly night, the cries and shouts, groans and screams, and the clash of arms could not be heard, and close to their khels too. All this is gross exaggeration, although contained in the Jahān-Kushāe, the Rausat-us-Safa, and several other pro-Mughal works. Having thus broken the back of their strength, the Mughals, next day, made for the encampments where were the families of the Turkmans, and early in the morning fell upon them and slew the whole-with the exception of some, who, while the slaughter was going on, threw themselves into the river of Marw, hoping to escape, and perished-male and female, young and old-who were butchered, to the number of 70,000 souls! Now, at this rate of 400 men, each indizadual Mughal must, on the average, have killed, during the night and following day, just 230 souls, which is as incredible as it is ridiculous to expect any same person to believe it, and, even assuming that these barbarian butchers numbered 4000. each one must have slain, on the average, thirty-two persons. Taking the fighting men at 12,000, and the average number of each family at from five to six persons, each furnishing one fighting man, 70,000 is not beyond the mark. The Mughals captured likewise 60,000 quadrupeds-oxen and camels-besides innumerable sheep, and proceeded to iom Tüli's camp.

This account reads like a page out of Mr. Eugene Schuyler's work, or a leaf from the annals of the "Christian" and "knightly warfare" in Asia Minor and European Turkey in 1878: the Turkmäns of that day, like the Turkmäns of this, were treated a là Kaufmann, and the whole proceedings were carried out in true "Circassian style" I would observe here, however, with respect to some strange theories respecting the origin of the name "Turkomen," in the "Geographical Magazine," for 1875, page 151, that there would be some difficulty to find such a word in any oriental writer whosever.

The day after this fearful slaughter, which is said to have taken place on the 1st of Muharram [which must be an error for the 11th or 21st, as Tült was only despatched early in that month], 618 ii [25th February, 1221 A D], Tült Khān, with his army arrived, and took up a position opposite the Shahristānah Gateway, which is mentioned in the "Masālik wa Mamālik" as situated near the great masjid, and commenced to assail the place. The Majfred-

razed the walls of the city, and, having had a pair of oxen

Mulk, who had made great preparations for its defence, distributed his treasures liberally among the troops, and used to send forth large bodies of men to make sallies upon the Mughals, in such wise, that, on the first day, in the course of one hour, more than 1000 Mughals were slain. Here it will be remarked how large bodies of Turks and Tājaiks only kill 1000 Mughals, in about the time that 400 Mughals, by the writer's account, would cut up a whole host.

At this show of resistance, Tülî, next day, attacked the place in person, at the head of 22,000 Mughals—but the Rausat-uş-Şafā says, an army in numbers beyond all computation—and inflicted great slaughter on the defenders; and, in this manner, from morn to eve, for a period of twenty-two days, the fighting went on. The Tärîkh-i-Jahān-gīr, Ḥabīb-us-Siyar, and Jahān, Kuāhāe, say the fighting only lasted for seven days, and that on the eighth the Majīr-ui-Mulk sent to beg for quarter, but this statement is much the same as that of the 400 horsemen slaughtering 12,000 Turkmān soldiers and 70,000

of their people

In the meantime, the vast population of Marw became reduced to great straits, and began to say among themselves, that there was no hope of resisting the Mughals. Besides this, many persons from Balkh, Samrkand, Bukhārā, Khwarazm, and other places captured by the Mughals, had taken shelter in Marw; and these fugitives persuaded the Marwazis that the city must surely be captured at last, and that it was better to seek an accommodation, and thus prevent the shedding of torrents of Musalman blood. On the twenty-third day, therefore, the Majir-ul-Mulk was prevailed upon to despatch the Imam. Jamal-ud-Din, the chief ecclesiastic, who, attended by a body of the priesthood, came out of Marw, and sought the presence of Tuli Khan senting befitting peih-kash, the Imam offered, if the conqueror would promise to spare the lives of the inhabitants, and not destroy the city, to pay a ransom of 200,000 dinars, 30,000 khar-wars of grain, 100,000 ambling horses, and 100 Hindu and Turk slaves, to receive a Mughal Shahnah or Intendant, and pay yearly taxes into the Khan's treasury Tuli accepted these offers, a dress of honour was conferred upon the Imam and those with him, and he was sent back to the city with that Khān's reply The next day, the Majir-ul-Mulk, attended by ten of his principal officers, placing faith in the word of a Mughal prince, and taking with him valuable offerings of all descriptions, came out of Marw, and proceeded to the audience tent of Tüli Khan On his reaching the entrance, he was stopped by the Amirs of Tuli-the matter, of course, had been previously arranged—and he was required to give an assignment of 300 khar-wars - each computed at an ass's load -of dinars as an offering to the Bādshāh-Zādah [Tālī Khān], and another 100,000 dīnārs for themselves, on the wealthy people of the city, on which they would obtain from Tüli Khan a written deed of security for the lives of the inhabitants, and this they swore most solemnly to observe according to the rites of their belief. Willing to save the people, and again trusting the perfidious Mughals, the Majir-ul-Mulk, at once, gave an assignment on 100 great merchants of the city, and sent a person of his own, with a party of Mughals, to receive the amount The Mughals went and brought back with them the persons named, and, with the aid of the rack and other tortures, succeeded in extorting the money, and, besides these unfortunates, nearly 10,000 other persons were tortured to death After this, the Majir-ul-Mulk was mutilated by having his ears, nose, and lips cut off-the fashion of the "Sag-lab" Montenegrin and Bulgarian "heroes" of the present day-and then

voked [to a plough], he had them driven over [the area on

put to death. Orders were then issued by this fiend in human form to destroy the city, and massacre the inhabitants. The Mughals poured in, and continued to expel the inhabitants, whom they drove out into the plain. Four days were occupied in separating the males from the females, and then, after the selection of a few young females for captivity, and about 400 artisans, the whole of the remainder were butchered, and not a soul was left alive.

By the generality of writers it is said, that some 300 or 400 victims fell to the share of each Mughal butcher; and, although the number seems incredible, when we consider that the people of other cities were fugitives at Marw, and that the inhabitants of the towns and villages near had taken shelter withm the walls, it is doubtless correct. The Sayyid, 'Izz-ud-Din of Nisa, and a number of clerks, were occupied during thirteen days and nights in recording the number of the slain, and the number, without accounting such as it was impossible to recognize, belonging to the city and neighbouring villages alone, it is said, amounted to a little over 1,300,000 souls See page 281

After this, the walls and defences of this great city, after it had been thoroughly sacked, were demolished, and in such wise "that scarce a trace of at was left; and for a period of two hundred and nine years its desolation was such that its site did not afford sufficient shade for a wild beast," after which, in 812 H., through the favour of Sultan Shah-Rukh, the son of Amir Timur, the Gürgan, the city was rebuilt.

Tulf Khan now bent his steps towards Nighabur: and, according to the Jahān-Kushāe, when he had proceeded two marches on his way, fearing, prohably, that enough blood had not been shed, with the instinct of a fiend, sent back a body of 2000 horse to slay all who might have crept out of holes and corners since his departure; and about 10,000 persons more were, in this wise, massacred The Tarikh-1-Jahan-gir, however, states that, after sacking Marw, Tüli Khan nominated the Amir, Ziya-ud-Din, 'Ali, one of its great men, who had been spared because he had retired previously from public life. and was dwelling in seclusion, to proceed thither, and remain there as governor of the desolated city and its dependencies, along with a Mughal Daroghah or Overseer, named Barmas-also styled Barmas and Barmas-over such of the inhabitants as might, from holes and corners, and other places of concealment, return to the city.

After the departure of the Mughal army towards Nishabur, about 5000 fugitives once more assembled, but their cup of misery was not yet full. A body of Mughals, who subsequently arrived there on their way to join Tulf, desired to have their share of slaughter, and so they required that every person in the place should bring out a skirt full of grain for them. By this stratagem all who did so were massacred; and this same detachment slew all they met with on the road to Nishabur. Soon after another body of Mughals, who had separated from the Nü-yan Jabah [Yamah] arrived, and they also put to death all who chanced to come in their way.

There was still more misfortune in store for Marw. Some time after, an outbreak of Musalmans against the Mughals took place at Sarakhs, and the Amir, Zıya-ud-Din, 'Ali, set out to suppress it, and the Mughal, Barmas, or Barmias, the Overseer, moved outside Marw, with his following, taking with him the artificers and mechanics of the place, with the object, in case of need, of returng to Bukhārā. Those left within Marw imagined that he had beard news of the Sultan, Jalal-ud-Din's arrival, and that he was preparing to fly.

which] the city [stood], in such wise that not a vestige of the buildings thereof remained. Having finished with

They accordingly rose, and began to express their joy thereat by beating drums. Barmās came to the gate, and requested the chief men remaining among the inhabitants to altend him, but no one obeyed, on which he had all such as he met with outside slaughtered, and then retired hastily towards Bukhārā

Amîr Zıyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, returned soon after, and set about repairing the walls and the citadel; and people assembled around him from the vicinity, and other more distant places, but an officer of the late Sultan's, the Pahlawan Nugh-Ingin-called Kugh-Ingin by some-had gathered a considerable following un ler his standard, and arrived before Marw, and invested it. Ziyaud-Din, 'Ali, finding it impossible to remain, succeeded, by stealth, in leaving the city with his Mughal party, as the investment was but partial, took to flight, and entered the fort of Murghah Nush-Tigin now set to work to repair some part of the city, and to cultivate the land, but a faction secretly communicated with Ziyā-ud-Din, 'Ali, and incited him to return and appeared with a force, and took up a position before the place Turin sent a body of his followers, who took Amir Ziya-ud-I)In, 'Ali, and brought him before him, who, finding that he himself must perish or Ziya-ud-Din, 'Ali, he had him put to death, and, with a heart at ease, set about his restoration of the city, and putting it in a state of defence. Three or four days only elapsed when a body of 2000 Mughal horse, on their way to join the Nu-yan, hīku, finding how matters stood, one half went away on their duty, as ordered previously, leaving the remainder to watch the place. News was then despatched to Nakhshab, to the Mughal officers there stationed, acquainting them with the assemblage of a number of people at Marwagam, and, after five days, two chicfs, Turbae [Turtae?] and Ak Malik fa Musalman Turk-it was Mughal policy to employ Mughals and Musalmans in concert), with a body of 5000 Mughals, appeared, who penetrated into the city, and are said to have slain 100,000 people more [more likely 10,000], who had again assembled there, the different quarters of the city having been assigned to different detachments of this force for the purpose of hunting up and destroying all whom they could find Turbae [Turtae], with the principal part of the Mughals, then departed, but Ak Malik was left behind to search for other victims supposed to be in hiding

Every stratagem that was conceivable was adopted to draw them forth; and the last one, which was but too successful, was, that one of the party, a Musalman of Nakhshab, was made to pronounce the call to prayer, upon which welcome sound the poor wretches issued forth from holes and cellars to be put to the sword, and in such wise that but twelve persons-some say only four- and no more, were left alive in Marw, and these, according to the Raugat-uş-Safa, were Hindûs!

Having left Amīr Ziyā-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, in charge of what remained of Marw, Tūlī moved towards Nīṣhābūr, in oider, says Alfī, "to alenge the Gurgān, Taghāchāi, previously killed in Khurāsān." In advance, he despatched a great part of his army with the war engines and materials for carrying on a siege, and, although Nīṣhābūr is situated in a stony tract of country, nevertheless, he brought along with him, from a distance of several marches, so many loads of stone that they by in great heaps all round the place. Not a tithe of them were used, for the inhabitants, perceiving the hand of the Almighty in what was taking place, and that this was a fresh

them [the inhabitants], and the city, and territory, Tuli

army, greater in magnitude than the previous one, notwithstanding the vast preparations they had made for defence, as previously narrated, became disheartened, and so no other remedy remained than to despatch the Kari-i-Mamālik, Rukn-ud-Din, 'Ali, son of Ibrāhim, to the presence of Tülf. On reaching his quarters, which was a considerable distance from the place, the Kazi besought security for the lives of the inhabitants, and tendered submission and payment of tribute, but all was of no avail, and he was dismissed. On Wednesday, the 12th [in some, the 2nd] of Safar, 618 H., early in the morning, the attack commenced, and was persisted in until the afternoon of the Friday, during which time, also, the Mughals had dammed up the water in the ditch in several places, so as to cause breaches in the walls. They then renewed the attack on all sides with greater vigour than before, and effected a lodgment on the top of the walls, where they were as bravely resisted; but the defenders were being gradually forced back. A lodgment had also been effected near the Sher-ban gate, and, during the Friday night, the walls and bastions became crowded with Mughals. On the following day they poured in through the gates, and began their work of plunder and massacre, while the people were still resisting at every favourable point. The Mughals made search for the Majir-ud-Din [the Fakhr-ul-Mulk, Nızam-ud-Din, probably. See note 3, page 990, para. 11], and at last dragged him forth, and he, to make them put him speedily out of pain, was reviling and defying them, and they put him to death in the basest manner possible. Alfi says the defence was carried on for eight days, during which great numbers perished on both sides, and, on the ninth day, the city was taken by assault,

The remainder of the inhabitants were now, as customary, driven out into the open country outside the city and slaughtered; for it was directed, in order to avenge the death of the Gürgan, Taghachar, that Nishabur should be utterly destroyed, and no living creature, not even a dog or cat, was to be left alive. The daughter of the Chingiz Khan, the Khatun of Taghachar, with her own followers, afterwards [not before. The idea of her "leading the avenging force at the head of 10,000 men," as stated in the "Mongols Proper," is as absurd as the idea of "cutting offall the heads, and making separate heaps of men's, women's, and children's heads "] entered the place, and caused all that could be found, and any who might have crept out of concealment, to be slaughtered. Only forty-not so many as four hundred-who were mechanics and artisans, were allowed to escape, and they were carned off to Turkustān; and in the time of Amir Timur their descendants were still dwelling there. The walls, towers, and all the buildings of Nishābūr were thrown down, and for seven days and nights the water of the neighbouring river, which had been dammed up for the purpose, was made to run over it, so as to sap whatever buildings remained—the greater number of houses were probably built of unburnt bricks-and bullocks and ploughs were brought, and its site was sown with barley, and the Mughal horses [some of them?] fed with it when it sprang up. One Mughal officer and four Tajzika were left there to slay any persons who might have escaped the general manacre!

It is stated in the Tärikh-1-Khurāsān, quoted by some of my authorities, that it took twelve days to number the slain, and that, without enumerating women and children, and such as could not be accounted for, the number recorded was 1,747,000 souls. With respect to this immense, and almost incredible, number of persons and to have been butchered by the Mughal

advanced towards Hirāt,1 and pitched his camp before the

barbarians, we must understand that the people of the open country always sought shelter within the walled cities and towns. This fact, so doubt, tended to hamper their garrisons, and, from the quantity of food required for their subsistence, caused the early surrender of many very strong places that, otherwise, would have held out like the fortresses of Ghür and Gharjistän, as our

author so graphically relates farther on.

1 The next movement of Tüli Khan was against Hirat. On reaching the verdant plain of Shabartu near that city, he despatched an agent, named Zanbur, demanding that the Amir who was governor on the part of Sultan Jalaind-Din, and the Kazi, the Khatib, and chief men of the city of Hirat, should come out and wait on him, and submit to the Mughal sovereign, and secure protection for their lives and property, lest the fate of Marw and Nighabur might be theirs also. The governor, Amfr Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Tuziani, and other Maliks therein, on hearing of the advance of the Mughals, had prepared to make a determined resistance, and all the approaches and defences were strongly guarded. The Tärikh-i-Jahan-gir, Rausat-uf-Safa, and Hans Abril, state, that there were 100,000 troops at that time in Hirat, but this is more exampleration for the glorification of the Mughals, as the sequel shows the contrary to have been the fact, but there certainly was a strong force there. When the envoy from Tuli Khan appeared before Amir Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, and delivered his message, he forthwith ordered him to be put to death, saving at the same time. "Let not that day come for me to be subject to Mughal and Tättär infidels while breath remains in my body !" Next day, when intimation reached Tüli of the fate of his envoy, he was greatly enraged, and directed the troops to take up positions round about the city, and to slay every Harawi Tājzik they could meet with.

For a period of seven days Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, opposed the Mughals with great valour, and inflicted heavy loss upon them, among those killed being "everal of the principal Amīrs, and 1700 others of lesser note, besides common men. On the eighth day Tüli led the Mughals in person to the attack, and Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, sallied out, at the head of a large force, to encounter him, and an engagement ensued which was carried on with great obstinacy. The Mughals were so severely handled at last, that they were nearly giving way, when an arrow struck Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, and he fell from his horse, and then and there expired.

His fall caused division within the city, and the people became separated into two parties—those who were devotedly loyal to Sulfan Jalaf-ud-Din, and the soldiery, who were for vigorous resistance to the last, while the civilians, such as the Käşf and the ecclesiastics, the priesthood holding grants of land, and those to whom trade and their own selfish interests were all and everything, and patriotism nothing, who were for accommodation; and it will be noticed that, throughout these misfortunes, such persons invariably caused resistance to be abandoned, or they betrayed their people and their sovereign's interest for their own ends.

In the meantume, Tūlī Khān, who had taken a great fancy to Hirāt, and liked its climate and situation, did not wish to desolate it like other cities [and who, doubtless, had information of the state of affairs within, and the resistance likely to be offered], and whose ranks had been thinned, and were then drawn up facing the I īrūzī—some say Firūz-ābād—gateway, which, according to the "Masālik wa Mamālik," was the most flourishing quarter of Hirāt, rode forward on the ninth day, with 200 horse, to the edge of the ditch, and requested a parley. Then, removing his head-dress [some say helmet, others

gate of that city, and the attack began, and catapults were placed in position in every direction.²

turban, but neither correctly], he called out: "O! men of Hirāt! know ye that I am Tüll, the son of the Chingix Khān; and, if ye desire to save your lives, and those of your women and children, from the hands of the Mughals, cease from all further resistance, and submit, and I will agree that ye pay into my coffers one-half the amount of taxes [Alfi says, "the same amount to my deputies"] ye have been paying to your Sultāns." These words he accompanied with most solema oaths and promises, that no injury should befall them, if they ceased fighting and opened the gates. Hearing these words from the mouth of Tüll Khān himself, it was agreed to submit to his authority. This is what, in the "Mongols Proper," becomes "it offered to capitulate."

In the first place, 'Izz-ud-Dîn, the Harawî; who, by command of the Sulţān, was the Mukaddam, or Provost of the guild of weavers and manufactories—with 100 persons of his craft, each bearing nine pieces [the Mughal fortunate number] of silks of various kinds, and of great price, for which Hirāt was famous—it still is for a kind styled **maraws*—proceeded to the presence of Tūlf, and after them followed the chief officials and men of the city. They were all well received, but, as though it were impossible for a Mughal to keep his plighted word, 12,000 persons, the soldiers and dependants of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, were massacred to a man, but to the other inhabitants, whom they had defended and for whom they had shed their blood, no further molestation was offered, and the Mughals acquired wast booty. Misery enough was, however, in store for the Harawās.

After the surrender, Amir Abū-Bikr-i-Maraghani [see note on the Kurat dynasty, farther on] was left there as Governor of Hirāt and its dependencies, and a Mughal, named Mangatāe, also written Māngatāe and Mangāe, a favourite attendant of Tūli's, was left with him as Shaḥnah or Intendant. The former set about remedying the distracted state of affairs, ruled justly, and endeavoured to restore the province to its former prosperity, and put the city in repair. Tūli Khān, according to his father's commands, set out [Alfi says, in 609 R = 619 H., but this is not correct: it was 618 H] on his return eight days after the surrender, and joined the Chingiz Khān in his camp near Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, which he had not yet taken.

During this expedition under Tülf Khān, besides Marw, Nīghābūr, Hirāt, Tūs, and Sabzwār, other cities and towns and their dependencies, such as Jājurm, Nīsā, Abīward, Sarakhs, Khowāf, and other places in Khurāsān, fell under the yoke of the Mughals, which is referred to in the metrical account of the Mughals before noticed, in the following words, "than which," as an author says, "the Darī tongue furnishes no terms more forcibly expressing the fearful calamities caused by the Mughals," referring to the words contained in the first line of the second couplet:—

"In three months, the world-seizing Tūlī Captured these all to the gate of Sīstān. He razed and he slew, and he swept and he clutched; Not a person remained, neither great nor small."

Whilst these events were happening at Hirāt, Sulfān Jalāl-ud-Din had upon several occasions overthrown the Mughals, as related under the reign of that hero, at page 288, and note 3, and farther on.

² Those who consider the Muscov a lamb may take a lesson from these identical places.—Marw and Hirat

Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Jurjāni, and Malik Tāj-ud-Din, the Jūzjāni, and other Amirs who were within the city, made preparations for resistance; but trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that the stone of every catapult, which they used to discharge from the city in the direction of the Mughai camp, used to

go into the air, and again descend into the city.

The city of Hirāt which Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, sat down before, and, before which, he carried on hostilities for a period of eleven months before it was surrendered to him, the Mughals took up a position before, and, in the space of eight months, they captured that place which [in strength] was the barrier of Sikandar, and martyred the whole of the inhabitants [with few exceptions]. Persons, whose statements are to be depended upon, relate, that, in one quarter [part] of the city, 600,000 martyrs were counted. According to this proportion, in the whole four quarters of the city, twenty-four laks [2,400,000!] Musalmāns were martyred. May the Almighty reward them!

When Tuli came to the determination of returning, he set at liberty some of those captives, and gave them a Shahnah [Intendant] and left him there, and commanded

him to restore the city.

ANECDOTE.

An ancodote, worthy of inscrtion here, is related, as referring to the occurrences which happened at the period in

4 See page 259, and note 3.

The other is styled Kazwini in one or two copies, but Jūzjāni may be the most correct after all. The Raugat-uş-Şafā also has Jūzjāni

Our author has fallen into complete confusion, and has here entered the events of the second siege, while, in his account of the latter, at page 1048, he has introduced some events belonging to the former siege. The greater part of this paragraph and the next relates to the second siege with which Tulf Khān had nothing to do.

of This anecdote refers to the attack on Hirāt by Tülī Khān, the particulars of which have just been given—It has been stolen by the author of the Raugatus-Şafā without acknowledgment, indeed he pretends—such is, too often, the conduct of some unprincipled writers—to have obtained it from the Kāṣī, from whose lips our author heard it, and merely says "It is stated by the Kāṣī of Gharnstān," and then uses our author's own words, without acknowledgment—Such pirates, after they have pilfered from another's writings, generally turn round and abuse limi

question. In the year 622 H., the author of this TABAKAT. Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the servant of the Sultan's dynasty. had occasion to undertake a journey, on a mission from Chur towards the Kuhistan, at the request of the august Malik. Rukn-ud-Din. Muhammad-i-'Usman, the Maraghani⁷—may he rest in peace !—for the adjustment of the route of kārwāns [of merchants and travellers], and the tranquillity of this territory. When he [the author] reached the city of Ka'in, he there saw an Imam, one of the great men of Khurāsān, whom they were wont to style Kāzī Wahid-ud-Din, the Büshanil [of Büshani or Füshani]-the Almighty's mercy be upon him! That Imam related [saying], "In the disaster of Hirat I was present in that city; and, every day, in conjunction with the Ghazis [holy-warriors], its defenders, I used to don arms and armour, and proceed to the top of the ramparts, and view the multitude of the forces. One day, I was at the top of the ramparts of the city of Hirat while the fight and tumult was going on, in full panoply, with helmet and cuirass, besides other things, when, suddenly. I missed my footing from the top of the walls, and fell down towards the ditch. and, like unto a stone or a ball, I went rolling down the face of the khāk-res, whilst 50,000 men, Mughals and Musalman renegades, with arrows fitted to their bows, and with stones, were aiming at me, until, rolling over and over, I fell into the midst of the infidels, and was made prisoner by a body of men who, in making the attack, had come to the foot of the parapet, and the face of the klak-res, and descended into the ditch This mischance happened to me at a point facing which Tuli, son of the Chingiz Khan, had had a tent pitched, at the edge of the ditch, and the Mughal troops were fighting under his personal observation. Although I came rolling down the face of the khāk-res a distance of about twenty gas [ells], until I descended into the abyss of the ditch, which was forty gas more," Almighty

⁷ A well-known race or family, one of whom—Abū-Bikr-i-Maraghani—was left by Tüli Khän as governor of the city and its dependencies, along with Mangatäe, the Mughal Intendant — See the note on the Kurat Dynasty, under the account of the downfall of the Mulähidab, farther on.

⁸ An artificial mound, surrounding HnEt, and forming its chief strength. See following note.

^{*} The description of modern Hirat will give some faint idea of what it was

God shielded me under his protection so that I experienced no wound, neither did any of my members sustain any hurt

or fracture whatever.

"When I came to the ground he [Tülf] caused a party to run up with speed, telling them: 'Bring ye that person alive, and do not harm him in the least.' When, in accordance with that command, they conducted me to the presence of Tuli, he looked at me searchingly, and gave orders to them, saying: 'See whether he has received any hurt: ' and, as there was none, he said to me: 'What person art thou-of the race of Adam, or a pari, a demon, or an angel, or dost thou hold a charm bearing the names of the Ulugh Tingri? 1 Speak truly, how it is' I bowed my face to the ground and replied: 'I am an unfortunate man of the learned class, and one of those who blesses or prays: but I had one thing with me.' He said: 'What hadst thou with thee.?' I bowed my head to the ground, and replied. 'The sight of a sovereign like thee had fallen upon me, and through the felicity thereof, I remained in safety' This reply was favourably received by Tuli, and he looked upon me with favourable eyes, and remarked, saving: 'This person is a sagacious man, and a wise, and may be qualified for the service of the Chingiz Khan. It is necessary that we take care of him in order that he may be conducted to his presence; and he commanded so that they made me over to the care of one of the respected Mughais.

"After Tūli had completed the conquest of the cities and districts of Khurāsān, he took me along with him to the Chingiz Khān's presence, and related the story [to him], and in the Chingiz Khān's service I found great favour. I was constantly in attendance at his threshold, and he used continually to inquire of me the traditions of the prophets, and concerning the sovereigns of 'Ajam, and the

in its strength and glory at the period in question. It is entirely enclosed by an artificial mound of earth between fifty and sixty feet in height, at the present time, the walls rising about thirty feet above. This mound slopes down from the base of the rampart, at an angle of about forty or forty-five degrees, and at the bottom of the mound is a deep wet ditch thirty feet wide.

¹ The Great Spirit-God

² Before Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, which the Chingiz Khān had not yet succeeded in capturing See page 1008, and note ².

kings of the past: and would inquire: 'Did Muhammad (on whom be peace!), foretell aught respecting my rise and sway?' I used to relate to him the traditions [of the Prophet] which they have related respecting the irruption of the Turk: and he used to say: My heart bears evidence that thou speakest the truth, until one day. during conversation, he said to me: 'A mighty name will remain behind me in the world through taking vengeance upon Muhammad, the Aghri'-that is to say, he used to call Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, by this term, and, in the Turki language, aghri signifies a robber-and this expression he would greatly make use of and say:-'Khwārazm Shāh was not a monarch: he was a robber. Had he been a monarch he would not have slain my envoys and traders who had come to Utrar, for kings should not slay ambassadors.' In short, when he inquired of me, 'Will not a mighty name remain behind me?' I bowed my face to the ground, and said: 'If the Khan will promise the safety of my life, I will make a remark.' He replied: 'I have promised thee its security.' I said: 'A name continues to endure where there are people, but how will a name endure when the Khan's servants martyr all the people and massacre them, for who will remain to tell the tale?' When I finished this sentence, the Chingiz Khan dashed the bow and arrow which he had in his hand upon the ground, and became exceeding enraged, and turned his face away from me, and his back towards me. When I beheld the effects of rage upon his impious brow, I washed my hands of life, and gave up all hope of existence. I made sure to myself that the time of my departure was come, and that I should leave the world from the blow of the sword of this accursed one.

"After a minute had passed away, he turned his face towards me again, and said: 'I used to consider thee a sagacious and prudent man, but, from this speech of thine,

In some copies "the Mughali" The Printed Text has aghi-aghi,

but all others are as above.

³ Here again is another proof of what I have stated in my account of the descent of the Turks and of the 1-males of Tattar and Mughal. Had the Karf, incorrectly, said by mistake, "the outbreak of the Tattars," the Chingiz Khān would, no doubt, have taken it as an insult, but he was a Turk of the Mughal 1-male. See note 2, page 869, and para. at page 875.

it has become evident to me that thou dost not possess complete understanding, and that thy comprehension is but small. There are many kings in the world, and, whereever the hoofs of the horses of Muhammad, the Aghri, have reached, there I will carry slaughter and cause devastation. The remaining people who are in other parts of the world, and the sovereigns of other kingdoms that are, they will relate my history.' No favour on the part of the Chingiz Khān remained to me, and it came about that I was distant from his presence; and I fled from the Mughal army, and made my escape, and returned thanks and praise unto Almighty God for the same."

ACCOUNT OF THE CALAMITIES WHICH BEFELL THE TERRITORY OF KHURASAN THE SECOND TIME.

After Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, Khwārazm Shāh, overthrew the army of Mughals, on the confines of Barwān, between Bāmiān and Ghaznin,* several times, and the Chingiz Khān turned his face towards Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mang-barni, and advanced towards the river of Sind, and the news of these victories [of the Sultān] reached all the cities of Khurāsān, in every city and town wherever the Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants] were stationed, the people thereof despatched the whole of them to hell,* and in every place a predominant person arose. After the Chingiz Khān defeated Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din on the banks of the river of Sind, he despatched the Bahādur, Shā'ūr,' along with Uktāe [his son] to Ghaznin, so that they destroyed

This shows, were any proof wanting, that our author did not refer to the narrow Parwän valley, north of Kābul, but to a locality much farther south-west. The author of the Raugāt-ug-Ṣafā here repeats what he has stated several times before, in other places, that it is Barwān, a place between Ghamin and Bāmiān. As I have said before, the situation of Barwān was near the sources of the Lohgar river. See note *, page 288, note *, page 1008, and note *,

It was this that caused the second siege, and the utter desolation of Hirat, the Hiratis having slain the Mughal Shahnah and the Musalman governor, the Maraghani. Here again it will be observed that there is no mention either of Bamian or of its long siege.

In one or two copies, Sā-ūr

the city of <u>Ghaznin</u>, and brought forth the inhabitants without the city, and, with the exception of a few, who were made captives, martyred the whole of them.

The <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, himself, advanced from the banks of the Sind river in pursuit of the <u>Ighrāki</u> Musalmāns, who formed a very large force, and a countless number of men, and proceeded towards Gibari. He took the fortress of

- ⁸ It has never recovered to this day from the effects of this calamity like many other famous and formerly densely populous cities of Asia.
 - * Some modern copies of the text have -!---'Arāb-here,
- I This word is written Gabari—Joy Gibari—Joy and Giri—Joy in the different copies of the text, but the best and oldest copies have Gabari or Gibari. The Calcutta printed text has both Joy and Joy In MSS., the point of the letter of often appears as two, close together, occasioned by the two points of the reed, especially when there is not much ink in it, and vice zerica. The letter might, in consequence, be sometimes mistaken for i.

Baihaki and the Gardaut continually refer to the fort of Girl————as being near the Sind or Indus [possibly Käpir di Girl. See note 2, page 76], but the former invariably mentions it in connexion with "Parghäwar" or "Purghor," "Man-Manārah," and "Wahind." Man-Manārah is evidently Prata'h Manāra'h on the west bank of the Indus above Atak, and the words are, apparently, the Pushto translation of an older name, prata'h signifying "fallen," "overturned," &c., qualifying a feminine nous. Suiţān Mas'ūd, the Martyr, was confined in the fortress of Girl. See page 95.

The tract referred to by our author, however, 15, without doubt, the country north of the Kābul river, between the Kamān, or river of Kunar, and the Landey Sind, namely, Bājawr and the tracts forming its southern boundary; and I find, in an old geographical work, and in a Persian lexicon of old and difficult words, that Gabar———with the pronunciation written, is the name of a town [ahahr] in the country of Bājawr. To have come upon the Ighrāke, the Mughals must have entered Nangrahār, or, as it was anciently called, Nek-Anhār, lying south of Bājawr; and the Chingiz Khān was never in "Pakli," in his life, nor in Pakla't either.

The people inhabiting the tracts lying along the banks of the river of Käbul, and east even as far as the Indus, were sometimes styled by the general name of Shalmānī by the Afghān chroniclers, and Dihgān by the Tājgiks, but Elphinstone styles them by the fanciful name of "Swatis" They were a purely Tājgik race, and had become converts to Islām at an early period. On the northern bank of the Kābul river there was another race of people who are known up to very recent times under the name of 'Arab ——respecting whom some account will be found in my "Notes on Afghānistān And Part of Balüchistān, Geographical, Ethnological, and Historical," printed by command of the Rt. Hon. the Secretary of State for India.

These Tājgīk people were no more "Scythians," than the Musalmān Dilazāk Afghāns were "Buddhists," as Surgeon-Major Bellew, C.S.I., styles them in one of his books, and "Rájpúts" in another, or than the Kākar Afghāns are the "Gakar tribe of Indians in the north Panjab," or than the Khtirān Afghāns, whom he styles Khatrins in his last book, are "Khatir Hindus."

Gibari and other forts of the territory of the Koh-pāyah [hill-skirts], and slew the Musalmāns. For a period of

The fort of Gibari or Gabari appears to be the very same as Gabar-kot. which the Mughal Badshah, Babar, subsequently took from Mir Haidar, the Gabari. The Shalmanis, with whom the Yusuizis and Mandars, of the Khakhi division of the Afghan nation, first came into contact, when they, in after years, retired from Kābul into Nangrahār, were divided into three septs or divisions .- Gabert Inot from Gabr, a fire-worshipper it is a different word], Mutrawi, and Mumfali. Their rulers were descendants of the Jahangirlan Sultans-Sultan Bahram and Sultan Pakhal-who held all the country north of the Kābul river, from the Tag-ão river to the Pîr-Pinjal mountains of Kashmir, east of the Indus, and likewise some parts on the southern side of the Kābul river as far south as the Spin Ghar or Safed Koh, but their power had greatly declined. Sultan Awes was the Gabari Sultan of Suwat at that period, and was the last king of that territory and its dependencies, but he retired northwards before the power of the Yusufzis and Mandars, towards the sources of the Amuian or Oxus. He, and his descendants, for several generations, ruled therein as far as the frontier of lladakhshan, after which they are suddenly lost sight of The great probability is that the rulers of Chitral, Kashkar, Shighnan, Wakhan, and some other petty states on the upper Oxus, are their descendants; and, like them, they claim descent from Alexander of Macedon.

In the time of the Åkhund, Darwezah, some of the descendants of these Jahängirian Sultans of Pich, as they are called, were still dwelling in Nangrahar, at the town of Papin in particular, and the Åkhund himself, on the mother's side, was descended from Sultan Bahram. He gives the names of twelve direct generations of Chiefs and Sultans as far back as Sultan Shams. I hope to be able to enter into details of this interesting subject shortly, but a good de 1 respecting the geography of these parts, and practical routes, will be found in my accounts of Suwät, Käshkär, Chitral, Käsinstän, and the Independent Afghan States, and also of Yärkand and Käshghar, in the Journal of the Bengul Asiatic Society, as far back as 1856, which contain many geographical details, which have since been discovered by "Havildars" and "Mullas," and appropriated by one or two literary vampires.

Khushhāl Khān, the celebrated Poet, and Chief of the Afghān tribe of Khētak, in one of his poems on Suwāt, which I have quoted in my account of that valley, in the Journal above mentioned, refers to some of the many routes leading into Turkistān from the tract in which the Chingiz Khān was encamped, and one of which he evidently intended to take, in the following manner:

"There is a road leading into Turkistän by Hindū-koh, And another that leads into Chirāl and Badakhahān, Another route also leads to Butān and Kāshghar, And there is one more that goes to Morang—up hill and down dale."

A few of these Gibaris are, I beheve, still to be found in the districts referred to

In the KASHGHAR MISSION HISTORY, Surgeon-Major Bellew states [p. 142] that "His route was probably across the Swall country into the Kunar [sic] valley, where Chaghan Sarae, or "white hostelry," from its name attests Moghol occupation, and thence up the Chitral [sic] valley called also Kashkar.

three months he halted in the Gibari territory and the Koh-pāyah; and, from thence, the Chingiz Khān despatched envoys to the presence of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, I-yal-timish—May he rest in

through the easy Burogil Pass. Such, at least, is the route taken by part of his army, if not by Changuz himself, who, according to the author of the Takehit Nasiri (a personal actor at Tolak in the defence against his invasion of Ghor), rejoined his camp with the heavy baggage left at Naman Pushta, in Tokhanstan [sic], and took it on with him to Samarcand, where he spent the spring and summer"!

The Doctor could not have had a map by him when he wrote this, and certainly did not read the "Tabedis Naist" aright. What the Tabakāt-i-Nāist' contains may be seen above and farther on. If the Chingis Khān had taken the route marked out for him by the Doctor from "Pakis and Swát"—but the Chingis Khān never crossed to the east bank of the Indias with his army—he would have performed a feat indeed. From thence to Chaghān Sarāe and the Pushtah-i-Nu'man in Khurāsān, not in Tukhāristān, I beg loave to say, he would have marched through a maze of mountains, the most difficult, perhaps, in Asia, some 10 degrees from E. to W, after which, to reach Samrkand only, he would have had to march backward some five degrees more in the direction of N.E. Chaghān certainly signifies white, as he says, but who shall show that the place in question was named Chaghān Sarāe in consequence of that march, or that it did not receive the name from Turks centuries before, or from Mughals—even the Mughals reigning in India—eventuries after?

It will be observed that the Doctor simply says "his route was probably across the Swite country" and "through the easy Burogal Pass," but Mr D. C. Boulger, in a book entitled "The Life of Yakoob Beg, Ameer of Kashgar," whose sole authority [as he states] for such a statement is Doctor Bellew's narrative in "all its fullness," has ventured to assert, on the bare probability expressed by the Doctor, that the Chingiz Khān did actually return from the Indus to Kāshghar by the "Baroghil Pass." At pp. 28 and 20 is the following:—

"Genghis Khan carried the terror of his name into the utmost recesses of the Hindoo Koosh. He wintered in the district of Swat, on our north-west frontier, a territory which is quite unknown to us except by hearsay he might have added, "as far as he knew"], and which has only been occupied by the Mongol and Macedonian conquerors [here, too, he might have added, "as far as he knew"] From his head quarters on the banks of the Panykora he sent messengers to Dehli. He hastily broke up from his quarters in Swat, and, by the valley of the Kunar and Chural, he entered Kashgar, through the Baroghil Pass."

All this may appear very satisfactory to the unwary, but there is not an atom of fact in the whole statement, and I shall presently show that the Chingus Khan did nothing of the sort, and was never near the "Baroghil Pass" in his life. This is a specimen of the nonsense called history which this Afghān war has called forth by the hecatomb, and the public misled.

Colonel G. B. Malieron, C S.I, in his "History of Afghanistan from the Earliest Times," states (p. 113) that "Chinghiz Khan," meanwhile, on the first news of the outbreak in Khwarizm, had hastened to that province, had

peace!—as he was entertaining the design of conducting his army towards Hindūstān, and of returning, by way of the Koh-i-Karā-chal and Kām-rūd, to the country of Chin; but, although he was burning shoulder-bones [of sheep] continually and examining them, he used not to find permission

suppressed the rebellion [ssc. Perhaps the writer did not know that Khwā-razm was an independent empire, including great part of western Asia], killing in battle the two brothers of Jaldi-u-Din, and that he had then "marched southward, capturing in succession Balkh, Môve, Heat, Nishapor, and Tui," which places, save Balkh, the Chingiz Khān was never near in his life. Soon after the author informs us that from 1227 to 1251 "the enslaved country (Afghanistan) had no history" [as far as Col. Malleson knew]. These pages will show the correctness of history, which is "a marvel of accuracy"

To return to the Chingiz Khān. His object was to save distance, and reach Tingkut by the shortest route By Lakhanawaṭi and Kām-rūd the distance would have been still lessened, assuming that it lay as we find it in the maps of the old travellers and the Jesuits, but not if it had lain as far north as it appears in the map to the "Mongols Proper," wherein we are informed [p 92] that "Jingis Khan wintered about the sources of the Indus," which are in Tibbat itself! If so, he would have been very near Tingkut, without marching back some ten degrees west to reach Buklān, and then marching twenty degrees east again to reach Tingkut. He found, however, that he was not likely to obtain the consent of Sulṭān I-yal-timigh to take the Karā-chal route, and as time pressed he had to give it up, and get into the route by which he had entered Khurāsān in the outset. The particulars respecting his return will be found farther on.

2 Northern India is here referred to.

all n nearly all the copies of the original this word is written المال with " but is meant the three points having been run into one, as is often done in MSS. This is the mountain range which several European scholars have made mistakes about, but our author seems to refer to the Himalayah range in its general acceptation, in referring to Käm-rüd. Reinaud, for example, reads the name Kelardyek from AL-BIRUNI, in the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh of Rashid-ud-Din, but, in the copies of the latter work which I have examined, the name is correctly written. Ibn Batutah also has Karā-chāl. The description given shows very plainly the tract of country indicated Al-Biruni says the peaks resemble domes of bilaur [crystal], and that they are covered with perpetual show, like the mountain of Dimawand Then, continuing his description from east to west, he says, next come the Bilaur Mountains in the direction of Turkistan, and t'iat a two days' journey brings one into Turkistān. Their cities or countries [bilād] are Gilgit, Astūrah, and Chilās, and the people of Kashmir suffer greatly from their raids. Farther on he says that, in two days after passing the mountains of Bilaur and Shamilan, the country of the Bhutawaii Turks is reached [this was the part the Mughal ruler wished to reach), and again refers to their raids upon Kashmir, but what I wish to draw particular attention to is this statement, that, if a person travels along the left bank of the Sind [Indus], he will meet with numerous towns and villages, to the south of the capital of Kashmir, as far as the KARA-CHAL range between which and Kashmir is a distance of two leagues

augured that he should enter Hind, when swift messengers brought information to him from Tamghāj and Tingit, that the Khāns of Tingit, and Tamghāj were in a state of revolt, and that the loss of those territories was impending; and, as a matter of necessity, he returned from the Koh-pāyah of Gibari.

The whole of the mountains [of that tract] were blocked with snow, and, by the <u>Chingiz Khān's</u> command, they used to sweep it away; and, by way of the passes of the territory of <u>Chaznin and Kābul</u>, he returned to Turkistān and Kāshghar.

From Gibari, in the depth of the winter season, he despatched Uktāe with an army of Mughal troops into Ghūr and Khurāsān. Uktāe reached a place situated between Ghūr and Ghaznin which they [the people] call I'ul'-i-Āhangarān [the Blacksmiths' Boundary], near unto Firūz-koh, and there Uktāe pitched his camp. From thence he nominated the Juzbi, Sa'dī, and the Juzbi, Mankadhū, and several other Nū-ins, with a large force, to proceed into Sistān, and the Nū-in Abkah, who was the Chingiz Khān's personal Manjaniki [Engineer—head of the catapult workers], and in whose corps were 10,000 Mughal Manjaniķis, was

The word appears to be شعب the plural of عدم as rendered above. In some copies of the text the word appears to be عدم which, unless a proper name, is meaningless. Other copies have شده علم and even مد The Calcutta Printed Text has عشد

The Jami'-ut-Tawarikh states that, after the defeat of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din. the Chingiz Khan advanced up the Indus, and sent Uktae downwards towards Ghaznīn, and that, during the hot season, the Chingiz Khān, with the main نيروان- or Nirwan-ميروان-or Nirwan ميروان--for it is written both ways, and also Yazwan-بروان in expectation of being joined by the Nü-yan, Bala, who had been sent in pursuit of the Khwarazmi Sultan When he rejoined, the Chingiz Khan moved from his summer quarters and set out in order to reach Tingkut by the shortest route. His forces advanced some marches, when news of the revolt in Tingkut reached him, and, as the route he was then following lay through a most difficult country, filled with mountains and forests, uncultivated, with a sickly climate, and bad water, he gave orders to make a retrograde movement back towards Parshawar [the territory of-Alfi also states that he set out from thence in Muharram], and returned to his own country by the same route as he had come, by the Bāmiān mountains. When he reached the fortress of Kunaun-Kor-kan--كوناؤن قورقان Tktae rejoined him with his forces.

⁵ Pul has other meanings besides that of a bridge, and here means as rendered bee page 321.

In some works, Mankadah.

despatched against the fortress of [the] Ashiyar 7 of Gharjistan. Uktae likewise sent the Nu-in, Ilji, into the hilltracts of Ghur and Hirat. In short. Shahnahs [Intendants] and bodies of troops were appointed to proceed into every part of Ghur, Khurasan, and Sistan; and, during the whole of that winter, those bodies of troops from the Mughal forces, which had entered into those different territories, carried slaughter into all the townships and villages thereof.

When information had reached the Chingiz Khan of the slaving of the Mughal Shahnahs, he commanded, saying: "From whence have these people whom I have killed come to life again? On this occasion my commands are on this wise, that the heads of people shall be separated from their bodies, in order that they may not come to life again." Consequent upon this, they [the Mughals] devastated all the cities of Khurāsān, a second time.

The force which had advanced to the gate of Sistan took that place by assault; and, in every quarter, and in every dwelling thereof, they had to fight before they were able to overcome the people, for the Musalmans of Sistan, women and men-great and small-all resisted obstinately with knife and sword. All [the males] were slain, and the females were martyred.

In the city of Hirat, to the gate of which [another] Mughal force had advanced—as has been previously recorded—there was a Khwajah, whom they were wont to style the Khwajah Fakhr-ud-Din-i-'Abd-ur-Rahman, the 'Ibrāni' [Hebrew] Banker, a Khwājah of vast opulence. and held in great respect; and, on this occasion, he held out the city of Hirat for some days. Malik Mubariz-ud-Din, the Sabzwari, having evacuated and fled from the fortress of Firuz-koh, had arrived at Hirat, and they [the

From Uktāe's army, as will be seen farther on.

Ashiyar is the plural of Shar, the title by which the ancient rulers of Gharjistan were known. See page 341, note 5.

The events of Sistan will be found farther on. The fortress referred to held out a considerable time

¹ This word occurs in the oldest and most of the other copies of the text, but a few have 'Iraki- the is also styled 'Abd-ur-Rahim in one copy, but that was his father's name. The Raugat-us-Safa has the which may be meant for Timram. The Habib-u.-Siyar, according to Price. has 'Arab

inhabitants] had made him commander of the forces of Hirāt.³ They related on this wise that, when the Mughals

² The news of the defeats inflicted upon the Mughal armses by Sulţān Jalāl-ud-Dān, Mang-barañ, at Barwān, in the spring and summer of 618 M., spread rapidly; and, "the wish being parent to the thought," it was stated that, henceforth, the Mughals would never again be able to cope with the Sulţān. Consequently, in every part where there was any Malık, Shahnah, or official of the Mughals, he was put to death, and the people set over themselves some person to direct the affairs of their various cities and provinces until such time as the Sulţān should restore order, while some, no doubt, hoped to become independent.

Hirāt followed the example: the people rose, Malik Abū-Bikr, the Maraghani, and the Mughal, Mangatäe, were put to death, and the chief people chose Malik Mubārus-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, as their leader and governor, the same who is mentioned by our author at page 1004, while the administration of civil affairs was made over to the Khwijah, Fakhr-ud-Din-i-'Abd-us-Raḥmān, a man of experience, who is styled an 'Irāki by all but our author; and these two personages were of one heart and one mind to resist the Mughals to the utmost. They forthwith made great exertion to put Hirāt in a good state of defence.

When the Chingiz Khan heard of these outbreaks, and especially that of Hirāt, he was very wroth with his son, Tülf, who had now rejoined him, and exclaimed: "This comes through your withholding the sword from the Hirātis !" and the very next day a force of 80,000 horse was despatched on the way to Hirat, under command of the Nü-yan Iliidae (the Ilchikdae of others-which seems the most correct- and Ihi of our author · Abū-l-Ghāzi, Bahādur, spells it lightktāe. He was the son of Kājbūn, brother of the Chingiz Khan, and greatly trusted by him], at the same time remarking to him that dead people had come to life again, but he was to take care this time to ensure their being dead, by cutting their heads off, and to spare nothing He set out in the month of Shawwill [Sha'ban?], 618 H [January, 1222 A.D]. Such is the date given, but it is simply impossible. It, however, tends to correct, at the same time that it proves itself impossible, another date-that of Sultan Jalai-ud-Din's defeat on the Indus Tülf Khan, in the same year, had marched against Marw, Nishābūr, and Hirāt, and had returned to the camp at the Pughtah-i-Nu'man, near Tal-kan, which still held out, in 618 H The news of the defeats inflicted on the Mughals by the Sultan, in the spring and summer of that year [about the first quarter of that year which began on the 7th of March], required a little time to spread; then the news of the outbreaks in consequence, and among them the rising at Hirat, required a little time to reach the Chingiz Khān's camp. By this time Tüli had rejoined him, a supreme effort was made to capture Tāl-kān, and after that we may suppose that he determined to send Iljidāe against Hirāt, and move against the Sultan in person The date generally assigned to the Sultan's defeat on the Indus is Rajab-the seventh month-618 H., three months before Hudae, according to the date above, was sent from Tal-kan against Hurāt Some, again, say the Sultan was defeated in Shawwal, the month in which Hjidae is said to have been despatched, and this also proves that he could not have been despatched in that month, but some time before. It therefore seems beyond a doubt that the Chingu Khan heard of the rise at Hirat in the fifth or sixth month of 618 H., despatched Hydrae early in Sha'ban—the eighth month—pushed on

captured the city upon this occasion, this Malik Mubarizud-Din, an aged man of fine and handsome aspect, rode

himself to Ghaznin in pursuit of the Sultan, very soon after, and defeated him on the Indus in Shawwal, the minth month of 618 H. The Sultan could not have been defeated in Rajab—the seventh month—therefore; and from these dates likewise it is clearly proved that, on his way to Ghaznin and the Indus, the Chingiz Khan could not have been detained by the so-called siege of Bamian, referred to in notes 5, page 1008, 3, page 1012, and 6, page 1016.

The Nū-yān, Iljidāe, in due course reached the river of Hirāt, where he halted for the period of one month in order to prepare for undertaking operations, and make ready the catapults and other warlike engines. From the neighbouring places, previously indicated by the Chingiz Khān himself, which had submitted to the Mughal yoke or had been subdued, he obtained assistance and war materials, and, in a short space of time, from the confines of Khurāsān, the territories of Balkh, and the highlands of Shiwarghān, some 50,000 horse and foot, of the people of the country compelled to serve, arrived to aid in the siege.

On the other hand, they were not idle in Hirāt; and Malik Mubāriz-ud-Dīn prepared for a vigorous defence. All the people, high and low, great and small, bound themselves by most solemn pledges not to do as was done

on the former occasion, but to fight while life remained.

The month of preparation having expired, the Nü-yan, Iljidae, or Ilji, who now had a force of 130,000 men under him, advanced towards the city of Ilirat, and four bodies of 30,000 men each were disposed, so as to operate against the four sides of the city, and the four gateways. He took care beforehand that those among his troops who should be guilty of any misdemeanour in o doubt this was because their defeats, by Sulfan Jalal-ud-Din, had made them feel themselves less sure of success than previously, and it was soon after the Sulfan's overthrow of the two Mughal armies that this force was sent against Hirât, and before the Sulfan's defeat on the Indus] should be punished with death, but those who distinguished themselves should be fittingly rewarded.

The siege was prosecuted with vigour and as bravely defended during a period of 6 months and 17 days, when, in the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, 619 H., the Mughal commander determined upon a supreme effort, and assaulted the place for several successive days, with the loss of some 5000 men upon each occasion. The walls, from the constant battering of the catapults and other military engines, had become quite honey-combed, when, one day, about 50 ells of the curtain gave way, burying, among others, 400 men of note among the Mughals under the debris. Three days after this misfortune division arose among the defenders-one party being for holding out, the other for capitulation-for the people within had now become much straitened, both for military stores, as well as food to feed the immense number of inhabitants and fugitives within the beleaguered city, while day by day, reinforcements and assistance were reaching the Mughals. At length, on a Friday, in the month of Jamadi-ul-Awwal-Raugat-ug-Safa says, the month after, but all leave out the date-619 H., the final assault was delivered where a portion of the curtain fell, and the Mughals entered the fortifications at what was afterwards called the Khäkistar Burj [bastion] and captured the city. They at once commenced an indiscriminate massacre-old and young male and female, adult and infant-and for the space of seven days this was through the city fully asmed, and arrayed in defensive armour; and, lance in hand, fought against the infidels until he attained martyrdom; but God knows the truth of the matter.

ACCOUNT OF THE CAPTURE OF THE FORTS OF KÅL-YÜN AND FĪWĀR.*

After the Mughal troops had effected the capture of Hirāt and desolated the city, they divided into two divisions. One of these marched into Sistān, and at its head was the Juzbi, Sa'di, and other great Nū-ins; and the other force appeared at the foot [of the walls] of the hiṣār of Kāl-yūn, and the troops took up their position round about that fortress. It is a fortification excessively strong, the like of which, in strength of construction, there is nowhere to be found, either in loftiness and sublimity, or in stability and solidity; and in the KITĀB-I-MASĀLIK WA MAMĀLIK [The Book of Roads and Kingdoms], which

carried on, the city sacked, the buildings and defences demolished, and the ditch filled up. "The number of persons who attained martyrdom on this occasion amounted to 1,600,000," in which number must be certainly included those who, from the towns and villages around, sought shelter within that stronghold, and if will easily be perceived how difficult it was to have held out so long with such a number to feed. The resources of Hirat must have been immense.

After the Nū-yān, Iljidāe, or Ilji, had desolated the district around Hirāt and left not a soul alive, he, after a further stay of eight days, set out for the fortress of Käl-yun, as our author states; but some authors mistake the name, and have Isfizar-, which was the name of a town and district dependent on Hirāt, through which he passed, and also mentioned in the "MASALIK WA MAMALIK." See page 397, note 7. The fortress described by our author lies beyond that, but the direction agrees. From this place the Mughal leader sent such of the booty captured at Hirat, as was befitting, to the Chingia Khān; and, when he reached the hashad of Aobah, mentioned before, at page 358, note *-still a well-known place on the direct route between Hırāt and Kābul, although geographers, at the present day, seem to have very hazy ideas on the subject—he sent back a body of 2000 horse, with the true fiendish instinct of these barbarians, to slaughter such of the unfortunate Huratis as might have concealed themselves, and who now, imagining that the Mughals were far away, had come out of their places of shelter. Sixteen persons, including the Khatib, were all who remained alive! The particulars respecting them will be found under Uktäe's reign.

This fortress was founded by Sultān Bahā-ud-Din, Sām. See page 342.
 It is worthy of note, and highly significant, that the pro-Mughal authors

It is worthy of note, and highly significant, that the pro-Mughal authors never allude to these events in Ghür and Khurüsän. They either knew nothing about them, or purposely concealed them.

the masters learned in science have compiled, this fortress is mentioned in these words: "The strongest fortress in the world, and the fairest is Kal-vun." It is such a fortress that whosoever would go from the foot of the walls of the city of Hirat to the foot of the walls of that fort, it behoveth him to proceed twenty farsangs [leagues] in an upward direction to a considerable altitude, until he reaches the base of the rock upon which that fortification stands: and, that point having been reached, it is necessary to proceed another league upwards in order to reach the foot of the rock on the summit of which the ramparts of the fort stand. The height of that rock is about a thousand cubits. and the face of it is like a wall, so that it is impossible for any living thing to mount it, with the exception of reptiles of the earth; and on the top of the rock is the plateau of four leagues or more [in area?].4 In the fortress are seven wells which they have excavated in the solid rock, and in each of these is so much perennial water that, however much of it is expended, it does not diminish; and, in the middle of the fortress, is an extensive plain.

The sons of Abū-Bikr who were the champions of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, two brothers, two heroes of renown, and, in themselves, two huge clephants of warwere the seneschals of that fortress Trustworthy persons have related that both the brothers, in stature, were so tall that, when they used to accompany Sultan Muhammad Khwārazm Shāh son horseback), in procession, with their hands placed on his stirrup, their heads rose a head higher than that of the Sultan: and the two brothers were famed for their valour and high spirit, and they were the Amirs [governors] of the fortress. During these events the Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Daulat Yār, the Tughrā-i, who was one of the rulers in the Khwarazm-Shahi empire, had also entered the fortress of Kāl-yūn

In most of the modern copies, "four bow-shots or more," but the context shows that inside the fort itself was an extensive plain. The map compiled by Captain Sanders and Lieutenant North, of the country around Hirat during the first occupation of Afghanistan, will probably show its position, which lies about 70 miles N E. of Hirat

⁶ The principal person in charge was a civilian, as previously mentioned, the Malik-ul-Kutāb, the Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Daulat Yār-i-Tughrā-I, as mentioned at page 1003, but these two champions acted as seneschals of the fortress.

At the time when the infidel horsemen reached the base of the fortress, there were in Kal-vun a great number of men and much war material. Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, had [previously] beleaguered and pressed hard this fortress and that of Fiwar, which is opposite to it, for a period of ten or eleven years before he obtained possession of them.7 Kal-yun had [since] been thoroughly provided with men and arms, and stores and provisions. When the Mughal troops began the attack upon it, the holy warriors and tried men within descended from the fortress and commenced holy war upon them, and despatched numbers of Mughals to hell. Day and night they engaged in fighting with and resisting the infidels. The intrepidity of the garrison of the fortress reached such a pitch that it was impossible for the Mughal force to obtain sleep at night out of dread of them, and so these infidels completely enclosed the entire fortress round with a circular wall, in which they placed two gates, facing the fortress, with walls before them, and men were told off to keep watch at night.8 A trustworthy person related that a fox had remained at the foot of the rock on which the fortress of Kal-vun stands, within the circumvallation of the Mughals, and, for a period of seven months, that fox had no way by which he might get out, so strictly did the Mughal troops guard this wall.

When one year of the investment of the fortress passed away, the Juzbi, Sa'di, with a Mughal army, from before the gate of Sistān, came into Khurāsān, and arrived at the base of the fortress of Kāl-yūn; and, a second time, was the place closely invested.*

⁷ That was during the time of the <u>Gh</u>ūrī Sultāns and must have happened soon after the assessmation of Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-i Sām, or even before that event

⁸ The Printed Text, like some MS copies, differs considerably here, and they have, "a double" wall

⁹ From this it appears that, before the arrival of the Juzbi, Sa'di, the Mughals had latterly contented themselves with merely blockading the place, but, after his arrival, began more active operations. Although beaten off twice, they never left it entirely, and then came back again to myest it, as will presently appear.

The Rausat-us-Safa has an apocryphal story to the effect, that the people of the great fortress of Kal-yun, fearing the Mughals, with the help of the Huatis, would attack them again, now that they had obtained possession of Huat,

A pestilent disease overcame the defenders of the fortress, and the greater part of the people died, through the provisions with which the fortress was supplied, which consisted of a large quantity of dried flesh and pistachios -for the pistachios of Khurāsān mostly come from around about Kal-vun-and, from constantly eating dried flesh. pistachios, and clarified butter, the people of the fortress used to fall sick, and their heads and feet used to swell. and death would result. After the garrison had held out against this investment for a period of sixteen months, not more than fifty persons remained alive, and of these twenty were suffering from swollen feet, and thirty were strong and healthy. One of this band left the fortress and went over to the Mughal force, and made known the state of the garrison and of the fortress of Kāl-yūn; and, when the Mughal troops ascertained for certain the state in which the people of the place were, the infidels donned their arms and turned their faces towards it. The garrison, resigning themselves to martyrdom, threw everything of value within the fortress, consisting of gold and silver, and valuable clothes, and whatever was of worth, into the wells, and then filled them up with large stones from the fortress: and all else that remained they burnt. They then threw open the gateway of the fortress, drew their swords, and threw themselves upon the infidel Mughals, and attained the felicity of martyrdom.

When the fortress of Kāl-yūn was taken, a body of the Mughal forces which had been at the foot of the walls of the fort of Walkh of Tukhāristān, namely Tūlān, the Juzbi, and Arsalān Khān of Kaiālık, with those Mughal troops, by command of the Chingiz Khān, marched to the foot of the fortress of Fiwār of Kādas. This fortress of

although they had twice been obliged to raise the investment, despatched eighty men to Hirāt to kil! Amīr Abū-Bikr and Mangatāe, the Mughal, and thus create a diversion, and direct the Chingiz Khān's power to the destruction of Hirāt!

¹ See page 1023, and also note 2, page 1024.

This is the tract respecting the name of which there were some doubts at pages 342, 375, and 398, but Kādas and not Fādas—there is but the difference between and about the correct name, but, in some copies, it is written—Kādugh—with sh. It is in these parts, and among these mighty fortresses that the student of the Makedonian Alexander's campaigns might identify the stronghold of the Bākhtrian Oxyartes, the rock fortress of Chorienes [Kāl-yūn?]

Fiwar in strength, solidity, and impregnability, is still stronger than the fortress of Kal-yūn, and the fact of its strength may be held certain in that ten men can defend it. Between Fiwar and Kal-yūn is a distance of about ten farsangs [leagues], in such wise that both fortresses are in sight of each other. If strange horsemen should reach the base of the fortress of Kal-yūn in the day, the people would make a smoke, and, at night, they would light a fire; and the garrison of Fiwar used [thus] to know of it; and if such should reach the fortress of Fiwar the same would be done to make it known to Kal-yūn.

For a period of ten months that the Juzbi, Tülän, and Arsalän Khān of Kaiālik, lay before the fortress of Fiwār, on account of the great scarcity of provisions, their forces had become reduced to great straits. They now brought from the stores of the fortress of Kāl-yūn what was necessary for their subsistence, so that, for a short time [longer], they were able to continue before the stronghold. A person from the fort of Fiwār [now] came into the force of the Juzbi, Tūlān, and gave information of the state of the place, that [nearly] the whole of the garrison were dead, and that, throughout the whole fortress, there were not more than seven men alive, and out of them four or five were sick. Then the infidels armed themselves, and captured the place, and martyred those seven persons—God reward them!

These events happened in the latter part of the year 619 H., and this was the affair, as has been [just] related, of those two strongholds, than which there were no stronger forts in all Khurāsān and Ghūr.

ACCOUNT OF THE EVENTS WHICH HAPPENED IN GHÜR, GHARJISTÄN, AND FÎRÛZ-KOH ⁶

The city of Firūz-koh which was the capital and seat of

and other positions not made out, always supposing, however, that the first Nicsea is no other than Nisä, a very ancient place. Several of these strongholds agree with the descriptions given by Arrian and Strabo.

One of the oldest copies has eight months

It is stated just above that everything of value had been thrown into wells or burnt, but perhaps they did not think justachios and other provisions worth destroying

See note 7, page 1061

The fortress of Tulak is included under this heading, and our author says it

government of the Sultans of Ghur, the Juzbi Uklan." with the Mughal forces [under him] appeared before, in the year 617 H., and for twenty days and more attacked it vigorously, but retired without having effected their purpose. The people of Firuz-koh showed opposition towards Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri [who was in charge], and rose against him, and he was under the necessity of entering the upper fortress, which is situated to the northeast of the city, upon a lofty and overhanging mountain. During the time of the Sultans of Ghur there was no more upon that spot than a great kasr [castle], and it used to be impossible for laden beasts to get there: but, at this period, that Malik Mubariz-ud-Din, the Sabzwari, had restored and enlarged that fortress, he had carried a rampart all round the top of that mountain, and had made a road to that fortress so that laden camels used to go up to it, and a thousand men could find quarters therein.

When disagreement arose between the people of Firūz-koh and Malik Mubāriz-ud-Din, the Sabzwāri, and the latter took up his quarters in the upper fortress, the people wrote letters to Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain —may he rest in peace!—and solicited him to come thither. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with the forces of Ghūr, proceeded to Firūz-koh, and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, located his uncle's son, Malik Imād-ud-Din, Zangi, Ba'lamī, at Firūz-koh, and this circumstance happened in the year 618 H.

lay between <u>Gh</u>ür and <u>Kh</u>urāsān, and, therefore, it was situated, by this account, in <u>Gh</u>arjistān, north of Hirât, or close to it In another place [page 362], he says it is in the hill-tracts of Hirât

⁷ This is the person who is turned into Hulakoo in Miles's "Shagrat ul Atrah," so called, but it is a work of no authority whatever, and his translation contains gross and absurd errors

<sup>As already mentioned at page 1007, which see
This is the place referred to at pages 403 and 407</sup>

¹ Here, as in other places preceding, he is, in some copies, styled Hasan He was Malik of Ghür, under the Khwärarmis, after the downfall of the Ghürf dynasty This is the illustrious Malik—the son of 'Ali, son of Abi 'Ali—who came into India in the reign of I-yal-timiah, who held such a prominent position in Näşir ud-Din, Mahmüd Shāh's reign, and who was, at last, put to death by that Sulfan, or rather his advisers See pages 702 and 798.

When the forces of the infidel Mughal, under Uktāe, moved from Ghaznīn and advanced towards Ghūr, a body of troops [from that army] pushed on, suddenly and unexpectedly, and fell upon Fīrūz-koh. Malik 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Zangī, they martyred in the year 619 H.; and the people of the city were martyred also. Malik Mubāriz-ud-Dīn, the Sabzwārī, evacuated the [upper] fortress, and came to Hirāt, and there attained martyrdom; and the city of Fīrūz-koh was wholly destroyed.

The fortress of Tülak, however, of which Amir Habashi-i-Nezah-war [the expert at the lance] on the part of Sultan Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh—on whom be peace!—was governor, did not fall into the hands of the Mughals. The fortress of Tülak is a fortification totally unconnected

² The Chingiz Khān's son, at the time his father left the banks of the Indus with the intention of returning homewards. See page 1047

"This place, the seat of a powerful empire never afterwards recovered; and at this day even its site appears to be imperfectly known. Its destruction is another specimen of the "architectural afflatus which fell upon the world after the Mongol invasions."

But nother our author, nor any other Oriental writer, knows anything about Ghür, its capital, or its sovereigns, and when they tell us that Ffrüz-koh was the capital they merely show their ignorance, for does not "General Ferrier" tell us that "Zerni" was? In his book, entitled "Carrayan Journeys," he states at page 248, "Zerni was, as I have before remarked, the ancient capital of the country of Gour

Its position is a valley is happily chosen"!

In his attempt to reach Kābul from Hirāt, "the General" set out from the latter place, and says he reached as far north as Sar-ipul, and was compelled soon after to return to Hirāt again. This journey occupied him from the 22nd June to the 21st July—just thirty days—on which latter date he was brought back to Hirāt again. During the chief part of this time he was under surveillance, and not permitted to roam about, and travelled part of the time through "Gour" by startight, but notwithstanding all this he not only discovered the ancient capital, but also its name, totally contrary to every native author who has written on the subject, and also had time to make researches into the history of Gour, although he did not even know how to spell the name correctly. Consequent on these discoveries our author's account of its twenty-two rulers, not including those of Ghaznīn and Tukhāristān, must be contrary to fact, for "the General" tells us that the "Gour" dynasty only lasted sixty-four years, and that it only consisted of five persons!!

I may be permitted to doubt the correctness of "the General's" statements (upon a good many matters besides this, and not in this book alone), until some one can show me, in any history whatever, such a name as Zerni, much less that it was the "ancient capital" of Ghür.

It is quite time such incorrect statements and such "Histories" should be exposed

with any adjoining mountain, and the foundation of it dates from the time of Manūchihr, and Ārash, the Archer, [then] held it. In the upper part of it are chambers hewn in the solid rock which they call Ārash [after Ārash], and Amir Naṣr, the Tūlaki, sunk a well, in the upper part of the fortress; and the diameter of the well will be about twenty gas [ells], and it is excavated in the solid rock. The water, however much is drawn from it, shows no decrease, and its depth is immense. The fortress is of great strength, and lies between Ghūr and Khurāsān. When Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, came to Balkh, Habashi-i-Nezah-

^a The famous champion and archer of Manü-chthr—Heavenly-faced—the tenth king of the Bāstānlah dynasty, and one of the heroes of the <u>Shāh-Nāmah</u>. Aragh, upon one occasion, is said to have discharged an arrow from Amul to Marw, a distance of only about "forty days' journey." This, however, is much of a kind with the feats the Greek heroes of antiquity performed, and not a whit more exaggrerated.

⁸ It will be seen from this, that the exeavations in and around the district of Bimfan, and where the two great idols, the Khing But.—Grey Idol, and the Surkh But.—Red Idol, stand, are, by no means, the only ones in these parts; and the fact shows, in a somewhat richculous light, the various

opinions respecting the latter, and their purposes.

The account given respecting them by oriental writers is, briefly, this. "The Khing But is the beloved of the Surkh But, and they are each about fifty-two gas—elis—high They are situated in the manya—district—of Bāmiān, a dependency of Tukhāristān, on the frontier of Badakhāhān. People can go in and come out at the fingers and toes of these idols or figures, which are hollow within. Some call them Lat and Manāt, and in 'Arabic they are

styled Yaghus and Ya'uk "

MASSON, in his Travels, makes out these two figures to be the work of the "White Huns," who conquered Transoniana and "Khorasan," and were finally exterminated by "Zingis Khan," and his opinion is supposed to "receive countenance from the well-ascertained fact that Zingis Khan destroyed Ghulghuleh," the ruins of which are scattered over the Bāmfain valley. The same author considers these caves to have been catacombs. Strange that we hear of no white or black Huns in connexion with "Zingis" and "the catacombs." Moorcroft [each rides his own hobby] was of opinion that Bāmfain was "the residence of a great Lama," and the excavations the abodes of "Lama clergy," and "the lower classes of the monastic society," and that "the laity inhabited the adjoining city"! Elphinstone attributes these idols and the contiguous caves to "the Buddhist princes of Ghore," but what history says that the Tājzlk Ghūrī chiefs and rulers were Buddhists any more than that they were "White Huns"? and what are the proofs? Col. G. B. Malleson, however, makes "Ghilzai" Afghāns of them!

7 A former governor of the place: the chief whose fief it was.

a Just previous to his flight towards Niahāpur Here all the copies of the text collated have Balkh—i, and not Walkh as before, showing, still more clearly, that they refer to two separate places.

war, with the troops of Tūlak, proceeded to Balkh also, and presented himself before the sublime threshold [of that monarch]. He was directed to return to Tūlak, and put the fortress in order and make preparation for opposing the Mughals. After he returned from thence, in the beginning of the year 617 H, on several occasions, bodies of Mughal horsemen came to the foot of the fortress, and made raids in its neighbourhood; and, in the year 618 H, the Nū-in, Fikū, who was a son-in-law of the Chingiz Khān, and who commanded a force of 40,000 Mughal cavalry, and troops of other races, appeared at the foot of the fortress of Tūlak with a numerous army.

Amir Habashi-i-Nezah-war' agreed with him that he would become tributary to the Mughals; and came down from the fortress and paid homage to him, and returned to it again. The subsidy which he had assented to. Habashii-Nezah-war apportioned among the people of Tulak, and enforced its payment rigorously. This Habashi-i-Nezahwar, in his younger days, in the beginning of the reign of Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, was a common man, a Nishāpūri, and a maker of sacks; and, in Khurāsān and Khwārazm, there never has been one so skilled in the use of the lance as he. This has been heard oftentimes from his own lips,-" If, upon occasion, I should lie down on my back upon the ground, and take a staff in my hand, I would defend myself against four men with spears." In short, he was a very excellent man, and his good works were many, and his charities countless. At this time, however, through his having apportioned this subsidy among them, the whole Tülaki people decried him, and considered themselves oppressed in the collecting of it. One of the

Tülak must have been a place of considerable size, and its dependencies populous, as, some years before, 1200 Tülakis, were left to garrison Tabarhındah, just before Sulţān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muḥammad-1-Sām, Ghūri, was defeated by Rāe Pithorā at Tarā'īn. See pages 458 and 459.

At page 362, our author states that it lies in the mountains in the vicinity of Hirāt, and is in the country of Khurāsān. It is in vain to look for it in any of our maps, but it is not in "Ghor," so styled.

The same who was overthrown by Sultan Jalal-ud-Din. At page 1006 the number of troops is stated at 45,000. See note 3, page 288.

² He must not, from the sumilarity of part of his name, be confounded with Tij-ud-Din, Habashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, referred to at page 1007, who, subsequently, fell, fighting against those infidels.

clever, of that time, composed a verse, and, as it is witty, it has been here inserted in order that it may come under the observation of the sovereign of Islâm, and that the people of the Tulak district may be remembered with an invocation. The Khwajah, and Imam, Jamal-ud-Din. the Khāzinchi '---on whom be the Almighty's mercy !-- says:

" I said: 'Habashi, Nezah-war! what is this wrong? What have the Tülakis to do with rack and prison?' He replied 'I am a leather-worker and Fiku a dog . 6

The dog knows and the leather-worker knows what the wallet contains."

The inhabitants of Tūlak, both the soldiery and the peasantry, having suffered extortion [at his hands], revolted against him, seized him, and delivered up the fortress of Tülak and Habashi-1-Nezah-war to Malik Kutb-ud-Din. Husain, in order that he might take charge of it, who came to the fortress of Tülak, and he located therein his own son, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Muhammad; and the maternal uncle of the writer [of this work], which is Minhāi-i-Sarāi. and whose name was Kāzi Jalāl-ud-Din-i-Majd-ul-Mulk, Ahmad-i-'Usman, Nısawi, was Hakim [governor], and the Khwajah [Jamal-ud-Din] directed its affairs After Habashī-i-Nezah-war fell into the power of Malik Kutb-ud-Din. Husain, the latter for a time kept him in confinement. and, at length, gave him permission to proceed to the for-The Malik of that fortress was the Pahtress of Fiwar.

The son of Malik Kuth-ud-Din, the Ghuri, must have been young in years, and therefore the Khwajah, Jamal-ud-Din, and the Kazi Jalal-ud-Din, directed the affairs of Tulak, nominally for Malik Kuth-ud-Din. It appears strange. however, that his own people should have put the Kazi to death, and our author does not give us any further particulars

² This term, signifying treasurer, is somewhat doubtful, as it is written in different ways, and mostly without the diacritical points-and حاررسى

A tanner and a dog held near akin in those parts

This is an important passage, in some respects, since, without the use of two izafats, both of which stand in hea of bin son of, no sense can possibly be made of it. At page 458, our author mentions this uncle " of his maternal grandfather," but that last part of the sentence must have been redundant or an interpolation There, his name and titles are not given in full, he being merely styled Kazi Majd-ud-Din, Tulaki, but it now appears that Maid-ud-Din was his title, and Ahmad his name, and that he was the son of 'Usman, the Tülaki, whose family, originally, came from Nisa Kāzi Jalāl-ud-Din was his son, and the brother of that Kazi of Tulak, named Muhammad, entitled Ziyā-ud-Din, who was left, along with 1200 Tulakis, to defend the fortress of Tabarhindah, when, thirty-seven years before, Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-Sam, Chūrī, rettred to Chaznīn after his defeat by Rae Pithorā.

lawan, Aşil-ud-Din, the Nishābūri, and he seized Ḥabashi-i-Nezah-war and martyred him.

When the fortress of Kāl-yūn fell into the hands of the infidels [the Mughals], the inhabitants of the fortress of Tūlak, who were also kinsmen of the Khwājah, and fifteen heads of families, also kinsmen of each other, entered into a compact together,7 in the year 619 H., and caused the Khwājah to be martyred, and sent the son of Malik Kuṭb-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain, back to the presence of his father. For a period of four years, they [the Tūlakis] waged war against the Mughal infidels a great many times, and the author of this work, which is Minhāj-ud-Dīn-i-Sarāj, during these four years, used to join the people of Tūlak, who were all kinsmen and brethren, in their holy warfare, and, in the end, it continued safe from the hands of the infidels.

After the people of Tūlak became disobedient to the authority of Malık Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, he determined upon retiring into Hindūstān, in the year 620 H., and the fortress of Tūlak remained unmolested.

Subsequently to these events, the author of this work chanced, upon two occasions, to undertake journeys into the Kuhistān on the subject of a mission: the first time, in the year 621 H., and, on the second occasion, in 622 H. Afterwards, in the year 623 H, on the part of Malık Rukn-

6 Mentioned at page 1003

An account of what misfortunes befell him on his way thither will be found farther on

At page 1069 it is stated that, on the 12th of a month, not given, in the year 620 H, the Mughals sprang an ambuscade against Tülak, but did not succeed in their object. At page 1070 also, our author further states, that Tülak was entered in 620 H by the Mughals, after Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, had retired from the territory of Ghür with other Maliks, and they were making towards Hind by the route over the Arghand river.

At this time Khurāsān was entirely clear of Mughals. These journeys are mentioned farther on in the account of the downfall of the Mulāhidahs, which see, and page 201. He undertook two journeys for Malk Rukn-ud-Din,

the first was in 622 H. See page 1039

The date here given in the text, in which all copies agree, is _____seven—but it cannot possibly be correct, and must be a mistake for _____nine—because Fiwar, which held out over a year, was not captured, by our author's own account, until the latter part of the year 619 H. The investment of that fortress was only undertaken after the fall of Walkh of Tukhāristān, against which Arsalān Khān of Knālik, and Tūlān, the Jurbī, were despatched in the third month of 618 II, and which held out for eight months. See pages 1023 and 1055

ud-Dîn, Muḥammad-i-'Uşmān, the Maraghani, of Khāesār [of Ghūr], the author proceeded to the presence of Malik Tāj-ud-Dîn, Bināl-Tigin, the Khwārazmi; and, in the same year, on the part of Malik Tāj-ud-Dîn, Bināl-Tigin, he went on another mission to the Bādshāh of the Kuhistān, to Neh and to Sistān. After this the author set out [on his journey] towards Hindūstān.

Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigin [subsequently?], came to Tūlak, and the people of that fortress paid obeisance to him, and he removed [some of?] them to Sīstān. In the disaster of Sīstān, they all attained martyrdom, and [the remainder of?] that people continued there [at Tūlak]. The Amir of Tūlak [at that time?] was Hizabr-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Mubārak, and he went to Kyuk Khān, and, up to this present day, his children hold that fortress.

ACCOUNT OF THE EVENTS WHICH TOOK PLACE BEFORE THE FORT OF SAIF-RÜD OF GHÜR.

The fortress of Saif-rūd of Ghūr 7 is the strongest of all

Not a sovereign or king here, but the Muḥtaahim—a sort of Abbot or Prior—of the Mulāḥidah herétics, on the part of the head of that sect. See "Panjab and Dehli," in 1857, "by Rev J Cave Browne," who raises up a "Badihah"—a king—by means of the poor old Akhūnd of Suwāt [lately dead], not knowing that words sometimes have two meanings.

³ The Printed Text is always wrong with respect to the name of this well-

known place. See under the Maliks of Sinstan, page 200.

4 At page 201 our author says Bināl-Tigfin took possession of the fortress of Islizār, as well as that of Tülak, in 623 H. about the time he himself left his native country and set out for Hind, and at page 200 he states, that Bināl-Tigfin was despatched to Neh, by Burāķ, the Ḥājib, to the assistance of one of the rival Maliks of Sīstān, and that he took possession of Neh for himself.

- but this cannot be, for, otherwise, how could be have remained at Tülak at the same time? Perhaps, as these events occurred at the period be was preparing to leave for Hind, his account became somewhat confused. At the time the Mughals invested the citadel of Sistān—the second time of their appearing in that country—these very Tülakis v ho were removed formed part of the Sistān garrison and made a gallant defence. Hizabr-ud-Din, Muhammad, was set up by the Tülakis after Bināl-Tigin withdrew, and, having made submission to the Mughals, was allowed to continue to hold it. The siege of Sistān is mentioned farther on
- Kyuk Khān ascended the throne in 643 H., and died in 647 H, some say in 648 H. See under his reign. It is most absurd to notice how this simple name has been written in some copies of the text—علال مال and even والح مال
- ⁷ This is the fortress in which Bahram Shah, son of Khusrau Malik, the last of the Mahmudiah dynasty of Ghaciin, was immured. See page 115.

the strongholds of the *jibāl* [mountain tracts], and the foundation of it had been laid by the father of the Sultāns Ghiyāş-ud-Din, and Mu'izz-ud-Din.—Sultān Bahā-ud-Din, Sām, son of 'Izz-ud-Din, Al-Husain.

When Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, retired from before Balkh towards Mazandaran, he commanded so that Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, should put the fortress in a state of repair. There was but little time [to do it in], and he was unable to construct more than a reservoir in the upper part of it; for, two months after the command was given, the Mughal army entered [that part], and the possibility of constructing anything more did not offer itself. In that reservoir he collected sufficient water for about forty days' supply for the people of the fortress. The Mughal troops carried their depredations into all parts of Ghūr; and the whole of the quadrupeds of every kind, from all parts, fell into the hands of the infidels, and the people of Ghūr attained martyrdom, through a diram of four dangs.

Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with his troops, sought shelter within that fortress, and the Nū-in Mangūtah, the Nū-in Karāchah, and the Nū-in Utsuz, with a numerous army, advanced to the foot [of the walls] of the fort, and, when they understood that the defenders had but a small supply of water, they fixed their camp at the base of the stronghold, and commenced hostilities. For a period of fifty days they assailed it with great vigour, and, on both sides, a great number of Musalmāns attained martyrdom, and Mughals beyond compute went to hell. There was an immense number of quadrupeds in the fortress; and as

⁸ Four forts are mentioned as having been constructed by him, but this one is not mentioned See page 341. The jibāl of Ghūr has already been noticed.

This appears to be some proverb or trite saying. It might be read "four dangs out of a dram"—four fourths. A dram has four dangs or tangs. Or it may mean that many people lost their lives in attempting to save their cattle.

many as they were able to cure by drying they slaughtered, and the remainder, amounting to the number of 24,400 odd, perished for want of water. The whole were thrown from the ramparts of the fort on to the glacis on the side of the hill [on which it stood], and the whole face thereof, for a depth of some forty gas [ells], was completely strewn with the carcases of the dead animals, so that not a yard [of space] of the whole hill could be seen for them.

Orders were given so that, for the people of the fortress. a stated allowance of water, grain, and other provision was fixed, to each man half a man [about a gallon, or rather less] of water, and a man of grain; and to Malik Kutb-ud-Din. Husain, one man of water—half for [his own] drinking. and half for the purpose of his ablutions. There was no horse in the fortress but one, the private horse of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, for the use of which the water expended in the Malik's ablutions used to be set aside, and was collected in an open vessel so that the animal might drink it. When a period of fifty days had expired the party which had been stationed to guard the reservoir of water gave intimation that not more than one day's supply remained in it; and a person, from the fortress, went away. and informed the Mughal troops of that circumstance. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, when he ascertained the fact, convened the males of the people of the fortress at the time of afternoon prayer, and proposed that, the next morning, at break of day, they should put all the females and children to death with their own hands, and throw open the gateway of the fortress, and that every man, armed with a naked sword, should conceal himself in some place within the fortress, and, when the infidel Mughals should enter it. they [the Musalmans], with one accord, should fall upon them with their swords, and should continue to fight them until they should attain the felicity of martyrdom.

All pledged themselves to this, and submitted their

S About 8 lbs. This weight varies in the different countries and districts of Persia, Afghānistān, and India, from 8 lbs to 40 lbs

The I O L. M.S., No. 1952, the Hamilton M.S., and the Ro As Soc. M.S. have instead of hamilton in them to death "hould strip them naked"! This shows the danger of cristing to a single M.S., or even two, and the absurd mistakes made by ignorant scribes, who, in this instance, wrote the adjective qualifying sword twice over

hearts to martyrdom; and this determination became fixed in their minds, and they were taking leave of each other, until, at the time of evening prayer, Almighty God, the Most High and Holy, unclosed the door of His mercy Supon them, and, out of His boundless beneficence, sent clouds, so that, on the summits of the mountains around about, and parts adjacent, until midnight, the rain of mercy descended, and the snow of compassion fell, in such wise, that, from the army of the infidels without, and the champions of the faith within the fortification, a hundred thousand exclamations and cries arose in wonderment at the succour of the Most High God. The people of the fortress, who had withdrawn their hearts from existence, and washed the hand of hope of life, and who had endured the thirst of fifty days, and during that time had not drunk the sharbat of their fill of water, drank from the coverings of the tents and sayah bans, so much snow water, in satisfying their longing, that, for a period of seven days after, smoke issued from their throats along with their saliva.

When the Mughal forces beheld that Divine assistance, and witnessed the bountifulness of the Creator, they knew that the people of the fort had saved at least a month's supply of water, or even a two months' supply, that the month of Tir [the fourth solar month] was come to its close, and that, without doubt, in the winter season, snow would fall successively. The following day, therefore, they abandoned their position before the fortress and raised the investment, and went to hell until the following year.

When the new year, 619 H., came round, again the Mughal forces from Khurāsān, Ghaznīn, and Sistān, entered the different parts of the mountain tracts of Ghūr. After the disaster which befel Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Khwārazm Shāh, a force from the Mughal armies, amply equipped

In most copies of the text مطرع—a cooking-place, a kitchen, etc.—and in the printed text مطرع has been used for

The greater number of the copies of the text have 618 H., but, as Sultan Jalal-ud-Din's defeat happened, not in the seventh month—Rajab—of that year, as generally stated, for the reasons already given in note 2, page 1049, but in Shawwal, the minth month, the second attack on this fortress, if it happened in the beginning of a year, must have happened in the beginning of that of 619 H., and it is subsequently stated that, with a winter intervening, it was taken in 620 H.

and provided, and [consisting of] cavalry, and infantry, and Amirs, beyond computation, appeared at the foot of the fortress of Saif-rūd, and pitched their camp; and hostilities commenced. As Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Ḥusain, had had opportunity, and had constructed reservoirs, and collected vast store of provision, he fought many encounters with the Mughal troops, and used strenuous efforts against them; and, the greater the efforts and endeavours the infidels put forth, the stronger became the affairs of the fortress, and the more intrepid grew the warriors of the faith. On this occasion, the fighting continued, and they kept up the investment, for a further period of two months, and, in no way, could they obtain possession of the fortress.

After that [period of time], the infidels turned their faces towards treachery and deceit, and entered into the gate of peace, and propounded words of amity. As the people, for a considerable time, had suffered the disquietude and care of a fortress, out of eagerness for gold, and clothes, and cattle, at a cheap rate, they were agreeable to an accommodation. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, used to dissuade them greatly from entering into a truce with infidels, but the people had become wearied and exhausted, and the fate of some of them was near at hand. His expostulations were of no avail; and, in the end, a truce was agreed to, on the stipulation that, for a period of three days, the people of the fortress should come into the Mughal camp. bring the commodities they possessed and dispose of them, and take away the gold and silver the price thereof, and purchase such cattle and woollen garments as they required; and that, after the expiration of three days, the Mughal troops should march away from before the place. When the truce had been ratified, the people of the fortress conveyed all such commodities as they possessed into the camp of the accursed ones, and for a period of two days bought and sold what was necessary, and not a Mughal infidel, or any one else, annoyed any person whosoever. When the night of the third day came, the infidels concealed a great number of armed men behind rocks, [bales of] clothes. pack-saddles of animals, and in the old guilles and ravines

⁶ The contingent of the Kärlügh chief, Arsalán Khän of Kaiälik, is doubt-less referred to here.

about their camp; and, when the morning of the third day broke, the people from above descended from the mountain and mingled as before among the infidels in their camp. All at once they [the Mughals] beat kettledrums and raised a shout, and every Mughal infidel and renegade, who was buying and selling with the Musalmāns, seized, on the spot, those Musalmāns and slew them, with the exception of the persons whose lives Almighty God had spared; and all who had arms with them, or displayed knives, them they first deprived of their weapons, and then slew them.

At this place an incident occurs, and a piece of advice for observers and readers offers; and it is this: There was a leader among the soldiery [in the fortress], a Nishāpūrī, a thorough man, whom they were wont to style Fakhr-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Arzīz-gar [the worker in tin], one among the followers of Amīr Ḥabashī-i-Nezah-war, who was, at this time, in the fortress of Saif-rūd, in the service of Malik Kuṭb-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain. He [Fakhr-ud-Dīn] also had gone into the camp of the Mughals, and was buying and selling; and, in the leg of his boot, he had a knife in shape like a poniard A Mughal, who was trafficking with him, desired to seize him, but he laid hand on his knife, and drew it out of the leg of his boot. The Mughal stayed his hand from him, and Fakhr-ud-Dīn again placed his foot to the mountain, and returned in safety to the fortress.

The warning [here conveyed] is, that it behoveth not a man, in any case, to be passive in the matter of his own safety, particularly when in a place he may be holding parley with a foe, or be in the company of an enemy; and he should see to his own preservation for some useful purpose, and not be without a weapon; for the rest, the protection of the Most High God is sufficient to preserve whom He wills.*

Trustworthy persons have related that two hundred and

Workers in tim are not generally "leaders" of soldiers, and the word here used, viz., "Sipah-Sālārs," is that also applied to the commander of an army, but, of course, the context shows what is meant here.

^{*} Here is a good proof how wrong are the ideas of some persons as to the Musalmans and their religion, that all must be, and is left to fate, and that no effort must be made on their own parts to help themselves. Our author here describes the teachings of his religion

eighty men of note and heads of families, valiant' men, fell captive into the hands of the Mughals [upon this occasion]: and, such a disaster having befallen the people of Islam. there was not a dwelling [in the place] in which there was not mourning.10 On the occurrence of this misfortune the Mughal Nū-ins employed emissaries to propose that they [the people of the fort of Saif-rud] should ransom their own people; but Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, did not consent. When the Mughals understood that, on this occasion, the people of the fortress would not take the bait of treachery, they, on the following day, bound all the Musalmans who had become captive—ten and fifteen together-and killed them with sword-wounds, stones, and knives, until they made martyrs of the whole of them. The next day, the Mughals made preparations to renew the attack; and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, the night before the attack, gave directions so that all the great [blocks] of stone [lying about] on the face of the hill near the khāk-rez ' of the fortress were speedily placed in such a manner that the touch of a child would move them from their places and send them rolling down. More than a hundred great stones as big as mill-stones, and hand-millstones, fastened to beams of wood, at the extremity of each beam a millstone, they had drawn out, and those beams were fastened to the battlements of the fortress by ropes The whole of the men of the fortress were divided into two bodies. one half were concealed on the top of the ramparts, behind the battlements, and the other half outside the fortress, at the foot of the ramparts, behind the great blocks of stone. Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, enjoined that, until the sound of the kettledrums of the fortress arose, not a person should show himself.

All things having been arranged in this manner, at dawn the next morning, all at once, the Mughal forces—great and small, Amirs and common men, infidel Mughals and renegades, armed at all points—issued from their camp,

This tends to show what these forts were—in reality, fortified towns in themselves

¹⁰ The "Printed Text," which is so much to be depended on, and so very correct, upon occasions, has the last see page 1039, note 9.

and turned their faces towards the fortress. For example, there were more than 10,000 valiant men under shields, whom they brought upwards. The Musalmans had given them time, so that they ascended more than the distance of two arrow flights towards the fortress, and not a man of the Musalmans appeared in view. When between the infidels and the Musalmans about one hundred vards of the side of the hill remained, they beat the kettle-drums within the fortress, and the holy warriors and champions—leaders and common men-all raised a shout, cut away the millstones, beams, and ropes, and sent the great stones rolling down. Almighty God so willed it, that not a single individual among the infidel force should escape being killed. wounded, or disabled; and, from the summit * of the hill to the base of the same, Mughals and renegades lay prostrate together, and a great number of the Mughal grandees. Nū-īns, and Bahādurs, went to hell.

The remainder of the Mughal army arose and retired from before the foot of the fortress. This victory, bestowed through the grace of Almighty God, according to the promise. "It is a duty incumbent on Us to help the Believers"—took place on Thursday, in the year 620 H.

On Sunday, the 12th of the same month, they [the Mughals] sprung an ambuscade against the fortress of Tulak, and made determined attacks upon it: and, on

The words, or compound word, here used, differ considerably in different copies of the text, but one has plainly —another —and two others and jume respectively; and all three last are probably intended for the first, which is the name of a description of shield or buckler made of buffalo hide, and this would signify men under bucklers, as rendered above. The Printed Text has added to the control of the contr

Every copy has fort—who—instead of hill—ds—but the error is palpable. The Mughals were within about one hundred yards of the foot of the walls when the great stones were sent rolling down upon them, and they had no chance of gaining the top of the fortress. Had they been able to reach that they might have captured the place.

Here is one of the justly "vaunted impregnable castles and fortresses" which were not "without exception captured," as the Kāshghar Mission History informs us they were

The month is wanting in every copy of the text collated, but, from what has been stated at page 1065, that in the first month of the year 619 H. the Mughals set out to invest it the second time, and that this happened in 620 H., the fortress must have held out over a year.

As usual with our author, this circumstance he leaves out altogether in his

that day, the infidels lost great numbers of men killed

before that fortress; and then they retired.

When the infidel Mughals had withdrawn from Khurāsān, and the nibāl [mountain tracts] of Ghur and Khurāsān had become clear of that host, Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, resolved upon retiring into Hindustan, together with other Maliks of Ghūr, such, for example, as Malik Sarāj-ud-Din, 'Umr-i-Kharosh, from the territory of Jar, and Malik Saifud-Din, and others, all joined him, and, with their families and dependents, set out. By destiny's decree, a force from the infidel Mughals' main army was nominated [about this timel for the purpose of ravaging Khurāsān, and, at the head of that army, was a Mughal of note, whose name was Kazil Maniuk and it entered Khurāsān. From the side of Hirāt and Isfizār it advanced to the foot of the fortress of Tülak, and every Musalman the Mughals found within the fortress [of Saif-rūd] they martyred, or made captive. There they obtained information from the captives of the departure of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with other Maliks of Ghur, with their families and dependents, and their followers. They set out after the Ghuri forces, and, on the banks of the river Arghand, discovered them, engaged in constructing a bridge over that river, in order that they might pass over the troops, families and dependents, and effects. Suddenly and unexpectedly, the Mughals came upo i them. Malik Saif-ud-Din, with his followers, sought

account of the fortress of Tülak already given, but gives it here in the account of Saif-rūd, and, since the month is not mentioned in the preceding paragraph, it cannot be gathered from this. See page 1061

⁶ This term is both written Kharozhî and Kharozhtî, as well as Kharozh. See pages 433, and 493

I Thus in the best and in the greater number of copies of the text, but in others J = and J = respectively. It is some district in <u>Gh</u>ür evidently, but no such place has been before mentioned in this work

¶ Our author must mean from the side of Isfizar and Ḥirāt, as going from the latter to the former place, or in its direction, would be moving farther from the river Arghand The fortress of Saif-rūd must, from this, have been abandoned in a defenceless state

I Not the "rever Arghand-āb"—Urgundab, or Urghundab, is entirely out of the question, and, indeed, it may be said that no such river exists—āb itself means river and water, and we might as well say the river Arghand river or water, which is the real signification of "river Arghand-āb". The word Arghand signifies angry, full of rage, impetuous, hold, etc., and thus denotes what the river is

the protection of the hills, and so remained safe, and again retired towards the mountains of Ghūr. Malik Sarāj-ud-Din, 'Umr-i-Kharosh, stood to fight, and was martyred; and Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, by great stratagem,' dashed his horse into the river, and, with a few men, emerged from it [on the opposite bank]. All the rest of the Amirs of Ghūr, chieftains, and warriors, and the females, all attained martyrdom, including the sisters, daughters, and kinsfolk of Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain.

From thence the Mughal army returned again towards Ghūr and Khurāsān.

THE FALL OF THE FORT OF ASHIYAR, OF GHARJISTAN, AND OTHER FORTRESSES.

Trustworthy persons have narrated, that, when the Chingiz Khān determined to advance from his camp at the Pushtah [hill] of Nu'mān of Tāl-kān of Khurāsān' towards Ghaznin, he left behind there his baggage and heavy materials, and his treasures, because it was impossible for wheeled carriages to be taken into the defiles and passes

I Some of the best copies of the text have with, or after much fighting, and some others have with a numerous following, but I read it to be be much or great stratagem. The reason for so doing is that it is said that the Maik who stood to fight was killed, and that Maik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, with a few followers, reached the opposite bank. It is possible many persons may have been drowned in crossing, but our author does not say so. Maik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, had probably heard of Sultan Jalai-ud-Din's feat on the Indus, and here followed his sovereign's daring example.

It was this same Malik Kutb-ud-Din, Husain, who recovered the body of his wounded Sulfan, 'Alā-ud-Din, Utsur, mentioned at page 416, which see, and gained great renown in India. At last he fell a victim to ingratitude, or, as some state, intrigue on the part of Ulugh Khān. See pages 702, 798, and

The best Paris copy of the text always blunders at this name, respecting which there is not the shadow of a doubt, and turns it into "Ghristan:" the scribe appears to have imagined that Ghrizistan was meant. Here is another proof respecting the position of Tal-kan, and also another proof against a siege of any such fortress as Bāmiān, which is said to have stopped the Mughal Khān on his way to Ghaznin. No other author whosoever mentions his having left his heavy materials, baggage, and wheeled carriages, behind at this place, and no other writer enters into such interesting and valuable details respecting these strongholds, and the doings of the Mughals in these parts.

3 Gharjistăn is a district or province, once an independent principality of Khurāsān See page 341.

of Gharjistan, by reason of the very mountainous nature of that country, and the impracticability of the roads. When the Mughal army moved towards Ghaznin, only a small force was left behind for the protection of the heavy materials, baggage, and wheeled-carriages. The fortresses of Gharjistan of Khurāsan were near by, namely, the fortresses of Rang, and Bindar [Pindar], Balarwan, Laghri, Siyā-Khānah, Sabekji, and Ashiyār. The most of these fortresses are galleries on the faces of the mountains, in such wise that the rain falls upon the inhabitants of those strongholds, and springs of water flow forth in front of the galleries of [forming] these fortifications?

Within the fortress of Ashiyar was a Gharjah Amir of great determination and energy, and his name was Amir

4 In some copies of the text the name of this fortress is written who.—Rang, and in others who.—Zang The former appears the most correct, according to the most trustworthy copies of the text. See page 1003

Here, as at page 115, the name of this fortress is written in some of the less trustworthy copies of the text, Yalarwan, with 1 for — one has Bfrwän—and one مرواني which may be read in various ways. See also page 436 It is the stronghold in which Khusrau Malik, the last of the Mahmidi Sulfäns of Ghaznin, was confined, and, subsequently, put to death, together with his son, Bahräm Shäh, who was kept in captivity within the walls of Saif-ridd of Ghür.

⁶ A native of this place was feudatory of Lakhan-or in 642 H. See

page 739

The page 416, this fortress, in some copies, is styled whe Lands Satā-Khānah as well as which says-Khānah. Here, however, the different MSS, vary still more, for, whilst two of the three best [the oldest abruptly terminates at page 1026] here have which Shinā-Khānah, and which sa Shinā-Khānah, and some, the more modern copies, turn it into says Sangah, which is a totally different place, in Mandesh, not in Charjistān See pages 331 and 340

At page 363, the name of this fortress is as above, in the best copies of the text, and in others varies considerably, as stated in the foot-note, but here one of three oldest and best copies has what may be read either sanbagil or Sanbakil, or Sabangil or Sabankil, whist another of the three best copies has without any discritical points whatever Baihakil mentions a fort of Sabekin—as somewhere near Ghaznin, probably west of it, but the latter must be a different place

Our author has described these famous strongholds so plainly that, should ever an opportunity offer of exploring these parts, of which we know comparatively nothing, there will not be much difficulty, from their peculiarity, in finding them They appear to be excavations in the rocks something after the

fashion of the excavations near the present Bamian

¹ That is to say, a native of Gharjistan

Muhammad, the Maraghani. As there was a vast amount of wealth, and also innumerable captives, and numerous horses, in the Mughal camp[at the Pushtah-i-Nu'mān], Amir Muhammad-i-Maraghani, with a strong force, started from the fortress of Ashiyār, and seized upon as many wheeled carriages, laden with gold and other wealth, as he possibly could, from the Mughal camp, set a great number of captives free, and obtained possession of many horses. On one or two occasions Amir Muhammad performed such like feats of daring, and displayed similar determination.

When the Chingiz Khān set out from the territory of Gibari towards Turkistān, and despatched his son, Uktāe, towards Ghūr, Uktāe, that winter, fixed his camp between Firūz-koh and Ghaznīn, and sent out bodies of his forces in every direction, as has been previously recorded. The

2 See page 1003 He was the ancestor of the Kurat dynasty.

Which it is almost needless to state will not be found chronicled in any pro-Mughal history.

4 See page 1047

Strange to say, our author, although he refers in detail to the despatch of Uktäe with an army, never refers, in the most remote manner, to Chaghatäe and the force under him, nor will any reference to it be found under the reigns of Kabā-jah or I-yal-timish I will, therefore, notice, as briefly as possible, what the subsequent writers mention on the subject

Alarming accounts, as our author also mentions at page 1084, reached the Chingia Khān respecting the state of affairs in Tingkūt and Khiṭāe in consequence of his prolonged absence in the west, and that the Tingkūts and Khiṭā-ls were preparing to throw off the Mughal yoke. Having held counsel with his sons, the Nū-yīn, Karāchār [the ancestor of Amir Tīmūr], and other Nū-yīns and chiefs, he determined to despatch a force to endeavour to find out Sulṭān Jalā-ud-Dīn, wherever he might be, for his existence troubled him, and whose prowess and energy he feared. It was further determined that this army, which was to be very powerful, should push on as far as the limits of Kich and the Mukrānāt [i.e. the Mukrānā], and the frontiers of Hind. This army was put under the command of Chaghatāe, and he was directed to utterly devastate and ruin the countries through which he passed, in order that the Sulṭān might have no means of acquiring strength or resources, or of recovering himself, and be completely crippled.

A second army was to be placed under the command of Uktae, which was to advance from the valley of the river of Sind towards Ghaznin, and was to devastate the country in that direction, and so utterly destroy that city that there should be no more inducement for Sulfan Jalal-ud-Din to return there. But our author's account as given above, of the movements of this force, is much more clear. It was also intimated that, towards the close of the cold season, the great arda would be moved towards Turan Lamin

The army under Chaghatae, which was the most numerous, penetrated into Sind and the Mukranat, but, strange to say, not one of the pro-Mughal writers

Nû-in Abkah, who was the Amir of 10,000 Manjanih-chis

* See page 1047.

referred to above, and previously, says by what route it went, and no reference is made to it either under the reign of Kabā-jah or I-yal-timish. This army is said to have overrun the whole of the territories in question, and to have wintered [the winter of 619-20 H.—A D. 1222-23], within the limits of a territory named Kālinjar—ji—on the banks of the Sind river [but the name is also written—Lanjar—ji—Kanjar, and even——Lanjar, the letter——in the latter, however, is without points, and may be intended for j, ch, or kh. The Rauşat-us-Şafā calls it Kālanji—ji—ji, the ruler of which part of the country was the Sālar, Aḥmad, but who he was, and whether he was independent, or the feudatory of any sovereign, the chroniclers say not; and he is not known to the historians of Hind or Sind.

The Jämi'-ut-Tawārikh says he passed the kot season on the confines or frontiers of ow but this name, being without points, is unintelligible, and

that the ruler of this part was the Salar, Ahmad.

The fort in which Isrā'īl, the Saljūk, was imprisoned and died, referred to at page 117, and note a, is spelt like the first name mentioned, and with long a—الفر and the word in the Raugat-uş-Şafā may have been view originally. This fort lay, we are told, in the Multān province, and was subsequently called Talwarah, but the place where Chaghatāe's army wintered is said to have been on the banks of the Sind.

Whoever this Salar Ahmad was, he is said to have done his utmost to supply the requirements of the Mughals, and the subsistence of that great army. It, however, became prostrated with sickness through the unhealthiness of the climate [in the "Mongols Proper" this sickness, by mistake, is transferred to his father's army 'l, and also impeded with a vast number of captives, in such wise that, in every tent [or dwelling, or hut—the word used is khānak], there were from ten to twenty, or twenty to forty, and they had the task of bringing and preparing the food of the army. In this sickly state of his troops, Charhatae issued commands for each captive to clean 400 manns [of 4 sers or 8 lbs each] of rice—and this shows they were in a rice growing country—and the task was completed within the following week. His next command was to massacre the whole of these Hindus [sie in MSS], and, by the next mornmg, they were all killed, and their bodies lay about in great heaps. How unjust to call those times the dark ages! The Mughals, barbarians and infidels as they were, carried on war as it was carried on by "Christians" in the years of grace 1877 and 1878

Whether the object of this massacre was to prevent an outbreak among the captives in the weak state of his army, who can tell? Another strange thing is that, throughout the year 610 H, and in the hot season of 620 H, Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn was in the countries on the Indus which constitute the present Panjāb, had defeated the Khokhars, and afterwards gained their alliance, and had overthrown Sultān Nāṣur-ud-Dīn, Kabā-jah, before Uchchah Towards the latter part of 620 H, Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn had come by way of Multān and Uchchah to Shīwstān, the modern Sihwān, and into Lower Sind, remained there several months, sent an expedition as far east as Nahwālah in Guzarāt, and only moved from Sind, by way of Mukrān, in 621 H, on his way into Trāk, on hearing of the movement of a numerous army of Mughals, which, if the accounts of these witters are correct, must have been this very army. See

[catapult workers], he nominated to proceed [with his men]

note a, page 293. It is therefore difficult to imagine whereabouts "Kālinjar" was, "near the banks of the Sind," where Chaghatāe and his army could have passed the winter of 620 H., and still more so that he could obtain no information respecting the Sultān, as will be mentioned presently; he could not have searched very diagently for him. It would have been a grand opportunity for the Sultān to have fallen upon the Mughals had he known the state they were in.

To return to the movements of Chaghatäe. He, finding no trace of the Sultan or his whereabouts, as soon as his troops had somewhat recovered from their sickness, determined to return, and set out, accordingly, on his way back to Turan-Zamin. It is a long march from the territory of Mukran to the Hindu-Koh, and yet the Mughal historians say not one word respecting the route followed.

I find the author of the "Mongols Proper," pages 90 and 91, quoting Wolff on this very subject, but, as Wolff often makes strange statements, one of which is contained in the passage referred to under, and as he gives no authorities, his statements are not very rehable. The passage is this "While Jingis retired northwards his son Jagatai [this is the "Mongol Proper" name for Chaghatãe, probably] made a raid into Kerman in pursuit of Rokn-ud-din, a brother of Jalal-ud-din [1] He advanced as far as Tez [according to Abū-lsḥāk, the Istakhurī, Tiz is a seaport in Mukrān], on the borders of the Indian Ocean, passed through Beloochistan [which, being an entirely modern name, will not be found in any early author], where he wintered, and where he also lost a large number of his soldiers, and returned by the mountain land of the Afghans [this last clause of the sentence must also be Wolff's own The land of the Afghāns in that day was very small], where he was joined by Bela Noyan," etc., etc. See page 281, and note *.

I must now notice the proceedings of the army under Uktāe, which are but slightly alluded to by the writers I take this from; but our author supplies some details not mentioned by them, as they writing while in the employ of Mughal sovereigns, only cared to chromicle successes:

Üktäe, having marched from the valley of the Sind river, reached Ghaznin, and all the offers of submission and obedience tendered by its inhabitants were of no avail [the writers appear to have forgotten that they previously stated that, on his advance to the Indus, the Chingiz Khān had "left Mahmud, Yalwāj, at Ghaznin as his Dāroghah" What had become of him in the meantime?], because Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din was still alive, and a source of anxiety to his foes, who feared he would make head again; so Ghaznin was sacked and totally destroyed, its inhabitants massacred, and the parts through which he passed were devastated, and all buildings utterly destroyed Ghaznin never recovered this. Uktāe, after this feat, when the season arrived, proceeded by way of the Garm-sīr of Hirāt, and set out for Māwarā-un-Nahr. For the further movements of the Chingiz Khān and his sons on their return homeward, see page 1081.

Surgeon-Major Bellew, in his Käshghar Mission History, referring to "Changiz" sending his son "Aoktáy in mid-winter to Ghazm and Ghor, there to wipe out in the blood of the people the disaster his troops had suffered at the hands of Jahiluddin at Parwan," which he is said to have done so effectually during a "campaign of two years," that "the aboriginal Aryan stock" were annihilated, considers the Hazára "still pure Moghol in race type, and

against the fortress of Ashiyar, and that body advanced to the foot of that stronghold, and the attack commenced; and, for a considerable time, they assailed it.

When they found that, through the vast strength of the fortress, and the brave men [within it], it was impossible to take it [by force], they sat down before it [and blockaded it] for a period of fifteen months—but God knows best—and, through scarcity of provisions, the people within the fortress became reduced to great straits. As long as there were provisions and flesh, they used to consume them, and, when food of that kind failed, affairs reached such a pitch that they were wont to eat the flesh of whoever was killed, or who died, to that degree, that every person used to keep his killed and dead for curing and eating. Some have related after this manner—the narrators are responsible for correctness—that there was a woman of the ministrel class in the fortress of Ashiyār. She had a mother and a female slave. Her mother died, and she dried her body, and her

many of their customs," but who "know nought of their antecedents," as "the descendants of the army of occupation left there by him " History, however, shows that there were many of the so-called "Aryan stock" in that part for some centuries after Uktše's campaign, but it is not to be wondered at that they should be lost, when Tājatks are supposed to be Scythians, Dilazak Afghāns "Rajpúts" and "Buddhists," Kākar Afghāns Panjābī "Gukars," and the people of Irant descent to be "foreign Aryans." There is not the least proof, that I am aware of, that the Chingiz Khan left any of his Mughal troops in Ghur, but the direct contrary is shown by what our author states, and from the proceedings at the commencement of Uktae's reign. This "famous tribe of Hazára," as Mr Dowson styles them, without doubt, derive this "I designation," however incorrect in fact, from hazarahs [this is the mere Taixik rendering of the Turki ming, the name applied to bodies of Mughals. and others of Turkish descent, numbering a thousand men generally See page 1093] permanently located in the tract in question, but they were sent thither many years after, and about the same time that others, the descendants of whom now figure as the Chahar I-mak, were sent. One of the hasarahe moved into the part in question, from the territory of Balkh, was that of the Nú-vin Műkä of the tribe of Karāyit, but they were not Mughals, but Turks. and it was located round about Badghais, and in a short time increased considerably.

As to the "Hazárahs," so called, having "entirely lest their language," Elphinstone says, "Why, if they be Moguls, should they speak Toorkee?" See note at page 874. If some one acquainted with the history and traditions of the Turks, Tättärs, and Mughals, were to institute inquiries among some of their educated men, I have no doubt but shat they would be able to furnish us with sufficient information to trace their antecedents pretty clearly, or their descent, at least. I shall have more to say about them hereafter.

female slave likewise died, and she dried her body also. She sold the flesh of both of them, so that, from the two corpses, she acquired two hundred and fifty dinars of pure gold. At last she also died.

When a period of fifteen months and ten days had expired, about thirty men only remained alive within the fortress. They seized Amir Muhammad-i-Maraghani, and martyred him, and threw his head near to the camp of the Mughal forces, in hopes of their own deliverance. When the Mughal troops beheld this occurrence, they at once assaulted the fortress and took it, and martyred the whole of those within it.

During this period [of the investment of Ashiyār] they [the Mughals] captured the other fortresses of Gharjistār likewise, so that, during the year 619 H., all the strongholds of Gharjistān were taken; and they sated the hearts of the Mughals with slaughter.

May the Most High God continue the gates of victory and success open unto the servants of the kingdom of the present sovereign, Sultān Nāsir-ud-Dunyā wa ud Dīn, Abo-l-Muzaffar-i-Mahmūd Shāh, for the sak of His prophet and his race!

ACCOUNT OF THE RETURN OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN TOWARDS TURKISTÂN, AND HIS DEPARTURE TO HELL

Trustworthy persons have related that the Chingi Khān, at the time when he came into Khurāsān, wa sixty-five years old, a man of tall stature, of vigorous build robust in body, the hair on his face scanty and turne white, with cats' eyes, possessed of great energy, discern ment, genius, and understanding, awe-striking, a butcher just, resolute, an overthrower of enemies. intrepid, sangui nary, and cruel. The fact that there were astonishing things in several respects concerning him is sufficiently clear and apparent to all intelligent persons. In the first place, he was an adept in magic and deception, and som of the devils were his friends. Every now and again h

⁶ This date is simply impossible from his own previous and subsequer statements. The Chingiz Khān did not despatch Uktāe on this expeditio until 619 H, and, as Ashiyār is said to have held out over fifteen months 620 H, must be the year in which it fell, and the other fortresses likewise.

used to fall into a trance, and, in that state of insensibility, all sorts of things used to proceed from his tongue, and that state of trance used to be similar to that [previously mentioned], which had happened to him at the outset of his rise:7 and the devils who had power over him foretold his victories. The tunic and clothes, which he had on, and wore on the first occasion, were placed in a trunk, and sealed up: and he was wont to take them about with him Whenever this inspiration came over him, every circumstancevictories, undertakings, indication of enemies, defeat, and the reduction of countries—anything which he might desire, would all be uttered by his tongue. A person used to take the whole down in writing and enclose it in a bag, and place a scal upon it; and, when the Chingiz Khan came to his senses again, they used to read his utterances over to him one by one; and according to these he would act, and, more or less, indeed, the whole used to come true.

Besides this, he was well acquainted with the art of divination by means of the shoulder-bones of sheep; and he used continually to place shoulder-blades on the fire, and burn them, and in this manner he would discover the signs of the shoulder-blades, contrary to the shoulder-blade diviners of the 'Ajamī countries who inspect the shoulder-blade itself.* The Chingiz Khān moreover in [the ad-

See page 954

The Afghān, too, as well as some other Musalman people of Asia, used to practise this sort of divination. One of the Suff poets of Afghānitān—of the family of the notorious Pir-i-Tārik, or Pir-i-Roghān, as he styled himself, but not a pure Afghān—Mīrzā Khān, commences one of his mystical poems thus:—

[&]quot;When, with the mind, I examined the shoulder-bone of prediction, I saw that, within unity's area, the community of plenitude dwelleth," etc.

The shoulder-bone of an animal, but more particularly that of a sheep, which, like the Mughals, they also read their augures by, is termed walary in Pushto; but the Afghāns do not burn the bone, and merely draw their conclusions from the signs they pretend they see in it. See my "POETRY OF THE AFGHĀNS," London, 1867, page 58.

Rubruquis in his narrative says that on Septuagesima, when they all went in procession to Mangū's dwelling [khargah or felt tent], "as they entered, they saw a servant carrying out the shoulder-bones of rains, burnt black. These he consults on all occasions, be they ever so trivial; as whether he shall admit such a person into his presence. The method is this: he calls for three bones, then, holding them, thinks whether he shall do what he proposed or not. Then he delivers them to be burnt, which is done in two little apartments for

ministration of] justice was such, that, throughout his whole camp, it was impossible for any person to take up a fallen whip from the ground except he were the owner of it; and, throughout his whole army, no one could give indication of [the existence of] lying and theft. If any woman that they [the Mughals] took in all Khurāsān and the land of 'Ajam had a husband, no living being would form a connexion with her; and, if an infidel [a Mughal] set his eyes upon a woman who had a husband, he would [first] slay the husband of the woman, and then would form a connexion with her.' It used to be impossible for falsehood to be spoken, and this fact is clear.

ANECDOTE.

In the year 618 H., the writer of this TABAKĀT, Minhāji-Sarāj, returned from Timrān towards <u>Gh</u>ūr. In the fortress of Sangah, which they style Akhūl Mānt, he saw Malik Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥusain ladul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād. Suddenly, his brother, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, [Ḥasan], Ḥabashī-1-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, to whom they [the Mughals] had given the title of Khusrau of Ghūr—mention of whom has been previously recorded—with the permission of the Chingiz Khān, returned to Ghūr from Tāl-kān; and from him this anecdote was heard.

He stated: "On a certain occasion we came forth from

tents?] near his dwelling. When they are black, they carry them to the Khân, who looks at them; and, if they be cleft lengthways, he may do it (it is enough if one of them be cleft), if across, or round pieces have flown out of them, he must not."

This perhaps is the style of justice the Chingiz Khan was endowed with, which our author refers to-murder a man first, and take his wife after!

as above, in some Khūl Mānī—بول ماني—but in other copies it is written احول ماني and احول ماني Mānī, among other significations, means uncommon, rare, matchless, but what the first word may signify is doubtful, and is not mentioned in councilon with Sangah in other places in this work

In some copies, Hasan, but his brother, Tāj-ud-Dīn, is styled Ḥasan in other places, and this brother, Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ḥusam. 'Abd-ul-Malik is evidently their father's title Ḥabashī is merely a nickname. See pages 368, 394, 1002, and 1006.

³ Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, from the camp at the Pushtah-1-Nu'mān. What our author says is a clear indication of its whereabouts.

the presence of the Chingiz Khan and sat down in a tent.4 Uklan, the Juzbi, along with whom I had come, together with some other Nu-ins. were also seated there: and the greatest in rank among them all was the luzbi. Uklan. Some persons brought thither two Mughals who, the previous night, when on guard around about the camp, had gone to sleep [upon their post] Uklan, the Juzbi, asked: 'What Mughal has brought them?' The Mughal who had done so bent the knee, and replied: 'I have brought them.' The former inquired; 'What offence have they been guilty of? State it.' He replied: 'These two men were mounted on horseback, and I was going my rounds and examining the guards. I came up to them, and found them both asleep. I struck their horses over their heads with a whip to let them [the riders] know they were culprits for being asleep; and I passed on. This day I have brought them up.' Uklan, turning his face towards those two Mughals, said: 'Were ye asleep?' They both acknowledged it, saving: 'We were.' He commanded, saying: 'Put one of them to death, and fasten his head to the locks of the other, and parade the latter round the whole camp, and then put him to death also.' They faccusers and accused] all made their obeisance, and, at once, [the former) carried out the command. I was riveted in astonishment, and said to Uklan, the Juzbi . There was no evidence or proof on the part of that Mughal [the accuser]. and, when they [the accused] were well aware that the punishment would be death, why did they confess? for, if they had denied [the charge], they would have escaped being killed.' Uklan, the Juzbi, said: 'Why are you asto-

A Tattar or Mughal thergan or tent probably, consisting of felt supported

on props For a description of them see Rubruquis.

a Uklän and Ughlän are equally correct—the letters k and gh are interchangeable. He was an Ülkünüt Kungkür-ät Mughal, brother of the Juzbi, Sukätü or Sugätü, who commanded the Ülkünüt sung or hazarah, and brother of the Juzbi, Tülän. They were brothers of the Bat Tingri, Kokjü, and were the sons of the Nü-yän Manglik, who married the Chingiz Khān's mother. The term juzbi is said to mean true-hearted, and sincere, but our author gives it another meaning. See page 979.

⁶ John de Plano Carpmi says. "They [the Mughals] shave the crown of the head. They braid their hair behind in two locks, binding each behind the ear. . . . They highly reverence their lords, and never tell them a false-hood."

nished? You, Tājziks, do such things, and tell lies. A Mughal, were a thousand lives at stake, would choose being killed, but would not speak false; but false speaking is your occupation, and, on account of such things, it is that Almighty God hath sent a calamity like us upon you [Tājziks]."

I have again returned to the relation of this history

When the Chingiz Khān, after Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din, Mangbarni, Khwārazm Shāh, was defeated, set out in pursuit of the Ighrākis, for Gibari, for a period of three months he halted among those mountains. He used to go out hunting, and for the purpose of coming towards Hindūstān, used, continually, to burn shoulder-blades [of sheep], but used not to obtain permission [from the prognostications], and used not to perceive therefrom omens of victory. His purpose in entering Hindūstān was that, mayhap, he might return back into Chīn by way of Lakhaṇawati and Kāmrūd; and, as he used not, from the portents of the shoulder-blades, to obtain dispensation to do so, he used to delay.

⁷ Here the word Tājgīk is applied to the people of 'Ajam generally, whom the Mughais had a contemptible opinion of, and not to Ghūrīs only. Our author also informs us what Tājīk or Tājgīk signifies, and, in the face of such an authority, and a Tājgīk himself, it is amusing to find that Surgeon Major Bellew has discovered, according to the statement of Capt T. C. Plowden, B. S. C., in his translation of a book entitled "Kalid-i-Afghāni," that they are "a Scythiam people, the aborigines of Afghāniidan, they still abound there, as well as in Persia and Turkistān." In his last book, entitled "Afghan istan and the Afghans," page 222, the Doctor has the following on the same subject. "Another principal people of Afghānistān is the Tājik or Tājik Thi term means Arabian, and is applied to anything of Arab origin. . . . But the offspring and descendants of Arabs who married women of the country in which they settled are called Tāsik or Tājik"! See also note at page 1076, and note ", page 304.

In the most trustworthy copies של שני your occupation," as above : m others, " the business of women."

See the account of Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Din, the Khalj, in Lakhanawatī, pages 560—568.

¹ His superstition therefore may be said to have saved India from sharing the fate of other countries, although it is probable he would have met with more combined and systematic opposition there.

In the spring of the year 620 H [the spring of 1223 A.D.] the Chingis Khān resolved to move, for the reasons stated in a previous note, towards his native yierd in Mughalistān, taking the same route as he had entered the Chaznin territory by, through Bāmiān and Tukhānstān, and marched to Buklān, or Bughlān, both being cornect, where his Ughrūk [the families, the waggons, heavy baggage, felt tents, etc.] had been sent on his advance towards

Unexpectedly, swift messengers reached him from Tam-

Ghaznin. Alfi says he moved from the vicinity of Parahāwar, by way of the mountains of Nāmiān [sic in MSS], but Bāmiān must be meant], and that the Ughrūk was ordered to march from Buklān to join him on the way to Samrakand. At page 1074, our author plainly states where his Ughrūk was left, and that he conversed with a person who had but recently left it [page 1079], and his statement with regard to it cannot be doubted in the least. It was left at the Pughtah i-Nu'mān between Tāl-kān and Balkh. The pro-Mughal writers, having made the great blunder of mistaking Tāe-kān of Kundūz for Tāl-kān of Khurāsān, make all other places agree with it, as in the case of Andarāb, previously referred to. The Chingiz Khān may have had his heavy baggage, waggons, and war materials removed from the Pughtah-i-Nu'mān to Buklān subsequently, after he had determined to return by the same route by which he had come, and most probably after the attacks made upon them by the Gharjah chief, as related at page 1073.

To return, however, to the pro-Mughal accounts. The whole of his forces being concentrated there [at Buklan], the Chingiz Khan continued encamped in the pleasant pasture-lands thereabout during the summer [of 620 H -1223 A.D], and, when autumn came round, having appointed Daroghans to the different cities of I-ran-Zamin, despatched them [1] Troops, too, would have been required, but none are mentioned, and the subsequent proceedings, after his death, prove that no Mughal troops were left behind in I-ran-Zamin, i e., west of the Jihun, and it is very doubtful whether any Daroghahs were. In the beginning of autumn he crossed the Jihun, and marched towards Samrkand, in the vicinity of which he encamped, and there passed the winter [620-621 II = A D 1223-1224] From Samrkand, Jujf, who, since the investment of the capital of Khwarazm, was ill-inclined towards his brother Chaghatae-ou author, however, tells the tale differently from the pro-Mughal historians, as will be seen farther on-and had continued to remain in the Daght-1-Killschäk, which had been assigned to his charge, was directed to move, with a portion of his forces, and to keep along the skirts of the mountains to drive the game before him, as a grand hunt was proposed farther in advance.

Chaghatae and Uktae took up their quarters during that winter near Bukhārā, and devoted themselves to fowling and hunting, and sent weekly to their father 50 Ahar-wars of game When the spring of 621 H set in, the Chingiz Khan moved towards Turkistan, and now he showed his fiendish nature in its true colours He compelled the unfortunate Turkan Khatun, the aged mother of the late Sulfan, and the ladies of his family-his wives and daughters, and to whom had been also added the females of Sulfan Jalal-ud-Din's family captured after the battle on the Sand-to wend their way on foot [some authors say bare footed] and bare-headed, in front of his troops on the line of march, and to rais lamentation, as they went along, on the downfall and humiliation of their empire, and the death of those Sulfans, and this they were compelled to do until they reached his yurat, in order, as he affirmed, that people might take warning therefrom This again was partly the innate hostility of Mughals against the other Turks Turkan Khātun lived on in this miserable state until 630 н, when death relieved her

Advancing by regular marches, the Chingiz Khān reached the Siḥūn, after which Uktāe and Chaghatāe also joined him from their expeditions, and, when he reached a place named Kulān Yāzī—ياري الرياسية but this name is

ghāj and Tingit, and gave intelligence that the whole

written Kulan Bazi, and Kulan Tazi, in as many different authors—supposed to be situated in the vicinity of Fanikat, but, apparently, farther N E., Iuif. from the direction of the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, drew near, driving the game on his side before him The Chingiz Khan now moved towards him, the two half-circles of troops dispersed for the purpose, drawing gradually closer together, and reached a place named Akabar or Akabar all-or Akairand styled Uka-les -in the Raugat-us-Şafā [and Oukâcır-Akāir -by Pétis de la Croix, but on what authority does not appear, as, in this particular part of his History of "Genghizcan the Great," he gives none, and makes it out to be "the city of Toncat," where subsequently the kuriliae or diet was held, but m no history with which I am acquainted, and such as I have named, is any reference made to any city, and such a city as Tonkat or Toncat is never referred to]. The Chingiz Khan now mounted and entered the circle to enjoy the sport, and after he was satisfied his sons were permitted to do the same, and subsequently the great chiefs. The sport over, the remainder of the animals received a brand on one of the thighs, and were allowed to escape After this Jult presented himself on bended knee, with offerings for his father's acceptance, among which were 100,000 horses, every 20,000 of which were of different colours-dappled grey, white, pichald, bay, and black, his father's troops being in want of horses

The Chingiz Khan continued encamped in this place during the summer of this year f621 H l, and, all his sons and Amirs having joined him from all parts, including Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah], he now held a great kuriliae or assembly He distributed honours and rewards, and put to death a number of the 1-ghur chiefs, why is not said, but it no doubt had reference. in some way, to the dismissal of the ulus of the Yiddī-Kūt, mentioned in note 1, page 1101, and evidently refers to what the Tärikh-i-Iahān-gir mentions in a few words, that, on his arrival in this part, he received the submission of the petty rulers around, but that some, who, at the outset, were the first to submit to him, now showed symptoms of hostility, and a body of troops had to be sent to coerce them Their names are not given. Just was now allowed to return to his government of the Dasht-1-Kibchāk, and, in the last month of the year 621 H [Dec.-Jan, 1225 A.D], after an absence of seven years, the Chingiz Khan reached his native yūrat in Mughalistan—the Chinese say, on the banks of the Tula nver-and again enjoyed the society of his wives and children

At this point I come to a very amusing matter, and which also is a specimen of history-writing taken from translations often second-hand, a somewhat dangerous course of procedure. At page 92 of "Mongols Proper," the author, immediately after stating that "Jagatai and Ogotai went to hunt Kukus and Karaguls (i.e. wild swans and antelopes)"—I have already stated how Chaghatãe and Uktãe employed the winter near Bukhārā—says that, "On the banks of the Imil he (Jingis) was met by two of his grandsons, afterwards very celebrated, namely, Kubilai [he is afterwards styled Khubilai] and Khulagu, one eleven and the other nine years old. They had killed their first game, and, according to Mongol custom, Jingis priched their middle fingers to mux some blood unth their food and drink, a kind of baptism of the chase. Afterwards he gave his army a fête, at a place called Buka Suchiku, and reached his Ordu or home [camp?] in the month of February, 1225." This appears to have been taken from Erdmann, and, at page 99, this fête is again

territories of Chin, Tamghāj, and Tingit, were in a state of revolt, and that, on account of the very great distance [of the Chingiz Khān from the scene], those kingdoms were about to pass out of the hands of the Mughal governors. The Chingiz Khān, on account of this information, became anxious in mind, and he consequently set out on his return by way of Lob and the country of Tibbat.²

referred to as a "grand reception," as though a wholly different affair; and, in a note at page 716, on "Jinjis Khan" crossing the Jaxartes, and before the "Kukus and Karaguls" [the karguwal, by-the-bye, is a pheasant, and Shaw, I believe, brought some specimens with him from Turkistān] are referred to, the writer says, "Before leaving Transoxianah Jingis, who had been joined by his sons, siems to have held a grand fite at Bewaket or Tonkat. This was in 1224. It is described by De la Croix, but his description is a mere rhetorical display without facts." Now, considering that the author of the "Mongels Proper" has referred to this very "fête" in two other places, and as happening at two different times, and in two different localities, on which side have we "mere rhetorical display without facts"?

The facts of the "baptism of the chase" are these, and no doubt Erdmann, in some way, derived them from the same original source whence also I take mine—"When the Chingir Khān reached the neighbourhood of his native years older the same, Hulkkū Khān was nine years old, and Kūbilā Khān two years older. They both came out to meet him [their grandfather], and, by the way, Kūbilā had captured a hare, and Hulkū a small deer [with dogs, probably]; and, as it is a custom among the Mughais, on the first occasion of boys capturing game, to anoint the middle finger with flesh and fat of the game, which anointing is termed "bala—aghāmeshī—the Chingir Khān anointed the fingers of his grandsons himself, petted them much, and gave feasts and banquets to celebrate the event"

The winter of 622 H [A D 1224-5] was passed by the Chingiz Khan in pleasure and jollity in his own yūrat, but, during this time, news reached him of the hostility of Shidarku, the Hakim or ruler of Kashin, who had assembled a vast army, intending to throw off the Mughal yoke The historians I quote from appear to have lost sight of the fact that the alarming state of the Tingkut country, or Kashin, as it is also called, and the revolt there, had, as our author says above, brought the Mughal sovereign back from west of the Jihun The Chingu Khan now re-assembled his forces, and commenced his march towards the territory of Kashin It was determined that Chaghatae, with his forces, should guard the rear of the arda, or, in other words, form the reserve Tuli, through one of his Khātuns being attacked with small-pox, was unable to accompany his father, and followed some time after, but Uktae accompanied In this same year likewise, and about this time, the news of the death of his eldest son, Juji, in the Dasht-i-Kibchāk, reached him. The sons of Uktāe, Kūtān, our author's Kutan, and Kıwak, were now sent back to the yūrat under the care of a trusty person.

What follows next m the account of the Chingu Khān's movements before his death, in the writers I am quoting, is so different from our author's accounts, that I must make that subject the matter of another note.

² These names vary considerably in the different copies of the text, but the above rendering is without doubt correct, though it is only by comparing the

When he reached that territory [Tingit], there was a Khān in the country of Tingit, a man of great energy and intrepidity, and he had an army and munitions and war materials beyond computation; and, on account of the multitude of his troops, the power of his servants, the amplitude of his dominions, the vastness of his riches, wealth, and treasures, he had assumed to himself the name of "the Tingri Khān." On several occasions the Mughal troops

whole of the copies that it could be arrived at. It is also confirmed by others. The best copies have أوب و بلاد بهت some أوب و بلاد بهت others أوب و بلاد بهت and تست and المناسبة

The explorations of Col Prejevalsky about Lob Nāwar and the mountainrange to the south, the existence of which some people had the assurance altogether to ignore, confirm the correctness of our author's statement, and

extent of his information, and also that possessed by the Jesuits

³ Our author's account of the events of this period differs considerably from that of the other Muhammadan writers who followed him, and who appear unable, or unwilling, to write aught unpalatable to the Mughal rulers, whose subjects and employer they were, and is also very different from the Chinese annals of Gambil and others. Passing over the little episode respecting the milk-coloured blood of the Tingri Khān, which is much after the fashion of the "Saga-loving Ssanang Setzen's" childish fables, of "the brown-coloured dog with a black muzzle which could prophesy," and the like, the accounts our author gives appear well worthy of credence, and are, no doubt, such as were related to him, as in other instances, probably, by actors in the events he records

We may therefore receive with some reserve the statements of the pro-Mughal writers who followed our author, and be somewhat sceptical as to the defeats sustained by the Tingri Khān, Shidarkū, on the previous occasions as related by them [See note at page 949], for, had that ruler been reduced to such a state of helplessness, as they mention, how could be have again managed to acquire such power, and assemble such an immense army?

The following is, briefly, what the other, and subsequent Musalman

writers say on the subject

The Chingiz Khān having reached the territory of Tingkūt, otherwise called Kāshīn, succeeded in possessing himself of the cities of Kām-jīw, Kā-jū, Sujū, and Arūmī or Urūmī, and invested the city of Tingāī or Tangāī— 💯 [this is the same doubtless as the Ning-hya of the Chinese, as [22] t and [22] n may be easily mistaken in MSS], and set it on fire in several places. Shīdarkū— 222—the Tingrī Khān of our author, and Shīdāskū of some other writers, and the Ly-Hyen of the Chinese, but never styled "Khakān" in any history I have met with—the Bādṣhān of Kāṣhīn, whom, in the language of Tingkūt, they style by the title of Lī-wān— 122— 144 like Liyau of Europeans] moved from his capital, which, in the Tingkūt language, they style Īrkī or Īrikī— 124—and the Mughals call Īrkīā, or Īrikīā—124—and which is also written Īrkīah—1241—with fifty tomāns of troops—500,000—[this lin a one-sided statement it must be remembered], and advanced to encounter the Mughal sovereign, who, likewise, made ready to meet him. When they came in contact a desperate battle ensued, and such a vast number were

had invaded his territory, but had not overcome him or

sign on the side of Shidarkū, but some say, as is most probable, on either side, that three corpus were jound, after the battle, standing on their heads! Among the Mughals it has become firmly established [in their minds?] that, for every ten tomāni—100,000 persons—slain on the battle-field, one of the killed stands on its head [sic in MSS.] The author of the "Mongols Proper" (p. 102) has got hold of this fable through some foreign translation, but the translator has made a multille of it. Certainly "the great Raschid" never made such an error in telling it. Mr. Howorth's version of it is as follows. "The story of Raschid about the man standing on his head is explained by D'Ohsson, who says, that, when the Mongols slaughtered a large number of people, in order to mark the number of the slain, a census in which they gloried, they put a corpus on its head on some elevated point for every thousand killed."! There is nothing like a bold translation perhaps when a person may be in doubt.

At length, Shidarku, unable to make any further resistance, took to flight, much to the joy of the Mughals, who considered themselves fortunate in obtaining this success, and shut himself up in his stronghold, the city of Īriķī or Irikia, but which Abu-l-Ghael, Bahadur, in the Kazan edition of his work, styles Kächti The Chingia Khan remarked that, as Shidarku had been so utterly defeated in this battle, and his territory devastated, he would have no more strength left to him, since great part of his troops had been slain So, holding him of little importance, and passing his city without molesting it [he must have left a force to watch it], but plundering, slaughtering, and devastating the territory of Kashin, the Chingia Khan turned his face towards Khita, and, when spring came round, he determined to move against the territones of Tingnash-نگائي-[See thard para farther on] and Khurjah-نگائيbut, before he could carry out his intentions respecting them, he had an awful dream which warned him that his end was near, and he became very much agitated in mind in consequence. He is also said to have received intimation about this time of the death of the Khalifah, Un-Naşır B'illah, who died in Shawwal, 622 11 When he awoke from his dream, he inquired of Baisuka Aka. his nephew, the son of Juji Kasar, who was in attendance : "Are my sons Ukiāc and Tuli distant or near?" As they were in their own wedge, with their forces, Baisûkû Aka replied that they might not be more than two or three farrangs distant. The Khan said: "Let them bring them hither:" and, when they presented themselves the following day, along with the great Amirs, after partaking of the morning meal, the Chingiz Khan turned his "I have some counsel to hold with face towards the assemblage and said my sons, and a confidential matter which I wish to communicate to them, and desire to be private with them for a short time."

When the Amirs and others who were then present withdrew, the Chingse Khān turned towards his sons and said. "My beloved ones, the time approaches for me to take my last journey, and the period of my dissolution is at hand? By the power of the Almighty, and the aid of Providence, I have acquired and consolidated [not very consolidated west of the Jibūn, at least, and in very few, if in any, places had intendants even been established, much less troops located, at this period, but certainly there were ample proofs of the butchery and desolation he and his barbarian hordes had committed? for you an empire, so extensive, that from one sade of it to the other is one year's journey. I wish to ask of you who, by your counsel, is

subdued his country, and many times he had overcome the

the person most befitting to succeed me " Uktae, Chaghatae, and Tuli-for he was also present according to some of my authorities, but Just had recently died in the Dasht-1-Kibchak-bent the knee, and replied :- "Our father is sovereign, and we are his servants, and will obey what he commands." The Great Khan replied . "I have implicit faith, in all things, in the wisdom and experience of Karachar, and desire his opinion, and whom he approves of I will appoint." Having received the opinion of that Nu-yin, the Chingis Khan directed that the Covenant entered into in by-gone times by Kabal Khan and the Bahadur, Ka-juli, bearing the Al-Tamghah of Tumna-t Khān, which had descended to him from his ancestors, and to which his forefathers had also appended their names [see the note on the Turks at page 8961 should be brought from the treasury. This having been done, it was shown to his sons, and he continued .- "I name Uktae as Khan, and appoint him my successor, and make over the throne to him. Do ye likewise act in accord one with another, and enter likewise into a Covenant that ye will not deviate from his commands, and that ye will attend his kuriltaes " This they did, and the Covenant was attested by the Amirs and Ministers. He also requested that the mother of Uktae, Bürtah Küchin, should exercise the sovereign authority over the ulūsīs until such time as a kūrlītāe should assemble to confirm Uktäe's succession, which would be two years. He turther commanded that, as the countries of Mawara-un-Nahr and other territories adjoining it had previously been assigned by him to Chaghatle, and as there were ancient [sic in MSS] foes still existing between I-ran and Türan, namely Sultan Jalal-ud-Din and his brother, he would make over Chaghatae to the paternal charge of Karachar; and urged that Nü-yin to act towards his son as he had acted before towards himself, his father, and continue to give Chaghatae the benefit of his assistance in the government of the affairs of his dominions. He also caused Chaghatae and Karachar to enter into a Covenant as father and son; and the last-mentioned Covenant was made over to Chaghatae's charge, and that previously mentioned, between the brothers, to Uktae "The Great Khan further requested, that, when his cleath should happen, no lamentations whatever were to be made, and that it should be kept a profound secret ["the ruling passion" of treachery was 'strong even in death']; and that as soon as Shidarku, the king of Kashin, should leave his city and come to the Mughal camp, as he had agreed to do, he should be put, at once, to death, in order that firm possession of his territory might be secured. Having said this, he closed his eyes, and thou mightest have said that the Chingiz Khan had never existed."

Alfi, quoting Hāfiz Abrū, and other authorities, differs considerably from the above in some points. It states that, after settling the succession, at which Chaghatäe was not present, the Chingiz Khān requested his sons, Uktāe and Tūlī, to return to their own tribes and territories, that is such tribes and countries as had been entrusted to them, lest Chaghatāe, who was not present, might not act according to his father's commands, and might raise aedition in the empire; and he further urged them, for the sake of his good name and fame, to observe his laws and regulations.

Uktāe and Tūlī took leave of their father, and returned to their respective posts, while the Chinguz Khān, with a numerous army, marched towards the country of Tingnāsh تكافي [which may even be more correctly Ningāish الكافي It is written in various ways The Raugat-uş-Ṣalā has Tang-

Chingiz Khān in battle At the period that the Chingiz

but the first mode - مكاهى - while others have Biktish- كاعلى of writing is contained in the majority of trustworthy writers], and Khūrjah When he reached them, the Badshahs of those countries were ready to become tributary, and to submit to him. On reaching a place named Liwak shan الوق عال which is on the fronticr boundary between Kharjah, Tingnash, or Biktash, and Tingkut, the Badshah-also styled Walf-of Khurjah Ithe Kin emperor according to the translations from the Chinese annals, but from what subsequently happens in the reigns of Uktie and Manga this is contrary to fact] despatched envoys, with numerous and valuable presents for his acceptance, among which was a bowl of the finest pearls, and to tender their sovereign's submission and obedience. The Chingiz Khin commanded that such among those present at that time in his assembly, as had their eas bored, should be presented with perils, while those, whose eas were not already bored, had them bored very quickly, and received pearls also . and, notwithstanding this, a gicat number of pearls remained undistributed The Chingia Khan commanded, saving, "It is a day of largess let the pearls be scattered that people may pick them up ". This was done, and, in consequence, a number of pearls were lost in the ground, and for a long time after that perils used to be found there

About this time Shiduku, Budshih of Kushin, who had shut himself up in his capital, Ittikia, itikia, or Itikiah, sent in cavoy to the Chingia Khin to niting it that, if the Mughal Khin would enter into a Covenant with his sipulating for his suffix and month, come in person to his sudii, and present pesh kash, which is equivalent to doing homage. The Chingia Khan gave the required guarantees, and confirmed them with most solemn oaths, and the envoy departed

After the cavoy had gone, the Chingiz Khan was taken ill, and grew evic sively weak, and, from in awful dream which he had, warning him of his approaching death, he was much disturbed It was at this time. according to the authority I have named, that he sent for his sons, and appointed his accessor, the remainder agrees with the statements of other writers. This death, as he desired, was kept a profound secret, and, when Shidacků Bidshah of Lingkût or Kashin-he is styled so indiscriminatelyaccording to the terms agreed upon, left his capital, the city of Irtākīā, and diew non the Mughal camp, the Nü-yins and Amirs came forth to receive him, and escorted him and his train, as though about to lead him to the presence of the Chingiz Khan, but, on their arriving within a short distance of the widu, a body of Mughals, posted for the purpose, fell upon Shidarkii and his followers, and butchered the whole of them An army was then despatched to Irtakia, which the Mughels entered, plundered, and massacred its inhabitants, and then desolated the country round. Such is Alfi's account

The death of the Ching.2 Khan took place on the 4th of Ramagan, 624 H, in the Turkish year of Tunguz or the Hog, which was the year of his birth, his according the throne, and of his decease, which last date is equivalent to the 16th of August, 1227 A D. A few writers say 623 H. He had reigned 25 years, and his age was 75 some authors say 73, but, as he was born on the 20th of Zi-Ka'dah, 549 H [See note, page 398], he was exactly 75 years, a month, and to days old [our author says he was 65 when he came into Khurasan See page 1077], whatever 4bū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, or Father Gaubii have said to the contrary, and he was certainly too old to have

1089

Khan returned from the land of 'Ajam, and the countries

" coveted" the wife of the so-called "Shidurgho," as we are told he did in "Mongols Proper."

Having carried out their father's last instructions, the sons of the deceased Khān proceeded to perform the funeral ceremonies according to the custom of their people. There was no secreey whatever after Shidarkii had been put to death, and his capital secured; and there was no killing every one they met

Bentinck censures Marco Polo for relating, that, "in his time, the Tariars were accustomed, at the funerals of their Khāns, to slay all those they met in the way, and that they slew all whom they met on the way to the place appointed for the sepulchre of Jenghiz Khān; and that, a little before [true a little before] his arrival in Grand Tariary, there had been 20,000 persons massacred in that manner, at the interment of Mangu Khān, grandson of the conqueror." Bentinck further remarks, and quite correctly too, that none of the Lastern authors, who have written on the Tariars [Mughals 2], charge them with "such an abominable custom." He adds, that "in Grand Tariary."—he means Mughalistān and the Mughals—the inhabitants live so dispersed in their khargāhs or huts, that one might travel several hundred leagues without meeting a thousand. Polo too kills the Chingiz Khān six years only after his defeat of the "Um." Khān, as he styles the Awang Khān, and asserts that he was shot in the knee by an arrow before the castle of Thaigin !

Pétis de la Croix who often quotes "the great Raschid," very correctly says [page 382] "There is no likelihood that the barbarous custom, which has since been practised amongst the Tarker and Moguli, to kill those they meet in the way, when they are carrying to the grave the body of a Grand Can, was at this time observed; for the historians mention no suck thing, and, besides, this custom is not countenanced by the law." The custom of burial among the Mughals is given in detail by our author farther on

After performing the funeral ceremonies—the preliminary mourning—the ber of the Great Khan was taken up, and his army set out on their return homeward, and the bier was in due time conveyed to his urdis in the locality of his ancient yerat, which was "within the limits of," not at, Kara-Kuram. The corpse was finally buried at the foot of a large and solitary tree, under which, one day, when following the chase-not when he was "ill"-he had rested. and at which time he remarked . "This place is suitable for my sepulchre." The place in question is called Bulkan Kaldun, according to some authorities. and Bürkan Kaldun by others, including Abü-ul-Ghazi, Bahadur Khan, which is merely the change of / for r After the burial, the place was proscribed against intrusion from one generation to another, the word used to denote it is قروق dr "an 'Arabic word signifying "confiscated," "prohibited," "embargo," "the exclusive or especially "ban," etc., and it was called the بيكه قروق the exclusive or prohibited place," which words appear to be the translation of Bürkan Kāldun The Tā-ishi, Yasu Bukā, the Ühud Urmangkut of the race of Kaian, was the Korchi or guardian of the spot, which guardianship appertained exclusively to his tribe, who were, in consequence, exempted from all other duties and services.

It is blewise stated, as a wonderful fact, that, in that same year, that plain ————thus showing that it was a plain, and neither "a mountain" nor "a cave"—became totally destitute of grass on account of the numerous trees of various kinds which grew up therein, and soon became such a dense forest

of Islam, this Tingri Khan held counsel with his Maliks

that one could not pass through ut; and, the place being alike maccessible and interdicted from curiosity, the exact whereabouts of the burial-place of the Chingiz Khān became wholly unknown Tūti his son, who died about four years after, was also buried there. The Habib-us-Siyar distinctly states that the Chingiz Khān's body was taken back to Karā-Kuram and buried in that neighbourhood, and that no human being was permitted to invade the spot, and this agrees with what other authors state, as given above, and the burial customs of the Mughals

It is amusing to read, in the face of the statements of authors who wrote their histories in the territories of the Mughal sovereigns, with the best means of obtaining information on such a point, and about which there is absolutely nothing to conceal, the various theories of European writers. Pétis de la Croix, after stating that the spot was proscribed from the visitation of any one, says "I hey buried him there [under the tree] with all the pompous ceremonies of the Mogul religion, and afterwards erected a most noble monument in this Place upon his Grave"! Where is the native historian who ever said so, or whoever once mentioned such things as "the pompous ceremonies of the Mogal religion"? All this is purely imaginary Again he says "The people, who came to visit the Tomb, planted other Trees round it, which so artfully covered it, and in such beautiful Order, as rendered it in time one of the finest Monuments in the World"! He, however, quotes no authorities for these highly-coloured statements, and, moreover, buries him in " Tangut," which is totally incorrect.

Gaubil says he was buried "In the cave of Ki-nyen, in a mountain to the north of the sandy devert, and that his posterity were also buried there. Several Mughal lords of his posterity, whom he met at Pekin, he says, informed him that the Chingiz Khān was buried on the mountain of Han, in Lat. 47° 54', Long. 9° 3' W. of Pekin. Another writer, not named, says the place of his burial was called Sali-chwen, and that the Chinese word chase denotes "the "ili to have been a place full of fountains (springs?], lakes, and hills." The statement of Eidmann, p. 444, agrees nearest with the Oriental writers, but D'Ohsson's, vol. 1. p. 381, seems purely mythical

But why need I mention all this? Has not Professor Forbes himself discovered not only the place of burial, but even the tomb in which the corpse was enclosed? I wonder he did not discover Tüll's tomb also, for he was buried there too. In a paper read before the British Association in September, 1876, he asserts [but what are the proofs?] that it hes "almost a day's journey from Urga, viz, from twenty to twenty five miles, and that "the tomb consists of a stone structure which is now level with the ground, there is a circle of stone ten feet thick, and one hundred yards in diameter, and in the centre of this there is a circle which has once been a covered building, some fifteen yards in diameter," etc., etc. Did not he "discover" an inscription too? If it is a Mughal tomb, look below ground, not above, seeing what our author and others say with regard to Mughal modes of sepulture

I must say a few words respecting the wives and children of the Chingiz Khan before closing the notice of him, as European writers have rendered the names of them even more unintelligible than those of his four famous sons.

He is said to have had 500 Khātūns [wives] and concubines, every one of whom was taken from some tribe or other after he had reduced or conquered it. Some were married to him according to the Mughal rites and customs,

and Amirs, saying: "The Chingiz Khan is come. On

but most of them were such as had been carried off, and were kept in his Acram. Those who were held in the highest respect and esteem were the following five:—

I. BORTAH KOCHIN— and specified "Burte Judikin" nor "Burte Fudikin," and consequently, whether "Fudikin" or "Fongan" was the title given by the Chinese Emperors to those of their wives who ranked "immediately after the Empress," or whether not, these names and titles do not appertum to Bürtah Kuchin, who was the Chingu Khān's chief wife. She was the daughter of the Nū-yin, Dāe, the Bādahāh, as he is styled, of the Kungkur-āt Mughals, which was one of the most numerous, and distinguished for valour, as well as one of the proudest of the whole of the Nairūn tribes, one reason for which is stated to have been that, in issuing from Irgānah-Kūn, they led the way, and such was their celerity in doing so, that they burnt their feet on the ironstone not yet become cool

They are Nairūn Mughals beyond the shadow of a doubt, and yet the author of the "Mongols Proper" informs us, at p 703, "I have small doubt that they were Turks, for although small class still survive among the Mongols who are called Khongkiras (i.e. Kunkurat), by Ssanang Setzen, there is no tribe among them which bears the name, while we find that one of the four main divisions of the Uzbegs is called Kiat Kungrat," etc. The author has made a very delightful muddle here. The Kungkur-āts are truly Turks of the Mughal i-māk, but Nairūn Mughals, of which Kaiāt is one of the two great divisions, and perhaps he is not aware that the Uzbaks are Mughals, whence the term Kaiāt-Kungkur-āt. There are Kungkur-āts, Kankuis, and many other tribes mentioned in these notes, still to be found in Turkistān and Mughalstān. Mir 'Abd-ul-Karīm, Bukhārī, who wrote in 1222 it —1807 a D—continually refers to them in his work, and some of the Kāshghar Mission actually met a "Kughiz who was a Naymán," and "Yuldúz Kalmák who are Turgut and Koshot!"

When the Chingiz Khān was defeated by the Makrits, his Kungkur-āt wife was taken captive, and made over by them to the Awang Khān, their soverings. She is said to have been pregnant of Jūjī at the time, and the Awang Khān, out of his former friendship for the husband, treated her with respect, and sent her back when the Chingiz Khān demanded her. Jūjī was born on the way home, and his appearance on the scene appears to have been unexpected, for his name, given in consequence, signifies "the unexpected guest". I may have to refer to this circumstance again, farther on

Bürtah Küchin subsequently bore three other sons—Chaghatāe, Uktāe, and Tül, and five daughters, who were, in due time, married to different Mughal and other chiefs, who, with a single exception, are styled Gürgān, signifying, in the Turki language—not the "Chinese," I believe—son-in-law One of these sons-in-law, a son of the chief of the Kungkur-āt, had previously borne the title of Gürgān, but I have not space for much detail.

2 KŪLĀN KHĀTŪN, daughter of Tā'īr Asūn, the chief of the Ūrhār Makrīt tribe. Her father submitted to the sway of the Chingiz Khān, and brought his daughter, and presented her as an offering to him. Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, ignores her altogether, in his History, and substitutes Korsāsū, the widow of the Tayanak Khān, who is mentioned as one of his wives of lesser degree farther on Kūlān Khātūn had a son by the Chingiz Khān named Kūlakān— Kūlākān— Kūlākān— who was assigned rank, in

several previous occasions we have fought with him and

every way, equal to the other sons of the Chingiz Khān. He died early, leaving several sons, and one of them, Kūchah, succeeded to his father's rank and position, and the command of the 6000 men, which the Chingiz Khān had conferred upon him

3 YASSERÂN, a Tâtiar lady, whose name, in some Histories, is written Tassakân, but it appears that the two points of the first letter 2—in this instance have been carelessly written 2 with the points over instead of under

She bore a son, who was named Ujär, but he died in his youth

4 KONJŪ KIIĀTŪN, daughter of the Āltān **Kh**ūn of **Kh**ūtāe. She was by no means good-looking, but, as her father was the greatest sovereign of that age, she was treated with respect accordingly. She bore no children, and was still hving, in her own math, in the time of Artū or Artūk Būkā.

5. YASSOI ON, sister of Yassükän the third wife, but married to the Chingia

Khan after the death of her sister

Besides these were other Kh trans, who, although not considered so high in rank or position, were nevertheless treated with great reverence, and sometimes would monopolize the company of their husband. Our of these was Anikan-Li-Khatun, daughter of the Jakambu also written Jankabu, the brother of the Awang Khan His name is said to have been Badae-After the overthrow and death of his brother, he took shelter in Tingkut, where he obtained protection, and was treated with honour Badshah of that country gave him the title of Jakambu, equivalent to "Dsambū, ' in "Degum Dsambū," and "Mathi Dsambū," etc., in Tibhati Jākambū signifies "Amīr-i-Mu'agzam," and "Buzurg-i-Mamlakat" The Chingir Khan esponsed her, and married one of her sisters, named Biktūmish Kūchin, to his son, Jūji, and another, Siūr Kūķībi Bīgi, to Tūli, and all four sons of Tuli Khan were by her After the Chingaz Khan had married Anikah a few days only, in consequence of a dream which he had, he gave her in marriage to one of his Amirs, the Nu yin, Gahti, also called Gati, the Urā-ūt [he is turned into "a dyer on the boiders of China," in the "Mongols Proper" 1], who happened to be the Amir in starting that night

Another of the Chingu Khān's Khātūns was Kor-Bāso the widow and chief Khātūn of the Tayānak Khān, Bādahāh of the Nāemāns She was brought to him sometime after the Tayānak Khān's death, and, according to the Mughal custom, the Chingu Khān entered into bonds of marriage with

hor

Besides these Khātūns he had many others, the daughters of Sultāns [Mughal and Tāttār Chiefs?] and Amīrs, and he also had a son named Jūrjīn, by a lady of the Nāemān tribe, who died before any other of his children; and another son named Jifān or Arjifān, who died in childhood. His mother was of the Tāttār i-māk.

The Great Khān likawise adopted a boy of the Tingkūt tribe, in his eleventh year, and brought him up; and was wont to style him his fifth son. He was the Nū-yān, Jilān, who had a great name for valour, and was the Bāsh-līgh or Chief of the Khāṣ or Personal Ming—in the Tājxīk language signifying Hazārah—of the Chingiz Khān, which was limited to 1000 persons; and from it many of the chief officials and leaders were chosen. In Uktāe Kaʾānʾs reign, when he was despatched into Khtāēe, Jilān adopted a son himself, who was also a Tingkūt, named Burah, taken captive as a child of three years, who was from the urdū of Būrtah Kūchīn, as were many other

defeated him. Now he has returned, and his forces have

eminent officers serving in the Khā4 Ming or Hazārah as Sadhahs—leaders of hundreds—but I have not space to mention more than one—the Bāwūrghī, Būrkī, of the Dūrbān tribe, who was the grandfather of Fūlād Āķā, from whom the Khwājah, Rashīd-ud-Dīn, the Hamadānī, obtained information respecting his account of the Mughals compiled from the Āltān Duftar, or Golden Record, and wrifted its contents—Alfi says, quoting other authorities, that Jifān was held in such high esteem and honour, that, in Ukṭāe's ieign, he used to sit higher, on public occasions, than his brother Mangū, afterwards supreme ruler of the Mughal empire

The Chingi/ Khān had yet another adopted son, a Tāttār When his tribe was attacked and plundered by the hostile Mughal tribes, a little boy was found by them weeping in his cradle Bürtah Küchin, at that time, had borne her husband no children, and she adopted the child, and brought him up. He subsequently rose to high rank his correct name was Shīkh Kutūkū, commander of the Tāttār Ming or Hazinah. He is one of the leaders who was overthrown by Sultān Jalāl-ud-Dīn. See page 289

The total number of the Chingir Khān's children was thirteen—eight sons and five daughters—and yet Petrs de la Croix says he had "a prodigious number of children"

I intended to have given here a list of the whole of the Chingiz Khān's Mings or Hazārahs, but I have not space for them

The Contingents instituted by the Chingiz Khān, which were all cavalry, consisted of a Khās or Personal Ming, or Hazārah, a Kol or Centre, a Burānghār, or Right Wing, so styled, and a Juwānghār, or Left Wing, also talled the Jūk. The word Hazārah, which is Tājzīk or Persian, must not be supposed to be the actual name by which these Contingents were called by the Mughals, for it is not—nor is it the name of a tribe, as Mr Dowson, in Elliot's Historians [vol vi, page 368] imagines—"the famous tribe of Hazarah," as he styles them The word is the mere translation of the Turki word ming, a thousand The number, too, was but nominal in many instances, since there were two Hazārahs of 10,000 men each, one of 8000, and several others of a higher number than a thousand. See note, page 984

The Buranghar contained 30,000 men in 22 Hazarahs. Out of these there was one of Utrit Mughals [vul Virats], 4000; one of Barin Mughals, 2000; another of Ungküt Tulks, 4000, and one of various Kaiat tribes, 10,000. The Nü-yin, Burji or Bürjin, the Arlät, was its head.

The Juwanghar, consisted of 25 Hazārahs, among which was the Urūt Hazārah, 4000 men, the Angīrās Kungkur-āts, 3000; the Kungkur-āts, 5000; the Bārīns, 3000, and another of Kungkur-āts, of 4000. Besides the other Mughal Hazārahs, there was one Hazārah of Kanā-Khitā-īs of 10,000 men, and another styled the Khūrjah Hazārah of 10,000 men. Its head was the Nū-yīn Mūkalī, the Jalā-īr, surnamed The Ko-yāng, signifying in the language of Khitāe, the Great Khān

The contingents assigned to the Chingiz Khān's sons and brothers, and their sons, his mother, and other relatives, amounted to 28,000. His eldest son Jūji's contingent numbered 4000 in four Hazārahs, consisting of the tribes of Sujīūt, Kangīt, Hoshīn, also called Ūshīn, and Suntāe [?]. The contingent of his second son, Chaghatāe, amounted to the same number, also consisting of four Hazārahs, of the tribes of Barlās, Karāyat, Sūniat, and Sūldūs.

Some recent Indian history compilers have made sad errors in connexion

become very numerous, and he is proceeding against the

with these four tribes assigned to Chaghatāc Khān—Chaghatāc's tribes. These writers have assumed that there was a great and distinct tribe called "Chagtae," or "Chugtar," as a recent author writes it, and a "Chagtae language"! Some have even gone so far [see "The Turks in India," by Henry George Keene, MRA 'S, Judge of Agra, etc. London 1879] as to assert that Bālvar, who founded the Mughal empire in India, was not a Mughal, but a "Chugtae Turk" He was a Barlās Mughal [see note, page 898] pure and simple, of the race of Kaiāt. It is quite time such fallacies should be given to the winds.

The contingent of his third son, Uktāe, formed four Hazārahs of the same number as those of his other two brothers, consisting of the Hazārahs of Jalā 'r Yamkālin or Bumkālin [عالي] a branch of the Sūldūs, Mangkūt, and Sūniat After Uktāe came to the throne, the whole of his personal troops consisted of men of these four tribes

The share of külakān, another son of the Chingir Khān, and to whom he was greatly attached, numbered 4000, in four Hazārahs, consisting of men of the Birlās, and other tribes.

These four Mings or Contingents in ail numbered 16,000 horse.

The Chingir Khān conferred a contingent to the number of 5000 upon his younger brother, Ü-Tigin, or Aw-Tigin, as it is also spelt, consisting of Urad Kalangküts, Busüts, Jüri-āts, also called Jājar-āts, and small numbers of many of the other tribes not included in the previously mentioned Hazārahs. The contingent of the sons of Jūjī Kasār, another brother of the Chingir Khān, consisted of 1000 men drawn from several tribes, and that of Hchīdāe, or Hchītdāe, son of Kājbūn, a third brother of the Chingir Khān, who died in his youth, numbered 3000 horse, consisting of Nāemāns, Urī-angkut, and some other scattered tribes. The contingent of his mother, Ülün Ānkah, numbered 3000 Kūrlās and Ülkünüts.

At the time of his decease the Chingir Bhan assigned the whole of his Khasah, or personal troops, and all the Hazarahs of the Centre, Right, and Left, consisting of 101,000 men, and his yarats, to his youngest son, Tülüe or Tüli, who always continued with him, and who, in his lifetime, commanded them, under himself, hence no separate contingent appertained to him, and, after Tüli's decease, they fell to the share of his sons, Mangü, Kübiläe, and others

It must be understood that these were contingents always kept up by the Princes, Nū-yīns, Jurbīs, and Rahādurs, to whom they were assigned, and not as being the entire amount, by any means, of the Chingir Khān's forces. They were capable of being expanded at any time. That such was usual, we have sufficient proof in the number of troops which Hulākū led into Ī-rān-Zamīn, drawn from a portion of these contingents, some of which subsequently increased very much, and we are told that only of Chaghatāc's Hazārahs, in a short period of time, had increased to the number of 100,000

I have been particular in mentioning these Hazārahs because, to judge from one of the questions propounded at the Oriental Congress of 1876, great doubt appears to have arisen in the minds of some persons on the subject, and some have even asserted that the real Mughal element in the Chingiz Khan's armies was very small, and that Mughal is "nothing more than a dynastic name adopted by Chengis to denote the empire which he founded," but, from what I have here given, those who know Turks from Tāttārs, and

Altūn Khān of Tamghāj. It is advisable that we should make peace with him, and enter into alliance with him, and that, in concert, we should proceed into the country of Khitā, and overthrow the Altūn Khān." His opinion and that of his Maliks agreeing, this was determined upon, and peace was made by the Tingri Khān with the Chingiz Khān, and a firm treaty was entered into between them.

When the Tingri Khān's heart became tranquil on this union, he came to the Chingiz Khan, and united with him; and the forces of the Tingri Khan combined with the Mughal army, and they turned their faces towards the countries of Chin and Khita. There was a river the name of which is Karā-Mūr [Mūr-ān?],4 and they crossed it with the intention of devastating the country of Khita A number of Nū-ins and [other] Mughals represented to the Chingiz Khan, saying: "Our army is moving towards Khita: if we should sustain a defeat, the troops of the Tingri Khan are likewise our enemies. His territory will be in our rear. and not one of us will reach our own country in safety. It is advisable, since the Tingri Khan is among us, that we should slav him, and set our minds at ease respecting him. so that there will not be an enemy behind us, and, with our hearts at rest, we can turn our faces towards the Khita country." The Chingiz Khan resolved to act upon this

Mughals, and who understand the difference between Badz-ūkls, and Udz-ukls, Kaušts and Nagūz, Durāl-gins and Narūns, will perceive how greatly the Mughāl element predominated, and how fallacious such a statement is.

To sum up:—

ım up :			
The Khās Ming or Hazārah .			1,000
The Mmg or Hazarah of the Nü-	yān Būrjf,	also	
called the Kol			8,000
The Right, or Buranghar .			30,000
The Left, or Juwanghar			62,000
The Mings or Hazarahs of the sons			16,000
The Mings or Hazārahs of the brot	hers, neph	lews,	
and mother	•	•	12,000
			129,000

In the "Mongols Proper," on the authority apparently of M. Erdmann, the 101,000 men, including all the Hazārah's here given, with the exception of the contingents of Tüli's brothers, mother, and kinsmen, 28,000 in all, which appertained to Tüli, after his father's death—have been mistaken for, and added as, a separate force, and styled the "Centre under Tuliu," thus swelling the 129,000 to 230,000, which is not correct. The 8000 Arläts, too, have been left out.

counsel, and he seized the Tingri Khān, and gave orders to kill him. On the Tingri Khān becoming certain that they would slay him, he said. "Convey a single message from me to the Chingiz Khān, and that message is this: 'I have not shown any perfidy towards thee. I came to thee under treaty. Thou art acting perfidiously towards ine, and art going to act contrary to the covenant entered into with me. Now give ear. When thou slayest me, if from me issues blood white in colour like unto milk, know that three days after me thou diest." When they conveyed this message to the Chingiz Khān, he laughed, and said: "This man has become mad: blood like milk never issues from the wound of a slain person, nor has any one ever seen white blood. It behoveth the more speedily to put him to death"

When the executioner struck the Tingrī Khān with his sword, white blood like unto milk issued from the wound; and he perished. When the tidings of this astonishing circumstance reached the Chingiz Khān, the accursed, he quickly arose, and came to the spot; and, when he saw that the occurrence was actually so, it struck his heart, and his strength forsook him, and, on the third day, his heart broke, and he went to hell

He had made his last request, saying "It is incumbent that ye slay the whole of the Tingrī Khān's people, both male and female, small and great, young and old, and not leave a single person alive" When the Chingiz Khān was departing to hell he had devised the sovereignty to his son Uktāe, and Uktāe turned back, and massacred all the people of the city and territory of the Tingrī Khān.

II. TÜSHİ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHÂN

Tüshi was the eldest of the Chingiz Khān's sons, and was exceedingly energetic, intrepid, manly, and warlike; and his greatness was to that degree that his father used to stand in awe of him.

In the year 615 II, when Sultān Muḥammad, Khwārazm Shāh, had gone forth to devastate the tribes of Kadr Khān

⁴ One of the best copies of the text omits the words **of the city and territory"

⁴ Sec note 4, page 1026.

of Turkistān, who was the son of Safaktān-i-Yamak, Tūshī likewise, from the side of Tamghāj had advanced [with an army] in that direction, and had been engaged in a conflict with the army of the Khwārazm Shāh for a night and a day, as has been previously mentioned in the account of the Khwārazm Shāh. At this time, when Sultān Muḥammad fled from the banks of the Jīḥūn and the neighbourhood of Balkh, the Chingiz Khān despatched his sons Tūshī and Chaghatāe, with a great army, towards Khwārazm.

He [Tūshi] proceeded thither with that army, and appeared before the gate of [the capital city of] Khwārazm, and the fighting commenced. For a period of four months?

The difficulty is to decide who this person is, but, at the same time, it is necessary to point out that this affair is in no way connected with Tük-Tughān, the Makrīt, although it is mentioned by our author, at page 267, as immediately preceding the latter affair, which he refers to without mentioning any name as here. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh also refers to this affair very briefly, but mentions no name.

At the end of this work, in the lines addressed to the Ulugh Khān, he is styled "Khān of the Ilbarī and Shāh of the Yamak," and these Ilbarī are again plainly stated to be Turks at page 800, and at page 796 it is mentioned that that thie had to remove from their accustomed place of abode, which is not specified, but Khifchāk is implied, when the Mughals became predominant over Turkistān and the tribes of Khifchāk. See also pages 500 and 701.

In an old geographical work Yamak is briefly referred to as the name of a city or town and a territory or country famous for its beautiful females, and that it is also said to be the name assigned to the sovereign of the I-ghürs, whoever he might be. But, as I have previously mentioned, in note at page 951, the Bāshlīghs, or Chiefs of the Un-I-ghürs, were in ancient times styled II-Iltār and those of the Tukūz-I-ghūrs, Kol-Irkīn, or, according to Abū-I-Ghāzī, Bahādur Khān, II-Irkīn, and, in after years, Yīddī-Kūt.

7 The Khwarazmis made a much more gallant defence than our author has given them credit for

After the Chingiz Khān had effected the capture of Samrkand and had become established in Māwarā-un-Nahr, he, towards the close of 617 R, despatched his sons Jūjī, Chaghatāe, and Uktāe, to reduce the Khwārazm territory at the head of a great army, which one author states amounted to about 100,000 men, and they set out by way of Bukhārā. The capital city of Khwārazm was named Jurjāniah by 'Arabs, but was called Urganj and Urgānj by the Turks and the great men thereof The 'Ajani's call it Gurgānj.

The author of the "Mongols Proper" tells us twice (pp. 83 and 85) that "Urgendi" is "the modern Khiva," but subsequently, in his additional

the people of Khwarazm continued to resist the Mughals.

notes, that "old Urgend; was the capital of Khuarezm," in which he is tolerably correct, but its size is not so very near "the modern capital-Khiva," being only ninety-two miles distant from it as the crow flies. In Col. J. C Walker's last map [1879], notwithstanding the various "authorities" mentioned on the margin of it, "Khiva" duly figures under the name of Kharezm, while in the same map, as the name indicates, "Kunia"-an error for Kuhnah, or old-"Urganj" is the site Such an error is to be lamented, but I fear I shall have to refer to many others Khwarazm is the name of the territory, and the words "the city of Khwarazm" merely refer to the city which is the capital of Khwararm, and this mode of terming a capital by the name of the country was not uncommon I do not agree with Pétis de la Cross that the city was ever called Khwārazm, except in the sense mentioned What is erroneously styled Ahma in maps is Kittwak-موق-of the people of the country

Among the number of great men present in the territory of Khwaraam at this time, there was no one, in the absence of the members of the late Sulfan's family, whom they could better choose under the encumstances than the Amir, Khumār-Tigin, the chief of the Kankulis [Pétis de la Cioix's "Himar tequen"the point of the kh having been omitted by the scribe, he read it as hi, who was a kinsman of the late Sultan's mother, the unfortunate Turkan Khatun, and also held the office of Haph-1-Dar, or War-Büka, and they chose him to In the exigency of affairs, they accorded him the direct the administration There was besides, at the capital, the Pahlawan, 'All, the title of Sultan Darghami,

After these measures had been adopted, one day, a small body of horse appeared before one of the gates, and began to drive off the cattle. Not knowing what calamity was larking behind these horsemen, a number of imprudent and short-sighted persons led out a large force, both horse and foot, from the Khalki gate towards them, thinking to captuit them The Mughal horsemen, for such they were, pretended flight, but took care occasionally to we their pursuers hope of overtaking them, until they had drawn them to a place called the Bagh-t-Khurram- the Pleasant or Delightful Garden [turned into a "Town called Baghe-Fi un," by Petrs de la Croix, who has made some terrible errors in his account of these operations, and has interwoven his own observations so much with the authors he quotes, that one is not to be distinguished from the other]-about a farsang from the city, where was the van of the Mughai army in ambuscade These rushed upon the Khwarazmis, and assailed them on ill sides. The latter fought from early morning till noon, but the Tarikh 1-Jahan-gir says, from moon to the setting of the sun, when, having sustained great loss, they retreated precipitately towards the city Mughals pursued, and, in the confusion which ensued, succeeded in entering along with the fugitives by one of the gates, and penetrated as far as the Tanurah quarter This, I swever, could only have been a suburb, as the Mughals were stopped by Faridun, the Ghurt, an old officer in the late Sultan's service, and his soldiers, and the Mughals thought fit to retire The Tarikh just named states, that the Khwarazmis lost 100,000 men on this occasion, but this is impossible, and seems a mere confusion of events, and refers to the upshot of the siege, or is altogether an exaggeration

Next day, the Mughals again appeared before one of the gates, but, finding Faridun there posted with 500 men, did not make any attack during the whole

and to fight against those infidels. At last, they took the

of that day, and withdrew at night Next day, when Juif and his brothers arrived with the remainder of the great host, they made a perambulation of the city, and a person was despatched to summon the defenders to submit to the yoke of the Mughals, and open the gates. This was refused, upon which the Mughals completely invested the city, and made preparations for besieging it. As there was no stone in those parts, the Mughals had to use blocks of wood for their catapults, cut from the tumber of the mulberry-trees; but I do not see how blocks of that, even though "hardened by being soaked in water," as a European writer states, could have had much effect upon walls of burnt brick; but some say wood blocks were only used towards the close of the stege when the stones had all been expended The Mughals, as was usual with them, made the men of the conquered cities do the hard work in sieges, and so they obtained assistance from Jund and other conquered places, in the shape of men, tools, and materials, including loads of stone which were brought in carts. When all had been prepared, and the missiles and battering apparatus were ready, they opened the siege, and carried on their operations with vigour, but the defenders were as active and determined in the defence.

After a considerable time, the Mughals sent a force of 3000 men to divert the stream or branch of the river Jihun, which flowed past the city, and supplied the people with water, and there effect an entrance [the aqueduct apparently mentioned at page 474, which proved an effectual barrier to the Churis], but the garrison made a sally to prevent it, and slew every one of the detachment. Here again P de la C, has fallen into error, and makes the Mughals dig a canal to drain the ditch ! Great part of the city was reduced to ashes by the discharges of flasks of naphtha and other inflammable ingredients; but, now, quarrels, which had been going on for some time between Juji and Chaghatae, became so serious-Fasih-I says severe fighting ensued as the upshot of these quarrels, and that a great number of Mughals were killed-that the matter was brought to the hearing of their father, then engaged in the siege of Tal-kan He was not at Samrkand, as P. de la C. states he had, in the meantime, left it, and had taken Tirmid also previous to this. Five months had already passed in the siege of the Khwarazmi capital, and great loss had been sustained, and the Chingiz Khan, in, his rage, directed Uktae, the younger brother of the two there present, to take the command, and that all should pay him implicit obedience. He accordingly carried on the siege with fresh vigour; but it took another two months before the Mughals succeeded, by filling up the ditch with brushwood and rubbish-they may have drained the ditch to do this-in effecting a lodgment, and planting their standards on the walls. Even then the people fought hand to hand with them, from street to street, and door to door, for several days, while the Mughals discharged flasks of naphtha among them. Vast numbers were slam on both sides, including the brave Khumār-Tigin, indeed, one author says "the city became a sea of blood," and the siege altogether is said to have cost the Mughals nearly 100,000 men, including the unfortunate Musalman people compelled to work for their own people's destruction.

The loss sustained by the defenders is computed at about the same amount, but round numbers are often doubtful, still it must have been very great. The whole of the remaining people were driven out into the plain without the city, and after 100,000 artisans, mechanics, and tradespeople, had been selected, to be carried off with them and transported into Mughalistan, or to assist in

city, and martyred the whole of the people, and destroyed all the buildings with the exception of two places—one the Kūshk-i-Akhjak' [the Castle of Akhjak], the other, the mausoleum of Sultān Muhammad-i-Takish

Some have stated on this wise, that, when the Mughals captured the city of Khwārazm, and brought the inhabitants out of the city into the plain, he [Tūshī] commanded that the women should be separated from the men, and such of the women as they cared for the Mughals retained. The remainder were directed to form into two bodies, and they caused the whole of them to be stripped naked, and round about them Mughal Turks [Turkān-t-Mughal], with drawn swords, were stationed. The two parties were then commanded, thus: 'The women of your city are good pugilists, therefore, the order is that both sides should set on each other with their fists.' Those unfortunate Musalmān females they caused, thus ignominiously, to attack each other with hands clenched, and, for the space of a whole watch of the day, all those women continued to deal

the destruction of their own people, and the young women and children, and young men, had been sent into slavery (they were transported into the farther East, and several towns and villages were peopled by them and their descendants), the remainder were all butchered [This tends like the doings at lesks Saghra and kasanlik in 1877 A.D.]. The number was so great that it was computed that each Mughal soldier had some twenty four to put to death, but, before we compute the number at 2,400,000, because the Mughals numbered 100,000 at the commencement of the siege, we must allow for their loss during that operation, and also leave out the Musalmān auxiliaries, but we may safely assume that more than a million perished. Alff says the Mughals numbered 100,000 at this time, and that the number said to have been butchered passes almost all belief

It is said that the Chingia Khān, before sending his hordes against the city, deepatched a missage to the celebrated Khwārarmi saint, the Shaikh, Najmud-Din, the Kulri, otherwise Al Kiwaki—of of Kiwak, which Europeans have utrined into Ahizi – advising him to leave the place, since the upshot might be its plunder, and the sluighter of the people, but the Shaikh refused, saying - 11 For eighty years have I dwelt here in its prosperity, and should not leave it in the day of its misfortune. I will take my chance with others, await my fate, whatever it may be, and not fly from the Almighty's decree. He perished with the rest

After the fall of the capital, the other towns and cities of Khwarazm submitted.

In one copy, Akhchak

[•] From the way in which our author here writes Turkān-i-Mughal, i.e. Mughal Turks—Turks of the Mughal i wal/ - he was evidently well informed as to the accounts of their descent — See note at pages 874 and 875

blows upon, and to receive the blows of, each other, until, at length, the Mughals fell upon them with their swords and martyred the whole of them—the Almighty reward them!

When Tushi, and Chaghatae, the sons of the Chingiz Khān, had finished their work at Khwārazm, they turned their faces towards Khifchāk, and the forces and tribes of Khifchāk they continued to subdue one by one, and make captive; and they brought the whole of the tribes under subjection. Tushi, who was the eldest son of the Chingiz Khān [as already stated], when he became acquainted with the climate of the land of Khifchak, considered that, in the whole universe, there could not be a more delightful land. a pleasanter climate, softer water, meads more verdant, and pasture-lands more extensive; and repugnance towards his father began to enter into his mind. He said to his confidants, "The Chingiz Khan has become mad, as he massacres so many people, and desolates so many coun-It thus seems meritorious on my part that, in some hunting-ground, I should slay my father, enter into an alliance with Sultan Muhammad, [Khwarazm Shah], render this country flourishing, and give help and assistance to the Musalmans" His brother, Chaghatae, gained intimation of this idea [of his brother's], and made known this perfidious notion and design to his father. When the Chingiz Khān became aware of it, he despatched his own confidential agents, so that they administered poison to Tüshi, and killed him.

I This is an error. The brothers never agreed together, as I shall presently show, and they had quarrelled at Khwärarm only recently. After the capture of Urgang, Jüjl, with the wläs (armed men of the tribe) of the Yiddi-Kat of the I-ghūrs, returned towards Karā-Kuram, but subsequently Jüjl retired into the Daght-i-Kibchāk; and Chaghatāe and Uktāe proceeded, by way or Hazār-asp, to rejoin their father's camp before Tāl-kān. They captured Hazār-asp in the course of two days, and massacred its inhabitants, the number of whom, according to some writers, was so great that they did not deem it advisable even to record it. These events happened in 618 H

Although our author wrote soon after these events took place, and was living at the time they happened, he was seemingly unaware that Uktäe was present at Urganj, and that he, after the quarrels between the brothers, was put in command over them, a fact which is beyond a doubt. Such being the case, and from what he himself says was the cause of his father's emitty towards him, it is probable that Jūji had no hand in these bruisl cruelities.

² The Sulfan had died some time previous to this, in 617 H

² Just was but thuty years old when he died. He left behind him fifteen

Tüshi likewise had fourteen sons, the eldest of whom was named Bâtū, the second Chaghatae, the third Shaiban,

The eldest was Urdah, whose mother was sons, but some say, fourteen Sürtäk Khātun, daughter of the sovereign of the Kungkur-āt tribes, and that son was wont to lead the left of his army, while Batu, his second son, led the right Another was named Barkah, our author's Barka, who turned Musalman, being the first of that family who did so. The remaining sons, except Tüghle-Timur, who also turned Musalman, are not so well known to history and need scarcely be mentioned here. Jüji's death took place in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 624 H., not in 626 H , as P de la C says, for he died before his father. Bâtu succeeded to his father's dominions, whose reign will be found farther on The Khans of Krim [the Krimiā] were lineally descended from Juji Khān, whose descendants

reigned longer as independent sovereigns than any others of the race.

Our author has exaggerated a little here, probably, but there u evidently some truth in what he says There is plenty of evidence to prove that his father stood in some awe of Juji, for, undoubtedly, he was a great and highminded prince, and this, together with the occurrences attending his birth, appear to have alienated the heart of the Chingiz Khan from him the Makrit tribe plundered the wrdw of Tamur-chi, they carried off Burtah Küchin, his wife, who is said to have been pregnant at the time, and brought her to the Awang Khan, there chief P de la C, although he afterwards says Juli was the eldest son, says this lady was then "big with her second child," and makes out "Aunghcan" to be "her father"! He treated her with great reverence and respect, and, after some time, sent her back to her husband, and, on the way, she gave birth to a son. No preparations having been made for the occasion, there was nothing available to awaddle the babe with . therefore the messenger of Tamur-chi, who had been sent to demand her release, mixed some flour and water together, and swaddled the boy in the dough, and thus managed to convey him safely, with his mother, to the wrate of Tamur-chi The boy being unexpected-it would seem indeed that Tamur-chi was unaware of his wife's pregnancy, hence the doubts respecting the paternity of the child-was named Juji, or Tushi, which, in the dialect of the Mughals, signifies the unexpected guest. It is not correct, as related in "The Mongols Proper," that the mother gave birth to Juji "after her return from captivity." he was born on the road

His brothers, particularly Chaghatae and Uktae, used constantly to taunt Juil respecting his birth, and they seem to have been jealous of him; but his father had great faith in his ability and valour, and entrusted him, previous to the invasion of Islam, with the command of an army, which army was overtaken by Suitan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, and brought to action, as related at page 269, and his father had since conferred upon him the government of the whole of the wisses or tribes and territories within the limits of Ardiah and the Altae mountains. Subsequently, the Chingir Khan added thereto the territories of Khwarazm, the Dasht-1-Kibchak or Khifchak, and such conquests as Just might effect over the countries of Khurz, Balghar, Alan, As. and Rûs

After the capture of the capital city of Khwarazm, that is to say, Urgani, Juil separated from his brothers, and withdrew into [towards?] the Dasht-1-Kibchāk; and, when Jabah [Yamah] and Swidae [Sahūdah] returned from their three years' expedition, and reached the Daght-i-Kibchak, they had to obtain aid from him, as already narrated, before they could proceed farther on and the fourth Barkā; and trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that the birth of this Barkā took place at the time of the conquests in the territories of Islām. When his mother gave him birth, Tūshì, his father, com-

their way. When the Chingiz Khan retired from Nangrahar, and set out on his return into Mughalistan, and reached Kulan Yazi, Juit presented himself, as already mentioned in the account of his father, but another writer, Hafis Abril, states, and his statement is, without doubt, correct, that, after the disagreement with his brothers before Urgani, subsequent to its capture. Chaghatae and Uktãe set out with their troops to rejoin their father, and reached his camp at Tal-kan, but Juji set out towards Ardish, where were his wives and families, and joined his own urdis. As his father, previous to this, had commanded him to invade the countries to the north and west, such as the territories of Bülär [sic. 192], of the Bäsh-ghird, the Rüs, and the Jarkas [the Cheremis 1], the Dasht-1-Kibchāk, and other parts in that direction, and to hold possession of them, when Juji set out toward Ardish, his father, at first, imagined that he did not intend to obey his commands, respecting that expedition, and that he had, consequently, returned to his home and given himself up to pleasure and sollity instead of carrying out his instructions. The Chingiz Khan was, in consequence, exceedingly incensed, and commanded that it would be necessary to put him to death without looking upon his face again The real cause however was that Jūji, at the period in question, had become prostrated by disease, and, on that account, when the Chingiz Khan returned from the territory of the Taisiks, and took up his residence in his own urdu. Iui was unable to present himself in his father's presence, but he despatched several loads of game, and made known his illness. Subsequently, he was again summoned to appear, but he made apologies, and stated his mability to attend on account of sickness.

Shortly after that, a person having set out from Juji's yūrats to proceed to the presence of the Chingiz Khan, coming along the road, noticed that Juji had gone forth, and was proceeding from yurat to yurat. By the way, Juji had to pass a place where he had been wont to follow the chase, and, being incapable himself, through weakness, he despatched his Amirs to hunt person, who was on his way to the camp of his father, noticed, from a distance, a considerable gathering assembled engaged in hunting, and made sure to him self that it was Juif; and, when he reached the presence of the Chingiz Khan, and the latter inquired of him respecting Juji's illness, he replied . "As to his illness I know naught, but, at the time of coming hither, near the skirts of a certain mountain range, he was engaged in hunting" At these words the wrath of the Chingiz Khan was roused to such degree as cannot be marrated, and, in his mind, he felt certain that Juji had become disloyal, and paid no regard to his father's words, and so he said "Jūji has gone mad, and, in consequence, is acting the part of a fool. It is necessary to send troops against him; and it is advisable to despatch Chaghadae and Ukdae in advance, and follow in person myself" At this crisis, news arrived that Juji was dead, and the Chingiz Khan was greatly grieved at his loss What that person had stated respecting Juji hunting was entirely false and fictitious, and the Khan gave orders to put him to death, but he had got some inkling of what he might expect, when he heard of the decease of Juji, and made his escape from the urdu, and the wrath of Juji's father.

manded, saying: "Give ye this boy to a nurse of the Musalmans, in order that his navel string may be severed by a Musalman, that he may imbibe Musalman milk, and turn out a Musalman; for I intend that this son of mine shall be brought up in the Musalman faith" If this statement is veracious, the Almighty mitigate his torment [in hell]! Undoubtedly, through the blessing attending this intention, when Barka grew up, he became a scion of Islam. Up to this period of time, the date of the completion of this History, the year 658 II, of the sons of Tushi, that one Musalman sovereign is still left.

May Almighty God continue the Sultan of Islam, NASIR-UD-DIN WA UD-DUNYA, MAHMUD SHAH, upon the throne of sovereignty to the day of resurrection!

III UKTĀE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KIJĀN.

When the Chingiz Khān died, he devised the sovereignty to Uktāe, although Chaghatāe was older than he; but Chaghatāe was a butcher and a tyrant.

Uktae, when he ascended the throne, and carried out the last command of his father, the <u>Chingiz Khān</u>, and massacred all the people of Tingit, both females and males, returned from thence towards Turkistān. Having brought

- The name of this sovereign is also written Uktāe, and Ukdāe, and debeing interchangeable, signifying "ascent," "height," "loftiness," "sublimity," and the like, but Ogolas is absurd, and could only have occurred to a person who had never been in the East, and who was unacquainted with the pronunciation of the name as written in the original, and the value of the letters of the alphabet
- ⁵ This is incorrect. Ukiāe did not ascend the throne until two years and more after the decease of his father. I have already given an account of the events which happened after the death of the Chingiz Khān in a previous note
- I must notice the events of Üktäe's reign in order to correct some erroneous accounts respecting him, but I must do so very briefly, because the events of his father's life, which were necessary to rectify incorrect accounts respecting him, have occupied much space. I must mention that "Tului," youngest son of the Chingiz Khān, did not "act as regent" at all it would have been totally contrary to the custom of the Mughals, and the Chingiz Khān had himself named one, as already related. There are other equally erroneous statements respecting Üktäe which may be seen from the following

Uktae is the first of the sovereigns who reigned in the Ulugh Yurat, also

that territory under his authority, he nominated armies to march into various parts of Khurāsān and 'Irāk, and

styled the Aşal or Original or Chief Yürat, which words refer to Kalür-an and Karā-Kuram, and which last is also celebrated as the Urdüe-Bäligh.

After having performed the funeral ceremonies over their father and sovereign, the sons, Nü-yins, and Amira, each retired to his respective yūrat; and, for a period of two years, the mother of Ükdāe and his brothers, Bürtah Künhin, administered the affairs: she may be styled "Regent."

After two years, and the period of mourning had expired, this Khātūn, Būrtah Kūghin, lest any untoward event might happen in the empire, summored the sons of the deceased, and the great Amfrs, to assemble at the Ulugh Yūrat, which the Chinese style Ho-lin, and hold a kūrītiāe or diet; and, in the beginning of the spring of 626 H., they arrived. From I-mil and Kū-Tāķ came Ūktāe and his sons; from the Dasht-i-Kibchāk came Bātū, Barkah, Shaibān, Tingkūt, and two other sons of Jūjī Khān; from the eastern parts of the empire [i. e. east of Kalūr-ān] came the Nū-yīns, Ū-Tīgīn or Aw-Tīgīn—also written Ūnjī and Ūnghī-Tīgīn—and Bilkūtī, the brothers of the Chingis Khān; Chaghatāe Khān came from Bīah-Bālīgh, and the Nū-yīn, Karachār, from his yūrat, besides numbers of other personages from all parts of the empire

The Ulugh Nü-yin, Tüli Khan, and other brothers younger than he, who were already present at the Ulugh Yurat, and his Amirs, also attended; and, after all the Princes, Nü-yins, and Amirs, had assembled, a mighty feast was given, during which the matter which brought them together there was discussed. After this, the testament of the Chingiz Khān, nominating Uktāe as his successor, and the covenant entered into between the sons, confir ung that nomination, were read out before all, in the presence of the troops then in the Urdu, in order that they also should confirm it All, with one accord, now addressed Üktäe, saying "In accordance with the will of the Chingiz Khan it behoveth thee to take thy seat on the throne of sovereignty." but Ukine made excuses, saying: "There are others older than I am, my uncles and my brother Chaghatae let one of them be raised to the throne; moreover, my younger brother Tuli is more worthy than I am, and, according to the customs and usages of the Mughals, the youngest son of the greatest of the Khātūns, and who is in possession of the Yurai and place of abode, should succeed the father " For forty days was this feasting continued, during which discussion went on. and daily did Uktae continue to make excuses, but, on the forty-first day, all the Princes and Nü-yins came before him and said "This sovereignty was assigned to thee from among the rest of the brothers and sons of the Chingiz Khan how then is it possible to contravene it?" Then the whole of the assembly, according to ancient [Turkish] custom, having taken goblets, removed their caps, unloosed their girdles, and thrown them over their shoulders. Chaghatae, as the elder brother, served the right hand of Uktae. and Tüli his left, while his uncle, U-Tigin, scized him round the waist, and, with the approval of the Astrologers and Divinces, sexted him on the throne, and hailed him by the title of Ka'an-ulb

Kā'an, I would observe, cannot be "a contraction" for Khākān— because the metre of both words is precisely the same, and there is no kh in the former; further, that it was not "borne by all Ogotai's successor." for Kyūk did not bear it, neither was it "new," for Bū-zanjar, the minth ancestor of the Chingiz Khān, hore that title One writer indeed says that, "as the

towards <u>Gh</u>ūr and <u>Gh</u>aznīn, and began to administer the affairs of the country according to justice and equity, to keep his forces under subordination, and to cherish his subjects

By nature, Uktāe was exceedingly beneficent and of excellent disposition, and a great friend to the Musalmāns. During his reign the Muhammadans in his dominions were tranquil and prosperous in condition, and treated with respect. He used to strive greatly to show honour to the Musalmān people, and to render them flourishing and contented In his reign, massids were founded in all the cities of Tingit, Tamghāj. Tibbat, and the countries of Chin, and all the forts and strongholds of the region

Mughüls do not use much ceremony respecting titles, they style a Pādahāh, Kā'an or Khu indiscriminately "

After Ukdae had been placed on the throne, gold and gems were poured over him, and, Tüli having held the howl to him, the Princes, Nü-yins Amirs, and all who were both within and without the assembly, and all keeping exact time, bowed the knee to him nine times, the lucky number of the Mughals. No author with whom I am acquainted refers, in the most remote manner, to "postiating themselves nine times," whatever "ancient Chinese cremomal might have been," and he was not a Chinese

Surgeon-Major Bellew, in the "Description of Käshehar" (REPORT, page 61), says with reference to this number nine "Similarly the presents given by the father to the bride must be in the same number or its multiple of each kind, as nine frocks, nine maintes, nine carpets &c, and the presents made by fitteds too must be in the same number, as nine pieces of silk, nine veils, nine caps, &c. The origin of the selection of this particular number, & fled toetia, is not kindon, but the castom is observed by all the Tark and Tartar tribes of Centual Asia." He might have said, more correctly, "Mughal." Tukuk stands for nine in the Turkish language, and I may add that the origin of the custom is known, and it will be found by referring to the note at page 875, which see, and is referred to in several other places.

A volume almost might be written on the subject of presenting the cup or bowl. It was not peculiar to the Mughals, but was usual among all the descendants of Turk. It would be interesting to compare their customs in this respect with those of the Scandinavians. The presentation of the cup was the highest mark of consideration and homage, and all present on such occasions bent the kine. It was likewise the highest honour a sovereign could show towards a viscal or subject. Ambassadors whom it was intended greatly to honour were presented with the cup, and it was necessary on the occasion of making a treaty, or confirming an oath. Kumiz or fermented mare's milk was generally used, but they also made drinks from millet, honey, and rice. Wine was used on special occasions, and by the Khāns

This ceremony took place in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 626 II [Feby. 1228 A D—which is but eighteen months from Ramagān, 624 II, but, as previously stated, some contend that the Chingiz Khān died in Ramagān, 623 H], just two years and six months after the death of his father

of the east he gave in charge to a number of Musalmān Amirs. Such Amirs as they [the Mughals] had removed from the countries of I-rān and Tūrān he located in the cities of Upper Turkistān, and in the land of Chin and Tamghāj, and in Tingit, and commanded that they [the Mughals] should address Musalmāns by the terms "friend," and "brother." He also directed the Mughals that they should give their daughters [in marriage] to Musalmāns, and that if they [the Musalmāns] should evince a desire to demand their children [in marriage for their sons] they should not deny them.

Throughout the whole of the eastern countries [under the sway of the Mughals], the Friday's religious services [of the Muhammadans] were established; and Musalmans took up their abode in those parts, and they founded towns and cities of their own, and built places according to the manner of their own [native] cities ⁶

Of the friendliness of Uktae towards Musalmans the author has heard an anecdote which is here related.

ANECDOTE.

Trustworthy persons have related that Chaghatāe used to regard Musalmāns with hostility, and his mind constantly contemplated the shedding of Musalmān blood, and not leaving one of that faith alive. Now the Chingiz Khān had instituted certain ordinances, the punishment for the infringement of some of which laws used to be death. For example: adultery and crimes of lust in general, theft, lying, and embezzlement, and the act of seizing a morsel from another's mouth, were punishable with death, and whosoever should enter a piece of water, whether large or small, they were to kill him also, and likewise any one who should wash his face at the edge of any water, so that the water from the washed face of such person should enter that water. The punishment of any

[•] The Jesuits in their accounts of the Chinese empire refer to some of these places — See also note at page 1100, first para.

[†] All writers agree respecting his showing a preference towards the Muhammadan religion. He excelled his brothers and contemporaries in impartiality, grace, and bounty.

³ See note ², page 1109, for the reasons of this prohibition

one who might be guilty of an offence less than one of all these was to be three or five, or ten, or more, stripes with a rod, but with the stipulation that, while punishment was being administered, the offender should be entirely stripped, and that the rod should be wielded with force and seventy. To these ordinances they have applied the name of *Vasali*, in the Mughali language, that is to say, command, mandate, decree.

One day, both the brothers-Uktae and Chaghataewere proceeding along a track into the open country. Uktae was in advance, and Chaghatae behind him, at the distance of a quarter of a farsang [league] Uktae reached the head of a reservoir of water, and perceived therein a Musalman who was washing his head and body. When the eyes of Uktae fell upon that Musaiman, he turned his face towards his personal attendants. and said: " Alas I this unfortunate Musalman will be forthwith put to death by the hand of my brother Chaghatae: what is advisable?" After that he inquired. "Hath any person among you an ingot of gold or of silver ready at hand?" One of his attendants bowed and said: "I have an ingot of silver with me" Uktae said, "Give it to that Musalman, and tell him to throw it into the reservoir: and that, when my brother Chaghatae reaches this spot and sees him, and questions him, he must say . 'An ingot of silver of mine hath fallen into the water, and I have entered the reservoir in order to search for it,' so that he may escape being put to death" Uktāe's attendants gave the ingot of silver to that Musalman, and he threw it into the water; and Uktae urged his horse onwards

When <u>Chaghatāe</u> reached the spot, he perceived that Musalmān in the water, and commanded his attendants to

[•] And a great many more forming the Code of the Chingiz Khan entitled Yasa, or Yasah

I in all these lustonics which I have gone through, strange to say, I have never met with the slightest allusion to coincid money in any shape with the exception of the balast or ingot

Thomas, in his "Pathan Kings," gives the description of a coin bearing the name of "Chingir Khān," and the Khalifah un-Nāsii's title, and he considers it genume. It must therefore be a coin of one of the subjected Musalmān rulers of Chūr or Kaṇmān, or parts adjacent, such as Hesan, the Kailūgh Tuik, and others mentioned in these pages, and not a Mughal coir. It beats no date

seize him. He demanded of him, saying: "Since it is the pasah of the Khān that no living being should go into the water, why hast thou acted to the contrary? we must kill thee." The Musalmān represented: "An ingot of silver of mine hath fallen into this reservoir, and I have got into the water in order to seek for it." Chaghatāe directed so that a number of Mughals entered the water, and made search for the ingot, and having found it brought it, and by the expedient and kindness of that just and beneficent sovereign, Uktāe, the Musalmān escaped." May the Almighty lighten his punishment hereafter!

Through his efforts, numerous Musalmans escaped from the hands of the accursed tyrant. Chaghatae. A number of trustworthy persons have related after this manner, that, judging from the ancient chronicles of bygone times, and of past ages, that, in the states of Turkistan, and the countries of Chin, Tingit, and Tamghai, no sovereign more beneficent nor of better disposition than Uktae ever placed foot in stirrup. When he became firmly established in the dominions of his father, and his brothers and the Nüvīns and Khāns of Turkistān, submitted to his authority. he girded up his loins, and organized and equipped his armies, and despatched them towards different countries. The Mughal Nū-yin, Jurmāghūn, was despatched into 'Irāk in the year 626 II, and the Nū-yin, Mangūtah was sent towards Ghaznin, and, in the before-mentioned year, Uktāe made over to his charge Tukhāristān, Kunduz, and Tāl-kān . and the Maliks of Khurāsān, Ghūr, Kirmān,

⁹ Our author does not appear to have known the reason why, in the ideas of the Tāttārs and Mughals, bathing in such a way required to be strictly prohibited. The prohibition was that "during the seasons of spring and summer no one should immerse himself in running water [one writer says, "in the day-time"], nor wash his hands in streams, nor wash his garments, and afterwards spread them in the open country to dry, and that water should not be taken from running streams in vessels of gold or of silver, because, in the belief of these people, such acts are the cause of increase of thunder and lightning, which, in their localities, from the beginning of spring to the end of summer, while rain used continually to fall, prevailed to such a degree, that the lightning was fearful, and the roaring of the thunder tremendous."

³ Nominated to proceed, probably, but not despitched until the following year in which he crossed the Jihūn or Āmūiah

He was a Mangkūt, or, as it is also written, Manghūt Mughal

One or two modern copies of the text have Tae-kan here, but all the rest

and Fars, and those, who still continued in [possession of] different forts and strongholds, all proceeded to Kara-Kuram, to the presence of Uktae, and requested that Shahnahs [Intendants] might be sent to them; and different parts of Khurasan began to thrive again.

Another anecdote of Uktāe's countenance of Musalmāns is apposite, and is [here] inserted.

ANECDOTE.

Trustworthy persons have related that Chaghatae, the accursed, was, at all times, striving to oppress the Muhammadans, and devising means to bring trouble and calamity upon the people of Islam, and bringing ruin upon those that remained of them and causing their extirpation, so that no sign or trace of them might anywhere be found. In the diffusion of that iniquity he was wont to machinate and to labour, and was in the habit of instigating a party of Mughals-Nū-vins and Bahādurs-in such a manner that they used to bring to Uktāe's notice words and acts on the part of Musalmans such as used to be the source of trouble and injury to the people of Islām, and the cause of their ruin and destruction, until, on one occasion. Chaghatae incited one of the priests of the idol-worshippers, which sect, in the Turki language, they style Tunian [Tunis], on such wise, that he came before Uktae

are as above As Tāe-kān 15 in Tukhāristān, which is first mentioned, as well as Kunduz. Tāi-kān of Khurāsān 15 undoubtedly referred to

Which is always mentioned as the asal or original yürat of the Chingiz Khān, and known also as the Uidüe-Bāligh It was not a city, but an encampment

* Üktäe is said, by the Pro-Mughal Historians, to have poused balm into the wounds inflicted by his father. There was certainly much balm wanted, but many of the wounds have never been healed to this day, witness numbers of the once most flourishing eities of Asia, which still lie in ruins. Üktäe hears the char, etei of a just ruler, and his liberality was excessive. When the records of his gifts and giants were made up, it was found that he had expended not less than 100,000 tomāns of gold bāligh, some say 60,000; but the statements respecting the value of each bāligh varies. Some compute a bāligh of gold at 500 mislāls, others at 60 du homs and 2 dāngs, some, 8 dīnāis and 2 dāngs, and others 8 du homs and 2 dāngs.

In some copies of the text it is 14-inān—ويل —as in Rubriquis, who calls them 'Tumans," and says they were idolaters. This word has sorely puzzled some of the copyrets of the different MSS of the text collated, but, in the Printed "Official" Text, it is invariably a istaken for the plant form of

and stated, saying: "I have seen the Chingiz Khān in a dream, and he has given me a command to convey unto thee, and thou, who art Uktae, his son, and installed by him, in his place, shouldst neither neglect, in any way, to carry out that command, nor deviate from, or fail to comply with that vasah [ordinance]; and let it not be that thou receive not the Chingiz Khān's approbation. The mandate is this that the Chingiz Khan has commanded. saving: 'The Musalmans have grown exceedingly numerous, and, in the end, the downfall of the Mughal empire will be brought about by the Musalmans, therefore it is necessary that the whole of them that are in our whole dominions, to wit, from the countries of Chin. Tamghāj, Tingit, and Turkistān, as far as the land of I-rān and 'Ajam, you should put to death, and not leave name nor vestige of them to remain.' I have now delivered unto thee the command of the Chingiz Khan, thy father, and have removed that obligation from my neck. It behoveth thee to comply with it, and show obedience thereto, and not grant respite of life to the Musalman peoples, so that the empire may not sustain deterioration."

When he [the priest] conveyed this command to Uktāe, who was a just, wise, prudent, and sagacious monarch, and friendly towards the Musalmān people, he, of his princely penetration, perceived that this statement was false and a lie, that, from the utterance thereof, the odour of fabrication pervaded the smelling sense of discrimination, and that it appeared to have been hatched by his brother, Chaghatāe—the Almighty's curse upon him! Uktāe presently commanded that, for the Tūnī idol-worshipper, a grand place should be got ready, with due preparation and arrangement for his accommodation, and provided with all things requisite and befitting for him, and said to him: "This command is a very serious and awful one, and it

the Turkish title, Nū-in, Nū-yin, or Nū-yin, which so often occurs, namely, Nū-inān-yip—or Nū-yinān—yip—The Editors of the Calcutta Text probably imagined that I was an error for. The same errors occur in the printed text in the account of Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār, the Khalj ruler of Lakhanawati Soe note 3, page 567.

Farther on, our author styles them "the idol-worshippers of Tingut and Tamghāj". The singular form of the word above referred to is Tünt or Tülnt

will be necessary to shed the blood of a vast number of people There is not a person among the Maliks, Nū-yins, Bahaduis, and Juzbis, that has not a great number of Musalman captives, and they [the Maliks, etc] are dispersed in various parts of the territories of Chin, Tamghai, Turkistan, I-ran, and 'Ajam It is absolutely necessary that this command should be communicated to them, in order that the whole of them may act according to its precept, and therefore it is now necessary for thee to wait so that this mandate may be sent out into the whole of the Mughal dominions, in order that the Nū-yins, Juzbis, and Bahadurs, and other Maliks, may assemble, and this awful command may be communicated to them, in such wise that all of them may be required to comply with it" Uktae consequently issued directions so that they lodged this Tuni idol-worshipper at the place which had been fixed upon, in such a manner that he was treated with honour and reverence, and not a tittle of the minutiæ of due attention was left unobserved, because that accursed Gabr had some name and reputation in the region of Turkistan, and in Tamghai and Chin.

As soon as the prescribed period expired, and the grandees of the Mughal dominions assembled, Uktāe commanded so that they convoked a great assembly, attended with princely ceremony, such as was the established custom of that people [the Mughals], and all with befitting formality, and observance of the prescribed duties. After this, Uktāe ascended the throne; and the whole of the grandees of the empire were present, with loins girded,

This word is spelt in three different ways, as mentioned in the preceding note. It is applied by the Karghiz nomads, and other tribes erroncously supposed to be Karghiz, to their chiefs or the heads of tribes, in the present day.

As these Maliks are immediately after styled 'the Maliks of Turkistän,' it would seem to infer that they held Musalmäns of 'Ajam in bondage, while they, as in the case of Arsalān Khān of Kaiālik, mentioned at page 1023, were Musalmān Turks

I have previously mentioned that this term is not solely applied to Päisis or Fire Worshippers, any more than taisā is to Christians. Our author uses the last word with respect to Christians in several places. It does not follow, therefore, that the person above mentioned was a Fire-Worshipper, nor need I write an essay on fire-worship in Mughalistān for the occision. Our author here simply means to say that he was an infidel, or unbeliever

before the throne; and those among them who were permitted to sit came down on the knees of homage. then commanded that that Tuni should be conducted into his place of audience with all honour and reverence. When he arrived, and sat down before the throne, Uktae said. " Now is the time that thou shouldst pronounce the command of the Chingiz Khan, and declare what that command is, in order that all may obey it." That Tuni stood up, and pronounced the command of the Chingiz Khan in the manner he pretended he had received it, and delivered it. All present bowed their heads to the ground. and, with one accord, said: "We have heard the command, and we bend our necks thereto. What is the will of Uktae, the sovereign of the time, with respect to the mode in which it is necessary to obey this command, and how it behoveth to proceed, in order that we may all of us act accordingly?" Uktāe replied "Every claim requires proof and demonstration, in order that truth from falsehood, and right from wrong, may be distinguished; and this statement requires the testimony of witnesses of the circumstances, because, if it turns out correct that it is the command of the Chingiz Khan, it will be necessary for all to obey that command; and, if it is false and a fabrication, or is the invention of this person, or invented at the instigation of an insidious person, the blood of people, subjects, and servants, must not be shed for a lie."

On Uktäe's concluding this speech, those present bowed their heads to the ground [saying] "This which the Khān speaks, the whole of the sages of the world, the discriminating and the exalted in intellect, cannot add to, for it is a princely speech and a noble saying, which excellency of understanding and sovereignty indeed demands, and no created being is able to place the hand of objection to the forehead of this command, but it behoveth that Uktāe should direct and point out in weat manner the truth or falsehood, veracity or falsity thereof, may be demonstrated and made known." Uktāe turned his face towards that Tūnī idol-worshipper, saying: "Dost thou understand the Mughalī language, or the Turkī language,

¹ This shows that he was of high rank and position.

or dost thou know both those tongues?"3 The Tuni idol-worshipper replied: "I understand the Turki language, and I do not understand the Mughali tongue." Uktae turned his face towards the hereditary Mughal grandees, whose lineage and descent were from pure Mughals, and said: "Unto ye it is certain and clear, that the Chingiz Khan used not to understand any language whatever save the Mughali language." They all bent their heads to the ground, and, with one accord, replied: "Indeed, such is the case, that the Chingiz Khan understood no other language than the Mughali." Uktae. turning his face towards that Tuni, asked: "In what language did the Chingiz Khan deliver this command unto thee in the Mughali or the Turki language? If he spoke in Mughali, seeing thou dost not understand it, in what manner didst thou comprehend what he was saying; and, if he spoke in Turki, since he used not to understand Turki, how did he communicate the order? Give an answer from which an odour of truth may come, in order that action may be taken thereon"

That impious, malevolent, cursed, Tuni remained silent and confounded, on such wise that the breath did not issue from that infernal one, and he became completely shamed All the Mughal grandees and the Maliks of Turkistan bowed their heads to the ground, and with one accord said. "This command [from the Chingiz Khān] is false, and is devoid of truth" Uktae said to the Tuni: "For the sake of my own dignity, and that of my brother Chaghatae, I do not inflict punishment upon thee. Return to thy abode, and say unto Chaghatae, and to his dependents, that they must draw back their hands from afflicting and oppressing Musalmans, as they are our brothers and friends; in them the strength of our dominion is evident, and, with their assistance, many peoples have been subdued by us" May Almighty God mitigate his torments [in hell]!

^{*} Here is an indication that the dialects must have been, at this period, very different from each other

s Some of the Historians of the Mughal sovereigns, who give this anecdote in half a dozen lines, state that Uktāe ordered this Tunt to be put to death, but they do not say who or what he was, merely "a person" A similar plot,

Some persons, whose statements are worthy of reliance, have so stated that such-like favours and reverence were a proof of this, that Uktäe, secretly, had become a Musalmän: but God knows the truth.

ACCOUNT OF THE NOMINATION OF ARMIES FROM TURK ISTÂN TO PROCEED INTO THE TERRITORY OF 'IRÂK.

When Uktāc despatched an army towards Khurāsān and 'Irāk, he made the Nū-in Jurmāghūn' the com-

in which the then Yiddi-Küt of the I-ghürs was concerned, is mentioned farther on.

4 "The wish" here is evidently "parent to the thought."

* Considerable convulsions arose in the territories overrun and partially subdued by the Mughala, after the death of the Chingu Khān. I say partially, for such was the fact, wherever troops were not stationed for the purpose of holding possession. These convulsions extended, on one side, as far as Khifchāk, Saksfa, and Bulghār, and, on the other, as far as Khutan, Chin, and Khifā; while the countries west of the Amūlah had been devastated and ruined, but not subdued.

It appears that soon after the decease of the Chingis Khān, on the borders of Imgkūt, Tūli Khān, who was in possession of the Ulugh or Great Yšrat of his father, in accord with, and at the advice of, the great nobles present there, thought it advisable to despatch the Nā-yīn, Ilidāie [the lightkdāe of others. See page 1049], and Kyuk Khān, son of Uktāe, with troops, towards the frontiers of the country of Kolghān [gulay], or Kolghān [gulay], After slaughtering the people and devastating the country, according to the fashion of modern Christian warriors, they reduced it; and an Anak of Ting-kit, named Bahādur [say], with a strong force, was left to hold it.

I notice that Colonel C. M. MacGregor, C. B., in his Gasetteer entitled "Combral Aria: Afghāmstān," Part II., in his article on "Kūrain," has been led into an unfortunate error respecting the death of the Chingix Khān, on the anthority of one of the writers he quotes. viz., "Wood, Burns, Moorcroft," but which of the three does not appear. He says, page 573, "It is said that Jangez Khān [this is the "popular" way of writing the name] died here from the effects of a melon sent to him from Balkh, in which there was a little pernicious msect"! This place is but 113 miles in a direct line N N.W. from Kābul. Where Kābul? Where Tingjaūt? See page 1085, and note at page 1085.

To remedy the state of affairs just mentioned was Ukthe's first object after he ascended the throne, and he prepared to despatch bodies of troops into various parts. Alfi says that as soon as he was established he despatched Kokthe and Swidhe [Sahudah] with three tomins of troops—according to the pro-Mughal accounts three tomins as the maximum strength of their armies, and is, of course, wholly incorrect—into Kibchhik—or Khichhi, as our author writes it—Bulghhir, and Sahsin. This is probably the season why some European writers have mixed up this expedition with the former one in which

mander of it; and on that army marching towards that territory it consisted of about 50,000 Mughals, and other

Swidde [Sahūdah] and Jabah [Yamah] were engaged, already described in the note at page 1000

In 628 H., the Nü-yin, Jurmäghün or Jürmäghün, of the Mangküt tribe, was despatched at the head of three temāns of horse [the "three" temāns again], which, as I have previously stated, nominally consisted of 1000 men to each ming or hazārah, ten of which constituted a temān, but really the ming, instead of merely numbering 1000 men, was often double and quadruple that number, as shown in note at page 1093. Our author's estimate of the strength of Jürmäghjin's army is, I beheve, nearest the truth

Another object, and the principal one, in despatching Jürmäghün, was to operate against Sulfan Jaläl-ud-Din, Khwärazm Shāh, and, at the time of giving orders for the despatch of this army, Üktäe turned his face towards one of the leaders named lilimäs———or Itmäs——or Itmäs——as it is also written, and said "If any one among ye is able to finish the affair of the Sulfan it is thou," and so it happened, according to the Tärikh-i-Jahān-gfr, for, when Jürmäghün reached the vicinity of Işfahān, he detached Itītmäs, with a body of troops, in advance, to operate against the Sulfān.

It is necessary here to go back a little. After the capture of Urganj of Khwārazm, the Chingra Khān installed in that territory, as Shaḥmah or Intendant, Chin-Timūr, sometimes called Jai-Timūr, who belonged to one of the tribes of the Karā-Khiṭā-i, and this person is probably one of the family of the Gūr Khān, mentioned in the note on that dynasty, page 934. He had remained Intendant in Khwārazm up to this period [he had never, as yet, been governor of Khurāsān, but he became so shortly after], and, when been governor of Khurāsān, but he became so shortly after], and, when Uktāe, before setting out towards Khiṭāe, despatched the Nū-yīn, Jūrmāghūn, mto liān Zamīr, he confirmed Chin-Timūr in his former appointment [Fasih-ī says Uktāe nominated him Hākim of all Īrān-Zamīn in 628 ii], and commanded that all the Intendants in those parts should proceed, in person, to Jurmāghūn's camp, and render him all possible aid Jūrmāghūn crossed the Āmūiah in 627 ii.

On receipt of this mandate, Chin-Timür set out by way of Shahristänah for Jürmäghün's camp; and the different Princes of the family of the Chingir Khān, located in the parts lying nearest to Khurāsān, were directed to despatch Amirs of their owe, with their contingents, to join Jürmäghün's army force of three tomāns was thereby increased by 50,000 additional troops, thus showing that our author's estimation of the number was pretty correct. The number of followers with Jürmäghün's whole force is said to have been innumerable.

After that leader had, as he supposed, arranged the affairs of Khurüsän, and commenced his march westward, two Amirs of Sultan Jaläi-ud-Din, Karächah and Tughan-i-Sunkur, who were at Nighäbür [nc in MSS.] commenced attacking and making raids into the parts around, and slew the Intendants left in Khuräsän by Jürmäghün; and other "rebels" and "disaffected people" [ail are rebels and disaffected people who prefer their former independence to a foreign yoke in these enlightened days, as in the dark ages, so called] were daily creating sedition in that quarter. Such being the case, Jürmäghün sent back Chin-Timür, along with his deputy, Kalläd, with troops, against these Khwārasmi Amirs [they were, as their names indicate, Turks of Khwārasmi in

races of Turkistān, and captives of Khurāsān; and the number, which turned their faces towards 'Irāk, amounted, in all, to about 100,000 horse.

On reaching that country in the year 628 II., they massacred so many of the people of that territory and parts adjacent that the pen hath not the power of recording them; and all the cities of 'Irāk, and the Jibāl [of 'Irāk-i-'Ajam], of Arān, of Āzarbāijān, Gilān, the territory of Rustam-dār, which is on the shores of the Baḥr-i-Khurz [the Caspian sea], as far as the Dar-band-i-Rūm [the Caspian Gates], and Ṭabaristān, the whole were ravaged, pillaged, and laid waste, with the single exception of the city of Ṣafahān [Isfahān], which was not taken for a period of fifteen years after the first irruption of the Chingiz Khān, the Mughal, and the entry of his forces into the country of 'Irāk, as will be subsequently recorded in its proper place.

That army of Mughals [under Jurmäghun] entered through the Hulwän Pass, and ravaged the country up to the neighbourhood of the metropolis of Islām—the city of Baghdād. On several occasions, from the Court of the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Mustanşir B'illah, the Maliks of Islām, with the troops of 'Ajam, and Turks,' Kurds, and 'Arabs [in his service], were despatched to repel the Mughals and the infidel hosts. They [the troops of the Khalifah] frequently engaged in holy warfare against the infidels, and encountered them in many conflicts; and, upon all occasions, the troops of Islām were triumphant and victorious.⁶ During that period, in no way, could the infidels seize upon any of the parts adjacent to, and [immediately] round about, the Dār-ul-Khilāfat, Baghdād.

the direction of Nighäbür and Tüs, and, after the rout of one of them—Karachah—Kalbād returned to Jürmäghün's army again, and Chin-Timür appears, from what followed, to have returned to his post in Khwārarm and Māzandarān, which events will be found referred to at page 1120, note 2.

⁶ All the copies of the text have 623 H, which, of course, is a great error, since the Chingiz Khān only died in 624 H, and Üktāe succeeded in the third month of 626 H. . 623 is evidently a mistake of الله for الله and, besides, at page 1109 he states that Jürmāghūn was despatched in 626 H.

I Turks had been entertained in the service of the Khalifahs for three centuries previous to this period.

See note 5, page 711.

The accursed Jurmāghūn, who was the commander of the infidel Mughals, pitched his camp in the neighbourhood of Kum and Kāshān, and some [of his forces] he despatched on incursions into Fārs and Kirmān. The Atā-Bak, Abū-Bikr of Fārs, who is the sovereign of that territory, and likewise the brother of the Hājib, Burāk,

There is a mistake here: it is his brother's son who is meant. Burak, the Hairb, is the traitor who managed to obtain possession of Kirman, and who afterwards murdered Malik Ghiyaş-ud-Din, Ak Sultan, brother of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din. To make interest with the Mughals, and get confirmed in his usurpations, he sent the head of that Prince to Uktae Ka'an, and he was contermed in the rulership of Kirman This "illustrious man," par excellence, came into Khwarazm, along with his brother, Husam-ud-Din, Hamid-i-Bür, in the reign of Sultan Takish, along with others, to collect the tribute for the Gur Khan of Kara-Khitae, and they were induced to remain in Khwarazm. and there turned Musalmans Some say they were not permitted to return; but this seems improbable, as they are said to have been brothers of Jai-Timur-i-Taniko, or Baniko, son of Kalduz, chief of Taraz, the leader of the Gur Khān's army; and others, again, that they came after the defeat of their elder brother, Baniko Whether they were detained or not, or came after or before Baniko's defeat, they rose in the service of Sulfan Takish and his successor; and Krwak Khan, son of Hamid-1-Bür, became the commander of a body of troops, and was killed at Bukhārā when the Chingis Khān appeared before it, and Burāk, Hamid's brother, became one of the Sulfan's chamberlams. Another brother was styled Aghūz Malik. See page 282, note ?, page 283, and note to page 934

This "illustrious man"—illustrious for the murder of his master and benefactor's son, and treachery towards another, to whem he presented a daughter for his huram—received from Sulfän Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn, Pfr Shāh, the title of Kutlügh Sulfān, and from the Mughal Ka'ān, to whom he sent Ghiyāg-ud-Dīn head, the title of Kutlügh Khān, Ṣāhib [i e. Lord] of Kurmān." Burāk died in 632 H, and Kuth-ud-Dīn, Abū-l-Fath, son of Jai-Timūr-i-Bānīko, or Tānīko, who stood in the position of nephew, step-son, and son-in-law to Burāk, assumed the authority, according to the latter's will.

In the same year, several of the Khwarazmi Amirs, who, on the arrival of the Mughal army at Urgan, had fied from thence, and had come to Shiraz, to the Court of Salghur Suljan, Abe-Bikr-1-Sa'd, from thence came [with their followers] to Jirast of Kirman. They were Aor Khan, Sunj Khan, and Timur Malik, that second Rustam and second Islandiyar, the defender of Khujand [See note at page 972, para. 3], and from Jiraft they unexpectedly made a dash upon this same Kuth-ad-Din, son of Jan-Timur-1-Taniko, but he encountered and overthrew them. Some of the party, which appears to have been not very numerous, were killed in the encounter, some were taken prisoners, and some took to flight After the victory, Kutb-ud-Din treated his captives with favour, gave them dresses of honour, and sent them back to Shiraz; and the Atā-Bak, Abū-Bikr, son of Sa'd, sent, and made apologies to Kuth-ud-Din, and stated that he had been totally unaware of their intention. These Kuth-ud-Din accepted, and declared hunself satisfied. In 633 H he proceeded to the Urdii of Uktae Ka'an, in order to get his accession to the sovereignty of Kirman acknowledged and confirmed, but the Ka'an directed that

the Khiṭā-i, who had become ruler of Gawāshir and Kirmān, entered into an accommodation with the Mughal forces, and agreed upon a fixed sum as tribute which they should pay them yearly. The territories of Fārs and Kirmān, through that conclusion of peace, became tranquillized, and remained safe from the molestation of the forces of the Mughal infidels. The whole of the remainder of the cities of 'Irāk, Azarbāijān, and Ţabaristān, were ruined and destroyed.

At this period, likewise, armies of Mughals were nominated to march from the side of Turkistān into the territories of Kābul, Ghaznīn, and Zāwulistān; and Malik Saif-ud-Dīn, Hasan, the Karlugh—the Almighty's mercy be upon him!—when he saw, on several occasions, that he could not resist the power of the infidel Mughals except in the way of enduring vassalage, submitted to be dependent on them, and consented to receive Shahnahs [Intendants]; and the Maliks of Ghūr and Khurāsān likewise all obtained Shahnahs

The Bahādur, Tā-ir, was appointed to proceed into the territory of Hirāt from Turkistān, and Mughal forces advanced towards the country of Nim-roz. These events came to pass in Sijistān and Nim-roz, on the second occasion, during the time of Malık Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, the Khwārazmi, who, in Sistān, had acquired strength, and had laid in abundant stores and munitions of war. In

Kutb-ud-Dîn should be sent awayınto Khıţāe to serve under the Şāḥib Wazir, Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, and the vassal sovereignty over Kirmān was given to the late Burāk's son, Rukn-ud-Din, Mubārak-i-Khwājah Jūk, and he was installed on the 28th of Sha'bān, 633 H.

Rukn-ud-Din ruled axteen years, and was afterwards deposed by command of Mangu Kä'än, in 650 H.; and Kuth-ud-Din, son of Jai-Timur-i-Bāniko, was restored. Subsequently, Kuth-ud-Din, by the Kä'än's command, put the deposed Rukn-ud-Din to death.

This Jiraft is the same well-known city, two miles in extent, which, in a work entitled "Eastern Persia," "with an Introduction by Maj General Sir F. J. Goldsmid, K.S.I.," one of the contributors, Major O. B 5t John, R E., says was "not a town, but a district"!

Tavernier, who visited it, says "Girefte," as he spells it, "is one of the biggest cities in the province of Kerman," and that its trade is "hones and wheat."

¹ See page 180. There our author says that Abū-Bikr brought dishonour and reproach upon himself through becoming tributary to the infidels. See also note ⁸ to the same page

the year 625 II, a Mughal army entered the territory of Nim-roz and invested the fortress of Uk of Sijistān, which lies in the direction of north-east from the Shahristān of Sistān. For a period of nineteen months that army sat

When intimation reached Ukläe Ka'an of the agitated state of affairs in the provinces of Khurāsān, he directed that the Bahādur, Tā-īr, who, as stated by our author farther on, at this time was located in the territory of Hirāt, and stationed at Bādghais, which is a dependency of Hirāt, should move from that place, with his troops, against Karāchah, one of the two Amīrs of Sulfān Jalāl-ud-Dīn, referred to in the note at page 1116, who was still fighting against the Mughals. Bādghais, the chief place of this district, I beg leave to 479, is not and never was called Bādkhis or Badgheis. It is the place before which Tūkchār, one of the sons-in-law of the Chingiz Khān, was killed, as mentioned in note at page 989. There is no kh in the word it is written

Tä-Ir was directed to crush Kaiāchah, according to the Fanākatī, and put down the outbreak with the utmost severity. He began his march accordingly, but, on the way, heard of the reduction and rout of Kaiāchah having been already effected by Kalbād, and that the former had taken refuge within the fortress of Ük of Sijistān or Zāwulistān. On this, the Bahādur, Tā-fr, marched against it, invested it for a period of two years; and at length, the

walls having been mined, the fortress was taken

After the Bahādur, Tā-īr, had gained possession of the foitress of Ük of Sijistān, he despatched an agent to Chīn-Tīmūr saying, that, by virtue of the mandate received from the Court of the Kā'ān, the government of Khurāsān had been assigned to him, Tā'īr, and requested that Chīn-Tīmūr would refrain from exercising any authority therein. He replied that the statement that the people of Khurāsān were rebellious was false, and that their fore he could not see why such an extensive tract of territory, and so many subjects, should be ruined on account of the misdeeds of Karāchah, no doubt referring t what had already taken place in Sijistān and Khurāsān. Chīn-Tīmūr further stated that he would transinit a statement of the case to the Kā'ān, and act as he might be instructed.

This reply was not palatable to the agent of Tā-īr, and he left the presence of Chin-I imūr m a rage. Subsequently, at Tā-īr's request, probably, the Nū-yin, Jūrmāghūn, sent to Chin-I imūr, requesting that he, along with the Amīrs despatched by the Pinces [mentioned in the previous note] to reinforce him, would return to Khwārazm and Māzandaiān, where he had been Inten-

dant, and leave the affairs of Khurāsān in Amīr Tā-īr's hands

Chin-l'imur had nominated Kalbad, one of his principal retainers, to accompany the Amirs of the Princes on their return from Khurasan to the presence of the Ka'an, to do homage, and give an account of these affairs. At this juncture, Malik Bahā-ud-Dīn, Ş'lūk, on the stipulation that he should be allowed to proceed to the presence of the Ka'an, came down from his stronghold in Mazandaran, and submitted. Chin-Timur returned from Mazandaran, whither he appears to have gone; and the holders of most of the strong fortresses of Khurasan, on the report of Bahā-ud-Dīn having submitted, tendered submissioni likewise. On the arrival of Bahā-ud-Dīn, Chin-Timur treated him with the utmost consideration; and another Malik, at this time, also came from Mazandaran for the purpose of proceeding to the presence of the Kâ'an to do

down before the fortress; but, notwithstanding all the efforts and exertions which the Mughal infidels used to

homage, namely, the Aşfahed [see note ', page 262, para. 4], 'Alā ud-Din of the Kabūd Jāmah---in one copy of the Fanākati's work styled Nuşrat ud-Din-he having been approved of by his people and kinsmen for that purpose.

These two Maliks set out for the Urdue-Baligh, accompanied by Kalbad, in 630 H. As, previous to this occasion, not one of the great Amirs or Maliks of Māzandarān had presented himself before a Mughal sovereign, Üktāe Kā'ān was exceeding well pleased thereat, and he ordered entertainments to be given in their honour, and showed them great favour. Chin-Timur and Kalbād, in consequence, were distinguished by the Kā'ān with various favours and benefits; and Uktāe observed: "During the period that Jürmäghün has been away, and has gained possession of such an extent of territory, he has never yet sent a single Malik to me, while Chin-Timur, with such a small following and slender means, has done such good service. I therefore appoint him to the government of Khurāsān las well as Khwārazm and Māzandarān which he then held], and no other Amirs shall have aught whatever to do with those parts." Kalbad was also associated with Chin-Timur [subordinate to him]; and the Ka'an conferred, in fief, upon the Asfahed of the Kabud Jamah, the tract extending from the limits of the Kabud Jamah territory to Astar-abad, and, upon Baha-ud-Din, Şa'lük, the districts of Isfarafn, Jü-in, Bathak, Jā-jurm, Khūrand, and Arghaian, and, to each of them, the Kā'ān presented a commission written in gold, and gave a yarlizh, or patent, to Chin-Timur.

When Chin-Timur became duly installed, in accordance with the yarligh of the Ka'an, he appointed Sharaf-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Yazdi, to be the Wazir of his government, and Baha-ud Din, Muhammad, the Jū-ini, the Şāḥib-1-Diwani, or Head of the Revenue Department, and that department under him assumed order and lustre. Not long after this, Chin-Timur was about to despatch an official, named Kürküz, also written Kurküz, on a mission to the presence of the Kā'ān, but Kalbād strongly objected to it. saying | "Ile is an I-ghur, and will take care to make matters subservient to his own interests only, and therefore it is not advisable to send him." Chin-Timur, however, did not alter his determination, and Kürküz was despatched. Contrary to Kalbad's expectations, when he reached the presence of the Ka'an, and the latter inquired of him respecting the state of the people, and the territory of Khurasan, and other provinces under the control of Chin-Timur, he gave such details and information as greatly pleased the Kä'an, and made him well satisfied, and he expressed himself accordingly sent back again, having fulfilled his mission in the manner desired, and, the requests made having been granted, he returned, and, shortly after [in 633 H], Chin-Timur died.

D'Ohsson has made a muddle of these affairs, if the extract contained in the "Mongols Proper" [pp. 133, 134] be from his work, and he could scarcely have understood the passage, or else he had an incorrect manuscript before him, whereby a good man, and an efficient administrator, is turned into a tyrant, torturer, and extortioner, and a causer of disaffection through his exactions. His co partner, Kalbād, figures under the name of Kelulat, and as the "general of Chin Timur:" and such is history!

Amir Chin Timūr having died in 633 H., Amir Tüsäl--پوال--whom some style Üsäl--پان was nominated to succeed him in the government of İran-

make, in no way did they succeed in gaining possession of that fortress and city, until pestilence overcame the

Zamîn [as much of it as was under Mughal sway at that period], but the executive authority was administered by his Deputy, Kürküz, already referred to. Tüsâl or Üsâl died in 638 H, and Amîr Arghün, the Uîr-ât, was nominated to succeed him, after he had acquired the requisite acquaintance with the duties of the office of Bakhshi-gar, and proficiency in the duties of the Batak-chîān department. He was first despatched to make a report on the manner in which Kürküz had administered the government, and, subsequently, held it himself for ten years. The fate of Kürküz will be related subsequently.

This is stated differently in Alff, wherein it is mentioned that in the year 627 H., Tāj-nd-Dīn, Bināl-Tīgīn, the Khwīrazmī, having raised the banner of sovereignty in Sijistān, an army of Mughals was sent against him, but under what leader is not mentioned, and that the fortress of Ük [6]:], which is situated in the north-east part of that territory, was invested. The investment was carried on for a period of nineteen months; and all the efforts of the Mughals to take the fortress were of no avail, until pestilence arose, and then it fell. Our author may have mistaken the year, or perhaps it is the mistake of a scribe; and, taking into consideration the date of Üktāe's accession, Alfī appears to be correct.

This is the affair related by our author above. He was resident in the neighbourhood, was moving about those parts just before these events took place—as has been related, and will be again mentioned farther on—and was personally acquainted with the defender of this fortress, and therefore is worthy of credit. Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Binal-Tigin, must have been the person referred to by the Fanākatī as Karāchah, or the latter joined him. That author, however, gives no further account of these proceedings, and the two Khwārazmī Amīra are not again referred to by him, and, such being the case, our author's secount is evidently the correct one. For some account of Bināl-Tigin and his fate, see pages 199 to 202.

Uk, which is a well-known place in history, was never called "Oke" nor "Hok," as it appears in a recent compilation, unless such can be made out of soil which would be rather difficult. It has been in ruins for many years; and Afghāns have often brought me Bakhtrīān and Sasāniān coins from it. Its site is between Farāh and Zaranj, or the city of Sîstān or Sijistān, as it is also called.

Ok is also the place referred to at pages 34 and 201, but regarding which the different copyists, with scarcely an exception, from its similarity to \$\delta_i \| \text{i} = arg_i\$ a citadel—and from its being mentioned in connexion with \$\delta_i \| \text{k} = kala'k_i\$, a fortress—have jumped at the conclusion that arg must be meant. I find an example of great sagacity of this kind in the Ro. As. Society's copy of the Fanākati's work. The word was correctly written with 3 but someone partly erased the letter to make an 1 of it!

The fortress of Uk is again mentioned, nearly three centuries after, when, in 908 H., Sulţān 'All, the Arghūn, brother of the Amir, Zū-un-Nūn, Arghūn, was governor or feudatory of the territory of Sijistān, at which time, at the instigation of Khudā Kuli, governor of the fort of Lāgh, Sulţān Ḥusain Mirzā despatched a force against Sulţān 'Ali.

The word Shahristan signifies the walls round a city, also a kushk with many gardens; but this place is one of the very few old sites, the names of which exist unaltered to the present day. The Shakristan stands upon or

Musalmans of the fortress, and until matters reached such a pitch among the people thereof, that one or two hundred men, who would be collected together in one place, would suddenly—Be the readers preserved from such a fate!—pass to the Almighty's mercy.

Trustworthy persons have related that, one night, the people of the fortress projected the formation of an ambuscade during the night, for the purpose of repelling the Mughal troops, and that they should conceal themselves among some of the kilns outside the northern gate. It was determined that, when the morning dawned, [a body of lighting men should issue from the eastern gate of the citadel, and engage in holy warfare [with the enemy], and, when the Mughal troops should turn their faces towards that body of holy-warriors which should issue from the eastern gate, the kettle drums should be sounded on the summit of the fort. Then the body of men in ambush outside the northern gate should, on hearing the sound of the kettle drums, disclose the ambuscade, and should advance on the rear of the infidel army, and fight for the faith as by the tenets thereof enjoined. According to this determination, about 700 men, Tülakis,3 in complete panoply, issued from the fortress at midnight, and proceeded to the spot fixed upon for the place of ambuscade, and there took up their position. At daybreak the next morning, after having performed their religious duties, the people of the fortress donned their arms and issued forth from the eastern gate, and began the attack upon the infidels. The Mughals, from their camp, turned their faces towards those Musalmans, the champions of the faith, and a severe action commenced; and, when the

close to the ruins of the place here referred to. As I have before mentioned, Zaranj was the capital city of the terratory called Synstän by 'Arabs, and by the people Synstän and Zāwulistān; and the name of "city of Sistān" or "Sinstān," applied to that city, is after the same fashion as styling Organj, Khwārazm. The Masālik was Mamālik says that an ancient city of Sistān was called Rām Shahristān, and that Zaranj was founded by people from that place. There would be nothing easier than to take it for granted that the fortress here referred to is the catadel of the city of Sistān, but Zaranj is not referred to. The fortress in question is a totally different place, and in a different situation.

³ It is explained at page 1062 how the Tülakİs got there.

forces on both sides mingled together at close quarters, with sword, spear, and arrow, according to the previous night's arrangement, they beat the kettle drums within the fortress for the purpose of unmasking the ambuscade. Once, twice, the drums sounded, but not a man issued from the ambuscade; and, of that whole body, not a trace could be discovered Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tīgin, despatched confidential persons, saying: "Go ye and see what is the cause of this delay of the ambuscade party." When those sent came to the spot they found the whole 700 men dead, for they had surrendered their lives to God; and there was no sign of life in any one of them. God preserve us from the like!

This catastrophe has been recorded here in order that those who look into these pages may know, for certain, that, when the wrath of God, the Most High, ariseth, such like marks of punishment are manifested

Trustworthy persons have related that the most common and violent manner in which the pestilence affected the people of the fort of Uk of Sistan was this, that their mouths would begin to ache, and their teeth to become loose, and, on the third day, they used to resign their lives to their Creator. The state of the people of the fortress continued in this way until, suddenly, a woman among the inhabitants thereof became attacked with the aching of the mouth, and, on the second day, her teeth became loose. She had a little daughter, and, on the third night, she called her unto her, seated her by her, and said: "My dear I to-night I will anoint thy hands and feet with hinna with mine own hands, for to-morrow is the third day, and the hour of thy mother's decease." With this view she applied hanna to the hands and feet of her little daughter It is usual with women that, when they apply kinna to the hands and feet of any one, they apply the fingers to the tongue in order that the fingers may be moistened with the saliva of their mouths, and then they apply the hinnā to the part to be dyed Having applied the hinnā to her little daughter's hands and feet, she resigned her heart to death, and went to sleep. In the morning, the

It must be remembered that the night precedes the day in the computation of Oriental peoples

woman's teeth had become firmly fixed at the roots, and the aching of the mouth had entirely passed away. When the third day came and passed, the neighbours and acquaintances found her recovered, and, on the fourth day, she had become quite well again.

People were astonished, and they made inquiry of her about it, saving: "How has it come about, and by what means, that thou art still alive, the disease of the mouth gone, and thy teeth firm? What medicine didst thou take, and what remedy apply?" The woman replied: "I had no medicine whatever, and took none: Almighty God restored me to health." They said . "He is the Author of all things, but, really, what act didst thou put in practice, and what operation was performed by thee?" The woman mentioned the application of hinna to her daughter's hands and feet, the incident of wetting her fingers, and, by that action, of the huma reaching her mouth. The whole [of the neighbours and acquaintances] concurred together that the cure for this disease was hinna, and it so happened that this circumstance reached the [hearing of the] people of the fort, and the incident became diffused, and matters came to such a state, that every one who was attacked with this disorder they used to put hinna in the mouth of, and such person would recover. A mann of hinna reached the price of two hundred and fifty golden dinars, and whoever possessed any acquired great wealth by disposing of it.

Almighty God hath many favours for His servants, but for death there is no antidote!

At last, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigin, was struck in the eye by an arrow, and that eye was lost; and, subsequent to that accident, he was directing the defence of the fortress from the top of one of the towers, when, suddenly, he lost his footing and fell from the top of the fort to the ground, and was taken prisoner. He was made a martyr of, and the fortress of Ük of Sijistān fell into the hands of the Mughals; and the inhabitants were martyred, after a great number of the infidels had gone to hell, as has been previously recorded herein

May God, the Most High, continue the Sultan of Islam, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, MAHMUD SHAH, who is

the Badshah of the present time, upon the throne of sovereignty, victorious and triumphant!

ACCOUNT OF THE DESPATCHING OF MUGHAL FORCES TOWARDS GHAZNÎN AND LUHÂWAR.

When Uktāe ascended the throne, he [likewise] gave orders for forces to proceed towards <u>Gh</u>ūr and <u>Gh</u>aznīn, and the Bahādur, Tā-īr, who had displayed great zeal and energy during the fighting in Sijistān under the standard of one of the great Nū-ins, was made their commander. This great Mughal Nū-in [Tā-īr's leader] in the fighting before Uk of Sijistān had gone to hell, and Uktāe commanded that the Bahādur, Tā-īr, should become the head and commander of that Mughal army, in his place.

Written here, as elsewhere, Luhäwar and Lohor.

Who this great Nü-yin was does not appear, and he is not referred to by others. Tā-ir was in these parts, according to the Histories quoted, previous to the accession of Ukiāe Kā'ān, as early as Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 626 H, and, as already narrated, he was subsequently ordered to aid in putting down the outbreak of Karāchah, so called, in the districts dependent on Nighābūr. After that, Tā-ir invested the fortress of Uk of Suistān. Our author says it held out nineteen months, but some of the Histories, quoted in the previous notes, any it occupied Tā-ir two years, and, under these circumstances, the fortress could not have fallen before the close of the year 628 H., or beginning of 629 H, and not in 625 H., as our author states at page 201. Lāhor was not taken until upwards of ten years after the affair of Uk.

The Tarīkh-1-Alfi states that, in 633 H, Üktäe despatched armies into various parts, including an army, under a leaster named Mukānū [وكاتو]—in some copies, Mukātū [وكاتو]—towards Hind and Kashmir, and that, after ravaging many tracts of country, he returned again [into his own territory]

This is an important item of information, for it clears up a very obscure part of our author's account of Sulfan I yal-timigh's reign, at page 623, when he set out towards Banfan, and had to return through the illness of which he afterwards died; for our author has not stated whom he marched against, but it is evident, from the direction there indicated, and the year, that he must have been marching against this very Mukātū, when sickness obliged him to return. There is nothing mentioned in the Histories of Kaahmīr, of which there are several, of any such invasion

The Nū-yīn, Mangūtah, we first hear of in Indian History, in 643 H. Mukātū must be a different person.

The capture and rum of Hirāt by the Nū-yīn, Iljidāe, or Îlchîkdāe, nephew of the Chingir Khān, in 620 H, and the massacre of its inhabitants, all but eighteen persons, has been already described. Of these survivors seven remained hidden among its ruins, while eleven were at Kalah-i-Kuh, and the Khatīb, the Maulānā, Sharaf-ud-Dīn, was included among them.

When they [the Mughal troops] from Sijistan entered

After the infidel Mughals, and Tättära, had taken their departure from Hirät and its territory, these sixteen persons—all men, it appears—usued from their places of concealment, and assembled before the shop of a certain halwäi-or confectioner, and began to look about them in all directions. Seeing no one, they stroked their faces and exclaimed "Thanks be unto God, tha during our existence we can once more breathe freely!" After this, these sixteen, the unfortunate remnant of the inhabitants of Hirāt, were joined by twenty-four others from the places adjacent to that city; and, for sixteen years it is said, "there were no other inhabitants in Khurāsān; and, for some time from the banks of the Jiḥūn or Āmūfah to the territory of Astar-ābād, if then were any people who had escaped with their lives in some out-of-the-way place, they must have existed upon such things as the dead left unconsumed."

These forty persons passed their time in the tomb of Sulfan Ghiyāş-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sām, Ghūrī, which had not been destroyed by the infidels. A full account of the matter of these persons, and their names, is recorded in the History of Hirāt.

In the year 635 H .- but Alff says in 634 H .- Uktae Ka'an gave orders tha the cities of Khurāsān destroyed by the Mughals should be restored, and among them was Hırāt; and those people of the weaver class, who had been removed from Hirāt when that city surrendered to Tuli Khān in 618 * and had been located in Turkistän and Mughalutän by him, were made the instruments in commencing this good work. The chief men and heads of families among these weavers were the Mukaddam [he is also styled Amir and Peshwä but not signifying a chief, a noble, or a leader here, but Provost] of the Guile of Weavers of Hirat, 'Izz-ud-Din, Hirawi [from Hiri or Hirat], Jalal-ud-Din Mālāni : 5ā'id. Bādghasi : and five others. It had been mentioned to the Ka'an that one of the cities in question was Hirat, and he had been told much respecting its former populousness, wealth, and presperity; so he was the more desirious of re-peopling it. 'Izz-ud-Din, the Provost, with his family and kinsmen, had been located at Bish-Bāligh; and he had obtained access to the Kā'ān's presence, and used, every year, to manufacture a thousand suiti [pieces, probably] of clothes of excellent quality for his use. He was now summoned to the presence of Uktae, who said: "I am going to send thee for the purpose of re-peopling and restoring Hirat. Art thou able to do so quickly, thinkest thou, so that people may be able to say that Hurat is some thing like itself again?" 'Izz-ud-Din replied that, under favour of such a great monarch, he could do so, and that every year he would send, for the Karan's use, 2000 suits of clothes of various delicate colours, such as, in the atmosphere of those northern parts, it was impossible to produce, equal in colours and texture to those of Khurasan Uktae, accordingly, despatched him; and he was allowed to take fifty of his people along with him, and wai also furnished with a mandate to collect people from all parts of Khurasan and locate them at Hirāt.

"Izz-ud-Din set out; and, on the arrival of the excles in 'he neighbourhood of Hirât, the Khaifh, Sharaf-ud-Din, and the others, who had been hving it concealment, came forth to meet them, and conducted them into Hirât, and they set about its restoration. Having made some progress, in the following year [636 H.], the Provost, 'Izz-ud-Din, set out for the urdio of the Kā'ān it arrange certain matters in connexion with the restoration of the city, and made a request that he might be permitted to remove to Hirât his own family, and

Khurāsān, the Nū-in, Anbān, and the Nū-in, Nikū-dar, and the troops which were in the territory of Chūr and Khurāsān, marched towards Ghaznin. Previous to this, they had driven from Baniān Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Karlugh, and had entered into a stipulation with him for payment of tribute, but, notwithstanding this, they were desirous of getting Malik Saif-ud-Din, Ḥasan, the Karlugh, into their hands, and they had been unable to

also the two hundred other families located in Turkistän and Mughalistän His request was so far complied with that he was allowed to take away with him his own family, and one hundred—some say fifty—other families; but, on reaching Fāryāb, death overtook him. On this, his son, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, who then succeeded to his father's office and title of Provost, conducted the families to Hirāt, after which he retraced his steps to the presence of Uktae. This was in the year in which h. Aḥmūd, the Tārāni, broke out in Bukhārā. Having reached the Kā'ān's Urdū, he solicited that a Shaḥnah or Intendant should be appointed to Hirāt, and a Dāroghah [Warden, Provost, etc.]. A Kārlūgh Turk, but whose name is not mentioned, unfortunately, was nominated to the first-mentioned office, and a Mughal named Mangasāe to the last. The former was of the same tribe—but, probably, of the other branch—as Malik Saif ud-Din, Hasan, the Kārlūgh, referred to in the text above. See note? hext page

Shams-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, and the Kālūgh, reached Hīrāt together, but the control of the civil affairs was left, as before, in the Provost's hands. In a short time the Jū-e Injil—a canal so called—was opened and brought into Hīrāt, and the Burj-i-Kārlūgh built, and named after the Intendant in question. In 638 H. Malik Mijd-ud-Dīn, the Kāl-yūnf, was made governor of Hīrāt, by command of līātū Khān [this must have been at the time Ūktāc, fi m his excessive inebriety, had to be looked after, as stated in note 2, page 1142, and Bātū Khān did so by virtue of his position as head of the family. He subsequently exercised authority after Ūktāc's decease, and again during the interregnum after Kyūk Khān's death, as will be noticed farther on] and the Mukaddam, Shams-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, was relieved of his duties. Majd-ud-Dīn, in concert with the Kārlūgh Intendant, opened the Alanjān canal, which became the source of such great prosperity to the Hirāt district.

Majd-ud-Dîn was put to death, after the decease of Üktäe Kä'än, in 640 H, by command of the Nü-yîn, Kürküz, and his head was brought to him at Tüs, but, in the following year, Prince Bātū, as my authority styles him, made Majd-ud-Dîn's son, Shams-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad, the Kāl-yūnī, governor of Hirāt, but he died from the effects of poison in the following year

This name is somewhat doubtful It is written Anbān—الماده as above, أباده and Anfān—الماده أباده الماده أباده الم

"The word used in the text—had such been the case, he could not have been made a tributary. He had been already reduced to subjection and made tributary, as already stated at page 1119

effect their object. In the year 636 H., however, they suddenly and unexpectedly attacked Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, and he fled discomfited from Karmān, Ghaznin, and Baniān, and came towards the Multān territory, and the country of Sind. At that period the throne of Hindüstān was adorned by the Sultān Raziyyat—May she rest in peace!—the daughter of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timish; and the eldest son of Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, presented himself before the Dihli Court, and, by way of beneficence, the territory [fief] of Baran was assigned to him. Some time passed, when,

See note 7, page 498, para. 4

1 Sulfan Raznyyat reached DullI, from I abor, on the 19th of Sha'ban, the eighth month of 637 H.

This favour was shown towards him because he was a Turk, as Raziyyat's father was, and also because a great number of the principal nobles were Turks also His name and title are Malik Näsir ud-Dīn, Muḥammad See page 861. Had he been a Mughal he would probably have been put to death, or kept in durance until he died, as Barkā Khān's agents weie, as will be found mentioned farther on, although their sovercign was a Musalmān

The Karlughs or Karlughs, or Karluks or Karluks, as the name is also written, here referred to, belong to that portion of the tribe mentioned in note ³, page 374, but I may add that there is no tribe of "Koorloogh (preperly [1] called Kharlokh ar Qarluk)" known to history See Journal Ro. Geogr Soc., 1872, note to page 509.

Thomas ["Pathán Kinga"], noticing the coins of those Turkish chiefs, says that "Saif ud din Hasan Karlagh," as he styles him, "was one of the leading generals of Jalál ud din Mankbarnin," but where is the authority for that statement? This chief has been mistaken for Saif-ud-Din, Ighrāk, a totally different person, who deserted the Sultān, after his victory over the Mughals near Barwān; but he and all his followers were destroyed within a few months of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din's defeat on the Indus in 618 H, as mentioned in Yāfa'-i, in the Jahān-Kushā, and others, and detailed at the end of note s, page 1021 Hasan, the Kārlūgh, does not appear on the scene, east of the Indus, until many years after this event. See the preceding page, and page 720

At page 96 of his work, Thomas quotes Major-General A Cunningham's "Archæological Report to the Government of Indias," for 1863-4, to show who the "Karlaghs" were, but that statement is equally erroneous Cunningham makes them out to be Indo-Scythians, and subjects or dependents of the rulers of Dihll, which they never were, the bestowal of Baran upon Hasan's son, notwithstanding, since he left the Dihll frontier very soon after, and, probably, never went to Baran at all His going thither, moreover, would not have made his family and tribe, west of the Indus, dependents upon the Dihll empire.

In order to dispel this very erroneous supposition, and to throw some light upon the matter, it will be well to give, in the first place, a short extract from the "Report" quoted by Thomas Major-General Cunningham says —

unexpectedly, he left it; and, without the permission of the Sultan, returned to the presence of his father.

"The first invasion of the Indo-Scythians must have caused a very general displacement of the ruling races. . . . The vanquished would naturally have sought refuge in the less accessable districts around, and to this period, therefore, I would refer the settlement of the Audian and Janguhas in the Salt Range to the south, and the Gakars in the hilly tracts of Pharwála and Dángali to the south-east" [but what have they to do with the KARLOGH TURKS ?].

"Of their subsequent history but little is recorded, see know only that they were divided into several branches, and that they all became Muhammadans, [When, or in what year?] In the time of Baber, the ruling tribe, called the Karluks Hasáras, héld the districts on both banks of the lower Suhán river, under the chiefs Sangar Khán Karluki and Mirza Malvi Karluki. At a still earlier period the chiefs of this tribe [!]. Hasan Karluk and his son Muhammad. had asserted their independence [of whom? and what history says so?], by striking coins in their own names. The coins of the father are of the well known 'Bull and Horseman' type, with the legend in Nagari letters, 'Sri Hasan Karluk.' The come of the son are of three different kinds, two with Persian characters only, and the third with Persian on one side and Nagari on the other. On the last coin there is a rude figure of a horse surrounded by the chief's name, Núser [sic] ad duniá wa ad din, in Persian letters, and on the reverse his name in three lines of Nagari letters. Sri Muhammad Karluk, On one of the Persian coins this chief calls himself Muhammad bin Hasan Karluk (اللغ), and on the other he takes the title of al-Malik ul-Mua'zam bin Hasan. From the types and general appearance of these come their date may be fixed with certainty as coevel with those of Altamish [1-yal-timish?] and his sons, or from A D 1210 to 1265 The accuracy of this date is strongly confirmed by Ferishtah's account [Dow's or Briggs's Ferishtah ?] of the first campaign of Núser-ud-dín Mahmúd, the youngest son of Altamish In July, A.D. 1247, Mahmud proceeded to Multan [This is quite a mistake See this Translation. pages 677, 678, 679, 814, and 815 Multin is a blunder in the Calcutta Printed Text for Banian— , and, moreover, the expedition was against "the infidels of Chin"—the Mughals-and "the Ranah of the Jud Hills"— " Jas-Päl, Sihrä," and the Khokhars, not the Kärlügh Turks, who had been expelled from their own territory by the Mughals. Mahmud left the capital, not in July-the height of the hot season, but in Rajab, 644 H., about 15th November, 1247 A D., and advanced to the Südharah and the Jhilam, not the "Chenab"], from whence he sent his Vazer towards the mountains of] úd and the provinces [Whose provinces?] on the Indus . . . to this account, the rebellion [against whom was this rebellion, and what History says so?] lasted for about twelve years, from the death of Altamish, in A.D. 1235, until the close of Mahmud's campaign in the end of 1247 [Did the rebellion end then?]. It is to this period that I refer the assumption of independence [of whom?] by Hasan Karluk and his son Muhammad. The age of these coins, as I have observed, corresponds exactly with the date of this rebellion, and the coins themselves before [sic] are found in greatest number in the rebellious districts of the mountains of Jud."

The remarks on these counts, and their correctness, are such as we might expect from Major-General Cummigham's knowledge of the subject, but the

On Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, entering the country of Sind, the territory of Ghaznin, and Kar-

historical, as shown by our author, in various places in his History, and by many other writers, are entirely erroneous, and are further proofs of the danger of trusting to translations of "Ferishtah."

For what "can be made of the Indo-Scythian theory" in the "Report" in question, see the very pertinent remarks of Beames in his edition of Elliot's "Memoirs on the History, Folk-lore, and Distribution of the Races of the North Western Provinces of India," London, 1869, pages 112, 113, 136, and 137; but Tāṇiks, as well as Therks, have been brought under the "Indo-Scythian"

system lately, as I have noticed in another place.

General Cunningham connects the Karlinghs with his "Indo-Scythians" of the Salt Range, and makes Hasan, the Karlugh, and his son, Awans and Janjhühis, and subjects of the Dihli kingdom, as he alludes to their "rebellion " They were, in no wise, connected with the Awans or Janjhuhis, for the very cogeni reason that the Karlughs, who are constantly mentioned in the account of the Mughals, are Turks, and were never subject to Dihli Neither I-bak nor I yal-timish held any part of the Sind-Sagar Do-abah, which, as well as the country as far east as the Rāwī, for some time was held by I-yalduz, and the southern part of that Do-abah by Kaba-jah That portion of the Ghuri empire held by I-yal-duz, consequent on his captivity and sub-equent death, fell under the sway of the Sultan of Khwarazm, to whom the Karlugh Turks were subject, and, in the time of the Chingiz Khan's advance to the Indus, Kamr-ud-Din, the Karmani, had only shortly before been ousted from the fostress of NANDANAH, by the Khwarazmi Amirs [See note 1, page 534, para 7, and page 750] Hasan, the Kārlugh, may have been connected with this Kamr-ud-Din, and he may even have been Hasan's father, for it is certain that Hasan, the Kärlügh, did hold Karman, as our author states above There were a number of Turkish tribes settled between Kābul and the Indus reason why these coms are found in the parts indicated by General Cunningham 15, either that they formed pait of the district or province of Banian, or adjoined it, and Banian was held by the Karlüghs. Naşir-ud-Din, Mahmüd Shah, of Dahli, never held any territory west of the Jihlam, although Ulugh Khān, his lieutenant, did, on one occasion, penetrate into it as far as the Indus, and, soon after, Mahmud's territory extended no farther west than the Biah, as already stated See also note *, page 862

Babar says—I quote from his Memoirs translated into Persian, of which there are two versions, and have compared them with the Turkish original—"In the Zafar Nāmah, and some other books, this range [the Jūd Mountains] is called and written, Koh-i Jūd. Why it received this appellation was not discovered at first, but, afterwards, it was found that Jūd and Janjhūhah were two septs descended from one original. In one half of this range are the Jūd, and in the other the Janjhūhah [or Janjhūhā]. The greatest of them receives the name of Rāe, and his brothers and sons receive that of Malik. The Malik of the 1/15 and solution about the river Sūhān was Malik Haibat.

. . . As these few districts, such as Bharah, Khūsh āb, etc., had previously been held by the Turks, I was desirous of getting possession of them.

Sankar or Sangar Khān, the Janjhūhah, came in the same day.

There are Balūchis in the Bharah district

There are Jats and Gūjars

mān,² remained in the hands of the Mughai <u>Shahnahs</u> [Intendants], until the year 639 H, when the Mughal forces, and the troops of <u>Ghūr</u>,² were directed to advance to Lohor. The Bahādur, <u>Tā ir</u>, who was in possession of Hirāt and Bādghais, and other Nū-ins who were holding

peoples." Bābar, of course, does not mean that these peoples were Turks. They had been settled in these parts long before the Turks, even if we go as far back as Maḥmūd of Ghaznīn, the "Pathán" Turk of Dow, BRIGGS, etc. The peoples which Bābar refers to are Awān kār, Gahep, Jat, Janjhūhf, Ḥālup, Bhauchr, Bhaghfal, Kahūn Jat, Mālir Jat, Kassur Jat, Kahtar, Gakhar, Tatri, also called Rāthh, Gahrāl, besides Khokhars immediately south of the Jūd Mountains in the Bharah and Khūsh-āb districts, and a few others

Böhar also mentions giving "Nil-ab and the Hazārah-i-Kārlūk or Kārlūgh Himāyūn," and "Sunkar or Sungar, Kārlūk, Muzāe Malawī [...], Kārlūk, with some thirty or forty chief men of Kārlūk" coming in, and making "over the ils and ulūsīs, such as Kārlūk, Hazārah, Hālī, Dāl, etc."

Because Babar uses the Turkish words it and utils for tribe and clan, in writing of them in his work, it must not be supposed that all the people must be Turks on that account.

We commonly hear of this tract of country referred to as Chach Hazārah, and Taḥt Hazārah, but, according to fact, Chachch is separate from Hazārah-i-Karlūgh, and the former hes nearer the Indus—along its banks really. It is probable that some Kārlūghs may have been permanently located in this part, as well as its being subject to the Kārlūghs, as previously referred to, but how it became styled Hazārah is very difficult to say, because, among all the minigs or hazārahs of the Chingiz Khān, there was not one of Kārlūgh Turks, but a Karlūgh continued in its old scats did serve with the armies of the Chingiz Khān, in khurāsān and Ghār, under their chief, Arsalān Khān of Kaiālūgh, pieviously mentioned in several places in this Translation.

What makes the matter still more complex is, that, in the original Memoirs of Bābar, I find, referring to the habitation of Tātār, the Gakhar, that it was utuated much lower down than the Karlik or Karlük fit is written both ways in the original, and with gh for k for the final letter] Tagh قارليق العدين حيلي المعالية ال which, in one of the Persian versions, is translated as " much lower down than the kok-t-Aarli'," thus retaining the Turkish word, while the other version gives the proper translation, "the kok-s-barf-dar, or bnowy Mountains, which is to say, the Pir Pinjal Mountains " It strikes me, therefore, that the non-translation of the word karlik or karlugh, signifying "snowy," or "pertaining to snow" [See the note on the Descent of the Turks, and the term Kärlik or Kärlügh, page 877]-the origin of the name of the tribe-in the Persian version referred to, has had something to do with this district having been styled "Hazārah i-Kārlūk," and "Kārlūk Hazārah," as well as from the probability of Kārlūgh Turks having been once stationed therein, but who had no blood connexion whatever with the so-called "Indo-Seythians"

² There were no Afghan tribes, at this period, dwelling in Karman, they were located farther south, and south-west, and their power was not great.

Troops raised in those parts, or rather the contingents of hose Musalman chiefs and perty rulers who had submitted to the Mughal yoke

possession of the territories of Ghūr, Ghaznīn, the Garmsīr, and Tukhāristān, the whole of them, with their troops, arrived on the banks of the river Sind. At this time, Malik Kabīr Khān-i-Ayāz was the feudatory of Multān, and Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Ķarā-Ķush, was feudatory of Lohor, and the throne of sovereignty had devolved upon Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Dīn, Bahrām Shāh.

When the news of the arrival of the Mughal forces reached Multan, Malik Kabir Khan-i-Avaz, for the sake of his own dignity, assumed a canopy of state, assembled troops, and made ready to do battle with the infidels.* On information of the number of his followers reaching the Mughal camp, those infidels came to the determination of advancing towards Lohor, and they appeared before the gate of that city. The Hisar of Lohor was unprepared with either stores, provisions, arms, or war materials; and the people of Lohor were not united, and did not harmonize together. Most of the inhabitants of the city were merchants and traders, and had undertaken journeys. during the time of the Mughals, into the upper parts, into Khurāsān and Turkistān, and, by way of precaution, every one of them had obtained a pass from the Mughal, and a safe conduct, and, knowing this, in defending and fighting for the safety of the Hisar? of Lohor, they used not to act in unison with Malik Karā-Kush, and would neither render assistance nor make resistance, nor encounter the enemy. The troops of Islam did not assemble together, on this account, that the Turk and Ghuri Maliks were distrustful of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahram Shah, and, consequently, the army did not speedily set out from Dihli for the purpose of repelling the Mughals."

For some time fighting went on before the gate of the city of Lohor, and the Mughal army planted a great number of catapults or round about the fortifications of that

See page 655

See the account of Malik Kabii Khān-i-Ayāz at page 727, and page 730

The Printed Text uses sails—profit, advantage, etc., instead of sails—exemption, immunity, and the like.

⁷ A walled city with a castle or citadel

For the causes which led to the delay in relieving Lähor, see pages 655, 656, and 657.

The manjanik, or kira-ihe catapult or mangonel, which, under the name

city, and destroyed the walls; and, to such degree as Malik Karā-Kush was able, he remained and resisted the infidels. On becoming aware of the disunion and discordant inclinations of the inhabitants, and, as the Kazi and chief personages used to show great misconduct in keeping guard on the walls of the city, Malik Kara-Kush knew that the upshot of affairs would be disastrous and that the preservation of that city was beyond his power and capability. He therefore came out of it with the troops his followers, under the pretext of a night attack. made a dash upon the camp of the infidels, and, in one charge, broke through the ranks of the Mughal army, and set out for Dihli. In that charge some of the principal females of his haram and of his retinue got separated from him. A number of his people were slain and made martyrs of, and some, in the darkness of night, and in the tumult, threw themselves off the backs of the horses and hid themselves among the ruins and grave-yards. During that tumult likewise, the females of the Malik's haram managed to conceal themselves somewhere.

The following day, when the inhabitants of the city and fortress of Lohor, and the Mughal forces, became aware of Malik Karā-Kush's evacuation of the place, and of his flight, the hearts of the former entirely broke, and the Mughals became still more bold, and they captured the vity. Conflicts arose in every quarter of it, and the Musalmans fought continuously with the infidels; but two bands of Musalmans, in that disaster, girded up their lives like their waists, and firmly grasped the sword, and, up to the latest moment that a single pulsation remained in their dear bodies, and they could move, they continued to wield the sword and to send Mughals to hell, until the time when both bodies, after fighting gallantly for a long period against the infidels, attained the felicity of martyrdom. One of that [band of] heroes was Ak-Sunkar, the sene-

of trebuchet, will be found in Froissart, and engraved in Gross's Military Antiquities—was a kind of mechanical sling for casting stones, earth, and fire against an enemy. Balista is not a correct rendering of the word, for a balista or balister is a cross-bow.

¹ He was a Turk. His name signifies the white simbar, or gerfalcon, as is supposed, referred to at page 752, note 4.

schal of Lohor, who, with his dependents, in combat, and in conflict, surpassed, a thousand times, Rustam-i-Dastān; and the other hero was Din-dār Muḥammad, the Amir-i-Ākhur [of Lohor], who, along with his sons and dependents—May the Almighty reward them!—on that day, waged holy-warfare, as by the tenets of the faith enjoined, and fought against the unbelievers in such manner as if the purified soul of 'Ali-i-Murtazā—May God be gracious to him!—in concert with the whole of the prophets and apostles, were showering blessings upon him from the garden of paradise.

When the Mughal forces captured the city, they martyred all the inhabitants or made captives of them; but such a number of Mughals went to hell as cannot be computed or numbered—about 30,000 or 40,000 Mughal horsemen, with 80,000 horses, indeed more than they. There was not a person among the infidel army that did not bear the wound of arrow, sword, or nāwak. The greater number of the Mughal Nū-ins and Bahādurs also departed to hell, and among them was the Bahādur, Tā-ir. He had encountered Āk-Sunkar, lance to lance, and they had wounded each other with those weapons Tā-ir, the Bahādur, went to hell, and Ak-Sunkar, the lion-hearted,

See note 7, page 422

The Mughals obtained possession of the city on Monday, the 16th of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, 639 H

The Tarikh-1-Aiff says this happened in 628 of the Riblat = 638 is Quoting Pro-Mughal Histories, it says, an army of Mughals and Tattars crossed the river Sind, and invested Luhäwür—1514—1500n captured it, and made the younger part of the inhabitants, and the cle dren, capture. Kufb-ud-Din, Elason, the Ghüri, was sent with an army from Dibli agr-ust them, but he was too late. By the time he reached these parts the invaders had gone off This is a specimen how history is falsified to suit certain purposes. No notice of the resistance made, nor of the losses sustained by the invaders, is eve hinted at

An arrow discharged through a tube—probably a cross-bow or balists, or something similar.

It is scarcely probable that our author is correct as to Tā-îr having been killed on this occasion, for the Iro-Mughal writers mention him after this affair, and state that when, in 65 kH, Kuliffie, brother of Mangu Ka'an, was despatched into Kara Jāng, Tā ir was sent with an army into Kashmir and Himdustan, and that, when he died, the Nu yîn, Sâlî, referred to at pages 711 and 862, got the command Sâ'î, Sârî, or Sâlîn, as it is also written, was of one of the Tāitār tribes

passed into paradise—" One company to heaven: one to the flaming fire." *

After the Mughal forces had destroyed Lohor, and retired, Malık Karā-Kush turned back again towards the city from the vicinity of the river Biāh, for, on the night of his flight from thence, his Jāmah-dārs [wardrobe keepers] had abandoned property of great value, consisting of pure gold, and other valuables, and, they having marked the spot, he returned to search for and recover the property. On reaching the city of Lohor he recovered it, for it had not fallen into the hands of the Mughals

On the departure of the Mughal infidels, the Hindū Khokhars and Gabr wretches had come to Lohor, and were committing destruction. Malik Karā-Kush discovered them, despatched the whole of them to hell, and afterwards reached the Court of Dihlī in safety.

May Almighty God accord victory to the lords and chiefs of the government of the Sultan of the Sultans of Islam, and overthrow the focs of his kingdom! Amin!

ACCOUNT OF THE DEATH OF UKTAE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN

A tradition to this effect had been handed down from the ancients—May God reward them!—that, when the

6 Kun'An Chap. ALII, verse 5

8 If so, what prevented him from taking possession of Lahor again?

I Not mentioned under the events of Sultan Mu'in-ud-Din, Bahram Shah's reign Khokhars are not Gakhars, I beg leave to say, although the latter are constantly confounded with them by writers who do not know of the former. See note at page 484

The Altan Khan, Shūdai-Shū-o-sū-o-sū-o-the "Ninkiassu" of some European writers, had withdrawn, as previously intrated under the reign of the Chingia Khan, from his capital, Chūng-dū, Chūng-dū, or Chingtū, to Taiming, or, as the Fanākasī writes it, Fāyāng fū, the "Pan kin" of Furopeans, said to be "the present Fais-long-fū;" and the Mughais had beed me predominant over a great part of the territory of Khitā, or northern empire of the Kin, as they are termed by the Chinese The other dynasty of the Song as the Chinese style them, ruled what the Muhammadan Historians in I Ilindūs call Mahā-Chīn, or Southern China See note on the Karā-Khitī-īs, page 912, and what our author states respecting the fall of the Altan Khans at pages 963 to 966, which differs considerably

outbreak of the Turks should arise, and the narrow-eyed should seize upon the universe, and they should devastate

This was but a very partial conquest however on the part of the Mughals, for, during the absence of the Chingiz Khāu in Māwará-un-Nahr and Mādūn-un-Nahr, the Mughals had been exceedingly hard pressed, and he hastened his return on that account. Soon after his death, during the two and a half years' interregnum, the Āltān Khān's forces had twice defeated the Mughals towards the end of 625 H and in 627 H

It has been already mentioned in note 8, page 1115, that Tüli, during the interregnum which arose after his father's death, and before Üktäe was raised to the throne, despatched troops into the territory of Kolghān or Kolkān, under the Nű-yin, Iljidäe or İlchikdäe [of Hirāt atrocities], and that it was reduced, and a Tingküt Amīr left to hold it.

Uktāe Ķā'ān, therefore, as soon as he had settled the government of the empire, provided for making other conquests in the west, sccuring what had already been partially acquired, and prepared for the final conquest of Khṛṭāe. Some writers say he set out in 629 H—this seems merely to be an error, which is confirmed by several others, of west nine for westen—but he set out in Rabi'-ui-Awwal, 627 H [about March, 1230 A D], accompanied by his brother Tūlf, and some say Chaghatāe also went, but this, apparently, is a mistake—the Rauşat-uş-Şafā says Kyūk was present as well as Chaghatāe. Itaving reached the N W parts of the Khṛṭāe empire, several strong cities, and a large tract of country were subdued.

These successes, however, were not so great as expected, and, in the autumn following, Tüli Khan, accompanied by the Juzbi, Tükülkü or Tükülküchi, as it is also written, was despatched with an army, consisting of two tumans, to enter Khitae by the southern route through Tibbat, and near the northern frontier of the empire of Maha-Chin, while Uktae Ka'an took the more direct route from the spot where he had passed the summer, north of the Great Barrier or Wall On his way he had reached the territory of the Holak and Kulfan [مولان و عليان]—that is to say, a people whose garments and caps were all red and reduced it to subjection Tuli's force, on the other hand, was nearly perishing of famine, so that his men were reduced to eating human flesh and dry grass, and his further progress was stopped until aid was sent him was in 628 II He subsequently renewed operations, and advanced over mountains and through plains like the ocean until he reached a city styled Jujāhu Kaskin-[جوجاهو قستير]-the Kauzat-uş-Şatā has Sining -صيلك-on the banks of the Kara-Mür-an. After an investment of forty days the city surrendered, but 12,000 men of the troops stationed there succeeded in getting on board vessels and escaping down the river, and, on this account, the inhabitants were massacred, and their women and children were made captives Tuli, after this, proceeded onwards, with the object of reaching the Altan Khān's capital, Ching-dū or Tāyāng-fū, when he reached the Kahlukah [قباعه] or Pass called Kongkahan [ودكمان], which was a most difficult Pass, between two lofty mountains, and the only route in that direction Tuli was in hopes of finding it open, but he beheld it occupied by a host of Khita-i troops, under two generals named Kadac Ranko or Rango and Kamar Takodar or Tagodar, occupying fortifications within, and drawn up in the plain [without or in To enable him to get out of this insurmountable difficulty, and prevent pursuit, tradition says he had recourse to a Kankulī conjurer, who, by

the countries of 'Ajam and kingdoms of I-ran, whenever an army of them should reach Lohor, the dominion of that

means of the Yadah-Tāsh or Rain Stone, mentioned ir my account of the descent of the Turks, raised such a storm of wind, rain, and snow, and thick mist, that, while its effects completely impeded the Khita-is, it enabled Tuli and his army, under its cover, to escape without being pursued, with the intention of pushing northwards, in order to effect a junction with the main army When he reached the banks of the Kara-Mur-an [again? under Üktäe Kä'ān The map shows the locality, where the Houng-ho, after making a bend of several hundred miles nearly due S , turns to the E again, in about Lat 34° N., and Long 110° 21' E] he sent out the Nu-yin, Juan, the Tingkut, the adopted son of the Chingia Khan, by some called Jula Büka Khan, with a party, along its banks to search for a place practicable for crossing. It so happened that the river had been greatly flooded some time before, and vast quantities of stones and sand had been brought down, which had accumulated at a certain point not far from the place where Tull then was, and had caused the river to separate into a number of channels, and the water, being thereby greatly spread out, more than a league in breadth, became much less in depth, The identical place, after some search, was found, and Tuli and his whole army passed over without much difficulty. The Raugat-us-Safa says no one had ever crossed the Kara-Mür-in before this occasion-by fording, probably, he means

Uktāe had received alarming news of Tüll's situation, and was in a state of great anxiety respecting him. When he arrived, therefore, his delight was great, and he received him with much affection and great honour in 628 H, according to Alff, but the Fanakatt says it was in 629 H successes are said to have followed after this, but the Altan Khan's capital still remained to be reduced The Ka'an now determined to return to his own royal place of resulence, the Ulugh Yurat, taking Tuli along with him, and to leave the Juzbi, Tukülkü or Tükülküe, with several great Amīrs, and a numerous army, to remain in Khitae to carry out the conquest of the Altan Khan's commons, leisurely and deliberately, and the 'Ariz, Yalwaj, was left to administer the civil and revenue affairs of the conquered territory, and such as might be subdued. Tüli asked permission to be allowed to return in advance. which was granted, but the Ka'an passed the following hot season at a place in Khifae, which is known as Alta-kara, and only reached the Ulugh Yurat in the beginning of the following winter [628-629 H] Tüll died on the way homewards. This was the same year that Jürmäghun crossed the Amūiah.

In the following year, the Juzbi, Tükülkü, fought a great battle with the Khifa-is, before the capital of the Altan Khān, and, after making great efforts to overcome them, was totally defeated and routed, and had to fall back some distance. He despatched swift messengers, and asked for aid from the Kā'an, who directed that a large army should forthwith march to his assistance; and, as there was enmity of long standing between the sovereign of Mahā-Chīn arī the Altān Khān of Khifa-is at this juncture, and to send his troops to operate from the south, while the Mughals, from the opposite direction, should again march on Lamking [the], the Taiming of others The Badshah of Mahā-Chīn agreed to this, and despatched an army for the pur-

race would begin to decline, and the power of the infidels to diminish.

pose. Such being the case, when the reinforcements despatched by the Kālan joined him, the Juzbf, Tükülkü, was enabled to resume the offensive; and the Khṛṭi-i-, having been beaten in the open field, took shelter within the walls of the capital, which was soon after closely invested, by the Mughals on one side, and the Mahā-Chinis on the other.

For some time the Khitä-I commanders concealed the position of affairs from the Altan Khan, but, at length, the true state of the case having reached him through some of the ladies of his haram, as it appeared certain that the place must soon fail, he determined to go out on the walls and see for himself. He found that the report was too true, and resolved to fly; and, having embarked, with his wives and other females of his family, his personal attendants and household slaves, on board vessels, by means of the canal which had been cut from the Kara-Mür-an, and brought into the midst of the capital, Tamking, he made his escape He was again pursued by the Mughals, upon which he fied to another city, and was again followed At length he reached a third city, but the Mughals, like fate, still pursued him when they had succeeded in investing the third city, which is called Baltae -بالو-in the Tārīkh-i-Jahān gīr, and had set it on fire on all sides, the Altan Khan summoned his chief men around him, and, telling them that he could not bear the idea of falling into the hands of the enemy, placed the diadem on the brow of one of his Korchis, or guards, caused him to don the royal robes, and seated him in his own seat upon the throne. He then went out from among them, and hung himself to a tree. He was found in this position, taken down, and buried.

There is considerable discrepancy respecting the fate of the last Altan Khan. Some say that he donned the dress of a ascetic, and was never heard of more, others again assert, that, when the city of Baltae was taken, he fied and disappeared, and some say that he fied to a fortress on the frontiers of his territory, and, having caused a funeral pyre to be prepared, when the Mughals attacked the place, mounted it with his wife and child, fired it, and perished. The Khifa-la, however, affirm that, when the Mughals set fire to the city of Baltae in all directions, the Altan Khān perished in the flames; but the previous statement is notorious that he hung himself to a tree, and, two days after he left the Korchi disguised in his robes, the Mughals captured the city. This event happened in Jamādl-ul Awwal, the fifth month of 631 H, about March, 1233 A D. Thus fell the empire of the Altan Khāns, and thus perished the last ruler of the thirty six dynastics which had reigned over Khitae.

From the time of the investment of his capital, and his flight from city to city, two years passed away, and, after the Altan Khān's death, the whole of his dominions, by degrees, were reduced under the sway of the Mughals.

Uktãe Kā'ān, after reaching his own urdū, on his return from the campaign in Khitãe, founded a kap or castellated palace [see note a, page 331, where kar is described] near Karā-Kuram, and "they style it Karshi" // was not called "Ordu Bahk," neither was it "the great city," nor "a celebrated city," nor "had he fixed his court" there—It was a kar [whatever it might subsequently have become], and it was surrounded by tents of felt, for the Mughals, be it remembered, did not dwell in houses—In course of time some of the Princes and great Amīrs began to erect dwellings for themselves, and, as I

At the time that the writer of these words, Minhāj-i-Sarāj-May God direct him aright!-was about seven

have before mentioned, on the authority of authors who were servants of the Mughal sovereigns, the Ulugh Yūrut, ilso styled the Aşal Yūrat, or original Yūrat of the Chingo Khūn, where he had fixed his dwelling, refers to Kalūrān and Karā Kurun, which, subsequently, became known as the Urdūe-Bālfgh. Alfi, on the contrary, says it was styled Targhū-Bālīgh. The latter word is the same precisely as contained in Bīsh Bālīgh. This kaps in said to have been two leagues distant from Karā-Kuram.

His kirklak was enclosed on four sides with a wall or fence of wood and mud mortar, two days' journey in length, and there were entrunces at various points. When Oklae was destrous of following the chase, his Towachl's were despatched into the countries within the distance of a month's journey, to drive the game before them into this enclosure. After the Kā'ān had hunted the game, and killed as much as he felt disposed to kill, he would take rest in a place erected for him within the enclosure. I have already referred to the I-ghūr country in the note at page 889, as lying between two ranges of mountains, and shown that the koh or mountain of Karā-Kuram is in the midst. It is stated that this place, where the widii was, was called Karā-Kuram after this koh.

The felt tents, or portable houses, as they may be called, of the Mughals, from the Great Khān down to the lowest of his subjects, which were mounted on carts, appear to have given use to the idea that the Mughals and other descendants of furk dwelt in cities and towns, and that Karā-Kuram was a city in its fullest sense. Rubriquis tells us what one of the great undis was like. He was astomished at the sight of Bātū's, the houses or tents [thargabi] of which appeared like a vast city, and the people were ranging about for leagues. The Court was always in the middle, and was, therefore, he says, called "curnorda," and the houses [thargabi], when taken off the carts, were ranged on all sides except the south facing the Court entrance.

The friar also describes Surials's ardii as, being very great, and says that the women of his fumly had each a great house [Lhav, uh] and 200 waggons, and, from his description of the first interview with Mangii Kāān, the Court, so called, was an extensive range of khargahs or portable houses, some of large size, and ornamented within. These, and those of other people of the camp, were ranged in streets. The probability is, that, in time, as the great camp near Karā-Kuiam was the seat of government, as being near the original yūrat of the Chingui Khān, mud walls were thrown up around it for greater comfort and security, and around the Kā'ān's ur dii, and, the camp thus assuming a more permanent appearance, it was magnified into a city by l'olo and others.

Kircher, in after times, writing of the Kāl-īmāk, says, that in certain seasons they settle on the banks of the rivers with their 'portable cettes'

It may be mentioned here that the Mughal sovereign, Abul-Chāzī, Rahādur Khān, never mentions the words Karā-Kunam in his History, but always refers to the great yār at as being at Karā-Kum—it but the probability is that

The country all round Kara-Kuram was not sufficiently cultivated to furnish provisions and drinkables for the Kā'ān's use, and that of his urdū, and 500 cart-loads used to arrive daily from other parts of the empire nearest to it, particularly from Kara-bāsh in Tingkūt.

years old, he used to come to the presence of the eminent teacher and Imām, 'Alī, the Ghaznawi—on whom be

Under the reign of Mangū Kā'ān, according to Chinese accounts, Karā-Kuram ceased to be the seat of government, and a city was founded, east of Whan-chew, styled Kay-ping-fū, afterwards called Shang-tū. Perhaps this

city has been mixed up with Kara-Kuram

With respect to the country around Kara-Kuram it is related that, "on account of the excessive cold, there used to be no cultivation there whatever in the olden time" [at the period when our author wrote]. When Uktae took up his residence there, people began to erect buildings or dwellings, and to A certain person planted some radishes, and, when they were ready to be drawn, he brought a few and presented them to the Ka'an, who was much pleased thereat. He commanded that the leaves should be counted, and they were found to number 100 the Ka'an directed that the man should be presented with 100 hills of silver?]. When the Ka'an built the kushk the same meaning as last before referred to) in the vicinity of Kaia-Kuramthe work was carried out by Khita-i workmen-a person planted some willows and almond trees, but, on account of the extreme cold, trees absolutely would not grow there. These young trees, however, did sprout, and become green, and the Ka'an was so pleased-trees never having been seen there before—that he ordered the person a reward of one ballsh for every young tree planted. The abourd idea of "hunting parks," "hish ponds," "flower gardens," "music halls," and "a palace which covered several square miles of surface," is merely derived from a misunderstood passage in the Raugat-uş-Şafa, the translator of which made up his want of knowledge by adding his own exaggerations out of the mud wall enclosures I have mentioned

In the year 633 ii , Chtae Kā an despatched, from the Ṣaḥrā or Steppe of Asjānk [عبانه] or 'ajāng [عبانه], his son, Kochū, along with the Shāh-adah, Kūtūkā, with an army, towards Mahā-Chīn, which they also call Tingnāah [عربة], which is written in various ways. See note at pages 1086 and 1087 Of the crites of that country they took Sindlim-yū [ساله] or Sindlim-yū [ساله] and Karim-yū [ساله], and plundered the country on the

routes bordering on the territory of Tibbat

The civil and revenue administration of the whole of the conquered parts of Khitae was in the hands of the 'Azfz and Şāḥib-i-A'gam, Maḥmūd, \alwāj, the Bukhār, while his son, Mas'ūd Bak, was in similar charge of all the countries and territories from Bigh-Bālīgh and Karā-Khwājahī [this is the place where the Yiddi-Kūt of the İ-ghūrs slew the Intendant of the Gūr Khān. See note at page 952], that is to say, the territories depending on them, constituting the country of İ-ghūristān, and the territories of Khutan, Kāghghar, Ālmālīgh, Kaiālīgh or Kaiālīk, Samrkand, and Bukhārā, as far as the Jiḥūn or Amūiah From Khurāsān to the frontiers of Kūm and the Diyār-i-Bakr, zil was under the aliministration of Amīr Kūrkūz [after Chīn-Tīmūr's death, as the Deputy of Tūsāl or Ūsāl], and the recumes of all these countries were collected by these three persons, and transmitted to the Kū'ān's treasury

Uktāc Kā'ān had four Khātūns, and saxts concubines The Khātūns were BŪRĀ KICHĪS, who was his first, and therefore held in great respect. 2 TŪRĀ-KĪNAH, a Ūhāt—or Ūrhāt, as it isalso written—Makrīt, said to have been the widow of Fa-ir Asin, the head of the Ühāts When he was slain,

peace!—for the purpose of acquiring the Kur'ān by heart; and, from him, he heard the tradition, namely, that "A number of trustworthy persons have related, on this wise, from the Imām, Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Bustāji'—on whom be peace!—that, whilst he filled the seat in the pulpit [of the masjid-i-jāmi'] in the city of Bukhārā, during the reign of Uktāe, he would often say in the sermon 'Oh God' speedily transport a Mughal army to Lohor that they may reach it,'" and the sense of this became manifest when the Mughal army took Lohor in the month of Jamādi-ul-Awwal, in the year 639 II. A number of narrators among the merchants and traders of Khurāsān and Māwarā-un-Nahr [subsequently] stated, that Uktāe died, and was removed from the world, on the second day after the capture of Lohor's

she was carried off, and brought to Üktäe, who kept her for himself, and married her Previous to this, Tā-īr Asūn had given his daughter, Ķūlān Khātūn, o the Chingir Khān Some say Tūrā Kīnāh was not Tā-īr Asūn's widow, but merely one of his tribe. She was not possessed of beauty, but in her disposition there was greatness and talent for command, and she ruled for some time after Ültār's death, but, through not respecting the precepts of the Chingir Khān, she caused sedition and discord among his descendants, as will be mentioned farther on She was the mother of five out of [kiār's seven sons—Xiyūk, Kūtān, also called Kūtā Mangū, Kochū, or Kochūe, Kurā-chār, and Kāshī, so called because Kāshī or Kāshīn, "subsequently," it is said, "styled lingkūt," was subdued at the time of his birth 3 Mikā or MCkār, of the Kairīn [اعرب] tribe ["who are neither l-ghūrs nor Mughals"], who, at first, was a wife of his father, and the son married her after his decease! 4. The fourth wife was named Jājī'a, of the Kungkūr āt tribe

The other two sons of Üktäe were by a Kümäi concubine named Arkanah or Irkanah, or Azkanah or Izkanah—Abū-l-Ghāzī, Bahādur, calls her Kamīsh They were named Kadān Aghūl, and Malik

¹ This name is doubtful, but in the best copies it is as above. In others it is Bastāki, Bastāki, Astājī, and Satāķi.

durauthor does not appear to have known, or was not inclined to state, that Üktäe killed himself by drunkenness. All the expostulations of his friends and confidants were of no avail to break him of his excess, but rather tended to make him drin' the more. At last, his brother, Chaghatäe, sent one of his Amīrs, in accord with the Princes of the family of the Chingiz Khān, under the name of a Shahnah or Intendant, to look after Üktäe, who was now unable to take care of himself, and he was only permitted to have a certain quantity of intonicating liquor by Chaghatäe's command. Üktäe, however, succeeded in making his Intendant his boon companion, who, unable, or afiaid, to allow of his exceeding the number of cups, permitted him to increase their size, and therefore the Intendant's continuance with him was useless. In the thirteenth year of his reign, however, Anīķah Bīgī, sister of Slūr-

After the death of Uktae, the Mughal tribes drew the sword upon each other several times, and the accursed chief men [among them] generally, and for the most part, went to hell: and division arose among their tribes. The brother's sons of the Chingiz Khan, who are the sons of

Kükibi Bigi, Tüli Khan's chief Khatun, whom the Chingiz Khan gave fin marriage] to the Nü-yin, Ghati, or Mirghati, the Ură-ut, after his dream referred to in the account of his wives and concubines, used to come every year from Khitae to see her sister, and banquets used to be given in her honour, and the cups to circulate. In the thirteenth year of Uktae's reign, according to her usual custom, Aufkah [often written Abikah] Bigi arrived, and the usual entertainments were given, at I she, with her son, who held the high office of Bawarchi-that is to say, a Comptroller of the Royal Kitchen, and one of the Intendants of the Purveyor's Department (in India, bawarchi now signifies a cook), supplied the Ka'an with his favourite beverage, and he drank deeply. He soon went off to sleep, and never woke again, consequently, some of the Amirs and Khatuns began to reproach Anikah Bigī and her son, and vowed they had administered poison to the Kā'ān. The Nū-yin Iliidāe [Îlchikdae, nephew of the Chingir Khan, of Hirat atrocities], who held the office of Kokal-tish, and was an Amir held in great veneration among the Jalair tribe, when he heard these words, scouted the idea of such a thing, and said: "What insensate words are these? when ye all know to what excess the Ka'an used to drink, and when ye know, too, that his fate only has overtaken hum It behoveth that no such words as these should be again uttered.25

The bones of Uktae Ka'an, and his kurūk, or kūrūk, signifying a place enclosed and probabeted from access, he in a mountain range exceedingly lofty, called Büldan Kā-ir, which is always covered with snow, two days' journey from Ardish, and which, in more recent times, they style Yakah Wandur; and from those mountains issue the rivers Yasun Mur-an. Tarkan, and Usun, which fall into the river of Ardish, in the vicinity of which river the Chapar tribe take up their kishlaks or winter stations

The author of the "Mongole Proper," p 725, quoting some foreign translation of Persian writers, evidently derived from a source similar to that whence I draw information, but probably inisunderstood in the original, says "Abika had been married to a dyer on the borders of China," after the Chingiz Khan's death-an exceeding high position truly for Uktae's chief Khatun to "envy" because the other "had married so well-and went every year with her son, who was dressed as a emphasizer, to pay her respects at the court," etc. The errors here are plainly disclosed from the above account I he same writer. quoting some other foreign translation of Persian histories, says, "Ogotar Khan was buried in the valley of Kinien, Le another name for the Imperial cemetery, whose site we have already described sub core, Jingus Khan;" but it so happens that they were totally different places

Uktae promulgated a code of his own, which, under the name of turah-a Turkish word signifying, institute, system, code, etc.—was, like the yasa of his father, observed among the Mughal people. In 633 H. new regulations were promulgated respecting taxes on cattle, and on grain for the poor, and other

matters for which I have no space here

U-Tigin," went to the presence of the Altun Khan of Chin .4 and Chaghatae, and his sons, commenced acting in a refractory manner; and a great number were killed by the hands of each other-God's curse upon them !

The reign of Uktae, son of the Chingiz Khan, extended over a period of nine 6 years, and, after his decease, for a period of one year and a half, no one of that cursed seed ascended the throne. It is the custom among the Mughals that when a sovereign among them dies another should not mount the throne for one year and a half, and this period they call three years—one year and half of days and one year and half of nights.

When the reign of Uktae came to an end, his wife, Turakinah Khatun, ruled over the Mughal empire for a period of four years, and during this time she displayed woman's ways, such as proceed from deficiency of intellect, and excess of sensuality. The Mughal grandees took cognizance of that conduct, and sought a firm ruler. They sent Turakinah Khatun to join Uktae, and raised his son [Kyuk] to the throne of sovereignty, but God knows the truth

IV CHAGHATAE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN-MAY GOD'S CURST BE UPON HIM (

Chaghatae, the accursed, was the second son of the Chingiz Khan, the Mughal? He was a tyrannical man.

4 Previously, the Altan Khan is generally styled "of Tamghay" by our author

7 Chaghatae or Chaghadae-the name is written both ways, but Jagatae is

³ Or Ütichkin See page 899 This circumstance is not mentioned by the Pro-Mughal writers, but there is truth in it, as may be seen from the conduct of U-ligin himself during the troubles which ushered in Kyük's reign, mentioned farther on [in note 7, p 1149, para 3]

Fins is incorrect | Thise Ka'in reigned from the third month of 626 tt , to the 5th of the sixth [Guzidah says Jamadi-ul-Anwal, the 19th, and the Fanakatt says in the year 638 11] month of 639 11, exactly thirteen years, two months, and a few days, although authors, in round numbers, say thirteen years, and some fourteen

⁶ Not in our author's time, but Kāīdū, the grandson of Üktāe, ruled nearly fifty years over the territory of Haytal, and Kabul, and some parts of Hind [east of the Indus-the western pairs of the present Panjab], and his descendants continued to rule therein for a long period after

cruel, sanguinary, and an evil-doer; and among the Mughal rulers there was not one who was a greater enemy

as incorrect as it is impossible from the letters in which it is written--- or the second son of the Chingiz Khan, is said to have been a monarch of creat dignity, pomp, and magnificence, open-hearted, valuant, and hospitable; and, according to the wishes of his father, did not object to pay obedience to his younger brother, Uktae, as his sovereign. At the time that his father divided his empire among his sons, he assigned Türān-Zamīn, from the Naeman country to the banks of the Jihun to Chaghatae Another author describes his territory as including the I-ghur country and Mawara-un-Nahr. and part of Madun-un-Nahr, viz., Kashghar, Khwarazm, Samrkand, Bukhara, Bada hahan, Balkh, and Ghaznin, as far as the banks of the Sind or Indus. His minister and counsellor was his kinsman, the Nü-yin, Karachar, the famous counsellor and deputy of the Chingiz Khan, and who is constantly mentioned in connexion with him from his earliest youth onwards. Karachar is also the ancestor of the Gurgan or Son-in-law, Amir Timur, and, from the benefit derived from that veteran statesman's counsels. Chaghatae Khan became one of the wisest, manliest, and most energetic rulers of his time

The capital, or seat of government, of his dominions was Bish-Bäligh, and, in carrying out the provisions contained in the wisa or code promulgated by his father, he passed not over the slightest thing, but carried them out to the letter, and hence arose the circumstance related in the anecdote at page 1107. Such was the efficiency of his administration, that the routes, in every part of his territory, were safe, and neither guards nor escorts were required.

During the time of their father, the sons of the Chingiz Khan did not get on well together, as was but too apparent during the investment of Urganj of Khwanzin, but now, through the wise measures of Karāchār, Chaghatāe got on with his younger brother Uktae better than previously chaghatae was passionately fond of the chase, and in following that pastime, and in jollity, he passed most of his time, while Karāchār carried on the government

During Chaghatāe's reign occurred the outbreak of Mahmūd, the Tārānī, so cilled from his native place, Tārān, a village within three farsakhs of Bukhārā, who, in 630 H, broke out into rebellion, whereby many thousands of persona perished.

Among other fictions related by Marco Polo is that respecting "Zugatay," as he styles Chaghatāe, whom he makes to reign about one hundred years before his own time—1272 AD [671 H] According to the same traveller's statements, "Zagatay" was persuaded to allow himself to be baptized, and the Christians built a church at Bukhārā, whose roof was supported by one pillar, that stood on a square stone, taken by "Zagatay's" favour, from a building of the Muhammadans!

The Mughal dynasty founded at Dihli, by Bābar Bādshāh, is constantly styled the Chaghatāe dynasty, and its Princes, the Chaghatāe Princes, but these designations are not correct, for the only connexion between Bābar and the descendants of the Chingiz Khān was that his mother was the sister of a descendant of Chaghatāe, the head of that branch of his house, but this is not a valid reason for styling the house of Bābar, Chaghatāes, but it would be, and is, correct to style it the Tīmūrāh dynasty. Although Bābar was a Mughal, both on the father's and mother's side, he was lumself not much attached to the Mughal- of the Chingiz family.

of the Musalmans He used to require that no created being should, in his presence, take the name of Musalman on his tongue, except with evil intent; and, throughout the whole of his tribes [of which he was the head] it used not to be possible even to slaughter a sheep according to the ordinances of Islam, and all [sheep] used to be rendered [thereby] unclean. To say one's prayers [publicly] used to be impossible for any Musalman. Chaghatae used constantly to urge upon Uktae that it was necessary to massacre all Musalmans and not let any of them remain, and no Musalman used to dare to put himself in his sight.

He was older than Uktāe; and, as the Chingiz Khān was aware that his nature was excessively sanguinary, malevolent, and tyrannical, he did not bequeath the sovereignty to him, and assigned it to his younger brother, Uktāe. Chaghatāe's place of residence likewise used to be the original Mughal locality, and that portion of the dominions of the Chingiz Khān which he held possession of [at his father's death] was assigned to him as his portion. His troops were [located] in different parts of Māwarā-un-Nahr, Farghānah, and Turkistān For this reason, that he had impeached the elder-of his brothers, Tūshī, before his father, [asserting] that Tūshī, in his mind, meditated killing the Chingiz Khān in some chase, when this reached the father's hearing, the Chingiz Khān gave poison to his son Tūshī, and destroyed him

This Chaghatāe, the accursed, for some years, was at the head of his tribes and forces, and, when the decree of his death arrived, Almighty God made a holy man among His eminent saints the instrument of his death so that he went to hell, and it was on this wise. There was a pious Darwesh, of pure heart, from the confines of Khurāsān, whom they used to call Shaikh Mahmūd-j-Atash-Kh'ār [the Fire eater], a Shaikh of much eminence.

The four tribes which are called Chaghatāes—that is to say, Chaghatāe's tribes—have been already mentioned in the note at page 1093, last paragraph See also notes at pages 874, 875, and note 1000.

More sangulary than his own? The Pro-Mughal writers say that he was "the light of his father's eye," but they, '20, do not seem to recollect his conduct, and that of his other brothers, before the capital of Khwārazm

and a Darwesh of great repute, who, having cast off earthly wishes and desires, and, impressed with the aspiration after Truth, had devoted his body to pain and affliction, and had gone out into the world, and used to wander about in different countries. He reached, during his wanderings, a place between two mountains [ranges?] through which lay the route between the country of Turkistan and the territory of Chin, and between these two mountains strong barriers were placed, and guards were there posted and overseers stationed, in order that they might examine every person who proceeded towards Chin, or who entered the territory of Turkistan from Chin, and have information respecting his condition.

When Shaikh Mahmud-i-Atash-Kh'ar arrived at that place, the guards beheld a person, a stranger to the usages of the world, and, in outward appearance, like a maniac; and they seized him [saying]: "Thou art a fida-i." Shaikh Mahmud replied: "Aye! I am a fidā-I;" and, notwithstanding they importuned him, saying: "Who art thou? Say!" his reply was: "I am what ye have said: a fida-i." As he had confessed this thing, they brought him before Chaghatae Mas'ud Bak, who was the Jumlatul-Mulk [Minister of States] of Chaghatae, recognized Shaikh Mahmud, but, through fear of Chaghatae, was unable to say anything, or mention Shaikh Mahmud's condition, or his eminence. Chaghatae demanded of Shaikh Mahmūd: "Who art thou?" He replied: "That same fidā-ī I am." Chaghatāe said: "What shall I do with thee? What doth it behove to do unto thee?" Shaikh Mahmud answered: "Command that they rain arrows upon me, that I may be freed [from life]." Chaghatāe commanded so that they killed him with volleys of arrows.

See the Introduction to my "POETRY OF THE AFGHANS," page EL London, 1867

¹ This is the Iron Gate Pass, mentioned in the journey of the envoys of Mirza Shah Rukh Sulfan, sent into China in 822 H.

⁹ Fida-i means one who devotes his life as a sacrifice for a special object, or who consecrates himself to a cause. The Darwesh was right, literally, in what he said, but they appear to have mistaken him for, or suspected him of being, a fida-i, or disciple of the chief of the Mulahidah sect

² Yet Mas'üd Bak must have often come before him in his official capacity, and he was a Musalman.

Some days after Shaikh Mahmud was received into the Almighty's mercy, Chaghatae was in the act of discharging a recoiling arrow, in a hunting-ground, at the prey, when, verily, it entered the back of that accursed one, and he went to hell and God's people, particularly the people of Islam, were delivered from his malevolence.

V KYUK, SON OF UKTÄE, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN.

Trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that Uktae had two sons, one named Kutan, and the other

The original is fir-i-bas-gashtak, as literally translated above. What it may have been I cannot say, probably some sort of rebounding massile

I wonder whether this statement was mistaken by other writers, who followed our author, or whether he, before he wrote this passage, heard some vague or confused account of the cause of Chaghatae's death; because it is stated on very good authority in Alff, that Hulaku Khan, when he overturned the Mulahidali dynasty, made over several of the children and kinsmen of Ruknud Din, Khur Shah, the last ruler of that dynasty and head of that sect, to Saighan Khatun, a daughter of Chaghatae Khan, in order "that she might avenge, on them, the blood of her father, who had been kailed by Fida-is."

I find no particulars respecting Chaghatae's death, how he died, or what he died of-save that he died among his own wits, and that great mourning was made for him; but our author's version of his death is evidently fabulous flied six months before his brother Uktae, in the month of Zi-Ka'dah, 638 H. Raugat-uş-Şafā says he died in 640 H , but this is contrary to the statements fothers, and seems to be a mistake for the date of the Nü-yın Karachar's death, which took place in that year He was succeeded in his dominions by his grandson, Kara Hūlākū, or Hūlākūc, as it is also written, son of Mitūkāc [17], according to the express wish of the Chingiz Khan before his death, that Kara Hüläku should succeed Chaghatae Khan as head of his ulus.

The Tarikh 1-Jahan-gir states that, after the death of Chaphatae, and Uktäe Ka'an's dying very soon after, up to the time of Kyūk's ascending the throne, some four years intervened, and, after the ulis of Chaghatae had been for some tune without a head, Karachar set up Kara-Hulaku or Kara Aghul, as he is also called, but Kyūk, on coming to the throne, deposed him, and set up another of Chaghatae's sons, Yassu or Yassukae Mungah, instead. Kyūk observed-and he spoke feelingly, no doubt, since he had himself been nearly excluded from the throne by a brother's son--" How is it possible, when there is a son living, that a brother's son can be his grandfather's heir?"

The name is generally written de Kyûk-but our author always has the abortened form- of The Calcutta Printed Text is invariably incorrect, and has of and of instead He was styled simply Khan, and not Ka'an

Oktae had seven sons, of whom Kytik, the later historians say, was his eldest son Our author may have mistaken Kütän for Kyük, for the latter was subject to some disease from his childhood, though it is not improbable that Kyuk; but Kutān, who was the eldest, had become afflicted with palsy and did not possess eligibility for the sovereignty, to rule over the empire, and administer its affairs, and he [therefore] made over the throne to his brother Kyuk.

his statement respecting Kütän is the correct one, for he had been nominated to succeed Üktäe by his grandfather. But the Pro-Mughal historians state that Üktäe had nominated his third son Koghū or Koghūe as his successor, as will be found detailed below. See next to last para of note 9, page 1142.

7 Kyūk, son of Üktāe, at the time of his father's death, had not yet arrived from the army then engaged in the campaigns west of Kifchak, from which he and other Shah-zadaha were returning, as before stated, and Müka Khatun, the most beloved of Uktäe's wives, also soon after died. Türä-Kinah Khātūn-there was no such title as "empress," I beg to say, among the Mughals, nor will Khātūn bear any such translation, whatever there might have been among "Mongols"-mother of the five eldest sons, by her stratagems and cunning, and the liberal use of gold, had gained over a party, including some of the Chingia Khan's family, and the Wazīrs, to her side : and, without consulting the whole of the Shah zadahs and Amirs, as was customary, she assumed the direction of affairs. During the reign of the late Kā'ān she was sorely displeased with a number of persons, and now she resolved to take revenge upon them. She had a Tajzik handmaid, named Fätimah, who had been made captive at the time of the invasion of Khurasan, and sent into Mughalistan by the Amirs after the capture of the Mash-had of This damsel was talented, and exceedingly clever and sagacious, and soon became the trusted servant and confidant of the Khātūn in all matters. Amirs and Ministers sought her good offices, even in the Ka'an's reign, he being in a state of half mebriety all his time, and ignorant and unfit persons were often entrusted, through her interest, with offices of which they were wholly incapable or undeserving.

At this time, these two women, the mistress and handmaid, sought to seize Chinkäe, the Grand Wazir, but he made his escape to the archi of Kütän, son of Üktäe. Fäṭimah bore emmity of old towards Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, and, by her power, she now caused his removal, and a person named 'Abd-ur-Raḥmān was sent to administer the [financial] affairs of Khiṭāe, and endeavours were made to seize Maḥmūd and his servants, but he made his escape to Kūtān's archi also. The son of Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, who administered the revenue affairs of Turkistān [but not the appanage of Chaghatāe and his family, which the Nū-yīn, Karāchār, is said to have been in charge of], on becoming aware of these matters, fied to the Court of Bātū Khān. Karā Hulākū, or Karā Aghūl, as he is also called, and the Khātūns of Chaghatāe, Ūrghanah Khātūn, and others, had despatched Kūr-Būkā, along with Arghūn Ākā, into Khurāsān, for the purpose of seizing the governor, Amīr Kūrkūz, the Ī-ghūr, and they put him to death, and Arghūn Ākā, the Ūrrāt, was installed in his place.

During this period of sedition, the different Shāh-zādahs were plotting, and sent agents into different parts to endeavour to get support in their ambitious proceedings; and, the field being vacant, and Kyūk Khān not yet arrived in his father's urdū, the Chinguz Khān's younger brother, the Nū-yìn, Ü-Tighn [Ütichkin, i. e., Younger Brother See page 299], sought to usurp possession of the throne, and, with a numerous force, set out for the late Kā'ān's urdū.

When Kyuk assumed the sovereignty, all the refractory Mughals made their obeisance to him; and he nominated

This movement caused great disquietude in the urdu of Ukthe. Türk-Kinah Khätün, to gain time, despatched an agent to U-Tigin, telling him that Kyūk was shortly expected to reach the urdu, and asking him why he was coming thither with such a large following, as it was a source of great disquietude. U-Tigin, finding that his design was suspected, became ashamed of what he had done—perhaps the near approach of Kyūk added to it—and he pretended that his only object in coming was to offer condolence. At this juncture he received news that Kyūk had reached the banks of the river I mal. On this his repentance became still greater; and he turned his steps, without delay, back towards his own urdu again.

In short, for a period of nearly four years, the throne remained vacant, and the empire was ruled by Türä-Kinah Khātūn, because there was want of accord in the assembling of a kūrītēše for the purpose of choosing a sovereign

Some writers, on the contrary, affirm that Tūrā-Kīnah did consult with the heads of the family, and the chief men, when she assumed the chief power, in the same way as the wife of the Chingiz Khān, the mother of Uktāe, had done, on a pievious occasion, and such was undoubtedly the custom, as our author also states farther on, and they also say that it was usual for three years to expire before the kūrūtāe was held in order to choose a sovereign from among the heirs, and the mother of the eldest son, in the meantime, used to exercise

the supreme authority

Uktae Ka'an had, during his lifetime, nominated his third son, Kochfi, his successor, and, after his death, having been greatly attached to him, Uktae named the latter's youngest son, Shivamun, who was a promising and intelligent youth, whom he had brought up in his own haram, as his heir. When Cktae felt that the hand of death was on him-but another version of his death has been already recorded; still, he may have been ill when he overdrank himself the last time—he sent to summon his eldest son, Kyūk, to him, in order to assign the sovereignty to Shīrāmūn in his presence, so that there might not be any mistake about it, but before he arrived Uktae was dead. At this time, it is said, after reaching his father's urdu, the desire of obtaining the st vereignty overcame him At this juncture the different Shah-zadahs, who had been previously summored to a hirritae, by the late Ka'an, arrived from different parts, at the place called Kokū or Kok Nāwar-the Kokonor of European translators, who always make Nor of Näwar-and a kūrīltās was held, and they began to consult on the choice of a successor to the late Bātū Khān, however, who, as the eldest son of Jūjī, eldest son of the Chingir Khan, was the head of the family, did not come from the Dasht-i-Kifchak, and excused himself on account of illness; but, according to some accounts, he nourished displeasure in his heart against Kyūk, and did not desire to come It is certain, however, that illness was the cause; for, about this time, Batu had been stricken with paralysis His "horses feet" appear to have been quite well, although his own feet "were bad," but we are told differently in the "Mongols Proper," p. 162, whose author appears to have taken, or to have mutaken, it from some foreign version of one of those "muddy streams," some "Persian History" The original from whence this statement came, as well as other works, use the words dard-s-pac-ache or pain of the foot-with respect to Batti, in reference to the disease in question,

armies to [march into] the different countries of Chin, I-ran, Hindūstān, Khurāsān, and 'Irāk. The Nū-in,

hence the very amusing error. There was nothing the matter with his horses. Athough unable to be present himself, Battl sent his brothers and sons.

With respect, however, to the summoning of a **siriltae* by Uktae for another purpose, and the members of it consulting on his successor, and naming one, there is certainly some error in a part of the statement above, because nearly four years elapsed from the death of Uktae to the accession of Kyūk, and the **siriliae* was assembled by direction of Tura-Khah Khātūn.

Among those who were present on this occasion was Utichkin, or Unchi-Tigin, or Unji-Tigin, or U-Tigin, for the name is written in these several ways, youngest brother of the Chingis Khan, with his eighty sons, and a great number of other persons from all parts, including Amir Arghus from Khurāsān, the chiefs and rulers of 'Irāk, Agarbāijān, and Khurāsān; Rukn. ud-Din, brother [and envoy] of Sultan Kai-Ka-us, of Rum [The Saljuk Sulgan, Kan-Khusrau, in 641 H., had "submitted to the yoke of the Mughals, and had agreed to stamp the coin with the name of the Ka'an, to insert his name in the Khutbah-for an antidel !-- to pay tribute at the rate of 1000 dinars daily, and yearly a male and female slave, and a sporting dog." See pages 162-164]; the two Da'uds, claimants to the sovereignty of Gürjistan; the brother of the ruler of Halab: the son of the ruler of the Divar-i-Bakr. Sulfan Badr-ud-Din, Lülü; the ambassador from the Dar-ul-Khılafat [1], the Kaşi-ul-Kuşat, Fakhr-ud-Din; the ambassadors of the Farang, the rulers of Fars and Kirman: the Muhtashims, Shihab-ud-Din and Shams-ud-Din, on the part of 'Ala-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Mulahidah of Alamut; the Malik of the Russians], who was, however, left to stand outside the great tent . and others, all bringing presents and offerings befitting the occasion. About 2000 great khargahs, or felt tents, used by the Turks, Tattara, and Mughals. were pitched for their use; and, on account of the vast number of persons who had assembled there, no vacant place remained available near the us du-which certainly was neither a "city" nor a "town," but, as its name shows, a camp-and provisions rose to an excessive price.

After much consultation, it was agreed by a majority in the assembly, that, as Kütän, son of Üktäe, whom the Chingia Khān had himself nominated to succeed after his father, was not alive, and his son, Shīrāmūin, who had been nominated by Üktäe, had not yet reached manhood, Kyūk, the eldest son of the late Kā'ān, who was conspicuous for his spirit and talent for governing, should succeed to the sovereignty; and he was, accordingly, raised to the throne, which decision was chiefly brought about by the stratagems and efforts of his mother, Tūrā-Kinah Khātūn, and her party in the state, in the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 643 H.—September, 1245 A D. Bātū Khān's objection was, that Üktäe had bequeathed the sovereignty to his grandson, Shīrāmūin

Kyūk, whose constitution, from his childhood, had been weak, was not desirous of succeeding, but his mother's exhortations overcame him, and, after some time, he said. "I will accept the sovereignty on the condition that, after me, the supreme sovereignty shall continue in my family, and to my descendants, and not to others." This was agreed to by those present, and Kyūk was placed on the throne according to the usual ceremonies

Carpini, who describes the *khargahs* or pavilions of Kyūk Khān and his mother, which some recent writers wall turn into cities and palaces, was pre-

Mangutah, who was at the head of the forces of fthe Mughal troops occupying Tukhāristān, Khatlan, and Ghaznin, was, another time, made leader of an army. He was an aged man, very tall, with dog-like eyes, and one

sent on this occasion. He says. "The emperor seemed then to be about forty, or forty-five He was of a middle stature, and behaved with exceeding

gravity. He was a very wise Prince, and seldom laughed."

During the long interregnum, many of the Shah-zadahs had been guilty of certain ambitious proceedings, misconducting themselves, acting contrary to the ordinances of the Chingiz Khan, stretching out their hands in acts of oppression, and appropriating the property of the state, and none were free of these acts but the sons of Tüll Khan. In consequence of this, Mangu and Urdah, sons of Tüli, were appointed to inquire into these matters. I have not space here for the details, but several persons were put to death in consequence, among whom were several of the followers of Kyūk's great uncle, U-Tigin, and Fātımah Khātūn, his mother's favourite handmaid

After disposing of these matters, Kyūk despatched armies into different parts of the empire. Siwidae [Sahudah], the Bahadur, and the Nü-yin, Chaghan, with a force consisting of Karayats, were sent to the frontiers of Khitae and the territories of Manzi [وري], and the Nū-yin Iljidae or Ilchikdae, with a large army, was sent into I-ran-Zamin, with the object of reducing Rum, Shām, Halab, and Misr under the yoke [See page 164, where our author mentions Iljikdae under the name of Aljakta or Iljakta; but he confuses Mangu Kā'ān with Kyuk Khān.] 'Abd ur-Rahmān, who had been sent to administer the financial affairs—civil affairs were administered according to the yaia-of Khita by Kyūk's mother, was now removed, and put to death: the financial administration of the annexed territory of Khita was again confirmed to the Sahib, Mahmud, Yalwaj : that of Turkistan and Mawara-un-Nahr, in which Chaghatae's son ruled, was restored to Mas'ud Bak, Mahmud's son, and the Amir Arghun Aka was nominated to the direction of the finances and civil administration of Khurāsān, 'Irāķ, Agarbāījān, Shirwan, Kirman, Gürjistân, and that side of Hindûstân [the Panjab as far as the Biah] under the Mughal yoke The Maliks and Amirs from different countries, who had presented themselves, were made the recipients of the royal favour, and permitted to return; and, on Rukn-ud-Din of Rum, Kyük bestowed his brother's sovereignty [See page 164], but, as numerous complaints had been received from Jürmäghün from 'Irāķ, the Khalifah's ambassador was dismissed with admonitions and threats for his sovereign Da'ud, son of Kabar [] Malik, was made ruler of Gürjistän, and the other clarmant was made subordinate to

During the period that Türâ-Kinah Khatun exercised the chief authority, the Mughal troops had entered the territories of the Diyar-t-Bakr and Harran, taken Ramma, and Nardin surrendered Shihab-ud-Din-i-Ghazi, the Walf thereof, retired into Mişr, and there obtained support, and attained autho-

In the same year in which Kyük was elevated to the sovereignty, and shortly after that event, his mother died; and, during her administration of the affairs of the empire, m 640 H , the Nü-yīn, Karāchār, the kinsman (cousin, in fact). friend, and counsellor of the Chingiz Khan, died

⁸ Two of the best copies have red-eyed, and another copy has one-eyed, but

of the Chingis Khān's favourites. On Mangūtah's entering the land of I-rān, he made Tāe-kān of Kunduz, and Walwālij, his head quarters; and, in the year 643 H., he determined upon entering the states of Sind, and, from that territory, brought an army towards Uchchah and Multān.

At this period, the throne of Hindüstän was adorned with the splendour and elegance of Sultän 'Alä-ud-Din, Mas'üd Shāh; and the city of Lohor had become ruined. Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the Karlugh, held [possession of] Multān; and Hindū Khān, Mihtar-i-Mubārak, the Khāzin [Treasurer], was ruler and governor of the city and fortress of Ochchah, and he had, on his own part, placed a trusty person of his own as his Deputy within the fort of Ochchah—the Khwājah, Şālih, the Kot-wāl [Seneschal].

On Mangutah's reaching the banks of the river Sind, with the Mughal army, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Hasan, the

the majority are as above. "Sheep-eyed" is a very common expression, and "dog-eyed" may be used after the same fashion.

The-kan of Kunduz, or, more correctly, Kuhandujz, also called or known as The-kan of Tukhāristān. A few modern copies have with without any points to the s which, in manuscript, might be read in error for Tal-kan-will—hence the mistakes which have arisen regarding these two places through people not knowing the difference. These are places which we shall probably

know better before long. See page 1008.

Mangūtah is the person whom Mr. Dowson, the Editor of Elliot's MUHAN-MADAN HISTORIANS, in the extracts from our author's work therein contained, and which extracts I have already referred to, straightway turns into Manga Khān, without authority, either from our author or any other, for so doing. At page 344, vol. ii., of that work, he has: "This army was under the command of the accursed Mankūta (Mangū Khān)," and yet, in a footnote, adds var. "Mankuna." At page 363, of the same vol., he has again i "In this year the accursed Mankūti (Mangū Khān), who was one of the generals of the Mughals," etc. Mangū Kā'ān was never south of the Hindū Kuāh in his hfe, but there are some persons who would prevent such errors being spoken about, much less corrected, for fear of "insuring the succeptibulines" of people, and would allow them to stand, and continue to muslead!

⁹ He held is nominally only, and was not present. In the account of this Mahh our author says he was placed in charge of Ochehah and its dependences in Rasjiyyat's reign, and that he returned to the Court when Sulphu Mu'izz-ud-Din, Bahrim Shah, came to the throne, supsequent to which Jalandhar was

assigned to hum.

The text is somewhat imperfect here, and this attempt on Uchchah is evidently the first one, when the Khwājah, Şāhḥ, was there, but, at this time, Mukhliy-nd-Din was the Koṭwāl-Bak. See pages 810—813.

Karlugh, abandoned the fortress and city of Multan, and embarked on board a vessel, and proceeded to Diwal and Sindustan [Sewastan]. Mangutah advanced to the foot of the walls of the fortress of Uchchah.2 invested it, and the attack commenced; and he destroyed the environs and neighbourhood round about that city. The people of the fortress put forth the utmost exertions and diligence, and used immense endeavours in defending the place, and despatched great numbers of the Mughals to hell.

Notwithstanding all the efforts the Mughal troops and

the infidel Nū-ins and the Bahadurs were using, the holvwarriors of the fortress continued to defend the head of the breach until one of the famous Bahadurs of the Mughals, who had gone away in some direction, when he returned to Mangūtah, began to reproach him, saying: "What stronghold and fortification is this in the taking of which thou makest so much delay and hesitation? would take it in a single assault." The following night he made preparations, and put a great number of Mughals under arms; and, suddenly and unexpectedly, in the third watch, which was the time the guards on the walls took repose, and the men of the fortress had gone to sleep, he appeared on the top of the breach. The grace of Almighty God was such, however, that the people of the fortress had mixed up a vast quantity of water and clay in rear of the breach, and had [thus] prepared a great pit and deep quagmire," more than a spear's length in depth.

We must presume that a breach had been already made.

If Multan had then a broad river immediately on its west side, as the river near it flows at this time, he would scarcely have needed to evacuate Multan, and, probably, would not have done so. At the period in question, however, no river intervened between the Sind or Indus and Multan, and Malik Hasan's retreat might have been cut off. He, consequently, embarked on the combined rivers Jihlam, Chin-ab, and Rawi, which then ran east of Multan, and so, placing a river between himself and the Mughals, was enabled to get down into Sind without danger or molestation. See page 1119, and page II29, note 1

² Uchchah seems to have generally been the first point of attack by invaders of India from the west, especially by the Mughals. It was the key and bulwark of India at this period, like as Hurit has ever been that of Khurasan.

⁴ The "time of repose for the guards," etc. ! They must have been very efficient "guards," truly, and must have taken their duty very easily. * The "official" Calcutta Printed Test, in every instance, has for class

When that Mughal Bahādur planted his foot within the breach, under the supposition that it was firm ground, he fell into the quagmire, and sank in it. The people of the fortress raised a shout; and they brought out torches, and armed themselves, and the Mughals retired.

The next day they [the Mughals] deputed persons,

6 No details of this kind are to be found in any other writer, and the Pro-Mughal historians, including the "great Raschid," are silent on this subject. They do not chronicle defeats generally, unless they cannot possibly help it: victories alone are necessary for their pages.

This is the investment referred to at pages 667 and 809. The question naturally arises, how it was that Uchchah, and sometimes Multān, was always the first point of attack by invaders from the north-west, for the Kārlighs and Mughals attacked Uchchah first, as did Mu'izz-ud-Din, the Ghürf, before them, and Pir Muḥammad, grandson of Tīmūr, after them. It seems the more strange when we look at the map of the Panjāb, and notice the present position of the rivers; for the invaders all came the same way, through the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah, and with scarcely an exception, from the direction of the Koh-i-Jūd, immediately south of which lay the great road from Ghaznin into India.

To attack either Uchchah or Multan at the present day from the west, or north-west, coming by the same route, what is called the Chin-ab—three of the five rivers of the Panjab, which join some distance above the latter city, and which is unfordable, would have to be crossed—an impossible matter at any time without a bindge of boats or inflated kins, or the tedious operation of ferrying across—while, to attack Uchchah, the Panj-Nad or Panj-Ab—the five rivers combined—would have to be passed.

Uchchah, from the present appearance of the country, could have been relieved from Dihli without crossing any of the Panjäb rivers, but to relieve Multän the Sutlaj or Ghārā must now be crossed. At the same time, an enemy beaten off from either place, or, in case of an army advancing to the relief of either from the east, the enemy would stand a chance of being hard pressed while retreating across the Chin-āb, unless he effected the passage in good time, and also of being cut off from his line of retreat by the advance of an army from the east towards Lāhor

From the facts mentioned in this History, as well as in others, together with what is stated by the old Musalman geographers, the traces of the former beds of four of these five rivers—that is, with the exception of the Jihlam—and also of the former bed of the Lindus, and the traditions current in those parts, it is evident that very great physical changes have taken place during the 654 years since this investment of Ughchah took place, and, indeed, even during the last hundred years. From all these facts which I have mentioned, it is certain that, when this attack upon Ughchah took place, that place lay, as it had previously lain, on the right or west, not on the cast or left, side of the Panj-Nad. Multan also lay west of the united Jihlam, Chin-ab, and Rāwi, at that period also, for we know, for certain, that those streams passed on the east side of Multan in those days, and therefore Ughchah and Multan both lay in the same Do-abah, no river intervening between them. I have prepared a paper on this special subject, and hope very shortly to see it in print.

requesting the defenders to give up the Bahadur who had been taken prisoner the previous night, in order that the army might raise the investment and depart. As that accursed one had gone to hell, and had sunk into the black water and slimy mud, to give him up was impossible; so the people of the fortress denied having taken him prisoner. In short, through the grace of the Most High God, causes were brought about, by means of which the Musalmans of Uchchah might continue safe and secure from the tyrannical hand of the infidel Mughals. One of those causes was this, that, when the Mughal army appeared before the fort of Uchchah, the Musalmans of that fortress sent an account of it to the Court, the capital city. Dihli-God defend it from calamity !-imploring assistance in repelling them, and Sultan 'Ala-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, animated and inspired, through the efforts and exertions of Ulugh Khan-i-A'zam, assembled the hosts of Hindustan, and moved towards the upper provinces for the purpose of driving off the Mughal invaders. The writer of these words, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, during that holy expedition against the infidels, was in attendance at the august stirrup [of the Sultan].

When the sublime standards reached the banks of the river Biāh, the army moved along its banks towards Uchchah, as has been previously related and recorded. On the Mughal forces becoming aware of the advance of the forces of Islām, and the vanguard of the warriors of the faith having reached within a short distance of the territory [of Uchchah and Multān], they did not possess the power of withstanding them. They retired disappointed from before the fortress of Uchchah, and went away; and that fortress, through the power of the sovereign of Islām, and the Divine aid, remained safe from the wickedness of those accursed ones. Thanks be unto God, the Lord of the universe, for the same!

^{• 7} This refers to the river when it flowed in its old bed—not as it runs now—between its west bank and the Räwf, which also fell into the Bfäh, on the east side of Multan Üchehah and Multan lay in the same Do-ahah, no river intervening between them, and no river had to be crossed after passing the Räwf, or Räwah, as our author calls it.

⁸ Some copies have, "the potency of the army of Islam."

ACCOUNT OF A MUSALMAN MIRACLE.

Trustworthy persons have stated on this wise, that, when Kvuk acquired stability in his sovereignty, and had put to death his cousins, who were the sons of Chaghatae, and the Mughal Nū-ins and Bahādurs had submitted to his authority, he, upon several occasions, despatched immense armies towards Chin; and, in that country, victories were gained. A fraternity of recluses and devotees of the infidels of Chin, and idol-worshippers of Tingit and Tamghāj, whom they style by the name of Tūniān [Tūnis], acquired ascendancy over Kyuk. That faction constantly used to study persecuting the Musalmans, and were wont to promote means of afflicting the people of Islam continually, in order that, mayhap, they might entirely uproot them, extirpate them completely, and eradicate both name and sign of the true believers from the pages of that country.

One of those Tūniān, who had a name and reputation in Chin and Turkistān, presented himself before Kyuk and said: "If thou desirest that the sovereignty and throne of the Mughals should remain unto thee, of two things do one—either massacre the whole of the Musalmāns, or put a stop to their generating and propagating." For a long

He probably refers here to an event which happened in Manga Ka'an's reign, in 649 H., or it may be quite a different event. At Bish-Baligh, the Yiddi-Küt of the I-ghurs, who was the head of the Idolaters-But-Parastanof Khitae, entered into a compact with a number of his religionists to put all the Musalmans to death on a Friday-their Sabbath-when they should be assembled together for prayer in their Jami' Masjids, so that, throughout all Khitāe [sw in MSS], not one should be left alive. It so happened, however, that, previous to the identical Friday fixed upon for carrying out this diabolical plot, a slave among them became a convert to the Musalman faith, and acquainted the Muhammadans with the whole affair. A number of the chief men of that faith, taking the slave along with them, hastened to the presence of Mangu Kā'ān, and stated their case. He issued commands that the Yiddi-Kut should be seized, and brought before him, and inquiry insututed. The truth of the slave's account having been proved, the Yiddi-Küt confessed his guilt. Mangu Ka'an commanded that he should be re-conducted to Bish-Baligh, and, on a Friday, after the Musalmans had finished their religious

In some copies of the text Tüfnän, as in Rubruquis, before referred to. Kyük was a Christian, and his mother also

¹ Our author appears quite demented on this subject. See also in his account of Charhatle, which is much the same.

time they were wont, in this manner, to importune and instigate Kyuk to this wickedness, and continued to devise insidious snares and artifices. On account of the numerousness of the Musalmans in the countries of Chin, Turkistan, and Tingit, to massacre them would not be feasible, they therefore [the Tūnis] came to this conclusion that it would be right that a mandate should be issued by Kyuk, that all Musalmans should be emasculated and made eunuchs of, in order that their race might become extinct, and the empire of the Mughals be safe from their rebellion and sedition.

When such [like] tyranny and barbarity took root in the mind of Kyuk, and his decision in this course was come to, he commanded that a mandate should be issued, to this effect, throughout all parts of the Mughal dominions, from the extreme limits of Chin and Turkistan to the farthest parts of 'Ajam, 'Irāk, Rūm, and Shām,' and the whole of the Mughal rulers, who were located in different parts, were directed to obey it, and hold it necessary to be carried out.

On this mandate having been written out, they brought it to Kyuk, and he impressed it with vermilion, which [impression], in the Turki language, they call Al-i-Tamghāj Accordingly he delivered this mandate to [one of] those Mughal Tūniān, saying: Do ye transmit th's mandate into all parts of the empire, and use the utmost efforts in so doing

services in the Jāmi' Masjid, he should be brought out, and, in their presence, and in the presence of the rest of the people of the city, be torn to pieces, in order that others might take warning against entertaining such-like futile ideas as the Yiddi-Kūt had conceived.

2 Over which two latter states their power was but small.

Al here signifies a fiery red colour, carnation, vermilion [?], and the compound word signifies the red or vermilion stars or signet of the sovereign. In the Dictionaries, generally, the compound word is written in the Dictionaries, generally, the compound word is written in the Altamgha, instead of place of the same or 'rand, but, from the way our author uses these works, to signify a stamp or 'rand, but, from the way our author uses these Turkish words here, with t and long a in the first syllable, and I as the final letter of the last word, it evidently refers to the country of Tamghaj, so often mentioned, and the word is also said to be the title of the sovereigns of Tibbat and Yughma, and would thus signify, literally, the crimson or vermilion signet of Tamghaj, and that is clearly the meaning of the words.

In the Printed Calcutta Text this word is invariably turned into Nunian

and Nüinan, the difference apparently not being understood

When that accursed base one, who held that tyrannical mandate in his hand, was issuing from the place of audience in great glee and confidence, there was a dog which they used constantly to keep there, and which was wont to be near the throne, at the sides, and in the precincts of the dais, and the sovereign's exclusive seat; and on the animal's golden collar, studded with precious stones, was impressed a brand denoting its being the reval property. It was a dog, which, in courage and fierceness, greatly exceeded and far surpassed a thousand roaring lions and howling tigers [!]. This dog was in Kyuk's place of audience, and, like unto a wolf upon a sheep, or fire among wild rue seeds, it seized hold of that impious Tüin, flung him to the ground, and then, with its teeth, tore out that base creature's genitals from the roots; and, by the Heavenly power and Divine help, at once, killed him, and the imprecation, according to the hadis, which Mustafa-on whom be peace!-had pronounced upon the son of Abū Lahb: "O God! let one of thy dogs defile him!" was fulfilled upon that accursed wretch of a priest.

Such a miracle as this was vouchsased in order that, under the shadow of the protection of the Most High God, the faith of Islām, the selicity of the Ḥanasī creed, the happiness of the Aḥmadī belief, the prestige of the sollowers of the orthodox Muḥammadī institutes, might continue sase from the malevolence of these accursed ones. When Kyuk, the Tūniān, and those present of the Mughals and insidels of Chin, beheld such an awful and condign punishment, they abandoned that vicious meditation, and withheld the hand of tyranny from off the Musalmāns; and they tore that Tanghāj [vermilion-sealed document] to pieces. Praise be unto God for the triumph of Islām and the overthrow of idolatry!

When a period of one year and a half' of the reign of Kyuk had passed away, the decree of death arrived, and at the board of destiny placed the morsel of death in the

⁵ The Ro. As. Soc MS, I O. L. MS. 1952, and the Printed Calcutta Text, are exceedingly defective here.

⁶ The Raugat-ug-Şafā states that it was the Christians who did thus, and that it was a Christian whom the dog worried..

The Pro-Mughal writers say just one year; some, less than a year.

mouth of Kyuk's existence; and the cause of his death, likewise, is thus related.

THE DECEASE OF KYUK, THE ACCURSED.

Trustworthy persons related that Kyuk was constantly being incited by the Tunian fraternity to acts of oppression towards the Musalmans, and that they used to instigate him to persecute the true believers. There was an Imam, in that country, one of the theologians of the Musalmans, adorned with manifold erudition in theological knowledge, and proficient in the rules and canons of the ecclesiastical law, and the subtile doctrines of the Truth. His exterior [mind] being illuminated with the jewel of knowledge and excellence, and his interior [soul] with the splendour of the attributes of purity, he had become distinguished among the followers of the faith of Islam, and a pole of indication in the orthodox religion of Mustafaon whom be peace! His surname was Imam Nur-ud-Din. the Khwarazmi-the Almighty's mercy be upon him! A number of Christian laymen and priests, and the fraternity of idol-worshipping Tunian, made a request to Kyuk. saving: "Be pleased to summon that Imam of the Musalmans that we may carry on a discussion with him, and make him prove the superiority of the religion of Muhammad, and his apostle-ship, or otherwise it behoveth that you should have him put to death"

In conformity with this request, Kyuk had this godly Imam brought into his presence, and he, trusting in [the promise] "and God will protect thee from [wicked] men," in the defence of his religion, was strengthening and

Nyuk Khān, from childhood, had been brought up in the Christian—Naṣāri—fasth—respecting which there is no doubt whatever—and was much attached to it; and his mother also was of that religion. At this time, from Shām and Rūm, presbyters and monks of that religion turned their faces towards his Court, and received great consideration from him, and, consequently, the affairs of the Christians prospered. His chief minister, Chinkāe, and the Atā-bak, Kadāķ, were also Christians. Indeed, during his reign, no Musalmān dared to speak arrogantly to the Christians, while the Fanākatī states that the monks treated the Musalmāns with great oppression.

supporting himself with [the rest of the promise]: "for God directeth not the unbelieving people." When he sat down in that assemblage, they asked him: "What person was Muhammad? explain." That godly Imam answered: "The last of the prophets, the head of the apostles, and the messenger of the God of the universe. whose head is adorned with the diadem of 'By thy life I swear, and his body with the mantle of 'Have we not opened?' Musä was enamoured of his excellence - Make me, O God! one of the people of Muhammad!-and 'Isa the herald of his mission 'bringing good tidings of an apostle, who shall come after me, whose name shall be Ahmad.'" * That assemblage of infidels said: "He will be a prophet who will be purely spiritual, and not entertain appetite for women, and not be inclined to it like as 'Isā was. Muhammad had nine chambers [women] and a number of children: How was that?" That godly Imam replied: "The prophet Da'ud-on whom be peace!-had ninety-nine women - This my brother hath ninety and nine ewes'; and Suliman, the Lord of Potentiality, had three hundred and sixty women to wife, and a thousand handmaids" That assemblage of infidels, by way of annoving, negation, contention, and obstinacy, denied the prophet-ship of Dā'ūd and Sulimān-on whom be peace! and said: "They were kings mcrely."4

1 Kur'an, chap v . verse 71

3 Kur'ān, chap. zciv., verse I.

¹ This is the passage which the Muhammadan theologists contend is proved by the passage in St. John, xvi. 7, foretelling, as they say, the coming of Muhammad, who is referred to as the Paraclete, or, as they read it, the Periclyte, or Illustrious. See Kur'an, chap lxi, verse 6

³ Kur'An, chap. xxxviii., verse 22. Some authorities translate it with it lambs ⁹ instead of ewes.

Rubruquis relates an anecdote something similar to the above, concerning an event which happened in the following reign Repairing to the Palace [the Kā'ān's Khargah] a few days after Ascension Day, 1254 A.D., "Aribiga [Irtuk Bükā], near whom sat two Muḥammadan lords of the Court, being apprised of the animosity that reigned between the Christians and the followers of Muḥammad, asked the monk Sergius [who officiated in a little Armenian chapel in the serdil], if he was acquainted with the latter? Sergius answered, 'I know they are dogs. why have you them so near you?" They called out, 'Why do you treat us in so injurious a manner, who give you no cause of offence?" The monk justified himself by saying he spoke the truth, adding, 'Both you, and your Muḥammad, are vile dogs' Provoked at such

At length, as the proofs and arguments of those accursed ones were weak, and destitute of the force of truth, they drew back the hand of contradiction, and drew the mark of oppression and outrage upon the pages of the subject, and made a request to Kyuk, saying: "Say unto the Imām that, in accordance with the rites and ordinances of the Muḥammadan law, he should perform two genuflexions

language (if such he durst utter), they began to blaspheme Christ; but Aribuga, it seems, forbade them, saying, 'We know that the Messias is God.' Some time after, certain Muhammadans, meeting the monk on the road, urged him to dispute, and, as they laughed a him, because he could not defend his religion by reason, he was going to confute them with his whip. These things coming to the Khān's [Kā'ān's] ears, he commanded Sergius, and the other priests, to remove to a greater distance from the Court"

Rubruquie had, himself, a disputation with a Musalman, as he states, in Mangu Ka'an's presence. He says, Mangu sent to acquaint him that, as there were Christian's, Muhammadans, and Tuins at his Court, and each of them pretended his Law was the best, and his Scriptures truest, he would be glad to have the matters argued, that he might judge whose cause was best. On the day appointed, the parties met before a numerous audience. Three of the

Ka'an's secretaries, one of each persuasion, were arbitrators

Rubruquis says he confuted the Tuin, who affirmed that "there was one supreme derty, and ten or eleven inferior gods, that none of them was omnipotent, that one half of things are good, the other had, and that the souls of men passed from one body to another. The good friar also says that the Musalmans confessed they believed everything contained in the Bible, and always prayed to God that they might die the death of Christians, but, with respect to this, we must needs be sceptical."

Mangu Kā'ān, having been told that Rubruquis had called him a Thin or idolator, sent for him on Whitsun Day, and asked him the question in the presence of his late Thin adversary. Rubruquis having answered in the negative, Mangu told him that such had been his opinion all along. He then declared what his faith was. He said "The Mughals believe there is but one God, and have an upright heart towards Him; that, as He hath given to the hand many fingers, so He hath infused into the minds of men various opinions. God hath," he continued, "given the Scriptures to you Christians, but you observe them not you find it not there that one of you should revile another, or that for money a man ought to deviate from justice" The frar confessed all this; but, as he was going to make apology for himself, the Kā'ān replied, that he did not apply what he had said to him, repeating, "God has given you the Scriptures, and you keep them not; but He hath given us soothsayers, whose injunctions we observe, and we live m peace."

If we are to credit the Armeman monk, Hayton, however, who was related to the King of Armenia, he, in his Oriental History, says the King sent his brother to the Kā'ān, in 1253 [Rubruquis refers to his having passed him on his road back], who returned after four years' stay, and that after that the King himself went, and found Mangii at Almälich, where the Kā'ān was baptized, with all his Court, among whom were many of the chief men of the empire,

at the Armeman King's request.

in prayer, in order that, to us and to thee, in the performance of this adoration, his unbecoming actions may be manifested." Kyuk commanded him, saying: "Arise, and perform two genuflexions in prayer, as with the congregation, according to the rites of thy religion." That godly Imam-God reward him!-called unto him one of the Musalmans who was in the vicinity of the place, and arose, went through the form of pronouncing the call to prayer, and genuflexions, in accordance with the orthodox Sunni rule, and standing up, Khalil-like, repeating, from his heart and mind, the verse: "Verily I have turned my face unto Him who hath created heaven and earth, being a true believer, and not one of the polytheists," began pronouncing "God is great." Then he commenced the form of prayer, and went through, as prescribed and enjoined, with due pause and ceremony, the standing, sitting, bowing, and prostration.

When, in the act of prostration, he placed his forehead to the ground, some individuals among the infidels, whom Kyuk had introduced and prompted, greatly annoyed that godly Imam, and the other Musalman who had followed him [in the prayers], knocked their heads with force against the ground, and committed other unbecoming actions towards them, in order that, thereby, the prayers might perhaps be rendered ineffectual. But that godly Imam and holy sage continued to bear the whole of this annovance and tyranny, performed all the required forms and ceremonies, and made no mistake whatever, and the prayers were in no way rendered ineffectual Having delivered the salutation, he raised his face upwards towards the heavens observed the form of "Invoke your Lord in humility and secresy," arose, with permission, and returned to his dwelling again. Almighty God of His perfect power and foe-consuming vengeance, that same night inflicted a disease upon Kyuk which, with the knife of death, severed the artery of his existence, so that verily that same night he went to hell, and the Musalmans were delivered from his tyranny and oppression.7

Like Ibrahim Khalil 'Ullah-the Friend of God-is one of his titles.

Kuk'An, chap. vi , verse 79

⁷ Having arranged the affairs of the empire to his satisfaction, Kyük resolved

When the sons of Kyuk beheld that awful vengeance, the next day they asked pardon of that Imam, and sought his good opinion. May God reward him and all true believers!

VI. BĀTŪ, SON OF TŪSHĪ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN.

When Tūshi, the eldest son of the Chingiz Khān, as has been previously stated, was removed from the world for conspiring against his father, several sons survived him, and the eldest of them all was Bātū. The Chingiz Khān

to turn his face towards I-rān-Zamīn, and complete the subjugation of the territories therein. He passed the winter of 643 H — A D 1245-46—at the seat of sovereighty; and, when spring came round, with an immense host, he set out towards I-rān-Zamīn. On reaching the limits of Samrkand, a weak's journey from Bigh-Bāligh, death suddenly overtook him. The widow of Tülf Khān, Sfür Kikibi Bigi, who cultivated good terms with Bātū Khān for a particular purpose, as will presently appear, suspected this movement on the part of Kyūk was against Bātū, and she sent him information at once.

Faşıh-İ and some others say that he was stricken with palsy—not gout: Bāṭū was gouty, however—and that he proceeded towards Samrkand for change of climate

The Fanakati says he reigned "nearly a year," but does not give the date of his decease.

Batu Khan and other princes, who were on the way to join him with their troops, on receiving intimation of Kyūk's death, turned each back from the point he had reached, and returned to their own uluser again

Kyūk is said to have been merciful, liberal, and munificent, like his father, Üktāe.

It is strange that our author, although so detailed in his account of the oppression of the Musalmans, does not seem to know when and where Kyūk died

* See page 1101.

On the decease of Jūji Khān, the Chingiz Khān, his father, despatched his younger brother, Ütighkin, otherwise Ü-Tigin, to the medā [see note 3, page 1101] of Jūji—some say, into the Dasht-i-Kiſghāk—to instal his son, Bātū or Bātūe, as it is also written, as successor to the appanage of his late father. The Baḥr ul-Asrār says, however, that Ūrdah, Bātū's elder brother, resigned his right to succeed in favour of Bātū, but it is probable that the Chingiz Khān normated the one most capable of ruling over the Dasht-i-Kiſchāk and its dependencies. Bātū and others of the sons of Jūji held territories under their father; and one of them, the fifth son, Tūghāe Tīmur Khān, whose mother was a Kungkur-āt, is said to have had assigned to him the territory of Ås and the Meng-Kishlāk, or "The Winter Station of the Meng" (Mangishlak of the maps), and the "ulūs-i-Chār-gānah," or four tribes so styled—the Tarkhān. Ūahūn, Meng, and Ūir-āt—by his grandfather, and which Bātū, subsequently, confirmed him in Tūghāe Tīmūr's chief Khātūn was

installed him in the place of his father, and all the states

Kürak-Lük Bigi, daughter of the Bādshāh of the Nāemāns [Koshlük]; and he was the founder, in time, of a separate dynasty. Bātü Khān, with several of his brothers, set out for the yūrat of the Chingus Khān, when the news of his grandfather's decease reached him, leaving Tüghāe Timūr his representative in Kifchāk, and was present at the installation of Ūktāe Kā'āu.

Tüghäe Timur, like his elder brother, Barkah, became a convert to Isläm,

and, it is said, Barkah converted him to that faith.

Bătu is known by the title of the Şā-İn, or Şā-İn Khān, which title continued to be applied to his descendants down to modern times, and even after they became subject to the 'Uşmānli Turks.

Rubruquis, who had an interview with Bātū, says he was sested on a couch gilt all over, and his wife beside him. He had a fresh, ruddy, complexion, and, looking earnestly at the party, at length ordered them to speak. Then their guide bid them kneel on both knees, which they did, and Rubruquis began to pray for Bātū's conversion, at which he modestly similed, but the others present jeered him.

After his return from the campaign in Khiţāe, as previously mentioned, Üktāe Kā¹ān held a great ħūrūtāt, in 633 H, at a place named Tālān Wasīr, at which his sons, kinsmen, and the old Amīrs of the Chingiz Khān were present. After a month devoted to feasting and jolity, the laws and regulations of the Chingiz Khān were read out once more, and various rewards were given. It was then resolved that, as various parts of the empire had not been completely subjugated, and some were in a disturbed and disaffected state, each of his sons and kinsmen should be despatched at the head of armies into different parts, in order to arrange and settle their affairs, while the Kāʾān himself would proceed into the Dasht-i-Kūchāk at the head of another army. Mangū, son of Tūlī, although young in years at the time, gave very sound advice on this occasion. He urged that it was not advisable that the Kāʾān should go thither when he had so many sons and kinsmen whom he could send instead. This was approved by all present; and arrangements were made in cohformity therewith

The Shah-zadahs appointed for this service were Mangu, Tüli Khan's eldest son, and his brother Büchak [Kubille is subsequently mentioned as being present, at least for part of the time, in the Dasht-i-Kifchak]; of the family of Üktäe, his eldest son, Kyük, and his brother, Kadan Aghül, and Būrī, Baidār, and Kolkān, sons of Chaghatāe, and among the great Amirs was the Bahādur, Swidāe, also written Swidan [Sahūdah] Having set out in the spring, in Jamadi-ul-Akhir, 633 H, [March, 1235 A.D.], they passed the hot season of that year by the way, and towards its end-in the latter part of it-within the confines of Bulghar [Bulghar, its capital, was about fifty miles from Kāsān, and near the river Kāmā] jomed the urāk of Bātū Khān, son of Juji, who had succeeded to his father's apparage, and he was to hold the chief command. His brothers, Urdah, Shaiban, and Tingkut, were likewise directed to proceed from the parts in which they were located, and join him, in order to accompany him on this expedition, which is famous as the Yurish i-Haft Salah, or "Seven Years' Campaign " They were to invade the territories of Kıfchāk [not yet subdued], the Urus [Rūs], Būlo [Poland ?], Majār [Magyar], Bashghird, As [Ossetæ of Europeans], Südāk [Azdāk or Azof], Krim, and Charkas [the territory of the Cheremis, I believe, not the Circassiane], and

of the tribes of Turkistan, from Khwarazm, Bulghar,

clear them of foreigners and enemies. They penetrated, as will be mentioned farther on, as far west as Poland and Silessa, as well as Russia.

All things being prepared, Bātū Khān, with Shaibān, and Boroldāe, with an army, commenced his march to subdue the Būlo [the Tārikh-i-Jahān-gīr says the Kailīr] and the Baahghird; and, having arrived in those parts, they, in a short time, subdued those territories, alew a great number of people, and carried off great booty. The Būlo were a mighty people of Christian faith, and the frontier of their country was adjoining that of the Farangs. Hearing of Bātū Khān's advance, they, arrogant because of their grandeur, and the number of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of the sumber of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of the sumber of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of the sumber of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of the sumber of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an army of the sumber of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an error at element of their troops, moved forward to oppose him with an error of the says Saknāk], with 10,000 horse as a vanguard, in order to reconnoitre the enemy and obtain information as to the number and position of their army. In the course of a week he returned, bringing information that the Būlān [Poles?] were, like the Mughals, all able and efficient men, and ten times more numerous than themselves.

The two armies soon came in sight of each other. They were separated by a morass—the Fanakati and Alfi say a river or water, but it would seem to refer to a morass containing a considerable body of water Battl requested the Musalmins in his army to assemble together in prayer, and call upon Almighty God to give them the victory, while he, himself, as was his wont on such occasions, like his grandfather before him, retired to a hill or rising ground, and, during a night and day, without speaking word to any one, occupied himself in prayer and supplication to the Most High to accord the victory to his army During the next night he sent Boroldae [the Fanakati says, his brother Shatban] and some Amirs, with their troops, to cross the water during the night, which they accomplished. Next morning early Batu passed over and attacked the Bulkn in person. By what means he crossed with his army, whether by a bridge or otherwise, is not stated, but it must have been a hazardous proceeding Repeated charges were made upon the enemy, but they, being so strong in point of numbers, did not move from their position; and Shaiban greatly dustinguished himself, in such wise that his prowess called forth praises from both sides. The force which, under Boroldae, had passed over during the night, now attacked the enemy in the rear. The Mughal troops penetrated into their camp, and began cutting the ropes of the tents. They made towards the tent of Kalar [] , their Badahah [Bela IV , king of Hungary of European writers?], and cut the topes with their swords, and overturned it Seeing this, his soldiers lost heart, and the main body of the Mughal army under Bätu, having pushed forward at the same time, the Bülan gave way and took to flight. The Mughals pursued, and made such slaughter among them "as cannot be computed.

The first place attacked, according to the Fanikati, and the Tārikh-Ishān-gir, but which works enter into no details, was the city of Mankas—which, on account of the denseness of the forests among which it lay, was difficult to approach, even on foot. The trees were, however, felled on either side, and around it, sufficient to enable four carts to move abreast, which enabled Bārū to invest it. The city was, in due time, captured, and the inhabitants massacrod; and it is stated that the left ears of all those slain were

Barțās, Saklāb, as far as the boundaries of Rüm, came

cut off in order to obtain the number of them, and that the total amounted to 270,000. Its capture, in 636 H., is mentioned farther on.

Such was not unusual, even in comparatively modern times. Gerbillon [1693] mentions that, in the battle which took place between "Bosto or Bostugo Khān, Prince of the Kalmuk," and "Zuzi [Jūj?] Khān" Prince of the Mughals, the latter were defeated with great slaughter, and that nine camelloads of ears and locks of hair were brought to him.

When the spring of the following year came round, Bätä Khān, having disposed of the affairs of Kifchāk, Rūs, and Ālān, resolved to turn his arms against the territory of Kalār—, K—{Būlo of Alfī] and Bāshghurd or Bāshķur.

Wolff, in his History of the Mughals, refers to nine sacks full of ears having been collected after the battle near Signitz, fought on the 9th April, 1240 A D [15th Ramaşān, 638 H.], but this appears much too late a date for the capture of the city in question, as that took place early in the campaign, in the year 633 H. [1235-36 A.D.], under which year also it is recorded in Alfi; and, from what follows, the inhabitants do not appear to have been Christians.

After this victory, the territories previously named are said to have fallen under the sway of the Mughals, "and a portion of Farang likewise."

This disastrous battle is that which took place, according to Von Hammer, on the banks of the Sayo, a tributary of the Theiss, in which Bela IV., King of Hungary, was overthrown in the spring of 1241 A.D., which accords with the last quarter of 638 H, but Alfi records it under the events of the year 623 of the Ribist, equivalent to 633 H.; but this can scarcely be correct, for the other princes only set out to join Batti in that year, and, as it is mentioned soon after the capture of the city of Mankas, the correct date would be 634 H., which commenced on September 3rd, 1236 A.D. In the accounts given by European writers generally, Batti Khan's troops are meorrectly styled an army of "Tartars" [there were certainly some Tartars among these forces, as well as Turks and Tajetks, who were subject to the Mughal yoke], by some called "Thatturi," and, by others, "Mangali," and these were under the command of "Bathus and Pera, sons of Hocotum Cham, son of Genzis Cham"! "Bathus, with his forces, had ravaged Great Russia, Lithuania, Poland, and Bohemia. The Cumani, a Sarmatian nation [the Kümäns of Oriental authors], whose territory had been previously ravaged by the Mughal troops, brought intimation to the king of Hungary of the invasion of the countries of Rus, Alan, and Richak, by the Mughals, and sought permission themselves to take shelter in Hungary, promising, in return, to turn Christians, and to be loyal subjects. Permission was granted; and some 40,000 Kumans, with their slaves, came into Hungary. The subjects of Bela IV, king of that country, were disaffected towards him; and, as the advance of Bathus took place within a year of the Kümāns' arrival in their country, the Hungarians accused them of having instigated the Mughals to come, and slew their chief, and his attendants, on his way to join King Bela. This act caused the Kumans to join the invaders, who had ranged Russia and sent part of their forces into Silesia, Moravia, and Bohemia, while Bathus with an army of 300,000 men was advancing towards the frontiers of Hungary. Meanwhile, King Bela, with an army nearly as numerous, moved to encounter them; and, as he advanced, they retreated leisurely towards Agria, both leaders seeking a favourable opportunity to give battle; but Bela's troops, as I have said before, were

under his sway; and, in that region, he subjugated all the

disaffected, and rather wished that Bela might be defeated. At length the two armies drew so near each other that their respective camps were only separated by a marsh (this, no doubt, is the river or water of the Musalmán writers), which the Mughals finding passable crossed over by stealth in some places, and surrounded the camp of the Hungarians. One morning at daybreak the Mughals began the attack by volleys of arrows. The Hungarians, confounded at this unexpected attack, could not be persuaded to leave their camp. The upshot was that they were totally defeated, and the greater number perished: some say from 100,000 to 65,000 men. Pesth is said to have fallen inmediately after.

"The Mughals then proceeded to ravage Upper Hungary, and King Bela had to fly into Austria. Then the invaders passed the Danube on the ice, entered and subdued Strigonia, while detached bands pursued Bela into Dalmatia. Unable to take him, they turned aside into Croatia, Bosnia, and Bulgaria, pillaging and destroying At this time news reached Bathus of the decease of Hocotam Cham [his father !], on which he set out on his return homewards through Cumania and Ruthenia." This latter is a specimen of history writing; Jūji Khān, Bātū's father, had been dead ten years before the

campaign began.

Rubruquis, who passed through the Dasht-i Kischāk about sixteen or seven teen years after these events, says the whole country between the Danube and the Atii was possessed by the Koman Kapchak, "who are," he says, "called Valani by the Germans, and their country Valania."

It will be seen from this that there is some discrepancy between the two accounts of eastern and western writers, and that the latter have made terrible havoc with the names, wrongly imagined that Batu was the son of Uktae Ka'an, instead of being his nephew, and turned all the Mughals into "Tattara." There is little doubt but that the Kumans were of Turkish descent, and that, as before stated, there were some Turks, Tattars, and Tazaiks in Batu's army. Kadan Aghul, and Malık, sons of Üktae, were by a Küman concubine. Other blunders committed by most European writers are with regard to the dates, and the supposition that Uktae's death was the cause of the return of the Mughal princes and their armies, whereas, as has been, and will be presently, related, these wars were over, and they returned to their respective territories before the death of Uktae, which took place on the 5th day of the sixth month of 639 H -10th December, 1241 A.D ; and yet, according to the European writers, the battle of Lignitz was only fought in April of that very year, and the "Mongols" only crossed the Danube on the ice, after the great battle in which Bela, king of Hungary, was overthrown, to attack Gran, on the 25th December. 1241, or, according to this theory, twenty days after "Hocotam's" death.

After overrunning the country of Bolo [[v]], the Shāh-zādahs, and Amiis, during the [following] winter, assembled on the banks of the river Jānān [[v]], and the Bahādur, Swīdāe [Sahūdah], with a large force, was despatched into the country of Urūs [also styled Rūs], and the frontiers of Bulghār He penetrated as far as the city of Komak [v], and overthrew the aimies of that state, after much fighting, and brought it under subjection; and, the capital thereof having been reduced to wreichedness and desolation, the Amirs of that place came out, proceeded to the presence of the Shāhzadahs, and made their submission. They were well treated, received favours

tribes of Khifchāk, Ķankuli, Yamak, Ilbari [Albari], Rūs,

¹ The tribe to which Sultan Shams-ud-Din, I-yal-timigh, belonged, and also Ulugh Khan, and his brother, and cousm. Our author connects them with the Yamak also. See pages 599, 791, 796, 800, and last page

In some copies written ارس and الرس The tract referred to is Russia in its restricted signification—Russia Proper. The meaning of Rus is said to be

"fox," which, to say the least, is suggestive

and benefits, and were permitted to return [as vassals of the Mughals]. Again they became rebellious, and the Shāh-zādahs again detached Swīdāe [Sahūdab]. He soon reduced the disaffected, and put all concerned in the outbreak to death.

After these events a council was held by the Shah-zadahs, and it was agreed that each one, with the troops under him, should march towards different points [where this council was held is not stated], subdue such territories as lay in his way, and destroy the fortified places Mangu, accordingly, continued to advance on the left hand towards Jirkah [] or Chukah [], keeping along the banks of the Jirkah or Chirkah river, and Bajman [This name is doubtful It is written whiten ... - Najman, or Bajman-July - Tahman -and without any points It may also be read Tajman, or it may be Tachman, but it seems to be Bajman, from the various modes here given, who was a great Amr, and redoubtable warrior of the tribe or people of the Aolbarlang of the peoples of Kifchak, and Kajir Olukah [اولرالع] of the peoples of Kifchak, and Kajir Olukah As [Ossetse 1], he made prisoners It happened in this wise Baiman, with a body of robbers, who had escaped the sword [probably at the time Kuktae and Swīdāe [Sahūdah], at the commencement of Üktāe's reign, moved into those parts. See note 5, page 1115], having been joined by other fugitives, were harrying the parts around and carrying off property, and the sedition was increasing daily The Mughals were unable to lay hands on this Bajman, and he used to hide in the vast forests of canes along the banks of the Atil or Wolga. Mangu caused two hundred vessels to be prepared, on each of which he embarked 100 Mughals, while Mangu himself, and his brother. Tukal. moved along down either bank with their forces [Neither Mangu nor his brother embarked on board these vessels - they would have defeated their own object if they had done so] At last the troops under Mangü reached one of these forests of canes, and discovered traces of a recent encampment some search, an old woman, who had been left behind because she was sick, was discovered. On being questioned, she, to save her life, confessed that Baiman and his followers had recently decamped, and were then lurking in a certain island, which she pointed out, with all his property and effects. As his boats were not there, and he was unable to cross to the island, Mangu sent messengers to hasten onwards his vessels, but it so happened that, before the vessels arrived, a high wind arose, and the waters became so disturbed, and the waves rose so, that the shallow part which constituted the ford, pointed out by the old woman, became partially exposed from one side to the other. Mangu pushed across with his troops and caught Bajman, who requested that Mangu would put him to death with his own band, but this he declined, and directed his brother, Tükal, to cut him in two. On this island Kajîr Olükah, the Amîr of the As, was also slain. Mangu passed part of the summer on this island. and when the weather became very hot he moved into another country.

Charkas,3 and As,4 as far as the Bahr-i-Zulmat [Sea of

² The people styled Tachermiss probably, who, in ancient times, dwelt between the Volga and Tanus or Don.

Said to have been a city of Kifchak giving name to a province.

These events are recorded as having taken place in the year 633 H. = September, 1235-36 A D.

Bātū Khān, in the beginning of 634 H.—the latter part of 1236 A.D in concert with his brothers, Urdah, Barkah, and other Shah-zadahs, undertook an expedition against Tokashi [توفش] and Bartas, and, after some delay, subdued their [those?] territories. Towards the close of this year, the Shah-zadahs present held a kūrīlitāe, and it was therein determined to make war on the Urus [ازس]]. Bātū, Ūrdalı, Kyūk, Mangū, Kolkān, Ķadān, and Burl, accordingly, invested the city of Arpan, and, after three days, it was captured by storm, and the city of I-kah [4] met the same fate. In the capture of I-kah, Kolkan [not the son of the Chingiz Khan, as supposed, merely because he bore an uncle's name who died long before, but Chaghatae's son] was mortally wounded. One of the Amīrs of the Urus, named Aimān [ارمان], also written Azmān [ارمان], advanced with an army against the Mughais, and, after many endeavours on his part, he was killed, with the greater part of his troops The Mughals now captured the city of Makar [] [] after five days' investment, and the ruler [Häkim] of the city, who was named Ulā-tīmūr [ولاتهور]—Vladımır, son of the Grand Duke George of Russia?], was likewise killed The city of Borkf-i-Bururg - Great Borkf [بوركي] - was also invested, and, after eight days, during which its people fought desperately, it was taken, and fell into Mangu Khan's hands. In the space of five days, the Mughals took the city of Karikla [M. s], which is the native country of the Wazīr of Ladan or Lawan. The Amir of that country, Wamkah Purko [وكميوركو], fled, and took shelter in a forest, and after some trouble he was captured and killed

After this, the Mughal Shāh-zādahs made a retrograde movement [to the river Don?] and held counsel together respecting their future operation. It was agreed that they should continue to advence, tomān by tomān, to Jīrkah or Chirkah, and capture and destroy every city and town and fortified place that came in their way. Bātī, on this occasion, appeared before the city of Kasal Ankah [اكنا] and invested it for two months, but could not succeed in taking it. Subsequently, Kadān, and Būrī, arrived with their contingents, and, after three days, it was carried. After this they came to a pause, and took up their quarters in houses [for the winter?], and took their ease

Towards the close of the year 635 H [which commenced the end of August, 1237-38 A D], Mangū and Kadān marched into the country of the Charkas [Cheremis of Nichi Novyorod], and, in the midst of winter, entered it The Bādahah of the Charkas, named Būkān [اولان], was slarn, and the country fell into the possession of the Mughals In this year likewise, Shabān, Tūkal, and Būrī, turned their attention to the country of Marīm [عرم], and subdined it from Hejakān [عرم] as far as Karar [عرام]

Harkah, during this year, set out towards Kischak; and Uzjāk [ارجان], Kozān [ارجان], Kezān [الوران], and other leader, and their dependants, after

Darkness—the Arctic Sea?],* and the whole submitted to his authority.

He was a very sagacious man, and friendly towards the

A stormy sea is called by this name, in a passage in the Kur'an, but there can be little doubt as to what sea is alluded to.

great slaughter, were captured, and their country devastated. After this, Barkah returned to the sirdii of his uncle, Üktäe Kä'än, bearing along with him such a vast amount of spoil as cannot be computed.

In the year 636 H —August, 1239-40 A.D.—Kadān and Būri proceeded towards the city of Mankas [محمد] during the height of winter, and took it, after forty-five days' investment. In the following year, 637 H —August, 1239-40 A.D —Mangū Khān and Kubliše were directed to return from the Dasht-i-Kiſchāk, while Bātū Khān and his brothers, and Kadān, Būri, and Būchak, marched to attack the country of Urus [again], and the tribe and soldiers of Halahān [مراض المحمد ال

"The Russians," according to the "Modern Universal History," quoting Petreus, par 11, were reduced to a most deplorable situation, perpetually distressed by their own sovereigns, harassed by their neighbours, and exposed to all the calamities of war; when, to complete their misery, the Tartars [Mughals?], still greater savages than themselves, poured in upon them with irresistible fury, and actually made a conquest of their country. History does not inform us of the particulars of this remarkable event, any farther than that innumerable multitudes of those barbarians, headed by their khan Batto or Battus, after ravaging great part of Poland and Silesia, broke suddenly into Russia, and laid waste everything before them, marking their steps with every act of cruelty Most of the Russian princes, among whom was the great Duke George Sevodolitz, were made prisoners, and racked to death, in short, none found mercy but those who voluntarily acknowledged the Tartars [Mughals?] for their lords. The relentless conqueror imposed upon the Russians everything that is most mortifying in slavery, insisting that they should have no other princes than such as he approved of [History repeats itself often this reads much like part of recent treaties which one power wished to impose upon the 'Usmanii Turks, and another upon Afghans]; and that they should pay him a yearly tribute, to be brought by their sovereigns themselves, now his vassals, on foot, who were to present it humbly to the Tartarian [Mughal?] ambassador on horseback They were also to prostrate themselves before the haughty Tartar [Mughal?], to offer him milk to drink, and, if any drops of it fell down, to lick them up-a singular mark of servility, worthy of the barbarran who imposed it, and which lasted near two hundred and sixty years."

A duke or prince of the Rüs or Russians attended, as a vassal of the Mughals, the installation of Kyūk Khān, but was compelled to stand outside the audience tent or khargah. This is confirmed by John de Plano Carpini, who reached Kyūk's urdū in 1246 A.D.—644 H.—before Kyūk was elected, and he found Jeroslaus standing at the door of the khargah

Musalmāns, and, under his protection, they used to live contented and happy. In his camp, and among his tribes, there were maspads with regular congregations, an Imām, and Mu'azzīn, all duly organized; and, during the period of his reign, and the term of his life, the territories of Islām sustained neither harm nor injury by his command, nor from his dependents nor troops. The Musalmāns of Turkistān, under the shadow of his guardianship, enjoyed great affluence and infinite security.

Out of every country of I-rān which fell under the jurisdiction of the Mughals, he [Bātū] had a specified assignment, and his factors were placed over such portions as had been allotted to him, and all the Grandees and Leaders of the Mughal forces were obedient unto Bātū, and used to look upon him in the light of his father Tūshi.

When Kyuk departed from the world, all concurred in the sovereignty of Bātū, with the exception of the sons of Chaghatāe, and made this request to him, that he would accept the throne of the Mughals, and assume the sovereignty, in order that all might obey his commands. Bātū did not consent; and Mangū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingiz Khān, was raised to the sovereignty, as will, subsequently, be related

Some among the trustworthy have stated on this wise, that Bātū, privately, and in secret, had become a Musalmān, but used not to make it known, and that he reposed implicit confidence in the people of Islām. For twenty-eight years, more or less, he ruled over this extent of country [as previously mentioned], and died. The mercy

⁶ As head of the race and family See page 1177

⁷ Not mentioned by any other author, but extremely probable It is also stated again at page 1164

[·] So he says respecting Üktäe Ka'an

[•] After his return from the seven years campaign, by command of his uncle, Uktae Ka'an, Batu was raised to the sovereignty over all the parts of Kifchak, and the farther west, including the extensive territories which he 'had subdued and made tributary, and he proceeded to the presence of his uncle, and remained with him some time. He subsequently returned to his own dominions

In 639 H he was struck with paralysis, hence his inability to come to the Urdue Baligh to hold a kūriutāe on the death of Kyūk; and, in the year 650 H, he died on the banks of the Atil or Wolga, at the age of forty-eight, having been born in 602 H. There is some discrepancy regarding the date of

of the Almighty be upon him, if a true believer, and, if an infidel, may the Almighty lessen his punishment [in hell]!

They buried him in conformity with the Mughal custom: and among that people it is the usage, when one of them dies, to prepare a place under ground about the size of a chamber or hall, in largeness proportionate to the rank and degree of the accursed one who may have departed to hell. They furnish it with a throne and covering for the ground, and they place there vessels and numerous effects, together with his arms and weapons, and whatever may have been his own private property, and some of his wives, and slaves, male or female, and the person he loved most above all others. When they have placed that accursed one upon the throne, they bury his most beloved along with him in that place. In the night-time the place is covered up, and horses are driven over it, in such a manner that not a trace of it remains.1 This custom of theirs—God curse them !- is comprehended by all Musalmans. Here an astonishing anecdote which the author has heard is recorded, in order that readers thereof may, respecting the things of the world to come, increase their reverence: but God is all knowing.

AN ASTONISHING ANECDOTE.

An astonishing anecdote, which was heard from the Khwājah [opulent merchant], whose word is reliable, whom

his decease Some say it happened in 645 H., some in 653 H., others in 654 H., and that he was aged forty-seven, and others, again, give 662 H as the date; but, as nearly all agree that he was born in 601 or 602 H, and died at the age of forty-seven or forty-eight, there is no doubt that 650 H is the correct year of his death.

Bātū Khān founded the city known as the Sarāe, on the Ātil or Wolga. He was succeeded by his son, Surtāk, who will be mentioned farther on.

1 That quant old traveller, Sir John Maundeville, had heard a correct version of the mode of interment, which he gives in detail, and winds up saying: "Many cause themselves to be interred privately by night, in wild places, and the grass put again over the pit to grow; or they cover the pit with gravel and sand, that no man may perceive where the pit is, to the intent that never after may his friends have mind or remembrance of him "—Early Travels in Palestine London. Bohn

Rubruquis states, and quite correctly too, with reference to burials, that, if the deceased be of the race of the Chingir Khān, his sepulchie is rarely known. See note at page 1089, para 5.

they used to style Rashid-ud-Din, the Ḥakim, a native of Balkh, is here related, in order that it may be acceptable to the Sultān of the Sultāns of Islām.

This Khwaiah, Rashid-ud-Din, the Hakim, had come into Hindustan from Khurasan, in the year 648 H., for purposes of trade, and he accompanied the author of this TABAKĀT, Minhāj-1-Sarāj, on a journey [from Dihli] to Multan. He related as follows: "One of the Mughal lords, in the territory of Kara-Kuram, who possessed numerous followers and servants and great wealth, [died and] went to hell. They accordingly caused a place to be prepared, with the utmost ceremony, for the interment of that accursed one, and placed with him arms and other effects, and furniture and utensils in great quantity. A couch also, adorned and decorated, they had prepared: and desired to bury, along with him, the most loved of his people. They consulted together as to whom among his servants they should inter who would be the one to whom he was most attached.

"There was a youth of the confines of Tirmid of Khurāsān, who, in his childhood, had fallen captive into the hands of this Mughal gabr' in the beginning of the misfortunes of Khurāsān; and, when he reached puberty, and grew into youth and virility, and attained unto man's estate, he turned out exceedingly active, intelligent, expert, and frugal, in such wise, that everything belonging to that accursed one, in whole and in part, came under the youth's disposal, and, as this Mughal had called him son, on this account, the whole of the property and effects, and cattle, and whatever else belonged to him, the youth had taken under his control. All the servants and followers of that

3 In a few copies, "the territory of Karā-Kuram of the Mughals," as though that was some other Karā-Kuram

² This was on the occasion of our author's proceeding thither in order to despatch the slaves to his "dear sister" in Khurāsān Perhaps they went along with Rashīd-ud-Dīn's own kāṣlah, indeed, it is most probable that they accompanied it At page 68/, our author says he set out himself in Zi-Ḥijjah of 64/ H., and returned again to Dīhlī in Jamādī-ul-Akhir, 648 H.

The Amuiah being correctly considered as the boundary of Khurāsān

⁶ An Berry on "Fire-Worship" in Mughalistän is not required to elucidate this any more than in Hindustän or Upper India. The signification of this word, and the way in which it is applied, has been given at page 620

Mughal were under his orders, so that not one of them, without the permission of that youth, used to have the power of making use of anything belonging to that accursed one. At this time, all of them [the Mughals], with one accord, girded up their loins to despatch this youth, saving: 'The deceased [Mughall used not to regard any one more than this youth: it is necessary to inter him along with him.' Their object was to destroy this youth, and take vengeance on him for the sway he had exercised; and, in this proceeding, all agreed. The Musalman youth, in this state of affliction, was astounded, and resigned his heart to death, seeing that he had no asylum and no succour, save in the Lord, the Helper of the Helpless. He stretched out the hand of supplication to the promise of Him, "who hears the distressed when they pray unto Him," and performed the ablution of purification, donned clean clothes, and placed his foot within that subterranean [chamber].

"When they had covered it up, in a corner of this chamber, that poor creature turned his face towards the kıblah, repeated a prayer of two genuflexions, and then occupied himself in repeating the Musalman creed. Suddenly, a side of the chamber opened, and two persons, so majestic and awe-striking that the bile of a hundred thousand lions, at their aspect, would turn to water, entered Each of them bore a fiery javelin, out of which issued flames of fire, and the flames encircled the couch of the [dead] Mughal all round; and a small spark from the fiery sparks [issuing from the stames], about the size of a needle's point, fell upon the cheek of that youth, burnt it. and made it smart. One of these two persons said: 'There appears to be a Musalman here;' and the other turned his face on the youth and asked: 'Who art thou?' The youth states that he answered: 'I am a poor and miserable captive, captured by the hands of that Mughal.' They demanded: 'From whence art thou?'7 and I replied: 'From Tirmid.' They then struck one side of the chamber with the heads of their javelins, and it rent

6 Kun'An, chap. xxvii , verse 63

⁷ Strange that these supernatural beings did not know all about him, and that this never occurred to the narrator.

asunder to the extent of about [the size of] a doorway, and they said: 'Go out!' and I placed my foot without,

and I found myself in the Tirmid country."

"From that place, namely, Karā-Kuram" of the Mughals to Tirmid, is a distance of six months' journey and more; and, up to this time, that youth is dwelling upon his own property and possessions, on the confines of Tirmid; and whatever salve he continues to apply to the hurt occasioned by that spark of fire, it is ineffectual to heal it, and it continues open to the size of a needle's point, and to discharge as before." Glory to Him who contrives what He pleases!

May Almighty God long preserve the Sultān of the Sultāns of the age, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WA UD-DIN, upon the throng of sovereignty!

VII. MANGŪ KHĀN, SON OF TŪLĪ KHĀN, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN

Trustworthy persons have stated that Tüli was the

⁸ Here also, as at the beginning of this anecdote, two of the most modern copies of the text have Karā-Kûm for Karā-Kuram See para 6 of note, page 1140

Like Ükdäe or Üktäe, Mangú is always styled Ķā'ān.

Tuli, or Tulue, as the name is also written, had ten sons, but the four named by our author were the most renowned among the Musalmans (1) Mangu Khān, (2) Kubilāe Khān (3) Hulāku Khān, and (4) Irtuk Bukā

Our author has not devoted a separate heading to Tüli Khān, although he was as much entitled to it as Üktāe, Chaghatāe, or jūji, but the account of his hife is contained in the reigns of his father and brother Üktāe. Tüli was the youngest son, and most beloved by his father, and, when very young in years, his father married him to the daughter of the Jānkabū, Badāe, brother of the Awang Khān, named Siūr Kūķībī Bigī, and by that Karāyat wife, the chief of his Khātūns, he had the four sons named above. As his decease, which took place in 628 H, was a source of grief to Üktāe Kā'ān, care was taken that the word "Tūlī," which in their language signifies a mirror, should not be mentioned, and instead of using the word tūlī for murror, after his decease, the word gūsgū was had recourse to, bearing the same signification, and it became common in consequence. Command was also issued that the name Tūlī should not be given to any one again. The Jahān-Ārā says that Mangū, Tūlī's son, commanded the use of the word gūsgū.

Uktae, when he used to have recourse to drink, and became inebriated, would say that he first took to it in order to divert his thoughts from dwelling on the bereavement he had sustained by the loss of his brother Tuif

The authority from which the author of "Mongols Proper" draws a version of this little episode, and a very erroneous version, must have been "in a fix"

youngest son of the Chingiz Khān; and it was he who destroyed the cities of Khurāsān, as has been previously recorded respecting him, in the account of the downfall of the city of Hirāt. He had four sons, the eldest being Mangū Khān, the second, Hulā'ū, the third, Irtuk Būkah, and the fourth, Kublā.

When Kyuk went to hell, the sons of Chaghatāe demanded the sovereignty; and they, having a great number of horsemen and dependents, did not consent to the sovereignty of Mangū Khān. The beginning of this matter was in this manner. When Kyuk departed from this world, all the great chiefs of the Mughal armies turned their faces towards Bātū [son of Tūshi] saying: "It behoveth that thou shouldst be our sovereign, since, of the race of the Chingiz Khān, there is no one greater than thou; and the throne and diadem, and the rulership, befitteth thee best." Bātū replied: "I and my brother, which is Barkā, possess [already] so great a sovereignty and empire in this part, that to rule over it, together with

to translate it, and has consequently made it ridiculous:—"Tului in Mongol means 'mirror,' and the Turkish synonym of the word, viz, guesigu, was eradicated from the language," etc. So guesigu was eradicated so that "Tului" might be perpetuated!

Tulf Khan was known by the titles of the Yakah or the Unique Nu-yin and the Ulugh or Great Nu-yin, but certainly he was not referred to - at least, by Mughals—as the "Great Novan."

Our author forgets to say where The Dasht-1 Kıfchāk, and the vast territories farther west, are referred to. See under the account of Bātū, page 1165

On the death of Kyük Khān, again disorder arose in the affairs of the empire. The Khātūn of the late Khān, Ükūl-Kūmish, or Üghūl-Kīmish, as the name is also written, according to previous usage, in concert with, and by the advice of, the ministers and Amīrs present in the great urdū, or yūral, assumed the direction of affairs. The routes, too, had become closed, as each of the Shāh-zādahs, Nū-yīns, and Amīrs, with his followers, were on their way to the urdū, but, when they became aware of Kyūk's decease, they halted then and there, and delayed in expectation of the accession of a Khān; and each of the Shāh-zādahs was beginning to plot sedution, and stir up dissension, particularly the sons of Üktāe Kā'ān, who entertained ideas of their rights to the succession, after the promise made to Kyūk by those present at his accession.

Bātū Khān, son of Jūjī, was the real head of the family of the Chingis Khān, and of the Mughal I-māk, was acknowledged as such by the whole family, and all the different Mughal tribes, and was looked up to and held in great reverence in consequence. He had, however, in 639 H, been stricken with paralysis, in one or both legs, which the historians term dard-i-pic, hterally

possession of, and sway over, the states of Chin, Turkistan,

signifying, "pain, ache, or affliction of the foot or leg," which some modern translators interpret as gout; but gout is temporary, as far as moving about is concerned, while Bātū's affliction was permanent, and precluded his undertaking a long journey. He was, consequently, unable to proceed from the Dasht-Khitchāk to the ancient write and yiërat of the Chingiz Khān, as was usual on such occasion, but he sent out envoys, by virtue of his position, to the different Shāh-zādahs, and Amīrs, saying "Let each one get ready to come into Khitchāk, so that we may hold a kūrītāte of the different brothers, and brothers' sons, and consult as to whom we shall choose to succeed to the Khān-ship, since, on account of my paralysis, I am unable to proceed to Kalūrān, which is the original yibrat and the seat of sovereignty of the Chingiz Khān."

The agents of Batu in due course delivered their message, but Kara Aghul, son of Chaghatse, and the sons of Kyük, declined to obey, saying "The ancient yarrat and seat of sovereignty of the Chingiz Khan is U-tak or U-tagh and Kalūr-ān, and it is not at all necessary for us to go into Khifchāk to hold a kūriltāe," and Khwajah, the Nū-yin, Karklūkūr, and the Nū-yin, Tīmūr, who were the Amirs of Kara-Kuram, they therefore sent to act as their deputies, and to sign anything that might be agreed upon among the Shah-zadalis Kukibi Bigi, the mother of Mangu, however, having heard that the sons of Üktäe Kā'an, Chaghatāe Khān, and Kyūk Khān, refused to comply with Batu Khān's request, advised her sons, particularly Mangu, her eldest, to lose no time in proceeding to the presence of their Aka, and paying homage to him; and Mangu and his brothers set out without loss of time. Having reached the Dasht-t-Kifchāk, and the presence of Bātū Khān, he received them with great favour, and paul Mangû great attention Bātū stated to the other Shah-cadahs present at the kurillae then assembled, that he had already remarked Mangu's fitness for the Khan-ship, and, besides, reminded them that he had already experienced the good and evil, the sweet and bitter, of life, and the affairs of the world, had several times commanded armies, and had been held in estimation by Oktae Ka'an, the Shah-zadahs and Amīrs, and the s ldiery Batu added . "The Ka'an sent him along with me, and my brothers, Ordah. Shaiban, and Tingkût, and others of the family of Jūjī, accompanied by his brother Korkan [Buchak is mentioned as having gone. See note 9 page 1164], and Kyūk, into the territory of Kifchāk, and other countries, where he greatly distinguished himself After that, when the Ka'an commanded that the Shah-zadahs [that is, other than those of Juji's family, whose appanage was the territories of Kifchak, and other western countries] should return, before they reached the Ka'an's presence he was dead. The Ka'an's will was, that Shiramun, his grandson, should succeed him, but Türa Kinah Khātun set aside his commands, and set up her own son, Kyūk, in the place of his father, Uktae Now it is proper that Mangu Khan should succeed, and he is worthy of succeeding, and there is none other so fitting and capable of directing the affairs of the cuspire and of the army More than this, Mangir is the son of my uncle, Tulue Khan, the youngest son of the Changez Khan, and to whom appertained the charge of the great yarat, and as, according to the ordinances and usages of the Mughale, the dwelling-place of the father belongs to the youngest son, therefore the sovereignty belongs to Mangu." The others present acquiesced, and, the right of Mangu having been determined, Batu Khān despatched envoys to the Khātuns and sons of Uktae, and to Siur Kūkibi-Bigi, Wangū's mother, and the other Shāh-zādahs and great Amīrs

and 'Ajam, would be impossible. It will be advisable

of the Dast-1-Räst, and Dast-1-Chap [s.e. who, in the assembles of the Chingiz Khän, and his son, Oktäe, used to sit on the right and left, and who belonged to the Hazārah's of the right and left wings. See note at page 1093], saying, that "by the Shāh-zādahs who, with their eyes, had seen the Chingiz Khān, and who, with their ears, had heard his laws and ordinances, the Shāh-zādahs present in this kūrīdiāi, it was deemed advisable, on the part of the udās, the army, and the people generally, to raise Mangū to the sovereignty."

Having thus selected Mangu, Bātu Khān directed his brothers Urdah, Shathan, and Barkae, or Barkah, as he is also called, the Shah-zadahs of the Dast-1-Räst, the whole family of Juji, Kara Hülakü, and others of the sons of Chaghatae, and the Shah-zadahs of the Kara Bilad [31 1/], to prepare a great hanquet in honour of the occasion, and to seat Mangu on the throne, but Mangu made some hesitation [for form's sake, probably?], when his brother, Mükäe, or Mükä Aghül, got up, and said: "Have we not all stipulated and signed our hands that we would not act contrary to the command of the Sä-In Khan, Batu, how therefore can Mangu hesitate to accede to his commands, and neglect to give ear to his words?" All present applauded this speech of Mükäe's, and Mangu therefore signified his willingness. Then, as was customary, Bätu Khan arose, seated Mangu on the throne, and saluted him as Kā'ān, and all present, following his example, did the same. Bātū then held the goblet to him, and, followed by the others, bent the knee to him nine times, opened his girdle, doffed his cap, and acknowledged his fealty to him

It was then determined that a great kārīltāe should be summoned to meet at Kalür-an to confirm this decision, and, accordingly, all those who attended this one departed for their own yūrats, and the accession of Mangu became noised abroad in all parts Bātū then directed his brothers, Baikāe and Būkā Timur—the Fanakati says Urdah and Shaiban also went—to accompany Mangu, with a large army, to the khargah of Kalur-an [referring to the urdii of the Chincia Khanl, and, in the presence of the Shah-zadahs, to seat him on the throne there likewise Mangu's mother used all her influence, which was very great, to induce the adverse party to attend, and most of the Shahzădahs and others signified their approval of the choice of Mangu, all save a number of the sons of Uktae and Kyūk, and Yassū-Munga, Kara Aghūl, and Būrī, sons of Chaghatāe, who were using all sorts of stratagems to prevent the installation of Mangu, and our author was wrong in supposing that only the sons of Chaghatae were plotting against him. They despatched an envoy to liaiu Khān, notifying their dissent from the succession of Mangu, and stated that it had been previously determined that the sovereignty should continue in Cktae's family, and demanded why, such being the case, he had set up another Bātū replied that he had done so by virtue of his position as head of the tribes and amily, and because he and others deemed Mangu best fitted to rule a vast impire; and that no one else among them was capable of ruling it-a work which could not be entrusted to boys. He exhorted them to think better of he matter.

In this discussion the time prescribed by Bātū for the installation of Mangū in the throne in Kalūr-ān passed, and the next year [647 II] crime tound, and still the affairs of the empire remained without order or splendour. All he efforts of Mangū and his mother to propitate and persuade the hostile party were of no avail—the more she and others endeavoured to do so, the

that we should raise to the sovereignty Mangu Khan,

more obdurate the others became This year coming to a close also, Mangu, in accord with Batu's brothers, sent envoys to all his kinsmen, requesting them to assemble in Kalūr-an; and despatched Shalamun, the Bitik-chi-a writer, or secretary, from the Turkish de-to Ughul Kulmish, the chief Khatun of Kyük Khān, and her sons, Nākū and Khwājah, and another Bitik-chī to Yassū-Mangū [also written Mangā], saying . "Most of the members of the urdu of the Chingia Khan have here assembled, and the furiltae is entirely delayed, through your non-attendance, for a long time. If you are of one mind, and desire to see the affairs of the empire disposed of, amicably and in accord, attend; but, understand, that nothing will be left in abevance for you any longer" They saw there was no help but to appear, and therefore Naku Aghūl set out, and the Nū-yln, Kadāk, and several other Amirs of Kyūk Khān, and Yassu Mangu, and Burl, sons of Chachatae Khān, proceeded from their urdus, and went to the presence of Shiramun, grandson of Uktae, and all these Shah-zadahs met together at an appointed place Afterwards, Khwajah, son of Kyūk, joined them; and, under the supposition that the kūrīltāe would not, and could not, be held without them, they proceeded very leasurely It so happened, however, that Barka Khan had previously written to his brother, Batu, saying, that it was now two years since the sons of Üktäe, Kyük, and Chaghatae, had been summoned to attend, and they would not, and were constantly occupied in their ambitious and seditious designs, In reply, Batu gave orders, saying "l'lace ye Mangu on the throne, and, if they or either of them do anything contrary to the yaxa of the Chingiz Khan, let their heads pay the forfeit "

On receipt of this command, Barkā and his brother assembled together all the Shāh-zādahs then present in the urdā of Kalūr-ān, and the great Amirs, among whom was Amir Harkashūn, or Harkasūn, and of the Shāh-zādahs of the Dast-i-Rāst, Karā Hulā'ū [or Hulākū; the name is written both ways, and both are correct], son of Chaghatāe, of Oktāe's sons, Kadān, and his grandsons, Mūngard and others, and the brothers of Mangū, Kubilā, or Kubilāe, Hulākū, Mūkā, and Irtuk Būkā Of the Shāh-zādahs of the Dast-i-Chap, the sons of Jūjī Kasār, Nako [?], and Yasū Mungā, Iljidāe or Ilchikdāe, son of Kājīūn, and Mājār, son of the Nu-yīn, Cljī, the sons of

Mankūti, and a few others of the family of lesser note

Having chosen a propitious hour, approved by the augurs, they met together, confirmed the decision of the Aka, Bâtu Khan, and placed Mangu Ka'an upon the throne with the usual ceremonials. One of the most auspicious signs of the glory of his reign, according to the pro-Mughal Historians, was, that for several days previously, the atmosphere of those parts became so overcast that the face of the sun was completely hidden, and incessant rain fell; but it so happened that, at the very moment chosen by the astrologers for Mangil's seating himself on the throne, the world-enlightening luminary burst forth from his veil of clouds, and filled the universe with his effulgent brightness present in that great assembly, Shāh-zādahs, Amīrs, and people, thereupon arose, doffed their caps, unloosed their girdles and cast them over their shoulders, and bent the knee nine times I cannot here enter into farther details, which are highly interesting space forbids. It took place at the ancient yūrat, within the limits of Karā-Kuram, the urdū of Kalūr-ān, in the year of the Hog, in the month of Zi-Kadah-the eleventh month-of the year 648 H. or February, 1251 4 D

son of Tult, the youngest of the Chingiz Khān's sons, who was removed from the world in the day-time of youth, and never enjoyed dominion; and, whereas, I, Bātū, shall place him on the throne, in reality I shall be the sovereign." All ratified this opinion.²

When they were about to place Mangū Khān on the throne, Barkā, the Musalmān, said: "The empire of the infidels hath departed, and the dominion of every pagan monarch who ascends the throne of sovereignty will not endure. If ye desire that the rule of Mangū shall continue, and be prolonged, let him pronounce the [Musalmān] confession of faith, in order that his name may be inscribed in the register of the Islāmīs, and then let him ascend the throne" This was concurred in, and Mangū repeated the confession of faith. Then Barkā, taking him

In 649 H, Mangū Kā'ān lost his mother, Sfūr-Kūkībī Bīgī, by some written Sfūr-Kūkītī Bīgī—being, I believe, a mistake of : for which often occurs in MS. She was a Christian, but favoured the Musalmāns, and was exceedingly liberal towards them. She gave 1000 bālish of gold for the purpose of erecting a khānkah or monastery over the tumb of the Shaikh, Saif-ud-Dīn, the Bākhūrzī, at Bukhārā, and ordered villages to be purchased wherewith she endowed it.

Previous to Mangū's having been raised to the throne of sovereignty, and during the four years his confirmation remained in abeyance, some events of importance happened in the countries, and to several persons, mentioned by our author

In the year 643 H Malik Ruln-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, the Kurat, repeatedly mentioned by our author, the maternal graudfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad [who is considered the first of the Kurat dynasty], died at Khāesār of Ghūr, a notice of whom will be found farther on.

On the 23rd of Muharram, 644 H, Sultān 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, died, or, rather, was put to death, in prison at Dihlf, and was succeeded by his uncle, Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, who, soon after, at the advice of his Hājib, Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Balban [subsequently raised to the office of Lieutenant of the kingdom, with the title of Ulugh Khān], advanced towards the Indus to expel the Mughals from the Sind-Sāgar Do-ābah, where they had established themselves after the unsuccessful attempt upon Uchchah mentioned at page 1154.

In 645 H., the Amir, Arghun Akā, having obtained the government of î-răn-Zamin, entered upon his office. He had obtained it, by Kyūk Khān's command after the î-ghūr Nū-yīn, Kūrkūz, had been put to death. Some say that Turā-Kinah Khātūn, Kyūk's mother, had removed Kūrkūz, and appointed Arghūn Akā, before Kyūk succeeded to the sovereignty, as has been previously mentioned, in note 7, page 1149

³ If so, how is it that other Musalman writers do not say so? I fear "the wish" of our author "was parent to the thought"

Barkā Khān had already become a Musalmān, because, at the great feast

by the arm, seated him on the throne; and all the Mughal rulers paid homage unto him, with the exception of the tribe and dependents and sons of Chaghatāe, who began to act in a contumacious manner, and showed a rebellious spirit. They were desirous of acting in a perfidious manner, and of falling unexpectedly upon the camp of Mangū Khān, to capture him, and put him to death.

They [the sons of Chaghatae] despatched confidential persons to the presence of Mangu Khan, saying: "When thou ascendest the throne we propose to come to thy presence for the purpose of tendering our congratulations and observing the custom of felicitation." With this pretence they issued forth from their place of abode, with a host of horsemen well organized and armed; and their determination was to make a night attack upon Mangū Khān, slay him and Bātū also, and overcome their adherents, and seize upon the sovereignty: "man proposes, but God disposes." It was the decree of Heaven that a camel-man, from the camp of Bātū and Mangū Khan, who had lost his camel, set out towards the open country in search of it, and was roaming about in every direction, when, suddenly, he fell among the army of the sons of Chaghatae. On becoming aware of the circumstances of this army, to every one who inquired of him to whose following or retinue he belonged-as the appearance and dialect of the camel-men of the aimy of Chaghatae's sons was the same as his own-he made himself out to be a camel-man of one of their Amīrs, until night came, when, seizing the opportunity, the camel-man got away from among the forces of the sons of Chaghatae, and returned to the camp of Bātū and Mangū Khān, and made them acquainted with the matter.4

held on this occasion, sheep were allowed to be killed for him, according to the prescribed Musalman usage, which was contrary to that of the Mughals, Radan Aghūl, and his brother's son, Malik Aghūl, and Karā-Ifūlā'ū, tendered to the Kā'an their congratulations according to the custom of the Mughals

While Mangu Ka'an, and the Shah-zādahs, or Princes, were engaged in these firstivities just referred to, and the Bādshāh was expecting the arrival of the other Shāh-zādahs, who had delayed by the way instead of attending the kūriltūr, as already stated, when no living soul expected that hostility, much less treachery, would break out in the family of the Chingiz Khān, and at a

When that information reached the hearing of Mangū

time when all was jubilee, and there was no suspicion of such treachery, and no precaution taken, Shirāmun, grandson of Üktāe, and son of Kochue, Nāķu or Nāķūe, son of Kyūk, and Kūnūkū, son of Karachār, son of Ūktāe, combined together, and arrived near unto the urdu of Mangu Ka'an. Along with them were a great number of carts full of arms, and in their hearts they meditated treachery and perfidy towards Mangu. It so happened, however, that a kosh-chi-that is to say, a camel-man, whose name was Kashak. a Kankuli, in the immediate service of the Ka'an-had lost one of his camels. In search of this animal he was wandering about the open country, when, suddenly, he found himself in the midst of an army, and saw a countless number of carts As he proceeded onwards, pretending to take no notice, he came upon a boy seated before a broken cart. The boy, thinking he was one of the followers of the force, asked him to aid him in mending it. Kashak dismounted from his horse for the purpose, when, to his great astonishment, instead of drinkables and other necessaries for a banquet, he found implements of war and arms concealed in the cart, under other things. He inquired of the boy . "What are these?" He replied "Only arms, such as are contained in all the other carts," and, on further inquiry, Kashak discovered that this force consisted of the followers of Shirāmun, Nākue, and others, who were proceeding towards the Ka'an's urdw to offer their congratulations, and to hold a banquet. Being aware that banquets were not furnished from carts full of arms, nor congratulations offered, he, after helping to mend the cart, and obtaining other information, got out of the camp of Shiramun and the other Shah-zadahs, and performed a three days' journey in one waiting to ask permission, he rushed into the presence of Mangu Ka'an, and before all those there assembled cried out "Here are ye all occupied in amusement and mirth, while foes have arisen against ye, and are close at hand '" and he related what he had beheld and heard. Mangü would not believe it; and the Shah-zadahs and Amirs present thought Kashak must be exaggerating; nevertheless, the Nū-yīn, Mūngusār, or Mungusār, the principal of Mangu's Nu-yins, was despatched, with 2000 or 3000 horse, to gain When the next morning broke, Müngusär pushed on, at the information head of 500 chosen horse, nearer to the position where the camp of the rebels was situated, and while he was reconnoiting a large body of horsemen were observed approaching It was Shiramun and his party, issuing from their camp. Müngusär was soon joined by the Shah-zadah, Müka, and the Gürgan, Jokal, the Karavit, and an additional force despatched by Mangu to his support, and they completely surrounded Shīrāmūn, Nākū, and Kūnūkū.

The Fanākati says Mangū, on becoming aware of this, despatched the Nūyin, Mungusār, with 3000 men, to meet them, and that he met <u>Sh</u>īrāmun at the head of 500 horse, despatched by the conspirators in advance

The Nū-yīn said to him: "They say ye are coming with evil designs in your hearts. If this is not true, pass on without fear or hesitation to the presence; otherwise I am directed to arrest thee and take thee prisoner thither." Shīrāmūn denied all evil intentions, and asserted that they were all only atterded by their usual retinues. As the others arrived, they and their followers were disarmed, as the party of Shīrāmūn had been already, and the Princes and their Amīrs were divided into nines—the number venerated by the Mughals—and, in that manner were allowed to enter the audience-tent or khangah, and, soon after, the Amīrs with them were admitted to make their obeisances. An

Khan, after taking ample care and caution, he caused the

entertainment was given, which lasted three days, and nothing whatever was said to them, nor was a question asked.

On the fourth day, however, command was given that all the followers of the disaffected Princes and their partisans should depart each to their own yurats, under pain of death if they should be found to remain after that order. A body of troops was detailed to guard the disaffected Princes and Amirs, and Mangū, in concert with his chief Nū yins, Amirs, and Ministers, on the sixth day, proceeded to inquire into their conduct. The Ata-Bak of Prince Shiramun was closely questioned about the plot. He at first denied all knowledge of it, but, on being bastinadoed, he confessed, and immediately stabbed himself, and Shiramun also confessed The seven Nu-yins directed to try the Princes declared them guilty, and, moreover, the conspirators themselves now confessed their plot. Mangu is said to have been inclined to pardon them, but this his Nü-yins and Amirs strongly opposed. He therefore directed that they should all be imprisoned until he had time to consider what should be After a few days, Mangū again summoned his Counsellors, and asked their advice upon the matter. Some said one thing, some another, but in such wise as not to satisfy the Ki'an Then his Wazīr, Mahmūd, Yalwaj, related the anecdote respecting Aristotle's reply to Alexander, about rooting up all the old trees in the garden, and replacing them with young scions, which story has done duty in scores of instances, and is applied by Firishtak. the Dakhani Historian, to the Turk chiefs in the Paniab in the reign of Ghiyasud-Din, Balban-the Ulugh Khan of this History, the father-in-law of Nasirud Din, Mahmud Shah, and his successor on the throne of Dihli

After hearing the anecdote, Mangu Kā'ān understood the necessity there was for completely crushing this faction, and he gave command for them to be put to death

As some of the conspirators had not yet been brought to justice, such as Oghul Kulmısh, the chief Khatun of Lyuk Khan, and Khwajah Aghul, her son, Mangu Ka'an was not yet sale from their designs, and he therefore despatched troops to compel the disaffected to submit. One army, said to have contained ten tomans, probably two, was despatched to the Ulugh Tak and to Tulkae and هور پلاه which he between Bigh-Haligh and Kara-Kuram, with orders to join the Nû-yin Alghu, who was in the district of Kaialik, and to advance as far as the border of Utrar, and act in concert, and the Nü-yin, Būkā, with two tomāns, was despatched to Kirķīr or Ķirāķīr and Kum-Hit The Chinese say that in the year 1250 4 D [commencing on the 4th of April, 648 H], in the year of his accession to the throne, and "about the same time," as he put down the tebellion, "Mengko ordered Holitay, one of his generals, to enter Tibhat, and to put to death all who refused to submit to the Mughals" [to himself?] The Bittk-chi, Shalamun, was despatched to summon Uchul Kuimish Khātun, and her son, Khwājah He proposed to put the envoy to death, but one of his Khātūns persuaded him against committing such an act, and advised his presenting himself, without delay, in the presence of the Ka'an Ughul Kuimush Khatun refused to obey the command of Mangu, and abused and upbraided him before his envoy. Mangu was much enraged when he heard of it, and commanded that she should be brought, with hands bound, to his mother's urdii to be tried

In due time Ughul Kulmish, the Khātun of Kyuk, and Kadākā, the Khātun of Kochuc, son of Chtāe, the mother of Shīrāmun, and Tukāshi, the Khātun

forces to be got ready, and moved out to meet and engage

of Yassū-Mungā, two sons of the Nū-yīn, Īlehīkdāe, and the Christian, Kadāķ, the chief minister of Kyūk Khān, among others, were brought in, tried, and their guilt established. Shīrāmūn's mother and other Khātūns were sent to the sirdū of Stūr Kūķībī Bīgī, Mangū's mother, to be dealt with; and they were rolled up in felts, and drowned. The Shāh-zādahs, Nū-yīns, and Amīrs, were beaten on their mouths with stones until they died. That they "were choked by having earth or stones forced into their mouths," as we are informed in the "Mongol's Proper;" is merely a wrong translation from some "muddy stream" Persian author [or an incorrect translation from the foreign rendering of the Persian], in which amy tend of the Persian], in which amy tend of the persian in the foreign rendering of the Persian], in which amy tend of the persian is the columbiant of the mouths and the state of the persian is the columbiant of the persian in the foreign rendering of the Persian].

Būrī, and some others, were sent to the presence of Bātū Khān to be dealt with, and, their crime having been proved, they too were put to death. The Nū-yīn, lichikdāe, the destroyer of Hirāt, and slayer of its inhabitants, was likewise sensed at Bādohais, and subsequently put to death.

No less than seventy-seven or seventy-eight members altogether of the family of the Chingiz Khān, Nū-yīns, and Amīns, perished on this occasion, and, in consequence of these executions, enmity arose among its members, which was never afterwards extinguished

Rubruquis, who reached Mangū's wrdū in January. 1254 A D.—the last month of 651 H—and was present during these executions, says that three hundred lords, besides ladies, perished He describes Mangū Kā'ān as being of middle stature, flat-nosed, and about forty-five years old "He sat on a bed [couch], and was clad with a robe of spotted fur, which shined like scal-skin His wife [one of his wives], who was a little pretty woman, sat by him, and, on another couch near, sat one of his daughters [by his chief Khātūn], named Shīrīn, grown up, but exceedingly hard favoured, and several little children, for that being her [Shīrīn's] mother's wrdū (a Christian lady whom the Khān was very fond of), she was mistress of it. On the 14th of January, the Khān's chief wife Kotola Katen [the name of the Khān's chief wife was Kankāt Khātūn—uslūs] attended the Christian chapel with her children."

Mangu having now put down all sedition, the natural goodness of his heart disposed him to show kindness to his remaining kinsmen. He directed that Shirāmun, Nāķu, and the Nū-yīn, Chaghān, should accompany his brother, Kubīlāe Khān, into Khitā, and Khwānah Aghūl, son of Kyūk Khān, received an appanage in the territory of Salingah, or Sālingāe, "which is near unto Karā-Kuram;" and, in the same manner, he assigned appanages to others of his kinsmen, wherein they might pass their days in affluence

The loyal Shāh-zādahs were now also dismissed to their different wrdāt, and also Bātū Khān's brothers, Barkāe, and Būţāe Timūr, who had rendered such good service, and had the longest distance to go They were dismissed with rich presents, and bore along with them befüring offerings for the Āṣā, Bātū, the Ṣā-in Khān The sons of Kūtān, Kadān [Kadghān?] Aghūl, and Malik Aghūl, were also rewarded, and allowed to depart to their stations, and on Karā-Hūlākū, won of Mitūkāe, son of Chaghatāe, his father's appanage, which his uncle, Ya-sū-Mungā, had usurped, was conferred, but when he reached Alāe—_J'I-—death overtook him

Kaghak, the kogh-chi, or camel-man, was rewarded, raised to high rank, and made a Tarkhān. The administration of the revenue affairs of the eastern part of the empire was conferred upon the Şāḥib, Maḥmūd, Yalwāj, who had, of old, done such good service, and who had reached Mangū's wrdū pievious

the army of the sons of Chaghatāe, and repel the Before they could reach the camp of Mangū Khān, with his own forces, and the troops of Bātū, fell upon the array, wielded the sword among them, and despated about ten thousand Mughals of rank and reno leaders of armies [!], to hell, and extripated all who longed to the army or were dependents of Chaghatā sons; and set his mind at ease. Mangū Khān now becafirmly established in the sovereignty, and ascended throne of Chin and Upper Turkistān, and carried out measures so that not a trace of the tribe of Chaghatā remained upon the face of the earth, with the except of one or two of Chaghatāe's sons who proceeded towa Chīn, to the presence of the Altān Khān of Tamghājā Sub equently to that, Mangū Khān despatched for

to his being raised to the thione Turkistān and Māwaiā un-Nahr v entrusted to his son, Mas'ud Bak, and the Amir, Arghun Āķā, who, on acce of the immense distance he had to come, could only reach the Court after Air illa, was confirmed in the administration of the revenue affairs of all countries west of the Jihun, as far as Halab, Arman, and Rüm was sent with him to make a new assessment in the countries of I ran-Zai under the sway of the Mughals Mangū also resumed all grants not confer by the Chingiz Khan, Uktae Ka'an, or Kyūk Khan This was done bees the Shah-zadans had, for their own purposes, during the long interregn been bestowing fiels upon their partisans in all directions. Mangū issued v regulations on this, and many other subjects, for which I have no space he A fresh assessment likewise was made in Khitae, and the only exempti from taxation were made in favour of such persons as had been also exer during the reigns of the Chingiz Khan and his son, Uktae Ka'an, nam Sayyıds, Shaikhs, and 'Ulama, of the Musalmans, the priests, monks, ascetics, of the Christians, the chief Tüînān of the Idol-worshippers, and a persons as, by reason of infirmity, sickness, or old age, were unable to wo and all outstanding claims for cesses were likewise remitted

I must, however, briefly mention one especial good regulation instituted Mangū Ķā'ān A number of scribes were employed at the Court, convers with the Fārsī, I-ghūrī, Khiṭā-ī, Tibbatī, Tingkūt, and other languages, that, whenever they might have occasion to write fai māns, they might be a to do so in the language and character of the particular tribe or people to wh such farmān might be addressed. This contradicts the statement made, the authority of Klaproth, quoted in the Journal Ro. As. So., vol. v [n. series], page 33

It is curious to read of "Professor" Tatatonggo, "installed by Genghis Professor of the Outgour language and literature"—in the University of Ula Yurat perhaps

The final downfall of the Altan Khāns, the Kin of Chinese authors, con not have occurred as early as Ūkdāe's reign from this statement, which is Pro-Mughal writers do not even hint at

IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS INTO ISLAM.

to the Kuhistān of the Mulāhidahs; and, during se years, the Mughals overran that territory, pitched camps therein, and took up their quarters in that cou The inhabitants of the Kuhistān became thereby red to misery and wretchedness; and the Mughals ga possession of their fortresses and cities, and demoli their strongholds, and the Mulāḥidahs fell. The acc of them is as follows.

ACCOUNT OF THE FALL OF THE MULAHIDAHS—ON 1 WHOLE OF WHOM BE GOD'S CURSE!

The reason for despatching forces into the territory against the fortresse, of Mulhidistān' was this. At outset of the career and time of Hasan-i-Sabbāh'—(

⁶ Not intended to be understood otherwise than as a mick or by-n Heretic land, from Mulliid, heretic, etc

The Printed Text turns this name into sabbagh—which Arabic for a dyer!

It is very amusing to notice the errors made with regard to the name person, and the plunges made respecting it; and it is surely time such should be corrected. The latest notice of this kind occurs in a lately published, by Major R D Osborn, of the Bengal S C., e " Islam under the Khalifs of Baghdad," in which we are told [p 345] t "first Grand Master of the Assassins" was "Hasan ibn [1 e., son of, w the same time, his father's name is Ali] Saba," but, afterwards, a little on, he is styled "Hasan Saba" only The author of the above work ever, merely follows in the beaten road of others who cannot read the c histories for themselves, and have to depend on translations often inc and who call him by such incorrect names—it is merely error stereoty; to say-such as "the old man of the mountain" for example, another error Yet such is the force of habit that there are editors of periodic. reviews who, if they saw an attempt to correct such blunders, would pr say, "in the case of a journal intended for general readers, we are more forced to adopt the usual conventional spelling, partly because readers and partly to secure uniformity," while others would consider the correct such errors "want of taste," and "very offensive"

The first $D\vec{v}^{\dagger}\vec{r}$, the literal meaning of which is an apostle or missional who invites or stimulates others—of the Isma like or Mulahidahs of I was 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Ḥasan, son of 'Alī, son of Muḥammad, son of Ja'fo Ḥusain, son of Muḥammad, who claimed descent from U's-Ṣabb; Ḥamairl, mentioned at page 7 of this Translation, but by some he is sidered to be descended from Isma'il, son of Ja'far-uṣ-Ṣādiķ

By 'Arab writers he was styled the Shakh-ul-Jibal-whice one, long ago, probably, translated without recollecting, or without ing, that Shakh has other meanings besides "an old or venerable old

curse upon him!—who instituted the rules of the Mulāhidah sect, and founded the canons of that heresy, he restored and strengthened the fortresses of Alamūt which he purchased, along with the fortress of Lanbah-Sar, which was [afterwards became, and was at this time] the capital of the chief Mulhid [heretic], whom that sect used to

and that jibāl is the plural of jabal, "a mountain," and at once jumped at the conclusion that his title was the "Old Man of the Mountain," more especially as his stronghold was on a mountain likewise, and so he has continued to be wrongly styled "The Old Man of the Mountain" down to the present day

The terms Shaikh-ul-Jibāl, however, signify, the Patriarch, Prelate, High-Priest, etc., of, or dwelling in, the tract of country south of the Caspian, called Jibāl, the Mountains of Dilam in the Masalik wa Mamālik, and also Kohistān, consisting of a belt of mountains running along the frontiers of Gilān, Māzandarān, and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam—anctent Parthia. It was from this tract known as "the Jibāl," that Hasan-i-Şabbāḥ, the first Shaikh of the eastern Ismā'ilis or hiulāḥidahs, received the name, hecause, in this tract, he began his mission

There is a Jibal of <u>Gh</u>ar also, mentioned at pages 335 and 338, but that is a mere local name, while "the Jibal" referred to is well known, and is called by hat name

Thus stated in the text, but Alamüt was the capital of the Mulähidahs, during the greater part of their rule, and hence they are sometimes styled Alamütis

A pretty jumble has been made, too, of the name of this well-known place, in every copy of the text collated—but some other works are almost as bad—through the carelessness or ignorance of the copyists. What European writers make of it I shall presently show. Only one copy of the text has anything approaching the correct name, but the various modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types. Some have almost modes of writing cannot all be rendered by Persian types.

Von Hammer styles it Lamsir, which is not very far wide of the mark, but, when he styles Gird-koh by the impossible name of Kirdkuh, it is not to be wondered at that the other is not correct. D'Ohsson, to judge from the "Mon gols I roper," appears to call it Lamsher and Lamhessar, Quatremere alone is correct This place is called Lambah-har --- al-which may be written in one word- Lanbah signifies anything round or circular, such as an apple, an orange, or the like, and Sar means, summit, top, head, etc. It is the name of a mountain in the territory of Mazandaran, near Gird-Koh, which signifies the Round or Circular Hill or Mountain, also in Mazandaran, and on each of these mountains the Mulahidahs had erected a strong fortress. The latter place, which will be again referred to, lies a short distance from Damghan, but neither place is to be found in the large map of Persia lately published by the India Office, nor were they, apparently, known to the most recent travellers in that part of the Persian empire, and I beg to suggest that some of those who may travel that way in future should pay both Lanbah-Sar and Gird-Koh a visit, both sites being well known in those parts.

style "The Maulana"—God curse them all!—from the Dialamis for a large sum of money.

Having brought there a pregnant female slave of his own, he represented to people, saying: "She is pregnant by Mustanşir, the Mişrî, [the Ismā'ili Khalîfah of Egypt], and, flying from enemies, I have brought her to this place, for, from the lineage of this burden [which she bears] will spring the Imām-i-Ākhir-i-Zamān and Mahdi-i-Awān," accompanied with vain and impotent words, the like of which no sensible person would allow to pass in his imagination, or enter his heart. God curse him!

After he purchased those fortresses, he repaired the fortress of Alamüt, and expended incalculable wealth in the restoration of, and providing that stronghold with stores and provisions. It is situated on a mountain in the vicinity of the city of Kazwin. The inhabitants of that city are all orthodox Sunnis, of pure faith, and unsullied belief; and, through the Bāṭiniah and Mulāḥidah heresy, continual fighting and contention used to go on between them [and those heretics].

Trustworthy persons have narrated that all the people and inhabitants of the city of Kazwin had entire sets of arms ready, and implements of warfare in preparation, to such degree, that all the basar people were used to come completely armed to their shops; and conflicts used to take place daily between the Kazwinis and the Mulahidahs of Alamut, up to the period when the outbreak of the Chingiz Khān took place, and the domination of the Mughals over 'Irak and the Jibal. Kazi Shams-ud-Din. the Kazwini, who was a sincere Imam and truly learned man, upon several occasions, travelled from Kazwin towards Khitā, and suffered the distress of separation from country and home, until this time, during the sovereignty of Mangū Khān, when he again set out, and proceeded to his presence. In such manner as was feasible he endeavoured to obtain aid, and gave an account of the

The Director or Guide, the last of the twelve Imams, Muḥammad-i-Abū-l Kāsim, the son of Ḥasan-al-Askari, the eleventh of the Imams, born in 255 H, whom the Shi'ahs believe to be still alive, and whose manifestation, according to the Kur'an, is one of the signs of the Judgment Day

wickedness of the Mulahidah and their sedition in the Muhammadan states.

1 In the year 654 H, but Hang Abru, and some others, say in 653 H., 'Ala-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Hasan, son of Muhammad, the seventh Da'i or Apostle, or Missionary, of the Mulahidahs, died at the end of the month of Shawwal. He was the only son of the Nau Musalman [referred to in note 4, page 265-new, in the Persian of the East, signifies " new," " fresh," etc , but Von Hammer's "Nev" is very new indeed], and, when he grew up, his brain, it is said, was affected, and he refused, in consequence, to attend to any instructions brought by his own envoys from the Mughal sovereigns, so the Pro-Mughal writers say, but the correct reason was that he thought himself strong enough to preserve his independence, but he was mistaken. In his reign, the Muhtashim [Preceptorl, Nasir-ud-Din, who held the chief authority over the Kuhistan, which tract of country has been repeatedly mentioned before, seized the Khwajah, Naşîr-ud-Dîn, the Tüsî—the celebrated Süfi poet—and compelled him to proceed along with him to the presence of 'Ala-ud-Din, Muhammad, and the Khwajah continued with him, in his stronghold of Maimun [dujz], until the arrival of Hulaku in I-ran Zamin There he composed his celebrated work, the Akhläk-i-Näsiri, which, the Rauzat-us-Safa says, was dedicated to the Muhtashim Nāşir-ud-Dīn, who nevertheless threw him into prison, at the instigation of the traitor Warīr of the Khalifah, mentioned farther on There are other versions of this, however, and the Khwajah is said to have gone thither of his own free will and accord, and to satisfy his feelings of revenge, as will be subsequently mentioned

After Mangü Kä'an had determined upon sending forces into I-rān-Zamān, to guard the Mughal conquests therein, he despatched the Nü yin, Tänjü Ladi It is sometimes written arrival there he became so much grieved—disintetested creature '— at the conduct of the Ismā'lli hereties, and the Khalifah of Baghdād, towards the people generally, that he despatched an agent to the presence of the Kali to complain of them both. How history repeats itself ' We might read Bulgaria for

I ran, and the 'U manli Sultan for the 'Abbasi Khalifah

At this juncture, likewise, the great Kazi, Shams-ud-Din, from I-ran-Zamin, presented himself in Mangū Kā'ān's urdū, and was graciously received the Kazi, out of terror of the Isma'ilis, was in the habit of wearing mail under his clothes, one day Mangii Kl'an, having observed it, asked him the reason of such an unusual diess for an ecclesiastic He replied "It is now several years since I, out of fear of the Isma'ili Fida-is, who like unto 'Azrā-il-the Angel of Death-however much a person may guard himself, still contrive to reach and destroy him, began to wear this mail as a protection." Von Hammer makes a muddle of this matter also, and says that "the judge of Kaswin, who was at the Khan's court, went in armous to the audience, fearing the daggers of the assassins," as though they were there. The Habib-us-Siyar says the Kazi, through fear of the Isma'ili's daggers, used to wander about the country in the scales of his armour, like a shell-fish in its shell, and by his importunities at last impelled Mangu to send a numerous army into 1-ran-The author of the "Mongols Proper," however, who appears to have taken the story from D'Ohsson, puts a piece upon it, and states, that such was the terror of the "fedames," that "the chie' officers and more prominent men of its [Western Asia's various courte" wore coats of mail under their clo hes as a precaution, fetc.

They [the trustworthy persons] also related in this wise,

To return to Mangu and the Kasi. He related to the Ka'an-or manufactured for him-such atrocities on the part of the heretics-as might be expected from one so orthodox as himself—that Mangii was amazed; and he resolved in his mind that he would utterly destroy that sect Having observed indications of capacity and fitness for sovereignty on the brow of his brother. Hulaku, he determined to nominate him to carry out his intention, and at the same time to take possession of, and secure, the territories to the west of I-ran-Zaminthe real object in view, of course-namely, Shām, Rüm, and Arman. Hulākū having been nominated, he was informed that the forces along with the Nüyin, Tanju, and those lately under Jurmaghun, which had been previously despatched into I-ran Zamin, all appertained to him, and likewise, in the same way, those which had been sent into Hudustan under the Bahadur, Tā-ir, after his death, came under the command of the Tattar Nu-yin, Sali. Salf, according to the Pro-Mughal writers, had subdued the country of Kashmir, and several thousand Kashmiri captives had been sent by him to the Ka'an's urdu. There is still a Sali ki Sarae on the route from Rawal Pindt to Khanpur, an old place, and formerly of some importance. See page 844, where Sali is mentioned, and page 1135 for Ta-ir's death

Vast preparations were made for Hulaku's movement, and, besides the armies already in the countries of 1-ran-Zamin. Mangu commanded that, out of all the forces of the Chingiz Khan, that is, the various hazaruhs already mentioned at page 1003, which he had assigned to and divided among his brothers, his sons, and brothers' sons, out of every ten persons two should be selected [they had vastly increased, too, since the time of the Chingiz Khan] and sent to serve under Hulaku. The numbers are variously mentioned at from 120,000 to 180,000 horse. Besides these, a thousand families of Khita-i Manjanik-chi's [catapult workers], Naft-Andaz [naphtha-throwers], and Charkh-Andaz [shooters of fiery arrows worked by a wheel] were to accompany him, and they brought along with them such a vast amount of missiles and stores appertaining to their peculiar branch of the forces as cannot be They had with them also Charichi Kamans [wheeled arbaenumerated lists worked by a wheel in such wise that one bow-string would pull three bows, each of which would discharge an arrow of three or four ells in length These arrows or bolts, from the notch for the bow-string to near the head, were covered with feathers of the vulture and eagle, and the bolts were short and strong. These machines would also throw naphtha. The bolts [14 -je] of the catapults were made of ash, very tough and strong, and covered with the hides of borses and bullocks [to prevent their being burnt], like as a dagger in its sheath; and each catapult was so constructed as to be capable of being separated into five or seven parts, and easily put together again These catapults and mangonels were brought from Khita-e on carts into Turkistan, under the direction of skilful engineers and mechanists, but there is no evidence whatever to show that they had any knowledge of gunpowder, but quite the con-

As soon as the expedition had been determined on, agents were despatched in order that wheresoever the passage of the great host should be, from Karā-Kuram to the Āmuīah, all the available pasture-lands and grazing tracts should be laid under embargo [the word used is kurūk, the same that is used with reference to the site of the subterranean chamber in which the Chingiz Khān was buried, but signifies enclosed as well as prohibited. The word is quite

that, in the presence of Mangu Khan, the Kazi, according

common in our district Law Courts in India] for the use of the forces. Strong bridges were also constructed over the different rivers by the way to enable the great host to cross with ease and facility. Throughout the whole empire [east of the Sihūn probably] orders were sent so that, for the use of the army, at the ratio of a taghār [an earthen vessel of capacity, also a saddle-bag for holding corn or meal, which is probably meant here, and, of course, filled. Some authors state that a taghār is equivalent to 100 manus of Tabrīz, others to 10 manus of Tabrīz, equal to one kharwār] of meal, and a khig [a skin or leather bottle of liquor—kumis] for each man, should be collected

The Nū-yin, Tānjū, and he army, together with the troops which had previously been sent into I-rān Zamīn under Jūrmāghūn, now received orders to

move towards the frontiers of Rûm.

All things being prepared, and the different Shāh-zādahs and Nū-yīns, and the Hazārahs, and Ṣadhahs [leaders of thousands, and hundreds], having been nominated, the Nū-yīn, Ķaibūķā, the Nācmān, was sent at the head of 12,000 horse, as the advance or van of Hulākū's forces, in Jamādī-ul-Ākhir, 650 H Kulbūķā—"Kituhuka" is not correct—crossed the ĀmūIah in the beginning of Muharram, 651 H [early in March, 1253 A D], entered Khurāsān, which he reauhed in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, and occupied himself in the reduction of the Kulbīstān

His first attempt, with 5000 horse and 5000 foot [Tājzīk levies from the conquered states], was against the strong fortress of Gird-Koh, already referred to, but he found it a much more difficult matter than he expected rounded it with a wall, furnished with a ditch inside, towards the fortress, and raised another wall, with a ditch outside, and between these two walls placed his forces, nore like one besieged than a besieger, to guard his force from the sallies of the Fida-Is. All his efforts were useless, so he left a force there under Büri, to watch that fortress, and moved himself towards Mihrin-duizanother stronghold of the sect. He invested that likewise, placed catapults in position against it, left several Amirs with troops to carry on the siege, and proceeded himself, with the rest of his forces, which, no doubt, had been greatly increased in point of numbers by the levies and contingents of those places in Khurasan under the Mughal yoke, against the fort of Shah-dujz, which he reached on the 8th of Jamadi-ul-Awwal, and slew a number of people outside, and then made towards the districts of Taram and Rud-bar. between Gilan and Kazwin He then appeared with his forces before the fortresses of Mansūriah and Alah-Bashin, and for eighteen days tried his utmost to take them, but all his efforts were of no effect He then faced about and moved into the Kuhistan again, drove off the flocks and herds of the people of Tiin, Turshiz, and Zar-Koh, slaughtered a vast number of people. and carried away a gr at number of captives. On the 10th of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, he gained possession of Tun and Turshiz, these being the first places that fell into his hands. On the 1st of Sha'ban he took Marrin-dugs, and, on the 27th of Ramazān, the Duzz-1-Kamāli also fell.

On the 9th of Shawwal, the Fida-is of Gird-Koh made a sally at night upon the Mughals, destroyed their circumvallation, and slew the greater number of them, including their leader, Būri At this time a pestilence had broken out within Gird-Koh, and, as soon as 'Alā-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the Maulānā of the Mulānidahs, had intimation of it, he at once despatched Mubāriz-ud Dīn, 'Alī, Sarābānī, and Shujā'-ud-Dīn, Ḥasan, Tūrānī, with 110 picked men, to

to the practice of Musalman dignity and religion, used

Gird-Koh, with directions that each man should carry with him one mann of himnë and two manns of salt [in all, about 21 or 24 lbs]—the Jāmi'-ut-Tawā-rīkh says two of hinnë and three of salt—because hinnë had lately been discovered, accidentally, to be the antidote for the pestilence

The author of the "Mongols Proper" has, or his authorities, perhaps, have, made a very amusing story out of the above—for the source is evidently the same. He says, p 194: "One of the garrison [of Gradejuhe—i. e, "the Round Mountain"—so "kjuk" is a mountain, perhaps—the same which Von Hammer turns into "Kirdkuh"] escaped, and sent to Alaeddin, the Grand Viner, to ask for help He sent two leaders, each with 110 troopers; one to escort three mens of salt, the other three mens of henna," etc —equal to about one ounce of salt and hund each, even if only 400 people were within the walls.

At this place, in Alfi, an anecdote is related respecting the discovery of hinnid as a remedy for the disease which affected the defenders of several great fortresses, as our author relates, and which, from his account at page 1124, appears to have been scurvy or something very much like it. It is that the daughter of the Amir of that fortress was going to be married, and, on the night of the consummation of the marriage, the custom was to dye the bride's hands and feet with hinnid. This was done, and, those who applied the dye having afterwards washed their hands, some of the pestilence-stricken people, on account of the scarcity of water, drank that water, and recovered in a wonderfully short time. This seems but another version of our author's account of the cure wrought by hinnid in his description of the investment of Uk of Sistän, at the page above mentioned.

I must now return to the movements of Hulaku Before setting out for I-ran-Zamin, he took leave of his brother Mangu, and his nephews, in order to return to his own urdus, where his wives and children were Mangu Ka'an, before parting with him, gave him much wise counsel for his guidance. He enjoined him to observe the laws and ordinances of the Chingiz Khan, and, from the Jihun of Amuiah, to the extreme frontier of Misr, and Maghrib, to protect and cherish all who submitted to him, but to exterminate all those who did not, and to trample them into the dust of destruction, women, children, and all [in "the true Circassian style"], and to commence with the fortresses of the Mulahidahs in the Kuhistan. He was then to march into 'Irak, and remove off the face of the earth the Lürs-a tribe of nomady so-called-and the Kurds, whose misdeeds fin not submitting to the ameliorating Mughals, and which hardy race have, in these days, given offence, in the same way, to another "ameliorator," and are to be extirminated as early as practicable] never ceased, then to call upon the Khalifah of Baghdad to submit, and, if he should do so, not to molest him in the least, but, if he showed arrogance, and refused, to send him to join the others. He was further advised to make judgment and sense his guide and model; to be prudent and watchful, to be mindful of the deceit and treachery of enemies; to give tranquility to the people generally, and make them happy [by killing them 1], to cause ruined places to be restored, to subdue the contumacious, so that he might have plenty of places wherein to make his summer and his winter quarters; and always, in all things, to consult and advise with Düküz Khātūn. This name is also written Tüküz, d and t being interchangeable. She was a Karayat and a Christian, the daughter of Aighū or Aikū-written A-yaghū-in Alfia son of the Awang Khan Hulaku greatly favoured the Christians on her

stern language, in such manner that the wrath of sove-

secount; and throughout his dominious churches were allowed to be built. At the entrance of the urdii of this Khātūn, a kalīsa—church or chapel [felt tent]—was always pitched; and they used to sound the nākūs—a thin oblong piece of wood, so called, suspended by two strings, and struck with a flexible rod, called wabīl, used by Eastern Christians to summon the congregation for divine service

Mangu Kā'ān assumed that his brother Hulāku would take up his residence, permanently, in Î-ran-Zamîn; neverthcless, he told him that, after he had

accomplished all these things, he should return to his urdu

Mangū now dismissed him, along with two of his wives, his sons, and Amīrs; and sent along with him their brother, Tursūtāe Aghūl, and some of their nephews to serve under him. Mangū, it is sakl, was greatly affected at parting with Hulākū, for he considered him the jewel in the diadem of the empire, and that both shed copious tears. He reached his own wrdūs at the end of the year 650 H. The Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gir and the Rausat-us-Safā say that he returned to his wrdūs in the third month of 651 H, to make his preparations, but the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh says in Zi-Hijjah, 650 II

He set out from his ardis for I-rān-Zamīn on the 24th of Sha'bān, 651 H.—
the Rauşat-us-Safā says he began his march in Ramazān, while the Jāmi'-utTawārīkh says it was in Zi-Hijjah, 651 II—having made his son, Balghā
Aghūl, his representative there during his absence, as that son's mother was
the greatest in rank among his wives, two of whom, and two sons, went with
him. The Jāmī'-ut-Tiwārīkh, in one place, says Jūmkūr, or Jūmghūr, was
left in charge, and, in another place, that it was Ajāe who was left

A vast army accompanied him, and in due time he reached Almaligh, where the Khatun Unghanah, one of Chaghatae's widows, received and entertained him. Having moved from thence, on the frontier of Turkistan and Mawaraun-Nahr, the Sahib, Mas'ud Bak, and several other Amirs, received him He passed some months of 652 H in those parts, and, in Sha'ban, 653 H about October, 1253 A D -reached Samrkand, having been just two years on the road He encamped in the rhead of Kan-1-Gul, where Mas'ud Bak had a great tent pitched for him of nasich-1 species of silken fabric woven with gold - and passed forty days there, happily, but for the death of his brother. Tursutae Aghul, who had been long ailing, and who was there buried. Hulaku, after this stay, marched to Kash, afterwards known as Shahr-i-Sabr, at which place he remained a month, and there the Amir, Arghun Aka, the administrator of I-ran Zamin, from fus [in the picvious year, when Rubruquis returned to Europe, the Amīr, Arghūn Akā was at Fauris, who, as he remarks. collected the tribute), as in duty bound, waited on him to give up charge of that region, after which he was to return to the presence of the Ka'an, and there, also came Malik hams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Kurat from Hirat some say he presented himself to Hulakû at Samrkand

Whilst at Kash, Hulākū issued a farmān to the Sulfans and Rulers of I rān-Zamīn, pretending that the object of his coming was to destroy the strongholds of the Mulāhidahs for the sake of the people of those parks, "who," he said, "have sought the protection of the Kā ān, and made complaint unto him," "the people," in this case, being one kāzī! I he object stated was as specious and illusive as an invasion of the same nature in these days—it was to appropriate the territories of the weak. "If ye present your services in person," he said, "and render assistance, your services will be

reignty overcame Mangū Khān; for the Kāzī applied the

appreciated, and your territories, forces, dwellings, and property will be spared to you; but if ye show negligence or indifference to the purport of this farman, when, with God's help [history repeats itself again], we shall have finished with the Isma'filis, we shall turn our face towards you; and the same will befall you as befalls them."

When the news of Hulaku's arrival became spread abroad, "the Sultans and Maliks" are and to have poured in among whom was Sultan Ruku-ud-Din of Rum-he, however, was not Sultan of Rum at all, but merely the envoy of his brother, Sultan Kai-Ka-us, and acted treacherously towards him for his own ambitious ends; see page 164-and 'Izz-ud-Dîn, the son of the Ata-Bak, Mugaffar-ud-Din, and others from Irak, Agarbaijan, Aran, Sherwan, Gürjistan, and various Maliks, Sadrs, and other great men On reaching the Jihun of Amuiah, orders were given to lay all the vessels and boats on the river under embargo With these a strong bridge was constructed; and, on the 1st of Zi-Hujah [the Tarikh-1-Jahan-gir says Shawwal, two months before], 653 H -31st December, 1255 A.D., but see under-Huläkü crossed with his army, at what point is not stated, but at the Tirmid ferry, in all probability; and, for the first time, set foot in I-ran-Zamin As a reward to the boatmen for their services, he remitted the collection of tolls from them, and that tax afterwards entirely ceased. Next day, "several hons were seenthe word sher is applied to the tiger also, but, considering the high latitude, we may assume that some other less formidable animals are referred to, since a real ison hunt on two-humped camels, as stated, would be a sight indeed-and, they having been enclosed by a circle of men, Hulaku mounted, and two of them were "caught in the toils." The next day's march brought him to Shiwarghan, or Shiwarkan [vul Shibbergan]

His intention was to stay but one day there, but it so happened that, on the following day, which was the 'Id-1-Ashā-the toth of Zi-Hijjah-[such being the case, he must have crossed on the 8th, or remained from the 1st to the 8th] the snow and sleet began to fall, and continued for seven consecutive days and nights, and a great number of cattle perished through the excessive He had no choice but to winter there. Our author's statement, that he made the territory of Bādghais his headquarters is much more probable, or rather the whole tract between Shiwarghan and Baighais, considering the number of his troops. See note 1, p 1226 In the spring, Arghun Aka set up a vast audience-tent of silk and gold, fitted with furniture and utensils befitting, of gold and silver studded with precious stones, and worthy of a mighty monarch. It was pitched at an auspicious hour by Hulākū's command, and, at a felicitous conjunction of the stars, he mounted the throne set up therein, and received the congratulations of all the Khans, Shah zadahs, Amirs, Maliks, and Hakims of all parts around then assembled there. After the ceremonial, the Amir, Arghun Aka, set out for the presence of the Ka'an, as commanded by him, leaving his son, Girae Malik, with Ahmad, the Bitik chi [Secretary], and the Sahib [Wazīr], 'Alā-ud-Din, 'Aţā Malik, for the administration of the civil and revenue affairs, with which Hulaku had nought to do, at that time. The latter moved to Khowaf and Zawah, where he was taken all, and consequently he despatched Kaibūķā and Kūkā-I-yalkā, at the head of a force, to complete the conquest of the Kuhistan.

Early in 654 H., Huläkü sent Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Kurat, to the Muhtashim, Näsir-nd-Din, one of the chief Da'is of the Ismä his, who

words feebleness and infirmity to his government and power. Mangū Khān said: "What weakness hath the Kāzī observed in our kingdom that he gives utterance to such like alarming words as these?" Kāzī Shams-ud Din replied: "What greater feebleness can there be that that the sect of Mulāḥidah has made several forts it asylum, notwithstanding that the creed of that sect is contrary to the Musalmān faith, and also to the Christian and Mughal belief? They parade their riches and they wait in expectation of this, that, if your power should sustain any decline or reverse, the sect will rise in the midst of those mountains and in those fortresses, and over throw the remainder of the people of Islām, and not leave the trace of a Musalmān."

This reality influenced and roused the mind of Mangi Khān to the reduction of the fortresses and territories o Mulhidistān, and the Ķuhistān of Alamūt. A mandate was accordingly issued so that the forces which were in the countries of I-rān and 'Ajam, from Khurāsān and 'Irāk turned their faces to the territory of the Kuhistān and the tract of Alamūt; and, during a period of ten years or more, they took the whole of the cities and fortresses, and put the whole of the Mulāhidah to the sword, with the exception of the women and children, all the remainder

had grown old and feeble, and called upon him to submit. He appears to have ruled the district of Tun and its dependencies, in which was the fortress apparently that mentioned by our author above-Sar-i-Takht. Näsir-ud-Din came on the 17th of Jamadi ul-Awwal, bringing presents, and Hulaku de manded why he had not brought the garrison of the fortress along with him, and was told that they would obey no orders but those of their Badshah, 'Ala ud-Din, Khur Shah This appears to have satisfied Hulaku, who conferred upon him the government of Tun and its districts, and sent him thither; but he died soon after Hulaku now advanced to Tus, the seat of government of the Amir, Arghun Aka, and then moved to Radakan, where he stayed some time, and Khabushan [there is no place called "Kabuskan"], which the Mughais call Kuchan He directed that this kashah [town] should be restored. and that the means should be furnished from the treasury The Lahreres -ub terranean aqueducts-were repaired [he "ordered" no "canals to be dug"] and the Wazir and Secretary of the province, Saif-ud-Din, Aka, used his utmost endeavours, as a Musalman, to bring the works to completion, espe cially the Jami' Masjid, which he himself endowed Workshops were erected. and gardens laid out; and Amirs and prominent men were directed to build dwellings for themselves, which they subsequently did

⁹ He possibly means, not even excepting the women and children, for ever the Pro-Mughal writers say that all were exterminated

being sent to hell; and the potency of the verse—"Thus do we cause one oppressor to overcome another"—was made manifest.

This votary, who is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, the writer of this TABAKAT, and author of this history, upon three different times, had occasion to travel into that part [the Kuhistān] on a mission. The first occasion was in the year 621 H., from the fortress of Tulak, after Khurāsān had become cleared of the Mughal forces, on account of the scarcity of clothing, and dearth of some requisites, which had run out in consequence of the irruption of the infidels; and people were distressed for necessaries of life. At the request of Malık Tāj-ud-Din, Hasan-i-Sālār, Khar-post, the author proceeded from the fortress of Tulak to Isfirar, in order to open the route for kārwāns From thence he proceeded towards Kā-in, and from that place to the fort of Sar-i-Takht, and Jowarsher, and Farman-dih of the territory of the Kuhistan. At that time the Muhtashim [of the Mulahidah] was Shihāb-i-Mansūr, Abū-l-Fath. I [the author] found him a person of infinite learning, with wisdom, science, and philosophy, in such wise, that a philosopher and sage like unto him there was not in the territory of Khurāsān. He used greatly to cherish poor strangers and travellers: and such Musalmans of Khurasan as had come into proximity with him he was wont to take under his guardianship and protection. On this account his assemblies contained some of the most distinguished of the 'Ulama of Khurasan,' such as that Imam of the Age, Afzal-ud-Din, the Bamiani, and Imam Shams-ud-Din, Khusrau-Shahi, and other 'Ulama of Khurasan, who had come to him; and he had treated all of them with honour and reverence, and showed them much kindness. They stated to this effect, that,

with slight variation in two of the oldest, and two other good MSS. Subsequent writers mention a stronghold of the Mulahudahs in the Kuhistan, in the district of Tun, under that name. Three of the most modern copies of the text, the best Paris MS, and the Calcutta Printed Text, have which may be read Marikhat, Marbakht, or Maranjat, and in a note to the Printed Text what may be read Rikht or Zikht. It lay in one of the common caravan routes. The same place, in the Raugat-uş-Şafa, is written when or well-up.

⁴ Most copies of the text are deficient here.

during those first two or three years of anarchy in Khurāsān, one thousand honorary dresses, and seven hundred horses, with trappings, had been received from his treasury and stables by 'Ulamā and poor strangers.

As the kindness and benefactions towards, and association and intercourse of this Muhtashim, Shihāb, with the Musalmāns became frequent, the Mulāhidah sect sent accounts to Alamūt saying: "Very soon the Muhtashim, Shihāb, will give the whole of the property of the Da'wat-Khānah [General Bounty Fund] to the Musalmāns;" and, from Alamūt, a mandate came for him to proceed thither; and the government of the Kuhistān was conferred upon the Muhtashim, Shams [ud-Din], Ḥasan-i-Ikhtiyār.

When this servant of the victorious government returned from the presence of the Muhtashim, Shihāb, he proceeded, for the purpose of purchasing the necessary clothing, to the city of Tūn, and from thence returned to Kā-in,' Isfirār, and Tūlak again. After some time, it happened that, in 622 H, the author chanced to proceed from Tūlak to the presence of Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Usmān, the Maraghani,'—may he rest in peace!—at Khāesār of

The Printed Text is lamentably out here, and has was for we but the former makes the sentence totally unintelligible. The second word, fitrat, refers to the Mughal invasion and distraction prevailing in those parts consequent on their being without a sovereign or settled government.

This shows that the power of the sect was still very great; and that the Mughal domination was but nominal at this period

⁷ At this period Kā-in was noted for the manufacture of very fine linen, hair-cloth, and similar fabrics.

This Malik, so often mentioned by our author, was the founder of the Kurat [This word, which is said to signify greatness, magnificence, grandeur, and the like, is written by some, Kart—1,—and by others Kurt—and Kurat—and this last mode is apparently the most correct] dynasty, respecting which European writers generally, and some Musalman writers of Hindustan likewise, appear to entertain very erroneous ideas

Their descent is traced to Sultan Sanjar, the Saljuk, on the father's, and to the Ghiri Sultans on the mother's side, according to several authors, while others say that he was the son of one of the sucter of the Sultans of Ghir and Ghaznin—the brothers, Ghiyag-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām A sister of those Sultans certainly did marry a Saljuk—Malik Kizil-Arsalan, nephew of Sultan Sanjar, and Kizil-Arsalan's son, Malik Nāṣir-ud-Din, Alb-i-Ghāzi, received the investiture of the fief of Hirat early in 599 H. When the Khwātazmī Sultan invested Hirat the second time, he was its ruler, and had to surrender it. See note 2, page 257. What relationship existed, or whether any, between him and the

Ghür, and, at the request of that august Malik, consented

preceding feudatory of Hirāt, 'Izz-ud-Dīn, 'Umz, the Maraghanī, who la styled Malik of Khurāsān at page 193, 15 not, I fear, to be discovered, but the Ghūrī Sultāns were certainly related in some way to the Maraghanī Maliks.

Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, 'Uṣmān-i-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nīmroz, son of Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn-i-Ḥarab, married Āyishah Khātūn, daughter of this 'Umr-i-Maraghan' Much respecting Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, 'Uṣmān's descendants, by that lady, will be found at pages 193—202. See also note 3, page 967.

Guzīdah says 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, was Sultān Ghiyāg-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām's Wazīr, and held in great estimation. The Maraghanis are said, by our author, to be Gharjahs, that is, natives of Gharjistān 'Izz-ud-Din,'Umr the Maraghani, held the fief of Hirāt when Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shāh, invested it in 598 H [see note 7, page 257], and had to surrender that stronghold to him at the close of the year

While holding the fiel of Hirat, 'Izz-ud-Dfn, 'Umr, had entrusted his two brothers with the seneschal-ship of two important fortresses, under his government-Rukn-ud-Din [his Musalman name is not given, but it was, probably, Muhammad-1-Abī-Bikr, from what follows] with that of Nigaristan, according to Alff, which name is doubtful, and is never once mentioned by our author, but one of the great fortresses of Gharustan is evidently meant, and Tai-ud-Din. 'Usman, with that of Khaesar of Ghur Taj-ud-Din was Sar-i-Jandar to Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din's son, Sultan Mahmud, and played an important part during the investment of Firuz-koh by the Khwarazmis See page 410. Tāj-ud-Dīn, 'Unmān, having died-the date is not given, but it must have been subsequent to 607 H -'Umr gave Khāesār, and a portion of Ghūr, to his other brother, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad -1-Abi-Bikr?], who, some say, " was the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad," the founder of the Kurat dynasty, to whom Mangu Ka'an gave the fief of Hirat and its dependencies, with some other territory,

Now, as 'Umr's brother, Rukn-ud-Dîn, had already been provided for, it is evident to me that instead of reading, as in some copies of the original, that 'Umr give Khāesār of Ghūr, and some other territory, to his brādar—brother, we should read bo adar-zādak—brother's son, for it is certain, from the names given by our author above, that 'Uṣmān is the name of the father of the chief of Khāesār of Ghūr to whom he refers, and whose agent our author was, and that the chief was himself called Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, as was his father's brother; and, morcover, the period named—622 H—makes this view the more certain, because the Chingiz Khān died in 624 H, and Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-1-'Uṣmān, during the Mughal troubles, made interest with the Nū-yīn, Ilchikdāe—and, from what our author says this Maik appears to have been unmolested while all other parts of Ghūr, and territories around, were invaded by the Mughals—and the Chingiz Khān confirmed him in the possession of his territory.

The similarity of names has apparently caused confusion in some of the accounts of the Kurat dynasty, and I think I can show how. Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, 'Umr, the Maraghani, had two brothers, as already stated—one Tāj-ud-Din, 'Umaña, the other Rukn ud-Din, Abi-Bikr When Tūli Khān obtained possession of Hirāt, he left there, it is said, as nominal governor, under the Mughals, along with the Mughal Shahnah, Mangatāe, "Abi-Rikr, the Maraghani," without giving his title; and this person is, according to my theory, the same who tendered submission to the Mughals, and the brother of '12r ud-

to go on a mission towards the territory of the Kuhistan a

Din, 'Umr, and of Tāj-ud-Dīn, 'Ugmān. Abī-Bikr,--that is Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-Abī-Bikr--the Maraghanī, was put to death soon after, along with Mangatāe, the Mughal Shaḥnah, when the Hirātīs threw off the yoke, leaving, as I suppose, among other children probably, a daughter, who was given in marriage to Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Tāj-ud Dīn, 'Ugmān, our author's chief of Khāesār of Ghūr, who thus married his cousin. The Malik Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, the first of the dynasty, is the son of the maid Rukn-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad-i-'Ugmān, our author's pairon, who died in 643 H.

Most of the works which give an account of the Kurat dynasty, including Alfi, state that "Malik Rukn-ud-Din was the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, while Fasih-i states distinctly, in several places, that Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, was Rukn-ud-Din's son, and Rukn-ud Din, Abi-Bikr's son Both statements, according to what I have mentioned above, would be quite correct-Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad i-AbI-Bikr, would be the maternal grandfather, and Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-'Usman, of our author. would be Shams ud-Din's father, and, at the same time, nephew and son-in-law of the first-mentioned Rukn-ud-Din, but even then the Saljuki descent does not appear. It is said that, "when Malik Rukn-ud-Din used to attend the camp of the Chingiz Khan, and Uktae Ka'an, and the Mughal Nu-yins, he used to take Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, along with him, so that he became acquainted with the Mughal usages and regulations." This too is not incompatible When taken to the Chingiz Khan's camp, he went with his maternal grandfather, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-Abi-Bikr, and, when he attended at Üktäe's, he accompanied his father, Rukn-ud-Din, Muhanimad 1-'U smän

A member, apparently, of the same family. Amīr Muhammad, the Maraghanf, was killed in the fortress of Ashryār of Gharjistān. He had done good service against the Mughals [see page 1077]. In 643 H., Malik Rukh-ud-Dīn [Ugmān] died., and he, previous to his death, nonunated his son, Shams-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, heir and successor to his fief. In 646 H., Malik Shams-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, proceeded into Turkistān, to the undū of the Kā ān, for the purpose of being confirmed in the fief., and, during one of the affairs in which the Kā ān was engaged against his enemies, Mangū's notice was diawn to Malik Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, who was greatly distinguishing himself. The Kā ān inquired who he was; and, when he was informed, he caused a yarlīn to be issued confirming him in his father's fief of Ghūr, and added thereto that of Hirāt, Gharjistān, Sāwah, Farāh, and Sijistān, subject, of course, to the Mughai authorities in Ī rān-7amīn

In that same year, previous to proceeding to the urdū of the Kā'ān, Malik Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, is said to have slain Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, the Suffārī, son of Bahrām Shāh, ruler of Nīmroz, whose maternal grandfather was 'Izz-ud-Dīn, 'Umr, the Maraghanī, and Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad's great uncle on both the father's and mother's side, but our author was unacquainted, seemingly, with the facts respecting Malik Nuṣrat-ud-Dīn, 'Alī's death 'See pages 193 and 197 When Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, appeared before Mangū Kā'ān, he inquired of him "Wherefore didst thou slay Malik 'Alī'." He replied "I slew him for this reason that the Kā'ān might make the inquiry of me, 'Wherefore didst thou kill him?' and not inquire of Aim why he had killed me." Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, likewise obtained possession of the fortress of Bakar——which, from the time of Nūghīrwān, no one

second time, in order that the route for karwans might be

had been able to possess lumself of by force. It is the name of a fortress of Stiistkn.

Subsequently, in 647 H., he slew the Malik of Gharjistan, Saif-ud-Dinwho he was is doubtful, but a kinsman probably—within the territory of Hirat. The reason is obvious. After his return from the wrdu of the Ka'an, with the investiture of these different tracts, in which were situated several of the great fortresses mentioned previously by our author, he had to gain possession of them if he could 1 and the chiefs in possession of them were not inclined to give them up, and submit to the Kurat, like as the Häkims of Tal-kan, Sawah, and Tulak, had done. No further particulars are given of these events.

Our author probably may not have known from personal observation that Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-'Uşman, had become a feudatory of the Mughals, for he left his native country and retired into Hind in 623 H, but he could scarcely have failed to hear of it afterwards. However, he does not say the Malik was not a feudatory. he is only silent on the subject. There is no mention of Khäesär being a strong fortress, although it is most probable that it was such. Yet we cannot fail noticing, that, when all other places were assailed by the Mughals, captured, or compelled to submit, Khāesār of Ghūr was left unmolested The reason is palpable—Rukn ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Usman, had made his submission to the Mughals through the Nu-yin, Ilchikdae, who was a nephew of the Chingiz Khan to boot

We are told, at page 1006, that the Chingiz Khan conferred upon Malik Tāj ud-Dīn, Ḥabashf-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, the territory of Ghūr, with the title of Khusrau of Ghur In this case Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-'Uşman, must have been subordinate to him, or the territory of Khaesar must have been distinct, by virtue of its Malik being also subject to the Mughal voke.

but he soon threw it off, and, fighting against them, was killed.

Nine years after the Nü-yin, Mangûtah [Mr 1]owson's "Mangú Khán " See page 800], abandoned the siege of Uchchah, as has been recorded, and at whose appearance on the Sind or Indus in the year 644 H . Malik Saif ud-1) In. Hasan. the Karlugh, had fled from Multan, Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Kurat, son of Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-'Uşmān, accompanied the Nū-yīn, Sālī, into Hind. Having entered it, "Sali despatched Malik Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, to Multan, in 654 H., on a mission to that Shaikh of Shaikhs, Baha-nd-Din, Zakariā -commonly styled, at this day, Bahā-ul-Hakk, whose tomb we had to batter so much during the siege of Multan in 1848 9-and an accommodation was agreed upon. The sum of 100,000 dindrs was paid to secure this accommodation, and probably to save Multan from being sacked, and a Mamilik of Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad's, named the Chingiz Khan, was [made?] Hakim at Multan." See pages 711, 784, 792, and 844.

"From thence the Nu-vin, Sall, with Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, the Kurat, proceeded towards Luhāwür-Lāhor-where, at that time, was Kurit or Kurat Khwan-[sic. رَغُوان There was a Kuret Khan among the Maliks of Dihli, No. XV., but he was never feudatory of Lahor, and was dead before this period)." He was probably no subject of the Dihli kingdom, for, at this time, Lahor had been lost to it, and the Khokhars are said to have occupied the ruins of Lähor after its sack by the Mughals in 639 H. entered into an accommodation with this person," whoever he might have been, "on the payment of 30,000 dinais, 30 kharwars-loads sufficient to load

an ass with-of soft fabrics, and 100 captives."

reopened. From Khāesār he [the author] proceeded

"After this, the subordinates of the Nü-yîn, Salî, plotted against Malîk Shams-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad, upon which he retired from Hind, and set out to return to Ghūr. On the way he was arrested and detained by Malîk 'Imād-ud-Dīn, the Ghūrī. Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, on this, despatched a trusty agent to the Bahādur, Tā-ir, then commanding the troops in those parts [and, consequently, if this be true, this Tā-īr could not have been killed at Lāhor in 639 H-, as our author states at page 1135], telling him of his seizure and detention while on his way to his, Iā-īr's, prevence: Tā-īr directed his release, and he came to Tā-īr's ardū, and, after that, he retained Shams-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, near his own person."

In a book published at the commencement of this Afghān crisis, entitled "History of Afghānistān from the Earliest Period," by Colonel G B Malleson, C.S I, we are told, at page 114, with reference to the year 1249, that .—

"In that year, Shir Khan, the governor of the Panjab for the King of Dehli, Nasir-u-Din Mahmud, invaded Alghanistan, seized upon Ghazni and Kabuli, and annexed them to the Dehli monaichy—It is probable that they were speedily recovered, for not only, in subsequent years, do we find the Moghols making repeated incursions into India, but in the year 1336 traces appear of a new Alghan dynasty seated on the throne of Ghazni, owning subordination to, and acknowledging the suzeramty of, the Moghols of Centual Asia."

Now the text above translated—The Tabakāt-i-Nāṣirī—was dedicated to, and named after the Nāṣir-id-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh, who is referred to in the above extract, and in no work extant will such details be found respecting that leign in particular, and also the history of the Ghūrīs. Indeed all later historians obtain their information from this Tabakāt, for there was no other contemporary writer but its author, that we know of, who gives such details. Sher Khān, i.e. the Lion Khān—Sher signifying Lion, but "Shīr," as in the work above referred to, signifies "Mīth"—The Milk [and Water?] Khān—is no other than the great Malik, the cousin of Ulugh Khān i-A'gam, a memoir of whom is given at page 791, and who was living when our author finished his work, and was personally known to him

Nothing of the above romantic statements as to "the throne of Ghazni" and "Kabul" will be found recorded in the text, for the reason that they never happened, and nowhere will such be found save in Dow, Briggs, and

Fuishiah See notes 4, page 690, and 4, page 794, para. 7.

The events of the year 647 H—1249 AD—will be found at pages 685 and 820 The following year, Sher Khān-i-Sunkar re-took Multān from the Mughals, and, in the year in question, ousted a rival Malik of the Dihli Court, who was disafficted, and intriguing with the Mughals, from Uchchah, and, soon after, he actually had himself to retire to the urdū of Mangū Kāšan, while his rival went to Hulākū. The reason of this will be found fully explained by our author respecting the disorders in the Dihli kingdom. This re-capture of Multān and seizure of Uchchah is what the Dakhani compiler, Finahtah, made Charnin of, but even he and his translators only make "Shere, the emperor's nephew [which he was not], take Charni;" not Kābul too

Col. Malleson then adds .--

[&]quot;This Afghan dynasty, like that which preceded it, came from Ghor. Probably ['] it was the chief of the Afghan tribe [sic tribe !] in the Ghor mountains to whom the Moghol suzerain delegated his authority. They

towards Farāh, and from thence to the Kala'-i-[fort of Kāh of Sistān, then on to the Ḥiṣār [fortified or walled town] of Karah, and to Tabas and the fort of Mūmin ābād, and thence to Kā'in. At Kā'in the author saw th Muhtashim, Shams, who was a man of the military profession. From this latter place the author returned to Khāesār.

When the year 623 H. came round, the writer of this who is Minhāj-i-Sarāj, determined to undertake a journe into Hindūstān; and, as a requirement for the journe; into that country, with the permission of Malik Rukn-ud Din, Muhammad-i-'Usmān, the Maraghani, of Khāesā [of Ghūr], he proceeded to Farāh, in order that a little silk might be purchased. On his arriving in the neigh bourhood of Farāh, Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn, th Khwārazmī, mention of whom has already been made i the Section containing the account of the Maliks of Nimrowas ruling the country of Sīstān. Hostility had arise between him and the Mulāhidahs on account of the for

ruled from 1336 to 1383 The first sovereign, Shams-u-Din Ghori, and h two immediate successors, Rukh-u-Din [sic], and Fakhru-din [sic] Ghori, etc., etc.

I beg to differ entirely from Col Malleson with regard to this latter state ment, as well as the former ones. These errors all emanate from the san source, of Dow and Briggs making Tājāik Ghūrīs the "Afghán dynasty Chor," and turn ng the people of Ghūr into Afghāns, who at that period, ar up to comparatively modern times, were settled in Afghānistān, that is, east Chaznīn, and not in Ghūr. The "first sovereign, Shams-u-Dín," of this se called "Afghán dynasty"—this "chief of the Afghán tribe in the Ghor mountains," is, of course, no other than Malik Shams-ud-Dín, Muḥammad, the found of the Kurat dynasty, referred to above. On the father's side he was of Saljū Turk-mān descent, and on the mother's, of Ghūrī, and also Maraghanī, the is of Gharjī descent, and if this mixture composes an "Afghan of the Ghor mountains" I need not say anything more. See note 1, page 508

His capital and that of his successors in their fiels, for they were mere-feudatories, was Hirat, and Ghaznin never belonged to them.

It is a pity that such statements should be disseminated, because they milead. I do not for one moment suppose but that Col. Malleson imagined the what he was writing was strictly correct, or that he was aware what errole was putting his name to. These he would have avoided had he been abto consult the original historians; and he would have saved himself from fallir into terrible errors had he consulted even that small portion of this Tabak, which is contained in Vol. II of ELLIOF'S HISTORIANS, in which the even of the year 1249 A.D. will be found, as well as a portion of the history of the Ghürl dynastics.

in the vicinity of Farah.

of <u>Shāhan-Shāhi</u>, which is adjacent to the town of Neh, and he had retired defeated before them, and came to Farāh. Fear [of them] had overcome him; and, of the men of note who were along with him, among those on whom he was relying to proceed into the Kuhistān to effect an accommodation, and make terms between him and the ruler of the Kuhistān, the Muḥtashim, Shams, not one of them, the notables of his Court, was equal to undertake the journey, until they acquainted him [Bināl-Tigin] with the news of the arrival of this votary, Minhāj-i-Sarāj,

Malik Tāj-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigin, despatched a led horse, and a deputation of persons of note to receive him, and call him. When the author reached his presence, the Malik made a request, saying: "It behoveth thee to do the favour of effecting a peace, and to proceed into the Kuhistān. The son of Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammadi-'Uşmān,' will accompany thee in this important enterprise—thou [wilt go] under the designation of an envoy, and he, under the name of a mediator" In conformity with this solicitation, the author proceeded towards the Kuhistān. The Mulāhidahs were then before the town of Neh; and, after having reached the confines of the Kuhis-

tān, it was necessary to come back again; and the author proceeded to Neh, and the accommodation between Malik Tāi-ud-Dīn, Bināl-Tigīn, and the Mulāhidah Muhtashim.

Shams, was effected.

When the author had returned from that journey, and had again reached the presence of Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin, the latter said: "It is necessary for thee to go a second time, and demand war from the Mulāhidah," out this servant of the state did not consent to set out on a second journey, as he had determined upon undertaking a journey into Hindūstān, and entertained a very great predilection for this journey. This refusal on the part of his votary did not meet with the approval of Malik Tāj-ud-

⁹ The Calcutta Printed Text, as usual, makes a pretty hash of this well-nown name.

¹ See the account of the Rulers of Sijistan and Nimroz, pages 196 to 201.

² The son here referred to 18, doubtless, Shams-ud-Din, Muhammad, eferred to in the previous note ⁸

Din, Bināl-Tigin, and he commanded so that they detained him [the author] for forty-three days in the fort of Safhed of Sistān, and prohibited his going beyond the walls, until Malik Rukn-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-'Uşmān, of Khāesār—may he rest in peace!—despatched letters from Ghūr to Malik Tāj-ud-Din, Bināl-Tigin. The author, likewise, composed a poem conformable with the case of his confinement; and, by the favour of the Most High God, he obtained his liberation from that fortress. Five verses of that poem are here given that they may come under the august observation of the Sultan of the Sultans of Islām, whose sovereignty be long prolonged! Āmin.

"How long shall my crystal tears on the amber [like] face,
To the emerald spheres the coral hue impart?
Since like unto smoke from Kumārī wood are my sigis,
It would not be astonishing were ye distilling rose-water, O tears!
In disposition, neither am I vicious, nor is evil found in me;
Why then am I a captive on the Şafhed mount?
I am not the Sī-murgh, nor is this the mountain of Kāf—
For ever pleasing to the pairot captivity will not be.
Minhāj—The Straight Road — is best on the open highway:
The straight road he findeth not, through restraint the fortress within."

The intermediate [portion of the] poem, and the entire copy of it, is not in existence, and hence it is thus abridged.⁷ May the Almighty preserve the Nāṣiri dominion to the utmost bounds of possibility!

I now return to the subject of the history.

In the territory of the Mulahidah there are one hundred and five forts—seventy forts in the Kuhistan territory,

- 3 The Calcutta Printed Text, which is " so much to be depended on," merely turns this into the fort of Şaf of Hindüstän—فاهم مقت عشورتنان instead of
- 4 Wood Brought from Kumär or Kumärün [Anglicized Comorin] used for funngation, also aloes, and gum beazon
- * The fabulous bird of extern numance—the 'wnka or griffin. Its home is the Koh-1-Kaf, which is supposed to surround the world.
 - " Which Minhaj signifies—a play on his name.
 - 7 Its loss is scarcely to be regretted, judging from the above specimen.
- *In Khurāsān west of Hirāt. The word comes from Kohistān, signifying a mountainous tract of country abouts Kā-în was, and ia, its chief town Respecting Alamüt see note *, page 363. In the Masālik wa Mamālik it is said there is not any river water throughout that tract, but this assertion is not quite correct, unless a great change has taken place since that work was written. It is scarce,

and thirty-five in the hilly tract of 'Irāk, which they call Alamūt. After the Mughal forces had occupied their territory some time, and a great number of captives of that sect had been slaughtered, the Maulānā of the Mulāhidah, 'Alā-ud-Dîn, Mahmūd, son of Jalāl-ud-Dîn, Ḥasan-i-Nau Musalmān, was assassinated by one among his personal slaves,' in the fortress of Lanbah-Sar, and the son of 'Alā-ud-Dîn, Mahmūd, came out of that stronghold and proceeded to the Mughal camp. They despatched him, along with his dependents and followers, to the presence of Mangū Khān, and command was given to put him to death on the way. All the forts of Mulhidistān were destroyed, and the Mughals took their cities and towns and demolished them, with the exception of the fort of Gird-Koh's which

certainly. These parts were, at the period in question, very populous and flourishing

I he head of the sect who held both the temporal and spiritual power over

the Mulahidah, as previously mentioned at page 1189

1 Hasan, the Māzandarānī, at the end of Shawwāl, in the year 653 il 'Alā-ud-Dān, Mahmūd's son, was the Khudāwand, Rukn-ud-Dān, Khūr Shāh, who was quite a youth, mention of whom will be found in another note. Our author is quite wrong here, and has, in his bievity, confused events. Lanhahsar was not taken possession of until long after Khūr Shāh came out of Maimūn-duiz, and went to Hulākū's camp, the details of which will be found farther on.

2 Here the Printed Text turns this name into , for human

A few miles west of Damghan Having marched from Kūshān [Khabūshān], Hulākū turned his face towards 'hāk, and moved to Buṣṭām and Khurkān, and reached Buṣṭām on the 10th of Shṛbān. The Korcht, Baktumish, the Bitik-chi, Zahir-ud-Din, and Shṭh Mīr, who had been sent on amission to Rukn-ud-Din, Khūr Shāh—with a copy of the firmāu, probably, issued at Kash—igoined him on the 29th of Jamādī ul Akhir, on which same day some of the fortresses were reached, and raids made upon the country round. From Buṣṭām, the Shahnah or Intendant of Hirāt, Margatāc, along with Bak-timish [the Bak-Timūr of Alfī, Takalmish of the Fanākatī, and Mankalmish of the Jāmi'-ut Tawārīkh and the Rauṣat-uṣ Safā] were again despatched to Khūr Shāh with promises, supulations, and menaces

At this time, the Maulānā-i-sa'ld, the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud Dīn, the Tūsī, and several other learned doctors, such as the Ra'is-ud Daulah, and the Muafik-ul-'Adal [-ud Daulah?], and their sons, were detained by Khūi Shāh, against their will, acc rding to the Shī'ahs, but the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud Din, was with Khūr Shāh for his own seditious purposes, as will be hereafter plainly manifested, and, influenced by him, the Khudāwand, Rukn-ud-Dīn, Khūr Shāh, who was quite a boy, and had only recently succeeded his father, and had been advised by those traitors to submit, treated the envoys well; and, on dismissing them, sent with them his younger brother, Shāhan-Shāh, with the Khwāiah. Asīl ud-Dīn, the Zauzīnī, and other great men of his

lies between Khurāsān and 'Irāk. Up to this time, now

kingdom, to tender his submission, and to acknowledge the suzerainty of the Mughal Ka'an. Huläkü received them, and treated them with honour, but despatched Zaḥīr-ud-Dīn, the Bithk-chī, and two other men of note, to intimate to Khūr Shāh that, if he, Khūr Shāh, spoke truly with respect to his obedience and subjection, it behoved him to demolish his fortresses, and present himself in the audience tent of Hulākū. Khūr Shāh's reply, on dismissing them, was, that, whatever opposition his father may have displayed with regard to the Mughal Court, he had himself evinced naught save servitude and obedience; and he gave orders, in the presence of the agents, to dismantle several fortresses, such as Humāyūn-dujg, Alamūt, Lanhah-Sar, and others, to throw down the battlements, carry away the gates to a distance, and begin to tear down the walls; but, for himself, he requested a delay of one year, after which he would present himself.

Hulākū perceived it was useless to send envoys again, and he therefore directed all the Mughal troops that were in 'Irāk and other parts to advance, and close in upon the Mulāhidah territory. Those on the right [Busṭām being the centre], in Māzandarān, were under Būḥā Tīmūr and the Nū-yīn, Kūkā I-yalkā, while those on the left, under Nikūdar Aghūl, and the Nū-yīn, Kaibūkā, were to advance by way of Khwār—the name of a district or tract of country in the neighbourhood of Rai—which signifies low or sloping ground, but not necessarily "sait"—and Simnān, while Hulākū himself, with one tomān of picked troops, advanced from Busṭām on the toth of Sha'bān, 654 H. I would here remark, for geographical accuracy, that the name of this place is written place and that the name "Bostan"—i.e "giri—signifying "a flower garden," under which name this place, famous in Persian history, appears in Colonel J T Walker's map and Major O B. St John's, is not correct

lfulākū, notwithstanding ne had said he would send no more envoys, again had recourse to negotiation, but, with the treachery inherent in the Mughal, and in some other northern barbarians, sent to Khur Shah saying : "Although our standards have advanced, and notwithstanding all the misdeeds he has been guilty of, if Khur Shah presents himself, he will be received, the past will be forgotten, and he will be exalted " After the Mughals had passed I früz-koh, the envoys again returned, accompanied by Khur Shah's Wazir-1-Khās - Prime Minister-Kai-Kūbād, to intimate that his master had agreed to demolish all the fortresses Khur Shah made, however, a special request, that Alamut and Lanbah-Sar should be left to his offspring, since they were the ancient homes of his family, and that he should be allowed a year's grace, to prepare certain presents and offerings worthy of the Mughal sovereign's acceptance, that the Muhiashims of Gird-Koh and the Kuhistan had been directed to present themselves, and that, in the mean time, all the other fortresses should be demolished. This temporizing was no match for the duplicity and wiles of the Mughal barbarian, who was aware what a difficult task he would have in order to capture the chief strongholds. Hulaku, still moving forward towards Lar and Damawand, passed, by the way, Shah-duja, which was captured in two days; and, once more, he despatched his envoys. Khur Shah still refused to appear, but he notified that he would send his son, with a body of 300 militim [as a contingent?] and demolish all the fortresses. His son came-a child of seven years old, whose mother was a concubine, but Hulāku, who wanted at 'Abbās-ābād of Rai to receive him, would not allow

that the year 658 H. has drawn to its close, it will be a

an infant to remain in his camp, and sent him back. Then, to carry out his proposed treachery, Hulaku, in reply to Khur Shah, intimated that, in case there should be further delay in appearing himself, he had better send another of his brothers to relieve Shahan-Shah, who had been so long in the Mughal camp Khur Shah then despatched another brother, Shahran-Shah-some call him Sherwan Shah-along with the Khwajah, Asil-ud-Din, the Zauzani, and 300 soldiers; and, on the 5th of Shawwal, 654 H, they reached his camp within the limits of Rai. On the 9th, they were sent back bearing a safe-conduct for Khur Shah hunself, with a message to the effect that, in consequence of the submission, and show of obedience of Khür Shah, the misdeeds of his father had been forgiven, and, as no improper conduct had been shown by himself, since he had succeeded his father, if he destroyed the fortresses as promised, he might expect the royal favour. After sending off this-the bearer- filled with delight at the terms-Hulaku usued orders for the Mughai troops to form a cordon round about Maimun-duig, the residence of Khur Shah, which was carried out particularly on the part of Büka Timur and Kükā I-yalkā, who approached it very closely, from the side of Astadar-or Astadarah-the same place as is mentioned in Jabah [Yamah] and Sahüdah's [Swidāe's] raid

As soon as Khur Shah became cognizant of this suspicious proceeding, he sent a person to the Mughals, saying "Since we have submitted, and are occupied in demolishing our fortresses, what is the object of your advancing into these parts?" By way of mockery they replied "Because we are friends now, and there is no disagreement between us, we have come into your grazing lands, in order that our horses may enjoy a few days' rest, after which we again depart " On the 10th of Shawwal, the Mughals entered the Rud-barat or Rud-baran fa district and town, between Gilan and Kazwin . it is the plural of riid-bar, and signifies a tract of many streams) by the Yashkal or Bashgal Dara's or Pass, on the road to Tal-kan, and commenced plundering and devastating the country round. On the 18th, the audience tent of Hulaku was pitched facing Maimun-dujz on the northern side, and, the next day, he reconnoitred the place preparatory to an attack, and, the following day, the troops completely encircled it, although the mountain, on which it is situated. is six farsakhs in circuit. Hulaku, however, when he beheld that impregnable fortress, saw that, to take it by storm, was utterly impossible, and that nothing else than reduction by famine was possible, and that that might not be effected for many years. He therefore held counsel with the Shah-zadahs and Amirs whether to invest it, or retire, and return next year, as the season was far Most of them were for retiring, as winter was come-it was Shawwal, 654 H -November, 1256 A II -the horses were emacrated, and forage was scarcely obtainable, and would have to be brought from the frontier dustricts of Kirman or Arman; but Buka-Timur, the Bitik-chi, Saif-ud-Din, and Amīr Katbūķā, the Nāemān, on the contrary, urged, that to retire now would be a show of wearness, and that, as a matter of necessity, they ought to remain until the affair assumed some tangible shape or other. So Hulākū again had recourse to duplicity, while traitors in the stronghold of the inexperienced Khur Shah helped its success he despatched another envoy to Khur Shah, with a message tending to seduce him, by hopes of favour, to come down . The envoy said "O Khur Shah ! if, like a man, you come down and present yourself, you not only preserve your own life, but also the

period of ten years that the investment of that fortress has

lives of all who are in this place with you. If, in the course of five days, you do not come, then make your fortress strong, and expect an assault, for this is the last time that any one will come to you." Rukn-ud-Din, Khur Shah, seeing the state of affairs, held counsel with his chief men; and no way appeared left open to him other than to surrender. On the same day that this way determined on, he despatched, in advance of himself, another brother, Shah Kıya, along with the traitor-as I shall presently show-the Khwajah, Nasir-ud-Din, the Tust, and other officials and leaders of his forces, to the presence of Hulaku, bearing presents and offerings befitting. On Friday, the 27th of Shawwal, they reached his camp, and, on Sunday, 1st of Zi-Ka'dah, 654 H .- 12th November, 1256 A.D.-the Khudawand, Rukn-ud-Din, Khur Shah, having taken a last farewell of his ancestral home of two hundred years. accompanied by Asil-ud-Din, Zauzani, Mu'avvid-ud-Din, the Wazir, and the sons of the Ra'ls-ud-Daulah, and Muaffik-ud-Daulah, the same day presented himself in the camp of Hulaku, the Mughal So, "the strongly fortified town of Meimindiz" was neither besieged, nor was "the attack prosecuted with vigour," because no attack was ever made, neither did "Rokn-ud-din propose terms to Khulagu," as we are informed in the "Mongols Proper," but quite the contrary

The traitor, Naşîr-ud-Dîn, the Tūsī, composed a verse on this event, the first half of which, not quite correctly rendered, is given by Von Hammer, who, in his account of the Ismā'fliān, makes sad havoc among the names of persons and places. The verse is as follows, literally rendered —

"When the 'Arab year six hundred, fifty, and four, came round, On Sunday, the first of the month ZI Ka'dah, at morning dawn, Khur Shāh, Bādshāh of the Mulāḥdah, from his throne arose, And, in front of the throne of Hulākā [Khān], stood up"

When Khur Shah presented himself, Hulaku beheld a mere youth of inexperience and indiscretion, and, therefore, according to the pro-Mughal accounts, he treated him kindly, and gave him hopes of the Kā'ān's favour. Khūr Shah, at Hulākū's request, despatched one of his chief men entitled Sadr-ud-Din, in order that all the fortresses which his father and forefathers had obtained possession of, in the Kuhistan, the Rud-barat, and Kumis-a district, or rather province, between Khurāsan and 'Irāk-i-'Ajam-full of military stores, magazines of provisions, and other valuable property, might be delivered up to the Mughal officials, and, by Hulākū's command, they are said to have been levelled with the ground-subsequently perhaps, as this would be a work of time only: Hans Abru says they amounted to some three hundred, all but Lambah-Sar and Gird Koh-but the number was only a little over a hundred-which the governors refused to give up, and which held out, particularly the latter, for twenty years after, as already mentioned Postilence at last broke out in Lambah-Sar, and most of its people perished. The rest abandoned it, and the Mughals destroyed it.

The day after Khūr Shāh reached the Mughal camp, he gave orders to his dependants to leave Maimūn-dujr, and his ancestral treasures, other valuable property, and library, he presented [perforce] to Hulakū as a pegh-kagh, the whole of which Hulākū is said to have distributed among his officers. After this the latter turned his face towards Alamūt. On reaching the foot of the stronghold, Khūr Shāh was sent forward to request the seneschal to come

been going on.4 Within it about 100 or 200 men have

4 Not is "the third year of the siege," as Von Hammer states: it held out for nearly twenty years, and only then fell because pestilence had destroyed nearly the whole of its defenders.

down and give it up, but that Sipah-Sälär refused to listen to his words, and gave him a rough and stern reply. Hulaku left a numerous force there to invest it, but, after holding out for three or four days, the Sipah-Salar agreed to surrender it, on the lives and property of all within being guaranteed. On Monday, the 26th of Zi-Ka'dah, 654 H, it was given up. The people asked, according to the terms of surrender, for three days' grace to enable them to remove their effects; and, on the fourth day, the Mughals poured in, and commenced to sack the fortiess. The catapults on the walls were thrown down and destroyed, the gates removed to a distance, and they began to demoish the defences. On the following day Hulaku came up to inspect the place, and much was he astonished at beholding that fortress and the mountain on which it stands "Alamut is a mountain, which they have likened unto a camel kneeling, with its neck stretched out upon the ground flietween a camel-12 and a hon-22 there is, in MSS, but the difference of a couple of points over and under, but there is a very material difference in their significations, whatever Von Hammer may have said, for he must have read it incorrectly or from a poor MS.] On the summit thereof, which has but one path leading to it, a fortress was built of such prodigious strength that the like of it has never yet been described. Within had been excavated several reservoirs for storing vinegar, honey, and other drinkables - the word sharab, in the original, does not necessarily mean wine or intoxicating drinks -so that, after obtaining possession of that stronghold, the Mughals were diving into them-and must have come out in a very 'sweet' state from the reservoirs of honey-and finding various articles of property, which the people, in their first alarm, had thrown into them," but the Mughals in the subterranean chambers and cellars, searching for treasure, did not fall into the wine and honey," without knowing what was there, as erroneously stated by Von Hammer, from a wrong reading probably. The greater part of the contents of these magazines, which had been laid in during the time of Hasan-1-Sabbah, remained unchanged, and his followers, the Isma Ilis, attribute this to the sanctity of his blessing

The subsequent fate of Khūr Shāh may be related in a few words 'By the early part of 655 11, all the Mulālndah strongholds in the Kuhistān and 'Irāk-1-'Ajam, with the exception of Lanbah-5ar and Gird-Koh, were in the hands of the Mughals, but those in Shām had yet to be gained possession of 'Hulākū, consequently, continued to treat Khūr Shāh well, and induced him to send him messengers along with Mughal officials into Shām, to request the governors to give them up, whereby they would obtain favour, or otherwise bring down rum upon the whole of the sect. Khūr Shāh had also become enamoured, it is said by 'he orthodox Musalmāns, the enemies of the sect, of a base-born Mughal's daughter, and, the matter becoming notorious. Hulākū, on the occasion of the great Shī'ah fectival of the 'Ashūrā, bestowed favours upon Khūr Shāh on the last day of the festival, the toth of Muharram, 655 it, and, among these favours, made him a present of the Mughal damsel. The idea that "Khulaga" would not have scrupled to have put him to death

taken refuge, but, up to this time, it has not fallen into the hands of the Mughals.*

^a The I. O. L. MS., No. 1951, the Ro. As. Soc. MS., and the Bodieian MS.—all three—have an interpolation here relating to the death of Mangü Kā'ān in Chin, the same as occurs at page 1223, thus showing that they are copies of the same original, or that the two last are copies of the first MS.

"because he had lately married a Mongol woman of low extraction," is absurd, and also that a "solemn marriage was ordered." The round-faced, ugly wench was bestowed upon him in the same way as a horse or a slave would be given, but some say that Khūr Shāh actually asked Hulākū for her.

Hulākū had solemnly promised not to harm Khūr Shāh, hence he was well pleased when the latter, who found his promises of favour were all empty ones, asked to be sent to the presence of Mangu Kā'ān, still, as the fortresses of Sham had not yet been secured, he would have kept hun in play a little longer However, as Khur Shah was desirous of going, he despatched him, but took care to detain his off-pring, females, and dependants, at Kazwin, and only the Mughal concubine was allowed to accompany him. He was desired to obtain the surrender of Gird-Koh by the way; and, although Khur Shah, outwardly, in the presence of his Mughal guardians, did request its commander to surrender, he had before sent secretly to tell him on no account to give it up, as it had been prophesied that in, or by means of, that fortress, their sect would again flourish. The commandant, consequently, refused to surrender, and gave a herce answer, so the Mughals had to proceed on their road unsuccess-Khûr Shah is said to have quarrelled with his conductors after passing the Amuiah, and it is added that they came to fisticuffs, and this want of dignity on his part made him very contemptible in the sight of the Mughals. His death is differently related. Some say he reached Mangu's presence, but the Fannkati and Hang Abiu say that the truth is that, when he had arrived in the vicinity of Kara-Kuram, Mangu Ka'an commanded that he should be put to death. This, the Janu' ut-Tawarikh states is the truth, but Rashid-ud-Din does not say that Mangū was at Karā-Kuram. These writers, however, appear to have forgotten that the Ka'an was in Chin at this time, and never returned to Kara-Kuram again

Mangū's instructions to his brother were, not even to spare a child of a year old of the race of Kiyā i-Buzurg-Umfd, so, during the absence of that unfortunate Prince, Hulākū gave orders to skiy the whole of them, and "neither young nor old were spared, and, of a family, which, for one hundred and seventy odd years, had reigned in I ran-Zainin, not a vestige or trace remained."

In Alfi, however, it is stated that a number of Khūr Shāh's offspring and relations were made over to Salghān Khātūn, Chaghatāe Khān's daughter, that she might, according to the law of retaliation, shed their blood, in order to avenge her father who had been killed by Ismā'fii Fidā-Is. See note 4, page 1148.

After this Hulaku-with the treachery inherent in the Mughal face—issued commands to the Amirs in Khurakan to assemble together, by stratagem, the whole of the Kuhistani Ismailis, and extinate them, so that not a trace of them might be left. Under pretence of a general levy of fighting men, for the purpose of invading Hindustan, numbers came in from all the towns and

AN ACCOUNT OF THE MISFORTUNE WHICH HAPPENED TO THE MUHTASHIM, SHAMS-UD-DIN.

This account is derived from a recluse among the recluses of Islam, who is worthy of credit, and in here recorded in order that it may come under the observation of the Sultan of Islam.

This servant of the victorious empire, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, who is the author of this TABAKAT, on the first occasion that he chanced to undertake a journey into the Kuhistan, and saw the Muhtashim, Shihāb, the Hakim, the friend of the Musalmans, saw, in his presence, a recluse, an aged man of Nishapur, who was one among the esteemed of Sultan Muhammad, Khwarazm Shah, and his mother, the Malikah-i-lahan-on whom be the Almighty's mercy !-and, during the time of that monarch and his mother, he enjoyed their intimacy and esteem. This recluse used clandestinely to take care of the interests of the Muhtashim. Shihāb, before the Sultān's throne," and was wont to show honour towards his emissaries; and, such of their important affairs as used to be before the Court, he would get brought to a successful termination

When the misfortunes [attending the irruption] of the Chingiz Khan arose, and the people of Khwarazm, of the

6 The later Mulahidah were tributary to the Sulfans of this dynasty, and had been for some time See note 4, page 254

villages of that territory, and the Mughals thus succeeded in trapping 12,000 Isma'ilis, the whole of whom were massacred Towachis [Pursuivants or Tip-staffs] were also sent out into every part of the Kuhistan with instructions to kill the heretics wherever they could be found, and all who might aid or shelter them, and they are said to have been "wholly exterminated."

They were not however, for, in 674 it , twenty years after Khue Shih surrendered, in the reign of Huliku's successor, a body of Mulatudah, having combined with a son of the late Khur Shah, and assigned him the title of " the Nau Daulat," served the fortress of Alamut; and their outbreak assumed a serious aspect Abakac Khān sent an army against them, which overthrew them, and the fortress of Alamut was razed to the ground

In the present day we hear of a claimant to the spiritual office of this sect, as a descendant of the last of the Mulähidah, and, I believe, Magazine articles have even been written on the subject

Holaka's mind being new entirely set at rest respecting the Mulahidah, he turned his attention to further "ameliorations"—the capture of Baghdad, our author's account of which will be found farther on.

capital, and of Khuräsan, became dispersed this recluse. for those reasons [above referred tol threw himself into the Kuhistan; and, on account of previous obligations, the Muhtashim. Shihāb, the Hakim, was under towards him, this recluse obtained great favour with him, and received abundant honour and reverence. On the Muhtashim, Shihāb, being removed from the government of the Kuhistan, and his proceeding to Alamut, when the Muhtashim, Shams, arrived, this recluse did not obtain the same respect from him; and, as he was not on terms of intimacy with the Muhtashim, Shams, the absence of Shihāb greatly affected the heart of the recluse. He desired, in order to perform the debt of gratitude [he owed] to the Muhtashim. Shihab, to take vengeance upon this Muhtashim, Shams, who was the displacer of the former, and thereby attain. for himself, the felicity of martyrdom, and also perform an act of holy warfare [upon an infidel].

One day he entered the place of audience of the Muhtashim, Shams, and represented, saying: "It is necessary to give me a private audience. I have important business, which I will communicate in private." The Muhtashim. Shams, had his audience hall cleared, but the recluse said: "I am not satisfied to proceed lest it should so happen that I might be in the middle of my statement and an interloper might enter, and the matter be interrupted the Muhtashim will direct that I may fasten the door of the audience hall on the inside, my heart will be freed from that fear" The Muhtashim replied: "It will be well: it is necessary to put the chain across the door of the audience hall on the inside" The honest [1] recluse got up, and fastened the door on the inside, and came and seated himself down in front of the Muhtashim an habitual custom with that Muhtashim constantly to have a finely-tempered palārak? poniard in his hand. Sometimes he would place it at his side, sometimes before him, and sometimes he would take it in his hand,

The recluse turned his face towards the Muhtashim, and said: "I suffer tyranny in thy city and territory. Why

⁷ A species of Damascened steel held in great estimation—also the damasked water of a sword.

have they placed this poniard in thy hand? [Is it not] this purpose that thou shouldst ward off tyranny violence from the weak and oppressed? Give the wea into my hand that I may see whether it be sharp or r The Muhtashim, inadvertently, the recluse being an in old man, and thinking that from him no mischief we arise, gave the poniard into the Darwesh's hand. latter seized it, struck at the Muhtashim, and inflie upon him several severe wounds, in such a manner his body was wounded in several places winter season, and the Muhtashim wore two garment hair [cloth], one over the other; and, the recluse be old and infirm, the wounds proved not so very deep. the recluse been young, and had it been the sum season, without doubt, the Muhtashim would have gonhell. Notwithstanding he was wounded, he got up, sei the wound-inflicting recluse, and cried out for assista A number of Mulahidahs were in the vestibule of the p of audience, and they burst open the door, and came and martyred the recluse-the Almighty reward him!

A cry now arose in the city of Tūn, and the M hidahs conspired against the Musalmans to put those to unfortunates to death, but the Muhtashim promptly direct so that they issued a proclamation, to the effect to no Musalman should be hurt in the least, since it we not be right to slay all the Musalmans through the of one individual. During that short period of turn however, an eminent Imam, and learned man, whom to used to call Imam Najm ud-Din-i-Sarbāri, the Rū attained martyrdom, because a Mulhid was at eminity whim, but of the rest of the Musalmans not one suffered molestation. Subsequently, command was given so to they impaled the Mulhid who had killed the Imam.

The object in [relating] this incident was this, that i essential that sovereigns should ever be circumspect a vigilant, and should never leave [their] arms out of the own possession, and should not place confidence in a one.

At page 1107, he says he saw him at $K\bar{a}$ -in, which was the sent of governent, but this, it appears, took place at $T\bar{u}n$. It is not contained in all copouly in the best ones

I now return to the thread of this History.

When they placed Mangū Khān upon the throne, he conferred the dominion of I-rān and 'Ajam upon his younger brother, Hulākū; and another younger brother, named Kubilān,' after he had returned from the conquest of 'Irāķ,' he installed over the tribes of Turkistān, and a third brother, Artuķ Būkah, he placed as his deputy over the kingdoms of Tamghāj. He [Mangū] then assembled a numerous army, and marched into the country of Chīn,' and reached a place where the horses of his forces,

At page 1177, our author styles him Kubilā—"15—and here Kubilān—"15—as above, the letter "n," apparently, being nasal, as in many other words. The Calcutta Printed Text here turns him into Kilān—12 The name is written rather differently by other authors, as with many other names, particularly with the addition of a final of often found in these words—Kubilāe. The letter 'k'—5—which is the first in his name, is turned into 'Kh' in the book so often referred to herein, which is equivalent to of or but any one who understands a single letter of Oriental tongues knows that "Khubilai" is as impossible as "Khulagu" for Hulākū, and is incorrect, whatever the "Mongol" Professors may say. The Chinese, who spoil all foreign proper names, style him "Hū-pi-lay."

¹ This is a great mustake: we should read Khiţāe for 'Irāk. Kubtlāe was never sent into 'Irāk on any expedition, and was never in that country in his life. He is said to have been in Kiſchāk in Ūktāe's reign. The services on which he was sent in Mangū's reign have been already mentioned.

The Printed Text mistakes this name too, and has Irak or Arak—_j,!—for Irtuk—_j;!—and sends Irtuk, sometimes written Artuk, Bükā into Chin, whereas he was left in charge of the great wrdūs at Karā-Kuram of Kalūr-ān,

* Tamghāj has already been referred to in a previous note.

4 As I have briefly referred to the principal events in the lives of the preceding Mughal sovereigns, I will here relate, even at the risk of being considered rather too diffuse, the other chief events in Mangu Kā ān's reign, in order to complete the notice of him, and will compare it with the Chinese accounts, as the names of countries, places, and persons, are so widely different, and as, in other matters, considerable discrepancy occurs, and numerous errors exist.

In the year 65t H, which commenced on the 2nd of March, 1253 A.D., Mangū, being well established on the throne, determined upon making fresh conquests in the east and west, or rather, to speak more correctly than the Oriental chronicles of these events, to secure possession of the countries which had been but partially subdued. Accordingly, in this, the second year of his accession, Mangū made, a great feast or banquet at the ancient yūrat of the Chingiz Khān. After it was over he nominated his youngest brother, Hulākū, to march into Ī-rān-Zamīn, some of whose proceedings have been already described, and his middle brother, Kubilāe, into the countries of the cast, and the Ko-yāng, Mūķalī, the Jalā-īr, was despatched along with him [a his guide and preceptor]. This well-known leader's title is not "Guyaneg." Ko-yāng, the name the Khijā-īs called him by, significe great and trustworthy

After they had set out from Kara-Kuram, with the army, by the direct route.

through the insalubrity of the climate, and want of forage,

apparently, they found grain and forage excessively scarce. They accordingly despatched information to the Kā'ān, stating that it would be impossible to proceed by that route, and asked permission to march by another road into Karā-Jāng [sub- Jē]. This is the tract of country which Raghid-ud-Din, quoting Al-Birūni, mentions. After noticing Diw-gir and the Ma'ahar in the Dakhan of Hind, he says: "There is also another large territory which is Gandhār, and called by the Mughals Karā-Jāng or Ḥāmil [J-b-], and its people are descended from Hindi and Khiṭā-i [parents]. In the reign of Kubilāe Kā'ān it was subdued by the Mughals. On one side it joins Tibbat, on another, the frontier of Khiṭāe, and on the third, Hind. Learned men have said that the people of three different countries are particularly celebrated for three different things: Hind for its numerous armies, the territory of Gandhār for its elephants beyond computation, and the Turks for horses."

It is doubtful what the meaning of Jäng is, in fact it is very doubtful what is the correct word, for it is written all the which may be read in various ways, but if one take the first form—which—in which perhaps it is chiefly written, it may be read, in the absence of vowel points, Jānak, Jānag, Jānk, or Jāng, and from its being used with chaghān and karā, which are Turkish words for white and black, it must, without doubt, be Turkish likewise. I am doubtful, however, whether the last form given above—which—Jāmak, is not, after all, the correct word. I have taken some trouble to search it out in several works, and am sorry that there should still remain any doubt upon it.

But, from companson, we arrive at some other facts. The Fanākaif and some others say, that, east of Khtāe, the Chin of the Hindūs, and Jākūt of the Mughals, inclining south-east, is an extensive country called Manzi المساقة العامة المساق

This latter name too, as I have previously remarked, is written in so many different ways that it is difficult to determine which is the most correct. It is written ways that it is difficult to determine which is the most correct. It is written ways that it is under a latter and carefully written MISS, also the like, but I believe, after all, that it is way in which it is written in the "Nuhrat-ul-Kulüb" is the correct one, namely. Ningtas, or which it is written in the "Nuhrat-ul-Kulüb" is said, by the Fanākati and others, to be separated from Khitāe or Chīn by the Karā Mūr-ān or Black River, that its capital was Khūnāe [غرائي] or Khunāe [غرائي], that it is also called Karā-

i

were perishing. He despatched swift messengers into Tur-

Jäng by the Mughala, and Däe-Hu in the language of Khitäe—that is, by the Chinese. Consequently, from these various statements, Ningalah or Tingnäah is the Karā and Chaghān Jäng and the Gandhār of the Mughals, which contitute the Mansi and Däe-liu of the Chinese, the Mahā-Chin of the Hindus, and Kandhār of the Musalmāns of Turkustān and Māwarā-un-Nahr

I now return, after this necessary digression, to the request of the Ko-yang, Mükalf, to enter this territory of Kara-Jang, or whatever it may be. His request was complied with, and he entered that territory with his forces, plundered it, and obtained what his troops were in want of I notice, in ELLIOT, vol. 3, page 63, that "Waihind, capital of Kandahár, west of the Sind," is said to be called "Karajáng" by the "Moghals." This is a little out of the way, and must be an error certainly

The Chinese say that "Mengko," as they style Mangū, made his brother Hū-pi-lay, governor of all the territories south of the great Kobi or Desert, that is, Tartary bordering on the Great Wall of China, Lyan-tong, and the conquered provinces of China. In Decr., 1252 A.D. (Shawwāl, the tenth month of 650 H.), Hū-pi-lay was directed to attack Tai-li-Fū in Yun-nan, and took along with him the general Hū-lyang-hotay [Mūkalī *], and Yanshī [Maḥmūd, Yalwā] ?].

About the same time envoys arrived at the serdii from Intû or Hintûs [Hind], to render homage. This was about the very time that Malik Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, the cousin of Ulugh Khān-i-A'gam, left his fief of Tabarhindah, withdrew from Hind, and proceeded to the presence of Mangu Kā'ān See pages 695, 784, 792, 798, and note ', page 1223

In the same year—650 H.— Mangū Ķā'ān began to consider in what direction he should himself lead an army, and, therefore, he held another great feast at which the Shāh-zādahs and Amirs appeared. This was held at a place called Kortūkūk Jiwan [2500 Helden [2500 Helden [2500 Helden He

At this feast, Mangū was advised by Dārkāe, the Gurgān [one of the sons-in-law of the Chingis Khān], an Amir of the tribe of Angirās-Kungkūr-āt Mughals, to invade Tingnāah or Biktash [Ningāfah]. Dārkāe added, as a reason for invading it, that it was near by, was bāghī, that is to say here, unreduced and independent, and that it had hitherto been disregarded by them. This was not correct, however, if, as previously mentioned, the Mughals call this Tingnāah or Biktāsh by the name of Karā-Jāng, for that was invaded and plundered by the Mughal troops under Kubīlāe and Mūķalī, the Ko-yāng, just before.

Mangü Ķā'ān, un reply to this advice, remarked, that each of his uncles and

kistān and Māwarā-un-Nahr, and called for horses for his

brothers had subdued some country or other, and he would do the same [he had already done so in the campaigns in the west under Bātū Khān, but before he succeeded to the throne], so, in the sixth year of his reign, in Muharran, 652 H.—February, 1254 A D—he determined to go to war with Tehukan [possibly, Tehūkang], the Faghūr—the particular title given by Muhammadan writers to the ruler of Chīn, but what language it is, is not said. Mangū accordingly left Artūk—or Artūgh—sometimes written Irtūķ and Irtūgh—Būkah, his next younger brother, in charge of the uliner and urdūs, and associated with him his eldest son, whose name is written in many ways equally uncertain—[https://www.documentain.com/documentains/bi-light-docu

Respecting these events, the Chinese say that, in February, 1253 A.D. [the last month of the year 650 H. The year 651 H. commenced on the 2nd March, 1253 A.D.], "Mengko" assembled the Pinces and Grandees at the river Onon, and determined upon sending armies to make further foreign conquests, "one into India and Kashmir, another into Korea, and a third against the Khalifah," which was to be the most numerous, under his brother "Hyule hâ" [Hulākū] Among the generals was "Kakan [the Manjanits-chi*], son of Ko-chey, son of Ko pan-yu," who were generals of the Chingiz Khān, a native of Ching, dependent on Wha-chew, in the district of Si-gan-Fâ, capital of Shen-si, a very learned man.

"Hû-pı-lay" [Kubilāe] had assembled his forces the previous year [1252 A D.—649-50 H], at Lin tau-Fû, in Shen-si, entered Sew-chwen, and, by afficult roads, through mountains and by precipices, reached the river Kin-sha or Kyang. At this period, great part of Yun-nan was ruled by Princes independent of China. Tali had a king of its own, and he was taken, with that city, in December of that year. "Hû-pi-lay" subdued several neighbouring Princes, and reached Tibbat, where several others submitted to him. After this he returned to his government, leaving Hû-lyang-hotay [the Ko-yāng, Mūkalī] in command

Again, in 1254 A D [652 II], which commenced on the 20th Feby., 1254], the Chinese writers state that "Mengko" again assembled the Princes and Grandees at the source of the river Onon, made many new regulations, and ordered the commanders of troops in China to lay in great magazines of provisions in such parts of Ho-nan as had walled cities. Hitherto the Mughals had only made incursions into Sew-chwen to pillage, and had often to retreat, and, many times with loss, for want of subsistence [as in Kubříše's case, to which this evidently refers], and "Mengko" directed the general Wang-teching, son of Wang-shi-hyen, to inclose several towns, and lay in stores of provision

In June, 1256 A.D [this would be the beginning of Rajab, the seventh month of the year 654 H], another great feast was held, and "Mengko" received the homage of several Princes of Yun-nan, as well as of neighbouring Princes and Sulfans of the west

Then it is related that "Mengko considered the serdii at or near Karā-Kuram was inconvenient for holding kiū iltites and keeping his Court, and so he directed a Chinese Bonza, named Lyew-ping-chong, to select a place in Tartary, which might henceforth be the capital of his dominions. Ping-ching, who was a man of great learning and of scientific attainments, made choice of a place, to the east of the city of Whan-chew, called Long-kang; and there a city was

army. Trustworthy persons related, on this wise, that his

built, which was called Kay-ping-Fû, and, afterwards, Shang-tû: yet Kara-Kuram [although neither a city nor town] still continued to enjoy a jurisdiction of greater extent "—it was still the asl yūral of the Chingiz Khān.

The foundation of this place therefore has been wrongly ascribed to Kubiläe, who founded Khān-Bāligh, instead of to "Mengko," but that it was more convenient, as to position, than the vicinity of Karā-Kuram, and Kalū-ān, is absurd, unless for the convenience of his eastern subjects and dominions alone. There may have been another reason, and an important one. Karā-Kuram depended a great deal on provisions brought from a long distance, and, should supplies, by any chance, have been cut off, famine would have arisen, as was subsequently proved.

This new capital, "Kay-ping-Fu," afterwards "Shang-tû," is apparently the Shandu, and Ciandu of Polo, "Xandu" in Ramusio, and "Ions" of Haylon. "It stood," it is stated, "in the country of Karchin on the niver "Shan-tû," N.N.F. of Pekin, and "seems to be," says a writer in Astley's "Collection," "Chau-nayman-suma, which is one of three ruins marked in the Missioners' map, on the river Shangtů." Hayton calls it Ions. "Passing out of the gate, Hi-fong-kew in Pe-che-li, you find yourself in Karchin, Ohan, Naman [Nāemān], and Korchin. It is divided into ten standards, and the country of the Mughals of Korchin extends to the Sira Mur-ān-the great river Sira. Mir-ān in the Mughal language signifies a great river, and Pirah a smaller one."

To continue the Chinese accounts before returning to the Mughal records quoted by the Musalman writers, in whose time the Mughals had to a considerable extent become Musalmans likewise, they say that, in 1257 A D. Ithe year 655 H began on the 18th January, 1257 A D.], "Mengko" sent orders to his generals in Se chwen, Hu-quang, and Kyang-nan, to prepare to attack the Song [empire] on all sides, resolving to begin himself with the first, that is to say, Se-chwen, which therefore is equivalent with Tingnash [Ningaish] of the Musalman writers, Maha-Chin of the Hindus, and Manzi of the Chinese, as described by the Fanākati and others. After the month of July [the seventh month of 655 #] he appointed his brother, Alipû ko [Irtûk or Irtûgh Bûkah], in charge at Karā Kuram." There is some discrepancy between the Musalinan. and Chinese dates, because he was appointed in the first month of 652 H , as before mentioned. "In the same month, Mengko" set out, reached the mountain of "Lewpan in Shen si," where the Chingiz Khar died [which place, as stated before at page 1087, was situated on the frontier of Tingnash, or Ningāish, and Khūrjah]. He was scarcely arrived there when he understood his brother Hû-pi-lay, with his family, and without any attendance, in the manner of a criminal, had come, in order to submit himself to the Ka'an. This news so affected "Mengko" that the suspicions he entertained against him were removed and he was completely forgiven." I shall refer to this matter presently.

"Hû-pi lay" was directed by "Mengko" to return to his government, and prepare for the siege of Vu-chang-Fu, the capital of Hû quang, then to march to Hang-chew, the metropolis of Che-kvang, and the empire of the Song; and the general Chang jau was nominated to command under him

I will now return to the Mughal accounts from where I left off, when, early in 652 H—about March or April, 1254 A.D—Mangū appointed Irtūk Būkah to remain in charge of the great urdus and ulūsis, along with his cliest son, but some say his son Serki

lieutenants and governors, who were in Turkistan and Ma-

To enumerate all the names, and give all the details, respecting those Shäh-zādahs, Amlrs, and troops, which accompanied him, and the preparations made, would occupy far more space than can be afforded here: at some future time I hope to do so. Suffice it to say that they included a great number of Shāh-zādahs, Amīrs, and forces, both of the Dast-i-Chap—the left hand—and Dast-i-Rāst—the right hand—which latter they style [1 e the territory they occupy] Jāuṣut or Jāṣut, which signifies the forces of Khuṭāe, Tingṣut, Khūrjah, and Sūḥankā [[tɨ-j-]], because the Mughals, in their dialect, used to call those parts Jāuṣut or Jāṣut. The army of Mangū Ka'ān amounted, it is said, to the immense number of 600,000, one half of which belonged to the Dast-i-Chap, and whose leader was the Shāh-zādah, Taghāchār [there is no such name as "Thugatshur"], son of Ū-Tigīn, the younger brother of the Chingiu Khān.

As Mangū issued forth on his way, he received the news of the death of the Nū-yin, Belkūtāe, half brother of the Chingu Khān, who had attained the age of 110 years, and who had done good service in the latter's time. Mangū also received an account of his brother Kubilāe's movements with his forces; and that, as Kubilāe, at this time, was indisposed—he was afflicted with gout, or dard-i-pār—if he were allowed to take repose for a time, it would be well. Kubilāe was permitted, accordingly, to return to his ardūs, as a temporary measure, to get better

This evidently is what the Chinese histories refer to as a disagreement between the brothers, and Kubīlāe's being suspected, and forgiven, but the Musalmān writers never so much as hint at anything of the kind, and the two accounts are wholly contrary to each other. Although Kubīlāe had permission to retire to his urdūs he did not do so, but again returned to his post. It was at this time likewise, that Shīrāmūn, who was not to be trusted, was put to death before Kubīlāe departed. Perhaps it is this incident that the Chinese writers got hold of

Having set out, in the summer of 653 H—about June, 1255 A.D.—Mangū reached the boundaries of the territories of Tingkūt and Tingoāsh or Biktāsh [Ningāīsh], at the place named Afwān or Afūān Shān اسانون خان الله الله فورت خان الله كالله كالله الله عن الله كا

Towards the latter part of the year he moved forward for the purpose of attacking the Masül [J...] Kahlukah or Pass, and forced it. With little effort he subsequently captured twenty fortresses, and subdued a territory known as Khān-Sindān [المنافة] in one copy of Alfi the first word is Jān—ob], and, having taken possession of the whole of it, turned his face towards a great fortress [a fortified city] called Mūli Sāng [ab...]

There is considerable discrepancy with regard to the name of this place, caused by the careless copying of scribes, and the facilities which the Arabic characters offer for making mistakes when carelessly written. In the best written copies of Alfi and the Fanākati, respectively, it is Mūli-Sāng, as above, and Doli-Shāng [abls], while in other copies of the former, and in other works, it is written copies of the former, and in other works, it is the Ho chew of the Chinese historians, and Ho-chew or Ko-chew of the Jesuits' map

Before setting out into those parts, he had despatched the Nü-yin, Taghachar, with a numerous army, by way of the great river called Ka'an Ling [all old].

wara-un-Nahr, in a very short space of time-less than

that he might reduce to submission the fortified cities of Fang-ching [LLL]-ching—the words are without points, and may be meant for Mang[LLL]-ching—and Mang-Fün [LLL]. Taghāchār reached the foot of that fortress [the last mentioned place], and invested it for a week, but, during that time, having obtained no sign of success, he marched away, and returned to his own urdir. Mangū Ķā'ān was excessively wroth at this proceeding, and vowed he would punish Taghachār in such a way that others should take example therefrom, but he did not live to do so.

The place before which Mangë Ka'an set down in 654 H., was, according to the Habib-us-Siyar, "a fortified mountain of prodigious height and circuit, and furnished with all things necessary to withstand an enemy Winter came and passed, and spring set in, and the summer of 655 H. arrived [the summer of 1257 A.D.]. The excessive heat brought on a pestilence among the troops, and most of his army perished. Mangu, who, for a long period, had been investing this strong place, took to drinking deeply, in order to ward off the danger of catching the disease, but his health gave way in consequence, and he was taken ill, and died eight days after, in Muharram—the first month—of 656 H. [about the middle of January, 1258 A.D.] on the banks of the Kobighah Mur-ān [alps heigh], after a reign of eight years." The circumstances related by our author, although very brief, contain some interesting particulars respecting these events, which no authors I have been quoting mention.

There is considerable discrepancy, too, and some doubt, concerning the date of Mangū's death.

Aff, and its authorities, the Raugat-uş-Şafā, the Fanākatī, and the Muntakhab ut-Tawārīkh, say it happened in 655 H. The Habib-us-Siyar says it took place in 654 H., while Guzīdah and Faşiḥ-ī say it was in 657 H., and that he reigned nine years, and was forty-eight—some say forty-six—when he died. The Fanākatī says his reign was say years and two months

The last of these dates—657 H.—is undoubtedly correct, because his brother, Hulakü, in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H., sent Khür Shäh, Bädshäh of the Mulahdah, to his camp, after obtaining possession of Alamüt, and, by Mangü Ka'ān's command, he was put to death by the way Mangü, therefore, could not possibly have died in 654 H., nor in the first month of 655 H. Another proof is tha Hulakü sent the news to Mangü of the capture of Baghdäd, and the murde of the last Khalifah, together with an account of events which had happened in 'Irak in 656 H., and which news reached him. Therefore, allowing for the immense distance which separated the brothers, the first month of 657 H.—January, 1259 A.D.—18, evidently, the more correct date. Our author, who finished his history in the fifth month of 658 H.—about the end of February 1260 A.D.—appears to have very recently become aware of his death by report

I must now give the Chuiese version of these events for the sake o comparison. "Hū-lyang-hotay" having made conquests in the countrie bordering on "Yun-nan," subsequent to his subjugation of Tibbat in 1255 A.D.—653 H.—penetrated as far as "Tong-king," and "Kochin-China," their comprehended under the name of "Gan-nan," runned its capital, and plundere the country. He was returning to "Tali," when "Mengko" ordered his to join "Hū-pi-lay" in the siege of Vū-chang-Fū [capital of the province thū-quang].

"Mengko's" forces entered "Se-chwen" in three bodies, each in a differer direction. As soon as the army passed the mountain of "Lû-pan" [this:

one week-purchased 80,000 horses from Samrkand and

the Chinese name for the place where the Chingiz Khan died], "Po-li-cha," a great Tartar [Mughal] lord, was appointed to command the first body, Mu-ko, the Ka'an's brother, the second, while "Mengko" commanded the third, and took the route of Han-chong-Fû in Shen-si. He was vigorously opposed by the troops of the Song in Se-chwen. "Mengko" now sent on, in advance, Nyew-lyen of the Chanchu tribe, whose father and grandfather were greatly renowned in the army, in the beginning of the year 1250-656 H -to obtain information. He learnt that Ata-hû, the Muchal general in Ching-tû-Fû, was in great extremity, being invested on all sides by the Song IIe, Nyew-lyen, having reached Ho-chew, resolved to succour Ata-hit, but his efforts were of no avail, for the Song took it, and Ata-hû died. Nyew-lyen, now grown desperate from want of success, posted himself between the Song army and Ching-tû, to which he immediately marched, and soon after succeeded in gaining possession of it. Finding that the Ka'an had reached Kang-chong-Fû, he left the city in charge of another officer, moved to Mahú, and sent forces to facilitate "Mengko's" passage of the river Kvan-lin by a hridge of boats. The other two bodies of troops having rejoined him, Long-gan-FA was captured, and Lan chew [now Pau-ning-Fû] surrendered | The general Hûlyang-hotay entered China, on his return from Gan-nan, by Tung-quin, moved forward to Ouang-si, and seized Quey-lin-Fû, the capital of that province. The Chinese were surprised to find him penetrate as far as Chang-sha, a city of Hu-ouang, which he invested in the beginning of 1250 A D, equivalent to the first month of 657 H, which ended on December 16th of that year, and which completely agrees with the year given in Guzidah

On the first day of the same year, 1259 A D - the 28th of December began the year 657 H --- "Mengko" reached the mountain Chong-quey, where the Chalar [Jala-Ir], To-whan, affirmed that the war in Se-chwen would turn out unfortunate from heat and moisture, which would destroy the troops, and advised a retreat Pa-li che, the Orla [Arlatl, said that To-whan spoke thus through fear, and advised the Ka'an to continue the campaign; so "Mengko" determined to continue the war, and to invest Ho-chew, which he accordingly Aid in February Nyew-lyen advanced to co-operate with him, and constructed a bridge of boats near Fû-cheu-Fû, while another leader went and took up a position near Quey chew, on the borders of Hû-quang. An attack was repulsed on Ho-chew in February, another in March, while, in April, there was thunder and rain for twenty days. One day, however, the Mughals succeeded in scaling the walls, and made great slaughter among the defenders, but they were finally repulsed A Chinese general attacked the raft bridge, at Fû-cheu-Fû, and got to Kon-chm-Fû, eight leagues S S.E of Ho-chew, collected 1000 barques to ascend to Kya-ling [Kyan-lin], but was attacked by a Mughal force, and pursued to Chong-king. The Mughals were, however, still much harassed by disease, want, and sallies. In July "Mengko" resolved to assault it with his best troops, and carry it at any cost. On the roth of August the Kā'ān visited the works, and ga e orders for scaling the walls the following night. The Mughals gained the top of the walls, but were repulsed with great slaughter, and even pursued. "Mengko," in desperation, now ordered a general assault, and went in person to direct it. A storm arose at he time, and during the attack several ladders were blown down. On this, a earful carnage ensued, vast numbers of the Mughals perished, and among hem was the Ka'an, whose body was found pierced with many wounds.

Bukhārā, and, adding them to those which they had purchased in Upper Turkistān, despatched them [to Mangū Khān's army].

They also related, that, after some time, the king of Chin brought such an immense army, as cannot come within the compass of number or computation, and, in the end, Mangū Khān, and his army, were overthrown, and reached a mountain [range] round about [nearly] the whole of which range was the sea, and morass; and, in that mountain [range], Mangū Khān, with the whole of the Mughal army, perished from famine.

The reign of Mangu Khan was nine years.

Thus fell "Mengko," at the age of fifty-two, after a reign of nine years. Such is the Chinese account, which is very different from that of the Mughals.

To return to the Mughal accounts. Mangū's son, Asūtāe Aghūl, leaving the Nū yīn, Kand-kāe—المرافئة المنظمية المنظمة ا

The second day, the corpse was removed to the mrdū of Tuwāw-chin [ونواوهي], who is also called Tānāw-chin [مناوهي], and Tūrā-chin [مراهي], but all these names are more or less doubtful, of the tribe of Bāyāūt — She bore him a son, Serki also written Sherki, previously alluded to

On the third day it was conveyed to the urdii of Cghūl-Kūlmish Khātūn, the Cir āt, who had accompanied him on this expedition. She was of the family of his mother, Sīūi-Kūklūī Bigī, and was a woman of strong mind and force of character. At first she had been betrothed to Mangū's father She used to style Kubilāc and Hulākū, her husband's brother, "furzands," or sons, and they pail her great respect. She bore no son, but had two daughters

On the fourth day the corpse was taken to the urdii of Kasa [45] Khātūn She was of the tribe of İljikiah or İljikia, an offshoot of the Kungkür-āts, and bore h m a son named Asūtāe, previously mentioned.

The first and third Khātūns were free born—the two others were handmaids, but there were many others of lesser note—On each day, in each widh, the coffin was placed upon a throne, and they made lamentation over the corpse. After the mourning ceremonies, the body of the Kā'ān was buried at the place called Būlkān or Būrkān—'1' and 'r' being interchangeable—Kāldūn, which is styled the Yakah Kurūk, that is to say, "the exclusively prohibited [spot]," at the side of the Chargia Khān, and Tūlūi or Tūlī Khān, his grandfather and father

de Our author has forgotten to notice, or would not notice, a remarkable

May Almighty God prolong the reign of the present

matter concerning the Dihlf kingdom, which happened in the reign of his

patron, and during the reign of Mangu Ka'an.

Early in 646 H., Mahk Jaläl-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shāh, the elder brother, it must be remembered, of Sultān Nāṣu-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh, who had hitherto been feudatory of Kinnauj, was made feudatory of Sanbhal and Badā'un, thu last being one of the most important fiefs of the Dihli empire, but, without proceeding thither, he became frightened at something which our author conceals, and fied, by way of Sihnur, towards Lohor [see pages 684 and 818]. His flight may have been caused through fear or suspicion of Ulugh Khān, in whose hands the whole power now centred, and who very shortly after married his daughter to the Sultān What Jalāl-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shāh, subsequently did, or whither he went, is also made a profound mystery of. Lohor, too, is mentioned at this period in connexion with him, after its never being once mentioned since its capture and sack by the Mughals, in 639 H, when it was lost to Dihli.

A few months after this Prince's flight, in the eighth month of the same year, we find the Sultan moving with his forces as far as the river Biah—which then flowed in its old bed—and his marching back again, but why he marched, and what his army did, is not mentioned anywhere by our author, but it was,

without doubt, connected, in some way, with his brother's flight

Again, in 650 H, the Sulfan set out, intending to march to Uchehah and Multan [pages 692 and 825], but only reached the banks of the Biah when the Rayhani plot broke out [pages 693 and 826], and Ulugh Khan was banished to his fiefs. This was m 651 H Nothing more is mentioned about Malik Jalal-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, until 652 H, when we find him, in combination, with Ulugh Khan, and other Maliks, advancing towards the capital, in order, it would seem, merely to upset the Rayhani faction; and then our author says [page 830], that the Sulfan's brother "came from the side of Lohor," but where he had been all this time, from 646 to 652 H, is not allowed to transpire In another place [page 700], however, it seems that more than the upsetting of the Raybani faction was intended, for we are told that "a party of Amirs now interposed between the two personages"-the Sultan and his brother-and after, that "Lohor became the fief-not that the Sultan conferred it-of Malik Jalal-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah" In another place [page 793], we are told that Malik Sher Khan-i-Sunkar, who had left Hind and gone into Turkistan, to the urdu of Mangu Ka'an [see note , page 1198], returned with honour from thence, and went to Lohor, and joined the Prince [Jalal-ud-Din] there, but that disagreements arose between them, and the Prince "retired in disappointment, and his dependents and followers fell into the hands of Malik Sher Khan's train " From this time Jalal-ud-Din, Mas'ud Shah, disappears from the scene, and is heard of no more

Fortunately a few others throw some light on what our author keeps so dark. Among them the Fanākatī savs, that several of the great Mamliks of the late Sulfān, I-yal-timish, rebelled against his son, Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, and set up another in his place, as though he had been actual y reigning He says, in fact, that Raziyyat was set up by Ulugh Khān, but the date he afterwards gives, which is quite correct, shows that he has confused the names a little, and refers to Nāṣu-ud-Dīa, Maḥmūd Shāh, whom he afterwards mentions as having been set up by Ulugh Khān. Then he continues.—" Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīa fled from Hind, and, in 651 H, presented hunself in the sudii of

an of Islam to the end of the existence of mankind, preserve the Khān-i-A'sam, Ulugh Khān, in power authority, to the end of the world 1 Amin.

.—HULĀKŪ, SON OF TŪLĪ, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHĀN. Iulākū' is the brother of Mangū Khān, and Tūlī [his

it KE'an, and Kutlugh Khān [thus may be Sultān Nāşur-ud-Din, Maḥmūd 's step-father who afterwards caused such trouble, as he was in Hind ap to the close of 655 H.], and Sunḥar [Sher Khān-i-Sunḥar. He did leave his nd retire into Turkistān at this very time, in 649—650 H. See pages 695 '93], out of fear of Ulugh Khān, followed Malik Jalāl-ud-Din. Mangū a commanded that a befitting grant should be assigned to the latter, and a på was issued to the Nū-yin, Sālī, then in those parts [in the tracts on the, and as far east as the Biāh—the present Panj-āb], to aid him withhis troops. s Jalāl-ud-Din returned therefore, and he was permitted to take possession e districts of Luhāwūr [Lahor], Kūchah [also written Kūjah——and /s mentioned in connexion with Baniān. See pages 627 and 750], and arah, which parts were then subject to the Mughals, and thus he contented if with a little out of much "

bruquis, currously enough, confirms the above. He says that about the of June, 1254 A.D.—about the fourth month of 653 H.—when the Kā'ān a great assembly at Karā-Kuram, at which a number of ambassadors ded, he noticed the ambassador from the Sulgan of India. This could be her than Malik Jalāl-ud-Dīn, Mas'ūd Shāh, and his party, or Sher Khān-ikar, for it is quite certain that no ambassador was ever sent from i by Nāṣir-ud-Dīn, Maḥmūd Shāh. They brought with them, as a preeight leopards, and ten hounds for coursing, which were taught to sit on orses' buttocks. The same traveller also says he returned for six weeks ame road westward, along with this very ambassador, and then he struck the left—the east. It is a pity he has not mentioned the ambassador's

at this account is correct is without doubt, from what our author allows to se him. Well might he say that Malik Jalal-ud-Din, Mas' ūd <u>Shāh</u>, ranced from the side of Lohor," that "some of the Amīrs interposed een the two personages," and that "Lohor became his fief," The early yof the kingdom of Dihli has yet to be written. The history of a try is not to be rendered correctly from the accounts of a single author, agle extracts from two or three authors merely. See also pages 793, 862, 863.

I hope this is a sufficient proof to show that this work was written in Näşir-ud-Dîn's reign, and not in that of his successor, although, like i more, it is not contained in the Calcutta Printed Fext.

I need not tell the Oriental scholar, who can rend the letters of the Persian abet for himself, that the first letter of this name is simple المستخلف المست

father] was the youngest of the Chingiz Khān's sons. When the Chingiz Khān crossed the Jihūn into Khurāsān. he despatched Tūli towards Nishāpūr, Hirāt, and Marw; and Tūli took all those cities, and destroyed them. Trustworthy persons related, that Tūli was a good-looking youth; and, when he returned from Khurāsān to Turkistān, he died, leaving four sons, as has been previously recorded.

When Mangū Khān, son of Tūli, ascended the throne, he despatched Hulākū into the countries of I-rān and 'Ajam, and assigned those territories to him; and the armies which were in 'Irāk, and the troops which were in Turkistān, Khatlān, Tāe-kān,' and Kunduz, and the forces which were in the territories of Ghūr, Khurāsān, Hirāt, and the Garmsir, were all directed to obey the command of Hulākū. On Hulākū's entering Khurāsān he chose Bādghais' as his head-quarters, and the Maliks of the different parts of 'Ajam presented themselves before him.

The Mughal army of Jurmāghūn, which was in 'Irāk, was continually fighting and carrying on hostilities with the troops of the Lord of the Faithful, but, on no occasion, and in no wise, was it able to gain the superiority over the forces of the Khalīfah's capital; and the infidels used continually to be defeated, more particularly in their attempts to take the city of Ṣafahān [Iṣfahān]. It occupied the infidels fifteen years before they were able to gain possession of that city. If the Kāzī of Ṣafahān had not attained

of various nationalities, whose meanings and words too may have been misunderstood, I must remark [for some one to explain to them] that to produce "Khulagu" the word must be written so of to explain to them] that to produce "Khulagu" the word must be written for of the or so of the or so or with the written one has ever yet seen written—not even a Schiefiner in "Mongol" Quartremère spells it Houlagu, and Von Hammer, Hulaku How D'Ohsson may spell it I am unaware, as I have not seen his work, but, however it may be, the first two letters are ku, and not kh Sec also "Mongols Proper," page 193

He left eight sons, but Mangu, Kubiläe, Huläku, and Artuk Buka, were the best known among them

⁹ Ţāe-kān of Tukhān stān 15 undoubtedly meant here, which is in the same territory as Kunduz

¹ The Pro-Mughal writers say—as previously mentioned—that he was obliged to remain all the winter of 652 H in the district or territory of Shiwarghān, a tract of country then in a much more flourishing condition than now. Badghais too was a flourishing district, and within a short distance of Hirát and its fruitful and formerly populous, but recently devastated, territory, of which it was, and it, a dependency. Our author's statement here is the more likely of the two.

IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS INTO ISLAM. * 1227



martyrdom, the infidels would not have found it possible to take that place, for the army of Jurmāghūn, and the Nū-yin, Khainā, for a period of fifteen years, continued to carry on hostilities, and to wage war before the gate of that city and its environs. During this entire period of time, the people of Ṣafahān kept the city gates open, so that, night and day, the gates used not to be closed; and, through the great valour and spirit of the holy warriors of Ṣafahān, the Mughals did not have the power of entering the city, until a party of powerful renegades seduced an individual from the right path to assassinate the Kāzī, saying: "It is necessary to kill the Kāzī because the trouble and annoyance of defending the city is caused by him." After they had martyred the Kāzī the city was taken.

When, in the year 655 H., the Amir-i-'Alam [Lord of the Standard] of the rightful Khalifah, Al-Musta'sim 'B'illah, the Lord of the Faithful—God reward him!—whose name was Sulimān Shāh, the Aiyūbī Turk-mān—on whom be the mercy of the Almighty!—entered 'Irāk with the troops of the Khilāfat, he descated the Mughal forces which were in the territory of Azarbāijān, and in 'Irāk, and sent great numbers of Mughals to hell, so that the Mughal troops were unable to stand before Sulīmān Shāh, and the forces of the Khalīfah's dominions. They [the Mughals]

It is written in several different ways— وما المحاول ا

² Lord of the Standard, equivalent to the Confalonier under the Popes, in the middle ages. The Amir-1-Alam commanded the troops of the Khilafat

^{*} The text, in every instance, has ""-Al-Mu'taşım-and in several other works, including the Kauzat-uş-Şafa, the name is thus written, but the majority of others have "" as above, which is correct

^{*} After Hulakü had finished with the Ismā'ilis in the Kuhistān and Alamüt, he set out towards Lanbah. Sar, but, finding it was not likely to be soon taken, left a considerable force to invest it, under Lā-īr Būkā, and matched towards Kazwīn, whither his and other families had been sent, and encamped within seven leagues of it, on the 27th of 21 Hijpah, 654 II Subsequently, in Rabi'ul-Awwal, 655 II, he moved from the vicinity of Kazwīn, and marched to Hamadān, where the Nū-yīn, Tānjū, the Busūt, from Āzarbāijān, presented

despatched swift messengers to Hulākū, in Khurāsān, and sought aid from him. Hulākū got ready the forces of Khurāsān, both Mughal and others, and determined to march into 'Irāk, and set out towards it.

ACCOUNT OF THE FALL OF THE CAPITAL OF THE KHILĀFAT.

When Hulākū set out towards 'Irāk, the Malik of Mausil, whom they were wont to style Badr-ud-Dîn-i-Lū-lū '—on whom be the Almighty's curse!—had consented to receive a Mughal Shahnah [Intendant] The Atā-bak, Abū-Bikr,' son of Sa'd, ruler of Fārs, likewise had a Shahnah, and had agreed to pay tribute to the Mughals;

himself Hulaku was not well disposed towards that great leader, on account of some reflection he had made, and he had therefore summoned Tanju to his presence. He said to him . "Since thou hast been occupying the place of Turmaghun, what hast thou done? what ranks hast thou broken? what rebel hast thou reduced to submission? and what enemy hast thou made a friend ** Tănju bent the knee, and replied "During this period of time I have committed no fault, and what was in the power of my hand to do, in that I have not been sparing of myself. Among other things I have taken a certain fortress and a certain town, and cleared all the tracts between Kai and Rum and Sham, but, m consequence of the difficulty of the road to Bachdad, and the great number of the troops of the Khilafat, in the neighbourhood of that city the Mughal troops have been guarded from disaster, and now the sovereign has the option and power of commanding whatever he may please " The fire of Hulākū's ire cooled on his hearing these words, and he said to Tănjū "It behoveth thee to proceed towards the frontiers of Sham and Rüm, and that thou shouldst subdue them, as far even as the sea of Maghrib [some say, to the sea of Yūnān]." Tānjū accepted this task, and, the very same day, set out towards Rum, into which he carried slaughter and devasta-Farther on it is stated that he was recalled, and, while on the march, directed to move against Baghdad Larly in Rabi'-ul-Awwal, 655 H --April, 1257 A D -Huliku prepared to invade 'Irak-i-'Arab, and attack Bagh-He first turned towards Tabriz, and reached it on the 12th of Rajab. Having remained there one month and a half, during which the Mughals carried fire and sword into Kurdistan, he again turned towards Hamadan, which-the neighbourhood of which-he reached on the 10th of Ramaşan. His camp was formed in the open country about Hamadan, near to Khanahabad, "which is a verdant plain of Kurdistan," and there he began to get ready his forces for the coming struggle. It was from this place that he despatched his envoys with an insolent message to the Khalifah, which will be referred to farther on

^c Amīr Badr-ud-Din, Abū-l-Faṣāil-i-Lūlū, who was originally an Armani [Armeman] slave,

⁷ See page 180

and from both of these rulers bodies of cavalry arrived to the assistance of the infidel army. The infidel forces gathered around Hulākū-in 'Irāk, and turned their faces towards Baghdād.

The Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'sim B'illah, had a Wazir, a rāfisī [a shi'ah heretic] of bad religion, and his name was Ahmad, the 'Alkami.' Between him, the Wazir, and the eldest son of the Lord of the Faithful, who

* 'Alkamah is the name of a city in Afrikah, or Mauritania, and the name of a man. It is also the coloquintida, and is used to signify anything very bitter. 'Alkami here refers to a native of 'Alkamah.

Mu'ayyıd-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad, son of 'Abd-ul-Malik, the 'Alkamf, at the time of Al-Musta'um's accession to the Khilafat, held the office of Ustăd-i-Dăr, and was suspected, by many, but not by the Khalifah himself, of being much against his accession, and of wishing to have set up the Khalifah's brother instead. In 642 H., the Wazfr, Nāṣir-ud-Dīu, Muḥammad, a very aged man, was removed from office, and Ibn 'Alkami was installed as his successor, a most unfortunate act, and the chief cause of the downfall of the Khilafat.

The new Wazir was an eloquent man, of vast attamments, and who, in the composition of poetry and prose, had no equal. He was generous and liberal. and clever in the administration of state affairs. In this office he had no partner or associate, and the government was under his entire control: but he was a Shi'ah m faith, and thus an enemy to the house of 'Abbas He did not consider that the other officials of the Court paid him that respect and attention which he thought he was entitled to; and, by invendo, they were wont to reproach and rail at him as a heretic. The Khalifah was cognizant of all this, and used to prohibit them from behaving in such a way towards the Wazir; nevertheless, he nourshed in his heart hatred towards the Khalifah, his family, and the rest of his Court, but he took good care to conceal it so that not a soul suspected what was contained within his heart. Matters went on in this manner until the eldest son [by some writers, the brother] of the Khalifah, the Amir. Abu-Bikr, who, through his father's weakness of character, had been permitted to assume to himself the protectorship of the orthodox Sunnis, with more real than was perhaps advisable, despatched a body of troops to the suburb of Karkh of Baghdad, which was known as the mahallah of the Shī'ah's of the Ahl-1-Bait, to quell a serious disturbance which had broken out there between the Shi'ahs and Sunnis, the Shi'ahs having killed a number of the Bani Hāshim dwelling in the same suburb In doing this, the Amir, Abu-Bikr, was severe, and allowed his men to treat the Shi'ah women as though they were the women of infidels captured in war, carrying them seated before them on their horses, through the bazars of Baghdad, bare-headed and bare-footed. When the Wazir became aware of this, the bridle of his heart's secret nearly escaped his grasp, and, in his rage, he vowed within himself, that, even if he perished in the attempt, he would wreak revenge upon all Sunnis for this act of the Khalifah's son.

Day and night he pondered the matter in his mind, and communed within himself, and dehberated how best he could bring about the destruction of his benefactor, his family, and the Sunn's people. At this time he discovered that

was named Amir Abū-Bikr, enmity had arisen on account

Hulikū Khin, after having completed the downfall of the Mulāḥidahs, had been commissioned to invade 'Irāk-1-'Arab, and reduce the Khalifah. Considering this a great piece of good luck, the Wazîr resolved to profit by it. He forthwith set to work to render the design of the Mughals successful, and enable them to gain possession of Baghdād without trouble and without delay, by opening communication with Hulākū, and giving him all the information he could.

He accordingly represented to the Khalisah saying. "Thank God, the Lord of the Faithful this day is at peace with all the different rulers. All of them are loyal and subservient to him; and, at all times, they pray for his prosperity and security, and in no way desire to encounter the forces of the Khalisat. In truth, the Khalisah is without rival and without adversary. Now it seems contrary to forethought and prudence, under these circumstances, that such an expenditure should go on every year from the treasury for the payment of so many troops; and, if the Lord of the Faithful will permit, I will despatch the valious officers of the troops to different localities in the Khalisah's dominions on civil duties, and the troops may be disbanded, whereby a great advantage will accrue to the sinances, and a vast deal of treasure be saved." This sounds like modern stump-oratory.

At this time, 124,000 efficient cavalry were kept up by the Khalifah, and paid out of his treasury, without counting the contingents of the vassals of the Khilafat, and the unfortunate Khalifah, in his love of wealth, considering all this was for his advantage, permitted the traitor to carry out his scheme. After a short time this was completed, and the capital almost denuded of troops. The traitor now communicated again with Hulakü, and despatched an agent secretly, expressing his sincerity and loyalty, and urging upon him that he should, on no account, give up the attempt to subdue 'Irak-1-'Arab, and capture Baghdad, which could easily be accomplished, and that his services were at his entire disposal. Notwithstanding the proofs and arguments he gave in his communication, Hulaku, for some time, did not place much faith in the traitor's words, but, when Ibn 'Alkami continued to send communications, and to importune him on the subject. Hulaku consulted with another traitor-the Khwajah, Naşîr-ud-Din, the Tüsî, who had, by this time, graned complete ascendancy over him, and a high position in Hulaku's confidence and service. He, being one of the great 'Ulama of the Shi'ah sect, and having his own private revenge to satisfy by the downfall of the 'Abbasis, entered into the conspiracy with zeal, especially after Ibn 'Alkami had communicated direct with himself also. The Khwajah pretended that it was necessary to consult the aspect of the stars before determining on the campaign, and this he was directed by Hulākū to do. His report may be imagined he stated that he had carefully carried out his observations, that the result was favourable, and that it was predicted that the time was at hand for the Khalifah, Musta'sim, to be made captive, and that Baghdad and 'Irak-1-'Arab would be subdued by his servants, without much trouble or difficulty

Hulāku's first move was to despatch his envoys to the Court of the Khalifah with an insolent and arrogant message, or the 10th of Kainaṣān, 655 H, upbraiding him for not having rendered aid, which he accused the Khalifah of having promised, in the operations against the Mulāhulahs, and of falsehood in consequence. His insolence was, no doubt, the graticity knowing that the Khalifah's own Wazīr was his friend and ally. The threats of the barbarian

:

of the despoiling of the raffixs who inhabited Karkh, and

and his demands were, among other matters, that the Khalifah should beware of manifesting impotent race, and should neither strike his fist against an iron spike, nor attempt to plaster over the sun with mild mortar, otherwise he would deeply regret it, and sovereignty would turn away its face from him. "Our advice is," he said. "that thou shouldst demolish the defences of Baghdad, fill up the ditch, make over the direction of state affairs to thy son, and present thyself before us, in order that thou mayest dwell in safety from the wrath of God. If thou art not coming thyself, send thy Wazir, the Sar Dawat-Dar, and Suliman Shah-the two latter, especially the last, were the mainstay of the state, and chief obstacle in the traitor's way-in order that our messages, without detriment or addition, may reach thee; for, if thou dost not give thine ear to our friendly exhortations and advice, get thy forces ready, and prepare for war, for we have girded up our loins to fight with thee, and are ready. Further understand, that, when we shall reach Baghdad, whether thou art in the heavens above or in the earth beneath, they will bring to thee our preremptory command, which is like unto fate's."

The Khalifah's reply, transmitted through his own envoys, a mixture of admonition, boasting, and defiance, concluded: "Listen, young man, therefore, to the admonition we have given thee, and retain it in thy mind; and go back again whence thou camest, otherwise prepare for war and come." The Mughal envoys, on their return, were met outside the city by a great mob, who insulted and revited them, and even spat upon them, in hopes that the Mughal envoys might do or say something which they might turn into a pretext for laying violent hands upon them. Here again was an opportunity for the Wasir. hearing of the disturbance, he, at once, despatched a body of his household slaves to guard the envoys, and conduct them safely out of the danger, and they, on their return to Hulākū's camp, related all the good offices of the Wazir on the occasion

The Khalifah's envoys, on the other hand, were anguly dismissed, with fresh threats, from the halting-place of Pany-Angusht, and their report of what they had seen and heard made the Khalifah feel anxious and downhearted. He consulted with his Wazir, whose traitorous conduct was, of course, wholly unknown to him. He advised that the Khalifah should make use of the great wealth he possessed, and endeavour, by means of it, to ward off this calamity, and that liberal presents should be sent. For the Khān, a thousand bales of the finest fabrics, such as silk, fine linen, cloth, and other valuable wares and commodities, a thousand bakhāt [larry, double-humped] camels, and a thousand fine 'Arab horses capanisoned befittingly, and, for the Mughal Shāh zādahs and great Amīrs, presents suitable to their rank and degrees.

The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh puts a piece upon this, in order to flatter his Muchal patron no doubt, and says that the Wazir---who, according to his account, was an innocent lamb---advised that the Khalifah, his master, "should make apologies" to the barbarian, Hulākū, "insert his name in the Khulibah, and stamp the coin with his name;" that the Khalifah was willing to do this, but that Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, and others, with whom the author of that work associates all the knaves and vagabonds of Baghdād, "out of animosity to the Wazīr, prevented it." That city, however, is not the only place where traitors have taxed patriots, who would not saurifice "their countries' interests," with owing their influence to the support of knaves and villains, which terms were, at the same time, alone applicable to themselves.

the Mash-had of Mūsā-i-Ja'far—God reward him!—and the son of the Lord of the Faithful, the Amir, Abū-Bikr, had slain some of them, and despoiled them. Out of revenge for this, the Wazīr of the Khalifah's Court, who was a rāfiz of bad religion, showed hostility towards the Lord of the Faithful; and, in secret, and clandestinely, he wrote a letter to Hulākū, and entered into collusion with him, and besought the infidels to advance. The Kurd troops, and forces of 'Irāk,' by way of dismissing them, he [the Wazīr sent away from Baghdād, in different directions, and represented on this wise to the Lord of the Faithful, saying—"A peace has been entered into with the infidels, and we have no need of troops" After Baghdād became denuded of troops, suddenly, the infidel Mughals arrived in its environs.

They had taken forcible possession of a bridge [of boats from the Malik of Mausil, and fixed it so as to command Baghdad, and then passed the Dijlah [Tigris]. The for tress [fortified town] of Takrit [above Baghdad] was ar

At first, the Khalifah was inclined to follow the Wazir's advice, and gave him instructions to prepare the presents, but, had they been sent, no good resul would have arisen. The Sar Dawat-Dar [Head or Chief Ink-bearer, or Chie Secretary, as he may be called, and, by some writers, styled the Dāwat-Dār-i Küchak, or Under-Ink-bearer, or Secretaryl, Mujähul-ud-Din, I-bak, was hostile to the Wazīr, Ibn 'Alkamî-he knew the Wazīr was a traitor-and represented to the Khalifah, saying . "Between the Wazir and the Khwajah Naşîr-ud-Din, Tüsî, the chief adviser of Hulaku, the most perfect understanding exists, and he, in consequence of identity of religious belief, always desired the run of the house of 'Abbas Now the Wazir wishes, for his own purposes to make it appear to Hulaku that he is, personally, loyally inclined towards him, and so he gives this advice, and also in order to cast the other Amirs. and the soldiers of the Khilafat, into trouble and calamity " A number o other officials, who were not well inclined towards Ibn 'Alkami, also supported the Sar Danat Dar in this view, and they influenced the Khalifah agains adopting the Wazīr's advice The Sar Dāwat-Dār further advised that the dishanded troops should be forthwith recalled and concentrated, and the defences of the city made secure. It was now too late, however; and the weak and unfortunate Khalifah was still unconvinced of the diabolic wickedness of the Wazir

⁶ Mash-had—a tomb, a place of martyrdom. The city in Khurasan, which appears in the maps under the meaningless name of Meshal, is the Mash-had of another of the Muhammadan saints.

¹ The Calcutta Printed Text invariably turns the Kurds into of and here instead of the Kurd troops and forces of 'Irak, we have "highkar-hae gire bar [which is redundant] gird-s-'Irak—the forces which were round abou 'Irak,"

excessively strong place; and the holy-warriors of Takrit issued forth, and set fire to the bridge of boats, but, the following day, the Mughals again repaired the bridge, and martyred the Musalmāns.²

The son of the Lord of the Faithful, Amir Abū-Bikr, and the Amir-i-'Alam [Lord of the Standard] of the Khilāfat, Sulimān Shāh, the Aiyūbī Turk-mān—who for a period of thirty years had wielded the sword against the Mughal infidels, and had achieved many holy expeditions [against them], as by the canons of the faith enjoined—these two [personages], in concert, on several occasions, had attacked the infidels, and overthrew the Mughal troops. On the first occasion, they drove the Mughals from the environs of Baghdād, and pursued them as far as Şafahān [Işfahān], and despatched many of the infidel army to hell. This Amīr-i-'Alam of the Khilāfat, Sulimān Shāh,

Part of the garrison of Takrit: the fighting men of the city and fortress. This affair is again referred to farther on.

This is quite true, notwithstanding the note by the learned Sub-Editors of the Calcutta Printed Text, noticed at page 711. On this subject the Tārīkhi-Alfī, Rauşat-uş-Şafā, and others, say that it is quite correct, for, in the beginning of the reign of Üktāe Kāʾān, Jurmāghūn, who was one of the Mughal Shaiṭāns [Devils], twice attempted to push on to Baghdād, and, on both occasions, was defeated, and his Mughals fled before the 'Arab, Kurd, and Turk, troops of the Khilāfat. On this account the Mughal soldiery did not evince much alacrity or valour in fighting against the Baghdādīs, and were really afraid of them—in truth, it appears that, on all occasions, when energetically opposed by the Musalmāns, and sedition did not help them, and where their stratagems and treachery were not successful, the Mughals were beaten by anything like equal numbers; but the Musalmāns, unfortunately for them, were a divided people, and, when the people of one religion, or of one country, are divided in their counsels, nothing but evil and ruin can ensue.

The fact that the Mughals did not, at the period in question, very eagerly desire to encounter the khalifah's forces, may be gathered from the reply of the Nū-yin, Tānjū, to Hulakū, when he demanded of him what he had effected since he had succeeded Jurmāghūn in his command, previously narrated. At that time, the Khalifah used to keep up a large force of efficient cavalry, at, and around his capital, and these the traitor Wazir managed to disband and disporse to their homes

It was on account of the success hitherto of the Raghdad troops that Hulaka found it was necessary, for Mughal prestage, or even for their safety, to attempt the conquest of 'Irak-i-'Arab, but it is probable he would not have attempted it so soon, had not the traitor entered into secret communication with him, and made known his plans, for, previous to these communications, Hulaka is said to have been in some anxiety respecting the upshot of a campaign in that quarter.

the Aiyūbī, was a Malik of the tribes of the Anboh, and they are a sept of the Turk-māns, and exceedingly spirited and warlike; and the left wing of the Khalifah's forces was their post. During a period of thirty years, from the time of Jurmāghūn's [first] entering 'Irāķ,' up to this period, he [Sulīmān Shāh] was wont to engage in conflict his as Rustam-i-Dastān' had done in the age of ignorance—the Almighty be gracious to him!—and 'Ali-i-Murtazā in the [carly?] days of the true faith—May God reward him!

Hulākū, having been overthrown the first time, on the second occasion gathered together troops from all Khurāsān and 'Irāk, both horse and foot, consisting of infidels, renegade Amīrs, and captives; and, at the solicitation of the rāfērī Wazīr—God's curse upon him!—turned his face towards Baghdād. That accursed rāfīrī minister, since he entertained in his heart and disposition treason and apostacy, had dispensed with the Kurd forces which were in the Madinat-ul-Islām, Baghdād, and the Christians likewise, in secret, having taken measures with Hulākū, had written letters to him, and had solicited the

⁴ The best copies of the text have so as above, others so. There is a kasbah of the first name, on the top of a mountain range, a dependence of Dilam, in Gi'an. It is probable that the Anboh tribe of Turk-mans were in some way connected with the 'U-smalli Turks, and then, as now, a stumbling-block in the path of hordes of northern barbarians.

In the year 628 It Sec page 1115, and note 5, para. 5.

⁶ See note 7, page 422

⁷ This is a mistake Hulākû had not been overthrown, because he had never encountered the Baghdâd forces, but the other Mughai leaders had, Jurmāghûn in particular, as already noticed He may mean some portion of Hulākū's force.

Infidels refer to the Mughals, but there were contingents in Hulākū's army which the Muhammadan subject states had to furnish—contingents from Kirmān, from Abū-līski, the Atā Bak of Fārs, from Mauşil—Badr-ud-Dīn, Lūlū, its ruler, is said by some to have joined his camp at this time—and the troops of other subordinate rulers. Captives refer to those prisoners pressed into the Mughal service.

Our author uses the word Tarsā, which is generally applied to the Christians, but it likewise significs an umbeliever, an infidel, a Calir, a we shipper of fire, a pagan. None of the authors I have been quoting accuse the Christians of any hand in the treason, and the only time in which they are indirectly mentioned by a few of them is, when the Patriarch of the Nestorians, as one of the Khalifah's enveys, proceeded to the Mughal camp to seek for peace.

ŧ

appearance of the infidel hosts. The Maliks and slaves of the Khalifah, who have been [styled] Sultans, had become aware of the Wazir's machinations, and once had shown to the Khalifah a letter which the Wazir had written to Hulākū, and they denounced the nature of his designs. The reason was this that between the Wazir and the Sar Dawat-dar [Chief Secretary], Sultan Mujahidud-Din, I-bak, there was dissension and enmity, and he [the Sar Dawat-dar] was cognizant of the hostility of the Wazir towards the Khalifah's son, Amir Abū-Bikr, on account of his slaving the raficis [previously mentioned]; and this fact he was wont to bring to the blessed hearing of the Khalifah. When the Wazir became aware of the purpose of the Sar Dawat-dar, he represented to the Khalısah in this way, saying "The Sar Dawät-dar desires to remove thee from the Khilafat and to raise Amir Abū-Bikr to that position," and, as the Lord of the

¹ The word Sultan here does not mean a sovereign prince it is a mere title given by the Khalifahs to great vassals, and to governors of provinces, and some of the household slaves, under the last Khalifahs After Burak, the Hapb, had murdered his master and benefactor, sent his head to the Mughals, and possessed himself of Kirman, as usual with upstarts, he wanted a title, so tendered his allegiance to the Khalifah as well as the Mughal ruler. and soluted the title of Sultan from the former. The reply he received was, that it was not usual, with the Khalifahs, to grant that title, except to a Badshah, or a vassal who entertained not less than 30,000 efficient cavalry in his own immediate pay Subsequently, however, Burak obtained it. BARON DE Tors, in his work, which contains much useful information on the Turks, Tattars, and Mughals, says, with respect to its application in recent times, that the word Sulfan is only used as a title of birth appropriated to the Ottoman Princes born on the throne, and to those of the Chingiz Khān's family, in the same way as Mirzk is applied to the house of Timur Sec note to page 808, where Iridam-chî or Îradam-chî, the equivalent of Mîrză, is referred to. and the reason for this title is explained

² This was a mere ruse on the part of the traitor Wazīr

I wrote the whole of these notes before going through this portion of the Jami'-ut-Tawārikh, because I suspected, from what I knew of other portions of "the great Raschid's" History, and from its being deducated to Hulākū's great grandson, that the events respecting Baghdād, and the fall of the Khilāfat, would not be fullfieldy telated; and I am not mistaken in my suspicions. There is not a word—not a limit even—about the notonous treason of the Wazīr, and he is made to appear a very lamb-like and innocent person, while a loyal servant of the Khalitah, like the Sar Dawat-Dār, is made out to be a traitor, who, surrounded by a considerable force, consisting of all the knaves, and sollams, and scum of Baghdād, whom he is said to have taken into his pay, intended to dethrone the Khalifah, and set up another member of the

Faithful had become aware of the endeavours on both sides, he used not to pay any attention to the words of either party in their efforts against each other.

When therefore the Maliks laid before the Khalifah the letters which the Wazir had written to Hulākū, he replied: "These must be the doings of I-bak, the Dawāt-dār: besides, the Wazir would not act in this way." The Maliks were disheartened at this reply, until, when Hulākū had arrived within ten kuroh [about twenty miles] of Baghdād, Sulīmān Shāh, the Amīr-i-'Alam, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Dīn, son of Fath-ud-Dīn, the Kurd, who was the champion of the Dār-ul-Khilāfat, and who led the right

house of 'Abbās, which plot the innocent Wazīr having discovered made known to the Khalffah! The writer then, unintentionally perhaps, lets the cat out of the bag. He says the Sar Dawāt-Dār was sent for, taxed with the crime, and admonished, but he replied: "If any crime shall be proved against thy slave, here is his head, and here is a sword, but it is the Wazīr who is a traitor, who has been in constant communication with Hulākū, whose spic continually passing to and fro, and, in order to lead us away from his own treason and screen himself, has falsely accused me." This statement, in the eyes of Raghīd-ud-Dīn, is a proof of the Sar Dawāt-Dīr's wickedness.

Raghīd-ud-Dīn then goes on to assert that the Sar Dawāt-Dār still continued to entertain his army of knaves and cillams, and the Khalifah, being afraid of him, gave orders to assemble troops to put him down! Then he tells us that the affair was peaceably settled, and that "the Dawāt-Dār's name was inverted in the Khulibah next after that of the Khalifah, which statement I should not credit if all the "great Raschids" under the sun had said so. He is careful not to mention the Wazīr's letters to Hulākū these proceedings are kept close, as well as the constant communication by other means, and the dispersion, by that traitor, of the Khalifah's forces. Our author shows what the facts were, as to the so-called plot to dethrone the Khalifah, as known in his day, and he is a contemporary writer.

The meaning of the Perso-Arabic word Dawat Dar has been already explained its literal signification is bearer of the ink-case, which contains ink, pens, and seals, but what a "little Devatrar" may be among "Mongols Proper," who can tell?

The garbled accounts of these events show, that, however learned and talented he was, Rashid ud-Din's statements, where his Mughal patrons and his own interests are concerned, are not to be trusted, and dishonesty in an author, when apparent, ought to be pointed out. He was a Wazir too, and had plotted agrunst a rival who was put to death, and was himself put to a most cruel death, by Abū 'sa'id, the great great grandson of the very Mughal Prince whose perfidy and baibanty he glosses over, and whose succes was chiefly, if not wholly, owing to the aid he received from the arch traitor Ibn 'Alkami, the Wazir of the unfortunate Khalifah. Rashid-ud-Din was accused of having administered poison to Üljältü Suljän, and it is very probable that he was a Sh'ah as well as the traitor Ibn 'Alkami, and Nasir-ud-Din, the Tūsi, and hence his contealment of facts.

wing of the Khalifah's troops, held counsel upon the state of affairs with Sultan Mujahid-ud-Din, I-bak, the Sar Dawat-dar, the Mustansiri, saying: "Matters have gone too far, a potent enemy is close at hand, and an adverse Wazir has plotted with the foe. It is necessary that it be communicated to the Lord of the Faithful in order that he may devise some expedient to repel the infidels." Mujāhid-ud-Din, I-bak, replied: "I have said everything that was possible on this subject, but it has made no impression upon the blessed ear of the Khalifah. I can do no more than to request permission for a private audience for you. Do you make a representation to the effect you have mentioned]." Malik Suliman Shah, the Aiyubi Turk-man, and Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, son of Fath-ud-Din, the Kurd, represented to the Khalifah the arrival of the enemy, and solicited that means might be devised for his repulsion. The Khalifah replied: "The Wazir hath been spoken to: it behoveth ye to request a reply from him." Both withdrew from the audience-hall of the Khilafat despairing.

The Nū-in, Tājū [Tānjū], with 80,000 infidel cavalry, from the side of Ārān and Āzarbājjān, obtained a bridge [of boats] belonging to the Malik of Mausil [Badr-ud-Dîn-i-Lūlū], and, in order to command Baghdād, fixed it near to Takrit. The holy-warriors of Takrit sallied out of the town and fortress, and entirely consumed the bridge constructed by the Mughals, and despatched great numbers of the infidels to hell, while a few Musalmāns attained martyrdom. The following day, the Mughals repaired the bridge, as has been previously recorded, passed over.

³ That is to say he had held the office during the Khillian of Al-Musianar B'illah

⁴ Written Tājū m one of the oldest and best copies of the text, and in others, as previously noticed, Bājū, Bājūn, Nājū, Bākhū, and Mājūn, but as to the correctness of Tānjū there is no doubt whatever. In his account of the Saljūks of Rūm our author, or his copyrist rather, also style him Tājū. See page 162.

How could it be repaired, if totally or entirely burnt?

Here the Printed Text, as well as the I O L MS, No 1952, and the Ro. As Soc. MS have **Soc. ** one another **-instead of same in they passed over or crossed," and make, as may be imagined, an unintelligible jumble of the sentence. The Printed Text also has Dijlah for Hillah—a river for a town! The town lies on the west bank of the Dijlah, facing the supposed site of ancient Babylon.

and pushed on towards Kūfah, Ḥillah, and Karkh, and martyred the people. Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, son of Fath-ud-Din, the Kurd, and Mujāhid-ud-Din, the Sar-Dawāti, with 20,000 horse from Baghdād, crossed the Dijlah [Tigris], and summoned all the men of Karkh and other towns to aid them, and fought a battle with the infidel army. As the forces of Islām contained a great number of infantry,' they stood firm, and received the attack of the infidels, fought valiantly, and forced them back. The army of the infidel Mughals sustained an overthrow, and great numbers of them went to hell." Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, son of Fath-

I The Hamilton MS of the Text abruptly ends here, and contains no more than when and where the MS was copied. It is minus just twenty-six pages. I notice it again in my Preface

The Pro-Mughal writers materially differ with respect to some of these events, but, with others, then statements tend to illustrate what our author says, and make his accounts stand out more clearly, and therefore I must give a few extracts

Having dismissed the Khulifah's envoys, who brought the reply to his insolent message to the Khalifah, from his camp at Panj-Angusht, Hulaku's next move was to get possession of the fortresses in the difficult and mountamous tract between Hamadan and the Siwad of Baghdad He accordingly began to enter into communication with another trutor, on a smaller scale than the Wazīr, whose name was Husām-ud-Dīn, 'Akah, the Hākim of the fortress of Dar-i-Tang, which, from its name-the difficult or narrow passage -refers to some fort guarding a pass leading into the plain of Baghdad He had some cause for discontent against the Khalifah's government, and at once complied with Hulaku's summons to attend him Husam-ud-Din was received with distinction, and many favours were conferred upon him, including the strongholds of Wurudah-Dujz and Marah-Dujz, and several other forts, which did not belong to the Mughals to give. He was allowed to return to Dar-i-Tang, where he had left his son, the Amīr-1-5a'd, in command; and, at once. proceeded to send bodies of his retainers to obtain possession of the forts in question, and put garrisons of his own in them. The forts appear to have belonged to the Amir-1-'Alam, Sulimin Shah, because Husam-ud-Din is said "to have gathered about him the soldiers of Suliman Shah, and in that way hopes, long nourished, were fulfilled " Husam-ud-Din, having now effected his purpose, asked the Hakim of Arbit, Ibn Şalayah, the 'Alawi, to make his peace with Baghdad and the Khalifah's dinan. He stated that he had been proof against all Hulaku's offers, and that, if the Khalifah "would make his heart strong by encouragement, and would detach a body of cavalry to support him, he would raise a force of 100 000 infantry among the Kurds and Turk. mans around, occupy all the routes in front of Hulaku, and prevent a single Mughal from approaching Baghdad" The Warir is said to have acquainted the Khallfah with this offer, but no further notice was taken of it. It would not have suited Ibn 'Alkami's plans, and, therefore, he doubtless was the cause of the offer not being accepted. He, without doubt, communicated it to Hulāku, for the latter, soon after, despatched the Nu-yin, Kaibuki, with

ud-Din, the Kurd, earnestly urged the pursuit of the Mughals, saying: "It is requisite to pursue the routed

30,000 men, against Husam-ud-Din, and had recourse to the usual perfidious measures in order to get him into his power. Kaibūkū sent a message to Husam-ud-Din, saving that he was on his way towards Baghdad, that expedition having been determined upon, and that Husam-ud-Din's presence was required in order to consult with him. Unaware of the snare laid for him, Husam-ud-Din fell into it After Kaibūkā had got hun into his camp, he told him, "in order to show his sincerity," to muster all his family, dependents, and retainers, from the forts and elsewhere, so that they might be enumerated, and the amount of revenue, to be paid for them, fixed. Still did Husam-ud-Din comply, unaware that Hulaku knew all. His family, dependents, and soldiers, with the excention of such as were in some of the forts with his son, being secured, Husam-ud-Din, now that it was too late, found that his secret was known; and he gave up all hope of hie. He was further called upon to give orders for the fortresses to be destroyed, as "an undoubted proof of his loyalty," and, being hopeless, he complied, after which, he and the whole of his people were massacred, with the exception of those with his son Kaibūkā returned triumphant to his master's camp. The Amfr-1-Sa'd, Husam-ud-Din's son, refused to give up the forts in his possession, and held them for some time. At last, he evacuated them, and retired to Baghdad. He was received with much favour, and subsequently was killed in defending the city against the Mughals.

This feat accomplished, Hulākū, after he had been again in communication with the traitor Wazir, and with the Khwajah, Naşîr-ud-Din, the Tusi, at his elbow-I have not space for all the prophecies of the Court Astrologer, Husam-ud-Din, of calamities to happen, in case of attacking Badghad, but the Tusi was in favour of advancing, and the Mughal augurs and astrologers declared all portents favourable for it—gave orders to make preparations for the campaign, and the Bahadar, Sunjak, was directed to cross the Dulah, to the northward of Baghdad, in order to effect a junction with the Nü-yin Tanju, who, as already mentioned, with the Amirs and troops previously under Jurmäghün's command, was marching, on the right hand, through Azarbāfiān. for the purpose of invading the territories of Sham, Halab, and Rum, but whose march had been stopped, and he had been directed to turn to his left, move by way of Arbil and Mausil, where there was a bridge, and effect a junction with Sanjak The Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says Tanju's yurat, at that time, was in Rum, and that he had lately defeated the Saliuk Sultan at Kushah-Dagh, but this is somewhat different from the statements of others already briefly mentioned.

The Shāh-zādahs, Bulghān or Bulghā, Tūtār, and Kūlī, all grandsons of Jūjī Khān, and Būkā Tīmūr's forces, were also directed to advance on the right, from the district of 'Abbās-ābād Jwest of Hamadān · in some Histories, Asadābād], and join Sūnjāk These junctions having been effected, this combined force was to approach Baghdād from the west, through the tract afterwards called the "Garīwah of Sūntāe, the Nū-yīn." The Nū-yīn, Kaibūkā, Kadsūn, and Ilkāe, or Ilkān, as he is also named, were to move towards Baghdād through Khūristān, and approached it from the south-east, while Hulākū, himself, with the centre, advanced towards the city from the eastward, by way of Khānkān.

The Jami'-ut-Tawarikh states that Hulaku reached Dinaar, which is three

infidels, so that, with this victory even, the remainder of them may be brought under the sword;" but Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, the Sar-Dawāti, delayed in pursuing; and, that night, the Musalmans encamped upon that same spot.

days' journey from Hamadan, on the route to Baghdad, with the intention of marching thither, as early as the 9th of Rahf'-ul-Akhur—the fourth month—of 655 h., but returned from thence to Hamadan again, and reached it on the 12th of Rajab—the seventh month—of that year; and that, on the 12th of the latter month, he despatched his agents to Baghdad with threatening messages for the Khalifah. Why Hulākū should have made this retrograde movement is not said, but, in all probability, it was because the traitorous Wazīr's schemes, which "the great Raschid," so glaringly, conceals, were not quite ripe for execution, and in order to put the loyal servants of the Khalifah off their guard.

Early in Muharram—the 11th, according to some accounts—656 H., but Zf-Ehijah, the preceding month, and twelfth month of the preceding year—655 H., appears to be the most correct—within the period prescribed, Tanjū, by way of Dajayl [lit 'branch'] or Dajaylah [the dustrict of Dajayl, at present, lies on either side of the old bed of the Dijlah above Baghdäd Dajayl ii also the name of the Little Tigris], crossed the Dijlah, and reached the Nahr-: 'laā [the canal or rivulet of Jesus] The Masālik wa Mamālik states that "Karkh [which is a suburb of Baghdäd] is very well inhabited, and considerable traffic is there carried on . . . On the western side is a canal or stream called Nahr-: 'laā, a branch of the Furāt, which, passing by Baghdād, falls into the Dijlah"

When the Khalifah became aware of this, he nominated Fath-ud-Din, son of Alankü, with Mujāhid-ud-Din, Ī-bak, the Sar Dawāt-Dār, the Mustanşirī, and Karā Sankur, who were the leaders of the Khalifah's troops [the Dawāt-Dār was a civilian, not a soldier—the names given by our author, who was the contemporary of these persons, are far more trustworthy], with 20,000 horse, which the Fanāhatī magnifies into 30,000 men, fought a hattle with Sūnjāk, and Būkā Tīmūr's forces within the limits of Anbār, before the Kūābh [castle] of Manṣūr, alove Madrūkah, on the east bank of the Furāt, within nine farsakhs of Baghdād. Alfī says the Mughals in the first charge turned their backs and fled before the Khalifah's troops. The Fanākati, to flatter the Mughal pride, says they "fell back." as far as Shīriyah, in the district of Dajayl, when they were joined by Tānjū and his troops, and then compelled the Baghdād troops to fall back.

⁹ The Pro-Mughal writers make out quite the contrary, but I prefer our author's version. Their statements are to the following effect:—

Fath-ud-Din [This is incorrect. Fath-ud-Din is the father's name. "Izz-ud-Din is the son's, as our author mentions], Alankū, who was a man of experience, on whose head the dust of the battle-field had fallen, when he saw that the Mughals, without having had many men killed, turned their backs and fied, suspected some stratagum on their part, and therefore forbade the Haghdädis to go in pursuit, but Mujāhid-ud-Din, Ī-bak, the Sar Danāt-Dār [poor fellow 'the Pro-Mughal writers put all faults upon him], who, besides being without any experience in such matters, was in the revenue department of the state, thinking this proceeding on the part of ['Izz-ud-Din, son of] Fath-ud-Din arose from fear of the Mughals, said to him "Dost thou consider that

In the vicinity of that place there was a stream, which they [the inhabitants] call the Nahr-i-Sher [the Lion's Canal]. It is cut from the river Furāt [Euphrates], and the land through which it flows is somewhat elevated, while the place in which was the Musalman encampment was low ground. During that night, the accursed rāficā Wazīr despatched a body [of men], and turned the water of that

debts of gratitude towards the Amir-ul-Müminin are to be paid in this way, that thou shouldst hold back thy hand when the enemies of the Khallfah have been beaten and overthrown? It is advisable, before the infidely shall have received assistance, and they regain strength, to pursue them, and give tranquillity to the mind of the Amīr-ul-Mūminin respecting them " Hearing this foolish speech, ['Izz-ud-Din, son of] Fath-ud-Din gave orders to follow in pursuit of the Mughals When the Baghdadis had passed beyond the margin of the suburbs [the scene of the action was some distance from the suburbs] into the open country, the Mughals faced about, and the engagement was renewed, and continued until the 'Abbasi mantle of darkness [the 'Abbasi colour was black) covered the opponents, when the battle ceased, and both sides bivousched for the night, opposite each other. During that night the Mughais, by cutting a dyke, let in the water of the river Fuiat, so that the whole of the plan where the Musalmans were encamped became flooded with water, and the greater number of them were drowned in their sleep. They were attacked in overwhelming numbers in the morning, and ['122-ud-Din, son of | Fath-ud-Din was killed in the engagement, and Mujahid-ud-Din returned to Baghdad with three persons. The Fanakati says the Mughals cut the dyke of a considerable river-if in rear of the Khalifah's troops, and the whole plain was lud under water. Our author's statement here is preferable, no doubt. The Pro-Mughal writers take away the credit of this act from their ally, the traitor, but it is evident that some one, who knew the locality, and who was well aware how easily the country might be laid under water, must have had the principal hand in the matter the Mughals probably helped.

The next morning, which was the 'Ashura—the 10th of Muharram, 656 H.—according to the Fanākatī—but Alfi mentions these events as taking place a month earlier—the Mughals threw themselves upon the Baghdādīs—the few which survived—and overthrew them ['lzz nd-Dīn, son of] Fath-ud Dīn, son of Alankū, and Kara Sunkar, and 12,000 men, besides those diowned and smothered in the mud, perished on that occasion, and the Sar Dawāt-Dār, Mujāhid-ud-Dīn, I-bak, with only a few persons, reached Baghdād in safety

This word may be shir—the Canal of Milk—according to the vowels used with it, but I have no means of pronouncing which of the two names is right, but the above is the more probable. The Calcutta Printed Text has shahr—"crity," "of hon" or "milk" twice, because the word of mathematically, and yet "shakk" and "I unat" is used with it! The account of the canals in the neighbourhood of Baghdad by Captum I cliv Jones, I.N., in the "Fienway Gazyaphical Transactions," may contain some information on this subject, but, in ancient times, the Diplah or Tigris, north of, or above. Baghdad, flowed further need than at present.

canal upon the encampment of the Musalmans; and the whole was flooded with water, and their arms and armour were all spoiled, and they became quite powerless. The next morning, at dawn, the army of the infidels returned, and another battle ensued; but the Musalmans, from the extreme misery and affliction of the preceding night, were

² Here too, the Frinted Calcutta Text contains a great blunder, and has zaḥmat-i-sipāh--affliction, trouble, etc., of the soldiers--instead of zaḥmat-i-shabānah, as above

Respecting the investment, and final operations against Baghdad, and the downfall of the Khilafat, there are many conflicting accounts, especially in the matter of detail, and according as the writer was a Sunni, a Shi'ah, or an Official under the Mughal sovereigns or otherwise; but all materially differ from our author, who wrote at the very time the events happened, and whose valuable account they either never saw, or would not consult or quote. The sumeet is an important one in the history of Islam, and, therefore, I shall give some extracts from the various Histories I have mentioned at the beginning of this Section, for the information of those who may not have access to all the works I also do so because there are some accounts, lately given to the public, extracted from foreign historics of the "Mongols," which are, without doubt, partially, and after a manner, compiled from some of the Histories I have quoted, but which, apparently, to judge from the very funtastic manner in which the events, the names of persons, and places, have been metamorphosed, have not been properly understood by the foreign translators, owing, possibly, to incorrect or defective MSS, or have suffered by translation at second hand

Subsequent to the defeat of the Khalifah's troops, after the mundation of their camp, and the rendering of most of their weapons useless in the month of Zi-Higgah, 655 H fthe Jami'-ut 'lawaiikh says the 11th of Muhairam 656 H , a month too late), the audience tent of Hulaku-equivalent to the "Head-Quarter Camp" in military parlance- was pitched opposite Bughdad on the enst side. The appearance of the Mughals filled the city with consternation, and sleep forsook the eyelids of the inhibitants, in their anxiety respecting the issue, and the prospect of their deliverance. The Khalifah directed that the gates should be closed, and the tamparts and bastions guarded and secured The Amirs and combdential officers of the Khalifah, such as the Amir-1-'Alim-Suliman Shah, and Mujahid-ud Din, the Sar Dawat-Day, and the household slaves, and, in fact, the men of the city, generally, came forth on the walls and towers prepared for action. Next day [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, conti try to all others, keeps Hulaku mactive from 11th to 22nd of Mubariam, which is not correct], early in the morning, the standard of Hulākū was raised, and, during the whole of that day to evening, a fire of arrows, flasks of combustibles, stones from catapults and slings, and a storm from other missiles, continued, during which a great number were killed and wounded on either side party maintained its position during the night, and began the fight the following morning. In this manner the fighting went on with little intermission for a space of fifty days [the Fanākati, who says twelve days, only begins the operations in the middle of the following month], when a number of the Sayvide [Shī'ahs] of Hillah, such as Majd-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Hasan, son of Ta-us, Sadid-ud-Din, Yusuf, son of Mutahhar, and others, despatched a spokesman of then own people, with a letter to Hulakü, the purport of which

defeated; and the Maliks of Islam, broken and discomfited, retired across the Dijlah, and took up their position

was, that it had become known to them, from the sayings of their forefathers, more particularly from the Amīr-ul-Mūminīn, and Imām ul-Murtaķīn, 'Alī, son of Abū-Tālib—on whom be peace t—that, during this year [656 H.], Hulākū would become predominant over 'līāk-i-'Arab; and that the Ḥākim of that territory, which was to say, the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, would fall into his hands; that they tendered their fealty and submission, would carry out such commands as he might be pleased to issue, and would not place foot out of the pale of obedience to him.

The effect of such sedition, at such a crisis, may be imagined. Rather than not destroy their co-religionists of the rival sect, they would sacrifice anything. What did they care for the massacre of hundreds of thousands of innocent people by the Mughal barbarians, or the slavery of their country? was not Hulākū "a divine figure from the north"? and was not his sole object the "amelioration" of the condition of the Musalmān people. Hulākū was overjoyed. He treated the bearer of the letter and his companions with great honour, and sent back along with them a person of his own retinue, named Tūklah, as Shahnab of Hillah, along with the Amīr Yaḥyā, the Nakḥūānī. By this means the Sayyids of Hillah escaped all the misery and affliction of this troublous time, and "remained safe," to quote the words of one writer, "under the protection of the Most High."

A body of 100 Mughal infidels was sent to Najaf to act as a guard to the tomb of the Khalifah 'Alf, by way of flattering the Shi'ahs

According to other accounts, after the Nu-yin, Tanju, and the Bahadur, Sunjak, became victorious over the forces of Baghdad, after flooding their camp, they, having crossed the Dijlah, advanced towards the city, and took up a position on the liver banks, on its western side, about the middle of the month of Muharram, 656 H -and the date of the letter, given in note?, page 1261, proves its correctness -- but the Raugat-us-Safa and Alfi have the mouth of Zi-Hijah, 655 H, and the latter, by way of making it more cuitain, adds, "which is 645 of the Rihlat" In the direction of Nahasiah and Şar-sar, Kaibūkā, and the other leaders along with hun, also pushed forward towards the devoted city Hulaku, leaving such of the families - for the Mughals took their families with them-and heavy materials, as he had brought with hun, at Khankin [Lat. 34° 21', Long 45° 22'], now advanced by quick marches, and took up a position on the east side, where, on the 15th of Muharram-but other accounts, already referred to, say in Zī-Ḥijjah—the last month of 655 H his audience tent was set up; and, like ants or locusts, the Mughal forces fincluding Musalman contingents from Kirman, Fars, and the other parts of the Khwārazmi empire which had fallen under the Mughal yoke, who were, consequently, forced to aid against the head of their faith and co religionists] gathered round the city. On the left, or south side of the city, opposite the Burg-i-'Ajami-or 'Ajami bastion, the Nü-yin, Kükā İlkan, the Amirs, Tütâr and Külf, took up their position facing the Kul-wazi gateway, while Bulgha, Arktil, and Shiramun, occupied the open space before the gateway of the Sük-1-Sultan-the Sultan's Market-place. Bukā Timūr was on the side of the Kal'ah, near the place called the Dolab-1-Bakul, while Tanju and Sunjak held a position on the west side, at the place where the 'Uşdi hospital was situated

and encamped at Baghdād, at the place where the gre Sanjari massid and kasr [castle] are situated. On the army of the accursed infidels reaching that place, Sulima Shāh, the Aiyūbi Turk-mān, Malık 'Izz-ud-Dīn, the Kur

ram, 656 II [the Fanākatī says, Tuesday, the 22nd, but those dates we Wednesday and Thursday, unless counted as terminating at noon], when t sun was in the constellation of Aries. The lighting went on for a consideral time, until most of the walls and ramparts were destroyed by the discharg of stones from the catapults—a "bombardment" by means of catapults, as is termed in the "Mongols Proper," is certainly something new in the art war—and great anxiety arose in the mind of the Khalītah, seeing that he h not the power sufficient to resist the invaders.

The Fanākatī also says, but his statement is not correct—he has great "compressed" events here that the fighting continued for twelve day during which the Mughals were occupied in slaughtering and pilanging, be this could only possibly refer to the submbs, for the Mughals were not yet possession of the place. That writer also says that, during this period, Sulim Shāh, the Ka īd of the Khalīfah's troops, and his dependents and followers, we slain, and that the Mughals also slew the Amīr-i-Ifāj—the Superintende and Conductor of the Pilgims—the eldest son of the Sar Dawāt-Dar, at that their heads were sent to Mauşii, thus showing that he has anticipatewents

To return to the account in Alfi and others. Finding himself powerletthe Khalifah is said to have sent out the Warti, Hin 'Alkanti---and the Jäsli or Patnarch of the Christians [Nestorians], according to the Jämi'-ut-Tawari ---with a message to Hulākū saying, that he hoped he would keep his form promise. Hulākū, in reply, said. "I made that agreement at Hamadā Now that I have reached Baghdād, and the sea of discord, sedition, at tunult, has become lashed into wives, how can I possibly content myself without Wazir? The recompense required is this, that the Khalifah should lik wise send to me Sulīmān Shāh, and both the Dawāt-Dār-i-Kūghak ai Buzurg, the Chief and Under Secretary, and Keeper of the Seals."

The Khalifah's envoys returned to the city with this reply, and, the ne day a deputation of illustrious and learned men [according to Raghid-ud Di the tractor Wazīr was included] proceeded to Hulākû's camp to endeavour obtain favourable terms of peace, but he sent them away, and the flames war again blazed up, and continued for another six days. The fighting w obstinate, and great numbers, on both sides, were killed. On the seven day, Hulākū, consequent on the arrival in his camp of the deputation abo referred to, and the stubborn defence, caused seven farmāns to be written ou which were fastened to as many arrows, and discharged into as many quarte of Baghdad, the purport of which farmāns was "Sayyīds, Kāṣīs, 'Ulam Officials, Merchants, and persons who do not fight against us, will be safe ar secure from our rage and vingeance"

Consequent on the receipt of these farmans, a great number of scuri patriots; among the Baghdadis deserted their posts, and gave up fighting and, by this means, the Mughals were enabled to approach the Burj-i-'Ajam and drive out of that important post the weak number now left to defer the walls on that side.

^{*} The maspd and eastle creeted by Sultan Sanjar, the Saljük.

IRRUPTION OF THE INFIDELS INTO ISLAM.

and Mujāhid-ud-Din, the Sar-Dawāti, presented the selves in the Khalifah's presence, and represented, sayi: "The enemy has reached the city gate, and we have a few horsemen along with us in Baghdād, while number of the infidels is 200,000 or more. It will be a that the Lord of the Faithful should embark on board vessel, and give directions for placing his treasures, his family, on ship-board; and we will likewise attend Lord of the Faithful in the vessel, and push down Dijlah as far as the limits of Başrah; and, in those islai we will take up our abode until such time as delivera cometh from Almighty God, and the infidels be a quished."

The Khalifah mentioned this matter to the Wazir; that accursed minister represented to the Lord of Faithful, saying. "I have entered into a peace with Mughals, and there is no necessity for leaving [Baghd They [the Mughals] are going to present themsel before the Lord of the Faithful. If my word is believed, it is necessary that the Amir, Abū-Bikr [Khalifah's son], should be sent out in order that he r understand the inclination of Hulāū." This counsel with the approval of the Khalifah, and he sent out son. The accursed Wazīr secretly despatched a c fidant of his own to Hulāū, saying: "Treat the Amir, A Bikr, with great consideration, and pay him much re rence and respect, and send out and receive him, in or

4 The islands in the deltas, near the mouth of the combined rivers w fall into the Persian Gulf, are referred to here

This is what the I'ro-Mughal writers turn into the Dawät-Dār's self deserting his benefactor in his straits. They say, that, when the Dawät saw that there was no other way of escape than institut flight, he, withou knowledge of the Khalāfah, embarked with his dependents—some even gos as to say that 10,000 men were with him—and dropped down the r. When the boits irrived opposite the Karyah-ul-Tkāb (village of the Eacilled by some the Karyah-ul-Ghaffar, a body of the Il-Khān's [Hulāl troops, under Bākā Tīmūr, which hid been detached to guard the road! Başrah, and the Madāyin, and prevent the passage of vessels, discovered if With discharges of stones from catapults, and flasks of burning naph the Mughals compelled him to turn back, after they had succeeded in captu three borts, all on board of which they slew, and plundered the proper them, and the Sar Dawät-Dār, after in thousand stratagems, succeeded in mig Bagbdād again. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh asserts that this act causer Khalifah to determine to submit, she could not trust his own Amīrs!

that the Khalifah may have reliance, and thy object will be gained." *

5 At this time, the Khalifah, who had become resigned to loss of country and possessions, despatched Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dämghäni, and Ibn Darwesh, with a few rarnies, as offerings to Hulakü, saying "We will acknowledge dependency, and submit," but he paid no attention to the message; and they

returned repulsed and disappointed

Next day, the 27th of Muharram, the Khalifah's son, Abū-Bikr-1-Abū-l-Fasl-called Abū-l-Fasa'il by some-with a body of grandees, the chief men of the Khalifah's Court, proceeded to Hulākū's camp, bearing presents of great value, by way of serk-kask or tribute, but they also had to return without being received; and the traitor Wazir returned with them to the city. The same day [the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says, the first day of Safar, which was on a Thursday] Hulaka despatched another traitor, the Khwajah, Nasir-ud-Din, the Tust, in company with one of the Mughai officers, to communicate with the Wazir, urging that the latter, along with Ibn Jauzi and Ibn Darwesh, should, by all possible means, pacify the minds of Suliman Shah, and Mujahid-ud-Din, the Sar Dawat-Dar, because they were the cause of the Khalifah's resustance. The Amir, Suliman Shah, was, indeed, and had been, the bulwark of the faith of Islam against the infidel Mughals, which they did not lose sight of. The Raugat-us-Safa says, that, to complete the usual system of Mughal perfidy, "Hulākū even sent to them a deed of immunity and a safe conduct; and, nolentes volentes, they were induced to proceed to the Mughal camp." The author of the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh also details this shameful act of Mughal treachery without the least remark, as though it were a mere every-day affair, and a very pious action

Hulaku, however, wanted more victims—the cup of treachery on the part of the barbarian monster was not quite full-so he despatched Suliman Shah and the Sar Dawat-Dar, into the city again, in order that they might bring out, along with them, their families, kinsmen, dependents, and retainers, pretending that he was going to despatch them, along with some of his own forces, against Miar and Sham. This further duplicity appears to have thrown them off their guard, and made them trust to the word of a Mughal prince. They returned, and brought out their people, and a great number of the soldiery of Baghdad and other persons, in hopes of saving themselves, came out along with them to Hulaku's camp On their arrival there, they were all distributed among the different bands of Sadhaks and Dakhas, and, shortly after their return thither, an arrow from the city—for hostilities do not seem to have been suspended during these negotiations-struck a Hindū (probably a native of Hind, but not necessarily, although possibly, a worshipper of idols, is here meant] Bitikchi, in the eye, and destroyed it [Von Hammer, by some error, turns this upside down, and says that an Indian struck out the eve of one of the principal emirs!] As this man was one of Hulaku's chief officials, he was so enraged that he ordered his troops to the assault, and to strain every nerve to capture the city. He then directed the massacre of the Sar Dawat-Dar, and his family, connexions, and kinsmen, and all the fugitives who had accompanied him and Suliman Shah from the city, while the Amiri-'Alam, Suliman Shah, the Turk-man, who had so often overthrown the Mnohals, was brought fettered, together with his family, kinsmen, and personal dependents, to the foot of the barbaran's throne. He demanded of the Musalman warrior: "Thou art an astrologer [doubtless the Tüsi Khwaiah.

On the Amir. Abū-Bikr's, coming forth [from Baghdad]. and reaching the camp of Hulau, a throng of people, infidels and Musalmans, went forth to receive him, and observed the usages of service. When he reached Hulan's place of audience, the latter advanced about four paces to receive him, treated him with due ceremonial, conducted him to, and seated him in, his own place, and himself reclined on the knees of reverence in his presence, and said: "I am come to present myself [before the Khalifah]. and will pay homage [to him]. My uncle, Barka, has become a Musalman at the hands of the Shaikh, Saif-ud-Din. the Bakhurzi, and I was, then and there, going to become a Musalman likewise, but I inquired among my Amirs: 'who is the greatest among the Musalmans?' and they directed me to the Court of the Khilafat, in order that, at the hand of the Lord of the Faithful, I might become a Musalmān."

also an astrologer, had furnished this information], and art acquainted with the propitious and unpropitious aspects of the heavenly bodies, the degrees and minutes, the rising and setting of the stars, and the like, how was it that thou didst not perceive thine own inauspicious day, and wherefore not warn thy benefactor, so that he might have acted accordingly, and not have become so shattered and broken?" The unfortunate sulfiman Shah replied "Alas! it was the misfortune of the Lord of the Faithful that he gave not ear to the words of his faithful servants, but listened to those of a traitor." In short, after some taunting on the part of the Mughal, and words of proud defiance on the part of Sulfiman Shah, he and his family, kinsmen, and personal dependents, were also massacred, to the number of seven hundred persons. These events are said to have happened on Friday, the 2nd of Şafar

After the murder of the Amīr-i-'Alam, Sulimān Shāh, and the Sar Dawāt-Dār, Mujāhul-ud-Dīn [some say the Dawāt-Dār-i Kūchak, and the Sharāh-Dār, or Purveyor of Drinkables, were also massacred on this occasion], their heads were sent, by Hulākū's command, to Mauşil, to Malik Badr-ud-Dīn, Abū-l-Fazā'īl i Lūlū-the "diplomatic and wily old gentleman" of the "Mongols Proper"—by the hands of the latter's son, Malik Ṣāliḥ, who was then in the Mughal camp, because great love and friendship existed between Sulimān Shāh and his father. The Mauşil ruler was directed to have the livids suspended from the gates of Mauşil. Badr-ud Dīn-i-Lūlū, was greatly afflicted, and wept involuntarily, but, as he had submitted to the Mughal yoke, he was obliged to comply to save himself from destruction

The printed text leaves out the word and so turns out the whole of Hulakû's host

I This is not unlikely, as part of the treachery at which the Mughals were such adepts, in order to throw dust into the eyes of the Khalifah's son, and so make sure of trapping his father. Most of the Pro-Mughal historians, and particularly Rashid-ud-Din, only seem anxious to conceal how much the success of Hulaku and his hordes was owing to the traitor Wazir.

Having introduced these sweet expressions into the discourse, the Amír, Abū-Bikr, placed credence on these deceitful, poisoned, words; and returned from thence, well pleased, to the presence of the Lord of the Faithful, and related all that he had seen and heard. The cursed Wazir now said: "It is advisable that the Lord of the Faithful, himself, should move out, in great state and solemnity, surrounded by the cavalcade of the Khilafat, in order that Hulau may be able to observe the ceremony of receiving him, and perform the rites of homage" Notwithstanding the Maliks of Islam-God reward them !-exhorted the Khalifah, saving: "It is not well to show such confidence:" still, as the decree of destiny, and divine mandate, had come down, the dissussions and exhortations of those Musalman holy-warriors were of no avail, and, in the end. fate was using the whip of wrath behind the horse of the Khilafat, until the Lord of the Faithful went forth, on horseback, accompanied by twelve hundred distinguished and eminent persons of the city, consisting of Maliks, Sadrs, 'Ulama, Grandees, Merchants, and the officials of the State. When they reached the camp of Hulau, the accursed Mughal, the Khalifah and the train with him were stopped, the whole [of the latter] were separated from each other; and they [the Mughals] seized the Lord of the Faithful. He [Hulau] commanded him, so that, in his own handwriting, the Khalifah was compelled to issue his commands to the rest of the eminent men who had remained behind at Baghdad, in such wise, that they came out from the city [to the Mughal camp], until the whole were seized, and the Mughals martyred the whole of them.

The investment having now continued for nearly two months, the difficulties of the Khalifah increased, and the simple minded Musalman Pontiff again turned for counsel to the traitor within his own bouse, and snake within his own bosom, who was bringing destruction upon himself, his race, and the Muhammadan people. He inquired of the traitor Wazīr what had best be done to escape from this calamity. He replied that the Mughal troops and Tattar soldiers were already very strong in point of numbers, and that reinforcements were continually arriving, while the weakness of the servants of the Khalifat daily became greater, and that there were not forces enough in Baghdad to defend it and repulse the Mughals, and that therefore it was advisable "that the Khalifah should abandon hostility and resistance, and proceed to the presence of Hulākū; open his hoards of treasure and valuables.

Here, respecting the putting to death of the Amir, Abü-Bikr, the son of the Khalifah, there are several statements;

and, by means of them, guard his honour and good name from hurt and injury, since the object of Hulākū, in coming to Baghdād, was to obtain wealth." Further, the arch-traitor stated that, by some means or other, after the Khalifah should have entered into "terms of concord and amity, this dissension might be changed into friendliness; in fact, into connexion and relationship, by a pearl out of the family of the Chingiz Khān being strung on the string of matrimony with the Khalifah's eldest son, and another pearl from the Khalifah's family being united to the son of Hulākū, which connexion would be, as it was in the time of the Saljūks, of immense advantage to the state and to religion, a source of dignity, strength, and grandeur [1], and, at the same time, would save very many people from slaughter and pillage"

The Mujāmi'-ul-Khiyār stries that it was the pretence that he had arranged all this with Hulākū, and only required the Khalifuh's presence to confirm the alliance, that induced the unfortunate Musta'sim. B'illah to trust himself in the burharian camp.

When the Khalifah, who had now become so lost in amazement, and so stupefied by his misfortunes, as to be incapable of distinguishing villainy from goodness, and could not calmly consider what these words contained, perceived that all hope was gone, he resolved on going out to the Mughal camp, contrary to the prayers and exhortations of his futhful subjects, and accordingly, on Sunday, the 4th of Safar, 656 ii -the 9th of February, 1258 A D accompanied by three sons [but some say, two, and some, four-Abū-Bikr-i-Abu-l-Faşā'li, 'Abd-ur-Raḥmān, 'Abd-ul Manakıb-ı-Mubārak, and 'Abd-ul-Manazil, called, by some, Abū-l-'Abbās-i Ahmad], and a body of about 3000 persons, consisting of Sayyids, Leclesiastics, Kāzfs, Philosophers, Doctors of the Law, Amirs, and other Grandees and Officials, in short, all the most distinguished personages of the centre of Islâm, he moved out of the city reaching the canvas curiam before the entrance of the audience tent of the barbanan, Hulaku, the Khalifah, with his sons, and four or five attendants, were permitted to pass in, but the rest were forbilden, and were distributed among the soldiery.

"When the sight of the Mughal, Hulākū," writes one of my authorities, "fell upon the Khalifah, Al Musta'sin Bulth, as is the custom with the perfidious, he did not look crossly upon him, but made, the usual [complimentary] inquiries with waimth, in such wise that the Khalifah and his sons were hopeful of good treatment therefrom. After these inquiries, Hulākū turned his face towards the Khalifah, and said. "Send a person into the city so that the men may throw down their aims, in order that we may have them numbered." The Khalifah, accordingly, despatched a person, in order that a proclamation might be made, in his name, to the effect that whoever wished to save his hie should by down his arms, and set out for the camp of the Îl-Khān, Hulākū." Consequent on this hyving been doness and, doubtless, at the suggestion of the traiter Wazīrs the gietter number of the people land down their arms, and set out for the Mughal camp, and all who proceeded thither fell under the ruthless swords of these mindels.

Such infatuation as marked these list events is seriedly conceivable, after so many proofs of Mughal treachery, but the Musulman people were now without a hear

but God knows the truth. One statement is this, that they martyred him, and the Amir-i-'Alam, Suliman Shah,

Hulākū having got the Khalifah into his power, sent him to Kaibūkā's camp, at the Kul-wāgī gate, where a tent was pitched for him, and he was placed in charge of a guard, and the Mughal leader gave orders, at dawn the following day, to make a general massacre of the people of Baghdād The broad and deep ditch was specify filled up, part of the walls thrown down, there being no opposition whatever, and the Mughals, soon after, began to pour into the city, and the work of slaughter, violation, pillage, and destruction, began This was ruthlessly carried out; and the Ḥaram-Sarāe—the private dwelling—of the Khalifahs, which, for five hundred years, had been the place of prostration of the Musalmān peoples, was so utterly demolished that no one would have imagined that a habitation had ever existed there. The other buildings of Baghdād—the masjids, mansolea of Musalmān saints and Khalifahs, the palaces, hospitals, colleges, and libraries—were all given to the flames; and places adjacent, constituting a vast extent of suburbs, were completely sacked and devastated

Guzīdah states that, during the massacre, a Mughal named Miānjū, in one small street of the city, found apwards of forty motherless sucking-bahes; and, thinking to himself, that without mothers' milk they would perish, put

them to death to deliver them from their suffering "

I pass over the accounts given by some Oriental writers respecting the hoards of treasure, to get at which the rack was freely used, but I cannot pass over, without comment, the statement that "Mustassim," who had given up all hope of life, and who did not know at what hour the order for murder might be given, "beged to be allowed to keep 700 wives [Musalmäns can only have four at one time, but concubines are unlimited] upon whom neither sun nor moon had shone, and was allowed to select 100," as we are told in the "Mo igols Proper" What could be do with 100 wives, when he and his sons were kept in a tent under a Mughal guard, and allowed but four or five attendants for himself and them? Was he to leave his 100 wives for the sun and moon to shine upon in the camp among the brutal Mughals Mughals

This is a specimen how History may be travestied, and of "taking up the mattock" to "complete the work which the pioneer can only begin." This little episode is taken from some foreign translation of "the great Raschid's" Jami'-ut-Tawarikh, but the meaning of Rashid ad Din was either not caught by the translator, or the author of the "Mongols Proper" misunderstood it The words of Rashid ad Din, after his mentioning that directions were given to number the Khalifth's haram-the exact meaning of which word should be duly weighed-it is not solely the place wherein wives and concubines dwell, but the home of mothers, grandmothers, aunts, daughters, and female relatives as well, including sons families, and sometimes daughters'-and that it was found to contain 700 females and concubines, and 1200 domestics, are "When the Khahrah [who had been conducted to his own palace on the 9th of Safar, according to Rashid-ud-Din] found what vas going on, he implored saying, 'The immates of the haram, on whom neither sun nor moon " i e pardon or spare them for my sake ! " بعن اعش i e pardon or spare them for my sake Hulaku said "Out of the 700, choose 100, and give up the rest" The unfortunate Khalifah chose 100 females, consisting of his relatives and kinswomen [including his mother, aunts, sisters, wives, and female children.

ł

the Aiyūbi, Malik 'Izz-ud-Din, son of Fath-ud-Din,' the Kurd, and Mujāhid-ud-Din, I-bak, the Sar Dawätl, all four of them; and some [persons] narrate, that, when the Amir. Abū-Bikr. returned to the presence of his father on coming back from the camp of Hulau, at the time the Lord of the Faithful was setting out [to proceed thither]. the Amir, Abū-Bikr, did not accompany him, and that he subsequently] left Baghdad, and proceeded into Sham [Syria] by way of the desert. Others again state, that he was martyred, after he had, in the presence of Hulau, uttered harsh and taunting words; and the words are [said to have been] these. The Amir, Abū-Bikr, said: "It was supposed by us that, as thou hast high birth, thou mightest be an honourable man, and that thou wouldst be a highminded monarch; and we placed reliance on thy word. Now it is obvious that thou art neither a monarch nor a man, since thou hast acted thus perfidiously, for kings commit not perfidy" Hulau commanded so that they martyred him. On the other hand, some state, that the Amir, Abū-Bikr, commanded one of the great Sayyids that they should take him towards Azarbāijān, and said that he would remain there some time, until, in freedom and in honour, after Baghdad became tranquil, command would be issued | [as to what it would be advisable to do]. When that venerable Savvid had taken the Amir. Abū-Bikr. some stages on the way towards Azarbāhān, a number of renegades represented to Hulau, saying: "Thou hast made a

and the females of the families of his sons], who were allowed to issue forth with him when he was removed, and were thus to be saved from slavery to those barbarians, but what subsequently happened to them, with one or two exceptions, has not transpired. The fate of the remaining 600 may be easily conceived—much the same as, but certainly not worse than, helpless Turkish women have suffered, and are still suffering, in these days of "crusaders," "amehorators," Bulgarians and Cossacks

^{*} The Calcutta Printed Lext, and the MSS., from which it has been printed, kill the father. Fath-ud-Din, who, in the former, is styled "Go d"-J-again, while the name of his son, who was killed, is left out altogether. The lather probably had been dead half a century, after the manner of the father of Muhammad, the 'Arab conqueror of Sind, namely, Kāsim, whom some translators and compilers make the conqueror instead of the son, and without being conscious of the blunder, in the same manner that Dakht-yār-ud-Din, the Khalj Turk, has had the credit of being the conqueror of Lakhanawati, instead of his son, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, Muhammad See note 1, page 548.

Respecting the future affairs of the Khilafat, he meant.

mistake. If the Amīr, Abū-Bikr, should reach Āzarbājjān in safety, all the forces of Rūm, Shām, and Maghrab, will flock round him; and, undoubtedly, he will take his revenge." Hulāū [on this] despatched people of his own in pursuit of the Amīr, Abū-Bikr; and they brought him back, and Hulāū martyred him, but God knows the truth. The Almighty reward him [Abū-Bikr] and the whole of his family! Amīn.

ACCOUNT OF THE MARTYRDOM OF THE LORD OF THE FAITHFUL, AL-MUSTA'SIM B'ILLAH—THE ALMIGHTY REWARD HIM!

For some time, the infidel Mughals desired to detain the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'sim B'illah. were a great number of Musalmans among the Mughal forces, and they declared. "If Hulau should pour out the blood of this Khalifah' on the ground, both he, and the Mughal army will be swallowed up in an earthquake: and therefore it behoveth not to slay him." The object of these Musalmans was this, that the Lord of the Faithful should remain alive; for, among all, hesitation arose about putting the Khalifah to death The Malik of Mausil. Badr-ud-Dīn-1-Lūlū-God's curse upon him!and other infidels,' represented to Hulau, saving: "If the Khalifah continues alive, the whole of the Musalmans which are among the troops, and other [Musalman] peoples who are in other countries, will rise, and will bring about his liberation, and will not leave thee, Hulau, alive." The accursed Hulau was frightened at this, saying. "If the Khalifah continues to live, an outbreak of the Musalmans may take place, and, if he is slain, with the sword. when his blood falls upon the ground, an earthquake will take place, and people will be destroyed;" so he proposed to put the Khalifah to death after a different fashion. He gave orders therefore so that they enfolded him in a [leather] sack for holding clothes, and kicked his sacred

² Instead of Khalifah, some copies of the text have tabakah-dynasty

⁴ Referring to the Musalman contingents from the subject states in the Mughal camp.

person until he died-May the Almighty reward him and bless him!

4 Some Historians affirm that the Khallfah died of starvation, while others say—as our author stated a century before any Pro-Mughal author wrote that Hulakü consulted with his confidants and chief officers about putting him Some said that, if he should escape from the present danger, assistance would reach him from the whole Musalman world, and that troops would gather round him from every part of Islam, and great sedition and trouble This advice Hulakü considered was given out of loyalty to him. and he determined to have the Khalifah put to death. Husam-ud-Din, the Astrologer [this is the "Hossam ud din," who is "probably a Muhammedan," of the "Mongols Proper"; but did any one ever hear of any Husani-ud-Din who was not a Musalman?], who was allowed admission to the presence of Hulaku, caused it to be made known, that, if the Khalifah should be put to death by the Mughals, the world would become overspread with darkness, and that the portents of the judgment day would appear, and many other similar things be stated, which filled the superstitious mind of Hulaku with fear and dread. He therefore consulted with the Khwajah, Nasir-ud-Din, the Tust, the Shl'ah, and ally of the traitor Wazir, who also laboured for the downfall of the 'Abbasis, and he replied, saying . " No such portents grose when Vahya [St. John the Baptist], the Innocent, was put to death, when the Prophet, Muhammad, died, and when the Imam, Husain, was unjustly mutyred, and, if Husam-ud-Din asserts that such as he states will arise if an 'Abbasi is put to death, it merely shows his excessive simplicity," Others said that no sword could possibly be dyed with the Khalifah's blood

The Khalifish left the city, and came to the Mughal camp [Guzidah says, contrary to others, that he was put to death faw days after he came out], on Sunday, the 4th of Safar, 656 H -qth of February, 1258 A D -as previously mentioned. Of this date there is no doubt whatever, but there is some discrepancy with respect to the date of the last act in this tragedy. Some say that, on the following Wednesday, which would be the 7th, the Khalifah was summoned to the presence of Hulaku, while others say it was the 6th. The Fanakati ways the 10th, without mentioning the day, which was Saturday, while some say Tuesday, the 16th of Safar, but the 16th was on a Friday, and others again say it was Tuesday, and others Wednesday, the 14th of that month, equal to the 18th of February As, in the east, the date commences after noon, as in nautical time, it is evident that the date was the 14th of Safar, and that it was Wednesday, as I shall afterwards show. That same day Hulākū had moved his camp from near the city to a position close to the Dih-1-Wakf, and the Dih-1-Jalabiah; and thither the Khalifah was conducted from the tent, in which he had been under a guard at the gate of kul-wazi, to the camp at Dih-i-Wakf Giving up all hope of life, and expecting speedy martyrdom. he asked permission to be allowed to go to the bath, that he might perform his ablutions anew. Huliku directed that five Mughals should accompany him, but the Khalifah objected to "the society of five of the infernal guard," referring to the ACVI, Chap of the Kur'an, verse 18

On that same day, the Khalifah, with his four sons [the Ro As Soc MS of the Fanakatt merely says "his eldest son"], together with then servants, were ordered to be put to death. Notwithstanding the assurances of the Khwājah, Nasīr-ud-Dīn, the Tūsī, the superstitious mind of the barbarian feared lest what

The Amir, Abū-Bikr, the Khalifah's son, and the Amir-i-'Alam, Sulimān Shāh, the Aiyūbi, they likewise martyred,

had been predicted might come to pass if the blood of the Khalifah should be shed. He therefore directed that he should be rolled up in felts, and that, in the same manner as the felt-makers beat the felts in making, he should be beaten to death, and every bone in his body broken. This mode of punishment, from what has been already stated, was not unusual among the Mughals [see note at page 1185] The Mujāmi'-ul-Khiyār says, like our author, that the Khalifah, and his sons, were sewn up in bullocks' hides, and kicked to death.

Thus was the thirty-seventh and last of the Khalifahs of Baghdād, of the house of 'Abbās, martyred at the village of Wakf—there never was such a pla... as "Vacss"—towards the close of the day, on Wednesday [our Tues-lay afternoon or evening], the 14th of the month of Şafar, 656 H, at the age of forty-seven, but some say forty-six years and three months, and others forty-three years and three months. His reign occupied sixteen years and nearly three months; and the Khilāfat of the house of 'Abbās had lasted 523 years, eleven months, and one day. His sons, and other offspring, and the whole of his family and kin were also massacred, two days after, and utterly exterminated according to the generality of the Pro-Mughal writers, but our author, who, evidently, had correct information respecting these events, gives an interesting account of the subsequent death of the Khalifah's daughter farther on; and he likewise states that a son, a mere infant, also survived We also know that fifteen Khalifah of the house of 'Abbās, subsequently, filled the office of Khalifah, in Misr. See note 3, page 1259

The author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, with great apparent glee, asserts that the youngest son of the Khalifah, Mubārak Shāh, so called, was given to Uljāc Khātūn, one of Hulākū's Khātūns, who accompanied him into I-rān-Zamīn, and that she sent him to Marāghah that he might be with the Khwājah, Naṣīr-ud-Dīn, the Tūsī, and that she [subsequently?] gave him a Mughal wife, who bore him two sons

The third day after the above tragedy was enacted, on Friday, the 16th of Safar [the third day before Friday would be Wednesday, for the date of the Khalifah's death], Hulākū commanded that the massacre, pillage, and devastation, should cease [Von Hammer, who has reversed the events, says the back and pillage commenced four days before the Khalifah's death, and continued for forty days after '], and he came to view Baghdād. The Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh differs here again considerably from other Histories. It states that the massacre began on the 7th of Safar, and terminated on the 9th, on which date Hulākū entered the city, and that he moved from near Baghdād and encamped at Dih-i-Wakf and Dih-i-Jalābīah on the 14th of Şafar, the same date as that on which the Khalīfah was put to Jeath

Nearly all the inhabitants of Baghdad had been massacred, but the few which remained now began to appear in the bazars and the shops; and command was issued to remove the dead from the streets, and bazars, and for them to be buried.

Ibn 'Alkamī imagined, up to this time, that the good offices he had performed for Hulākū, and the sad he had rendered him in destroying his benefactor, and the people of Baghdād, would have been rewarded with the government of that city and its territory, but Hulākū had now made as much

until the whole of the Amirs and Maliks of the Court of the Khilafat, with the exception of the little son of the Lord of the Faithful, were made martyrs of.

Hulāū seized all the treasures of Baghdad, the enumeration of, and amount of which wealth, the pen of description

use of the traitor as he required, and took no farther account of him otherwise than to despise him for his base ingratitude, and to be convinced that no faith could be reposed in one who had betrayed his benefactor The Bahadur. 'Ali, a Turk or Tattar Musalman, was made Shahnah or Intendant of the city and territory, as a reward for his intrepidity, because, out of the whole of Hulākū's army, he was the first to place foot within the walls of Baghdad. Fakhr-ud-Din, the Damghani, was made Salub-i-Diwan, but Ibn 'Amran, another traitor, was made Hakim or Governor of the Baghdad territory, which Ibn 'Alkami hoped to have obtained as his reward. During the investment. Ibn 'Amran had helped the Mughais by supplying them with grain and forage from the neighbouring district of Ya'kübah, where there were immense quantities stored. He was a man of the very lowest class, and was the mental servant of the 'Amil or Revenue Officer of Ya'kubah, and, among other duties, he used to shampoo his master's feet - I have not space for a full account of him here—and the learned Ibn 'Alkami was placed in a subordinate position as Wazir, under this boor. Now that it was too late, the late Wazir became a prey to shame and remorse; and, bitterly regretting his misdeeds, lived, for a short time, brooding over his disappointment, shunned, and treated with contempt and disdain by the people of Baghdad, notwithstanding his utmost endeavours to get any one to notice him. He was soon after laid on the bed of sickness, brought on by the state of his mind; and he died in less than two months after the martyrdom of the Khalifah, on the 11th [Rashidud-Din says the and} of Rabi'-ul-Akhır, 656 H. His son, Sharaf-ud-Din, was afterwards installed in the subordinate office to which his father had been nominated, under Ibn 'Umran

But why need any one, who can read the originals for himself, say so? Are we not told in the "Mongols Proper" [p. 201], that "Khulagu appointed governors to take charge of the captured sity," and that "Ion Alkamiya, the vision, retained his post. He is accused of treachery by the majority of the Moslem historians ["the majority" which the writer has seen in translation probably. What Musalman author does not accuse him of treachery, except the partial historian, "the great Raschid"?]. Of the sect of Rafisis, it was natural that he thould delight in the overthrow of the Abasisham dynasty and the reinstalement of that of Ali [Where and when, and who was the first person of the dynasty of "Ali" reinstated?] . . . He [Ion in Alkamiyi] died three years after the capture of Haghdad," etc., etc. Such is history!

The number of people, who fell during these massacres, has been omitted by several authors. Some say 800,000 perished, but the number generally quoted is the enormous amount of 1,800,000, which includes not only the ordinary inhabitants of the city, but also those of the extensive and populous suburbs, and the unfortunate people from the country round, who, in a similar case, as we have witnessed, lately, having been stripped of house, home, and property, fled to flie capital city of their country for refuge from the barbarian invaders.

See note , page 1259.

could neither record, nor the human understanding contain, and conveyed the whole—money, jewels, gold and gem-studded vases, and elegant furniture—to his camp. Such of these as were suitable for Mangū Khān [Kā'ān],

• There is, as previously mentioned, some discrepancy among the Histories and Historians I have been quoting in these notes, respecting Mangu Kā ān's death, but it seems strange that such discrepancy should exist. There is no doubt whatever that Baghilad fell in Safar, 656 II, but the Tarikh-i-lahangir and Hafig Abru state that Mangu Ka'an died in Ramazan, 655 II , just six months before that event took place Yet in Guzidah, Fasih-i, the Rausat-us-Şafā, Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh, and in other works, it is recorded that "the Il-Khān [Hulākū], after the capture of Baghdad, despatched a vast quantity of the best of the plunder, and other valuable things of 'Itak-i-'Arab, and 'Irāk-1-'Ajam, to his brother Mangu-which our author had already stated the best part of a century before any Pro Mughal author wrote-under the charge of the Nu-yin, Shiktur [the Jami'-ut-lawarikh, referring to his departure, styles him Hūlājā, but, when mentioning the receipt of the news of Mangū's death, calls him Shiktur also), with the good news of the fall of Baghdad, and a full account of his proceedings, and informing him that he intended to march towards Mışr and Shām When his envoys reached the throne of Mangū Kā'ān, and delivered their message, Mangū was overjoyed, exalted Hulākū of his royal favour, and permitted the envoys to set out on their return." These two statements are widely different from each other, and the only way to reconcile them is, as is stated in the Lubb ut-Tawarikh, and some other Histories. that Mangu Ka'an died early in 657 H , and not in 655 H , as in the Tailkh-1-Jahan-gir and Hafig Abru, for the news reached him in the last month of the year 656 H.; and, if we only consider the immense distance that separated the brothers, and the time it must have taken to convey the news from the 's angist to the Euphrates-to Halab, where Hulaku then was -we can pretty clearly s rive at the correct date

I must now, however, say a few words on another remarkable event which happened in the middle of 656 H —the year before Mangu's death, and which, correctly, belongs to Mangu's reign-the erection of the Observatory on a high hill north of Maraghah, more particularly, because his ferocious brother, merely because he happened to have carried out his sovereign's instructions. has had the chief, if not the sole credit, among European writers and translators especially, of the good work, and the love of scientific research, while Mangu's attainments are unknown. Hulakii, however, is said to have had a great passion for alchemy, and expended vast sums in its pursuit informed, in the "Mongols Proper," in almost every page of which D'Ohsson's History is drawn upon, that "Mangu" had sent, with his brother, "Khulagu," an astrologer styled "Hossam-ud-din, who had been sent as his adviser," and that "Hossam was probably a Muhammedan!" Farther, that "Nassir ud dui, a famous astronomer, was ordered by Khulagu to build a observators." etc., and that he "had impressed upon khulagu the necessity of forming new astronomical tables," etc.

The facts are these—and I quote my authorities almost in their own words—that, out of the whole of the sovereigns of the Chingviah dynasty, Mangū was the only one who nourished a great and sincere love of science, more particularly of mathematics. His study was Euclid, several of whose problems

with some of the females of the Khalifah's haram, together with a daughter of the Khalifah, he [Hulāū] despatched towards Turkistān; some [things?] were sent, as presents, and as his portion, to Barkā, the Musalmān, and some Hulāū himself retained.

Trustworthy persons have related, that what reached Barkā he refused to accept, and that he slew the emissaries of Hulāū; and, on this account, enmity became established between Barkā and Hulāū. With respect to such things as he [Hulāū] sent to Mangū Khān, when that property, and money, reached the city of Samr-

he had solved; and, from the great interest he took in astronomy, he earnestly desired that, during his reign, an observatory should be erected. He had, previously, commissioned Jamal-ud-Dir, Muhammad, son of Tähir, son of Majd-ud-Dir, Al-Bukhārf, to carry out some important observations, but, on account of the paucity of appliances and instruments, and the defective acquaintance with the subtilities and meeties of mathematics, several important astronomical matters still remained doubtful

At this period, the emment acquirements of the Tusī Khwājah, Nasīr-ud-Din. Muhammad, were famous even in those parts on Turkistan and Tampha. The Khwajah, at this time, used to dwell in the fatnesses of the Mulahidahs : he had been long and liberally pationized by the last few Khudawands of the sect, had composed his famous work on other the Akhiak-i-Naşiri-in the forties of Maimun-duz, and dedicated if to one of the Mulitashims of the When Mangu Ka'an despatched Hulaku into I ran-Zumin, at the time of taking leave of each other, he said to Hukiku, "No doubt you will meet with the Khwajah, Naşîr-ud-Dîn of Füs, who is now among the Isma lis Isome writers say that he was among them against his will, but, as I have aiready shown, this is erroneous] I reat him with honour and favour, and send him to me." When the Tusi teached Hulaku's presence, the latter, on account of the great distance which separated him from his brother, who had left his nodu for the territory of Manzi, put off, from time to time, sending the Khwajah to the Ka'an's presence; and, by degrees, he became so much taken up with him himself, and found him so useful, in combination with his brother Shi'ah, the traitor Wazir of Baghdad, as already narrated, that, at last he determined to retain him about his own Court, and in his own service Hearing from Hulaku the objects of the Ka'an, the Khwajah himself proposed to Hulaku to carry them out in Azərbaijan, and he was furnished with a mandate accordingly Four other astronomers and mathematicians were associated with him in the erection and furnishing of this observatory-Mu'ayyıd-ud-Din, 'Arzi, Fakhr ud-Din, Mataghi, Lakhr ud-Din, Akhlaşi, and Najm-ud Din, Kazwini, and, in the 57th year from the accession to sovereignty of the Chingiz Khan, the Raşad-1-11 Khani was erected, and important observations began to be carried out. I have no space for farther details here. hereafter, if time permits, I hope to enter more fully into these subjects.

7 For some account of these matters, see the notice of Barka's conversion, farther on-

kand, the daughter of the Khalifah-God reward her!requested permission from the authority sent in charge of them, saying: "The mausoleum of one of my ancestors is situated in the city of Samrkand, namely, that of Kusam, son of 'Abbas: permit me to go and visit his tomb." The intendant in charge acceded to her request; and that innocent [creature] proceeded to the mausoleum of Kusam. son of 'Abbas,' and celebrated the usual rites observed on paying a visit to a tomb, performed a prayer of two genuflexions; and, bowing her face to the ground, prayed, saving: "O God! if this Kusam, son of 'Abbas, my ancestor, hath honour in Thy presence, take this Thy servant unto Thyself, and deliver her out of the hands of these strange men!" The door of compliance was opened; and, then and there, in that act of adoration, she transmitted her pure soul to the Most High God. God reward her and bless her, and her ancestors, and all martyrs of the true faith!

The author of the Tārīkh-i-Mukaddasī, in the Section [entitled] "Kawā'īn," and in the mention of the outbreak of the Turks, quotes a tradition from 'Abd-ullah-i-'Abbās—on whom be peace! He says: "'Abd-ullah, son of 'Abbās,' took oath and said, 'the Khilāfat of my posterity

*Kuşam, son of Al-'Abbās, accompanied Sa'id, son of the Khalisah 'Uşmān, who held the government of Khurāsān, and who had been despatched, at the head of an army, into Māwarā-un-Nahr Kuṣam died in that territory, and was buried, at Samrkand

Muḥanmad Ḥusain Khān, otherwise Mīr ā Ḥaidar, the Doghlātī Mughal, says in his History iespecting the names of certain cities mentioned in previous Histories as formerly existing in parts of Central Asia, referred to in note at page 889, para 4, that he himself visited a well-known place in Mughalistān, which is known by the name of "Yūmghāl—where there was a cupola still standing, with part of an inscription remaining, which he read—" Shāh Jalīl, son of Kuṣam, son of 'Abbūs'—the rest was wanting, and indicated that that was the tomb of the son of the very Kuṣam above mentioned

will continue up to such time as Turks of ruddy countenances, whose faces will be broad like unto a buckler, shall overcome their dominion and grandeur." Therefore, 'Ulama have all [from time to time] given an interpretation respecting this prediction. Some have said that they might be Musalman Turks, and others have said that they might be from the tribes of the Turks of the empire of Chin, who would subdue the land of I-ran, 'Irak, and Baghdad; but unto all the sages of the world, and 'Ulama of the race of Adam-God reward them !-it [now] became manifest that the latter interpretation was the correct one. and that the downfall of the seat of the Khilafat would be wrought at the hands of the infidels of Chin 2—the curse of the Almighty be upon them !- because the Lord of the Faithful, Al-Musta'sim B'illah-God reward him !-attained martyrdom at the hands of that race.

May the Sultan of Sultans, who, up to this present time, continues as usual to read the Khutbah in, and adorn the coin with the name of that lawful Imam and Khalifah.

the editors of that Printed Text, under the supervision of Colonel W N. Lees, LL.D., and it comes from Calcutta, where the "Turani idiom" is so much cultivated, one must give these learned men credit for knowing something of that idiom, and that, if the text, as it stands, was not considered right by them, they would not have allowed the words to remain as they are. I may add that the Calcutta Printed Text agrees, in this instance, with several MSS copies of the text, and that the only variation is that a few copies leave out the δm , intending iffacts to be used in both instances.

¹ That is to say the descendants of Turk, which, according to their own traditions, the Mughals are. This prophecy seems different from that which the Sayyids of Hillah referred to. See last para of the note at page 900.

² Our author continually styles the Mughals infidels of Chin.

* It appears to have been considered necessary to do this until such time as a successor—a Khalifah and Imam—should be installed

This is a pretty good proof that, at Dihli, they were not quite so "singularly ignorant," nor "strangely indifferent," as appears to have been supposed:—"While the throne of the Khalifa became an idle symbol, and the centre of Islâm was converted into a ghastly camp of Nomads, the latest Muhammadan conquest "in partibus infidelium" must have been singularly ignorant of, or strangely indifferent to, the events that affected their newly-conceded allegiance [?], as the name of the martyred Must'asim [sic] was retained on the Dehli coinage for some forty years after his death."—Thomas: "PATHÁN KINGS OF DEHLI," page 255.

After the capture of Baghdäd, those of the 'Abbāsī family who escaped the sangunary Mughals fied into Mişr; and there, the ruler, Malik Tāhir-i-Bandkadār, acknowledged Aḥmad, son of Tāhir, brother of the late Khalīfah, as his successor to the Khilāfah, at a great meeting assembled for that purpose,

be long preserved and maintained upon the throne o sovereignty, for the sake of the honour of the martyrs o the family of 'Ali and of 'Abbās, and the souls of the Lords of the Faithful, through the mercy of Him who is the Most Merciful of the Merciful!

After Hulau had sacked Baghdad, and had caused the people to be martyred, he made over those that remainer to the Wazir, and assigned him a Mughal Shahnal [Intendant] that he might cause them to be collected together. When the accursed Wazir returned again to Baghdad, and had collected some of the people, and located them therein, some of the servants of the Khalifah who had retired into the Wādī, and remained alive, to the number of about 10,000 horsemen, collected, and, suddenly

and then and there did homage to him, on the 9th of Rajab, 659 H. II assumed the title of At-MUNTASIR. The ruler of Mist furnished him with a army and all things befitting his position, and despatched him, at his ow particular request, towards Baghdad, which the new Khalifah hoped t recover. He however encountered a Mughal army within the limits of Anhan and was slain; after an obstinate battle, in 660 H. Some say he disappeared and was no more heard of. He was succeeded on the 26th of Safar, 660 H by Abū-l-'Abbās-1-Ahmad, son of Hasan, son of Abū-Bikr, son of 'Ali, wh was with him in the battle, and escaped into Misr. He took the title of AL HARIM, and filled the office of Khalifah for upwards of forty years. He die at Kähirah, in 701 H Thirteen successive Khalifahs of the same famil filled the office; and the last, AL-MUTAWARKIL-'ALA-UI LAH, was take prisoner by Sultan Salim, the first of that name, of the 'Uşmanılı sovereigus when be defeated the Misris in 922 H. The Khalifah was taken away t Constantinople, where he was allowed a pension, and was treated, as long a he lived, with all possible respect. With him the family of 'Abbas becam extinct—at least, as far as could be discovered—and from that time, down t the present day, the 'Usmanli Sultans claim the office of Khalifah-th spiritual as well as the temporal authority—and as being the guardians of th holy places, and all Muhammadan sects but the Shi'ah acknowledge thi authority.

4 Not according to the Pro-Mughal writers Faither on our author say Huläkü had him put to death.

³ Low-lying ground or valley, the valleys of the Tigris and Euphrates, als the channel of a river, marshy ground near rivers abounding in canes o reeds.

6 In some copies 20.0 Nothing of this is mentioned by the Pro Mughe writers, as may be easily imagined. Rashid ud-Din however states that th Nû-yin Ilka and Kara Bûka were left at Baghdād, with 3000 [30 000 ?] Mughthorse, as a garrison, but, certainly, two months after, from some icason or othe Ilka, "with several Amīrs" along with him, reached Hulākû's camp in the neighbourhood of Hamadān. The son of the Sar-Dawāt-Dāi, who succeede in gaining Hulākû's confidence, subsequently served him after the perfiding

and unexpectedly, crossed the Dijlah and attacked Baghdad, captured the accursed Wazir, and the Shahnah [Intendant] whom the infidel Mughals had installed there and cut them both to pieces. As many of the dependents of those accursed ones as fell into their hands, and the whole of the Christians of Baghdad they seized, and despatched all of them to hell, and wreaked as much vengeance upon those accursed ones as they [the Musalmans] were capable of, and withdrew with all speed. When information of this reached the camp of the Mughals, a body of cavalry was despatched to Baghdad. The remnant of the Musalmans had departed, and with expedition; and not one among those holy-warriors of Islam was taken.

Some persons relate, that Hulāū, after he had finished the affair of Baghdād and the slaughtering of the Musal māns, inquired of the Wazīr, saying: "Whence was thy prosperity?" The Wazīr replied: "From the seat of the Khilāfat." Hulāū said: "Since thou didst not observe the rights of gratitude towards thy benefactors, thou art, indeed, not worthy of being in my service;" and he gave commands so that they despatched the Wazīr—God's curse upon him!—to hell."

fashion of the Mughals, which may have some reference to the events our author refers to, but the particulars are much too long for insertion here. Suffice it to say that he succeeded in raising a large Musalinan force, for a particular service, with Hulākū's consent, at Baghdād [according to Rashīdud-Dīn, but we must take at their value the partial statements of that writer], with which he escaped safely into Misr.

After the capture and sack of Baghdad, Buka Timur, brother of Uljae, one of Hulākū's wives, was despatched, at the head of a considerable army, to the southward; and, on his reaching the Furat, opposite Hillah, the traitor Sayyids, before referred to, went forth to receive him, constructed a bridge over the river for him and his army to cross, and received the Mughals with delight. Finding them firm in their loyalty ['], in a few days, he marched from thence, and advanced against Wasit, and reached it on the 17th of Rabi' ul-Awwal, - 656 H. The people refused to surcender, and defended the place, but, after considerable opposition, it was captured by assault, and 40,000 persons were put to the sword. Shustar opened its gates; and Basrah, and other places in that part, also submitted to the Mughal yoke. On the 12th of Rubi'-ul-Akhir, Bükā Timur rejoined the main army; and on the 19th of the same month, the envoys of Halab, who had come to Baghdad, were sent off, bearing the insolent letter, concocted by the Shl'ah confidant and counsellor of the Mughal barbarian-the Khwajah Naşîr-ud-Dîn, the Tüsi. The letter is as follows :-- "We reached the camp before Raghdad in the year 656, and the noise of the unsparing men was terrible. We challenged the sovereign of that

ACCOUNT OF THE MARCH OF HULLU TOWARDS HALAB AND SHAM.

Hulāu, the Mughal, after he had satisfied his heart on the matter of Baghdad, moved towards Halab, Mayya-

city, but he refused to come; and upon him is verified the saying: "We seized it with a frightful violence" [Kux'An: lvi, 88] We said to him, "We have pressed thee to submit thyself to us. If thou wilt, then wilt thou find peace and happiness' [Ibid. lxxiii, 16]: "if thou refusest, thou wilt experience shame and misfortune. Do not act like the animal which, with his feet, discovered the instrument of his death [and heeded not], or as he, who, with his own hand, cut the partition of his own nose. Thou wilt then be of the number of those whose works are vain, whose efforts in this present life have been wrongly directed, and who imagine they do the work which is right' [Ibid. xviii., 103-4]. Nothing is impossible to God. "Peace be with the man who follows the way whither God directs'!"

Soon after the events related above Arbil was invested

After the capture of Baghdad, on account of the excessive heat and thirstiness of that territory, Hulākü, without making any longer stay there, marched from his camp at the Kubbah-1-Shairh-ul-Mukarım, on the 23rd of Safar, and returned to Khankin, where he had left a part of his wrdu and heavy war materials By this time, the treasures of Baghdad, and the valuables taken in the fortresses of the Mulhaidah, and such other plunder as had been carried away from the frontiers of Rüm, Arman, Karkh, and other parts, had been collected there, in the royal treasury, which, along with his adviser, Nāşır-ud-Dīn, son of 'Alā-ud-Dīn, the Şāhib or Wazīr of Rai, Hulāku despatched towards Agarbāijān Malik Majd-ud-Dīn, the Tabrīzī, who was one of the ingenious and skilful men of that country, was directed to construct a strong fortress on a mountain on the shore of the little sea of Urumi and Salmasthe Lake Urumiah-and to melt down all this treasure into balish or ingots -the only thing in the nature of coin ever mentioned in the accounts of the Mughals at this period-and place them for safe keeping in the new stronghold

Hulākū then marched from Khānķīn on his return to his urdii near Hamadan, and, after some time, marched into Agarbaijan. After he reached Maraghah, Badr-ud-Dîn-t-Lülü, ruler of Mauşıl, presented himself at the end of Rajab, 656 H. - July, 1258 A D -being then over ninety years of age, to pay homage He was favourably received, for he also had acted a traitorous part in aiding-under compulsion, as a vassal of the infidels-the enemies of his He was allowed to depart, shortly after, on the 6th of Sha'ban. On the 7th, Sultan 'Izz-ud-Din, Kar-Kāus of Rum arrived-the Raugat-us Sufa says he joined the Khan's camp at Tabriz before the advance to Baghdad-and, next day, was followed by my brother, Rukn-ud-Din 'Izz-ud-Din had exasperated Hulaku, because he had ventured to oppose the Nu-yin, Tanju, and his forces, but, by a simple stratagem of his own, which flattered the vanity of Hulaku, and the countenance of the latter's Christian Khātūn, Dukūz or Dūkūz, he was forgiven On the 14th of the same month, the Ata-Rak, Sa'd-ud-Din, Abū-Bikr, the Şalghūri ruler of Fars, also presented himself in the Khān's camp, "to congratulate him on the capture of Baghdad"

ţ

fāriķin, and Āmid. This territory they style the Diyār-i-

About this time command was given to construct the Raşad-i-ži-Khāni, or II-Khāni Observatory, referred to in a previous note.

When Hulaku proposed to move against Baghdad, he detached the Nu yin, Arktu, with a considerable force, against the exceedingly strong fortified town of Arbil [Arbela of European writers, some fifty miles W. of which the Macedonian Alexander defeated Dārā the Persian Lheld by Tāj-ud-Din, son of Salayah, styled the Lord of Arbil. He, on being summoned. came down, and submitted, but, although he attempted to induce the Kurds, who inhabited and garrisoned it, to submit, they would not hear of it, and reviled him for proposing it. All Arktū's endeavours to take it were fruitless. He sought aid from Badr-ud-Din-1-Lülü, but, before his help came, the Kurds sallied out, burnt the Mughal catapults, and slew a great number of the Badr-ud-Din-t-Lulu, having arrived to his aid, advised him to retire, and give up the attempt to take it then; but to wait until the heat compelled the Kurds to retire to the higher hills before any further effort was made, as it would be impossible to take it by force, though it might be obtained by stratagem. Arktū accordingly gave up the attempt, and retired to Tabrīz, leaving Badrud-Din-i-Lülü to gain possession of it, when the Kurds should have retired to the higher ranges from the excessive heat, after which he was to destroy the defences. This was subsequently done; and the Kurds retired into Shām. The unfortunate Tāj-ud-Din was made the victim of the refusal of the Kurds to surrender the place, and he was taken to Hulaku's presence, and, by his orders, was butchered

The envoys having returned from Sham with unfavourable replies from the Amirs and Hakims of that territory, Hulaku determined to march against them. Previous to his entering I-ran-Zamin, the Sultan of Halab, the Malik Un-Näsir, had despatched his Wazir, Zain-ud-Din, Hähzi, to the wida of Mangu Kā'ān, tendering his homage, and in return received a farmān couched in conciliatory and favourable words—the Fanakati says a yarligh, confirming him in his dominions, and a paesak of exemption from tribute. When Hulaku entered I-ran-Zamin, Un-Naşır still continued to express his loyalty and sub-Nevertheless, his proceedings became known to the mission, but, secretly other rulers in Shain, and they consurred against him; and he was forced to seek aid from Hulaku, and fled to his camp. These facts urged the latter still more in his determination to reduce those rulers to submission setting out, he informed Malik Badr-ud-Dîn 1-Lûlû, that he should excuse him from accompanying him on this expedition, on account of his great age, but that his son, Malik Salih, shoul I be sent in his place [with a contingent, as a hostage for his father] His son arrived in due time, and Turkan Khatun, daughter of the unfortunate Sultan, Jalal ud Din, Khwarazm Shah, who had been brought up, from an infant, in the Huram of one of the Mughal Kh lituns, and who had been sent along with Hulaku, by Mangu's command, in order that he might bestow her in marriage on some suitable person in I-ran-Zamin, was united to him

Hulākū now put his troops in motion from Āzīrbātjān. The Nū-yīn, Kaibūkā, was despatched at the head of a strong force, forming the van, the troops under the Nū-yīn, Sūnjūk, formed the right of his aims, while the Nū-yīn Tānjū led the left [Rashid-ud-Din says Tānjū and Sangkūr led the right], and, on the 22nd of Ramazin, 657 II —20th September, 1258 a D, Hulākū set out with the centre, or man body, towards Shām

Bakr; and this is the country of the son of Shihāb-ud-

On reaching the Ala-Tak, or Tagh, or Dagh, all three of which forms are correct, he was much pleased with the pasturage thereabouts, and gave it the name of Lanba [also written Labna]-Saghut [ما ليا ماعوت], and, in a place therein, built a Sarae for himself. It has a few miles to the west of Bayazid, a place often mentioned of late, and near the N shore of the Lake Wan [vul Van], near the head waters of the eastern branch of the Furat, [Euphrates], and, by way of Akhlat, he entered the terratory of the Kurds. They were particularly obnoxious to the Mughals, for they had, under the banner of the later Khalifah,, routed them on several occasions, and wherever they were found they were merculessly butchered. On reaching the Diyar-ı-Bakr, Hulikü first despatched his son, Yüshmüt, with the Nü-yin, Süntäe, against Mayya-farikin [Martyropolis], and Marddin, while Malik Saith was sent against Amid [Amadia], but certainly met without a Mughal, and a Mughal force, to look after him Our author, however, distinctly tates, that Mahk Sahh was with the Shah-zadah, Yushmut, at the investment of Mayya farikin Hulākū then proceeded to reduce Rūhah, and, after little He then attacked Danisur, Harran, and fort, graned possession of it lisibin, took them by storm, massacred the people, and sacked the places. Ie then crossed the Furāt, and, suddenly and unexpectedly, appeared before The inhabitants, aware of the strength of the place, resolved to efend it. It was closely invested, and held out for a week, but, after that ne, it was assaulted and captured in Zi-Hijjah, the Muchals having effected lodgment at the Bab-ul-'Irak, or 'Irak Gateway . the citadel held out for rty days after that. Fakhr-ud-Din, Sāki, who was acquainted with the acc, was put in charge of the city and fortress, and the Bakhshi, Tükal, is made Shahnah [Intendant]. The Habib-us-Siyar, however, states at Hulakii, aft r promising the people of Halab safety for their lives and operty to induce them to surrender, made a general massacre of them, and sked the city during seven days. The capture of the strong fortices of stam, west of Halab, next followed; and the inhabitants, although their es had been solumnly promised them, were all massacred. On leaving Halah, wever, a general complaint was made against Fakhr ud-Din's tyranny, and was put to death; and the Wazir of the Malik-un-Nāsir, Zam ud-Din, ifizi, before referred to, was put in charge of the administration. After this, ulāku prepared to attack Damashk, but the authorities there, having taken irning from the fate of Halab, made overtures, on the arrival of the van of , army, through certain Bulgharl merchants, and submitted With the pitulation of Damashk, all Sham came under the sway of the Mughals. It was at this juncture that the Nü-yin Shiktur or Shiktur, who had been spatched by Hulaků to his brother's presence after the capture of Baghdad ashid-ud-Din, when mentioning the despatch of Mangu's share of the ınder, says the Nu yin, seat in charge of it, was called Hulajuj, arrived in , camp, near Halab, having come with all possible speed, bringing him the ungs of Mangu Kā an's death Hulāku's sorrow was great, but he kept it ret within his own breast, and suddenly resolved to return into Agarbaijan, expectation that troubles would arise respecting the succession. He set out thout further delay, leaving the Nű-yfn, Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, to guard his nquests in Sham; and reached Akhlat, 24th of Jamadi-ul-Akhir, 658 it. In a " History of Persia, by Sir John Malcolm, the author, quoting Des ugnes, states [p. 423, vol I] that "Hulakoo" was "desirous of returning

Din-i-Ghāzi-i "-Malik-ul-'Ādil, of Shām, and his [the son's] itle is Malik-ul-Kāmil. He is a man of great godliness nd sincere piety.

The cause of Hulau's proceeding into that territory was his. The son of Malık Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Ghāzi was Malık of Mayya-farikin, Marddin, and Amid, and these three owns [cities] and fortresses of that territory appertained o nim. When the army of Jurmaghan, and the Na-in. ſājū [Tānjū], who subdued Arrān, Azarbāijān, and Irāk, arried their incursions to the frontiers of this territory, he Maliks on those confines all requested Mughal Shahahs [Intendants], and this son of Malik Shihab-ud-Din-i-3hazi—the Malik-ul-Kamil [Muhammad]—determined to proceed and reach the presence of Mangu Khan, [and did so.] and, from him, he obtained a special honorary dress.⁸ The cason of his obtaining it was this, that, at a drinking party, Jangu requested the son of Malik Shihab-ud-Din-i-Ghazi o drink wine, and he refused, and did not drink it." langu Khan inquired of him the reason of his refusal.

Tartary to take possession of the government of his native country," now ecome "vacant," but that "the defeat of his general by the prince of the famelukes [Mamilüks?] compelled him to abandon the design," etc., etc. his however is as far from being correct as the statement at p = 382 of the inne volume, that "Hulakoo" was "the son of Chenghiz." See last para, of ote 3, at page 1270.

If I did not put an unifat here, which stands for "son of," I should make a reat blunder. The person referred to is styled Al-Valik-ul-Mugaffar, Shihāb-d Din-by some entried, Taki-ud Din-Al-Ghāzi son of Al-Mahk-ul-'Adil, bu like, son of Al-Mahk-ul-'Adil, bu like, son of Al-Mahk-ul-'Adil, Al-kurdi, and, consequently, Shihāb-d-Din-Al-Ghazi was a nephew of Sulfan Şai'h-ud-Din, Yusuf. The Malik-le'Adil, during his lifetime, entrusted the government of the different parts of is kingdom to his sons, of whom he had several, but this particular branch ever ruled over Shām or in Migr. The Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, sueceded his father as ruler of Mayyā-fāriķīn and its dependencies, in 642 H. see page 226. See also Calcutta I'ext, page 227, line 11.

I Mårddinwas under a different ruler at this period, but he may, previously, ave been subject to Shihāb-ud-Dīu-i-Ghāzī. See note 3, page 1275, para 13.

It is stated in Alfi that the Malik-ul-Kāmil was the first of any of the rulers. I those parts to proceed to the presence of Mangū Kāān, in consequence of hich he was received and treated with great honour. He subsequently received a yarligh confirming him in his territory, and a piezih or exemption om all taxes and public burdens. The piezah was not peculiar to the lughals.

² The word used is " sharab," not necessarily uine, but drink of any cort. lere, however, intoxicating drink is referred to, probably the Mughal beverage, rmented mare's milk.

He replied: "Because it is forbidden by the Musalman religion; and I will not act contrary to my faith." Mangu Khān was pleased with this speech, and, in that very assembly, invested him with the tunic he had on, and showed him great honour. From this incident it appears that the dignity inherent in the Musalmān faith is, everywhere, advantageous, both unto infidel and Musalmān.

In short, when Hulau was appointed to proceed into the land of I-ran, Mangu Khan commanded that the Malikul-Kāmil should return towards 'Ajam along with Hulāu, and they reached the territory of 'Irāk. Hulāū determined to molest Baghdad, and had directed the Malik-ul-Kāmil, son of Malık Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi, that, from his territory, he should bring 7000 horse and 20,000 foot to Baghdad, and render assistance. The son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi replied: "The extent of my forces is not so great that it is possible to furnish such a number: more than about 2000 horse and 5000 or 6000 foot I am unable to bring." Hulāū importuned him in demanding a larger number of cavalry, and the Malik-ul-Kāmil persisted in his reply, and Hulau, in secret, said to his Wazir.4 who was a Musalman, an eminent man of Samrkand: "It seems to me that Kāmil meditates rebellion in his mind, and that he will not join with us, and it is necessary to put him to death." The Samrkandi Musalman Wazir was fond of the Malik-ul-Kāmil, and he, secretly, acquainted him with this idea and design. The next day, the Malik-ul-Kāmil went to Hulāū and asked permission to go out hunting. He set out from that place [where they then were], accompanied by eighty horsemen of his own; and, with the utmost expedition, got out of the Mughal camp, and pushed on towards his own country. so that, in the space of seven days, he reached it, and gave orders to put to death all the Mughal Shahnahs [Intendants] in his territory, by pinning them against the

⁴ The Calcutta Printed Text leaves out Hulāu here, and so, as that text stands, the Malik-ul-Kāmil said this to his Wazīr i not Hulāu to his Minister! The Editors must have been much enlightened from their own version. The same text is defective a few lines farther on.

Located in his cities and territory. The text is defective here, in all copies, respecting these Shahnahs. Here the best British Museum Text ends, all the rest being wanting

1267

walls by means of five spikes—one mortal one being driven into the forehead, and four others into the feet and hands.

When three days passed, since his disappearance, Hulāū became aware of the fact of his flight; and despatched horse and foot in pursuit of him, but they did not find him, and again returned.

The Malik-ul-Kāmil, son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi, when he reached his own territory, despatched emissaries to the presence of Zahir, the Malik-un-Nāṣir, and solicited his assistance, and that he would assemble his troops and come [along with him] to the seat of the Khilāfat, Baghdād. The Malik-un-Nāṣir agreed to aid him; and the son of Malik Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāzi [i.e. the

The Calcutta Text is deplorably bad here again, indeed throughout this Chapter.

When it became known that Hulākū meditated hostility towards the Khalifah, and had prepared to move against Baghlād, the Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, as might naturally be expected, could not look on calmly with folded arms and see the successor of his Prophet, and head of the Musalmān faith, assailed, and the seat of the Khalifah's power, and centre of Islām, captured, and sacked by infidels. He therefore had gone to the Malik-un-Nāṣir, ruler of Shām, and endenvoured to induce him and others to join him with their forces, and march to the Khalifah's support, as our author also states, but the Malik-un-Nāṣir showed carelessness, selfishness, and negligence, in the matter until it was too late, and the opportunity lost

This ruler must not be confounded with the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Dā'ūd, son of the Valik-ul-Mu'aggam, Sharaf ud-Dīn, 'Íṣā, who was a grandson of the Malik-ul-'Ādi, Saif-ud-Dīn, Abu-Bikr [brother of Suljam Ṣalaḥ ud-Dīn, Yūsuf]: the titles of these Kūrilish Pimces are so much alike that they are liable to be confused. The ruler of Halab and Sham, here referred to, is the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Ṣalāḥ-ud Dīn, Yūsuf [not Zahīr, he was named after his great grand-fither], son of the Malik-ul-'Azīz, son of the Malik-uz-Zāhir, Ghiyāṣ-ud-Dīn, Abū Yanṣūr-i Ghārī, third son of Ṣaliḥ-ud-Dīn, Yūsuf. The Malik-ul-'Azīz died in 634 H, and was succeeded by the Malik-un-Nāṣir. Rubruquis saw the envoy of the Malik-un-Naṣir at Yangū Kā'ans Court. See note. 1, page 221.

We are informed, in the "Mongols Proper" [page 205], from D'Ohsson, apparently, that "Syria was at this time ruled over by Nassir Saladin Yussuf, a great grandson of the great Saladin," while a little farther on [pp 205-208] we are likewise informed, that his name was "Prince Nassir Seif ad din the Yagmur Alai ad din el Kaimeri." This strange jumble of names, probably, is the several ways in which "the embossed bowl" is made by those "specially skilled in their various crafts," but the above, with some other specimens which I have given, seem more after "the case of the western farmer whittling his own chairs and tables with his pocket knife, "as we are told at p vii of that book. Saif-ud Din, Al-Kaimari, also written Kaimiri was one of the Malikun-Najir's Amirs

Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad], with the whole of his troops, horse and foot, marched towards Baghdād [to aid the Khalifah]. On the way he received information of the downfall of Baghdād, and the martyrdom of the Lord of the Faithful. He turned back again with the utmost expedition, strengthened his fortresses and cities, and gave intimation to the nomads of his territory, so that the whole of them sought shelter in places of strength, whilst he himself entered and took up his quarters in the fortress of Mayyā-fāriķin, and prepared for holy warfare against the infidels.

Mayyā-fāriķin is a small city and strong fortress; and to the north of it is a mountain of considerable height, and within the city is a monastery [of Christian priests] which they call Markūmah, and that Markūmah is a place of sanctity. From the foot of that mountain a large stream flows, and, in the tag-āb [low ground where water collects in which the city stands, much water collects; and, to the south of the city are gardens, and, to the east of it, are tombs. The place has a fortified hill, and walls with ramparts [of stone], and a parapet.

⁷ The Calcutta Printed Text is deplorably bad here, and places the markūmah on the top of the mountain, which is contrary to fact.

^{*} See note *, page 334, for an explanation of tag ab Some copies of the te; instead of jool of the mountain, have top of the mountain. This stream is one of the tributaries of the Dijlah or Tigns.

It is said to have been surrounded with a strong wall of stone, and to have possessed two strong castles "Mayya-farikin is a celebrated city in the Divar-i-Bakr, near a feeder of the Dijlah or Tigris. There was a church of the Christians there from the time of the Masiha-on whom be peace '-and some of its walls still remain They relate that there was a physician whose name was Maronsa or Marunsa, of the kindred of Konstantin, the Lord of Rumfah-1-Kibrī [Rome], and a daughter of Shāpūr-i Zū-l-Aktāf [that is "Shāpūr of the Shoulder-Blades," because he caused every 'Arab who fell into his power to be deprived of his shoulder-blades. Such is well known from the Persian historians, but Gibbon, in his History, assures us, on the authority of D'HER-BELOT, that "Doulacnaf," as he terms it, signifies "protector of the nation"] had fallen grievously sick, even unto death, and the physicians of Fars were totally unable to cure her Some of Shapur's courtiers-lords of his Court-suggested that it was advisable to send for Maronsa, whose skill was famous, and so Shapur sent to Konstantin, saying: "Send Maronga," and Konstantin did so. When Maronsa arrived he set about curing the daughter of Shapur, and the remedies he administered had the desired effect, and her cure was brought

[&]quot;This good service was duly appreciated by the King, and he said to Maronsa:

After Hulau had released his mind from the affair of Baghdad, he despatched his son, with the whole of the

"Ask of me whatsoever [boon] thy heart desireth." Maronga made a request soliciting that the King would make peace with Konstantin, and Shāpūr acceded to his request. Up to this time hostilities were constantly going on between the two rulers.

"When Marongā presented himself to take leave on his returning to Rūmfah, Shāpūr said: "Name yet another wish in order that the royal beneficence may be extended towards thee personally." Marongā replied: "A vast number of Christians have been slain [during the late wars]: grant me permission to collect their bones." Shāpūr granted this request likewise; and a vast quantity of the bones of the slain Christians were collected together, and Marongā carried them away with him into his own country.

"Konstantin ratified the terms of accommodation, and was greatly pleased at peace being concluded, and also joyful because of the collection of these bones; and he said to Marongā; "Ask some boon of us likewise." Marongā said; "I pray that the King will afford me help and assistance in founding a place suitable [to receive these bones] in my own city and place of abode." Konstantin acceded to his wishes; and gave command that all those dwelling near by Marongā's city should belp him with the necessary funds.

"Maronga returned to his usual place of abode [which is not referred to by name], and founded a city [sic in MSS.]; and the bones, which he had brought back from the territory of Shāpūr, were deposited in the midst of the walls of the defences which surrounded it, and it was styled Yim [? Madrūsā ṣālā], which signifies Madfinat-uah Shahd—Martyropohis or City of the Martyra. A holy man once prophesied respecting it, that it would never be captured by force, on account of the sanctity which the bones of these martyrs had conferred upon it, which has proved true.

"The defences surrounding the place have eight gates, one of which is called the Bāb-uah-Shahwat, or Gate of Desire. Another gate is called the Bāb ul-Farah wa ul-Ni'am, or Gate of Gladness and of Benefits; and over the gate-way are two statues carved out of stone—one in the form of a man, who, with both hands, is making signs of gladness and joy, and that they call the statue of Gladness. The other figure is that of a man with a mass of rock on his head, which is the statue of Benefits [received]—In Mayyā-fāriķīn no afflicted or sorrowful person will be found, but, on the contrary [sic in M55], all that is good and excellent.

ii On the summit of a tower which they call the Burj-i-'Alf bin Wahah, facing the west, to indicate the kiblah [the direction to which people turn to pray], But-ui-Mukaddas—Jerusalem—a large cross is set up, and on the Church of the Holy Sepuichre is another cross like unto it; and it is said that the same person designed both crosses.

"Marongā founded a church—our author's monastery—in the midst of the city, which he dedicated to Bajanus [?] and Barlis—باست و برات and that church remains to this day, in a mahallat or quarter, which is known as the Rafāķ.1-Yahūd, and in it is a shrine of black marble, and in the shrine is a vessel of glass, in which is contained some of the blood of Yūṣha' [عرب]oshua] the son of Nūn, and that blood is a cure for every disease. When any leprous person is anointed with it, it removes the disease. They say that Varongā brought this blood away from Rūmiah, a gift from Konstanţin, at the time of obtaining permission to depart on his return home."

Mughal army, into the territory of the Malik-ul-Kāmil; and a host of infidels advanced to the gate of the fortress of Mayyā-fāriķin, and invested it, and commenced an attack upon it. For a period of three months or more they sat down before that fortress and besieged it arduously, and great numbers of the Mughals were killed and sent to hell, and wounded; but God knows the truth.

ACCOUNT OF THE MIRACLE [WHICH HAPPENED IN BE-HALF] OF THE MUSALMANS OF MAYYA-FARIKIN.

Trustworthy persons of 'Arab and 'Ajam have related on this wise, that, during the period of three months that the son of Hulāū carried on hostilities before the gate of the fortress of Mayyā-fārikīn,' [the contents of] every catapult discharged against that fortification from the infidel army came back again, and fell upor the heads of the infidels themselves, until they brought a famous catapult from Mauşil On the first day [after it was brought], they prepared within the fortress fire of naft' [naphtha],

Such, in a very brief form, is the account continued in an old geographer of Mayyā fāriķīn. European writers, quoting Greck and Syrian authorities, say Martyropolis was called Nephugard in the Armenian language, and Maifaikat in the Syrian, and style Marongā, by the name of, Marutha, and make a bishop of him

A considerable army, but not the whole by any means

Even the Pro-Mughal writers state that it held out nearly two years. When Hulaka Khān set out to invade Shām, he despatched, from the Diyāri-Bakr, his son Yūshmūt, along with the Nū-vīns, Ilkā and Sūntāe, and considerable army, to invest the town and fortress, or fortified town, of Mayyā-fārikīn, sending at the same time, envoys, calling upon the Malik ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, the ruler of its territory, to submit. This ruler had already witnessed enough of Mughal duplicity, treachery, and had faith, and he replied. "Thy words are not to be beheved, and no trust in to be placed in thee. It is useless to beat cold iron I am not going to be deceived by thy words; and while life lasts I will never submit." When the agents returned with this answer, the Shāh-zādah, Yūshriūt, and his Amīrs, prepared for hostilities. The Malik-ul-kāmil likewise got ready to encounter them, and he succeeded in making his people as determined as himself to resist the Mughals to the last. Next day, after the arrival of the enemy in his territory, he issued forth at the head of a gallant force, and attacked them, both sides sustaining some loss, and the Mughalmāns retired within the walls again.

3 This is the correct way of writing this word according to the vowel points, and not 'Mia-farkin,' as in note 7, at page 126

"The words are in the original but I An "cssay on the early use of

and discharged it [the composition] along with the stones of their catapults, and burnt that other catapult.

During this period of several months that fighting went on before the gate of the fortress, every day, according to one statement, by the omnipotence of the Creator, the Most High and Holy, seven horsemen—according to another, six, and according to a third account, less than

gunpowder" might be interesting here, but it would be perfectly out of place. Attempts have been made to prove that gunpowder was known, and artillery and fire-arms were used some centuries before their recognized date of approximate introduction One of the supposed proofs is, that the "Canunj-Khand" is said to contain the following .- "The calivers and cannons made a loud report, when they were fired off, and the noise which issued from the ball was heard at a distance of ten coss"? Another imaginary proof is, that, in the 416th Chhand of the same poem, it is said -"The Zambur lodged in his breast, and he fainted away:-thus fell Rái Govind the strength of Dehli." Zanbür, and another form of the word, here mean a cross-bow, and what struck Rai Gobind was a cross-bow bolt, but, because, at the present time, and since the invention of gunpowder probably, a small swivel carried on a camel's back is called by the same name, the word is supposed to be a proof that gunpowder must have been known in remote times. The literal meaning of Zanbūr is a wasp or hornet, and Zanbūrak is the diminutive form of the word -a little hornet An inflammable composition was often attached to the head of the bolts, and hence, probably, the expressive name, or from the noise they made in mid-air

The Dakhani historian, Firishtah, too, is supposed to have proved the existence of artillery as early as the year in which our author's History was finished, because he had the effrontery to state, according to Briggs's Revised ed of his History [Vol. L., p. 128]—and the same is contained in Dow's version—as rendered in Lelliot's INDLX, Vol L., p. 353, that "The Warir of the king of Dehli went out to meet an ambassador from Halákú [I have already shown the error respecting the "ambassador," so called, at page 859], the grandson of Changez Khán, with 3000 narrager of fire-works—Atuibbist" Firishtah saw guns and fire arms in the Dakhan, and, without taking the trouble, apparently, to consider, at once concluded that gun-powder and firearms were nothing new

The fact is that the previous names of the different missiles, and machines for discharging them, were retained after the invention of gun-powder, as may be seen from the statements of numerous Arabian and other writers, and hence all these ideas have arisen as to the knowledge of gun-powder among the ancients, and their use of artillery

As to Firightah's assertion respecting the "3000 carriages of fire-works," it is strange that our author, who is the sole authority for the events of that period, and who was present on the occasion of the arrival of some emissaries from Khurāsān, and the return to Dehlf of another, and describes the preparations in detail [page 856], did not see these "3000 carriages of fire-works," which, four hundred years after, Firishtah, who derives his information respecting the period in question from him, or rather from the Tabaḥāt-i-Akbarl—could give an account of. See note 1, page 631.

these—clothed in white garments, and with turbans [on their heads], were wont to sally down from the fortress and attack the Mughal forces. They used to despatch about a hundred or two hundred infidels to hell, while no arrow, sword, or lance of the infidels used to injure those white-clad horsemen, until about 10,000 Mughals had been sent to hell by that band. Hulāū despatched Ilkā Khān to the presence of his son, saying: "I captured Baghdād in less than a week [i], and thou art not able to take a small fortress [like this] in this long period of time." His son sent reply, saying: "Thou didst capture Baghdād through perfidy, whilst here it is necessary to me to wield the sword, and every day so many men are killed. It behoveth not to judge of this place by Baghdād." When

This number, of course, is pure exaggeration. They slew a great number, and among them many of the Mughal champions, as well as others

Among the troops of the Malik-ul-Kämil were two valiant horsemen, one of whom they used to style Saif-ud-Din, Arkali Lin some MSS Arkali and the other Kamr-1-Habush [? The first word of this name is also written and also 'Anbar-1-Habashi'—عمر حش or the like, but both are doubtfull, and, on this occasion, they each slew ten Mugh .ls; and continued to keep the fray alive. The second day, the same two cavahers resued forth, and slew several notedly brave horsemen among the Mughils, and the third day they did the same thing, and hurled a number of the enemy in the dust of contempt. The Mughals began to be terrified of them. On the fourth day, a Gurif [Georgian], named, by some, Azmādarī, and by others Aznāwarī, who was a famous champion, and used even to defeat an army by his prowess, and who, among the Mughals, was a pattern of valour, resolved to encounter them. Notwithstanding all this, on his going out, he was killed, after a short resistance, and his loss filled the Shah-zadah, Yushmut, with sorrow the Malik-ul-Kāmil placed a very powerful catapult on the walls of the city, and a number of Mughals were killed by it. The Mughal Amirs, from the force of that mischievous catapuit, were quite powerless, and at a joss what to do, until they found that Badr-ud-Din t-Lülü, the Hakim of Mauyil, had a catapult more powerful even than this one. They had it produced, and planted it equally high with, and opposite to, that of the fortress. It so happened, one day, that both catapults were discharged at the same time, and, as we hear of cannon shot, at times, meeting in the air, stones from the coffer or howl of either catapult, in which the stones or stone is placed-I do not recollect the technical term-met in mid air, and were dashed to atoms, to the astonishment of the spectators on both sides. The catapult worked on the Mughal side however was burnt in the night by a sally from the garrison of Mavyafarikin, and their onslaughts on the Mughals reduced them to helplessness

The Calcutta Printed text here has, the hain-envoys, etc., instead of the name of the Mughal leader—the Nü yin, Ilkan, or Ilka, but it was Arktu who was sent with the reinforcements, according to other accounts, for the Nü-yin, Ilkan, was already with Prince Yüshmüt's army

this message reached Hulāū he commanded: "Say ye to my son, 'take care to keep out of my sight, otherwise I will undoubtedly slay thee;' " and Hulāū took oath and vowed: "I will capture this fortress in three days." Then, with the utmost expedition, he proceeded towards Mayyāfūrikin, and set to to attack the place.

Hulākū did not do so, according to Pro-Mughal accounts Hulākū. on becoming aware of the state of affairs, despatched the Nü-yin, Arktu, with a large force, to the assistance of his son, Yughmut, with directions that he should cease his attacks upon the city and fortress, and merely blockade it, and allow famine to do the rest, as it was not necessary to give over his troops to be slaughtered uselessly. Just as Arktū arrived, and had delivered his message, these two cavaliers from Mayva farikin issued from the fortress as usual, and caused confusion among the Mughals. As Arktu had a little wine in his head at the time, he, without discretion, turned his face towards them to encounter them; and they [one of them probably] confronted him. At this erisis, the Nü-yin, Ilka or Ilkan, went forth to the assistance of Arktu, but he was almost immediately unhorsed by the champions (by the disengaged one?) and hurled to the ground. The Mughals, whose ideas of a fair fight seem to have been peculiar, now rushed in on all sides, and succeeded in rescuing the two No-vins, and, having remounted Ilkan, brought them out of the fight

In short, these champions continued to sally forth daily, and used to kill several of the Mughal soldiers. In this manner, a considerable time passedover two years, it is said-the Jami'-ut-Tawarikh says, until a whole year expired-until the defenders were reduced to famine; and, after having eaten all their cattle, they are dogs, cars, and rata, and were, at last, reduced to eat human firsh, by which means they managed to hold out another month length, they resolved to issue forth, fall on the enemy, and sell their lives dearly, but the Malik-ul-Kamil, Muhammad, would not permit them to do so, hang resolved to hold out to the last breath. Some few of those within now sent a letter to the Mughal Shah-zadah, Yüshmüt, to this effect. "Within this place no one remains of those who had the power to offer resistance, and nought but a few with breath remaining, but body dead, exist, and they are about to eat each other—the father to eat the son, and the son his parent—to prolong their misery a lette longer. If the Shah zadah should now move against the city and fortress, there is no one to resist him." Yüshmüt at once despatched Arkta with a force to attack it. On reaching the place he found the whole of the defenders dead, with the exception of 70 or 80 half dead persons, who remained concealed in the houses. The Mahk-ul Kanul, with his brother, they also found, and conveyed them to the presence of Yaghn at The Mughal troops set to to plunder, when the two champion cavahers appeared on the roof of one of the houses, and were killing with their hows and arrows all who attempted to approach them. Arkin now despatched a strong party of his troops to endeavour to capture them alive, and they came upon them in all directions. Seeing this, these bon hearted men descended from the house-top, and, with their shields over their faces, threw themselves upon the Mughals, and fought until they were slain. The persons found within Mayya-farikin were subsequently put to the sword, but the Mahk-ul Kamil was sent to Hulaku's presence, at the Tal-i-Bashir, a strong fort and small town on a tal or hill or mound, two Every day, as on the previous occasions, several men in white garments, with turbans, were wont to come down, and used to despatch more than two or three hundred infidels to hell. For a period of three days conflicts were fought, and, subsequently, for three days more, Hulāū continued there, and directed such severe attacks to be made that 10,000 more infidels went to hell. Hulāū then intimated [to the defenders], say ing: "This fortress belongs to Tingri, and therefore I have absolved you, but I have one request to make, and it is this. Show me those white clad horsemen, that I may look upon them, and see what sort of men they are."

When this message reached the people of the fortress, they with one accord swore the most solemn oath, [saying]: "In the same manner that they are unknown to you, we likewise know not that band, and know not who they are." Hulāu replied: "On this account, for the sake of Tingri, I present unto you, as a propitatory offering, a thousand horses, a thousand camels, a thousand cattle, and a thousand sheep—Send out your confidential people that they may take possession of them." The people of the fortress replied: "We have no want of any offerings of thine, neither will we send any one out—If thou hast anything to send indeed, send it here, otherwise send the whole to hell," so that they [the narrators] relate, that Hulāu left there that number of cattle, horses, camels, and sheep, and that he raised the investment, and went towards a place,

days' journey N of Halab, on the great caravan route from the latter city to Işfahān through Mesopotamia and Assyria

They were Musalmans our author means

Our author is rather too liberal in slaughtering here.

This was the rumour, probably, which reached our author at Dihlf, about the time he completed his History, and when no authentic accounts could have been received. When the unfortunate Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, was brought before Hulākū, the latter began to enumerate all his misdeeds, the greatest of which, doubtless, was that of defending his own, and said: "My brother showed goodness to thee, and treated thee with royal favour, and the return for all this is what thou hast now done." After that he commanded that he should be put to death under the most frightful tortures. They first starved him nearly to death, and then cut the flesh from his limbs, and compelled him to eat of it, until, after he had lingered in this manner for some time, death came to relieve him. The Malik-ul-Kāmil, Muḥammad, was a devout and abstinent man—a recluse almost—and supported himself by the needle and making garments. These events happened in 657 H.

a verdant plain, which they call the Saḥrā-i-Māṣḥ* [the Rat's Plain], where there is soft mud and stagnant water, and sank in it; while some have related that he encountered the army of Shām in battle, and was vanquished, and annihilated along with all his army, and went to hell.

Others again relate that the Malik-un-Nāṣir of Ḥalab sought help from all the forces of Shām, and from the Farangs [Franks]; and that, numerous forces having collected about him, Hulāū, the accursed, is, up to this date, occupied with them, and has, once, sustained a severe defeat; so that, what may be the issue of the matter who shall say? Please God, that it may be victory and success to the Musalmāns.

The Pro-Mughal writers would not mention such a matter as this, because when they wrote, their Mughal masters were Musaimans, and naturally ashamed of such braial proceedings.

* A town of this name appears in the maps, in this same locality, near the banks of the eastern branch of the upper Euphrates, about fifty miles west of the Lake of Win. The valley of the Furāt, N. of the All Digh, mentioned in note , page 1263, para. 3, is referred to The city of the Mügh lies to the west.

It was Kaibūkā's defeat, no doubt, which our author heard of. News did not travel fast in his day, and people at Dibli were in doubts, at the time he finished his work, as to Hulākū's subsequent proceedings. It is curious to read the reports which reached our author; certainly there was some little truth in them, and, therefore, I will, before closing the subject, give a few details respecting the events in question.

That our author, at such a distance, may have been partially misled, is not surprising, but what can one think of Ihn Bajūjah, who, having travelled into Shām, and other countries, some seventy-six years afterwards, could write such utter nonsense as the following: "Jengus [but Chingus in the original] Khām gut possession of Māwarā El Nahr, and destroyed Bokhāra, Samarkand, and El Tirmidh: killed the inhabitants, taking prisoners the youth only, etc., etc. He then perished, having appointed the son, Hūlākū, to succeed him. Hūlākū (soon after) entered Bagdad, destroyed it, and put to death the Calif El Mostassem [Khalfak Al-Musta'um, in the original] of the house of Abbās, and reduced the inhabitants. He then proceeded with his followers to Syria, until divine Providence put an end to his career: for he was defeated by the army of Egypt, and made prisoner!" Lie's Translation.

Hulākū had called upon the ruler of Mışr to submit and acknowledge fealty to the Mughals. At that time, the ruler was a Turk-mān. The first of these rulers was 'Izz-ud-Dln, I-bak, a Turk-mān, who, after the termination of the dynasty of the Bani Aiyūb [See Section xv., page 203], in the latter part of Rabi'-ul-Akhir, 648 H., obtained predominance over Mişr. He set up Şalāḥud-Din, Khaili, son of the Malik-ul-Kāmil, of the Aiyūbi dynasty, who was then only ten years old, while he hunself conducted the affairs of the kingdom, but the young Prince was set aside, and is no more referred to. On several

One among the comers from those parts has stated to

occasions, hoatilities arose between 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, and the Malik-un-Nāṣir of Shām. After reigning seven years, 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, had returned home one day from playing at the game of Changān, and on reaching his palace ordered the bath to be prepared. He entered it, and, while he was at the bath, Muhan-i-Jauhari, one of his retainers, accompanied by a slave, entered, and slew him. This happened on the night of Wednesday [our Tuesday night], the 11th of Muharram-but some say the 25th, and others that it was the 25th of Rabi'-al-Awwal--655 H. The following day, Wednesday, the amasaina were taken and hung. 'Izz-ud-Din, I-bak, was a man of talent and valour, but a blood-shedder. The Amirs and Ministers of the kingdom of Mişr, on this, set up I-bak's son, the Mahk-ul-Manşūr, Nūr-ud-Din, 'Ali'; but in Rabi'-ul-Ākhir, 655 H., Saif-ud-Din, Kudūz, a Turk-mān, became his Atā-Bak, and Amirul-Umrā, and soon after set ande Nūr-ud-Din, 'Ali', and under the title of Malik-ul-Muṣaffar, usurped the sovereignty of Mişr for himself.

A number of the leaders and soldiery of the rulers of Migr and Shām, at this period, had been formerly in the service of Sulfān Jalil-ud-Din, Khwāraim Shāh. After the battle at Akhlāţ they had retired into Shām, under their Sardārs, Rarkat Khān, Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Din Khān, son of Baltarak or Yaltarak, Malik Saif-ud-Din, Şādık Khān, son of Mangukā or Mangukā, Malik Nāşir-ud-Din, Kashiu Khān, son of Bek-Arsalān, Atlas Khān [in some I-yal-Arsalān], and Nāşir-ud-Din, Fushamirī [[[]] — also written Kaimirī, and even Kusham— [[]] and others. See pages 230 and 766.

When Hulika moved towards Sham, they kept out of the way, but, after he left and returned towards Agarbāijān again, they assembled, and turned their faces towards Misr and Kähirah [vul. Cairo], and stated their distress to Saif-ud-Din, Kuduz. He treated them generously and liberally, and took them all into his service, and they became the bulwark of his kingdom. When the Mughal envoys reached the presence of Kudüz, he consulted with the Khwarazmi Amirs. It appears that they had news of the death of Mangill Ka'an by the time these envoys arrived, and they exhorted him to resist the Mughals, particularly as Kaibūķā had been left in those parts [in Shām-in Halab and Damashk]. Näşır-ud-Din, Fushamırl or Kalmırl, urged that it was "I far better to die fighting honourably than trust to the good faith of Mughals. who never fulfilled their most solemn promises and compacts, as witness the case of Khur Shih; the Lord of the Fatthful, the Khaiifah; Husim-ud-Din, 'Alah; and the Sahib of Arbil." Kuduz approved of this, and thought it best, since the Mughals had carried their devastations into so many parts, even as far as the territory of Rum, in such wise that neither seed remained to low, nor oxen to plough the land, to be beforehand with them, and attack them, and so save Misr from invasion, or perish honourably

The principal of the Amirs of Misr, Bandkadār, advised that the envoys should be treated in Mughal fashion and put to death, and that they should fall unawares upon Kaibūkā. The emissaries accordingly were put to death one night, and, the following morning, the troops of Misr set out. A Mughal Amir, Pšedār by name, who, with a force of Mughals, formed the advanced post towards Misr, as soon as he became aware of this movement, sent a courser to Kaibūkā, who was then at Ba'albak, warning him of their coming. Kaibūkā directed him to hold his ground firmly, and expect his speedy arrival. It so happened, that Kudūz drove Pšedār back as far as the banks of the river of 'Āṣt-the Orontes—and then, with much military skill, disposed of the greater part of

this effect, that Hulau has gone to hell, and that his son

his troops in ambush, and, with the remainder, took up his position on a hill near the 'Avn-i-Illut-Goliath's Spring-to act on the defensive, Kaibūki having arrived near by with a great army. The Muchele, seeing but a small force posted on a hill, proceeded to attack it, upon which, Kudüz, after a slight opposition, faced about, and pretended to fly. The Mughals, on this, became still more daring, and pursued them, inflicting some loss on the troops of Kudür: but, when they were fairly drawn into the ambuscade, the troops of Misr attacked them front and rear, and on both flanks, throwing them into confusion. The engagement lasted from early morning to noon; and Kaibükk was charging the Misris in person, in all directions, and endeavoured to restore order, although advised to fly, to which he replied ; "Since death cannot be escaped, better to meet it in fame and honour. If a single man out of this army is able to reach the presence of the Khan, let him say to him that his servant, Kaibükā, did not wish to return ashamed. Tell him not to take this reverse to heart: let him merely imagine that the wives of his soldiers have not become pregnant this year, and that his mares have not foaled." At this juncture he was brought from his horse to the ground and made captive. After the capture of Kaibūķā, the Nāemān, the remnant of the Mughal army that remained, concealed themselves in a case forest, in the Wadi, near by the scene of the battle, and Kudüs gave order to fire it in all directions, which was done's and they were all burnt

After this, Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, was brought with his hands bound, before Kudüz, the Turk-man, who said to him: "Karbükā! because that thou hast shed a vast deal of innocent blood unjustly, hast destroyed chiefs and great men after getting them into thy power by false and treacherous promises, and hast runed numbers of ancient families, thou hast now to answer for all this, and suffer the punishment such acts call for " Kaibūķā boldly replied, according to the Pro-Mughal writers: "If, at thy hands, I am killed, I hold it to come from the Great God, not from thee; and, when Hulaku Khan hears of my death, the sea of his wrath will rise into such a storm, that, from Azarbājiān to Misr's gate, the ground will be levelled beneath the hoofs of the Mughais' horses, and they will carry away the sands of Misr in their horses' nose-burn. He has 300,000 [some have 600,000] horsemen like unto me : account them one the less." Kudûs answered him there again was the hereditary enmity between Turks and Mughals: Kudür was a Turk-män, and Bandkadär, his Wazīr, a Khifchāk Turk]: " Boast not so much, perfidious man, of the powers of the horsemen of Türku. They effect their purposes by treachery, perfidy, and fraud: not manfully and openly like the hero, Rustam." After a few more taunts on either side. Kudüz had the head of the Nü-yin Kaibüki struck off and sent to Migr. He then pushed on with his forces, as far as the Furat, plundered the Mughal artist, made captives of their women and children, and "carried them away into the house of bondage;" slew the whole of the Mughal Shahnahs and officials located in Shām [Syna] by Hulāku, with the exception of the Shahnah of Damaahk, who fied the very night the news of the defeat of Kaibūķā reached him. The "horsemen of Türān" did not "carry away the sands of Misr in their none-bags," as Kaibūķā vainly boasted, but they carried off defeat again and again.

The overthrow of Kaibūkā, the Nāemān, is not to be found in the Fanākatī; these defeats are ignoved, and victories only chronicled.

Bandkadär above seferred to, who was a Turk of Khifchäk, under the style and

has been set up at the city of Rai in his father's place; but God knows the truth.

fitle of Malik-uj-Tähir [called Sulfla Firiz, by Guzidah]; dethroned the Malik-ul-Muyaffar, Saif-ud-Din, Kudāz, and succeeded to the sovereignty of Miyr, in Zi-Ka'dah, 658 H. To him Ahmad, son of Muhammad, son of Ibrilhim, son of Abi-Bikr, son of Ehallikāa, a native of Arbal [Arbela of Europeans], near Manyil, known generally as Ibn Khalikān, deducated his selebrated biographical work. He went into Misr in 654 H. Fasjāg, also written Fusiki of Miyr, was Bandkadār's capital.

I must go back a little. On the approach of Huläkü towards Shām, the Malik-un-Nāṣir, Ṣalāḥ-ud-Din, Yūsuf, son of the Malik-ul-'Azis, fled from Halah, and, taking his family with him, sought safety in the desert of Karak. Subsequently, after Huläkä ratired from Shām, Kaubūkā was desurous of investing him therein, but the Malik-un-Nāṣir agreed to submit, on his safety being promised; and he came down, and delivered up that fortress. Kaubūkā sent him to the presence of Hulākū, who treated him well, and promised to restore him to the sovereignty over Shām when he, Hulākū, should have subdued Migr. How Mughal promises were fulfilled the following will show.

The very day before the news of the Nü-yin Kaibūķā's overthrow on the 27th of Ramagan, 657 H., reached Hulaku, near the Ala Tagh, on his way back into Agarbāljān, he had conferred on the Malık-un-Nāşir the rulership of Damashk, and had permitted him to set out thither, escorted by 300 Sakf-Shimi?-horse. On the news of the disaster, a great change came over Hulākū; and, at this juncture, a Shāmi, who was an old enemy of the Malikun-Nastr, influenced the mind of Hulaku against him. He instructed that he was not loyally disposed, and related matters which produced such an effect, that 200 Mughal cavalry were forthwith despatched in pursuit of him. The advance party of that force, having overtaken the Malik-un-Nāşu, stated that they had orders, from the Bādghāh, to give him a feast, wherever they might meet him; and, with this plea, got him to alight. They began to ply him with wine, at this entertainment; and, when he was sufficiently intoxicated fand his escort too, probably], the Mughals fell upon him, and slew him, and every one of his party, with the single exception of one man, a Maghrabi, a pretended astrologer, whom they allowed to escape. This happened at the close of the year 657 H., but there is another account in which it is stated that the Malik-un-Naşır was put to death in 658 H [early in the year-which is much the same), on receipt of the news of Kaibūkā's defeat, together with his son, the Malik-nt-Tahir, and all who belonged to them, and that, thereby, that branch of the Aryūbi Kurdi dynasty terminated.

After this act, the Nü-yin, İlkan, with a numerous army was despatched into Sham to recover what had been lost, and take vengeance for this defeat. Rashid-ud-Din says, Huiakü intended to have done so himself, but was prevented through the disturbances which arose consequent upon the death of Mangū Kā'ān.

In the year 658 H., the Shāh-zādah, Yūghmūt, accompanied by the Amir, Sūntāe, after the affair of Mayyā-fāriķin, by command of his father, proceeded to subdue the territory of Mārddin. When Yūghmūt and his forces appeared before that fortified city, they were amazed on beholding its strength. It is described in the Masalik wa Mamālik, and in Ism Haukal, as an impregnable

ANOTHER MIRACLE [WROUGHT IN BEHALF] OF THE MUSALMANS.

Trustworthy persons related in this manner, that the son of Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Lü-lü, the Mausili, was along with the son of Huläü, and used to witness those conflicts, the overthrow of the Mughals, and the triumph of the holy warriors of Mayyā-fāriķin [as already recorded]. He used to be filled with wonder at the circumstance, and was wont to extol the Divine assistance, until, one night he saw in a dream the sacred beauty of the sun of the universe, Muhammad, the Apostle of God—the blessing of the Almighty be upon him and guard him!—who,

fortress on a mountain, which produces belaur or crystal, and measures, from the bottom to the summit, two formers. Yughmit despatched the Ni-vin Arktů, to the Malik-us-Sa'id, the Şāḥib, or Lord of Mārddin, to induce him to submit. He, too, refused, saying, that he had always contemplated doing so, but found that not the least reliance could be placed on Hulākā's most solemn promises, as might be judged of from the murder of Khūr Shāh, the Khaiffah, and many others, and that to die sword in hand was far preferable to being put to death under brutal torments. Further, that his fortress was strong, and he intended to defend it. It held out for eight months, during which the other parts of his territory of Märddin, Danisus, and Arran, near by, had fallen into the hands of the Mughals, when a pestilence broke out, and famine arose, and the Malik-us-Sa'ld fell ill. He had two sons. The eldest, Musaffar-ud-Din, washed his father to surrender, who would not hear of it. Musaffar-ud-Din then determined, in order to save the lives of the remaining people, it is affirmed, to administer poison to his sick father, and sent a message to Arktit, saying: "The person who opposed you is no more: if you wish me to come down and submit, come hostilities, and withdraw your troops farther off." This was done; and Musaffar-ud-Din came down along with his brother, and their family, and dependents. They were seat to Hulākū's presence; and he, at first, required restitution of the son for pulsoning his father-what a conscientious champson of justice I what a chivalrous warner I -but, when Mugaffar-ad-Din said that he had done at to save the lives of thousands, after all his entreaties were of no avail, and when his father was sick unto death, and would have died in a few days more, Hulākū's sensitive conscience was satisfied; he treated the particide with much favour, and conferred upon him the territory of Marddin as his vassal. Mugaffar-ud-Din was living up to the year 695 M.

Sir John Malcolm, in his History of Persia, crowds the capture and investment of Baghdid, the murder of the "Caliph," together with the "conquest," as he styles it, "of the remainder of Persia, Mesopotamia, and Syria," all into one year—655 M. 1

⁴ In the Calcutta Printed Text, and one modern MS. copy, Khwājah—a man of distinction, etc., is used instead of Khūrghed—the sun! In some copies the word Mihr is used instead of the latter word.

standing on the summit of the ramparts of the fortress of Mayva-farikin, and having drawn the hem of his blessed garment around that fortress, was saying: "This fortress is under the protection of Almighty God, and under the apostolic guardianship of me who am Muhammad." The son of Malik Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū, through the fear inspired by this dream, awoke; and was all the [next] day in this reflection: "This is an astonishing dream: what may be the manner of its interpretation?" The second night, and the third night, he saw the same vision; and the awe and terror in consequence of this overcame him both internally and externally. On the third day, accompanied by his own personal attendants, he mounted, under the plea of going to the chase, and separated from the camp of the infidels, and proceeded towards his own country. When his father [Badr-ud-Din-i-Lü-lü] became aware of this, he sent him his commands, saying: "Me and my territory thou hast plunged into death and ruin! Why didst thou commit suchlike conduct and opposition? I will not, in any manner, allow thee to come before me." The son of Badr-ud-Din-i-Lū-lū penned a message to his father, saying: "I cannot war with Muhammad, the Apostle of God-The Almighty bless him and guard him! -and such was my condition;" and he wrote out a statement of the matter, and related all the vision; and he departed into some other part; and, up to this date, the condition of him and of his father is not known. God knows the truth.

Malik Badr-ud-Din, Abü-l-Faşā'il-ı-Lü-lü, died at Mauşil, at the age of mnety-six—some say he was over a hundred—in the year 559 H, after ruling fifty years. Hulākū Khān confirmed his son, Malik Şāliḥ-i-Ismā'il, in his father's territory, but, after a short time, unable any longer to endure the yoke of the Mughal, he left Mauşil, and retired into Mişr, preferring to serve there rather than be a slave to the Mughals. At this time the Mughals had been overthrown by the Mişr's on two occasions, and the wife of Malik Şāliḥ—Sulṭān Jalāl-ud-Din's daughter—gave intimation of her husband's flight, to Mişr, to the Court of Hulāku.

Malık Şālıh was paid great attention to by Bandkadār, who had now become ruler of Mişr, and had subjected Damaahk, and who sent him back, with an escort of 1000 Kurd horsemen, in order to bring away his treasures and valuables from Mauşil to Mişr. This having become known to the Mughals, an order was given to the Mughal forces in the Diyār-i-Bakr to occupy all the routes by which he could leave Mauşil, and the Nü-yin, Shidāghū or Shidāghū, with 10,000 cavalry, set out to and in his capture, and Malık Saitr-

[Here our author brings in a kastdah, several pages in length, composed in 'Arabic, by Yahyā, the son of A'kab,

ud-Din, the Tabrisi, with a semin of Tājaik levies, was also sent for the same

purpose.

Malik Sälih, who had come down to Joghak—a suburb probably—and given himself up to pleasure, was speedily brought to his senses by the danger; and the people of Manjai also became terrified. Malik Sälih now shut himself up within the walls, and enlisted all the fighting men he could collect—Kurds, Turk-māns, and Shüla. The Mughals soon after completely invested Manjai, but were opposed with valour and obstinacy by the Kurds and Turk-māns, who made frequent sallies. Fighting went on in this manner for about a month, when eighty Mughal champions made an attempt to surprise the citadel, but they were killed to a man, and their heads falling into the camp of the Mughals announced their fate. Sadr-ud-Din, Tabrizi, commander of the Tājgli tomdis, was badly wounded during the investment, and was allowed to return home invalided. At Ālā Tāgh, on his way to Tabriz, he reached the presence of Hulākū, and acquainted him with the state of affairs at Mauji, and he, without farther delay, despatched a considerable force to the assistance of the Nū-yin Shidāghū.

When Handkadar became acquainted with Malik Sälib's danger, he detached a force from Migr to his aid, under Aghügh, the Arpalü, who, on reaching Sanjär, wrote a despatch to Malik Şālıb, announcing his arrival there, and, fastening it to the wing of a carrier pigeon, despatched the bird to Mausil. It so happened that the tired pigeon came and perched on a catapult belonging to the Mughals, and the catapult workers caught it, and brought it, with the despatch, to the Nu-yin, Shidaghu He had the letter read; and, considering this incident a sure prognostic of success for the Mughais, set the pigeon free to continue its journey. He then, without delay, despatched a force of 10,000 men to fall unawares upon the troops of Misr under Achush, which they did, slaughtering the greater number of them. Then, donning the clothes of the alain Shamis, and endeavouring to make themselves look like Kurds, they moved back towards Mausil, and gave intimation to their leader. Shidaghu. saying that they had gained a complete victory, and the following morning, laden with plunder, in the disguise of Shamis, they would arrive as though proceeding to Mausil Next day, when they approached, a number of the people of Mausil, under the supposition that they were the Shamis from the ruler of Migr, coming to their deliverance, issued forth to receive them, with great giee, for, to facilitate matters, Shidaghu had withdrawn his other forces to the opposite direction. The people of Vausil fell into the trap, and were surrounded, and massacred to a man, but Malik Salih succeeded in entering the city again. After resisting for a space of six months longer, in Ramagan, 660 H - Fasth-I says, m 663 H.- the city was taken, and the remainder of the inhabitants were put to the sword, not a soul being left alive who fell into their hands. After some time, about 1000 persons crept out of holes and corners and assembled there, and for some time were the only inhabitants of Maugil. Mairk Salih fell into the hands of the Mughals, and was conducted to the presence of Hulaku [in Agarbaijan] The ferocious barbarian, exasperated at the defeats the Mughals had sustained, directed the Nü-yin, lika, to have him enveloped -not simply hismorical with fat - in fat tails of the dumbah, or fat-tailed sheep, sewn up in felt, and then exposed to the

who was the disciple of the Khalifah, 'Alf, and, subsequently, the tutor of Hasan and Husain, his sons. This kasidak prophecies the irruption of the Turks. the sedition of the Chingiz Khan in Chin and Tamghaj, and the fall of the Muhammadan empires; and also the total annihilation of the Turks. Our author gives a Persian translation of the poem, and argues, and draws his own conclusions. from what has happened, up to his own time, that the period of their total and complete annihilation was close at hand—it was to happen in 650 H. or in 661 H.—since he left Hulau and his Mughals in Sham, a few pages back, where their destruction was to take place. There he relates that it had even then been reported to have happened, but "the wish is parent to the thought," and, like other prophecies, this one has never come about. As the kasidak itself, and our author's commentary thereon, which is very diffuse, are of no historical value whatever, and as the former is very similar to others omitted at the beginning of this Section, I see no occasion for burdening the translation with it.]

Having recorded the prediction respecting the extinction of the power of the infidel Mughals, I desired that this TABAKĀT-I-NĀŞIRI should not conclude with the sedition and calamity of the infidels; and, since one person of that race, and a Khān among them, has attained unto the felicity of conversion to the true faith and bliss of Islām, an

burning heat of the summer sun, until, after a week, the tails became putrid, and swarming with maggots—which was the object in view—which began to attack the wretched victim, who for one month lingered in this Mughal terment. It was such deviluh doings as these that Kudüz, ruler of Migr—who was himself a Turk-min—referred to when he taunted Kalbüjü that they could do nothing like men.

Malik Still left a son, a babe of two or three years of age, who was taken back to Mausil, and cut in twain, one half of the child's corpue being suspended on one side of the Dijlah, and the other on the Mausil side, and left there to rot, as a warning of Mughal vengeance. What became of Sulfan Jalil-ad-

Din's daughter, Malik Salih's wife, has not transpired.

4 He means the Mughai I-mile as descendants, along with the Tättär I-mile, and the other Turks, of the common parent. [See note to page 873.] Our author was not otherwise so ignorant as to class his master and sovereign, and his great patron, the Ulugh Khān, who both belonged to the Turk tribes of Khifchile, of whom more anon, among Turks, or to style Mughais Turks, save with this distinction.

account of his conversion shall be committed to writing, and, with it, this book shall, please God, conclude.

ACCOUNT OF THE CONVERSION OF BARKA KHAN, SON OF TOSHI KHAN, SON OF THE CHINGIZ KHAN, THE MUGHAL.*

Trustworthy persons related after this manner, that the nativity of Barkā Khān, son of Tūshī, son of the Chingiz Khān, [who] was [ruler over] the land of Saksīn and Khifchāk, and Turkistān, [took place] at the time that his father, Tūshī, captured Khwārazm, and marched forces into the country of Saksīn, Bulghār, and Suklāb.

When this Barka Khan was born of his mother, his father said: "This son of mine I have made a Musalman. Find a Musalman nurse for him, that his navel-string may be cut by a Musalman, and that he may imbibe Musalman milk, for this son of mine will become a Musalman." In accordance with this intimation, a nurse severed the navel-string [of the child] according to Musalman custom; and, at the breast of a Musalman nurse, he imbibed milk.

7 This portion is wanting in some copies of the text, and is imperfect in others to the extent of several pages.

The I. O. L. MS., No. 1952, and the best Paris MS., invariably give him the name of Balkh.—I is certainly interchangeable with r, in Turkish names, as in the case of the Nu-yin, Sall, also written Sari, but I have never seen this name written nave with r, although I have seen others, as in the case of Balkh-Tirin.

The text is particularly defective here. Nearly every copy has: "the birth or nativity—195—of Barkh Khin, etc., took place in [or was in] the land of Chin, Khifchile, and Turkistin," which, of course, is sheer nonsense. One or two copies have 10.25—"kingdom" or "sovereignty"—instead of 10.35—"birth"—which makes the passage no better, but gives something of a chee to a more correct reading of it. As it stands in the text it is vaintelligible, and therefore, I have, as will be noticed, taken a slight liberty with it, as shown by the words between brackets, which is conformable with the statements of other writers, in order to make sense of it.

Along with Okthe and Chaghatae.

The author of the Tärlich-i-Rashidi says "Salash, also written Safisin (in error, I should suppose), is the name of a territory in Turkusin;" but, in consension with the other names mentioned, the former word appears to refer to a territory in Europa. The two latter are what Europeans call Bulgaria and Schwonia.

His father intended Jūjf should invede those parts, and commanded him to do so, but, as already mentioned in note 2, page 1101, para. 4, he did not do so. When the boy reached the period of instruction and edification, he [Tüshi] assembled a number of Muhammadan priests together, and selected one among them, who instructed his son in the Kur'an. Some among the trustworthy relate that Barka studied the Kur'an in the city of Khuiand, with one of the pious 'Ulama of that city. When he attained unto the period for circumcision, his circumcision was carried out. On his attaining unto puberty, as many Musalmans as were in Tushi's army were directed to be attached to Barka's following; and, when his father, Tüshi, departed from this world, from having been poisoned by the Chingiz Khan, and his [Barka's] brother, Batu, succeeded his father, Tushi, on the throne, he [Bātū] continued to support Barka in the same exalted position as before, and confirmed him in his command, fiefs, vassals, and dependents.

In the year 631 H., a party of agents of Barkā Khān came, from the land of Khifchāk, to the presence of the august Sultān, Shams-ud-Dunyā wa ud-Din [I-yal-timish]—may he rest in peace!—and brought with them presents and rarities, but, as that august monarch used not to keep the gates of intercourse and friendship with the Khāns of the Mughals open, in any way, he used not to admit their envoys to his presence, and was wont to turn them back in a courteous manner. These envoys from Barkā Khān the Sultān sent to the preserved fortress of Gwāliyūr. They were a party of Musalmāns; and, every

³ Khwārazm was taken in 618 H, and Jūji died in the third month of 624 H, and, consequently, Barkā must have been in his seventh year when his father died, according to this account, but, from what follows, Barkā, even by our author's own account, must have been older

Some copies of the text have "the land of Chin and Khifchāk," which cannot be correct. At this time, Barkā, Barkāe, or Barkah, had not succeeded to the throne he did so nineteen years after the above date

⁴ The most modern St. Petersburg copy of the text ends here.

Figure 1: Here was a Musalmān sending his agents to a brother Musalmān, but the one was a Turk of the Mugh. I i-māķ, the other a Turk claiming descent from the elder branch, namely, from the Ilbari tribe of Khifchāķ, which had been ousted from, and compelled to leave, their native country by the Mughals about twelve years before—I-yal-timah, however, had been sold by his own brothers, and some of the tribe had been before displaced: still we here see the natural hatred existing between Tāttār Turk and Mughal Turk, which even Islāmism could not quench, and never has quenched, I believe: but, on the other hand, I-yal-timish behaved no better to the envoy of Suljān

Friday, they used to be present in the Jāmi' Masjid of Gwāliyūr, and used to repeat their prayers behind the Nawwāb' of the writer of this TABAKĀT, Minhāj-i-Sarāj, until, in the reign of the Sulṭān, Raziyyat—The Almighty's mercy be upon her!—the author of this book, after a period of six years, returned to the illustrious capital, Dihlt, from Gwāliyūr. In the end, this sovereign was put in seclusion; and the agents of Barkā Khān likewise were ordered to be removed from Gwāliyūr to Ķinnauj, and they were restricted to the limits of that city; and there likewise they died [in captivity].

When Barka Khan attained unto greatness, he came from the land of Khischak for the purpose of making a pilgrimage to the surviving illustrious men and 'Ulama of Islam, and arrived at the city of Bukhara. He performed his pilgrimages, and went back again linto Khifchakl, and despatched confidential persons to the Capital of the Khilafat. A number of trustworthy persons have related on this wise, that, on two occasions or more, Barka Khan was honoured with dresses of honour from the Khalifah's Court, even during the lifetime of his brother, Bātū Khān, The whole of his army, about 30,000 horse, were all Musalmans, and the orthodox ceremonies fof the Sunni sect) were established. Trustworthy persons have also related that, throughout his whole army, it is the etiquette for every horseman to have a prayer-carpet with him, so that, when the time for prayer arrives, they may occupy themselves in their devotions. Not a person in his whole army takes any intoxicating drink whatever; and great 'Ulama, consisting of commentators, traditionists, theological jurists, and disputants, are in his society. He has a great number of religious books, and most of his receptions and debates are with 'Ulama. In his place of audience debates on moral science and eccle-

Jalal-ud-Din, Khwarazm Shah, who was a Turk like himself [but not descended from the "Gusses"], for his envoy was possened

⁴ Substitutes, deputies—the plural of web-those who officiated for our author as Imains during his absence.

⁷ This was in the latter part of 635 H. These unfortunate men had then been under detention four years. See pages 643-44

His own contingent troops

siastical law constantly take place; and, in his faith, as a Musalman, he is exceedingly sound and orthodox.

• Having become a Mussiman he was naturally inclined towards the people of that faith; and, as the representative of his brother, Batü, the head of the family of the Chingis Khān, who was instrumental to Mangü's succession to the Ka'ān-ship, Barkā, under instructions from Bātū, had been activaly sugaged in establishing him therein, as already related. Barkā therefore was naturally inclined to assume a superiority over Hulākū, his cousin, who was the servant of Mangū, his brother; for, since Bātū's death, Barkā had himself been regarded at the head of the family; and now that so many Musaimān sovereigns had been sacrificed, and their dominions annexed, but, more particularly, since the cruel treatment and martyrdom of the innocent head of the Muḥammadan religion, all ties between them were broken; and Barkā wowed vengeance against Hulākū in consequence.

Hulikū died at No-ahahr of Agarbāfjān, on the night of Sunday—our Saturday night—the 19th of Rahī-ul-Ākhir, 663 H., aged forty-eight, after ruling over I-rin-Zamīn aine years and three mouths. He was buried on the mountain of Shāhū, which is opposite the village of Khwārkān, according to the Fanākatī and Raahīd-ud-Dīn, but the Tārīkh-i-Jahān-gir says Shahān-talah, the mountain north of Tahrīz the same place, probably, ander a different

Dame.

Raghfd-ud-Din, who was Wazir to Hulikü's great grandson, as a matter of course is politic enough to view everything from the Hulikü point of view, and puts all the fault upon Barkii. He, however, acknowledges that Burkii Ehin was considered the Akii, or head of the family, but, that Hulikü had determined not to endure Barkii's threats, whereas it was Barkii who promptly followed his threats with acts. One of the chief causes of complaint on Barkii's part was, that Hulikü had made no destinction whatever between friend and fos; and had put the innocent Ehalffah to death, without consulting himself in any way, whose, by right of his position, it was necessary Hulikü should have referred to, especially as he was a Musalmin.

At the time Huliku retired from Halab, on the news of his brother's death reaching him, Balgha or Balghan, also written Balka and Balkan with &, son of Shaiban, son of Juil, who was one of the Shah-sadaha sent to serve under Hulākū, died suddenly at an entertainment. Soon after, another Shith-sidah. Tittir Aghil, another kussman of Barki Khin's, was accused of having caused Balgha's death by sorcery, and he was put to death on the 17th Safar, 658 H. [The Fanakati says he was sent to Barki, as head of the family, to, be dealt with, under the escort of the Nü-yin, Sünjäk, and Alfi says Barkā sent him back again, but, in such case, how could Barki make the execution of this Shāh-zādah a pretext for making war on Hulākū 7 The Sadr, Sāūchi, was also executed by Hulākū's order, because he was said to have written a charm for Tütär. Soon after these events, Koli, another Shah-zadah of Jüif's house. serving with the Mughal forces under Hullkli, also died, upon which, his retinue, and dependents, made their escape from Huläkü's camp, and fied by way of the sea of Gilan [the Caspian], and the Dar-Band, and made for the Daght-1-Kibchāk, or Khuschāk, the territory of Barkā Khān,

When the death of his three kinsmen became known to Barkā, he despatched a message to Hulākū breathing vengeance; and sent Būjāse, a near kinsman of the deceased Tūtār, at the head of 30,000 horse, to extort restitution; and

ANECDOTE RESPECTING BARKA KHĀN'S ZEAL IN THE MUSALMĀN FAITH.

In the year 657 H., a reverend and holy Sayyid of Samrkand came to the illustrious capital, the city of

he, having passed the Dar-Band, took up a position in sight of Shirwia. Huliku, who, at this time, was encamped near the sources of the river Aria, on his way towards the Koh-i-Kaf, or Kakasus, on this despatched the Nu-yin, Shirimin, and other Amirs, to oppose Büküe; and, in Zi-Ḥijjah, 660 m., they reached Shamākhi. Barki's army fell upon Shirimin and his troops, and defeated them with great slaughter. Subsequently, at the end of the same month, the Nū-yins, Abātāe and Shimāghü, with another force, on the part of Huliku, renewed the fighting, and surprised the troops of Barki, which had retired towards Shāburān in Shirwān, and, within a league of that place, defeated them, in their turn, with great slaughter, at the end of Zi-Ḥijjah, of the same year; and Būkia, with the remainder, fied.

On the 6th of Muharram of the next year, 66t H., Huliku put his troops in motion for the purpose of pursuing them, and invading Barka's territory, and advanced from the frontier of Shamakhi. On Friday, the 24rd of Muharram. Hulākū encountered the forces of Barkā at the Bāb-ul-Abwāb, captured the Dar-Band, and defeat again befell them. A large force under Abaka Khan. Hulākū's son, was subsequently despatched in pursuit of Barkā's troops, Abaka Khan crossed the river Tarak [vul. Terek], entered the Dashti-Kibchāk, and reached their camp, which his troops found abandoned. Three days after, on the 1st of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, when totally off their guard-Guzidah says Ifulākū's forces behaved most infamously in Barkā's territory-Barka, in person, attacked Hulaku's forces unawares, and drove them back with great loss. The river Tarak was frozen over at the time. and it gave way under the fugitives, the greater number of whom perished. Abaka Khan, with the remnant, succeeded in reaching Shaburan. Barka's forces then retired within their own frontier. Hulaku, on the 11th of Jamadiul-Akhir, reached Tabriz, filled with rage and despondency at the upshot of affairs. He ordered great preparations to be made for a renewal of the war. in order, as soon as his preparations should be complete, to wipe out the disgrace, but death prevented him.

Other operations subsequently took place after Huläkü's death, but can have no place in this work. For the date of Barkä's succession, however, see note 4, page 1291.

It may not be amiss to mention here why Hulakû is known as the Îl-Khān, and his dynasty as the Îl-Khānî. Hulakû was subject to his brother Mangû, and the headings of all parlight and other documents bore the name and title of Mangû Ka'ān. Hulākû had nothing whatever to do with the revenues of the countries west of the Amilah, which department pertained to Arghūn Ākā—Guzidah says his brother was Diwān of the revenue—and consequently Hulākû became renowned by the name of the Îl-Khān, li [the plural form when used is UM] signifying, in Turkî, people, a society, assemblage, an array, race, tribe, etc., and Khān, a chief, but, among the Mughals, Khān is applied to a sovereign, as our author also mentions at page 86z.

The Amir, Arghun Aha, who for a period of thirty years had held the administration of the revenue affairs of I-ran, died, in his camp, in the plain of Radakan of Tus, in 673 H

Dihlt to trade. In the audience hall of the sovereign the asylum of Islam and Sultan of the seven climes -May God long preserve his rule and sovereignty!-he received kindness and encouragement; and was distinguished by the reverence, and princely benefits of the Sultan. The grandees of this illustrious capital, every one of whom is a bright constellation in the firmament of Islam, and light-diffusing star in the sphere of the Faith. all deemed it right likewise to confer favours and benefits upon that illustrious Savvid, who was [named] Ashrafud-Din, the son of the Sayyid, Jalal-ud-Din, the Suff, and unto whom appertains the service of the khankah [monastery] of Nür-ud-Din-i-A'mā [the Blind|-on whom be the Almighty's mercy !-- in the city of Samrkand. From this eminent Sayvid two statements were heard [by the author] respecting the firmness of Barka Khan in the Muhammadan faith. May God protect him and increase his blessings !

FIRST STATEMENT.

That eminent Sayyid thus related, that one of the Christians of Samrkand attained unto the felicity of Islām; and the Musalmāns of Samrkand, who are staunch in their faith, paid him great honour and reverence, and conferred great benefits upon him. Unexpectedly, one of the haughty Mughal infidels of Chin, who possessed power and influence, and the inclinations of which accursed one were towards the Christian faith, arrived at Samrkand. The Christians of that city repaired to that Mughal, and complained, saying: "The Musalmāns are enjoining our children to turn away from the Christian faith and serving 'Isā—on whom be peace!—and calling upon them to follow the religion of Mustafā [the Chosen one—Muḥammad]—on whom be peace!—and, in case

¹ The Kur'an copying puppet, Näşir-ad-Din, Mahmüd Shäh, his sovereign and patron, to whom this work is dedicated.

² Tarsāyān is used here agum.

All the later copies of our author's work copied in India are more unctuous and diffuse in their glorification of the Muhammadan faith, and in their praises of their Prophet, than copies made in other parts of Islam, and they despatch all and everybody else "to hell" much oftener.

that gate becomes unclosed, the whole of our dependents will turn away from the Christian faith. By [thy] power and authority devise a settlement of our case.

That Mughal commanded that the youth, who had turned Musalman, should be produced; and they tried with blandishment and kindness, and money and wealth, in order to induce that sincere newly-converted Musalman to recant, but he did not recant: and that garment of freshness-the Musalman faith-he did not put off from his heart and spirit. That Mughal ruler then turned over a leaf in his temper, and began to speak of severe punishment: and every punishment, which it was in his power to inflict. or his severity to devise, he inflicted upon that youth, who, from his vast zeal for the faith of Islam, did not recant, and did not, in any way, cast away from his hand the sharbat of religion through the blow of infidel perverseness. As the youth continued firm to the true faith, and paid no regard to the promises and threats of that depraved set, the accursed Mughal directed so that they brought that youth to public punishment; and he departed from the world in the felicity of religion-God reward him and requite him !-- and the Musalman community in Samrkand were overcome with despondency and consternation in consequence.

Ashraf-ud-Din related on this wise: "A petition was got up, and was attested with the testimony of the chief men and credible persons of the Musalman religion dwelling at Samrkand, and we proceeded with that petition to the camp of Barka Khan, and represented [to him] an account of the proceedings and disposition of the Christians of that city. Zeal for the Muhammadan religion was manifested in the mind of that monarch of exemplary faith, and the defence of the truth became predominant in his disposition. After some days, he showed honour and reverence to this Sayyid, appointed a body of Turks

⁴ With this sentence the best St. Petersburg copy of the text ends.

⁶ The following three pages and a half are not contained in the Halleybury, the Bodissan, or the Ro. As. Soc. copies of the text. The two latter begin and end with precisely the same words.

In one good copy of the text-' punishment with the sword.'

[?] The second British Museum copy is defective of the remainder of the text from this place.

and confidential persons among the chief Musalmans, and commanded that they should slaughter the Christian sec who had committed that dire oppression, and despatch them to hell.

"Having obtained that mandate, it was preserved untithat wretched sect assembled together in the kalīsā [church] and they seized them all together, and despatched the whole of them to hell, and reduced the church again to bricks."

This vengeance was [obtained] through the auspiciousnes of that monarch*towards the faith of Muhammad—the bless ing and peace of Almighty God be upon him, and favourably regard among those of the true faith the Hanafi sect!

SECOND STATEMENT.

This same Sayyid, Ashraf-ud-Din, related, that, when Bātū Khān departed from this world, a son survived him Surtāk! [by name]. He determined to proceed to the

The Calcutta Printed Text has a rebels, seditions persons—fo

here t محمدان

Barkā Khān ruled over the Daaht-i-Kibchāk, and ats dependencies farths west, according to the Pro-Mughal authors, who wrote after our author; and according to them, his authority did not extend to Samrkand; for the territoric immediately east of the Jihūn or Āmūlah pertained to the desemdants of

Chaghatae Khan. See note 1, page 1292.

Rubruquis mentions a city on the Åtil or Wolga, which he reached on hi return homewards, under the name of Samarkani, which, he says, is encompassed like an isle with the river, when it overflows, that the Tartars [Mughali were eight years besieging it before they could take it, and that it was inhabitably Åläns and Muhammadans. Whether such a city or town ever existed under that name, is doubtful, but some have supposed it to be the city after wards called Hājf-Tarkhān, Europeanized Astrakhan. The founder of tha place has been mentioned however elsewhere

² His name is incorrectly given, in the remaining copies of the text available as Surtăf—تاب a dot having been conitted from the last letter. The Calcutta Text makes it Surnāf—تاب Not only did Surtāķ survive him, bu

also Üläghchi, another son, mentioned in a subsequent note.

On his way back from Mangū Kā'ān's court, Rubruquis and his party wer two months and ten days travelling from the wedū at Karā-Kuram to Bātā' wrdū, in which space they found neither town, nor habitation, except one poo village [of felt tents probably], where they could not get even bread, and from time to time graves of the inhabitants. After he had travelled twenty day from Mangū's sordū, he heard that the king of Armenia had passed by, and a the end of August he inet with Surtāk and his family, his flocks, and herds going to the presence of Mangū Kā'ān—the very journey referred to by ou author above. Rubruquis paid his respects to that Prince, who sent him two habits—dresses of homour—one for himself, and another for King Louiss. The finar reached [the late] Bātū's wrdū, at Sarāe, on the 16th September, 1254.

presence of Mangū Khān, from the country of Khifchāk and Saksin, that, through the means of Mangū Khān, he might succeed to his father, Bātū's, position. On reaching the presence of Mangū Khān in the country of Tamghāj, he [after receiving him] sent him back with honour. As Surtāk shunned coming to the presence of his uncle, Barkā Khān, and altered his route, and did not come near his uncle, Barkā Khān despatched persons unto him, saying: "I am unto thee in the place of a father: why dost thou pass by like a stranger, and not come near me?" When the persons despatched delivered the message of Barkā Khān, Surtāk, the accursed, gave answer, saying: "Thou art a Musalmān, and I follow the Christian faith; to look upon the face of a Musalmān is unlucky"—The Almighty's curse be upon the whole of them [the Christians]!"

When this unworthy remark reached that sovereign of Musalmāns, Barkā Khān, he entered into his khargāh alone, fixed a rope round his own neck, firmly secured the door of the khargāh with a chain, and stood up; and with the most entire humility, and most perfect submission, he began to weep and groan, and say: "O God! if the Muḥammadan faith and the laws of Islām are true, do me justice against Surtāk." For the space of three nights and days, after having performed his religious duties, he continued, in this manner, to groan and lament, and to supplicate, until, on the fourth day, when Surtāk, the accursed, arrived at that place of encampment, the hour of his death came. The Most High God afflicted him with bowel complaint; and he went to hell.

All are accurated who are not of his own faith: the same failing custs everywhere, no matter what the faith may be, but we might hope for something better from Christians, in these, so-called, "enlightened" days.

Rubruquis, who knew Surtak, did not think much of his Christianity.

³ A large round tent constructed of mamads or felts, such as are used by the Turkish nomads.

If Barkā was alone, it is strange that the very worthy Sayyid knew what he did, or what he said. This shutting himself up is more after the fashion of his ancastor, the Chingis Khān, when he cried out to Tingri, than of a Musalmān. See page 954.

⁴ Here the unperfect copies, previously referred to, begin again.

³ The place where death overtook hun, probably, as just above we are told that Surtile would not come near Barkii.

⁶ On the death of Batt Khan, his eldest son, Surtale, was in the camp of

Some [persons] related on this wise, that, on Mangü Khān perceiving signs of sedition upon the brow of Surtāk, he despatched confidential persons, secretly, so that they administered poison to the accursed Surtāk, and he departed to helf.

Barkā Khān took the wife [wives?] of Bātū Khān to wife; and there were fifteen sons and grandsons of the generation of Tūshi Khān, all of whom departed to hell. The possessions of the whole of them passed under the sway of Barkā Khān; and, through the auspiciousness [attendant on his embracing] the Muhammadan faith, the whole of the territory of Khifchāk, Saksin, Bulghār, Saklāb, and Rūs, as far as the north-east [boundary] of Rūm, and Jund, and Khwārazm, came into his possession. In the year 658 H., which is that of the conclusion of this TABAKĀT, parties of persons coming from the territory of Khurāsān state that Mangū Khān has departed to hell, and that, in all the cities of the east and west, and in the countries of 'Ajam, Māwarā-un-Nahr, and Khurāsān, the Khutbah is read for Barkā Khān; and that they have

Mangü Kā'ān. He was held in great estimation by the latter, who despatched him to his father's piëral, to succeed to the accretigaty over his dominions. He never reached it, however, but died on the road in 651 H. Üläghcht, another son, succeeded to the sovereignty, but he too died very soon after, in the same year. Some writers do not enter the names of these two brothers in the list of sovereigns of the house of Jūjt, and put Barkā, Barkah, or Barkāe, which is written in as many different ways, immediately after Bātū, his brother. Barkā ascended the throne in 652 H. Sir John Malcolm, in his History of Persia, among many other grave errors, says [p 425, vol. I.] that "Barkah" was a descendant of "Chaghase!"

7 A custom among the Mughals

- " The I. O. L MS, No 1952, the best Parts MS., the Ro As Soc MS, and the Bodlean MS., all have any name but the correct one here, namely,

 The Printed Calcutta Text follows the first named copy, but there ought not to have been any doubt as to who is undoubtedly referred to
 - * For Saksin and Rus the Calcutta Text has "Safin" and "Wurs"
- Our author appears to have been well informed upon most matters which happened about his own time especially, and he may be correct here too, and, no doubt, the above is what he heard. The Pro-Mughal authors, who began to write nearly a century after, under the patronage of the sovereigns of the houses of Hulākū and of Chaghatāe, and whose officials they were, out of policy, refrained from setting down anything likely to be unpalatable to their masters, as is amply proved by their writings. It is evident too that Bātū exercised authority in Khurāsān long before this time, for he appointed a governor to Huāt in 638 H., and again in 641 H, as already mentioned, in

7

assigned to that Sultan the title of Jamal-ud-Din, Ibrahim; but God knows the reality.

In this same year, likewise, one of the great men among the 'Arabs, whom they style the Imām, Shams-ud-Din, the Maghrabi, has been despatched from the presence of his Lord, on a mission to the presence of the Asylum of the Universe, the Sultān of Sultāns, NASIR-UD-DUNYA WAUD-DIN, MAHMUD SHAH—God perpetuate his sove reignty!—and, having dedicated services, which will be worthy of this Court, has caused himself to be strung upon the thread of the servants of this sovereign, the Asylum of the World, which felicity is, to him, the most excellen of all happiness.

May the Most High God prolong the happiness and felicity of the Monarch of the Universe to the extreme limit of possibility, and may He keep it uninterrupted and increasing; and grant that this TABAKAT may be deemed worthy of acceptance in the Sublime Audience-Hall, and that this author, in the garment of prayer, may thereon, pronounce its conclusion with this verse:—

"Be Khişr, with the bowl of immortality, the Shih's cup-bearer!

Be the portico of his gate like unto the nine-vaulted sphere!

May it continue in the world as long as the world continues.—

The name of the Tabakat of Mahmod Shah-1-Nasir-ud-Din."

note 5, page 1126 It is therefore clear, from these facts, that, in the interreg num which occurred on the death of Mangū Kā'ān, the parts above referred to must have come under the rule of Barkā, temporanily, or, as head of the family, until the time when, after the submission of Artuk-Būķā, also called Irtuk-Būķā, and death of Alghū, Kubilāe became established in the Kā'ān-ship, and, at which time, he assigned all Ī-rān-Zamīn, as far east as the Āmūfah, to Hulākū.

No other writer mentions this title, but it is doubtless correct, for it was usual with the subsequent Mughal sovereigns, who became converts to Islam, to take a Musalman name and title, as in the case of Nikūdār Aghūl, Hulākū's son, who was styled Sultān Ahmad: in fact, it is incumbent on a convert to take a Musalman name.

Skibi: some have Khwajah. The four MSS, before mentioned, and the Printed Text, leave out the word Lord, and thus make the Imam come from the presence of himself! Who his Lord was, does not appear

This was just sixty-seven years before the Maghrabl, Ibn-i Bajūjah, set out on his travels.

⁴ The I. O. L. MS, No. 1952, and Printed Text are defective here again: even the verse is imperfect.

CONCLUSION.

As much as was possible to, and lay in the power of, and came within the circle of the hearing of, and was related to, this votary, has been written and recorded. Should the observation of the ruler of the people of Islām—God perpetuate his sovereignty!—or of the Khākān-i-Mu'azzam, Ulugh Khān-i-A'zam—may his prosperity endure!—or of the Maliks, or Nobles, or Grandees, or Şadrs, or Pillars of the State, or Eyes of the Faith, during the lifetime of the author, or subsequent to his decease, notice, in this TABAKĀT, an error, mistake, deficiency, or redundance, may they veil it with the skirt of the robe of kindness and forgiveness, which will be the extreme of fayour, and perfection of benevolence.

Praise be unto Thee, O God, the all-sufficient helper in every respect! By Thy mercy, O Thou Most Merciful of the Merciful, have pity upon us! May God bless the best of His creation—Muhammad, the chief of the prophets, the noblest of the dwellers in earth and in heaven, and all the prophets and divine messengers, and their descendants, every one of them!

The frailest of the servants of the Divine, MINHĀJ-I-SARĀJ, the Jūrjānī, who is the author of this TABAKĀT—Almighty God protect him!—thus states, that, when this History was submitted by him to the SULTĀN, NĀŞIR-UD-DIN, MAHMŪD SHĀH—God prolong his reign!—he ordained him a royal dress of honour, and the washak' [marten] pelisse [trimmed] with fine ermine, which was on his own blessed shoulders. He likewise bestowed upon the author an allowance of 10,000 jītals yearly, and the grant of a village; and, when a transcription of this History was transmitted to the KHĀKĀN-I-MU'AZZAM

⁵ Not one of the four now remaining copies of the text has thus word correct. The Printed Text too is as defective and incorrect here as elsewhere. The washak is described as an animal something similar to the fox, of the skins of which they make position or peliuses; and it is said that whoever wears one will not be troubled with hemorrhoids.

⁶ The revenue arising from the village, without prejudice to the proprietary rights of the landholders.

ULUGH KHAN-I-A'ZAM—may his prosperity endure!—he sent [to the author] 20,000 jitals in ready money, a Mäht Subähi, a bundle of ermine, and another of fox [skin]. This strophe, out of gratitude for those gifts, was pronounced [by the author] and inscribed upon the back of the Khān's copy. Strophe:—

"To the Shahr-yar of the universe, Ulugh Khan, he Who is Khan of the Ilbari, and Shah of the Yamak."

Whosoever found acceptance in his presence
Never more turned face towards the heavens.
Before him [indeed] who is Hāṭim-i-Tā-f?
Near unto him what is Yaḥyā-i-Barmak?

The dust from the tablet of the heart of Minhāj—
The cares of the world—he with kindness wiped away.
Listen to this assertion from me, all people,
By the way of certainty, not by the road of doubt.—
Ninety and nine shares of [his] generosity belong to me
Of it, all others have but one out of a hundred.
Every prayer I may offer up from the heart for him,
The angels, with amoerity, say, Āmfn! thereto."

The book of MINHAJ-I-SARAJ,* the JURJANI, on the 5th

The Printed Text has معهود established, fixed, etc., while the MSS. copies have معدود counted, numbered, etc., and ready-money, which must have been sufficiently apparent from the context.

Our author was much more fortunate than some authors of the present day, who, in many cases, find their writings transferred to another man's book, who appropriates your labours as his own work, trades upon the fruit of your brains, gets honours for them, and probably abuses the work he pirates.

• Certain emblems of rank and honours conferred upon and carried before princes and great men, denoted by the figure of a fish—mdhi—and other insignia, also styled Mähi-Maräub, or something of a similar kind.

⁹ See page 1097 And yet this Ilbart Turk is one of many other Tu.ks whom compilers of Indian History turn into "Afghāns," and "Patāns," which words are synonymous. See page, 599 and 796

1 The paragons of Oriental liberality and generosity. A good anecdote of Yahyā-i-Barmak is contained in Lane's "Arabus Nighth;" Vol. 2.

The I O L MS, No. 1952, Ro As. Soc., and Bodletan MSS, all have bin here, while the Printed Text omits it, an usafat being understood. Here is an illustration, and a very good one, taken in connexion with the Khalifah's words, announcing the death of our author's father, at page 244, as well as in many other places herein, which demolishes the theory put forth by the late Mr W 11 Blochmann, M A, in "The Journal of the Bengul Asiatic Secrety" for 1876, page 325, that "Minhaj i Siraj does not mean in prose Minhaj the son of Siráj, hut "Minhaj who writes under the name of Siráj," that "his name is not Minhaj ud Din, the son of Siráj ud Din," and that "the saffat is never used in prose in place of Arabic bin," while, at the same time, the

of the month of Rabi'-ul-Awwal, in the year 658 H., was brought to its

CONCLUSION.

Calcutta Printed Text has actually "Mināj-ud-Din, hin Sarāj-ud-Din," on its title-page! Our author's own words, too, in the body of the work, completely disprove these rash statements. See the Memoir of the author, page xix, and APPENDIX, page xvui.

3 This would be about the 17th February, 1260 A.D. At page 865, he says he finished it in Shawwil—the tenth month—while, at page 799, he states that

he completed it in Rajab—the seventh month !

APPENDIX A.

On the year of the occupation of Dihli by Malik, afterwards Sultan, Kuth-ud-Din, I-bak, and the inscription on the minarah of Kuth Sahib, page 621.

MR H. BLOCHMANN, M.A., in Part III., of his "Contributions to the History and Geography of Bengal," in the Bengal Asiatic Journal for 1875, criticises the date given by our author, and by me, for the occupation of Dihli by Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak, at page 515, and would, instead, fix upon the year 587 H. for that event. He says:—"Mr. E. Thomas fixes it at 587 H. as consistent with the best authorities." But who are these best authorities? Two pages farther on, Mr. Blochmann states that "the Tabaqát is the only authority we possess for this period."

Now I will just give a specimen of Mr. Thomas' "best authorities." At page 11 of his "PATHAN KINGS OF DEHLI," he says: "In 587, in a more extended expedition into Hindustán, Muhammad Ghori was totally routed on the memorable field of Thaneswar. * * * After a year's repose * * * on the self-same battle ground, he again encountered his former adversary * * * This time fortune favoured the Ghories * * * By this single victory the Muhammadans may be said to have become the virtual

masters of Hindustán," &c. &c.

I will take it for granted that a year after 587 means 588 H., but turn to the foot-note at page 23 of the same work. There Mr. Thomas, forgetting, apparently, what he wrote a few pages before, says:—"As regards the historical evidence to the date 587 A. H for the capture of Dchli by the Muslims, it is complete and consistent with the best authorities!"

Mr Thomas adds "and Minháj-us-Siráj repeats in various forms, while treating of the life of Aibeg, the confirmation of the same date." In this I cannot agree with him. Let us turn to page in of the Calcutta Printed Text, the foot-note, and also to this Translation, page 515, in both of which we find [leaving out the first defeat by the

Hindus, but again referring to Kuth-ud-Din's being taken captive], he "took possession of that place—Mirath—in 587 H. [see note 5, page 515 of this Translation]. From Mirath likewise he issued forth in the year 588 H., and

captured Dihli."

These are the actual words in the different MSS. collated. It is not actually said that Dihlt was taken in 588 H., merely that Kutb-ud-Din, in 588 H., marched from Mirath, and it must have been towards the close of that year, as will be shown farther on, for, according to the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir [see page v of this] he had to start to relieve Hānsi in the ninth month of that year, and only took Mīrath after that. It is evident, therefore, that Minhāj-ud-Din did not intend it to be understood that Dihlī was taken and made the seat of government in 588 H, unless he stultifies himself by upsetting his previous statements at pages 248, 378, 456, 457, and 464, which see.

I will now leave the "best authorities" and go to facts.

Minhāj-ud-Din states [pages 456—477] that troubles rose in Khwārazm in consequence of the outhreak of Sultān

arose in Khwarazm in consequence of the outbreak of Sultan Shāh, the Khwārazmi, in 587 H.; that, subsequently [but in the same year, Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, advanced into India, took Tabarhindah, left a garrison there with orders to hold out for sex months, and was preparing to retire [in consequence of the hot scason, it being the third or fourth month, at latest, of 587 H - April or May, 1191 A.D]; was defeated by Rae Pithora; and had to retire, leaving the garrison still there. In the cold season of that year-five or six months after-instead of being able to return as he intended, he was under the necessity of preparing to attend his brother, Sultan Ghiyas-ud-Din. Muhammad-i-Sām, along with other dependent Princes and their troops, against Sultan Shah, the Khwarazmi Prince. who threatened Ghiyas-ud-Din, Muhammad's dominions in Khurāsān. Besides, Mu'17z-ud-Din had been badly wounded in the first battle, and it must have taken him some time to recover This campaign, Minhaj-ud-Din states, at pages 248 and 378, took place in 588 H., and occupied sex months. Kuth-ud-Din accompanied his master, and was taken captive by the Khwarazmis, but, after a battle, and defeat of the enemy, he was re-captured. "This victory," says Minhāj-ud-Dīn, "was achieved in the year 588 H"

I also take it for granted that Mr. Blochmann will allow that this capture of Kuth-ud-Din must have taken place before he captured Dihli. But what will totally overturn any theories on this matter, unless people will not be convinced, is the fact that Minhāj-ud-Din's relative, Kāzi, Muhammad, the Tulaki [Mr. Dowson's "Kazi Tulak"]. was left with a body of troops to hold Tabarhindah for the space of six months [that is to the next cold season—the ninth or tenth month of 587 H.—September or October, 1191 A.D.]. Why did he do this, it may be asked? and the answer is plain enough: he could not remain in India any longer with safety. The hot season was close at hand, and he would have been unable to return if he staved much longer, for, besides the heat, the six mighty rivers in his rear would have all been unfordable, and would have to be crossed by boats, even if boats were procurable, a dangerous matter with regard to most of those rivers at that season, witness the strong Railway Bridges washed away in these days. The Sultan, having been defeated immediately after he placed the Kazi in Tabarhindah, and having subsequently to accompany his brother towards Marw, where they were occupied six months, could not return as he intended, and the Kazi, having held out over thirteen months [see Translation, page 464], the Sultan still not having come, had to give it up to the Hindus.

Now if we calculate, say, fourteen or fifteen months from the first defeat, for the Sultan's return [i. e. from the setting in of the hot season—the ninth month of 587 H.], we shall come to the last month of 588 H.; and, in the same way, if we calculate six months of 588 H. for the operations in Khurasan, we must allow some little time for the Sultan to reach Ghaznin, and he would then even require a month or two to prepare for a campaign in India; and besides, even if he were ready before, he could not move towards India during the height of the hot season. There were the same six mighty rivers to be crossed, and all unfordable at that period; and, all these things being thought of, it was utterly impossible for Sultan Mu'ızz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, to have entered India, at the earliest, before the middle of September or October-the end of the ninth or tenth month of 588 H, previous to which period no man in his senses, would have attempted to march from Ghaznin, to cross the six rivers, and advance into India

Then followed the battle with Rae Pithora, Kutb-ud-Din is left in charge at Kuhram, and the Sultan prepared to return home again.

These being the facts, how is it possible, on Mr. Thomas's "best authorities," that Kuth-ud-Din could have occupied Dihli in 587 II.?

I am glad also to find that General Cunningham, on his visit to Dihli in 1862, considered that 589 H. and not 587

H. was the correct date on the Minarah -- not of " Outbuddin Aibeg," about which so many reams of paper have been written, but of a wholly different KUTB. I refer to the date on this Minarak about which "doctors disagree," and with regard to which Mr. Thomas would fix on 587 H. for the occupation of Dihli, and so all other dates must be made to suit it, and Mr. Blochmann too prefers 587 H. I suppose, however, that all the "best authorities" never considered kow it could be possible for Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din to be defeated by Rae Pithora just before the hot season of 587 H., to take "a year's repose" [Thomas], again enter India, be occupied some time even then against Rae Pithora before finally overthrowing him [according to the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir also], leave Kutb-ud-Din at Mirath, retire again from India, for Kutb-ud-Din, subsequent to all this, to occupy Dihli, build a great Mosque, upon which Inotwithstanding the address of the President of the Archaeological Section at the Oriental Congress of 1874] Musalman artisans brought from different parts of Asia were employed, and all these events to have happened in the one year of 587 H.! The idea is simply preposterous.

It occurs to me, on considering this subject further, that the inscription on the fourth circlet of the lower story of the Minarah as given in Thomas [PATHAN KINGS, page 21-22] refers not to Mu'ızz-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sam, if the name given is correct, but to his elder brother. It will be found at pages 368 and 370 of this Translation, and in the corresponding places in the original, that the elder brother and suzerain of Mu'122-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sam, was first called Muhammad and his title was Shamsud-Din, and that the younger brother was also called Muhammad, and his title was Shihab-ud-Din The first brother. after he came to the throne, assumed the titles of "Ghivasud-Dunyā wa ud-Din, Muhammad, son of [Bahā-ud-Din] Sam, Kaşım-i-Amir-ul-Muminin," and after the successes in Khurasan, in 588 H., the younger brother, Muhammad, who, up to that time, bore the title of Shihab-ud-Din, received the title of Mu'izz-ud-Din, so that, when defeated by Rãe Pithorā, he bore the title of Shihāb-ud-Dīn, but afterwards, on his return the second time, Mu'izz-ud-Din. This may account for the subsequent Indian Muhammadan writers calling him Shihab and Mu'izz indiscriminately.

At the period in question, when these inscriptions are said to have been recorded [I fancy they were recorded subsequently. See note 6, page 621, of this Translation], the elder brother and suserain was still living, and lived for ten years after; and, I imagine, it will be allowed, that the

two sovereigns, and both the brothers, at the same identical time, could not bear the title of Kaşim-i-Amir-ul-Müminin, or Ghiyāg-ud-Din, and, therefore, leaving out the additional titles, the work of the artist probably, the title in the said inscription is,—"SULTĀN-US-SALĀŢĪN, GHIYĀŞ-UD-DUN-YĀ WA UD-DĪN, MUḤAMMAD, BIN SĀM, KAṢĪM-I-AMĪR-UL-MŪMINĪN," and throughout the inscription [given by Thomas] the name of Mwixz-ud-Dīn, or Shihāb-ud-Dīn even, never once occurs.

The Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir is quoted as an authority, and a sufficient authority, to upset the statements of Minhāj-ud-Din, whose father, Sarāj-ud-Din, was Ķāẓi of Sulṭān Mu'izz-ud-Din's army, and whose kinsman, the Ķāẓi of Tūlak, was present on the spot; but I do not place trust in the statements contained in that inflated work, unless they are corroborated or confirmed by some other con-

temporary writer.

In ELLIOT [page 211, vol. ii.] it is stated that the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir is rare in Europe. I have had four copies to compare with the extracts from it given in that work, and I find that the date mentioned there—587 H.—for the Sulṭān's victory [it totally ignores his defeat] over Rāe Pithorā, is written — [which may be either — or without any points in two copies of the four MSS., in the third — with one dot over and one under, and in the fourth — It is, therefore, evident that that date may be either 7 or 9, just as one chooses to read it; but, as the first battle, according to every other author who has written on the subject, took place in 587 H, the same year, 587 H, cannot, for reasons already stated, be the same in which the Sulṭān defeated Rāe Pithorā, and the former's slave occupied Dihli. See note 6, page 521, para. 3 of this Translation.

If the "best authorities" had looked at the Tāj-ul-

If the "best authorities" had looked at the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir attentively however [see also Elliot, vol. ii, page 217], they would have found that, even according to that work, in Ramaṣān, the ninth month of 588 H.—the middle of October [1192 A D.]—Kutb-ud-Din had to march from Kuhṛām to relieve Hānsi [see also note 2 to page 516 of this Translation], and that, subsequently, "When" [according to Elliot, page 219], "the chief luminary threw its shade in the sign of Libra, and temperate breezes began to blow, after putting to flight the army of heat, Kutbu-d-din marched from Kahram and took Mirath," and subsequent to that "he then encamped under the fort of Dehli, which

was also captured." This means 587 H. I suppose?

These events are very briefly, but most clearly and

unmistakeably recorded in Faşih-î, in which it is stated:—
"588 H. a battle between Mu'izz-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad, son of Sām, son of Ḥuṣain, Lord of Ghaznin, and the Rāe of Dihli; the Rāe is slain in the battle; Dihli [territory] subdued; Mu'izz-ud-Dîn, Muḥammad, locates Malik Ķuṭb-ud-Dìn, I-bak, in the fort of Kuhṛām, assigns him the government of Dihli [territory], and retires from Hind. 589 H. Malik Ķuṭb-ud-Dìn, I-bak, marches from Kuhṛām towards Dihli [the city], captures Dihli with its dependencies."

If Mr. Blochmann had looked at "that excellent work" the Haft-Iklim, he would have seen therein stated, that the defeat of Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sām, took place in 587 H., his victory in 588 II, and that Dihli was occupied,

as the seat of government, in 589 H.

The Tabakāt-i-Akbari, the author of which "must have had the good MSS. older than" mine, also says, "defeated 587 H, victorious 588 H, Dihli occupied and made the seat

of government by Kutb-ud-Din, in 589 H "

The Tazkarat-ul-Mulūk also says, first battle and defeat of Mu'izz-ud-Din 587 H., his victory 588 H., Dihli taken 589 H., and, next year, 590 H., Mu'izz-ud-Din came again on an expedition to Kinnauj.

The Tarikh-i-Alfi says that the Sultan gained the victory over Rae Pithora in the year 578 of the Relitat,

that is 588 H.

The Zubdat-ut-Tawārikh also says that Dihli was made the seat of government in 589 H, and that, in the following year, 590 H, the Sultān returned on the expedition against Kinnauj.

The Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh likewise says that Dihli

was made the seat of government in 580 H.

Budā'ūnī and Firishtah also will be found to agree with the Tabakāt-i-Akbarī, and, to crown the whole, and put the finishing touch to the picture, "the A'in," so often quoted by Mr. Blochmann, says that the first battle and defeat of the Sultān took place in 587 H, the second and victory in 588 H., and that in the same year his slave took Dihli, but nothing is said of his making it the seat of government; and this agrees with the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir, where nothing is said of making Dihlī the capital in that year; but that, "from Dihlī," after staying some time there, "he marched forth against Kol, in 590 H."

I need not say more on this head, I think, and do not

doubt but that Mr Thomas is open to conviction

APPENDIX B.

On the name of Malik, afterwards Sultan, Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Shil-of the Powerless Finger, page 513, and the use of the Izafat in Persian.

In the "Contributions" previously referred to, the correctness of the name, and by-name of this Turkish slave-

king is criticised.

Mr. Blochmann "thought" the name of "Qutbuddin of the Paralyzed Hand," [see BRIGG's translation of Firishtah, referred to in note at page 519 and 521 of this Translation, which makes a very energetic warrior of him, considering his "Paralyzed Hand"], had been "set at rest" by Mr. Thomas—but in this I cannot agree any more than in the date 587 H for the occupation of Dihli, and 599 and 600 for the conquest of Bengal—and he says that my different MSS. "have clearly the same words as the Bibl-Indica Edition of the Tabaqát" but I assert to the contrary: my MSS. run thus:—

بظاهر جمالي نداشها و أنكشته حصر او تكستكي داشعه

but, in the Calcutta Text, after the word, the words of a or "the hand"—occur, and the Hamilton MS., the worst of the whole number collated, has the same, but the other two MSS. from which the Printed Text is taken have not those words, and another MS. has him of a or "the foot"—but all the rest of the MSS. are as

I have given it above, and translated it.

I fail to see much difference in Mr. Blochmann's "literal translation: "—" Outwardly he had no comeliness, and his little FINGER [of one hand] possessed an infirmity. For this reason they called him Aibak i-Shall [Aibak with the paralyzed HAND]" and my: "He possessed no outward comeliness, and the little finger [of one hand?] had a fracture, and on that account he used to be styled I-baki-Shil [the powerless-fingered]." The only difference is that where I translate where it had, Mr. Blochmann translates it possessed—a mighty difference truly—and that I translate

the word نصري - guftandi--which is the imberfect tense of the verb, used also to imply continuity or habitude, and is not the PAST tense, and that I give to the meaning of a concrete noun. I see no reason to alter my translation. as lexicographers, who are supposed to know something of the meanings of words, render شك a rupture, a fracture,

defeat, as well as breaking, brokenness, &c.

Mr. Blochmann calls the Hast-Iklim "an excellent work," and in this I quite agree with him. Let him look at it however, and he will find with respect to Kutb-ud-Din, I-bak-i-Shil, that, in it, are the following wordswhich I defy anv - ار این که انکشت حصر او شاشه بود او را ایاله میکنده one to translate otherwise than-from, or on this, that his little FINGER WAS BROKLN they used to call him I-bak."

Which hand is not stated.

The author of the Tabakāt-i-Akbari, Budā'uni, and even Firishtah, all of whom Mr. Blochmann states ["Contributions," page 138], "MUSI HAVL HAD very good MSS. of the 'Tabagat-1-Naciri,' " have THL VERY SAME WORDS, copying one from the other, as are contained in the Haft-Iklim, the Tazkarat-ul-Mulük has the same, and also the Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh. Some others say the same, but I need not name them here, as those I have mentioned are easily obtained for reference, but all leave out the Ju without which -finger, is meaningless. Mr. Blochmann quotes the Shams-ul Lughat: let him look at it for the -الله تكسر يمعي الكشد-sand he will see these words ايناه "I-bak with kasr means FINGER," as well as the other meanings mentioned in the "Contributions."

The Tarikh-i-Majami'-ul-Khivar-not the work even of a resident in India-han عبون الكشت مصراو تكسد بود أه را الله شل كعد عبد الكشت مصراو تكسد بود أه را الله شل كعد -" As his little finger was broken they called him I-bak-i-Shil." The Zubdat-ut-Tawārīkh, which copies Minhāj-ud-Din, has the same words as given in this Translation, and it is satisfactory to know that those authors; who say his as I have read the word عدم as I have read Of course, neither Minhaj-ud-Din, nor any other who writes I-bak-i-Shil which even, on Mr. Blochmann's own showing, is in the Calcutta Printed Text as in other copies, is right in putting is whether it be shill or shall LAST, and it ought, according to Mr. Blochmann, to be inverted into "Shall Aibak," otherwise it is "un-Persian." None of these authors who write I-bak-i-Shil therefore, according to this theory, could have known their own language! He also, in his literal translation, renders the passage " and his little finger [of one hand] possessed an infirmity," and yet he turns him into "Aibak with the

paralyzed HAND." Because one finger was broken, or "possessed an infirmity," it does not follow that the whole hand was paralyzed. Mr. Blochmann could not have thought of these matters when he proceeded to criticise

the correctness of my rendering.

I have never said that I-bak alone meant I-bak of the broken finger, but, with shil added to it—I-bak-i-Shil—as I have already stated in note I, page 513-14 of this Translation, and I have also stated that, in Turkish, I-bak "means finger" only: not broken or fractured-fingered, or the like. Mr. Blochmann could not have read the notes through, or failed to see what I said of I-bak-i-Lang in the same note. Nor have I said that I-bak was not Turkish, for he was a Turk, and so bore a Turkish name.

Neither have I ever hinted, much less stated, that his real name was Kutb-ud-Din: to have said so would have been absurd. That is his Musalman titular name only, as Shams-ud-Din was the Musalman title of his slave, I-yaltimish. In my note I, page 513, I have said that Kutb-ud-Din could not have been his real name, nor I-bak either, which I looked upon as a nick-name or by-name. So Mr. Blochmann here, unknown to himself probably, has come to the same conclusion. I should not write his name however under any circumstance "Qutbuddín," any more than I should translate it Thepolestarofthefaith, but Kutb-ud-Din

-The Pole-star of [the] Faith.

There is not the least cause for "the scafat" to be cancelled in I-bak-i-Shil. to do so would be contrary to the primary and simplest rules of the Persian Grammar—the Irani I mean—of the "Turani" dialect I know nothing. In Shil I-bak an adjective precedes the noun, and the —isafat—does not take place; but, when the adjective or qualifying word follows the noun, the kasrah of isafat is required. See the "A'in," page 629 for an example, where Mr. Blochmann himself writes "A'ZAM KHAN, vide KHANI-A'ZAM." Any Persian Grammar, however simple, will show this, as well as Lumsden, or Sir W. Jones, Foibes, &c. The following is given as an example, and is very pertinent to the subject.—

"The last letter of every Persian word is quiescent, or un-accented—i. e. as — asp, a horse; asp adast, a hand; mard, a man. But, in composition, when such word is either the — all — musif, or governing noun, or the mausuf, or substantive noun, the last letter must be accented with the kasrah of isāfat: as for example—inchedast-i-jald—a swift horse, as — dast-i-Zaid—the hand of Zaid; e. amard-i-nek—a good man, asp-i-rāst rāst-i-rāst

—a true or right way, the kasrah being the sign of the governing noun, or the antecedent of the relative adjective."

Again: "When the adjective follows the substantive, the latter must be accented with the kasrah; as is asp-i-siāh—a black horse, but, on the contrary, when the adjective precedes the noun, the kasrah must not be used, as is siāh asp—a black horse. The same rule is likewise applicable to the governing and the governed nouns substantive; as is shāh-i-jahān—king of the world;

jahān-shāh-world-king," &c.

When I learned these simple rules just thirty years since, I did not expect I should have to quote them again. Shil I-bāk therefore and I-bak-i-Shil, and I-bak-i-Lang, as he is styled in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, and in Fanākati, come under these rules, but no writer who pretended to elegance of style would prefer the former to the latter. In which Mr. Blochmann himself translates ["Contributions," page 136] mīr-i-māh, "Lord of the Moon," why is he so un-Persian, and why does he not "cancel the isáfat," and write mah-mir—Moon Lord? and without an artificial izāfat whence comes "of the"?

I do not know that any one has said that Mr. Thomas is not quite correct in looking upon del as "the original name." I, certainly, have not said so. I only write I-bak what Mr. Thomas writes Aibeg and Mr. Blochmann Aibak, but I think Mr Blochmann would have some difficulty in showing me the word written with a madd, viz.: del He certainly cannot show it to me in any copy of the Tabakāti-Nāsiri, and I never saw it anywhere else so written.

As to what is given as the legend on coins he is said to have issued, and his being merely called I-bak therein, which Mr. Blochmann deems quite sufficient to refute me by my own remarks, it is evident that, before Mr. Blochmann had calmly read my statements, he penned this portion of his "Contributions," I read in the legend given at page 525 of this Translation the words—Sultan Kutbud-Din, I-bak, as plain as it is possible to print. He would scarcely have put sixl or shall upon his coins. Did Timur add the word Lang to the legend on his? Of course not. See the ADDITIONAL NOTE to this Translation, on the subject of the legends on these coins: end of Nāṣir-ud-Din, Maḥmūd Shāh's reign, page 717.

I do not consider that Mr. Thomas or any one else has "set this question at rest" with respect to "Aibeg;" and had Mr Blochmann not been quite so hasty he might have

read a note in this Translation [note *, page 729], where I have remarked upon the number of other Maliks styled the some five or six or more, including Ulugh-Khān's brother.

As to there being no such word as shil in Persian meaning limp, weak, soft, paralyzed, &c. ["Contributions," page 136] I do not agree with Mr. Blochmann. It is not "Turání," and may be Irāni, or possibly local, and peculiar to the Fārsiwāns of Afghānistān, but is commonly used; and another Persian word—shul—is used with it in the sense mentioned. As to Mr. Blochmann's "rare Arabic word shal or shall [which "rare" word I have also referred to in my note, page 513], he says it means "having a withered hand," but I say it means a hand or foot paralyzed or powerless, &c., on the authority of an excellent Lexicon in Persian, which explains it thus:—

I think I may venture to assert that Sultān Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad, son of Sām, was rather unlikely to have purchased a slave with the whole of one hand paralyzed: a finger broken or paralyzed would have been no very great detriment, but how could a one-hand paralyzed man fight on horseback? See too the wonderful feats Dow and BRIGGS—not Firishtah—make him perform. As to its being "a rare Arabic word" I beg to say that it is a most common one among the Afghāns: in fact, they rarely ever use another word, except by adding Ja shull to it—
"shall-o-shull." See my Pushto Dictionary, page 656.

In the following page [137] of his "Contributions" Mr. Blochmann, referring to my mentioning in a note to my Translation, that Arām Shāh, said to be the son of I-bak, and, by some, the adopted son, is called I-bak's brother by Abū-l-Fazl, says he takes "the opportunity to justify Abul-Fazl, and that, in his [own] A'in text, Abul-Fazl states twice distinctly that A'ram Shah was Aibak's son." Mr. Blochmann's A'in may, but in my A'in—the MS. I quoted, and which is now before me—a "good old copy"—has these words, in which may be a clerical error:—

در چوکان باری نقد زندگای در باخت امرا آرام شاه برآدر او را بر مستد مرماندهی تشاندند

At page 137 of his "Contributions" Mr. Blochmann considers the word of at "a moon" in the word of to occur in other names of Indian History, and in what he calls "Ai-tigin" or Étigin [he is not certain which perhaps: of can be written É in "Turáni" pro-

bably], and in "Ai-lititmish, the emperor Altamsh," but unfortunately is with madd over the I does not occur in either of those names nor will Mr. Blochmann show them to me so written even in the Bibl.-Indica edition of the

"Tabaqát."

If "Ai-lititmish" be the name of the so-called "emperor" [but why not write also the "emperor" Mahmud, son of Sabuk-Tigin, the "emperor" Mu'izz-ud-Din, and the "emperor" Kutb-ud-Din? They were Sultans by title as well as "As-lititmish" was and if "As-lititmish" be right why style him "Altamsh" still? Such must be "behind modern research." If i be contained in the words and ایکیس there are no madds here and enof those words, نعن and نعن of those words, how does Mr. Blochmann account for the words Kal-timish, سعن Tak-timish, and سعن —Sal-timish? These are names often occurring as well as الملعن - I-yaltimish, elsewhere than in Indian history, because they are Turk names, but the last part of these compound words sometimes written تمش and the first part and الل and علاسورسال and الل respectively, and not الله at all. After this same fragile theory, I-yal-Arsalan - " J' and I-yal duz-اندور which latter the author of the Tabakāt-i-Nāsiri and some others write A Yal-dúz swhere is the "الدور is said to mean a star in المدور 'a moon' here المدور is said to mean a Turkish], those names must be written At-harsalan and Az-hilduz. I should like to know the titles of these "oldest Dictionaries" which give the pronunciation "Ailititmish." No, no, the " a moon'" in these last names is all moonshine.

In the Farang-i-Rashidi—a Dictionary published in the Bibl. Indica Series, among the meanings assigned to odd is sur-fingered. This is something new In that work also

with madd اي signifying moon, is not written اي

Since the above was written I also find that the same Dictionary contains the word y but that form of it which signifies, soft, ductile, lax, feeble, relaxed, weak, &c., is written with short u—shul, which is evidently the same word as used by the Afghāns referred to at page xi of this,

APPENDIX C.

On the correct name of the conqueror of Bihār and Lakhaṇawaft, Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Muhammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, the Khalj, and others of his tribe, and the use of the kasrah of szāfat for bin, son of, &c., &c.

THE name of this Malik is also criticised in the "Contri-

butsons," page 137. Mr Blochmann says:-

"The only thing we knew hitherto (and I believe it is all we know now) is that the conqueror of Bengal was called

Muhammad Bakhtyár, and the name of his paternal uncle was Muhammad Mahmúd.¹

"The names of these two persons Major Raverty breaks up, by introducing an artificial isaffat, or sign of the genitive [see ante on the use of the izafat and the كره توثيث and any Grammar on the subject], into four names, viz.

Muhammad-i-Bakhtyár, and Muhammad-i-Mahmúd * * * * Major Raverty says in explanation that "in his older MSS" the word bin, or son, is inserted between the words Muhammad and Bakhtyár in the heading of Chapter V, which contains the biography of the conqueror of Bengal; hence the conqueror of Bengal was Muhammad, and "the father's name, it appears, was Bakhtyár, the son of Mahmúd." It is not stated in how many MSS, this bin occurs, but, though it occur in the heading, it never occurs in the text.

The name of Muhammad Bakhtyár occurs more than thirty times in Major Raverty's Chapters V. and VI. (pages 548 to 576); but in every case Major Raverty gives Muhammad-i-Bakhtyár, i.e. the Izáfat Hence his MSS. have no bin in the text In the heading of Chapter VI, there is no bin, though Major Raverty puts it in; he tries even to do so in the heading to Chapter VIII., in the name

¹ See page 549 and note 4.

of Husamuddin 'Iwaz, and "one or two authors" get the credit of it."

"Nor does the word bin occur in the MSS. of the Tajul-Maasir, in Firishtah, the Tabaqat i Akbari, Badaoni, and later writers, though the authors of these histories must have had very good MSS. of the Tabaqát i Naçiri, some of which, in all probability, were older than those in Major Raverty's possession Hence I look upon the correctness

of the solitary bin as doubtful"

My answer is, I "put" nothing "in": the Tāi-ul-Ma'āsir has no Arabic headings like the Tabakāt-i-Nāşiri, and does not use the word bin, but, that work not being written in the "Turani idiom," the Kasrah of izāfat, where necessary, is understood. The author of the Taj-ul Ma'asir, which work Mr. Blochmann has, of course, examined, could not possibly have had a "good" or "old copy" of the " Tabagat" seeing that it was not written until more than thirty years after, the Tai-ul-Ma'asır was finished. Neither has the Tabakāt-i-Akbari Arabic headings, Budā'ūni savs he copies from his patron's work. I have already shown, in my notes 6 and 4 to pages 697 and 711, and in many other places of this Translation, what the Tabakat-i-Akbari is, The Author in all probability saw the Tabakat-1-Nasiri, but, as I suppose, he did not take the trouble to collate different copies, or devote a year or two to that task alone, as I have done, and contented himself with one-for example say the I O L MS 1952, "a good old copy" too, which one person, at least, styles an "autograph"-the short comings of the Tabakāt-i-Akbari may be accounted for. Firishtah contains nothing whatever-not a single event -respecting the Turk Sultans of the Muizzi and Shamsi dynasties, but what is contained in the Tabakat-i-Akbari. even to the poetical quotations and the blunders also.

I do not propose to change the name of the "conqueror of Bengal": I do more I do change it without the least hesitation on the authority of the best extant copies of the text of the "Tabaqát," which, as Mr. Blochmann most correctly observes, " is the only authority we possess for this period," and it will require positive proof to the contrary to make me give up the point. Because a name has been written incorrectly before, on wrong assumptior, or on mere theories, and because the two names Muhammad and Bakht-yar have been handed down and repeated from one writer to another as that of one man only, is there any reason why such error should be obstinately stuck to

through thick and thin?

But at the same time I must state that I have naught to

gain or lose by the change: I have no object in changing it, and only do so on the "undoubted authority" of my author. The matter lies in a nut-shell: either the father was called Bakht-yar, or he was not. If he was so called, then he has hitherto had the credit for what his son performed.

As to Muhammad with the kasrah of isafat being correct, I fancy Mr. Blochmann, even in a Muhammadan "School Register," [a great authority certainly,] never found one person called Muhammad Mahmud without the last referred to his father-certainly not if a Musalman in his senses wrote it down. But with regard to the "conqueror's" name, Muhammad, and Bakht-yar-that is to say Bakht-yar-ud-Din-his father's name, the word bin-son of -I first noticed in the oldest British Museum copy, one of the three best I have had for my translation, and Professor Rieu, on whose words, opinion, and experience in such matters, I place implicit confidence, considers it a MS, of the 14th century—or about a century after the time that Minhāj-ud-Din wrote. The word bin also occurs in the other British Museum MS., and in the best St Petersburg copy, which is another of the three I refer to, and in the very old copy I have-which apparently looks, but may not be, much older than either of the other two-the whole of the headings are pointed, and in this last MS, the word bin does not occur, for at this particular place, as well as in a few other instances where bin, as in the case of Muhammad the Suri, of whom more anon, is, subsequently, given, the bin has clearly been left out, accidentally, by the Mr. Blochmann's "solitary bin" also occurs in the best Paris copy. So bin-"son of"-occurs in four MSS.. in three of the best and oldest copies, the izafat in a fourth which often uses the izāfat for BIN in other instances where son of is undoubtedly meant, and bin in a fifth, considered to be a precious "autograph" of the author's. In the other MSS, vowel points are not marked, but the izāfat is, without doubt, meant there, as in other places where not marked. The "one or two authors" seems to be disapproved of-I had an object in not stating all my author's names at the time

the kingdom of Ghur to Amir Muhammad-s-'Abbas." and which Mr. Blochmann, according to his theory, would have written "Amír Muhammad 'Abbás," and so have made one person of the plural. There is another good example at pages in and in viz :-- ماث الدين معبد بن عمود بام --: Ghivasud-Din, Mahmud bin Muhammad-2-Sam Here bin is used for one person-the son, and an izalat understood and required for a third person—the grandfather: there is no izafat marked, but it must be used, because Muhammad, the father, was not called Sam, but he was the son of Sam-that is Bahā-ud-Din, Sām. Ghiyās-ud-Din, Mahmūd's father's name, is written in full in the headings with ben, but under. الدس سمد سام — <u>Gh</u>iyās-ud-Din, Muhammad-1-Sām, and likewise his brother's, معد سام — Mu'izz-ud-Din, Muhammad-i-Sam, but, by the theory put forth in the "Contributions," and the system followed in the translation of the "A'in-i-Akbari," they would both be turned into Sam, which alone refers to their father, and not to them, as the headings as well as the text-including the printed text-most undoubtedly show, and many other examples are to be found in the work. The names in the headings are written in Arabic, in every copy, throughout the whole book, and in the body of the work, according to the Persian idiom, the izafat for bin is understood, as is also the case with the name of Ikhtiy ar-ud-Din, Muhammad bin Bakht-yar[ud-Din], the Khali, and others.

Another matter tending to prove that Bakht-yār is the father's titular name, is the fact that the author of the Tabakāt-i-Akbari—one of those "who must have had" the good old MSS—styles him "Malik Muhammad-i-Bakht-yār-ud-Din" Muhammad could not possibly, be called Bakht-yār-ud-Din, and Ikhtiyār-ud-Din too. The same author, by the bye, at the head of the chapter, styles the "conqueror" of Bengal IKHTIYĀR-UD-DIN, MUHAMMAD, only Why? Because he understood that Bakht-yār-ud-

Din was his father's name

"Further,' says Mr Blochmann, "supposing bin to be correct, is it not strange, nay totally un-Persian, to speak continually of Muhammad-bin-Bakhtyár, or Muhammad-bakhtyár, instead of using the single name of Muhammad'. This would be Arabic usage—Thirdly, if Mahmád were the grandfather, it would have been extraordinary on the part of the author to have left out the grandfather in the heading, and in the beginning of the chapter, when Muhammad Bakhtyár's descent is spoken of and merely incidentally to mention it in connexion with the paternal uncle."

It certainly would be un-Persian to speak continually of

Muhammad-bis Bakht-yar, hence, after the Arabic heading. as in other places throughout the whole work of Minhaj-ud-Din. the Persian isafat is understood. Scores of examples in the text also show that a man's single name, such for example as Muhammad would be here, is unusual except in the case of some slaves whose fathers' names appear to have been unknown. So engrafted is the custom of using the father's name with the son's [but not the grandfather's], that in our Indian Courts we find bin and walad always used, and even in Bombay we find low caste Hindus, Dehrs, &c., styled, for example—"Lakhsman walad Nursia," and "Pāndū bin Santo," &c. A grandfather's name is very seldom put in the headings of the Tabakat-i-Nasiriit is not usual to do so. Had the paternal uncle's name occurred in a heading the word bin would have been written no doubt; but, as I have before noticed, did any person ever hear one man called Muhammad Mahmūd? I know, however, that one of the sons of Mahmud of Ghaznin is styled Muhammad-i-Mahmud, and that his uncles are styled. Nasr-1-Sabuk-Tigin, and Yüsuf-1-Sabuk-Tigin respectively. What a nice thing for a translator to make one man of them !

"Lastly," writes Mr. Blochmann, "the use of the Izafat, instead of bin or pisar (son), is restricted to poetry, and does not occur in prose [see note ‡, page 138]. I see, therefore, no reason to change the name of the conqueror of Bengal,

as proposed by Major Raverty."

This is a matter of such vital importance that I must give two examples, out of very many, of what may be caused through a translator not knowing where to place the *szāfat* so much objected to by Mr. Blochmann as "never occurring" in Persian prose in place of bin, son of, which is so "un-Persian."

A careful and conscientious writer like ELPHINSTONE says, in book v., chap. 1, of his "History of India," that "Mahommed Cásim" invaded Sind, and, page after page, and paragraph after paragraph, it is said that "Cásim" did this, and "Cásim" did that, and that "the Mohametan

arms ceased with the death of Cásim."

In Elliot also, vol 1, page 138, the extract from the Chach-Nāmah commences with the death of Rāe Dāhir "at the hands of Muhammad Kásim Sakifi." These names—for they are used as that of one person—"Muhammad Kásim" occur in scores of places throughout the extract, but, at page 157 we also have "'Imádu-d-dín Muhammad Kásim bin Abí 'Akíl Sakifi."

"Muhammad Kásim," as though it were the name of one

man, duly appears in vol. vi. of the same work, as conqueror of Sind.

Now "Casim" or "Kasim" had nothing whatever to do with Sind or its conquest. He was dead before his son, Muhammad, was appointed by his uncle to lead the 'Arabs into Sind, and so the father, who was in his grave at the time, has had credit, up to this moment, in our so-called Histories of India, for what his son performed, in the same manner that Bakht-yār-ud-Din, the Khalj, has had the credit for what his son, Ikhtiyār-ud-Din, performed in Lakhanawati.

From Tabari downwards, the name of the conqueror of Sind is 'Imād-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Kāsim, son of Muḥammad, son of Hakam, son of Abū-Ukail, and Al-Bilādūrī, an extract from whose work is given in Elliot, says the same as Tabarī, but, because the author of the Chach-Nāmah headed his chapters in Persian instead of Arabic, the necessary izāfat indicating son of, which is declared never to occur for that purpose, was not recognized, and hence this lamentable and absurd error. Such

is History.

I have already given examples of this; but turn to page - - 40 of the Calcutta Printed Text, which is the same as other copies in these instances, and the fourth line from the heading are these words سيهون المعنود مسكنكس رسيد chun takht-i Ghaznin ba Amir Mahmud-i-Sabuk-Tigin rasid. Does Mr Blochmann mean to assert that Sabuk-Tigin is not the father's name? So much for the random assertion that "the izāfat instead of bin or pisar [which last I have not used] is restricted to poetry, and does not occur in prose," and according to the footnote that it " is vare in poetry, and poets do not like to use this Isafat" If Mr Blochmann met with the following in Indian History -- محيات الدواء هارون هوا ايلا عان I wonder what he would think of it he would write it "Shihabuddaulah Hárún Bughrá Ílak Khán," and make one person of it I, however, read it- "Shihab-ud-Daulah, Harun-i-Bughrai-I-lak-Khān," because I know for certain that Hārūn who is entitled Shihāb-ud-Daulah is the son of Bughra, who is the son of the I-lak Khan, who is named Musa, who were Khāns in Māwar-un-Nahr-of the Afrāsiyābī dynasty.

Next, in the same foot-note t. page 138 of the "Contributions," Mr Blochmann says that "Minháj-i-Siráj" [I write Sarāj] does not mean in prose, 'Minháj, the san of Siráj,' but Minháj who writes under the name of Siráj. That the father's name was Siráj has nothing to do with it"

Mr Blochmann would find it difficult to show me where

he "writes under the name of Sirāj." I suppose it will be allowed that our Author knew his own name, and his father's, and if that be allowed, he calls himself repeatedly Minhāj-ud-Din-i-Sarāj, and he further says that his father was the Maulānā Sarāj-ud-Din, whose father was the Maulānā Minhāj-ud-Din, 'Uşmān, whose father was the Imām, 'Abd-ul-Khālik, the Jūrjānī. For these reasons ABO-'UMR-I-'USMĀN, who is also called MINIIĀJ-UD-DIN, sometimes styles himself in this work—MINHĀJ-I-SARĀJ-I-MINHĀJ—referring to father and grandfather also Here are two izāfats, in prose too. See also note 7, page 727 of this Translation.

I have already shown Mr. Blochmann's theory of "artificial" izāfats, as he calls them, to be "un-Persian," but, to prove that another statement here made is likewise incorrect, I must prominently notice another izāfat. It refers to the article "Who were the 'Patán' or 'Pathán' Sultāns of Duhlī"—the paper in the JOURNAL A. S BLNGAL, for 1875, page 31. Mr. Blochmann says in the same footnote ‡, page 138, of his "Contributions," para. 2, "The form of the name of Muhammad-i-Suri, on whose name Major Raverty has built a hypothesis, is doubtful for this Izáfat"

Mr. Blochmann, apparently, did not notice that the matter of the kasrak of izafat, at page 31 of the JOURNAL, has reference solely to FIRISHTAH and his translators he will take the trouble to refer to this Translation, page 316, and to the corresponding place page -- 38 of the Calcutta Printed Text, he will find the heading, "SCRI, bin MUHAMMAD," showing that here Suri is itself a Ghuri name. Then let him turn to page 320 of the Translation, and he will find the heading "MALIK MUHAMMAD bin SURI," but in the corresponding place in the printed text, page -- 40, merely If I chose to be guided by Mr. Blochmann's theory on that heading alone, and did not know that the kasrah of وتوقيق or description was required, and was in any doubts respecting the persons I was writing about, I might have called him, as Mr Blochmann would, and as Mr. Dowson, in ELLIOT [vol 11, p 285], has done-Muhammad Súri, as though the two names belonged to one man, and have turned two men into one accordingly. The printed text also mentions him as we twice in the same page, but a third time, in the last line of that page, when speaking of Malik Muhammad having made over Ghur to his eldest son, his name is given with his father's and grandfather's name مر يو على بن معيد بن مورى --- viz --- Amir Bu 'Ali, son of Muhammad, son of Suri,

Look again at the following heading in the Printed Text—page المراعل عليه المالية عليه المالية المالي

son of Shis, son of Muhammad, son of Suri.

If my long note on this subject, 7, page 321, had been read before taxing me with building up a doubtful "hypothesis," it might have been seen that in the Kitab-i-Yamini the author of which was contemporary with this very Muhammad, son of Sūri, who, it is pretended [merely because Dow and Briggs so rendered it and made a "Pathán " of him], was called Muhammad Süri, he is never once referred to as Muhammad but as -the son of SURI. The Tarikh-i-Alfi, Fasih-i, Jahan-Ārā, Rauzat-us-Safa, Habib-us-Siyar, Mir'āt-i-Jahān-Numā, and Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh, call him son of SORI only; and in the account of Mahmud-i-Sabuk-Tigin's raid upon the Ghuris in the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārikh he is also merely called son of SORI: never Muhammad. The Bengal A. S. Library contains a copy [No. 14] of this work, and Mr. Blochmann can refer to it. He will find, if the portion copied for me has been correctly copied, that in the first two places this Ghurian for من but, four عن Shūri—a mistake of عن but, four or five lines from the end of the paragraph, he is styled pisar-i-Shuri-that is the son of SHURI, and it is clear that Rashid-ud-Din followed the Kitab-i-Yamini and styled him son of SORI likewise, but that, in two instances, the copyist of that MS. No. 14, or the Calcutta kātib. left out the word - before the name, in the first two instances.

If the two words 'Alt Mardan alone mean 'Alt who was as valiant as many Men, and if Muhammad Sherān alone also mean Muhammad who was equal to many Lions, and his brother is also "equal to many Lions" [rather strange that both brothers should be so], whence come these five or six "artificial" words, since, without artificial means being adopted, the words 'Ali Mardān are—'Ali men—and Muhammad Sherān—Muhammad Lions? These words would, without the kasrah of description, be much the same as Shāh Jahān—King World—referred to in what I have said on the izāfat, and which is a complete answer also to these questions. Muhammadan "School Registers" have nothing to do with it. The Khalj Turks of Garmsīr did not keep any Registers.

As this answer to Mr. Blochmann's criticisms may fall under the notice of readers not acquainted with the Irani dialect of the Persian, and as he constantly refers me to his A'in, I must point out how inconsistent he is himself about these isafats—I do not think I can be taxed with inconsistency—and how often his isafats are used when they are not required, and wanting when not used. These inconsistencies, which I take from his translation of the A'in-i-Akbari, may be seen at a glance; he appears to have no fixed system:—"Mir Sharif-12-Amuli" requires the izafat according to his theory, but, as Mir Sharif was a native of Amul, the yā-i-nisbat or of relation affixed to Amul—11—i. e. of Āmul—as it is written in the MS. from which it is taken, was sufficient, as Fārs—Persia, Fārsī—Persian or of Persia; and Panj-āb—Panj-ābi; Aſghān, Aſghāni, &c.

The same occurs in "Shaikh Farld-i-Bukharl," which last word containing the yā-i-nisbat means of Bukhārā, or the Bukhārān. As it now stands it is "Shaikh Farld of or the Bukharl. Again, in the words "Alauddin-i-Khūji," although, at the very first page of Part III. of the "Contributions"

referred to, the word Khilji is called an adjective.

In another place, I find, "A'zam Khan" vide Khan-I-A'zam [see example of Izāfat previously given], and we find "Khan-I-A'zam" accordingly, but Mir-i-Adl [as I should write it] is not correct according to Mr. Blochmann's theory: it must be "Mir 'Adl." For example, I will give a list of some of the titular names and patronymics, and Mr Blochmann's different ways of writing them.—

"Chingiz Khán" in histories called "Qaán I Buzurg"; Çadr Jahán Mustí requires no 15āfat, but "Mustí-I-Mamalik" and "Umará-1-Kibár"; "Khán Khánán" and "Khán-khánán" require none "Khán-I-Kalán" and "Khán-i-A'zam" require it, "Khán 'A'lam Fírúzjang," "Nugrat-jang" and "Khán Zaman" require none "Rustam-I-Zamán," Túzak-1-Jahángirí, and Farang-1-Jahangirí want it; but Bahār-i-Dānish from me would be a dangerous innovation too, and my "Shāh-1-Jahān" is dangerous and un-Persian, but "Malikah 1 Jahán" is not!!: "A'cas Khán 'Abdul Majíd' requires no 12āsat, but the same person "Abdul Majíd-I-A'cas Khán" requires it; Sulaimán Kararání [by-the-bye, there is no such name] requires no 12āsat, but, a little sarther on, it requires to be written "Sulaimán-1-Kararání"!

I could multiply these examples ad infinitum.

"Burdan-kot may be due north of Bagura (Bogra) in Long, 89° 28', Lat. 25° 8' 25", close to Govindganj, on the Karataya River," but I fail to find it in the 119th Sheet of the Indian Atlas; but great changes must have taken place since Minhāj-ud-Dīn wrote, when "a river" flowed in front of his Burdan-kot, "of vast magnitude, the name of which is Bag-matī; and, when it enters the country of Hindūstān, they style it, in the Hindūi dialect, Samund (ocean) and, in magnitude, breadth, and depth, it is three times more than the river Gang" [see page 561], and the Karataya must therefore have grown "small by degrees and beautifully less."

I did not "identify Maksadah:" My words [note 4, page 576] are "the Maxabad probably of the old Maps," &c.

Mr. Blochmann at page 142 kindly recommends me to Mr. Thomas's "INITIAL COINAGE OF BENGAL," regarding the reigns of "Muhammad Bakhtyár's" immediate successors; but as I have the account of "Minhāj-ud-Din," "the sole authority for the period," and some others, I can dispense with it, and have already done so in my Translation. Perhaps some coins of Muhammad bin Bakht-yār

may yet come to light.

I beg further to notice here, now that I am on the subject of coins, that, although the Shansabānī rulers, and some of their freed-men after them, used the title of "KASIM-I-AMIR-UL-MOMININ," it did not follow that they "shared their property" with the "Commander of the Faithful," as Mr. Blochmann imagines from his remarks on Thomas's readings of rare Bengal Coins, at page 203 of the Society's Proceedings for 1872 Our author's derivation of the title will be found at page 315 of this Translation See also page 368, and the Shams-ul-Lughat wherein the word is also explained, but it is evidently of Arabic derivation.

APPENDIX D.

On the date of the capture of the city of Adward Bihār by Malik Ikhtiyār-ud-Dīn, Muḥammad, son of Bakht-yār-ud-Dīn, the Khalj, page 551.

THE next matter is the conquest of Bihār by Muhammad, bin Bakht-yār, the Khalj, which Mr. Thomas fixes at 599 H. on the authority, Mr. Blochmann "believes," of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āşir [ELLIOT'S version probably], which states that Kuth-ud-Din took Kālinjar in that year; but the MSS. of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āşir examined by me, unfortunately, have that same stubborn and what makes the date still more doubtful and what makes the date still more doubtful which, from the want of diacritical points, may be 577, 579, 597, or 599, just as the reader chooses to render the words.

At page 523 of this Translation [note, para. 2] I have noticed that "it is astonishing that the Musalmans remained quiet for six years," assuming that 599 H. was the correct year in which Kalinjar was taken, which, I add, "was the same year in which Sultan Ghiyāṣ-ud-Din died," but, from the examination of these four MSS of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir again, I am in doubt whether 597 H. is not the most correct according to that work Minhāj-ud-Din says the Sultān died in 599 H, but, as I have noticed in note 4, page 383, some authors give 597 H., and some 598 H. as the date of his death.

Those who suppose that "Bengal was conquered" [the surprise and capture of Nūdiah I refer to] in 599 H. do not consider how Muhammad, bin Bakht-yār, could have "reigned," as he is said to have done, "twelve years," seeing that he was assassinated in 602 H.

I am told that I am mistaken, according to my own authorities, in connexion with the very doubtful date in the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir above referred to Mr. Blochmann says, page 134, Part III. of his "Contributions":—

(1) That Muhammad Bakhtyár appeared before Qutbuddín im Dikili, and was rejected by reason of his humble condition. According to Major Raverty, Dihlí was occupied in 589 H.¹, hence Muhammad Bakhtyár must have been rejected in or after 589 H.

(2) After his rejection, Muhammad Bakhtyár goes to

Badáon where Hizabr gives him a fixed salary.

(3) After some time, Muhammad Bakhtyár goes to Audh, where he obtains certain fiels near the Bihár frontier. He now undertakes plundering expeditions, which continue, according to the printed text, for one or two years.

In a foot-note is added, "Major Raverty has left this

out."

(4) He invades Southern Bihár* and takes the town of Bihár. He then goes to Dihlí, where he remains for sometime at Qutb's court.

(5) The second year after his conquest of Bihar, he sets

out for Bengal, and takes Nadiya.

Now how is it possible, with these five chronological particulars, that Muhammad Bakhtyár could have lest Bihár, as Major Raverty says, in 589 H.? [A foot-note has, "Major Raverty says that Muhammad Bakhtyár presented himself to the Sultán at Láhor, but the text has Dihli (page 549).] It would, indeed, be a close computation if we allowed but five years for the above events, t. c. if we fixed the conquest of Bengal as having taken place in

594 H., or AD 1198."

To this my reply is that "the text [page 549] says not one word about "Muhammad Bakhtyár" presenting himself before "the Sultán at Láhor" ["the Sultán" in this instance was a slave, continued a slave during his master's lifetime, and did not obtain his freedom and the title of Sultān until 605 H—only about fifteen years after this time! See page 389 of Translation, and corresponding place in the original]. The words in the Translation, and in the Text, are, that "Muhammad-1-Bakht-yār presented himself before the Muster-Master at Dihlī," and so, the probability is, that Malik Ķutb-ud-Dīn was at Lāhor, as I have stated in note 6, page 550, on the authority of another writer, and Muhammad, bin Bakht-yār, straightway went to Ḥusām-ud-Dīn, Ughul-Bak

If looked at in a different light, although the time seems very short, it is not so utterly impossible for Muhammad, bin Bakht-yār, to have waited on Kutb-ud-Din at Lāhor, or gone to Ughul-Bak, as the case may be, proceeded to Awadh, have been sent to Bhiūli and Bhagwat, have taken

Early in 589 H the second month prehably.
 It should have been stated above that his fiels were class to the frontier of South Bihār, as in this Translation.

Bihär which only required a party of 200 horsemen, in fact, it may be said Muhammad bin Bakht-var took it alone. and might have occupied him a couple of weeks, or even say a month from his fiefs, a distance of under 200 miles as the crow flies, have gone to Dihli to Kuth-ud-Din in 580 H... or to Mahobah, as the case may be, and have invaded Bengal the following year, for "the second year" means the following year-I quote my authors as I find them. That in the following year after 589 H., he took Nüdiah, agrees with the statement of Shiam Parshad, whose work Mr. Blochmann, of course, has referred to; but he appears not to have noticed the statement of Minhāj-ud-Din at page 556 of this Translation [page 10. of the printed text], that when Muhammad bin Bakht-var returned from the presence of Kuth-ud-Din, he subdued Bihar, thus contradicting his previous statement.

The only thing I can blame myself for in this matter is, that I did not mention in a note, that the printed text, which at one time is so utterly "untrustworthy," and then so trustworthy, contained the words "matters went on in this way for one or two years" after the words "and ravaged that territory," at page 551 of this Translation. The reason why I did not do so is, that, in all probability, I did not look at the printed text here, or that it escaped my attention, otherwise I certainly should have done so: I think I have noticed the printed text pretty often, when right as well as when wrong. I had no object not to do so: I had built up no theory or made statements anywhere else that I wished to support I might also have added that the two MSS. on which that printed text is based—two of the three worst of those collated—contain the same words, and

that other collated MSS. had no such words.

I would, however, remark here that I did not profess to translate the Calcutta Printed Text, but to translate the work from MSS., and as advertised on the covers of the

Society's publications.

Why the expression "some years before 601 H." can make it clear ["Contributions," page 135] that Nūdiah "must have been taken about 594 H. or 595 H. s. e. in A.D. 1198 or 1199," any more than about 591, 2, 3 or even 596 or 7, I am at a loss to understand. But one thing, at least, is very clear—that the year 599 H. for the conquest of Bengal, even "as consistent with the best authorities," is utterly impossible.

Another theory is then raised. Although it is clear to Mr. Blochmann that Nūdiah "must have been taken in 594 or 595 H." the statement contained in the Tāj-ul-Ma'āgir

[Firishtak, who merely copies from his immediate predecessors, more particularly, is a very trustworthy authority to quotel, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar waited on Kutb-ud-Din at Mahobah, in 590 H .- a doubtful date in that work. as before stated, which may be 597 H., and four or five vears after Mr. Blochmann says Bengal was conquered-"involves no contradiction as far as chronology is concerned." No, not in the least, even though our author, Minhāi-ud-Din states, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar waited on Kutbud-Din before he surprised Nūdiah! With that city Bengai -or rather Lakhanawati-fell. There is no mention of any fighting after; and so, if it is correct according to the Tajul-Ma'asır, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yar only waited on Kutb-ud-Din at Mahobah, in 599 H., not from AWADH and BIHAR as incorrectly rendered, in ELLIOT'S version page 232, vol ii.], but from آربد بها,—the points are thus given, according to the text of the Tāj-ul-Ma'āṣir, I now have before me—that city could only have been taken after that time-599 H. See also foot-note page 134, of the "Contributions," in which it is contended that "-as Minhāj-ud-Din writes it—cannot be correct, because the Calcutta Text has !!

The author of the Tabakāt-1-Akbari, like some others, takes Muhammad, son of Bakht-yār, from the presence of Mu'izz-ud-Din direct to Husām-ud-Dīn, Ughal-Bak, and says, that Muhammad-i-Bakht-yār, when subsequently he came to Kutb-ud-Dīn's presence, "was deputed to conquer I akhaṇawaṭī." The Tazkırāt-ul-Mulūk also takes Muhammad-i-Bakht-yār direct from Ghaznīn to Ughal-Bak, and states that he took Bihār before he went to Kutb-ud-Din

See page xxiv of this.

"The time fixed upon by Mr. Thomas for the conquest of Bengal is 599 H, that is, four or five years after the time assumed by Mr. Blochmann, while I have stated, according to my author, that it was the year following 589 H., that is 590 H.—but three or four years before Mr. Blochmann's chosen time. Mr. Thomas is only "a little too late:" mine is "impossible as being too early." Probably Mr. Blochmann did not notice that it page 340 of the Ro. As. Fournal vol. vi. for 1873, Mr Thomas has again changed his opinion, and says that "the first occupation of Bengal by Muhammad Bakhtyár Khilji," was "in 600 A.H." As Muhammadi-Bakht-yār is said to have held the territory of Lakhanawati for twelve years, and was assassinated in 602 H., how is it possible that the conquest of Bengal could have taken place in either 599 H. or 600 H.?

INDEX

IN TWO PARTS.

PART I, HISTORICAL AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL.

(b stands for thu; n for footnote).

A,

Abāķāc <u>Kh</u>ān b Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, 1212 n, 1287 n

Abar Khan, the Ilbari, 800 and a 7 Abars, tribe of the, 961 a.

Abâtāe, the Nüyîn, 1287 a.

'Abbis 11, the Safawi, Shih, ig.

'Abbas b 'Abd-ul-Muyalib, Progeniter of the Abbasides. 1260. 'Abbas Sarwarni, 537 n

'Abban b Shis b Muhammad i-Sûrî,

322 n, 329, 330, 331 and n 2, 332 and n 3,-app xz.

'Abbas b Sinms-ud-Din Muhnmmad, 428 n l, 434 n, 436 n 5

Abbandes. the, House or Family of 'Abbas, xxxm, 1, 11, 55 m 1, 160, 209, 215, 217 n, 218 and n, 219 n 7, 165 n 4, 311 and n 2, 312 and n 1, 316 n, 354 n 5, 807 n 1190 n 1, 1229 n 8, 1230 n, 1232 n, 1246 n, 1241 n, 1243 n, 1253 n 4, 1254 n, 1255 n, 1259 n 3, 1260 n, 1275 n 3

Abbott, 78 n.

Abdålı Afghāns, the, 1022 n.

'Abd ul-'Azis b Nüh b Napr, Samanī, 45

'Abd-ul Ghaffar, the Historian, 834 s., 828 s.

'Abd-ul Hat. Maulawi, 1268 # 9.

'Abd ul Hakk, author of the Akhbār-ul-4khyār, xxx.

'Abd a) Käsım b Sallam, Imam, 14.
'Abd al-Khölik the Jurjani, Imam, xix, 104,—app xix

'Abd-ui Kulil b Marsad, of the Tababi ab. 7

'Abdu'l ah, <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Shāh, 282 s.</u> Abdu llah b 'Abbos, 1258

Abdu'llah b. 'Abdu'ilah b Tāhir,

'Adn'll th b Ashkan, Khwarazm Shah, 38 and a 6, 232 s

'Abdu'llah-1-Khurdad bih, See under Ibn Khui did bih.

- 'Abdu'llab-i-Şâlib, Sijini, 16.
- 'Abdu'llah b. Tähir, 10 * 5, 12 * 3, 12 and * 8 and 9, 14 and * 4, 27 * 6. 28 and * 7.
- 'Abdu'llah b. Ziyad, 901 n.
- 'Abdu'l Malik b Marwan, 337 = 6
- 'Abdu'l Malik b. Nüh b. Manşür, Bâmini, 29, 44, 50 and nn 3 and 4, 53 and n and nn 8 and 1, 54, 80 n, 903 n.
- 'Abdu'l Malik b. Nüh b. Nüşr, Sämäni, 29, 38, 40 and n 2, 41 and nn 6 and 8, 54, 70.
- 'Abdu'l Manāķib b. Musta'şum 'Abbēsī, 1249 m.
- 'Abdu'i Manasil b. Musta'pim 'Abbasî, 1240 a.
- Abdur-Rahim b. Muhammad b. Sulpin Mahmud <u>Gh</u>asnawi, 90 * 4, 91.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahman, administrator of Khitas, 1149 n 7, 1152 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahman Isfara'ini, Shaikh; 299 n.
- 'Abd-ur-Bahnan b Muhammad b Sultan Mahmud Ghannawi, 91.
- 'Abd-ur-Rahman b. Musta'şım 'Abhan, 1249 w.
- 'Abd-ur-Rashid, 'Izz-ud-Daulah b-Sultan Mahmüd Ghaznawı, 88, 98 and s 5, 6 and 8, 99 and s and s 1, 100 and s 5, 132 s 6 901 s 'Abd-ur-Razzak, Matizi, Shatkh,
- 'Abd-ur-Hazzak, Matizi, Shaikh, xvi. 'Abd-ur-Hazzak b. Sultan Mas'ad,
- 95 s 7. Abel, of Scripture, 596 s 1. See
- also Hābil. Abghā Khān b. Hulāku Khān, xivu,
- 163 n 5.
- Abhyantara-<u>Ch</u>arya, the, 691 a Abikah, See Anikah Bigi

- Abir b. Shalikh, S.
- Abkah, the Nü-in, 1047, 1074.
- Abrahah, ul-Aghram b. Hassu-29-Sabbib, 7.
- Abrahah-i-Zu'l Manie b. Härig of the Tabāhi'ah, 6.
- Abraham, the Patriarch. See under Ibrāhīm.
- Abû 'Alî, general of Sultan Ghiyaşud-Dîn Mahmûd, 434 n.
- Abū 'Alī b. 'Abdu'llah, al-Bal'amī, 42 n 9, 44'n 4, 58 n 3
- Abū 'Alī-i-Ḥesan, Dilamī, 55 m l, 61 m 4, 63 and m 6.
- Abū 'Alī b. Ilyās, Amīr, 87 a, 56 and a 3.
- Abū 'Ali-i-Kai-Khusrau b. 'Iss-ul-Mniūk, 66 × 7.
- Abū 'Alī-1 Lawik, 73, 186 ×, 320 × 8. Abū 'Alī b. Mémūn, al-Farighūnī, 64 × 8, 233 ×.
- Abū'Alī b Mahammad-i-Sörī, 322 n, 324, 325, 329, 330,—app ziz , zz.
- Abū 'Alī-i-Simjūr, 79 n 1, 45 and n 8, 46 and n 4, 47 and n 8, 48 and n n 2 and 4, 74 n 3, 75 n 4, 903 n, 903 n.
- Abū Bakr; Raverty writes &bū Bikr in all instances.
- Abu-Bikr as-Siddik, the 1st Khalifah, 233 and n 3.
- Abi-Bikr i-'Abd-ul-Karim, Ut-Ti--i'u-L'illah, 61
- Abu-Bikr-i-Lawik, 71 and n 5, 72 a 6. Abu Bikr-i-Maraghani, Amir, 1087
 - n, 1639 n 7, 1642 n 6, 1649 n 2, 1652, 1654 n, 1199 n. See also under Ruku-ud-Din
- Abū-Bikr b Muhammad, Atā-bak, 172 a 3, 173, 249 and a 3
- Aba Bikr b Mustn'jim 'Abbasi, Amir, 1229 a 8, 1230, 1282, 1233,

1935, 1945, 1946 = 5, 1947, 1948, 1949, and =, 1951, 1958, 1954.

Ab5-Bikr b. Sa'd b. Zangi, Ati-bak, 177, 178 and n 7, 179, 180 nm 6 and 6, 239 n 9, 296 and n 6, 296 n, 299, 1116 and n 9, 1119 n 1, 1228, 1234 n 8

Abù-Bikr-i-Ṣālih, <u>Kh</u>wājah, 102 s 4. Abū Dakur, 434 s, 502 s 6, 526 s.

Abū-Hanīfah an-Nu'mān b Sābit, Imām, 190 and s 2, 227 and s 1, 384, 513, 646,

Abū Hasan Ash'arī, 613 w S.

Abû Ibrahîm, Samanî, 87 w.

Abū Ibrāhīm-i-Iamā'īl b. Nūḥ, Sāmānī, lvii, 51 m 6, 52 m 1 See also under Al-Muntaşir, Sāmānī.

Abū īshāķ, the Istakhurī. See Al-Istakhurī.

Abū ishāķ Ibrāhim b. Ismā'il Sāmā nı, 33.

Abū lahāķ i-Tāhira, 4 m, 71 m 5.

Abū Ja'far Fārīghūni, 233 and n 7 Abū Ja'far Muhammad al-Bāķır, 82 n 6

Abū Kālinjār Şameām-nd-Daulah, Al-Marzabān, 64 * 1. See also under al-Marzabān.

Abū Kālinjar b. Sultān-ud-Daulah, Dīlamī, 93 z 8

Abû Kurrah, Şábit b. Sinân, 24-Şâbî, 60 n 7

Abá Lahab, 1159.

Abû Manşûr b. 'Abd-ur-Razzêk, 40 n 4, 42, 71 n 5

Abu Mamjur-i-'Azis, the Wazir, 48 and # 5, 49

Abū Manşūr-i-Jihani, 36 = 9.

Abū Manşūr Muhammad ibn-ni-Azīs, 40.

Abû Muhammad b. Abû Naşr, the Shar, 80 n 5, 341 n 6. Abi Muhammad Manşür-i-Ismi'll, Bimini, 88.

Abi Müsi Aşh'ari, 618 a 2.

Abū Mūsā-i-Hārūn, Shihāb-ud-Daulah Bughri Khān, 46 and n 8, 908 n, 903 n See also under Bughrā Khān

Abū Muslim, the Marwasi, 311 and nn 1 and 3, 698 s, 694 s, 915 s. Also called Abū Muslim al-Khurāsānī.

Abū Nașr, Farábī, 195 = 4.

Abū Nașt b. Abū-l-Ḥurg, Fārīghūnī, 233 n

Abū Nasr-i-Ahmad, Khwējah, 138 s. Abū Nasr-i-Ahmad, Sēmānī, 33 and n 8, 34, 35, 54, 185 s.

Abu Nagr-i-Ahmed b. 'All, the Ilak-Khan, 902 s.

Abū Nagr-1-Mighkān, Kh wājab, 36 n, 80 n, 92 and n 3, 53, 105 n, 116 n 2, 120 n 5, 131 n 7.

Abū Nașr b Rāshid, the Shār, 30 s 5, 341 s 6

Abû Rîhân al-Bîrûnî, 77 x, 78 x, 84 x 8, 231 x 2, 232 x, 451 x, 1046 x 3, 1216 x.

Abū Sahlān, tribe of, 1008 and

Abû Sa'id, Prince, 1236 n

Abā Sa'id-1-'Abd-ul-Haiy b Zuhāk, the Gardezī, 901 n, 902 n, 904 n, 905 n, 1043 n 1

Abû Sa'id-1-Abu'l Khayr, Shaikh, 142

Abū Sa'id-i-'Abd'ullah of Baigā, Kāzī See under al-Baizāwī.

Abā Sa'id-1-Āķ-Sauķur, 208 n 1, 204

Abū Sa'īd-i-Bakir b Al-Malik, Al-Parghānî, 40.

Abu Sa'id b Haiyah, 586 m.

Abā Şalih b. 'Abd-ul-Malik, Sāmānī, 41 n 8, 42 Abā Salih i Manain b. Jakāk Sāmā.

Abi Şaliş-i-Manşûr b Ishâk, Sâmânî, 83, 34 and n 7, 186 n.

Abā Şalıb Naşr-i-lamā'il, Sāmānī, 83 Abā Shajān b Marzabán, 8.

Abû Sulîman-i-Di'üd, al-Fanakatê. Sos al-Fanakatî

Abû Sulîmân-i-Dê'êd-i-Jaghar Bog See Dê'ûd-i-Jaghar Bog.

Abū Yasīd, Bustāmī, Shaikh, 419, 420, 703 n 6.

Abū Zukariā Yahyā-i-lamā'il, Sāmānī, 33, 37 s.

Abû Zakur, See Abû Dakur Abû Zanjar, See Bû-Zenjar

Abul 'Abbas-i-Ahmad b. Hasan b Abu Bikr b Ali, 1200 *

Abu'l 'Abbas 1 Ahmad b. Musta'şim, 'Abbasî, 1240 n

Abu'l 'Abbas al-Faşl al Isfarâ'ini, 73

Abu? 'Abbās b Māmun al Farīghūnī, 84 x 8, 55 n, 120 n 5, 232 x

Abu'l 'Abbās Muhammad ibn ni Jarrāh, 37 n

Abu'l'Abba-: Shia, the Sipah Satar, 316, 366, 367, 369, 371 and a 4 413 n 1, 425 n 9, 447 n 8

Abu i 'Abbis-1 Tigh, 44 and n 2, 45 n 7.

Abu'l 'Alā-i-Aḥwal, 116 s 3, 117 s Abu'l Farah, poet, 9 t2 n

Abu'l Faraj 969 n 1, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1018 n

Aba'l Fath of Multan, 85 m

Abu'l Fath Muhammad b Sam, Sultan, 301

Ahn'i Fawaria 1-Makan, 57, 65 and

Abu l kazi u-'Allemi, li, 73 n 8, 79 n, 256 n 3, 295 n, 455 n, 486 n, 529 a 4, 538 n, 540 n, 554 n 9, 559 n 3, 565 n 3, 568 n, 582 n 6, 665 n 9, 860 n, 583 n, 684 n, 698 n, 594 n; 897 n,—app xi

Aba'l Fagl i-'Anîs, Sijisî, 28 n 6.

Abu'l Fagi Baihaki, See Baihaki. Abu'l Fagi al-Bal'ami, 33 n 1. 38 n 5. Abu'l Fagi Kirmāni, <u>Kh</u>wājah, 190. Abu'l Fagi Muhammad b 'Alī, 242 n. Abu'l Fagi Muhammad iba-ul flākim Sarakhsi. 38.

Abg'l Parl Süri. 122 n 3, 128 n.

Abu'l Fidá, 94 n 3, 636 n, 920 n, 922 n, 986 n 6, 984 n, 996 n, 1009 n.

m, 966 m G, 964 m, 958 m, 1000 m.

Aba'i Ghātī Bahādur Khān, 585 m, 640 m, 866 m, 869 m 2, 870 m, 872 m, 873 m, 875 m, 876 m, 877 m, 879 m, 880 m, 881 m, 883 m, 883 m, 886 m, 890 m, 892 m, 893 m, 894 m, 895 m, 896 m, 897 m, 969 m, 943 m, 944 m, 945 m, 948 m, 949 m, 959 m, 952 m, 956 m, 973 m, 981 m, 985 m, 967 m 3, 993 m, 1080 m, 1086 m, 1080 m, 1090 m, 1091 m, 1097 m 6, 1140 m, 1142 m,

Abu'l Hasan r-Abi Shuja', Dilami, b Fana Khasrau, 65

Abu'l Has in i-'Ali b al-Agir, See Ibn al-Aeir

Abu'l Hamm-i-'Ali 'fmád ud-Daulah, 55 u 1, 58 u 9, 59 u 5

Abu'l Hasan-i Buwish, 40, 47, 48 n 4, 58, 59 See also under Fakhrnd Davish

Abn l Hasan i Haisam b Muhammad i-Nabi See Ibn Haisam

Abu'l Hasan link 1-Nayr, 51, 902 m,

Abu'i Hanna-1-<u>Xh</u>alaf, 325 n, 327 n, 328 n

Abu'l Hasan-i-Napr, Samani, 35 and

Abu'l Hasan-i-Simjür, 41 s, 42 s s, 44, 45, 71 s 5, 78 and s 4 Abu'l Hasan b 'Umro al-Füriübi, 42 s 8, 71 s 5. Abu'l Hayis, 59 and s 4

Abu'l Hife b Khalaf, 186 s.

Abu'l Husain i-Ahmad, Mu'izz-ud-Daulah, Dilumi, 55 x 1, 58 and x 9, 59 and x 5, 60 x 8.

Abu'l Kasim-i-Abd'allah b Khurdadbıh. See ibn Khurbadbih.

Abu'l Karim-2-Nüh, Saman, See Nüh b Manşar b Nüh Samani Abu'l Kasim b. Sallam, Imam, 14

Abu'l Käsim b. Sallam, Imam, 14 and * 2.

Abn'l Kasim-i-Simjär, 49 aud n 9, 50 n 4, 50 n 5, 81 n.

Abu'l Khair, 960 n, 264 n 2

Abu'i Ma'ālī Kulij-1-Tamghēj, 907 n. Abu'i Ma'shar-1-Munsjjim, 1

Abu'l Muşaffar Nöşîr-ud-Dîn Hahmûd Shûb b Iyal-tımısh, See under Nöşır-ud-Dîn

"Account of Caubul," Elphinstone's, 874 a

'Account of Zila Dinajpur,' 559 n. Achar Diw, 691 n

'Ad b 'As, 303

Adam, of Scripture, 1, 2, 303, 304 at 2, 596 a 1.

Adamish, the slave, 171.

Adisur, 568 a 1

Ao Khân b Aghúz Khân, 880 a, 881 a Actkin, the Tatar, I, 403.

Actkin of Hirat, Haltk, 375 a.

Afglians, the, v, xin, xin, xiv, xxv, 1v, 74 n 2, 86 n, 1/0 n 5, 187 n 3, 304 n 3, 314 n 6, 817 n 6, 32 l n 4, 32 l n, 833 n 7, 337 n 6, 352 n 3, 307 n 1, 435 n, 439 n 4, 448 n 449 n 9, 463 n 466 n 1, 477 n, 499 n, 508 u 1, 500 n. 511 n, 512 n, 538 n 3, 538 n, 536 n,

540 n, 548 n 3, 622 n, 736 n 5, 858 and n 4, 878 n, 876 n, 885 n, 1043 n 1, 1075 n, 1078 n 8, 1182 n, 1132 n, 1171 n, 1202 n, 1208 n, 1295 n 9,—app xi, xii.

"Afghān Grammar," Raverty's, 187

Afghan Kutb, the, 622 a.

"Afghānistān and the Afghāns," Bellew's, 1016 x 3, 1061 x 7.

Afranj, See Farangs.

Afrāsyāb, 3, 106 n, 117 n, 309 n, 561 n 9, 882 n, 901 and n, 905 n, 910 n, 914 n, 919 n, 923 n

Afrāvyābī Malike, the, l, 116, 260 and n 7, 264 n, 480, 900 and n 4, 901 n, 906 n, 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 912 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 918 n, 919 n, 923 n, 924 n, 925 n, 929 n, 923 n, 935 n 8, 933 n, 965 n, —app xviii.

Afridun, 8, 205, 306 and n 5, 207 and n, 206 and n 2, 209 and n, 596, 362 n.

Afrīķis b Abrabah, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 6.

Afgal Khāu, Khatak, 632 s Afgal-ud-Dīn, the Bāmsānî, Imām, 1197.

Aghri, signification of, 1041.

Aghrush, the slave, 996 s.

Aghāl, the Hājib, 1030 a.

Aghāl Şāhibb Muḥammad-i-Takigh, 286 a 6.

Aghásh, the Arpalü, 1281 a.

Aghanh, the slave, 996 s.

Aghûz, the Chini, 907 s.

Aghās Khās, Sovereign of the Mughal Imāk, 871 n, 873 n, 876 n, 877 n, 878 n, 879 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 891 n, 892 n, 884 n, 896 n, 951 n.

Achès Malik, 1116 a 9. Ahl-i-Bait, the, 1229 m 8. Abmad, another name of Muhammad. 1161. Ahmad of Khujand, the merchant, 271 m. Abmad, Khwajah, a Musalman saint of Turkistān, 932 %. Ahmad, Sayyid, author of Agar-us-Sanadid, 718 Ahmad b. 'Abdu'llah Khujistani, 23 n 1. Ahmad b 'Alī b Nueh-Tigin, 98 n 8 Ahmad al-'Alkami, Wasir of al-Musta'pm, 1229 and n 8 also Ibn-'Alkami Ahmad b Asad Samani, 24, 27 and n 6, 28, 29 and n 9, 54. Ahmad, the Bitikchi, 1195 a Ahmad-1-Hārūn general of Ismāil b Asad Samani, 32 and a 7 Ahmad b Hasan, Wali of Kashghar, 907 n. Ahmad b Hasan al-Maimandi, Khwajah 92 n 3, 120 n 5 Ahmad b Ismā il Samanī, 33, 34 and n 6, 35 and n 6 916 n Ahmad-i-Kamaj, 156 n 9, 375 n Ahmad Khan,-Sultan Sikandar of Dihli, 511 n, 591 n Ahmad Khan b Jafar Khan, of Samarkand, 135 m Almad kl in h Khire Khin Coon Alarad Khon b Sudman Khon, 147 n 4, 906 a. V mad Khujahot, Sayvid x)viii, 270 \ san b Muhammad Karami, 152 A and b Muhammad b Khulaf,

- MARY INTO VA

of b Wilarman b Sultin

Mahmud, 97, 95 a 6, 96 a 1. Ahmad, Nikūdār Ārhāl, Bultān, 1293 n 2. Ahmad-i-Sa'd, the Salar, 651 n 7. 751. Atmnd b. Sahl, 185 m. Ahmad, the Sälär, ruler of Kälinjar 1074 ** Abmad b. Şālih Sijizi, 16, Abmad-i-Simjür, Dawäti, 85 n 4. Ahmad-i-Sheran, 573. Abmad b Tahir, 'Abbasi, 1259 n S. Ahmad-i-'Uşman, Nisawî, See under Jalal-ud-Din-i-Majd-ul-Mulk. A-î Khan b Aghus Khan, 880 n, 881 n Aighú b Awang Khān, 1193 a. A'in-1-Akbari, the, xvs, 73 n 8, 79 n, 455 m, 535 m, 551 m D, 568 m, 592 n 6, 587 m 4, 592 m, 593 n, 608 n, 6, 650 n 1, 494 n 4 698 n 8, 858 n 4,-app vi, ix, xi, vii, xxi 'Am-ul Mulk, Rusames Asha'ri, 613 and a 2, 631 'Am of-Mulk Muhammad Junaidi, 603 and n 2, 644 n 4 'Am al Malk Sarani, 390 At youm, Nagu ad Dan, 612 and on G and 9, 627 and a 4, 647 Asy b b Slade, Malak al-Afril, Naym tol Ibn. Abn. Lawhkar to, 207 and a 8, 208 and sa 9 and 1, 215 and : 9 Air de Carkin n Darwesh 657 Mr. S. Arrises the, 243, 230 m. 1275 3 1275 m. April 11 11 de, 1194 n A. d. Den. 521 . M, an egether, 212 a the rate descripts of Ajam, 1, 5 Akbar, 1 78 s 79 n 81 n, 95 n 4, 449 n 9, Mc n 5, 468 n 3, 608 n 1

597 n, 551 n, 559 n 2, 598 n, 598 n, 601 n 6, 635 n 6, 645 n 2, 694 n 4, 698 n 3, 715 n 1, 788 n 2, 883 n, 1002 n 5.

Akbar-Nāmah, of Fāigī the Sarhindī, the, xvi, 889 n 2, 880 n, 883 n, 888 n, 889 n, 894 n.

Akhās Malik b. Khān Malik, 291 a. Akhbār-i-Shihābi, the, 391, 392 a. Akhlāj, the. See under Khalj. Akhlāj-i-Nāsisi the 1190 a.l. 1267

Akhlāķ-i-Nāşirī, the, 1190 m l, 1367 m.

Akhtan, the Ata-bak, 146 and n 2. Akhund of Suwat, the, 1062 n 3. Ak Malık, a chiof, 1034 n.

Akrān <u>Kh</u>ān of Kifchāķ, zivu, 240, See also Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān of Āifchāķ Aķrān b <u>Shamar</u> b. Afrīķis, of the

Tabābi'nh, 7 Āķ-Sanķur b 'Abdu'llah, the Ḥājib, 173 n 4, 203 n 1, 204 n

Ak Shunkar, a bird, 951 *

Ak Sultan b Muhammad-1-Takish, 254 and a 3, 280 a 1, 283 and a 7, 294, 286 a 6

Ak-Sunkar, Amīr, 606 n 4, 656 n, 810 and n

Ak-Sunkar, seneshal of Lohor, 1134 and n 1 1135

Akti', Muirr-ud Deulah al , 60 n 8. Al i-Tamghij the 1155 and n 3.

Al-1 Ziyar, the, 55 n L

Alak, the Nu y in, 972 n, 974 n, 975 n 5, 977 n

Alakash, Tagin Kurin See Cla Kush Tigin 1945 n

Alan Kuwa, 802 n 893 n, 894 n, 902 n, 903 n, 916 n.

Alamans, the, 998 n, 999 n, 1290 n II Alami b Taghil c, 233 n

Alanjik, title of Kabul Klan the Mughal, 800 "

'Alā-'ud-Daulah, Atā-bak, governor of Yazd, 296 n.

'Alā-'ud-Daulah, Al-Byābánki, us-Simnāni, Shaikh, 299 n.

'Ală-nd-Daulah, the Hamadânî, Sayyid, 995 s., 997 s

'Ali-ud-Dîn Abû 'Alî b. Shuja'ûd-Dîn, 345 346 and a 8.

'Alā-ud-Dīn 'Alī b Mardān <u>Kh</u>aljī, Malık, See 'Alī-ı-Mardān, the <u>Kh</u>alj.

'Alâ-ud-Dîn, the Asfahed of the Kabud Jāmah, 1131 a.

'Alā-ud-Din 'Aṭā Malik, the Şāḥıb, 1195 a

'Alâ-ud-Dîn Ayaz, the Zinjanî, xxviii, 709, 829, 821 n 5.

'Ala-ud-Din Bahram Shah b Nasirud-Din Kabachah, xxvi.

'Ala-ud-Din Hasanb 'Ali uş Sabbih, 1187 n 7 Sec also nuder Hasan as-Sabbih.

'Ala-nd-Din Husain Jahan Soz b. 'Izzud-Din al-Husain Ghuri, 110 # 5, 111, 112 nn 3 and 5, 113 n. 149 and n 2, 150, 155 a 3, 237 n 8, 238 and n 5, 301, 323 n, 333 n 6, 336 n 4 , 337 and a 8, 339, 340 and a 2, 343 and # 3 344 and # 7, 347 and # 2, 348 n. 349 and n. 350 and n. 351 and u, 352, 353 and n 5, 354 and a 1, 355 and #, 356 and # 2, 357 and # 7, 358 and na 2 and 3, 359 and n. 369 361 and n 7, 362 and n 3, 363 and u 5 361 and n 1, 365, 366 a 4, 364 n, 369 and a ~, 376 and n 2, 377 n 1 375 n 3 391, 395 n 4 413 417, 121, 122 423 a 4, 126 a 4, 438, 439 a 4, 142 n 443 n, 441 n, 445 and n, 4 to 447 nG 448 and u 4, 449 n.

'Mend Dm Tini, Mahk 618 and n. 620, 629, 633 n. 8 634, 639 640 726, 781 and n 8, 785, 771 n, 772 n, 778 n, 774 n, 778 n, 779, 847 n 1, 910 n.

"Ali-ud-Din Eai-Kubad, the Khokhar-chief, 610 m.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Kai-Kubād, Sultān of Bām, lz. 296 n.

'Alâ-nd-Dîn-i-Khuljî,-app. zzi.

*Alā-ud-Dîn Khūr Shāh, Bādehāh of the Mulāḥidah, 1196 *

'Alā nd-Dīn Kımēj, 371, 372, 378
'Alā-nd-Dīn Haḥmūd b Jalāl nd-Dīn Hasan-i-Nau Musalmān, 1306 and a 1.

*Alā-ud-Dīn Mas'ūd Shāh b. Hukuud-Dīn Fīrūs Shāh, of Dihli xxvii, 625, 660 and s 9, 661 and s, 668, 664, 665, 666 and s, 667, 668, 669, 676, 737, 744 s 6, 747, 755 and s 3, 753, 762 and s 8, 780, 787, 790, 792, 798, 812 s 9, 813 s 5, 814 and s 8, 815 s 6, 1153, 1156, 1181 s 2 'Aland-Dīn Mas'ūd b. Shama ad-

'Ala-ud-Din Mas'ād b Shams pd-Din Muhammad, of Bāmiān, 434 and a 8, 435

*Alā-ud-Din Muḥammad b Bahā'ad-Din Sām, 401 n, 414, 432 nn 1 and 3, 438 and n, 434 n, 435, 437 n 7, 477 n, 493 and n, 494, 495 and n 4, 496.

'Alâ-ud-Dio Muhammad b. Elasan b Muhammad, 1190 a t, 1192 a, 1193 a.

'Alā-ud-Din Nohammad b İbak Kashli <u>Kh</u>an, 713 and a S

'Ala-ud-Din Mulmmund the Khutani, Shaulh, 984 a

'Alâ-nd Din Muhammad, the Malıkul-Hüji, l, lt, 346 x 8, 391 and x 2, 392, 393, 394 and x and x 3, 325, 376, 397 and xx 5 and 3, 399 and x 3,412, 415 x 6, 417 and xx 5 and S. 418 and * 2, 419 * 5, 420, 468 * 472 * 7, 490 * 8, 492, 525 * , 100 * 9 Same as Malik Ziyā-ud-Di Maḥammad, Durr-i-Chūr or the Pearl of Ghūr.

'Alā-ad-Dīn Muhammad, of the Mulāhidah of Alamüt, 1151 n.

'Alā-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Takiş <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, See unde Muhammad i-Taki<u>sh</u>.

'Alā ud-Din Utaus b. 'Alā-ud-Di al-Husnin Johān-sos, Sultān, 23i 395 and m 4, 396, 399, 402 n, 40i 411 n, 412 and m, 413 and n 1, 414 415 and mm 4 and 8, 416 n 9, 415 418 n 2, 419 n 5, 490, 406, 626 7, 1003 n 7, 1071 n 1

'Alin-ni-Mnik of Kundaz, 1023 n
'Alawis of Egypt, the, 203 and n
209 and n 6, 210, 211, 213, 211

Alb, e-grafication of, 40 a 7.

Atb-Arsalán 1-Gliúsí b. Dñ-ūd-i-Ja ghar Beg. Saljuki. 66 n 7, 99, 100 102 nn 1 and 2, 103, 126 n 2, 12 and n 2, 123 and nn 3 and 4, 13 and n 9, 135 and n 2, 126 and s 137 and n 3 and 4, 158, 174 n, 19 and n, 342 and n 1, 906 n, 906 s 914 n, 921 n.

Alb-Armiën : (Thinib Kinil Armië Saljuki, 390, 472 and a and a 9. Alb Armiën b Sultin Mahmid t Mahammad Malik Shah Saljuk 204 a

Alb i Ghāsi of Hirst, 257 n 2.

Aib-Tigin, Amir of Ghazmin, slav of the Samania, 27, 37 n 2, 40 au a 5, 42 and n 1, 40 and n 2, 40 n 7, 51 n 5, 70 n f 71 and n 5, 72 n 6, 73 n 7, 232 s 320 a 3

Alb-Tigin, Piljib of Abu? 'Abbis-i-Minnin Forighini, 223 n.

Albari, See the liberi tribe, 1169. Alexander, the Greet, 261 n, 295 n, 264, 878 n, 680 and n 7,998 n, 1044

n, 1054 n 3, 1184 n, 1263 n.

Alexius Commonus, 157 %.

Algha, the Nayin, 1184 s, 1292 s.

'Ali b. Abi Khāhd, 10 a 4.

*Ali b. Abi Tilib, 7, 9, 82 m 6, 64 m 1, 91, 104 m 3, 232 and m 2, 202 and m 6, 206 m 9, 207 m, 212 m 2, 496, 596, 994 m, 995, 1125, 1234, 1245 m, 1255 m, 1260, 1283.

'Alī, the Arghūn, Sultān, 1123 s.
'Alī, the Bahādur, Shahnāb of Bagh-

dåd, 1255 m.

'Ali al-Bal'ami, See Abū'Ali b 'Abdallah, Muhammad, al-Bal'ami.

'Ali Bastabádi, Khwajah, 723.

'Alī Chatri or Jatrī, Walī of Hirāt, 237 and n 8, 348 n, 358 n 3, 378 n 3, 436 n 4.

'Ali, the Qhà-ù<u>sh</u>, Amir, 150, 152.

'Alī, the Darghami, the Pahlawan, 1028 n

'Ali b. Farrukh-sid b. Mas'ūd-i-Karīm, 107.

'Alī, the Ghasnawi, Imām, 1141

Ali, the Hajib, governor of Akhlit, 297 s, 298 s.

"Alī b. 'Isā-i-Māhān, 10 and an 2 and 7. 11 a 9

'Ali:-- lama'il, Sipah-Sālār, 529 a 4, 605 and a 1.

'Alī b. Iyal-Armian, 89 a 8.

'Ali Jatri, See Ali Ohatri.

'Ali Karib, 89 a 9.

'Ali-i-Karmikh, the Sipah-Sälär, xxi, 114 n, 454 n, 456

'Ali-i-Khar-post, See Malik Muhammad, 'Ali i-Khar-post. 'All Khwethäwand, the Häjib, 86 a 7, 89 an 8 and 9, 91 n 8.

'Ali Eurbat, the Hijib, 89 and n 9, 90.

'Ali-i-Laig, Şuffari, 19

'Alî b. Mahmöd-i-Zangî, Malik-nş-Şālıb, 206 and a 6.

'Alī Malik, 1186 s.

*Ali-i-Mardán, the Khalj, 572 and m 6, 574 and mm3 and 5, 575 and m 9, 576 and mm5 and 6, 577 m, 572 and m9, 579 and mm4 and 6, 580 and m7, 581 m5, 587 m2, 610 m 7 771 m,—app xx.

'Ali b. Mas'ûd-ı-Karim Ghasnawi 107.

'Alī, the Mej, 560, 561, 562 n, 569 n 5, 571.

'Ali Nag-awri, 540 and a 4.

'Ali Shit b. Bahrim Shit Ghamawi, 111.

'Ali Shih b. Takish-i-Khwirasm Shih, 249 n 3, 252 and nn 3 and 4, 253, 255 and n 7, 380 and n 1, 381 n 2, 400 and n 1, 401 n, 403, 405, 407 un 5 and 7, 408, 409 and n 5, 210 and nn 3 and 6, 411 n, 412, 413 n 1.

'Ali Sher, Amir, 404 n 1.

'Alī b haja'nd-Din, Abi'Alī, Ghūrī,

'Ali b. Sulțăn Ibrăhim Gharnawi, 105.

'Alî b Sulțăn Mas'ud, 95, 97 and a 4, 98 an 5, 6 and 8.

'Alī b Ţāhur b al-Ḥusaiu, 13 a and 8, 393 a,

Alî Tigîn, ruler of Bukhêrê, and one of the Afrasiyabî Khêna, 121, 123 n, 904 n, 914 n.

'Ali-i-Zālud, Amīr, 199 and a7.

Alin Taiahi, Badahah of the Curit tribe, 944 a. Alfojah Khān b. Kiwak Khān, 878 a, 874 a.

Alinjah (Alminjah) b. Turk, 672 n, 873 n.

Alipā-Ko, chinese for Artūķ Būķā, 1219 a.

Aljaktā, Nū-īn, zlvii, 164, See Iljīkdāe.

Almas, the Hajib, Malik of Balkh, 418.

Almeric, king of Jerusalem, 310 s, 312 s 1.

Alminjah b. Turk b. Yafi, 873 n, 873 n, 891 n.

Altamah, anglicised form of Iyal-Timish, which see,

⁴⁴ Alțăn Duftar, " or Golden Record, the, 891 n, 1093 n.

Ältän Khän, sovereign of Khitäe, the, 894 n, 897 n, 937, 940 n, 943, 893 and n, 954, 955 and n, 956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 961, 962, 965, 966, 980 n1, 1092 n, 1095, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1144 and n 4, 1136, 1217 n.

Altan Khans, sovereigns of Khitac, the, 913 n, 936, 955 n, 1136 n 9, 1139 n, 1186 n 5

Altī čakmān, the Hājib of Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg, 128 n. 129 n.

Altun Khán, See Altan Khán

Altan Khan of Tamghal, 270, 271.
See also the Altan Khan, sover-eign of Khane

Altun Tunh, the Hapb, 85 n, 120 and n 5, 121 n, 123 n, 232 n, 321 n 7.

Altur (Atsiz) b Tiey, 87" n

Almand sep, father of Lahr sep, 303 n U

Amin, al, 9, 10 and a 8

Aminjah b. Buka Khan, sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875 n.

Amin Khin, governor of Bengal 773 a, 776 a.

Amin Malik, Amin ul Mulk, See under Malik Khān Yamin-ul-Mulk.

Amir-i-'Alam, the, 1127 and = 3,

Amīr-ı-'Ālim, the 'Ajamī, 217 e.

Amīr-i-Dād, the, 476 n, 482 n, 539 n 4, 605 n 1.

Amīr-i-Hajj, the, 1244 a.

Amīr-i-Ḥamīd, the, title of Nun b. Nun Samāni, 40.

Amīr-i-Māzī, the, title of Ismā'il b. Ahmad Sāmānī, 33.

Amīr Mu'aszī, Poet-Laureate of Bultān Sanjar, 158.

Amir-i-Sa'd b Husim-nd-Din 'Akah, 1238 n 8, 1239 n.

Amir-i-Sa'id, the, tutle of Nasr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'il Sāmāni, 37, 38, 903 s.

Amir-1 Sa'id b. Sulțăn Mas'ūd, Ghasnawi 95 n 7.

Amir-1-Sadid, the, title of Mangur b. Nüh Samini, 41 x 8, 44.

Amir 1-Shubid, the, title of Abû-Nasr-1-Ahmad b. Ismail Samani, 84 35

Amir Shah b Sulfan Ibrahim Ghannawi, 105

Amir a'l Umara, the title, 58 m l, 642 n 2.

'Amlak b 'Ad, 303.

'Amlak b Turk b Yang, 872 n.

Anand pai li Jaspal, reler of Hind,

Anang-pal Tun Rajah, 599 n 8 Anbin, the Na in, 1128 and n 7.

'Anbar-ı Habashı, 1272 a 5.

Anboh tribe, the, 1234 and a &

"Ancient History," Philip Smith s, 886 s.

Angirie, the, 940 n, 942 n, 1008 n, 1317 n, 1228 n.

Aniši-Juk Chē-īr Khān, See under Chē-īr Khān

Anîşah Bîgî <u>Kh</u>êtên, 1092 a, 1143, 1148 a.

Ansari, the poet, 208 = 2, 407 = 8. Ansher b. Shaid-sen. 9.

Ansi (Iley) b. Aminish. 875 m.

Antonia da Bilva Menesse, 583 x 6.

Anwin b. 'Amlik, 308. Anwir-i-Subnili, the, 109 = 9.

Acktäy, See Uktäe Kä'än.

Aolbarlang, the, a tribe or people of Kifohik 1169 s.

Aor Khan, the Khwarasmi Amir, 1116 x 9.

Aor Khan of Lakhanawati, 786, 787. Aor Khan b. Mughal Khan, 875 n.

Aor Khản of Büm, 164.

Aorangiás or

Acrangtash or

Aormaktēgh b. Mangū Ķā'ān, 1318 s, 1223 n.

Aormasd, or Hurmus, of the Sasa--nian dynasty, 4,

Apoltonius of Tyana, 78 s.

Arabian Nights, the, 603 x 7, 790 x 7, 1295 x 1.

Arabs, the, xx, xlvii, 189 n, 231 n 2, 203 n 7, 804 and n 3, 305, 309, 329 n, 333, 899 n, 915 n, 1003 n 4, 1021 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1081 n 7, 1117, 1233 n 3

Aram Shat b. Kutb-ud-Din Ibak, 503 n 9, 506 n 9, 528, 529 and n 4, 530 n and n 7, 606 n 3, 608 n 8, 014 n 8,—app. xi

Arash, the Archer, 721 and s 3, 1058 and s 5.

Arbas, chief of the Kara Khitais, 911, 912 n. 927 n. Archaeological Report, Cunningham's, 1129 a 1, 1121 a.

Arda-Sher b. Hurmus b. Narei, Sisini, 5.

Arda-Sher b. Sherwalah, of the Akisirah, 5.

Arda-Sher Babakin, 4, 6.

Ardaghīr-i Diras-Dast, &

Ardawan-i-Akbur, of the Auhkanian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Ardawan-i Asghar, of the Ashkamian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Ardū b. Atais, sovereign of the Tättär Imāķ, 875 s.

Arfakhshid b. Sam, 6, 303 x 7, 304

Arfa'wa, See under Ashk, 4.

Arghūn Āķā, the Ūīr-āt, Amīr, 1122 «, 1140 », 1151 », 1151 », 1161 » 2, 1196 », 1194 », 1195 », 1196 », 1287 ».

Aribuga for Irtul; Büli, 1161 a 4, 1162 a.

Aristotle, 678 s, 1184 s.

Arpinto b. Africyllo, King of Türün,

Arjifan or Jifan b. Chingis Khan, 1092 s.

Arkanah, the Kûmšî concubine of Uktas Kā-ān, 1143 s.

Arktū, the Nùylin, 1248 m, 1368 m, 1272 m 6, 1273 m 7, 1379 m.

Arlate, the, 1095 m.

Arman, the Urus Amir, 1170 s.

Armanus, Kamar of Rum, 134 a 9,

Armenisms, the, 1161 * 4, 1162 *. Arrian, 1055 *

Arsalān-i-Arghū b. Alb-Arsalān b. Da-ud-1-Jaghar Beg, 143 n 2

Arsalān-1-Bālū, Officer of the Sam--ania, 81 n. Arealán-i-Hūjib or

Arsalán-i-Jánib, governor of Ehur--asan, 80 n 5, 81 n, 117 n, 118 and n 5, 119, 321 n 7.

Armiën <u>Kh</u>ën Abu-l-Mugaffer, the Afräsyädi <u>Kh</u>än, 85 n, 904 n.

Arsalân <u>Kh</u>ân b. Kadr <u>Kh</u>ân, 94 **s** \$, 905 s.

Armalán <u>Kh</u>ān of Kaišlík, 1004 and a 9, 1007 a 3, 1023, 1054, 1065, 1061 a 7, 1066 a 6, 1113 a 3, 1123 a.

Arealán <u>Kh</u>ān, the Kārlūg<u>h</u>. 968 s, 969 s 1, 965 s, 966 s, 969 s.

Arsaláu <u>Kh</u>ão Mohammed b. Sulimãu, See Muhammed-i-Arsaláu <u>Kh</u>áu.

Arealan Khan Samjar-i-Ohant, Malik of Awadh, 673, 695 and n 9, 696, 700 n 8, 708 n 7, 706 and n 6, 713 n 3, 706, 787, 706 and n 4, 769, 770, 771 n, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 779 n, 784 n, 798 and n 6, 830, 838 n 7, 839 n 4, 847 and nn 9 and 4, 848 and n, 849.

Arsaján Malik b. Suljan Malik b. Mas'úd-1-Karim, 107.

Arnalán Sháh, Amir, 251 n 9.

Arnalán Sháh b Mas'úd-i-Karim Giaznawi, zivi, 107 and nn 7 and 8, 108 n 5, 147 n 8, See also Mahk Arsálan.

Armin Shah b Sulțan Ibrahim Channawi, 106,

Areston Shah b. Tughril b Muhammad b. Mahk Shah Saljah, 165 and n 2, 166 x 7, 170 x 8, 171 x 9, 175 x 8

Aminh, the Pablawin, 1002 and a 3, 1025 a 8.

Artaxerxes Longimanus, 2.

Artuk Bükâ b. Tulî b. Ohingîs Khân, 1092 n. 1215 and a 2, 1218 n. 1219 a. 1226 n. 1293 n. Artil, Mufir-ud-Din, suler of Da--maghk, 207 a S.

Artikiak, same as above.

Arwand Shah, 208 a 9.

Arwand-sep, father of Luhr-sep, 203 n 9.

Arwand-sep b. Tüb, 808, 804, 806. Arwand-sep b. Zankabs, 808 and se 7, 804, 805.

Aryans, the, 890 s, 1075 s, 1076 s. Arsalāķ Shāk b. Muhammad-i-Takigh, 364 and s 3, 379 and s 6, 280 and ss 1 and 2, 281, 282 s 7, 286 and s 6.

Armit Chib, See Armit Chib. Arzi-mend-Dukht, daughter of Khussau Parwis, S.

Ās, tribe of, 1169 n.

'As b. Iram, 800.

Asad b, Ahmad Sāmānī, 29.

As'ad b. Bêdên, 9, 11 and # %

As'ad b. Rēdwiah, 9 n 1, 11 and n 2. Asad b. Sámin-i-<u>Kh</u>addét, 37 and n 6. 38.

Ared b Sheddid, 312.

Acad-ud-Din Mankali, 742.

Asad-ud-Din Sher-i-Koh h. Shādī, the Kurdī, 306 n, 207 and u 8, 208 mand nn 1, 3 and 4, 209 and u 6, 210 and u, 211 and u 9, 213 u, 218 and n and nn 5 and 5, 215 and u 9, 216 and nn 8 and 4, 221 u 6, 235 u 4.

And ad-Din Sher Malik Wajiri, 461 and a 6.

Asad-ud-Din Tez Khan-i-Kutbi,

Aşaf <u>Kh</u>in 'Abd-ul-Majid,—app. zzi.

Ågår-u'l-Bilid, the, zvi, 14 n 1, 28 n 8, 70 n 4, 266 n 9, 1010 n.

Agér-un-Nuzari, the, 42 a 9.

Agle-up-Sanidid, the, 716.
Assassar, anglicized form of Ak-Sankur, Malib of Halab, 178 n 4, 202 n 1.

Apfahed, a title, 263 m.

Agh'ar, Arab tribe, 613 a 3.

'Agharah-i-Mabaghirah, the, 1.

Agh'ari, Sharaf-al-Mulk, the, 664 and a.

Ash'arian, the, 618 . 3

Agha'yā (Issiah) the Prophet, S.

Achk Arfa'wa, let of the Achkanian dynasty, 4.

Ashkan b. Ashk, of the Ashkanian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4

Ashkimin dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 4

Aghraf the 'Alawi, 'ayyid, b. Muhammad-i-Abi-Shuja', the Samarkandi, 906 s. 907 s.

Aghraf-ud-Dîn b. Sayyid Jalâl-ud-Dîn, the Şûfî, Sayyid, 1288, 1289, 1280.

Aghrat b laham, 9.

Asia Polygiotta, Klaproth's, 866 s. Asiatic Journal, the, 592 s.

Asiatic Society of Bengal, Proceedings of the, 633 m, 774 m.

Asif Khaz, 588 w.

Aşil·ud·Din, the Nighapuri, 1008, 1061.

Aşîl-ud-Dîn, the Zawzanî, <u>Kh</u>wâjah, 1206 n 3, 1208 n, 1209 n. [n 7 Assassios, the, 363 n 6, 651 n 7, 1167

Astir (Ataiz) b Bloy, 875 m.
Astley's Collection, 920 m, 938 m,

1219 s. Asûtão Aghūl b. Mangū Kā-ān b. Tūli

b. Chingis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1223 n.
 Atā-bak, meaning of, 168 n S.

Atā-baks, the, 168 s E, 169, 170 s, 172 s 3, 282.

Atā-baks of Āņarbaijān and 'Itāk, 106 = 7, 168, 175 = 8.

Ati-buks of Firs, the, 148, 169 and n7, 178 and no 4 and 5, 174 a, 180 a 6.

Atā-baks of Maupil, the, 140, 169, 308 and u 1, 205 u.c.

Ata-hû, the Mughal general in Ching-tu-fû, 1232 w.

Āt-Khān, the Karā Khitāi general, 154 = 2, 155 n, 237 n 2, 908 n, 919 n, 926 n

Atkinson, Dr., 1012 a 4.

Atkinson, Mr. T. E., 683 s.

Atais b. Rey, Sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875 *

Aurangueb, emperor of Dibli, 559 m 3, 586 m 9, 592 m, 598 m.

Avril, Father, 567 = 4.

Äwang Khin Tughril Tigin, Sovereign of the Kariyat Mughals, 470 n, 866 n, 936 n 5, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 943 n, 948 n, 944 n, 945 n, 948 n, 969 n, 1091 n, 1108 n, 1176 n 9.

Awan-Kars, a tribe of Jats, the, 409 n, 537 n, 538 n, 1182 n

Awanz, the, 1130 m, 1131 m. See also the above.

Awar Khan b. Mughal Khan, 875 s.

Awes, the Gebari Bultan, 1044 m.

Awhad-ud-Din Bukhari, Imam, 190, 191

Aw-Tigîn b. Yasşükâ Bahâdur, 899 n, 1094 n, 1105 n.

Âyaghû or Aighû, Son of the Âwang Khán, 1193 n.

Ayat the Burak, 877 s.

Ayaz, Abu Najm, Uimāk or Imāk slave of Sultān Mahmud of Ghas. .nin, lvin, 89 a 8, 102 a 4, 145 a 4, Ayis-i-Hesir Mardah,—Kabir Ehin -i-Mangirai, 725, 866 n. Aydy Cit, See Yiddi Şût.

'Ayighah Khātūn, daughter of 'Iszud-Din' Umr the Maraghani, Malik of Hirāt, 198, 1199 s.

Aymin the servent, 206.

Ayyūbī dynasty, the, See under Aiyūbī.

Asid <u>Th</u>ihr b. Sulțin Ibrühim <u>Gh</u>asnawi, 105.

Asid Malik b. Sultin Ibrihim @hasnawi, 105.

Asid-Mihr b. Sultin Ibrahim Ghasnawi, 106.

A'zam Khan,-app iz, zzi.

A'pam Malik b. 'Imēd-ud-Dīn of Balkh, Amīr, \$40 s, 1016, 1023 s, 1028 s. A'pam-ul-Mulk, the, 1015 a, See also A'gam Malik.

Assr-sab b. Tith, 9.

'Āṣid, Abū Muḥammad-i-'Abd'ullah, al-, 212 u, 218 n, 215 n 9, 216 n 4, 217 n, 318 n 6.

'Asis of Egypt, the, 597.

'Axīx-bi'llah Manşür-i-Nisār, al-, the Mişri <u>Kh</u>alifah, 47 n 7.

Askanah Khatun, 1148 n.

As-Kulli Dåd-Bak, 800 Malik Saifud-Din, Ibak-i-Shamai, 'Ajami. Asmādai, the Gurji, 1272 n 5. Asmān, the Urus Amir, 1170 n. Asnāwari, the Gurji, 1272 n 5. Asrā-il, 1190 n 1.

'Aşad-ud-Daulah, See 'Uşd-ud-Daulah,

B.

Bābā Kotwāl the Şafahānī, 574, 676 and a 9.

and n 9. Bibak-i-Khurrami, 18 and n 9.

Bábakan b. Bábak b. Sásáu, of the Sásánián dynasty. 4.

Bábar, 75 n 5, 78 n, 81 n, 285 n 5, 288 n 3, 373 n, 374 n, 890 n, 1044 n, 1094 n, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1145 n.

Bahar-ud-Din, governor of Hirat,

Badie, the Jákambű, 1092 n, 1176 n 9.

Bàdhe or Bhine, the Tarkhan, 941 m, 942 m.

Badie Tarkhins, the, 948 s.

Bêdân b. Mão Khuaran, 9

Bodrah, signification of the word, 16 n S. Badr-ud Din, Sayyid, ziviii.

Bedr-ud-Din 'Ali Kidani, Malik, 390, 490.

Bedr-nd-Din, the chief Diwin, of Sultan Muhammad, Khwirasm

Shih, 27 × 7, 276 ×, 965 × 3, 968 w. Badr ad-Din Ja'far, Governor of Saraths under Sultan Shih, 248 v.

Badr-ud-Din Ji'ligh, Governor of Balkh ander Muhammad Khwaraam Shih, 402 s

Badr-ud-Din-t-Khipr, 461 n 8.

Badr-ud-Din of Kiden, 342, 368.

Badr-ud-Din Lits, Malik of Massil, 1151 s, 1228 and s 6, 1224 s 8, 1237, 1247 s, 1252, 1262 s, 1262 s, 1272 s 5, 1279, 1260 and s 5.

Badr-ud Din Sankar, the Rumi, 648 661, 662, 663 = 5, 654, 662 = 6, 709 n 6, 714 n 9, 752, 753 and n 3, 787, 806, 867 n.

Badr-nd-Din Tughril, Slave of Sultin Sanjar, 274 and n N, Same as Bahl-nd-Din Tughril, which see.

Badr-ul-Mulk 'Ajami, Wasir of Sultan Sanjar, 185 a 6.

Bie Sunkar b. Käidt, of the Büsanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imük, 805 a. 806 a.

Bagketur, 898 m.

Bagh-rash, Amir, 862 a S.

Bagjadi, See Bakjadi.

Bagora, mispronunciation of the name Bughré, 905 a.

Bageum b. Abrahat-ul-Aghram, S.

Bahidur, the title, 898 m.

Bahadur, Amir of Tingküt, 1115 * 5.

Bahådur, Tå-ir, See Tå-ir Bahådur. Bahår-i-Dåni<u>sh,</u> the,—app. xxx.

Bahā-ud-Daulah <u>Ehusrau</u> Fīrūz, 57, 68 n 8.

Bahá-ud-Din 'Alī b. Sultān Mas'ūd, 97 n 4, 98 n 6.

Baha-ud-Din Bulad-1-Nasiri, 626.

Bahā-ud-Din Ḥasan-i-Ash'ari, 635, 761.

Bahá-ud-Dîn Ḥilāl, the Sūriāni, 668 #8, 737.

Bahä-ud-Din Ibak, the Khwajah, 683, 819.

Baha-ud-Din Muhammad, Amîr-i-Hajib, 491 a 9.

Baha-ud-Din Muhammad, the Jûini, 1121 s.

Bahà-ud-Din Muhammad b. Mas'údi-Karim, 107.

Bahā-ud-Din Rāşı, Sayyid, xlvis, 370 and n 7, 271, 963, 964 and n 2, 965 and n 5.

Bahā-ud-Diu, Şa'lük, Malik, 1120 s 2, 1131 s. Bahā-ad-Dīu Sām b. @hiyēp-ad-Dīu Mahmūd, Sultān of @hūr ,407, 408, 409, 412, 413 n l. 414 n 2.

Bahā-nd-Dīn Bām b. '1ss-nd-Dīn al-Husain, Salţān of Ghūr, xlix, 337, 389, 340 and n. 341 and nn 6 and 7, 342, 343 and n. 3, 347 and n 2, 348 n. 349 n. 353 n 5, 357 and n 9, 369, 438, 432 n 8, 439 n 4, 440, 472 n 6, 478 n 6, 480 n, 1051 n 3, 1063,—app. xiv.

Bahā-ud-Dīn Sām b. Shams-ud-Dīn Muḥamwad, Bāmiāui, xxii, 843, 390, 413, 414, 436, 438 and n 1, 429 n and n 4, 430, 431 and n 7, 432 and n 5, 433 and n, 435, 436, 490, 492 and n 7, 498 and n, 494, 501 nn 4 and 5.

Bahā-ud-Din Tughril, governor of Hirât, 248, 249, 374 and a 5, 379 and a 5, See also under Tughril of Hirât.

Baba-ud-Din Cahi, Imam, 512.

Bahā-ud-Dîn Zakarıyê of Multén, Imām, 482, 717 n, 1201 n.

Bahā ul-Ḥaḥḥ, See Sahā-ud-Din Zakarıyā.

Bahá-nl-Mulk b. Najib-ud-Din, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n.

Baḥtam, xiv, 68 and n 4, 69 n. Bahlül Lüdi, Sultan, 610 n. 511 n.

Bahman b. Isfandıyar b. Gu<u>shtüsib</u> 8. 7.

Bahr-ul-Aurèr, the, xvi, 1164 a 9, Bahram b. 'Ali, Governor of Kırman, 93 a 8.

Bahram b. Bahram, of the Sasanian dynasty, 4.

Bahram Gür b, Yazdajırd, 231 n 2, 423 n 8, 598.

Bahrim b. Hajash, 312.

Bahram Hashuush, 53 n S

Behrim b. Hurmus, of the Sissinian dynasty, 4.

Bahrim the Jahingirian, Sultin,

Bahram b. Rasan Marit, 9.

Bahram b Shapur,—Kirman Shah, of the Sasanian dynasty, 5.

Bahram Shah b. Khuarau Malik, <u>Ghamawi</u>, 115, 379 n 6, 456 and **3, 457, 1062 ** 7, 1072 ** 5.

Bahrām <u>Sh</u>āh b. Mas'ūd-2-Karīm, <u>Gh</u>aznawī, zīvz. zīuz, 107, 106 and mn 1, 2 and 5, 109 and n 9, 110 and mn 1 and 5, 111 and n 6, 113n 5, 113 n, 148 and n 5, 149 n 2, 236 n 6, 323 n, 338 n 2, 340, 341 n 7, 347 n 2, 348 n, 349 n, 350 n and n 3, 351 and n and n 1, 353 and n 5, 357 and mn 6 and 7, 368 n 2, 439 and n 4, 440, 441 and n 8, 448 n, 443 n, 444 n, 445 n, 448 n 4

Bahrām Shāh b. Nāşır-ud-Dīn Kabājah, 532 and n 2, 541 n 8, 542, 543 n, 544, 613 n 7, 614

Bahram Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghasnawi, 106.

Bahrām Shūbin, 27 and * 4, 29, 53 n 6.

Bahruz, prefect of Baghdad, 207 n 8.
Baidar b Chaghatae b Changiz
Khan, 1165 n.

Bāīdū b. Ardū, Sovereign of the Tāttār Imāķ, 876.

Baihaki, al., xlix, 67 and n 2, 69 m, 70, 75 n 5, 76 n 8, 77 n, 78 n, 82 n 2, 84 n 9 85 n, 87 n, 89 n 9, 91 n 6, 93 n 9, 94 n 3, 95 n n 5, 7 and 8, 97 n, 100 n 5, 102 n 2, 105 n, 107 n 6, 117 n, 119 n, 120 n 1, 121 n 7, 122 and n 3, 121 n 4, 126 n n 3 and 5, 127 nn 7 and 1, 128 n, 129 n 130 n 6, 131 n 7, 132 n 8, 134 n 6,

281 n 2, 286 n 3, 818 n 1, 819 n 5 830 n 4, 821 n 7, 824 n, 836 n, 416 n 6, 426 n 6, 446 n 4, 586 n, 901 n, 1009 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1048 n 1.

Baikara, mispronunciation of the name Bughra, 905 s.

Bain b. Munghi, 812.

Bāisū, a chief of the Mughal Imāķ, 936 a 6, 953 and a 8.

Baişükü Äkü b. Jüjî Kasār, 1086 s. Baişüt, tribe of, ixili, 988 s., 1094 s. Bail-ul-Kāl, the, 63 s. 5.

Barāwī, Kāţi, al-, xvi, 75 n 7, 97 n 4, 99 n, 107 n 7, 112 n 5, 113 n, 130 n 3, 349 n, 452 n 1.

Bajman, an Amir of the Acibarlang tribe, 1169 s.

Baj-ūkī, the, 879 s, Same as the Bardz-ūkī, which see.

Bal, signification of the word, 40 n 7. Bakan b Kokar, the Hindů, 482 n, 484 n

Bak-chak, the Mughal leader, 288 n 3, 1023 n.

Bakhtrun coins, 1122 #

Bakhtyar b. al-Hasau b Buwiah, 'Izz-ud-Daulah, Abu Manqur the Dilami, 43,60 and n 8, 61 and n 4, 62, 63.

Bakhtyar or Bakhtyar-ud Din b. Mahmud, the <u>Kh</u>alj, 548a I, 1251 n 9,—app mn, xv, xvi, xvin

Bāķir, Abû Ja'far Muhammad, al-32 n 6.

Bakjadî b. Yal-düz <u>Kh</u>ün, the Mughal Chieftain, 892 a.

Bak-Taghdī, the Hājib, an officer of the Yaminish dynasty, 49 a 7, 88 a 7, 124 and a and a 4.

Bak-tam or Bak-Tamūr-i-Aor <u>Kh</u>ān, the Ruknî Malık, 703 and n 9, 759 n 6, 760, 837 n 6, 867 n Bak-timigh, the Korchi, 1206 n S. Bak-Tuzün, slave of the Sāmēni dynasty, 49 and awand 9, 50 and n 4, 51 n 7, 80 n 5.

Balâ, the Nüyin, 535 s, 1047 x 4, 1075 s.

Balaban, 865 #

Balül Sen, 558 n 1, 559 n 2.

Bal'ami, Abü-'Ali b 'Abd'ulleh, 42 n 9, 44 n 4, 58 n 3

Bala-nath, Jogi, 587 w.

Balas al-Achghani, 4

Balaah b. Furuz b. Yazdajird, of the Sasanian dynasty, 5,

Balban-i-Buzurg,—'Izzud-Din Bal--ban-i-Kashlü Khān, 678 n 8.

Balban-i-Khurd,—Ulugh <u>Kh</u>in 1-Balban, 661 n, 678 n 8

Bal-Diw, the Chohan, 460 a 3

Baldwin IV King of Jerusalem, 101 n 8, 220 n 3.

Balghā Aghūl b Hulākō <u>Kh</u>ān 1194 n

Balghā b Shaibān b Jūjî, h Chingiz Khān 1296 n.

Baighür, a Mughal leader, 288 n 3 Baiskto Inānaj, the Tayānak <u>Kh</u>ān, 845 n

Baltish, value of a, 1110 u 6

Balesht, signification of the word, 962 n 7

Balkā, argumation of the word, 94 m 7.

Balkā Khān b. Tūshi li Chingis Khān liv, 644 n

Balka Malık-ı-Husam-nd-Din 'Iwaş, the <u>Kh</u>all, 617 and a 5, 618 a, 730, 773 a.

Balki Tigin, slave of the Samini dynasty, 37 ", 49 " 7. 72 and " 6, 73 and " 7. 76, 232 ", 233 ", 320 " 3, 1263 " 8. Batkis, daughter of Hailad, Queen of Sheba, 6, 7, 203 n 7.

Baltu b Mangu Ka-an, 1228 a.

Balügh, the,—a nomad tribe of Kirman from whom the Balüghis are descended, 60 * 8.

Balüchis, the, 60 n 8, 884 n, 1181 n.

Bamkalın the,—a branch of the Suldus tribe of Mughals, 1094 n.

Band Kadar, a. Turk of Khifchak, became suvereign of Misr under the title of Maik-ut-Tähir, 1276 s, 1277 s, 1278 s, 1280 s 5, 1281 s. Bandyas, the, 691 s

Bani 'Abbas See the Abbasides.

Bani Häshim, the,—family of the 'Abbāsi Khalifalis, 1229 n 8.

Bani Isra'il, the, 3, 7, 314 and a6, 318 a 7.

Bani Rāsıb, the,—a name by which the Ghūrīs are styled, 307 s.

Bani Ummiyah, (Umayyah) the, xxxii -1

Baniko of Taras, leader of the Ger <u>Kh</u>ān's army, 261 wand n 8, 263 and n 1, 264 n, 263 n 9, 402 n, 411 z, 474 n, 906 n 911, 912 n, 929 n, 931 n, 932 n, 934, 1118 n 9. His full name is Jai-Tīmūr-i Bānīko, son of Kaldūs, chief oi Taras.

Banji v Nahārān, Shansabî, Malik of Ghūr, 311, 312 and a t, 313, 314, 315, 316 and a 2, 326 a 368

Batakh (Taraj) b. Yafis 870.

Barakris, the, a terbe of Afghans, 352 n 3.

Baranı, See Zıya-ud-Din Baranı.

Barankash, the Sipah Salai, officer of Sultan Sanjar, 358 s 3, 426 s 4

Barbier de Meynard, Mr . 962 u.

18 Index.

Bards-üķī, the ; the three eldest sons of Äghüz <u>Kh</u>ān, 879 s., 880 s., 896 s., 1095 s.

Barin Mughale, the, 1093 a.

Barki or

Barkah b. Tüshi b. Ohingis Ehsu, liv, 168 n 5, 884 n, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1104, 1105 n, 1129 n 1, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181 and n 2, 1185 n, 1247, 1257 and n 7, 1283 and n 8, 1284 and nn 2 and 3, 1285, 1286 n, 1287 and n, 1288, 1299, 1291 and nn 3 and 5, 1292 and n, 1293 n.

Barkat Khān, an officer of Sultān

Jalal-ud-Din Khwārasm Shāh,

1276 a.

Barkiärük Rukn-ud-Din Abu'l Mugaffar b Sulţin Malık Shāh Saljüki, 143 n 2, 144 n and n 3, 145 n 4, 146 n 6, 147 n 8, 233 n, 669 n 7.

Barlās, sitle of Iradamchî b. Kā-jūlī, which see, 898 s

Barlas tribe, the, 939 n, 1093 n, 1094 n.

Barmãs or Barmãs, the Mughal Daroghah in Marw, 1033 n, 1034 n de Barros, 582 n 6.

Barsin b. Jürak, 9

Barsınjan b Yazdajird-1-Shahryer, 70 and 31.

Barsinjär or

Bareinjûr b. Turk b Yafis, 872 a

Bar-Sipis Khan, chief of the Kanghuli Turks, 979 a

Bartan Bahadur b. Kabat <u>Kh</u>an, sovereign of the Bū-zanjar dymasty of the Mughal Imak, 897 n, 806 n.

Bartas, the, 1170 s.

Bartas b. Gamari b. Yafis, 872 n. Bartasīnah <u>Kh</u>ān, chief of the Mughals, on their issuing from Irginah-Kün, 688 s.

Bartů [or Britů], a Hindů chief, 628, 629 a 5.

Basisiri, al.,—the celebrated Mamlük of Bahā-ud-Daulah son of 'Aşud-ud-Daulah, Buwaih, 186 %,

Bāshghird, the, 1108 n, 1166 n Bāshghrūjān, or Bāshkrūjān, the,

Baahghrúlan, or Baahkrúlan, the 872 n.

Bankurs, the, 872 n.

Bastanīah dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 2, 1058 n 5.

Bat, etymology of the word, 326 m, 327 n.

Bat Tingrī, Kokjū, son of the Nūyān Manglīk, of the Olkūnūt Kungķūr-āt Mughala, 1080 w5

Bătăe or Bădăe, the Tarkhân, 941 », 942 ».

Batani Afghins, the. See under Patins.

Bathus, mispronunciation of the name of Batu Khan, 1167 n

Batikin, the Zamin-Dawari, governor of Zamin Dawar on the part of Mahmud of Chaznin 324 a

Bātiniah, the,—a sect of Muham--madan schimmatics, 74, 215, 1189

Batú Khan b Tüshi b Chungiz Khan, 1102 and n, 1106 n, 1128 n, 1140 n, 1140 n, 1140 n, 1140 n, 1140 n, 1161 n, 1164 and n and n 9, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1172 and n 9, 1173 n, 1177 and n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181, 1182, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1218 n, 1284, 1285, 1286 n, 1290 and n, 1291 and n 6, 1292 and n and n 1.

Bătû <u>Kh</u>âu b Jûjî <u>Kh</u>ân, 974 n, same as above. Bitrahit, raise of tribes of I-ghitra, 951 m.

Bûyiût the : a Maghal tribe, 1238 z. Bâyasîd, Shaigh. See Shaigh Abû-Yasîd, Bustîmî.

Báyazid, the Byāt, author of memoirs of Humāyūn Bādahāh zv.. Bāyazid, the 'Uşmānli Sultān, 864 n.. Bāsān of the Tabābi 'ah, 6.

Beames, 1131 a.

Bedi, a Hindu chief, 86 w.

Begdali or Bokdali tribe of I-ghürs, the, 288 s

Beghü, Chief of the Ghuzz, 903 n

Beghü <u>Kh</u>ān, Chief of the Karluks,

909 n.

Beghů b. Ghuss b Mang b. Yáfig. 871-n. 878 n.

Beghū b Saljūk, 87 m, 99, 102 m 2, i17 m, 122, 123 and m, 124 m, 125, 129, 132 and n 9

Beghů, the,—so the Turkmans style themselves, 1, 433 and n 6, 494, 871 n, 909 n.

Beghů Kärlüghs, the, 909 n

Beghün, another way of writing Beghü, 871 n.

Beg Tigin Salahi, a general of Sultin Muhammad Khwarazm Shib, 1995 n

Bejadah, signification of the word, 421 n 2.

Bekdali or Begdali trube of I-gh ira, the, 238 *

Beln IV, King of Hungary, 1166 s, 1167 n, 1168 s.

Bela Noyan, See Balā, the Nüyān. Belkūtše, the Nü-yīn, balf brother of the Chingiz Khān, 1220 a.

Bellew, Surgeon-Major, 901 n, 912 n, 917 n, 932 n, 933 n, 940 n, 952 n, 965 n 5, 968 n 6, 1016 n 3, 1032 n, 1048 m 1, 1044 m, 1045 m, 1075 m 1081 m 7, 1106 m.

Bengal Asiatic Journal, the, 563 s, 591 s, 622 s, 697 s 5, 748 s 4.

Bentinck, 981 n, 1089 s.

Beresine, 897 m.

Bhaghials, the, 1122 a.

Bhanshrs, the, 1182 a.

Bhānu Dīw Rác, 592 s.

Bhati tribe of Jats, the, 79 s, 336 s, 451 s, 795 s.

Bhikshus, the, 691 a.

Bhim Diw Rée, of Nahrwâlsh, 451 and n 6, 452 n 7, 516, 519 n, 530 n. Bhim Narâyan, chief of Bhīm-nagar,

85 a. Bhim-pál, 86 a.

Bhira Rae, chief of the tribe of Birar, 64 n 9, 85 s.

Bhirāj, or Bhūrāj, brother of Raš Pithorā, 617 a, 519 a

Bhûtawarî Tarks, the, 1046 s 8.

Bigî Sûjî See Sûjî the Bigî.

Bigî Kolûkah See Kolûkah the Bîgî. Bigî Fûktâ. See Tûktâ the Bîgî.

Bihir Museum, the, 743 n.4.

Bihsád b Saltán Ibráhim Ghasnawi, 106.

Bij or Bijayî Diw, Rêjah, of Jamûş, 454 n, 466 n 1.

Bikramaditya, 453 n 4, 454 n, 598 n 8, 622 a.

Biktūminh Kūchīn, daughter of Bādao the Jākambū, and Khātān of Jūji b Chingis Khāo, 1092 s.

Bıladüri, al-,-app zvın.

Bilkadās or Bilgadas, b. Yaldās <u>Kh</u>ān the Mughal chieftain, 892 s.

Bilküti b Yassükä Babådur, 899 s, 1106 m.

Bin 'Abdallatif, See Ibn 'Abdallatif.

Binši Tigin, Tāj-nd-Diu, the <u>Kh</u>wā-.rasmī, Malik, 199 and * 7, 300 and * 8, 905 %, 1062 and ** 4 and 5.

Bîrăr, tribe of, 85 *
Bîr Mähî Dîw, Rāe, 592 *.

Bîrûnî, Abû Raiban. See under Abû Rîhan.

Biwar-sep, the infidel, V. of the Bāstāniah'dynasty of 'Ajam, B.

Biwar-asp b. Arwand-asp, who is styled Zuhāk, 303 and a 7, 305 a 5. Black Tatars, the. 885 a.

Black Wolf, designation of Sabuk-Tigm's father, 69 n

Bombay Geographical Journal, the, 75 n, 95 n 4, 452 n 8, 705 n 7

Bombay Geographical Transactions, the, 1241 n 1.

Boroldãe, the Nű-yîn, General of Bātū <u>Kh</u>ān, 1166 n

Bortakin, See Yorkin.

Bostan, the, 179 = 1.

Bosto or

Bostugo Khan, Prince of the Kalnuks, 1167 s

Boulger, Mr. D C, 1045 r.

Britmapas, the, 691 n

Briggs, xi, xii, xii, 96 n 2, 110 n 4, 312 n 6, 404 n 9, 441 n 9, 442 n, 444 n, 446 n 5, 448 n 3, 460 n, 454 n, 469 n 7, 461 n, 463 n, 484 n 7, 465 n 467 n, 472 n, 475 n 2, 478 n 6, 480 n, 484 n, 487 n, 498 n 6, 501 n, 504 n 2, 509 n, 510 n, 511 n, 513 n 8, 515 n and n 7, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 524 n, 537 n, 542 n 9, 546 n 7, 591 n, 633 n 8, 635 n 9, 640 n 8, 641 n 6, 642 n 8, 645 n 9, 655 n 9, 656 n, 664 n 4, 678 n 7, 680 n, 683 n, 691 n, 694 n 4, 699 n 2, 703 n 7, 794 n 1, 874 n, 885 n, 1130 n, 1132 n, 1203 n, 1203 n, 1271 n,—app vn, x, xx. British Association, the, 1090 n

British Association, the, 1090 a British Museum, the, 326 a, 351 a t. Britis, [or Bartū], a Hindūchief, 628, 629 a 5.

Browne, the Rev J Cave, 1062 n 2 Bū Rihān, See Abu Rihān [1178 n] Būchak b Tuli <u>Kh</u>ān, 1165 n, 1171 m, Buchanan, 560 n 4, 565 n 5

Budāuni. 'Abd'ul Kādır,—author of Muntakhab-ut-Tawārikh, xvi, 82 m1, 86n, 113m, 449n8, 452nm1 and 2, 461 m, 471 n, 523 n, 533 n, 543 n, 545 m 8, 551 m, 559 n 2, 566 n 9, 569 n 3, 570 n, 571 n 2, 578 n 3, 580 n 7, 591 n, 592 n, 601 nn 6 and 9, 603 m 6, 609 n 1, 611 n 3, 612 n 4, 613 n 7, 616 n 2, 623 nn 8 and 1, 624 n 3, 635 n 9, 648 m, 646 n 4, 646 n 6, 651 n 7, 666 n 8, 716 n 5, 776 n, 791 n 1,—app vi, viii, xiv.

Buddha Sakyamuni, 892 m

Baddhiste, the, 570 n 9, 932 n, 983 n, 983 n, 960 n, 961 n, 963 n, 1048 n 1, 1068 n 6, 1076 n.

Budsiak, name of a people on the banks of the Borysthones, W of the Black Sea, 696 m

Bûe-Rûķ, brother of the Tayanak <u>Kh</u>ân, ruler of the Nasman tribe, 940 n, 941 n, 946 n, 947 n, 940 n. Bughrā Khān b. Chiyêş-nd-Din Bal--ban. See under Bughrā Khān Nāşur-ud-Din Mahmüd.

Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān b Kadr <u>Kh</u>ān, X. of the Afrānyābī Malıka, 905 *

Bughrā <u>Kh</u>ān Nāṣir-ud-Din Maḥmūd b. Ulugh <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Balban, lv, 716 s 5, 778 s.

Baghrā Khān Shihāb-ud-Daulah, Abū-Mūsā-1-Hārūn, the Afrāsayābī Malik, 45 n 8, 46 n 4, 74, 84 n 9, 138 n 8, 239 n, 260 n 7, 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 905 n, 910 n, 916 n

Bughrā Khān b Yūsūf b Bughrā
Khān-n-Harūn, the Afrasyābī
Malīk, 904 »

Bughrā Tigin, 85 n See also Arsalān Khān, Abu i Muzaffar

Bughrae Khān, an Officer of Sultān Muhammad, Khwārazm Shūh's army, 976 s

Bughrājak, uncle of Sultan Mahmud b Sabuk Tigin, 80 n 5, 186 n

Buj-kum, the Amir-ul-Umara of Baghdad, xlv.

Bûkā or Bukāe <u>Kh</u>ān b. Bū-zanjar, sovereign of the Mughal Imak, 894 n

Būķā Khān li Tāttār Khān, sovereign of the Tāttār Imak, 874 m

Buku Khan, the Turkmun Chief, 1029 s

Būķāc, the Nū-yin, 972 n, 975 n S. 1184 n, 1286 n, 1287 n

Bûkah, a Saljûkî Chief, 120.

Bukan, [possibly Yükan], Badghah the Charkan, 1170 =

Būkā Timūrb Tuahī b Chingiz Khān, 1179 n, 1185 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1289 n, 1240 n, 1243 n, 1245 n 4, 1261 n 7.

Bukhara Huji, the the metchant

who purchased Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, 601.

Bukhars,—People of the towns are so called, 879 s.

Bukht-un-Nassar, (Nabuchodonosor)
Malık of Babil, 3.

Bûkîn b. Bû-zanjar, See Bûkā or Bûkû Khân. [Tättär Khân.] Bûkû Khân. See Bûkâ Khân z. Bûlân (Polea?), the; See under Bûlo. Bulgarians, the, 1251 s. See also under the Bulghärs.

Bulghān b Bātū b Tūghī (Jūjī) Khān, 1239 n, 1248 n

Bulghar b. Gumari b Yass, 872 n,

Bulghārs, the, 870 n, 872 n, 959 n, 1082 n, 1251 n.

Bulo, the. name of a people, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n

Bürā Ķuchin the chief Khātun of Cktāc Ķā'ān, 1141 n

Burah, adopted son of the Nüyan Jifan, 1093 a

Bursk, the Ghuzz Chief,—master of Ghaznin, 112 and v4

Burâk, the Hājib, Malik of Kirmâu, 199, 200 and n 7, 261 n, 283 n 9, 244 and n. 285, 295 n, 297 n and n 9, 610 n, 933 n, 934 n, 976 n, 1062 n 4, 1118 and n 9, 1119 n 1235 n 1.

Barandagh, See Nuridigh

Burānghār or Right Wing of an army, 1093 n, 1095 n

Burghuts or Burkuts, the,—a tribe of Mughals, 981 a

Burhán 1-Kāti*, the, 60 n 8 64 n 1, 74 n 1, 103 n 7, 128 n, 138 n 8, 155 n, 169 n 6, 201 n 4 339 n 8

Buti II Chaghatée b Chingi/ Khan, 1165 n 1170 n, 1171 n 1179 n 1180 n, 1185 n 1192 n Burjin the Arlat, the Nu-yin, 1098 a, 1095 a.

Burki, the Bawurghi, of the Durban tribe, 1093 n.

Burkuts, the. See the Burghuts
Burns, 115 n 5

Bùrtah Kū<u>oh</u>īn, mother of Uktāe Kā-au, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1102 n, 1105 n

Bustam b. Mihshād, b Narīmān, Malik of Hind and Sind, 306, 306 and nn 9 and 5, 307 and n, 308 and n 2, 309. Bustam-i-Zuhik, 381, same as above Buwiah, family of, xxxiii, 40, 48 and s 6, 46, 56 s 1, 57, 59 and s 6, 61 ss 9 and 4, 66 s 7, 87 s, 98 s 8, 136 s, 174 s, 296 s

Buwish b Fank Khusrau, Dilami, 55 n 1, 56, 57.

Būsābah, or Füzābah, Atā-bak, Governor of Färs on the part of the Saljūķis, 174 n.

Bū-sanjar Ķā'ān, Sovereign of the Mughal Imāk 893 s., 894 s., 908 s., 938 s., 1105 s., 1207 s.

O.

Cain, of Scripture, 596 n I. Calcutta Review, the, 590 n, 812 n 3 Canun; Khand, the, 1271 n.

Carpini, John de Plano, 1080 * 6, 1151 *, 1171 *.

Carrier-pigeons, transmitting letters by, zlvi, 37 n 9, 101 n 8.

Cantanneda, \$82 n 6.

Cato, 1014 a 2.

Censor, office of, 430 n 2. [27111.]

<u>Ohach</u> Nāmah, the, xvi,—app xvii,

<u>Chaghan</u>, or white,—an epithet given
to civilized countries and peoples,

912 n.

Chaghan, the Nû-yin, 1152 n, 1185 n Chaghanians, the, 423 n 8

Chaghatãe Khán b. Ohungiz Khán, 280 n 9, 535 n, 953 n, 953 n, 953 n, 970 n 2, 975 n 5, 1024 n 2, 1026, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1087 n, 1091 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1097 and n 7, 1099 n, 1101 and n 1, 1102 n, 1103 n, 1104 n, 1105 n, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110, 1111, 1114, 1137 n, 1142 n 2, 1144

and n 7, 1145 n, 1146 and n, 1147, 1148 and n 4, 1149 n 7, 1152 n 1157 and n 1, 1170 n, 1172, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1178, n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1182, 1186, 1194 n, 1211 n, 1283 n 9, 1290 n 9, 1292 n and n 1.

Chaghathe b Tuahi b Chingis Khan, 1102.

Chaghatáes, the; the four tribes of Chaghatáe, second son of the Chingis Khán, 1093 n, 1146 n.

Chaghtay Khans, the, 902 s

Chagtae tribe, the, 1094 a See the Chaghaties

<u>Ch</u>ábada Diwa, a Hindû Rájah, 690 n 1.

Chāhar, the Ajār, Rāe, 691 and m, 733, 735 n 9, 818 n 5, 824 and n 8, 825

Chahar I-mak, the, 874 n, 876 u, 1076 n

Chahri tribe, the, 79 s.

Chart Singh, 551 m.

Chanah, 987 s 3, mespronunciation of the name of Jabah, the Na-in.

- Changhu tribe of Mughale, the, 1922 a.
- Ohand, the Hindú Bard, 327 m, 459 m 9.
- Chândă, the poet,—same person as above 486 m.
- Chard-pal Bhud, Hiadu sovereign of Ani, 67 a.
- Chand Poondir, a vassal chief of Lahore, 467 s.
- Chang-jau, general of "Mengko" Khan, 1219 w.
- Chapar tribe of Mughals, the, 1143m.
- Ohir-chin b. Kaidu. See under Jârchin
- Ohir-gansh, the; a name of four tribes of Mughals, 1164 n 9.
- Charkes, the; name of a people, 1170 and nn 3 and 4.
- Charkhi, Maulana-1-, a Muhammad--an saint, 73 n 8
- Ohaugan, description of the game, 41 a 6
- Chaupan, Amir, 939 n.
- Chepe, mispronunciation of the name of Jabah.
- Cheremis, the, 1103 s, 1165 s, 1170 ss 3 and 4.
- Chigal or Ohikal (Jinkal) b Turk b. Yafis, 872 m.
- Chihigani Mamiuka, the, 643 a, 645 a 9. See also next
- Ohihl-ganian, the,—forty slaves of Sultan Shame-ad-Din Iyal-timish, 794 n l.
- Chikal or Chigal (Jinkal) b. Turk b. Yáfia 872 n.
- Chilligres, a mispronunciation of the name Khaljis.
- Chin, second son of Yafis b. Nüh 870 n, 871 n.

Chinese, the, lv. 985 n, 998 n, 907 n, 912 n, 920 n, 921 n, 922 n, 940 n, 947 n, 968 n, 968 n, 1068 n, 1086 n, 1086 n, 1086 n, 1106 n, 1106 n, 1136 n, 1141 n, 1184 n, 1215 nn 9 and 4, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1223 n.

Chinese language, the, 956 s.

Chingis Khau, the,-Tamurchi b. Yassükā--, ziviii, zliz, 94 n 3, 155 #6, 180#5, 198 aud#8, 280, 289 a 9, 260 n 7, 265 a 4, 268, 269 and #, 270 and ## 6 and 7, 271 and w. 272 and so 1 and 2, 278 m and s 5. 274, 275, 276 m, 277, 276 m, 280 and # 9, 284 and #, 285 # 3,286 and # 6. 287, 288 and # 3, 289 #, 290 # and n 4, 291, 292 n, 298 n 5, 294 n, 295 n, 297, 344, 427 n, 470 n, 481 n 8, 487, 534 and a 1, 585 a, 586 a, 587 n, 536 n, 540 n, 564 n, 609, 612 n 4, 863 n 9, 665 n 8, 866 n, 868 n, 740 n 8, 869 and n 2, 879 n, 882 n, 888 n, 884 n, 885 n, 886 n, 888 n, 889 n, 890 м, 891 л, 892 л, 893 л, 894 л, 895 л, 896 n. 838 n. 899 n. 903 n. 913 n. 917 n, 918 n, 922 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 933 n, 935, 936 n 6, 937, 938 m, 942, 946 m, 948, 949 m, 950 m, 951 n, 952 n, 953 and n, 954, 955 n, 956 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 and n, 962, 963 and n 8, 964 n 2, 965 and n 5, 966, 967, 968 and n 6, 969 and m 1, 970 m 2, 971 m, 972 m, 974 and s, 975 and s 5, 976 s, 977 s, 978 and n. 979 s. 980 s l. 981 s. 982 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987 n and n 3, 988 s, 989 s, 990 and s, 991 s, 992 and a 5, 993 a, 994 a, 995 a, 998 a, 999 s. 1000 s, 1001, 1004 and a 1, 1005 and s, 1006 and a 6, 1007, 1008 and a 5, 1009, 1010 a, 1011

and m, 1012, 1013, 1015 n, 1016 a 3, 1017 m. 1018 n. 1019 and n. 1020 s, 1022 and s, 1023 and s, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1026, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030, 1035 n, 1087 n, 1040 and n.S. 1042. 1043 and n.1. 1044 n, 1045 and n, 1046 n, 1047 and n 4. 1048, 1049 n 2, 1050 n, 1051 n, 1054 end n, 1057 n 3, 1058 n 6, 1059, 1069 m 1, 1071, 1073 and n 4, 1075 w. 1077 w 6, 1078, 1079 and n 9, 1090 and # 5, 1081 and # 1, 1082 n, 1088 n, 1084 and n, 1085 n 3, 1096 n, 1087 m, 1088 and n, 1089 n, 1090 n, 1091 and n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095, 1096, 1097 and π7, 1099 m, 1100 m, 1101, 1102 m, 1103 n. 1104 and n 5, 1105 n. 1106 n, 1107, 1108 sa 9 and 1, 1110 n 5, 1111, 1118, 1114, 1115 n 5, 1116 n, 1117 and n 6, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1126 n 6, 1128 n 7, 1131 m, 1132 n. 1186 n 9, 1137 n, 1188 n, 1140 n, 1142 n and n 2, 1143 and n, 1144, 1145 n 1146, 1149 n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1153, 1164 and n 9, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1173 n 1, 1177 and n 1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181, 1182 n 4, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1189, 1191 n, 1193 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1215 n 4, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1220 n. 1222 n. 1223 n. 1226, 1235 n 1, 1249 n, 1256 n 6, 1257 n, 1265 n, 1271 n, 1275 n 8, 1282, 1284, 1286 n, 1291 * 3,-app xxi

Chingiz Khin, Mamluk of Shamsud-Din Muhammad the Kurat, lv, 12:1 **

Chinkie the Grand Wazir of Cktie Kilin, 1149 n 7, 1160 n 8 Chin-Timur See Jai-Timur. Christ 1162 u Christians, the, Hv. 867 n 4, 927 n, 983 n, 961 n, 963 n, 1112 n 9, 1145 n, 1189 n 6, 1160 and n 8, 1161 n 4, 1162 n, 1167 n, 1186 n, 1198 n, 1194 n, 1284 and n 9, 1261, 1268 n 9, 1269 n, 1288, 1289, 1291.

Christie, 188 # 7

Christopher Colombus. See under Colombus.

Chronicles of the Pathan Kings of Dehli. See Thomas's "Pathan Kings of Dehli"

Chugtar tribe, the, 1094 m. See the Chagtae tribe

Circassians, the, 1165 n

"Coms of the Kings of Ghaznin,"
Paper on the, 71 s 5, 72 s 6, 114 s

"Come of the Pathan Kings of Dehli," 399 s, 424 s 6, 469 s 9 "Come of the Salatin-i-Hind."

Treatise on the, 718 Columbus, Christopher, 292 n, 422

Conolly, 878 a

Comad, the Emperor, 221 a.

Contributions to the Geography and History of Bengal, Blochmann s, xviii, xix, xxi, xxii, xxv, xxvi, 665 n 5, 587 n 4, 714, 727 n 7, 737 n 8, 739 n 6, 741 n 2, 744 n, 770 n 9, 771 n, 772 n, 776 n, 777 n, 779 n, 795 n 2, 810 n, 840 n, 1003 n 4, 1238 n 9,—app. i, vii, x, xiii, xvi

Corporedists or Mujassamian, a subdivision of the Sifati sect, the, 394 n 5

Cossaks, the, 1251 a

Crusaders, the, 101 n 8, 140 n 2, 143 n 2, 114 n, 210 n 9, 225 n, 326 n Crusades, the, xivn, 221 n 5, 225 n

Cumani, See the Kumans

Canningham, Major-Gen. A., 511 a, 530 a, 596 a 8, 680 a, 878 a, 1004 a 1, 1120 a 1, 1130 a, 1181 a,—app. Hi. Cushwaha (Kachwahah Rajpite), the, 691 a.

D.

Dabhüli-i-Tughān Khān b. Karā Khān, 908 s.

Dādan Khān, a Khokhar chief, 527 s. Dād-Bak or Amīr-i-Dād, definition of the term, 788 s 2.

Die, the Nü-yin, Bidshih of the Kungkur-it Mughals, 1991 s.

Dâgh (Tâk or Tâgh) b. Äghūs <u>Kh</u>āu, 880 n

Dighistani, the author of a Tay-kirah, xxi, xxx.

Dahahs or

Duhchahs, or Tens one of the four degrees of the Mughals, 948 m.

Dahma Rapputs, the, 459 n 9

Dahir, Rac,--app xvn

Dahme the 'Ajami, of the Taba--ha'ah, 8.

DI'r, meaning of, 1187 a 7.

Dā'i da 'l Ḥukk, ad-, Muḥammad b Zaid-ul 'Alawī, 32 n 6.

Dâ-îr. See Tê-ir Asûn.

Dakiki, the post, 308 a 2

Dalaki of Malaki, 817, 850 n 3 Same as Dalki

Dālān, Tughachār; the Mughal general, 956 s.

Dalki, or I halki, the Hindū Rānah of Malki or Mhalki, 680 and a 9, 681 n, 682 and a 5, 683 a.

Dalton, 568 n, 566 n 8, 705 n 7

Ding, signification of, 141 n 9

Dara-r-Akbar b Bahman, of the

Kai-aniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2, 4, 1263 n.

Dari-i-Asghar, of the Kai-iniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Diri b. Shams-ul-Ma'ili Kibis-f-Washmgir, governor of Guzgin, 47, 51, 81 s.

Dáráb-í-Akbar, 8, 4. Same as Dáráí-Akbar.

Dărăbers or Rărâbars, a general of the Rão of Gujarât, 522 s.

Darak, signification of, 99 n 9.

Dard-1-pae, determination of the disease, 1177 a 1.

Darhim b. Uz-Naşr Kanānī, governor of Sijistāu, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.

Dăritše Ûn-ohûkî Tamur-chī, the Chingis Khan's paternal uncle, 945 a

Danus, 897 m.

Dārkāe, the Gurgān, one of the sons-in-law of the Ching's Khān, 1217 n.

Darweth Ahmad Afandi, 915 a, 920 a.

Darweshes, the, 657 m.

Darwessh, the Akhund, 1044 s.

Dazarata, father of Rāmā, 548 a 2, 585 a 6.

Dustan, a name of Zäl-i-Zar, father of Rustam, 422 a 7.

Da'ud, the prophet; David of Scripture, 1161. Di-'ūd Amīr. See Amīr-i-Dād. Dā-'ūd, claimant to the sovereignty of Gurgistān, 1151 a, 1152 s.

Di'ad-i-Jaghar Beg, Seljüri, xivii, 89, 100, 103 and n 9, 104, 116 n 8, 117 n, 119 n 7, 120 n 5, 123 and n 8, 123 and n, 124 n, 125, 126 and nn 2 and 3, 127, 128 n, 129 and n, 130 and n 6, 132 and nn 9 and 1, 136 n.

Dā.'ād b. Kabar Malik, ruler of Gürjustān, 988 s., 1151 s., 1152 s. Dā.'ād-1-Siyāh, 10 s 7.

Dā-'ad b Sulīmān-i-Kil-timiah, of the Rāmī dynasty of the Saljūķa, 159 a.

Dā-'ad Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh b Mas'ad-i-Karīm Ghusnawī, 111 and n7.

Daulat Shah, author of a Taykarat, 609 n 5.

Daulat Shab, Wali of Balkh, 147 w8.

Daulat Sháh b. Bahrám Sháh b Mas'ûd-a-Karim Ghasnawî, 110, 111, 352, 353 and n 4.

Daulat Shāh-i-Balkā. See I<u>kh</u>tiyārud-Dīn-i-Balkā.

Daulet Sháh b. Sulten Ibréhîm Ghaznawi, 105.

Daulat Yār-i-Ṭughri-ī, Ihhtiyār-ul-Mulk, the Melik-ul-Kutāb of Sultān Muhammad, <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 1003, 1053 and s 6.

Dayalimah, the. See under Dia--lamah.

Decebalus, 887 a.

Degun Dsāmbā, a Tibhatī trtle, 1092 n.

Dehrs, the,-app. zvii.

De Plano Carpini, 899 n. See also under Carpini. De Sacy, Silvestre, 87 s. Des Guignes, 696 s., 938 s., 1264 s. D'Herbelot, 684 s., 1268 s. 9.

Dislamah (Dayalimah), dynasty of the, 40 n 2, 46, 49 n 7, 55, 57, 60 n 8, 62, 66, 174 n, 296 n.

"Diary of a march"..., Raverty",

Dib-badküe b. Alminjah, chieftain of the Turks, 873 a.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, the, 886 s.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Biography, the, 886 s.

Dictionary of Greek and Roman Geography, the, 886 a.

Dihgan, the; name of a people, 1043 a 1.

Dilaman, the, 22. See also the Dilaman.

Dilazāk Afghāns, the, 352 n 3, 1068 n 1, 1096 n.

Dinar, 'Imad-ud-Din, the Chuss Chief, 246 n 8, 378 n 8.

Din-dir Muhammad, the Amir-i-Akhur of Lohor, 1125.

Dinwij Råe, ruler of Sunar-gapw, 590 n.

Divination by means of the shoulderblades of sheep, 1078 and #8.

Diwan-1-'Aric-i-Mamalik [Muster-Master of the Kingdom], the, 709.

Diws, the, 187. [889 n.]
Doghlātī tribe of the Mughals, the,
D'Ohsson, 535 n, 610 n, 884 n, 896 n,
910 n, 913 n, 916 n, 917 n, 947 n,
1001 n 9, 1021 n 8, 1086 n, 1090
n, 1121 n, 1188 n 8, 1190 n 1, 1236
n, 1256 n 6, 1267 n c.

Doi-ûn-Byan, 892 n. See undst Doyûn Byan, Dorn. 833 a.

Dorn's "Afghina," 150 s. [988 s.] Do-ghibhah, signification of the term, Dostin b. Barnin. 9.

Dow, zi, zii, ziii, 41 a, 87 a, 205 a, \$19 m 6, \$28 m, 404 m 9, 481 m 7, 441 m 9, 442 m, 446 m 5, 448 m 8, 450 m. 454 m. 459 m 7. 461 m. 464 m 7, 467 m, 472 m, 475 m 2, 478 m 6, 479 n, 480 n, 484 n, 498 n 6, 500 n 2, 604 m 3, 506 m 1, 509 m, 510 m, 511 m, 513 m 8, 515 m and m 7, 517 a, 518 n, 519 a, 520 n, 521 n, 528 a, 524 n, 587 n, 548 n 3, 591 n, 628 n 8.638 m 1.540 m 3.641 m 6.642 m 3. 645 n 9, 649 n 7, 65b n 9, 656 n, 667 m 5, 668 n 2, 664 s 4, 678 nm 7 and 8, 680 s, 691 s, 705 s 7, 874 s, 885 n, 1180 n, 1182 n, 1202 n, 1208 n, 1271 a, -app zi, zz.

Dow's "Hindostan," 87 m.

Dowson, xxxiv n 4, xiv, xhx, li, lii, liii, liv, 77 n, 78 n, 90 n 1, 91 n 1, 94 n 3, 96 n 2, 98 n 7, 101 n 8, 102 n 9, 108 n 8, 104 n 8, 106 n 4, 110 n 4, 459 n 7, 585 n 6, 587 n 3, 600 n 4, 807 n 8, 809 n 1, 819 n 8, 1076 n, 1098 n, 1163 n, 1201 n,—app. iii, xiz.

Doyan Byan, grandson of Yüldüs Khan, sovereign of the Mughal Imak, 892 a. Dubay Niyin, 536 a.

Duffahe, the, 566 a 6.

Duklah, the Ati-bak, 148. See also Tuklah b. Salghur.

Dülpüx, the Christian Khātām of Hulākū, daughter of Aighū, son of the Awang Khān, 1198 n. 1268 n.

Diral-gin or Dirla-gin, the: descendants of Nagüz, 888 s, 891 s, 892 s, 893 s, 886 s, 940 s, 951 s, 1095 s.

Dărbie, agent of the Chingis Khan to the Yiddi-Kât, 952 a.

Dürban tribe, the, 1093 s.

Der-Besh, signification and description of, 607 a 5

Durgiwati, Ráni, 588 s.

Dur-la-gin, the, 886 n, = Düral-gin, which see.

Dârmans, the; a Mughal tribe, 989 n, 940 n, 944 n.

Durr-i-Ghür, or Pearl of Chür; demgnation of Ziyā-ud-Din Muhammad, also called 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad, Malik-ul-Ḥsjī, 846 and n l.

Durrânia, the; a tribe of Afghane, 352 n 3.

Duisie, the, an Afghan people,

E.

Earl of Crawford and Baloarres, ix.

Earl of Leicester, Simon de Montfort, 846 * 7. [* 1.]
"Early Travels in Palestine," 1173

Eastwick, 109 n 9.

Elliott, Sir, H, x n 2, xiii, 77 n, 84 n 8, 87 n, 90 n 1, 444 n, 477 n, 481 n 1, 508 n 1.

Elbott's Biographical Index, 506 n 1, 1271 n.

Elliott's India, xxxiv # 4, xlv. xlvi.

zhz, li, lii, liii, liv, 69 x, 72 x 6, 76 m 2, 77 m, 78 m, 8 m, 85 m, 86 m, 87 m, 90 m 1, 94 m 8, 96 m 2, 104 m 2, 107 mm 6 and 7, 110 m 4, 128 n, 291 n, 292 n, 518 n 9, 851 x 9, 852 n 8, 855 n 4, 863 n 8, 446 n 5, 451 m, 456 m 1, 459 m 7, 469 m 9, 471 n 5, 485 n 3, 519 n, 524 n, 526 a, 580 n 7, 581 n 8, 588 n, 584 n 1. 536 m, 537 m, 539 x 2, 541 mm 7 and 8, 542 n 9, 544 n 8, 550 n 5, 554 n 6, 555 n 1, 556 nn 9 and 1, 560 n, 565 24, 566 n 8, 568 n, 570 n 9, 572 n 7, 578 n 2, 574 n 3, 575 n 1, 577 n 8, 579 n 4, 580 nx 8 and 9, 581 n 2, 682 n 6, 588 nn 9 and 1, 585 n 6, 586 n 8, 587 n 8, 588 n 5, 594 n 9, 598 n 8, 600 n 4, 601 n 7, 603 n 7, 604 n 1, 606 nm 2 and 3, 607 n 5, 608 n 8, 609 n 5, 611 nm 9 and 3, 613 n 2, 615 n, 616 n 3, 620 n n 1 and 3, 621 n 5, 623 nn 8 and 9, 632 nn 3 and 5, 634 n 3, 635 n 9, 637 mm 7 and 9, 638 mm 2 and 3, 639 n 6, 640 n n 1 and 2, 641 n 6, 647 n 8, 650 n 1, 651 n 6, 654 n and #8, 655 n 9, 656 n 3, 658 nn 9 and 1, 662 nn 4 and 5, 664 nn 2 and 3. $666 \, n_1 \, 667 \, mn \, 2 \, and \, 4, \, 670 \, n \, 3, \, 675$ mm 5 and 7, 676 n 2, 677 n 6, 678 #7, 679 n#8 and 6, 683 n# 6 and 7, 684 nn 8 and 9, 685 an 1 and 4, 686 n 7, 687 n 1, 688 un 2 and 3, 689 n and n 7, 691 n, 692 nn 2 and 8, 693 nn 8 and 9, 694 n 2, 698 nn 7 and 8, 699 n 5, 700 n 6, 701 n 9, 702 n 4, 705 n 7, 706 n 1, 708 nn 9 and 2, 709 s and n 4, 711 n 4, 714

48, 715 42, 758 = 1, 769 a 5, 800 #5, 801 #9, 806 #7, 807 ##8 and 9, 809 mm 5 and 1, 810 m, 811 m 7. 812 mand = 8. 818 mand = 4, 814, nl. 815 n 8, 816 n 5, 817 n 8, 819 #8, 821 m7, 824 m1, 825 m3, 826 nn 9 and 1, 827 n7, 828 nn 2 and 5, 829 mm 7 and 3, 880 mm 6 and 7, 831 n 3, 834 n n 5 and 6, 836 n 5. 837 nn 3 and 5, 839 n 8, 841 nn 4 and 7, 843 n 1, 844 nm 4 and 1, 845 n 3, 846 n 6, 849 n 7, 850 un 4 and 5, 851 sm 7 and 2, 852 st 4, 853 m 9, 854 n 4, 856 nn 6, 7 and 9, 859 n6, 864 n4, 869 n1, 1010 n, 1022 n, 1023 n, 1093 n, 1158 n, 1203 n, 1217 n,--app. v. zvu, zvin, xix, REUL ERVÍ.

Elhott's Races of the North-West-Provinces, 1131 m.

Elphinatone, 380 n 9, 442 n, 445 n, 454 n, 456 n 2, 459 n 7, 462 n, 465 n, 467 n, 479 n, 511 n, 513 n 8, 515 n and n 5, 516 n 2, 519 n, 521 n, 522 n, 546 n 7, 589 n, 595 n 2, 610 n 7, 623 n 9, 642 n 3, 647 n 9, 666 n, 669 n 8, 690 n 8, 574 n, 1010 n, 1043 n 1, 1058 n 6, 1076 n,—app.

Erdmann, 897 n, 939 n, 977 n, 953 n, 985 n, 996 n, 1021 n 8, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1090 n, 1095 n.

Essay on the Geography of the Oxue, Col. Yule's, 1010 n

Rthnology of Bengal, Dalton's, 560 n 8, 705 n 7.

Euclid, 1258 * 6.

Eve, 596 n 1.

- Fadiallab, 1000 n, 1010 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, = Raghid-nd-Din, Farl-ullab, which see.
- Faghani, the Ohaghanian, ruler of Tukharistan, 423 n.8.
- Faghfür, the title of the rulers of Ohin, 1218 s.
- Failaküs, (Philip of Macedonia, father of Alexander the Great), King of Yunan, 4.
- Fairi, the Sarhindi, author of the Akbar Namah, zvi, 587 = 4.
- Fakhr-nd-Daulah Abu'l Hamu-i-Buwiah, 48 n 4, 57, 58.
- Fakhr-ud-Daulah 'Ali b ul-Hasan b. Bukn-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 57, 59, 51 n 4, 332 n.
- Pakhr-ud Din 'Abd-ul 'Azis-i-Küfi, Kari, 513
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn 'Abd-ur-Rahmân, Khwajah, the 'Ibrânî Banker, 1048 and n 1, 1049 n 2
- Fakhr-nd-Dîn, Abû Sulimān-i-Dā'ûd al-Fanākatî. See under al-Fanākatī.
- Fakhr-ud-Din Akhläfi, astronomer and mathematician, 1857 n.
- Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dabir, Amir, 685. Fakhr-ud-Din, the Dämghäni, 1346 a 5, 1255 s.
- Fakhr-ud-Dîn, the Kâşî-i-Kuşât of the Dăr-ul Khilâfat, 1151 a.
- Fakhr-ud Din Khalid Füsbanfi, 439 a 4.
- Fakhr-ud-Din Köji, 640.
- Fakhr-ud-Diu, of the Kurat dynasty, 1205 a.
- Pakhr-ud-Din Maraghi, astronomer and mathematician, 1357 w-
- Fakhr-ud-Din Mae'ad b, 'Ala-ud-Dm Uteuz, Malik, 416.

- Fahr-nd-Din Mas'ud b. 'Ins-ud-Din al-Hassin, Shansabi, Malik of Bāmiān, 337, 338, 340, 358 s 3, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373 and a 5, 374, 375 s, 379, 421, 422, 423 and a 8, 424 and a 6, 425 and a 3, 426 a 6, 427, 431 a 7, 447 and a 7,
- Fakhr-ud-Din Mubarat Shih: Farrokhi, the Farrigh, 659 and # 4, 660, 747, 761.
- Fakhr-ud-Dia Muhārak Shāh of Marw-ar-Rūd, Maulānā, author of a history of the Chūris in verse, 300, 301 and a 2, 302, 317 x 3, 386 and n 4, 397 a 2, 509 a
- Fakhr ud-Din Muhammad-1-Arzizgar, 1067.
- Fakhr ud-Din Muhammad b 'Umr Bāsī, Imām xlıx, 385 n 9, 429 and n 4, 485 n 3. [dealer, 744]
- Pakhr-ud-Din the Safahāni, a slave Fakhr-ud-Din Sāķi, governor of Halab, 1264 n.
- Fakhr-ud Din Siläri, governor of Bundüstän, 294 n.
- Fakhr al-Mulk 'Işamî, Wazîr of Baghdad, 617 a
- Fakhr al-Mulk Kerim-ud-Din Laghri, feudatory of Lakhan-or, 739 and a 7.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk Nişām-ud-Dīn Abu'l Ma'āli, the Kātīb, Wazīr of Sultān Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 990 s, 1035 s.
- Fakhr-ul-Mulk Sharaf-ud-Din Wadári, Wasir of <u>Gh</u>iyiş-ud-Din Mahammad-i-Sām, 389.
- Fakir Muhammad, author of the Jāmi'-ut-Tawārīkh, xvi, 592 s.
- Fanikati, al, 19 n 2, 31 n 3, 41 n 5, 53 n, 61 n 3, 66 n 7, 75 n 6, ?7 n, ?1)

m, 97 m 4, 98 m 5, 99 m, 107 m 7, 108 m 5, 118 m, 117 m, 126 m m 8 and 1, 126 m 2, 146 m 5, 155 m 6, 843 m, 858 m 2 and 3, 439 m 4, 446 m, 514 m, 522 m 5, 869 m 2, 870 m, 873 m, 876 m, 880 m, 882 m, 888 m, 984 m, 976 m, 1008 m 5, 1019 m, 1120 m 2, 1121 m, 1122 m, 1136 m 9, 1138 m 1144 m 5, 1160 m 8, 1164 m, 1166 m, 1179 m, 1183 m, 1206 m 3, 1211 m, 1224 m, 1240 m, 1241 m, 1242 m 3, 1244 m, 1253 m 4, 8363 m, 1277 m, 1256 m, — mpp. x.

Fanná Khusrau, 43, 61 and n3, 62, 63, 64. See also 'Uşd-ud Daulah. Farangs, or Afranj. . . . Franks, the, 189 n, 144 n and n 3, 157, 158 n, 159, 160, 161 and n, 162 and n 3, 163, 171, 204 n, 209 and n 6, 210 and n, 211 and n 8, 212 n 1, 214, 217 n, 218, 219 and n 9, 220 n 4, 221, 224, 226, 1151 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1275.

Farawwal b. Sı'mak, 304. [app xxi]
Farhang-i-Jahângiri, the, 64 a 1,—
Warhang-i-Rashidi, the,—app xxi.
Farid-i-Bukhāri, Shaikh,—app xxi
Farid,—Sher Shah, the Afghan or
Patan sovereign of Dihli, 511 a
Faridan. See Afridan

Faridun, the <u>Gh</u>uri, an officer of Sultin Muhammad, <u>Kh</u>warasm Shah, 1098 s.

Farighuni family, the, rulers of <u>Kh</u>warazm, under the Samani sovereigns, 232 n, 233 n 7

Farmā or Farmāe Aķa, husbaud of the third Gür Khān, 927 n, 928 n Farrāshīs, the; a class of servants, 659 n.5. Farruit b. Bidin b. Mie Khuerns, 11.

Farrukk Shāh, one of the principal Mu'uniah Amire in Hind, 607 m. Farrukh Shāh b. Bahrām Shāh

Farrukh Shah b. Bahram Shal Ghaznawi, 111.

Farrukh Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghaznawi, 106.

Farrukh Shah b. Sultan Mahmüd b Muhammad-i-Malik-Shāh Saljūķi, 206 v.

Farrukh-Zad b. Khuarau Parwis, of the Akanuah, 6.

Farrukh-Zad b Mas'ad-i-Karim, Ghasnawi, 107.

Farrokh-Zid b. Sultan Man'ud Ghanawi, 95, 99 m, 100 and m 5, 101 and mm 6 and 8, 103 mm 1, 2 and 4, 103, 901 m.

de Faryin y Sousa, 582 n 6.

Farrand Khan Salghur b. Ata-bak Sa'd, 296 s

Parihi See under the Mujmal i-

Fath-1-Karmikh, Musyyid-ud-Din the Shisani; Champson of the Ghuri forces, 316 and 41.

Fath ud Din b. Alanku, a leader of the <u>Eh</u>alifah's troops, 1240 s and s 9, 1251 s 9.

Fatimah, daughter of Muhammad, 104 n 3, 265 n 4.

Fatimah Khatūn, the Tējpik handmaid of Tūrā-kinah Khātun, 1169 n 7, 1152 w

Fatimites of Ismailians of Egypt, the, 200 m 5 [next] Fâyik-i-Bak-Tüsün, 44 m I. See the Fayik i Khasah, an officer of the Samāni dynasty, 44 and n 1, 46, 48 and m 2, 4 and 5, 40, 50 and m 4, 51, 74 m, 75 m, 902 m, 903 m. Facil h. Hamm, governor of Fire on the part of the Seljäk covereigns, 174 m.

Fast b. Sahl, Wasir of al- Ma'man, 10, 13 a 6.

Fact-i-Salib, Sijisī, officer of the Tähiri dynasty, 16.

Faziawishs, the: a people of Fars, 175 = 7.

Paşi-nilah, 536 s. See also under Fadialish and Rashid-ud-Din.

Ferrier, General, 1057 = 4.

Fida'i, application of the term, 485 ×8.

Fidi'i, meaning of, 1147 * 2, 1146 * 4.

Fida'is, the, 265 m 4, 651 and m 7, 1190 m 1, 1192 m, 1211 m.

Fiku Nûyan, son-in-law of the Chingis Khān, 287 and a 2, 280 n, 1006 and a 6, 1007 a 3, 1019 a, 1020 and a, 1022, 1034 a, 1059 and a 1, 1060.

Fir'swas of Misr, the, 203 x 7.

Firdaus, the slave, 10 m 8.

Firdaus [Philaretas], Rūmi, governor of Antákiah, 158 s.

Firdaus, author of the Shah-Namah, 1, 206 a 2.

Firightah, xi, xii, xiii, 78 m, 81 m, 94 m 8, 96 m 2, 113 m, 118 m 5, 312 m 6, 380 m 9, 404 m 9, 431 m 7, 441 m 9, 442 m, 443 m, 444 m 8, 445 m, 446 m 5, 448 m 3, 449 m 8, 1 and 2, 450 m, 452 m 9, 453 m 8 and 4, 454 m, 456 m 1, 459 m 7 and 9, 460 m 8, 461 m, 462 m, 463 m, 464 m 7, 466 m 9, 467 m, 469 m 9, 470 m 2, 475 m 2, 476 m, 477 m, 479 m, 480 m, 484 m, 486 m, 487 m, 493 m, 480 m 6, 500 m 2 and 2, 501 m, 504 m 2, 508 m 1 509 m, 510 m, 511 m, 513 m 8, 515 m, 516 m

2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 522 n, 534 n, 583 n, 585 m, 587 m, 541 m, 542 m 9, 544 m 1. 545 = 5, 546 = 7, 547 =, 548 = 8, 564 n 2, 591 n, 592 x, 603 ma 6 and 7. 604 x 3, 605 x and x 1, 605 x 4, 607 n5, 611 n3, 616 n3, 619 n7, 623 mm 8 and 1, 680 m 1, 681 mm 5 and 8, 683 m 1, 638 m 8, 634 m 2, 685 m 9, 688 m 1, 640 un 2 and 3, 641 na 6 and 8, 642 nm 2 and 8, 648 n, 645 nm 3 and 4, 646 m 6, 647 m 9, 648 x 2, 649 x 7, 660 x 8, 651 x 7, 658 n 5, 664 x, 666 x, 657 nn 4 and 5, 656 nu 8, 1 and 2, 659 n 6, 661 m and = 2, 664 am 4 and 5, 665 a 8. 666 m and m 9, 668 m 5, 670 m 1, 678 *and ** 7 and 8, 679 * 2, 680 *. 663 m, 666 m, 660 m, 690 m 8, 691 m, 692 n 4, 693 n 2, 696 n 9, 697 n, 698 x, 699 xx 2 and 5, 703 x 7, 705 #7, 707 #8, 711 #4, 718 mm 2 and 4, 716 = 5, 722 = 8, 778 m, 776 m. 777 m, 778 m, 735 m, 801 m, 802 m, 1180 m, 1181 m, 1184 m, 1208 m, 1271 a.-app. vs. vii. iii. zs. ziv. RIE, REVI.

First Sulten, of the Bahmani dynasty in the Dakhan, 592 n.

Firûz-î-Bam-sinjên or Karê Firûz, 70 and = 8

Firus, -Bandhadar, Sultan of Mist, 1278 a.

First, Iyal-timish, the Salar, Maliksidah of <u>Kh</u>warazm, one of the Malike of Shams-ud-Din Iyaltimish, 199, 625 and = 6, 742 and = 7

Fires-1-Iyel-Timigh 5. Selar, Malik, 235. See the above,

Firux b. Yasdajurd, b. Bahram-j. Gar, 5, 421, 428 n 8. Firuxi College, of Cohohah, 541 and 88.

Firux Shāh-i Abu'l Muşaffar, Shāh-i-Jahāu, the Ḥabaahi, Sultāu, 582 n. 6, 588 n. 590 n. 591 n. 592 n. 621 n. 6, 717 n.

Firux Shāh b. Sultāu Ibrāhīm Ghaznawi, 106.

Firux Tughlak, Sultāu, of the Tugh-lak dynasty in Hind, 93 n. 9.

Fonetic Nuz, the, 874 n.

Forsyth, Sir T. D., 933 a.

Franklin, Major William, 558 a 7.

Franks. See Farangs.

France, 394 a 2.

Froissart, 1134 a.

Fulid Ahā, grandson of the Bāwūr-chi Būrkī, of the Dūrhān tribe,
1093 a.

Für, — Porus, which see.

Für, — Porus, which see.

Fūranklin, Atā-bak, governor of
Fāra, 174 a.

Gabari Afghans a sept of the Shalmanis, the, 1044 a.

Gabr, application of the term, 620 a 2, 1112 a 9.

Gabrs, the, 620 and n 2, 1044 n, 1112 n.9. 1136.

Gaheps, the, 1132 m.

Fo. bes, 1090 *.

Gahrāls, the, 1132 *

Gahti, or Gati, the Cra-ut, the Nupin, 1092 a.

Gain mart, or Gil-Shah, surnamed Peah-Dad or Iran Ghah, first king of 'Ajam, 1, 2, 872 n 873 n Consider strengther of the name.

Gajz-luk, etymology of the name, 865 s

Gakars, the, 1043 m 1, 1130 m

Gakhars, the, 455 n, 477 n, 537 n, 647 n 9, 1132 n, 1136 n 7.

Gao-1-Khita'i, the, 69 a.

Gardezi, the. See Abû Sa'îd-ì-Abdul-Haiy.

Garghierb b. Zau, 661 . 9.

Gaubil, Father, 958 n, 1065 n 3, 1086 n, 1090 n.

Caudhiyas, the, or Ganriyas, the, 559 n 2. G.

Gazetteer of Contral Asia, Mac Gregor's, 1115 n S.

Gaziak Khān, an officer of the Khwirusmi dynasty, 381.

Geographical Magazine, the, 878 m, 891 s, 915 s, 916 s, 917 s, 918 s, 919 s, 965 s I, 981 s, 1081 s.

"Geographical Memoir of the Persian Empire," MacD. Kinneir's, 64 s 1

George Sevudolits, grand Duke of Russia, 1171 a

Georgians, the See under the floryis

Gerbilion, 941 a, 1167 a.

Germans, the, 1168 a,

Gha 'ir Beg, the Karlugh, an officer of Sultan Iyal Arnalán, Khwararm Shah, 927 a.

Cha 'ir Buta Khan, the I-ghtz ruler, 242 a 6, 250 a 4.

Chi-'ir Khin Aniil-Jul, the Kanhuli, governor of Utelr on the part of Sultan Kuhammad Khwirism Shin, 271 a, 272 a 2, 272 a 5, 966 a 1, 971 a, 986 a. Ghaja-Ghāo, [the Bos Grunniena], or Wild Yāk, ziv, 68 and s 4, 69 s, 922 s, 946 s.

Ghakhars, the, 294 a.—also written Gakhars, which see.

Chalsis, the,—a tribe of Afghāns, 327 n6, 510 n, 548 n 3, 556 n 7, 1015 n 2, 1022 n, 1058 n 6.

<u>Oh</u>alsi b <u>Sh</u>àh Husain, son of the <u>Gh</u>ūri chief who settled among the <u>Afgh</u>ūns, 510 s.

Char-ghau, 68 n 4, 69 n. See the Chajs-Chão.

Gharrib-at Hadig, the, 14.

Ghassin b. 'Ubbad, governor of Khurasin on the part of al-Ma'mun, 11, 27 and z 6, 28.

Ghati or Mirghati, the Craut,—
the Nuyin to whom the Chingiz

<u>Kh</u>an gave in marriage his <u>Kh</u>atun Anikah Bigi, 1092 n, 1143 n

The name is also written Gahti
or Gati.

Chazi, signification of, 70 n 2 Charnawi dyposty, the, v. Xiv, 26 a 1, 99 n, 186 n, 231, 323 w, 332 n 4, 334 n 8, 338 n 2, 357 n 1, 467 n, 614 n 8, 631 n 7. Also called the Yaminiah dynasty.

<u>Ghazzāli, lmam, Ahmad,—the Sultin of Maghalikh, 142, 149 n 2, 386 n 4, 359 n.</u>

Chirdrah, meaning of, 1027 n 8
Chirdrah, meaning of, 1027 n 8
Chirdrah de Din Ak Kultan b Muham
mad i Takiah Khwarazm Shah,
934 n, 1118 n 9 Thia name see ins
to be an error for Chiran ad Din
Pir Shah See under Ak Sultan
Chirdrah de under Ak Sultan
Chirdrah de Din Balban, an, axan,
axia, axia, axiv n 4, lv, 589 n,
501 n 6, 620 n 4, 652 n 8, 661 n,
667 n 1 668 n, 674, 685 n 4, 695 n

2, 696 n, 699 n 1, 716 n 5, 717 n, 776 n, 778 n, 794 n 1, 800 n, 801 n, 806 n, 807 n 8, 810 n 4, 820 n 3, 866 n, 1181 n 2, 1184 n See also Uingh Khān-t-Balban.

Ghiyaq-ud-Din 'Iwag, the Khalj, fourth Khalj ruler of Lakhana-wati, lau, 480 and a 7, 563 n, See also under 'Iwaz

Ghiyag-ud-Din Muhammad 1-Sam. Ghuri, szni, zem and a 2, 1, 1, 112 and n 5, 113 n, 114 n, 115, 182, 189 ml, 193 and m6, 240 m. 243, 244 m, 245, 246 n and n 8, 248, 249, 257 and n 6, 253, 255 a 7, 256, 257 n 2 258 n, 265 n 4, 267, 301, 302, 342, 346 n 1, 349 n, 357, 366, 368 and a, 369, 370, 371 and #4, 372, 378 an 1 n 8, 374 and # 8, 375 m, 376 n and # 3, 377 and # 4. 378 and # 8, 379, 380 and n 9, 381 and # 5, 392, 383, 384 and # 5, 365 and = 9, 387, 388, 389, 391 and = 9, 392, 393 and = 17 and 8, 896, 397, 404, 405, 4/8, 411, 412, 413, 414 and # 9, 417, 419, 424 and ## 6 and 7, 425 m 3, 426, 427, 428 and n 1, 429 n, 430, 431, 438 n 2, 440, 446 and n5, 447 and nn 6 and 1, 448 and n 3, 449 and n 8, 456 and n 2, 457 n, 471, 472 and n, 479 n 6, 479 n, 450 n, 452 n, 487, 488 n 1, 499 n, 501, 510 n, 519 m. 522 m 5 and m. 623 m. 603 m 7, 614 n 8, 622 n, 1063, 1127 n, 1199 a.S. 1199 a,--app u, iv, v, xvi xxiii Before his accession to the throne bis title was bhams-

Gh136- nd Din Muhammad Shāh b Sultan Shams-nd Din Ixal-Timigh, 625, 633, 639 = 7, 735. Chiya-ud-Din Pir Shih b Mahammad-i-Takish, Khwarazm Shah
 254 a 3, 277 a 5, 281 a 5, 283 a 9,
 284 and a, 285 a 2, 195 a, 290 a,
 297 a 9, 976 a, 990 a, 1118 a 9
 See also Chiyas ud-Din Ak Saltan.

Chulém, in what sense understood 168 n 2

Chûrî Shalmatî, Amîr, an officer of the Chûrî dynasty, 410

Churi Shānasti b Muhammadı-Takish, Khwātazm Shāh, 254 and na 2 and 3, 281, 480 n See also under Rukn-ud-Din Ghūri Shānasti

Churi Tazika, the, 511 n

Ghūriān Sultans, the See under the Ghūris

Ghuris, the, x111, x18, xx1 xx17, 106 m3, 110, 111, 113 n, 140 n 2 150, 192, 199, 247 n. 251 n l. 252 n : 4 and 7, 253 and n 9, 255 n and r 7, 256 n, 257 n, 258 s 262 s and n 9, 264 n, 307 n, 312 313 n 4, 318 and я 6, 320 n 4 321 n 7, 322 n, 323 n, 825 n, 326 n 327 n, 314 n, 331 n 1, 833, 334 335, 349 n 2 341 n 7, 349 m, 352 n 3, 357 n 7, 355, 36 \, 361 869, 372, 374 v 5 576, 377 and a 4, 379 no, 351 nv 2 and 5, 852 and n 9, 383 n 2 3 43 n 8 397 n 2, 400 n 3, 401 n, 403 n 411 n, 414 n 9, 419 n 5 420 421, 425 427, 439 n 4, 449 n 9, 473 n 2 474 at d n. 480 n. 491 n. 49. n.7. 193 n. 495, 605 m, 509 m, 5100 511 a 537 m, 538 n. 539, 510 n. 545 na 1 a of 3. 606 n 3, 626 n, 750 n 7, 924 n, 929 n, 930 n 9, 940, 1002 n 7, 101 f n 2, 1015 n, 1016, 1022 n, 1023 n 1053 n 7, 1056, 1051 n 7, 1099 n.

1133, 1196 n 8, 1202 n,—app. i, xv.

Ghuzz, the tribe of,-descendants of Chuzz b. Mang or Mansag b. Yaffs, 28 n 8, 81 m, 111 and m 1, 112 and n 3, 113 m, 114 m, 144 R, 149 and # 2, 150, 155 and # 6, 136 and и 8, 180 и 7, 189, 237 м. 245, 246 s and s 8, 348 s, 349 s. 350 m, 357 m 7, 859 m, 861, 868 m 8, 367 and n 9, 365 n, 860, 874 nn 2, 3 and 5, 375 m, 376, 877 and a n 4 and 6, 378 and n 8, 438 n 6. 417 m h 414, 440 and w 8, 450 m 8. 495 n, 499 n, 870 n, 871 n, 879 m. 891 n, 897 n 903 n, 907 m, 908 m, 909 n, 910 n, 911 and a 7, 916 a. 923 n 961 n, 962, 1016, 1022 n, 12% a

Ghuzz b Mang or Mansag b Yada, 870 s, 971 s

(rhuzzan, the, 961 a See the Chuzz

Giberts or Gaberis, the,—one of the three divisions of the Shalmanis, 1944 n

Gibbin, 117 n, 133 n 4, 144 n, 162 n 3 173 n 4 505 n 1, 886 n, 1288 n 9, 6., race the, 883 n

tel <u>bb</u> h, or Gammer, surnamed Fesh lind or Iran hbah, lst of the Bistricah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2

ful nanish dynasty, also named the Pech Dadian and Bastanian dynasty, the 2

(e mol or German) (Cumure) b. Yafis,

Gerse Malik b Arghun Aka, administrator of Iran-Zamin, 1195 a

Grw -- one of the ancient heroes of Iran, 561 a 9 Gobind or Gobindah Rās, Rājah of Dihli,---brother of Pithorā Rās of Ajmīr, 459 and n 9, 400 and n, 461 m, 466 n 1, 468, 460 n 9, 516 n 2, 1271 n.

Godfrey, King of Jorusalem,—who commanded the first Crusade, 101 n 8.

Goes 421 * 2, 969 * 1.

١,

Gog and Magog, See under Yajüj and Majoj

Gohar Malikah, Tēj-ud-Dunyā wa'd Dîn, daughter of 'Ali ud Dîn al-Husain Jahan-soz, the <u>Gh</u>ûri, 376. Golah, agunteation of, 455 n 6 517

Golah, signification of, 455 n t 517

Golah Pithorë, Rie, See under Kolah Pithorë Rie.

Golden Record the,—the Altan Daftar 891 n [1119 n]

Goldsmid, Major-General, Sir F J , Gomänik <u>Kh</u>ätun See under Konik

or Konn) ik <u>Kh</u>ātun Gomer (Gamari) b. Yāfis, 870 s.

Gon chor, chief of the Yong-ku tribe, 955 s

Gonds, the, 587 n 4.

Gonfalomer, the, 1227 a 3.

Gothe the, ba7 a

Gradus ad Aurnon, Abpott's 78 n.

Grant Duff, Mr , 520 a

Greeks, thr. 3, 69 n, 211 n 7, 300 n, 314 n 6 886 n.

Grigoreif, Professor V , 915 x, 920 x Gribsatha Asram, 691 n.

Grose, 1131 n

Grote, Arthur, vi. 865 a

Grange, F. L. Mr. 697 n 5

Gudarz,-one of the ancient heroes of Iran, 561 a 9

Gudarz 1-Akbar b Shapur, of the Ashkanian dynasty of 'Ajim, 4

Gudars-i-Aşglar b. Güdars-i-Akbar of the Ashkāniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Gujars, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jud, 1131 s.

Gukars, the, 1076 s. See the

Gulistán, the, 179 * 1.

Gumeri b. Yesis -- Gomer of Scripture, 670 n, 871 n, 872 n.

Gür or Kür (Kiwak) b. Alminjah, chaftain of the Turks, 573 s.

Gür, Gür or Gawaz <u>Kh</u>ān b. Maghal <u>Kh</u>ān, the first ruler of the Mughal Imāķ, 375 s.

Gur Khān, the, sovereign of the Korō-Khitāis, sivii, sivii, 134 n 8, 155 n, 181 n 9, 200 n 7, 237 n 2, 244 n 3, 261 n 7, 261 n, 262 n 1, 263 and n, 264 and n, 402 n, 473 n 2, 474 n 471 n, 480 n, 907 n, 908 n, 904 n, 901 n, 911 and n 8, 912 and n, 918 n, 914 n 915 n, 217 n, 918 n, 923 n, 925 n, 926 n, 927 n, 928 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n 933 n, 934 n, 935, 940 n, 931 n, 951 n, 952 n, 961 n 2, 980 and n 1, 961 n, 962 n, 943 n, 984 n, 985 n, 1001 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1141 n

Gur Khans of Kara-Khatae, dynasty of the, 901 n 907 n, 908 n, 909 n, 912 n 922 n, 930 n 931 n, 884 n.

Guris the, 170 × 8 296 and n 7, 297 n, 996 n, 998 n same as the Geor-

Guahtanb b. Lubrimb of the Kananish dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 7, 561 and 4 9, 560 n 9, 764 and 2 7.

Gusht-sep b Ashrat, 9

Guzin, a mirror—how the word came into use 1176 n 9, 1177 n

Habaahah dynasty, the,—in al-Ya--man, 8.

Habanhi-i-Nezah-war, Amir,—governor of the fortress of Tülak on the part of Sulfan Muhammad Khwārasm Shāh, 1004 and #6, 1057, 1058, 1059 and #2, 1060, 1061, 1067.

Habib-us-Siyar, the, 14 st 1, 113 n, 287 n 9, 322 n, 333 n 6, 363 n 8, 403 n, 407 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 413 n 1, 439 n 4, 540 n, 869 n 2, 870 n, 872 n, 913 n, 941 n, 946 n, 949 n, 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 960 n, 975 n 5 987 n 3, 989 n, 1008 n 5, 1012 n 9, 1019 n, 1032 n, 1048 n 1, 1090 n, 1190 n 1, 1221 n, 1264 n.—app. xx

Hābīl (Abel) b Ādam, 1.

Hada'ık u's Sihr fi Daka'ık ı'ah Shı'r, the, 288.

Hāfis Abrū, 79 n, 940 n, 941 n, 955 n, 957 n, 958 n, 960 n, 1036 n 1, 1087 n, 1108 n, 1190 n 1, 1209 n, 1211 n, 1256 n 6.

Haft Iklim, the, 363 n 8, 449 n 8, 469 n 9, 545 n 5, 582 n 6, 584 n 2, 593 n, 603 n 6, 623 n 1.—app vi, viii.

Hähir Diw, 691 n — See Räe Chähar, the Ajär.

Haibat, Malik,—chief of the tribes of Jūd, round about the river Sūhan, 1131 n.

Haidar, another name of 'Alī b Abī Tālīb, 460

Hailad b. Sarakhil, of the Tababi'ah, 6. 7

Hain [Hin or Hunain] b Bahram, —ancestor of Amir Banji, 312. Hajar-ul-Mafar,—the rain-producing stone, 870 n.

Hajash or Khajash b. 1brāhīm, ancestor of Amīr Benjī, 312.

Hajî Hasan Saknakî, 971 m.

Hājī, the Tarkhān,—founder of Astrakhan, 943 n.

Hakam h 'Umr,—one of the generals of Mu'awiyah,901 n.

Hākım, al-,—Abu'l'Abbās-ı-Abmad, 2nd of the 'Abbāsi <u>Kh</u>alifahs in Egypt, 1260 n

Hākım, Abu'l Faşl Ahmad b Muhammad,—Wazīr of Amir Nüh b. Naşr, Sāmānī, 38 n 5, 30 n 1.

Hakim-ı-Şana'i, the 138.

Halā Khān. See the next.

Halahau, or Hala Khan, chief of the Urus (Russians), 1171 n.

Halups, the,—name of a people, 1132 s.

Ham b. Nüh, 3, 304 n 1. Ham of Scripture

Hamadis, the,—the Ghüriäns became so styled after they had embraced Islam, 313 and n4

Hamankā or Hamanghā b Jirkah-Langum b. Kāidu <u>Kh</u>ān, 895 s, 897 s, 938 s, 955 s.

Hamawiyah—the Sipah-Sālār of Amîr Naer b. Ahmad the Samānī's forces, 36.

Hamdu'llah, the Mustaufi, 15 n 1, 964 n 2.

Hamid b Amir Ahmad b. Asad Samani, 29 [713.]

Hamid-ud-Din of Marigalah, Imam, Hamilton, Col J. W, ix, x and n 2, 560 n 4, 568 n, 585 n 6, 586 n 9, 589 n, 854 n 2. Hamir, 517 a. See under Bhirij. Hamlet, 188 a 8, 723 a 6.

Hamnah b. 'Ali,--one of the chiefs of Amir Nagr b. Ahmad the Sămăni'e forces, 36.

Hamsah, the <u>Kh</u>ārijī,—the rebel of Bijistān, 13

Hanafis, the,—followers of the Imam Abu Hanifah, 994 s.

Hannay, 563 m.

Hannibal, 690 *

Hans, the,--a tribe, 836 a 3.

Harab,—some persons are so designated, 191 * 6

Hardab, the Mindë Rajah of Mathura, 85 s.

Kinng-ur-Ré-igh, 1st of the Tababrah, 6, 7.

Hariz the 'Ajamî, of the Tabébi'ah of Yaman, 8.

Harjā Dīw, 691 s. Ses Rās Chābar, the Ajar.

Harkaghún or Harkasün, the Mughal Amir, 1160 n.

Haros Shih b. Muhammad-i-Takugh, 254 and z 3.

Harpamah b. A'yun,—general of al-Ma'mun, ivn, 10 and a 3.

Hart, Captain, 1012 a 4.

Hart's "Character and Costume of Afghanistan," 331 w l.

Bărûn b. Altân-Tigh the Hajib, governor of Khurisan under the Chasnawiah, 121, 123 a, 232 a

Harumer-Raghid, 313 and #1, 313, 315, 316 m and #9.

Hasan-i-'Abd-ul-Malık, Sıpah-Sâlâr
—of the Ghan forces, 394

Hamn b. 'Ali b Abi Talib, Imam, 1282.

Hasan b. Buwiah, 43, 45. His correct name is Abu'l Husain a-

Ahmad, Mu'iss-ud-Daulah,—which see.

Hasan b. ul-Husain,—brother of Tahur ibn u'l Husain, 18 * 6.

Hasan, the Karlügh. See under his tatle of Sauf-ud-Din.

Hasen, the Massadarëni,—the slave and assessin of 'Alë-ud-Din Mahmud, the Maulina of the Mulahidah, 1206 = 1.

Hasan, grandson of Muhammad-i-Süri, ruler of <u>Gh</u>ür, 316 x 2, 323 n, 325 n, 333 x 6.

Hasan Nisāmī,—author of the Tājul-Ma'āsır, 466 m 1, 469 m 9, 539 m 5, 540 m.

Hasan b. Ribāl,-Alb-Tigin's deputy in Hirat, 41 a, 71 a 5.

Hasan-i-Sabbib,—the head of the sect of Mulihidah, 139 s, 145 s 4, 363 s 8, 1187 and s 7, 1186 s, 1210 s.

Home b. Sabak-Tigin of Chamin, 75.

Hamn, the Sarhang,—an officer of the Yaminiah dynasty, 103.

Passan, al-, b Sultan Malik b. Mas-'ud-1-Karim, 107.

Hasen-i-Wa'ip-ul-Kaghifi, Mullā, author of the Anwar-i-Subaili, 109 n 9. [16]

Hamn b Zaid-ul- 'Alawi, Amir, 15, Hamn-Tigin b, 'Ali b 'Abd-ul-Mumin, of the Afrasiyabi <u>Kh</u>ans, —famous under the name of Abu'l -Ma'ali Kulij-i Famghaj, 207 s, 225 a.

Hasnak, the Sharkh-ul-Khatir, Wasir of Sultan Mahmud of Ghaznin. 92 n 3.

Hassan b. Hassan, of the Tababinb, 7. Hassan b. Tubbe', Zū Hassan,—of the Tababi'ah, 7.

• Hayatılah, the,—name of a people, 423 n 8, 1010 n.

Hayton, the Armenian monk, 1162 n, 1219 n.

Hazarah Mughals, the, 874 n.

Hazarahs, or Thousands,—the second of the four degrees of Mughals, 948 "

Hazāraha, the, 574 n, 1075 n, 1076 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095 n, 1132 n, 1179 n, 1191 n.

Harrat Sätuk,—a Muhammadan munt,—See Sätuk Kujah

Henry III of England, 846 n 7. Herodotus, 265 n 4, 887 n

High Priest of the Parsis, the, x and n 2

Hijzabr Shah b Ulugh Khan-i-Abi Muhammad, 235 and n 3

Himartequen, 1098 n, for Khumar Tigin.

Himyari Maliks of al-Yaman, the, xxxii, 7

Hindā <u>Kh</u>ān b Malīk <u>Kh</u>an b Taki<u>ch-i-Kh</u>wāratm <u>Sh</u>āh 251 and n 1, 252, 256 n, 393 n 8, 619 n s

Hindu Khan, Mihtar-1 Muhilak, the Khazin,—one of the Muliks of Shams-ud-Din Iyal Truish, 746 and n 9, 745, 746, 1183 and n 9

and n 9, 745, 746, 1153 and n 9

Hindus, the, 81 n, 486 n, 509 n, 516
n 2 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 524 n,
552 and n 1, 570 n 9, 619 n 6, 639
n 8, 642 and n 1, 648 c id n 3, 652,
663 n 9, 680, 686, 705 and n 7, 706,
712 n 1, 728, 734, 738 and n 3,
743, 749, 764 n 5, 765 n 6, 766,
806, 809, 816, 817 n 7, 818 n 5,

82i and n 6, 836, 838, 839, 846 and n 6, 847, 848, 850, 852, 855, 893 n, 912 n, 1034 n, 1074 n, 1136 n 9, 1217 n, 1319 n, —apq. ii, iii, xvii.

Honnd,—the antidote for scurvy, 1124, 1193 m.

Histoire des Mongols, D Ohsson's, 916 a Sec also under D'Ohsson.

History of Afghanistan, Maileson's, 1027 n S, 1045 n, 1202 n. See also under Maileson.

History of Bougal, Stewart's, 666 n, 732 n 1, 762 u 9, 770 n 7, 776 n, 905 n Sec also under Stewart.

History of Gaur or Lakhanawati, of Shum Parahul, xvi

History of 'Genghizean the Great,"

[the Chingiz Khan], Petis de la Croix' s, 1010 u, 1093 n

History of the Germans, Mascou's, 870 n

History of India, Marshman's, 445 s See also under Marshman History of Keshghar, Dr Bellew's, 933 s See also under Bellew.

History of the kha'shi Afghana, Khwaju the Matiri's, zwi

History of Khuravan, the,—of Mau--lana Mu in-ad-Din Sabawari, 19 a 1

History of the Mughala, Realist ud-Dur's, 891 n See also under Raghid ad-D.n

History of the Mughala, Wolff's, 1167 n

History of Persia, Malcolm's, 1264 s 1279 s, 1292 s History of the Rijahs of Jammü, zvi, 79 m, 485 m, 489 m 7, 460 m 2, 466 m 1, 466 m 4, 486 m.

History of Timur, the Gürgün, 866 n. 889 n. 1025 n.

History of the Turks, Moguls and Tatars, the, 585 n, 883 n—a translation of the work of Abu'l Ghäsi Bähadur Khän.

Hizabr-ud-Din Hesau-i-Adib, the Bipah-Sälär,—holder of the Sef of Buda'un, 549, 551 n.—app. xxiv.

Hisabr-ud-Din Muhammad b. Mubārak,—the Amīr of Tülak, 1062 and n 5.

Hocotam Cham, for Uktee Kaag, 1167 n, 1168 n.

Hodgson's Sketch of Buddhism,

Holak and Kulian, the,—name of a people whose garments and caps were all red,—1137 s.

Holitay,--one of "Mengko" a generals, 1184 n.

Hoshang b Siámak b Kaumurt,— 2nd of the Bastániah dynasty of 'Apam, 2, 303 n 7, 304 and n 2

Hoshang, Sultan of Malwah, 569 n Hoshin tribe of Mughals, the, 1093 n.

Hospitaliers, the, 101 n 8, 212 n 1. Howorth, Mr H H,—anthor of the "Mongols Proper,"—891 n, 898 n, 910 n, 911 n 8, 916 n, 919 n, 924 n, 926 n, 928 n, 933 n, 942 n, 944 n, 947 n, 950 n, 966 n 1, 971 n, 977 n, 985 u, 1086 n.

Hubbs, mispronunciation of the name Jabah

Hük-1-Kará Bahkam, name of Sabuk Tigin's father, xiv, 68, 69 a, 70. Hilk here is an error for Jul, — See ziv.

Hůlějů, the Nü-yin, 1264 s. [57.] Hulakoo, for Uklan the Jushi, 1066 Hulskû Khân b. Tûli b. Chingis Khan, 164 and # 9, 180 # 5, 696 #. 706 m 3, 711 and m 4, 712 m, 715 n 4, 784 and n 5, 786 and n 5, 786 m 9, 840 m 2, 846 m 8, 851 and = 7, 657 = 8, 859 and = 8, 861, 862 and m 1, 863, 936 m 6, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1094 n, 1148 m 4, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1190 n, 1190 n 1, 1191 m, 1192 m, 1193 m, 1194 m, 1195 m. 1196 m. 1202 m. 1206 m m 1 and 3, 1207 m, 1208 m, 1209 m, 1210 a, 1211 a, 1215 a, 1215 and nn 9 and 4, 1216 n, 1231 n, 1233 n, 1225 and # 7, 1226 and #, 1227 # 5, 1226 and m, 1229, 1230 m, 1231 m, 1232 and m, 1238 m 8, 1284 and ma 7 and 8, 1235 and # 2, 1236 and m, 16, 236 n 1289 n, 1240 n, 1243 m 3, 1848 m, 1244 m, 1245 and m 4, 1246 = 5, 1347 and = and == 6 and 7, 1248 and # 8, 1249 m 1250 m. 1252, 1253 m 4, 1254 m. 1255 n, 1256 n 6, 1257 and n, 1260 and = = 4 and 6, 1261 and = and m 7, 1263 and m, 1263 m, 1264 m, 1265 and s, 1266 and s 4, 1267 and n 6, 1269, 1270 and a 2, 1271 m, 1272, 1278 and m 7, 1274 and n 1, 1275 and n 3, 1276 m, 1277 and n, 1276 n, 1279 and n, 1280 n 5, 1281 n, 1282, 1286 n, 1287 n, 1292 n 1, 1293 n and n 2.

Hüläkäe b Mitukse b. Qhughatse Ka-au, 1148 n 4.

Huliū, same as Hūlūkū

Hu-lyang-hotay, general, 1217 m, 1218 n, 1221 n, 1222 n.

Humde,—the bird of happy omen,

Bumā-ī [also Humāe], daughter of Bahman,—Viith of the Kar-āniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3

Humāyūn,—emperer of Hindustan, 559 n 2, 582 n 6, 1132 n

Hume, 309 #.

Haugarians, the, 959 s, 1167 s, 1168 s

Huns, the, 986 a.

Kuns, the White, 1058 # 6.

Hû-pi lay,—Chinese pronunciation of the name Kubilše, 1215 n 9

Hûr Malikah, daughter of the Shar, Shah, Malik of Gharjistan, 363.

Hurmus or Aormand, 3rd of the Sāsāmān dynasty, 4.

Hurmus b Narei, 7th of the Sasanian dynasty, 4

Hurmus b Nüshirwan, of the Akasirah, 5, 8, 27 n 4, 53 n 6

Hurmus b Yazdajırd b Bahram Gür, 423 n 8

Hurrah-1-Julali, the,—daughter of Bahā-nd-Din Sām Ghuri, 342

Husan b 'Ali b Abi Talib, Imam, 64 n 1, 265 n 4, 1253 n 4, 1242

Husain b 'Ali Marwar-Rudi, general of Abū Nașr 1 Abmad, Sâriâni,

Iv, hx, 35, 36, 185 n [404 n l] Husain Bahādur Khān, Sultan, Husain b. Hasan b Muhammad-i

Suri See under Husain b Sam. Husain-i-Kharmil, 'Izzud-Din,-

Hussin-i-Kharmil, 'Izzud-Din,— See under Khar-mil

Husam-1-Kharmil, Nêşir ud-Dîn,— See under bâşir ud 'lîn

Husain Mîrzā, Sultān, 1122 m

Husam-1-Muhammad Hasan, Amîr-1-Hâjib of Sultan Mu'zzz-ud Diu Muhammad-1 Sâm, 491 and z 8. Hamin b. Mat'ab, Wali of Fughanj,—father of Tahir ibn u'l Husain, 9, 11, 13 a 6.

Husain b Rībāi,—Alb-Tigin's deputy in Hirât, 41 s., 71 s 5.

Husain b. Sabuk-Tigin, of Ghaznin 75.

Husain b. Sam b. Hasan b. Muhammad-1-Süri, who was made Malik of Ghür by Sultän Mae'üd-i-Karim of Ghamin, under the title of 'Izzu d Din, 106 n 3, 149 n 2, 238 n 5, 313 n 4, 322 n, 328 n, 333 n 5, 338 n 6, 335 and n 2, 336 n 4, 337 nn 6 and 7

Husain, Sultan, of the House of Timur, 109 n 9

Husain-1-durkh, Amīr-1-Pājib, of Sulfan Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sām, 491 and n 9.

Husain b. Tähir, ruler of Sijistas,

Husam-ud-Daulah Tagh, 44, 45 x 7. Bee also under Tagh the Hajib.

Husam-ud-Din Aghul-Bak, Malik of Awadh, 627 and a 5

Husam ad Din 'Akab, Hakim of Dar-i Tang, 1238 a 8, 1239 a, 1276 a

Husam-ud Din 'Ali b. Pakhr ud-Din Mas'ud, the Malik of Samian, 425

Husám-ud-Din 'Ali i-Eurmäkh, one of the Maliks of Sultän Mu'issud-Din Muhammad i-Sam, 460,— See also under 'Ali-i Karmakh,

Husem and Dan, Amer,—governor of Käl-yan and Fiwer, for Sultan Chiyas-ad Din Mahmud Churi, 503 a 6

Husim ad-Din, the Astrologer, 1253 n 4, 1256 n 6.

Hudm.nd.Din Hamid-i Bür, the Kard.Khitā.i, --brother of Burāķ the Hājib, 934 n, 1118 n 9.

Hasam-ud-Din Husain-i-'Abd-ul-Malık, Sar-i-Zarrad, Malık, 417 and n9, 1002 and n7, 1006 n9, 1079 and n2.

Husam-ud-Din 'Iwax, b. Husain Khalji, Sultan of Lakhanawati, 490 x, 676 and x 2, 576 x 3, 577 x 8, 578 and x 2, 580 and x 8, 581 and x 5, 582 x 6, 583 See also under 'Iwax.

Husaw-ud-Din b. Kharmit,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Mu'ssz ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sam, 490.

Husam-ad-Din Kutlugh Shah,—the

Amir of the black standard, 831 and a 2.

Hasam-nd-Din Muhammad i-Abī 'Ali, Jahān Pahlawan, Malik, 409, 412

Husam-ud-Din Oghül Bak, the Sıpah-Sālār,—Malık of Awadh, 518 x, 519 x, 549, 550 x 6, 551 x, app. xxıv, xxvi.

Hüshang b. Gaiŭ-mart 879 s. See Hoshang b. Sišmak.

Hwen Theang, the Chinese traveller, 22 * 4, 306 * 8, 426 * 6, 680 *, 1011 *.

Hylander's Ibn-ul-Wardî, 1000 s. Hyu-le-hû, Chizese for Halâkû 1216 s.

I

Ibak, signification of, 513 a 1, 729 a 4, 866a.

Ibak, the slave of Kuth-ud-Din Ibak,—afterwards Tam-ghij, Amir of Tabarhindah, 601, 603 and n 6 Ibak-i-Aor Khan,—fendatory of Lakhapawati-Lakhanor, 736, 737, 730 n 7.

Ibak-i-Lang,—nick-name of Kuthud-Din I-bak, 514 n,—app, 1x, x. Ibak-i-Nā Pak or Ibak-i-Bak,—the Tarki slave who became master of Multān, 476 n, 477 n, 484 n.

Ibak, Sanni-i, Amir, 749 and n 4
Ibak-i Shil,—byname of hutb-udDin I bak, 514 n [969 n 1]
Ibn 'Abd-ul-Latif, the Historian,
Ibn 'Abd-ur-Razzik, governor of

Nighapur for the Samans, 42. Ibn 'Alkami, the Wazır of al-Musta'şım, the last of the 'Abbası Khalifahs, iv. 1229 and n 8, 1230 n, 1232 n, 1236 n, 1238 n 8, 1244 n, 1254 n, 1255 n.

Ibn 'Amran, governor of the Baghdad territory under the Mughals, 1255 n.

Ibn Agir,—author of "Kāmīl-ut-Tawārikh," zvi, zlv, 217 s., 328 s. 2. 936 s.G.

Ibn-ul-Athir See under Ibn Aşir.
Ibu-ul-Baişā, Abu'l Faşl Muhammad
b 'Alı, Wazir of an-Nâşir, the
'Abbasi Khalifalı, 243 n.

1bn Batütah, 179 n 3, 468 n 3, 648 n 5, 669 n 8, 678 n 8, 681 n 4, 687, 800 n 8, 802 n 1, 1040 n 3

Ibn Darwegh,—the envoy of the Khalifah to Huláků, 1246 a 5.

Ibn Dastah,—author of a geography, 909 a. [history, 56 a 3 Ibn Haisam-i Paryžbi,—author of a Ibn Haisam.i.Nabī, or Sānī,—author of a history, 11 19, 26, 31, 56 and a 3, 116 and a 1, 320

Ibn-i-Hājib, 203 n 1.

Ibn Haukul, 29 n 8, 31 n 3, 94 n 3, 295 n, 370 n, 378 n 1, 925 n, 962 n, 1006 n 5, 1009 n, 1018 n, 1024 n 2, 1025 n, 1278 n.

Ibn-ul-Jarrüh, Abu'l 'Abbüs Mubammad, 37 n

Ibn Janzi,—an officer of al-Musta'sim, 1216 n 5.

Ibn-ul-Kassab, Mu'nyyid-ud-Din, Wazir of the Dar-ul-Khulafat, 242 n 6, 243

Ibn-1-Khallikān, 151 n 6, 205 n 4, 1010 n 1278 n

Ibn-ul-Khatib,—the envoy of the Khalifah an-Nüşir to Sultan Mu-lizzad-Din Mahammad 1 Sam, xxx, 243, 244 n, 383

Ibn Khurdabih See the next

Ibn Khurdādbah, Abu'l Kasımı
 'Abd-nllah, Khurāsānī,— author of the Masālik wu Mamālik, 292 n.
 295 n. 423 n.8, 869 n.2, 870 n. 871 n. 922 n. 961 n. 962 n

It -ur-Rabi',—the envoy of the Khalifah an-Nasr: to Sultin Mu'izz-ud Din Muhammad-1-Sam, xxii, 243, 211 n, 383

Ibn Salāyah, the 'Alawi, Hikim of Arbil, 1238 n 8 Sec under Taj ud-Din.

The -Shaddad — author of the history of Salah-ud-Din al-Ayyubi 218 n

Ibn-al-Wardī, the historian and geographer, 1009 a

Ibrāhīm, the Patriarch, (Abraham of Scripture), 3, 274 n 6, 303 n 7 620 n, 1163 u 5.

Ibrāhīm b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmānī, 29.

Ibrāhīm b Alimad-i-Ismā'il Sāmānī, 33, 39 n 8.

Ibrāhīm b Bughrā Khān-i-Kadr Khān,—tho Afrasyābi Malik, 905 n

Ibrāhīm, the Ghuzz chieftain, 156. Ibrāhīm ibn ul Husain, governor of

Sijistän, on the part of the Tähiris, 19 and n 2

Ikrāhīm b Imām 'Abd ul-Khālīk, the Juijānī, ziz, zlvi, 103

Ibrāhīm Ludi b Shāh Husain. See under Ludi.

Ibrāhīm b. Mu'addıl, ancestor of Amīr Banjī, 312

Ibrehim b Nasr, of the house of Afranyab, 903 n

Ibrāhum b Ni al. (or Nislinb), the Salp ki, 125 and a 7.

Ibrāhim b Nuh-a-Mangur Sāmāni, 52 n 8

Ibrahim b Siffh Marwazi,-an officer of the Tabura, 16, 17

Ibriham b Sampar, governor of Harat for the Sampa a, 38 a 0

Ibritism b Sultin Malimud Ghasnawi, 88

Ibrühim - Sultin Mischer Charnawi, Suyud in Sild n, xis 95, 49 n, 101 and 26 102 and x 2 and 5, 103 and n 9, 104 and no 2 and 4, 105 and mand n 6, 106, 133 143 n 2 324 s, 330 332 and nn 3 ard 5, 337 n 7, 354

Hardim Ser Sulfan, of the Afghan house of Lode 511 n

'Ibrani Hanker, the,—Khwajah Fakhrad Din i 'Abd ur Bahman, 1048 and n 1 [86 s.

Idol-temple of Mathura, the, 85 m

Iftikhär-ud-Dīn, Amir of Karah, Malik-ul-Umara of <u>Shama-ud-Dīn</u> I-yal-Timish, 626 and n S.

Ighrāk, tribe of, xlix, 1016 and n 3, 1021, 1023 n, 1013, 1091.

lozi, 1023 %, 1013, 1031. Ighūri language, the, 896 %.

Ighuri language, the, 896 n.
I-ghuri, tribo of the, 233 n, 242 n
6, 870 n, 576 n, 877 n, 881 n, 882 n,
888 n, 589 n, 890 n, 909 n, 910 n,
919 n, 920 n, 922 u, 932 u, 943 n,
938 n, 950 n, 951 n, 953 n, 953 n,
955 n, 959 n, 961 n, 969 n 1, 970 n
2, 975 n 6, 984 n, 983 n, 1083 u,
1007 n 6, 1101 n 1, 1115 n, 1141 n,
1142 n, 1157 n 1.

Ikbål Namah-: Jahöngirī, the, xví Ikhtiyar ud-Din Acthus, the Karākhtiās, of the Malike of Shams ud-Din I-yal-Timish, 642 and n 2, 648, 649 and n 7, 650 651 and n 7, 653 n 5, 661 n, 748, 749, 750, 751 and n 4, 752, 780, h21.

Ikhtiyar ud-Din Altüniah of Tabur hindah, of the Maliks of Shame ud-Din I yal Timeh, 645 and may and 3, 647 and ag, 648 and may and 4 651 a7, 652, 748, 749 and a1, 750 ag, 753 867 a

Ikh trar-ud Din i Palka the Khulj, of the Malks of Shauran i Din 1 y il Timeli 556 and n 1, 594 n 1, 615 n, 626, 774 n.

Ikhtiyar-ud Din Buktam-i Aor Khan, Mulik, 673

Ikhtiya wud-Din i-Chust-Kaba, -- a dealer in slaves, 731 and a 8.

1khtiyar-ud-Din Husain, of the Malike of Shams ud-Din I-yal-Timish, 626.

Ikhtiyar-ud-Din Katu-Kush Khani-Actkin, of the Matiks of bhanis-ud-Din I yal-Timigh, his, 645, 647, 746, 747, 1123, 1134, 1136.

Ikhtiyär-ud-Din Khän b. Baltarak or Yaltarak, Malık,—an officer of Suljän Julül ud-Din Khwarasın Shâh, 1276 s.

Ikhtıyar ud-Din Khar-war, of the Mahks of Mu'ısz-ud-Din Muhammad-ı-Sam, 491 and n 5

Ikhtiyár-ud-Dín-i-Karez, Malık, rotainer of Maik Elier <u>Kh</u>án-i-Sunkar, 668 and n 4, 689 n, 690 n 8, 695 n 9, 782, 792 and n 9

lkhtiyār-ud-Din Muhammad b. 'Alii-Khar-post, the Ghūri, Malik of Jalel ud-Din Khwārasm Shāh, lx, 286, 491, 1002, 1013, 1014 and w 2, 1015 n.

lhttyår-ud-Din Muhammad b. Bakhttyår-ud-Din the Khalj, 517 and n 4 See also Muhammad-i-Bakhtyår

Ikhtwar ud-Din, the Turkman, Hekim of Amüialı, 1030 n, 1031 n. Ikhtiyar-nd-Din Yêz bak-ı-Tughril Khan, Malik of Lakhanawatı, 634 n 9, 659 nn 4 and 5, 673, 701 n 1, 747, 761, 762 and n 9, 703 and 13, 764, 765 and n 8, 766, 771 n, 772 n, 774 n, 776 n,

Ikhtiv ii ul Mulk, Nichipüri, the Amir i Haji,—an officer of Sultān Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wārazm <u>Sh</u>āh, 177, 170

lkhtiyār-ul Mulk, Daulat Yār-i-Tughrā-i See under Daulāt-Yār, lkhtiyār-ul-Mulk Rashid-ud-Dīn Abū-Bikr, the Habash, 766, 796 and # 3, 797.

lindd giz, the Atā bak,—one of Sultan Sanjar's slaves, 147 and

Index.

n 1, 165, 166 m, 168, 169 and n 7.

170 and n 8, 171 mm 9 and 2,

801 m.

44

I-läk or I-lägh,—summer station, 876 m.

I-lak, title of the rulers of Yughma or Turkistan, 902 n.

I-lak of the Turkan or Tarakimah, the, 909 n, 910 n, 919 n.

I-lak Khân, Abu Napr-i-Ahmad, the,—of the Afrany hbi Khâns, 901 and n, 903 n, 910 n.

I-lak Khān Abu'l Hasan-1-Nagr,—
of the Afrāsiyābî Khāus, lvin,
45 and n8, 46 n4, 48 n2, 49,
51 and n6, 52 and n and nn8 and
1,84 n 9,85 n,116, 186 n,902 n,
903 n, 904 n.

I-lak-ı-Maxi, the,—of the Afrasıyabi Khans, 901 and s, 902 n 5, 912 n.

I-lam or Yılam <u>Kh</u>ân,—father of <u>Shams-ud-Din</u> I-yal-Tımsi<u>sh</u> 599 and n 10.

Jibari ox, Albari tribe,—of Turkistau, the, 508, 509 n 10, 791 and n 5, 796, 800 and n 5, 884 n, 961 n, 1197 n 9, 1169 and n 1, 1284 n 5, 1295 and n 9.

Ilchidae b. Kajban,—Kajban, & brother of the Chingiz Khan, 1094 n, 1180 n, 1185 n, 1199 n, 1201 n.

Ilchikdûe, the Nû-în, xlvn. See Iljî, the Nû-în.

il<u>ch</u>ikdäe b Käjbün. See under Il<u>ch</u>idäe,

I-ley b. Aminjah, sovereign of the Tättär I-mäk, 875 n

H-Iltâr,—title of the Bashlighs or Chiefs of the Cn-Ighare, 951 n, 1097 n B

H.frkin,-title of the Bashlighs or

Chiefs of the Tühün İghüre, 951 n, 1097 n 6.

11jī, the Nū-īn, 1048, 1049 * 2, 1050 n, 1051 *, 1115 n 5, 1126 n 6, 1187 n, 1143 n, 1162 n.

Iljidae. See the above. Iljikah or

Iljikin tribe, the,—an offshoot of the Kungkur-ata, 1223 s.

Ilkās, read I-yalkās, lzīv

Il (I-yal) Khán b. Tengiz Khán, 881 n See under Iyal Khán.

Il-Khan, the,—title of Hulükü Khan, 1287 a.

Il-Khāniān dynasty, the,—the Mughal sovereigns of Persia, 1008 v 5, 1287 u

Ilūrūs,—so the Yūnānīs style Gaiūmert, the first king of 'Ajam, 2.

Ilyas b Asad Sâmanī, 27 and n 6, 28

Ilysa Higī, [Sulțăn Shame-ud-Diu,] ruler of Bangālah, 590 n, 591 n.

Ilyae b. lehâk b. Ahmad b. Asad Samanî, 36. [Samanī, 64.

Ilyās b Naşr b. Ahmad b Asad Imā, chief of the Karā-Khṣṭāis, 154, 911, 912 u, 924 u 925 u.

'Imad-ud-Daulah Abû 'Ali-i-Sımjür, 45. See also under Abû 'Alii-Sımıür.

'Imid-ud-Daulah Abu'l Hasan i.
'Ali,—the first sovereign of the family of Ruwiah, 55 n 1, 58 n 9, 59 and n 4, 61 n 4.

'Imad-ud-Daulah Kimaj, Amir of the troops of Khurasan under Sultan Sanjar, 336 n 4, 359 u

'Imad-ud-Din, the 'Abban dependant, 217 a

'Imád-ud-Din of Balkh, 1015 s.

'Imad-nd-Din, the Churi, Malik, 1202 n.

'Imād-ud-Dīn Muhammad-i-Kāsim b. Abī 'Aķīl Şākafī, 1361 * 9, app. zvii, zviii.

'Imad-ud-Dîn Huhammad, the Sha-fürkinî, Kâşî, 662.

'Imad-ud-Din-i-Rayhan,—one of the Amira of Nasir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah of Dibli, xxvisi, 685 and x1, 693 n3, 693 and n2, 694 and n4, 695 nn 8 and 2, 696 n, 698 n7, 700, 702 n3, 708, 717 n, 758 n1, 767 n9, 778 n, 784 n and n3, 792 n1, 826, 827, 828, 830 and n, 832, 833 and n9, 834, 835 and n4, 836 and n9, 1224 n

Imad-nd-Din 'Umr Fiwari, Malık, Governor of Balkh on the part of the Sultans of Bamian, 260 and n 6, 401 n

'Imid-ud-Din Zangi b Ak Sankar, Malik of Mausil, 2014, 20748, 2084.

'Imad-ud-Din Zangi Ba'lamı, Malik, 1056 and = 2 1057

Imad-ul Mulk, Wazir of Muhammad Khwarazm <u>Sh</u>ah. 276 n

'Imad-ul-Mulk Tāj-ud Din, the Jāmi, the Dabir,—one of the Ministers of State of the Khwarazm Shāhi dynasty, 963

'Imadi, Imam Muhammad'Ali, Abu'l Kasım,—author of the Türikh 1-Majdül, 69.

Imak or Imagh tribe, the, 873 n
Imam-i-Naviri, of Dibli, the Poet,
Amir, 647.

Imam-ı-Rabbānī, the,—<u>Sharkh</u> Ahmad Ghazzalī, \$50 n

Imim-Zādab, Rukn-ud-Ďīn, of Bukhārā, 274 Imlågh or

Imlik b. Turk b. Yafis, 872 s.

Imperial Academy of Science of St. Petersburg, vii, viii.

India Office, the, v, 991 n, 1188 n 8.
Indian Atlas, the, 550 nn 5 and 6, 551 n, 591 n, 680 n, 696 n 4, 697 n 5, 728 n 5, 760 n 7, 887 n 4,—app. xxi

Indo-Scythians, the, 878 s, 1004 s I, 1129 s 1, 1130 s, 1131 s, 1132 s. Initial Coinage of Bengal, Thomas's, 772 z 778 s, 774 s,—app. zrii.

Insi (I-ley) b Ammjah, sovereign of the Tattar Imak, 875.

Ionians, the, 872 s

Iradamchi. See Iridamchi.

Iraj h Afridun, of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 308 and n 2. Iram, Garden of, 386 and n 1.

Iram b. Sam [Shem] b Nuh [Noah],

Irun Halik b. Farrukh-zad b Masud-i-Korim, 107.

Irau Mairk b. Sulfan Ibrahim Chasnawi, 105

Iran Shah, Ist of the Bastaniah dynasty, 2.

Irani dynasties, the, xiv.

Iranis, the, 879 n, 1076 n.

Iridamchî b. Kîjûlî b Tûmînâ-î, Khân,—leador of the Mughal troops, 898 n, 939 n, 1235 n 1.

Irkah Karā, or Ckah-Karā,—brother of the Awang Khān, 940 s.

Irkanah, or Arkanah, the Kümäi concubine of Cktae Kä-än, 1142 a. Irnuz chief of the Karā-Khitāis.

See under Arbaz

Irtuk Bukā b Tüli <u>Kh</u>ān son of the Chingir <u>Kh</u>ān, 1161 n 4, 1176 n 9, 1177, 1180 n

46 Index.

²Isā (Josus), Mihtar, 4, 7, 983 n, 1161, 1288.

'laā b. Taf-Kāj Khān the Afrāsiyābī, 906 n.

Isaac, [ishāk], the Patriarch, 274 n 6, 620 n.

Isaiah, 3.

Isfandıyar b Güshtüsib,—Shab of ancient I-rün, 285 n 8, 561 n 9.

Isfarë'ini Abu'l 'Abbös al-Fazl :-Aḥmad, al-, Wazir of Sabuk-Tigin, 73 s 9.

Ishāk b. Ahmad b. Asad Sāmāni, 29, 36.

Ishāk b Ahmad-1-Ismā'il Samāni, 39 n 8,

Ishak b Aib-Tigin, governor of <u>Ghaznin</u>, 43 n 4, 71 and n 5, 72 and n 6, 73 n 7, 186 n

Ishāk b. Ibrāhīm b. Hasan,—Hasan, brother of Jāhar abn ul Husaan, 15 n G

Ishāķ b Ibrāhīm b Muş'ab, Tahir ibn-ui Husiin's cousin, 13 a 9.

Ishāķ b Sultan Ibrahim (chaznawi, 105

Ishak-i-Tühirî,-Alb-Tigin's deputy in Hirat, 41 a, 71 a 5

Isham b Turak, 9.

Iskandar b. Fadakus, 4 - Alexander the Great, which also see

Islam under the Khali's of Baghdad, Osborn's 1187 n7

Islam [Salim] 1 sh, Sur, ruler of Dibli, 511 n

Isley (Her) b. Aminjah, -sovereign of the Tatt ir Imak, 975 n.

Isma 'il (Ishmacl of Scripture), 620 n

Ismā il b Ahmad b Asad Sāman, Amir, 23 n 9, 25 and no. 7, 9 and 2, 29, 30, 31 and on 3 and 4 32 and n and u 7, 33 and n 1, 53, 54, 184 n, 308 n 2, 016 u, 941 n.

Ismā'il b. Altún Tūsh, Khwārasm Shāh, 232 a.

Ismā'il b. Ja'far uş-Şādiķ, the 'Alawi, 1187 n 7.

Isma'il b Nașr b. Ahmad b. Isma'il Sămâni, 38.

Ismā'il b Nūr-ud-Dīu, al-Malik-uş Sālih, 205 and # 6. Soo also under al-Malik-u--Salih

Isma'il b Sabuk-Tigin, 50 n 8, 51 n 6, 75 and n 6

Ismaul b. Siani b Ladi b. Shah Husmu, 510 s

Ismail b Sulfin Muhmüd Chesnaus, 84

Isma di Khalifahs, the, 141 a.

Ismu diana of Egypt, the, 200 nm 5 and 6, 212 a 218 n

Issue that of Alamat, the, 1187 n 7, 11% n, 1190 n 1, 1195 n, 12*9 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1212 n, 1227 n 5, 1257 n

Israel of Scripture, 314

Isra il, Amir, the general of Ghy asud Din Mahmud, Suitan of Ghur, 4/d n.

Isra il b Beghù b Saljuk 87 n, 98 n 2, 117 n, 118 and n, 119, 120 and n 4, 126 n 2, 904 n, 1074 n.

Istakhes, al-, the Geographer, 94 a 3 205 s, 1075 s

I taghmah,—slave of Atabak Mubanemal b Patt giz, 171.

Itelah Heyyb Amuqub, sovereigs of the Tattar Imak, 875 n

Itimas or

Itituiss, the No yin, law, 116 s

Itsiz See Units.

Interf or Curat tribe of Mughale, the 940 a. Twas. Saltan <u>Chiya-nd-Din</u>, the <u>Khalj</u>, ruler of La<u>kh</u>unawati, 469 and 27, 490, 586 and 20 and 2, 592 and 2, 593 and 2, 593 and 2, 595 and 2

Iyal-Arsalān b Jalal ud-Din Utsuz,
 Khwārazm Shāh, 148, 234 n 8,
 238 and n 8, 230 n and n 9 and 1,
 507 n 4, 908 n, 909 n, 927 n,—app
 70.

Iyal düz, meaning of, 496 a 2.

Iyal-duz: (Yaldüz) b Āo Khān, sovereign of the Mughal I māķ, 881 n.

Iyal-düz or Yul-düz b. Aghuz Khan, 890 n.

Iyal-duz or Yûl dûz Khân b Mangah Khân b Timur Tach—chieftain of the Mughala, 808 n, 801 n, 894 n

Ival dar Tuj ud Din, Sultan, -- shere of Mulizzad-Din Muhammad i-Sam, 265 s 4, 267 and s 7, 371, 872, 473, 374, 375 m, 395 and na 6. 7 and 1 399 m and n 2, 401 m, 402 m, 415 and n 8, 416 n 2, 418 and n 2, 419 n 5, 424 and nn 4 and 6 433 n 9, 434 n, 436 n 5, 438 and n 2, 475 n 2. 476 n, 477 n, 454 n, 489, 492 n 7, 493 and n, 194 and n 1, 495 Aud n 5, 496 and u 2, 497 n 3, 499 and na 6 and 7, 499 and n, 500 and n3, 501 a and n 5, 502 a and n 6, 503 and nn 8 and 9 504 and nu 2 and 4, 505 n and n 8, 506 and n 9, 515 a, 522 n 5, 526 and n 8, 527 n, 530, 531 n 8, 532 n 2, 533 and n. 534 n

5, 543 n, 547 n, 575 n 9, 577 and n, 578 n 9, 597 n 4, 603, 607 and n 5, 606 and nn 7, 8 and 9, 609 n 5, 614 n 8, 627, 725 n 5, 774 n, 1131 n,—app xii

Iyal kāo the Nū-yīn, 717 n, 1239 n, 1260 n 6, 1270 n 2, 1272 and n 6, 1273 n 7, 1276 n, 1281 n.

lyal Khān or II Khūn b Tingis Khān, sovereign of the Mughal I mak, 881 n, 683 n

Iyal taku, governor of Fanakat, 972 n

Jyal Tüz Khön,—a general of the Khwarazmia, 978 n.

Iyal-Ismah Shoms ad-Din, Saltan of Dildi,-slave of Kuthud-Dia l-bak. XXVI. zzziil. lei. 91 n 9. 158. 267 п 7, 263 п 9, 202 п, 293 п 5, 291 n, 310 n 5, 315, 418 n 2, 480 and n 4, 4'0 n, 497 n 3, 503 n 9, 505 and nu 7 and 8, 518 n, 520 n. 527 s 526 n 3, 529 n 4, 530 n and un 5 and 6, 631 and n 8, 533 m. 534 and n 8, 535 n, 538 n, 539 n, 540 n, 541 no 7 and 4 542 and w 9, 543 n, 544, 549 n 4 586 and a 1, 587 n 2, 590, 592, 593 and nn 6 and 7, 594 and n and n 1, 597 and n 1, 598 and n S 599 601 and na 6 and 8, 602, 603 and a 5, 604 and n 5, 605, 606 and n 3, 607 n and n 5, 606 and un Bund 9, 60 land un 1, 4 and 5, 610 and mand n 7, 611 and # 3, 612 and an 1 and 6, 613 and undand 7, Ill and #8, 615 m 1, 616 m, 617 and a 5, 618 and a, 620 n 1, 621 n 6, 622 n, 623 nm 9 and 1, 624 and nand n 3, 626 n 3, 627 " and a 5, 628 and a 2, 630 and = 2, 631 and = 9, 632 and == 5 and

6, 688 m 6, 634 m I, 635 and m 1, 636 mm 5 and 6. 638 and s 1 639. un 4, 5 and 6, 642 u 9, 641 u, 649, 666 m 3, 661 and m, 663 n 9, 669 n 6, 670 and n 1, 677 n 6, 600 n 1, 716 n 5, 719, 722, 723, 724, 723 and sa 5 and 6, 727, 728 and sa 1 and 2, 729, 730 and #7, 731 and mm 8 and 9, 732, 733 x 5, 735 x 9, 736, 742 and n 7, 744 and n, 745, 746, 748, 752 and # 8, 754 and # 5, 757 and a 7, 758, 759, 761, 763 n 7, 766, 767 and # 6, 770 a 9, 771 n. 772 n. 773 n. 774 n. 773 n. 777, 779, 780, 781 n 2, 767, 790, 791 and n 5, 793, 794 n 1, 795 n 2, 796 n 3, 797 and n, 800 n 5, 901 and s, 802 n 1, 815 n 5, 824, 831 n 2, 842 n b, 847 n 1, 878 n, 880 n, 551 a, 910 n, 934 n, 961 n, 1045, 1046 n, 1056 m 1, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1126 m 6, 1129, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1169 n I. 1224 s, 1284 and s 5,-app 1x,xu.

Iyêz, Amir, read Ayêz, lvıu Izid-yêr b Saltân Məs'üd, of Ghaznin, 95.

Izkanah. See under Irkanah
 Izz-nd-Daulah Bakhtyar 5 al-Hasan 5 Buwish, Dilami, 57, 69 and n 8, 61, 62, 63 n 8, 63 n 5, 73 n 9

'Izz-ud-Din-i-'Abd-ul Jalil,—one of the Maliks of Shams ud Din Iyal-Timish, 626 n S.

'Izz-ud-Din 'Al: 1-Mardân, the Khaij, 503 n 8. See under 'Al:-1 Mardân, 8

'Izz-ud-Din 'Alı Nügawr, Sıwalı<u>klı</u>, one of the Malıks of <u>Shams-ud</u> Din I-yal-Tımıslı, 627

'Izz-ud-Din, Amir, 212 n, 213 u

'Izz-ud-Din Hakhtyår, the Khall, Malik, 626 and * 8.

'Izz-ud-Din Balban-i-Kashia Rhan. the Shamai Malik, 684 n.9, 640 n1, 648 n2, 650 n5, 660 n1, 661 and s, 662, 668 s, 673 a 5. 674 and a 3, 678 a 8, 684 n 9. 685 n 4, 698 and n, 699 and a and nn 6 and 7, 692 an 3 and 4, 693. 700 n 6, 707 and an 0, 7 and 8, 708. 709 and sand = 6, 710 and sa 7 and 1, 711 and # 4, 713 # 4, 714 m 9 716 n 5, 775 and n, 777 u, 778 n, 779, 780, 701, 782 and a 3, 783 and s and sa 7 and 9, 744 and s and n 3, 785, 796, and n 6, 787, 792 and # S. 791 and # 9, 795 # 798, 802 # 1 822 a 1, 523, 425, 827 a 9, 840 and n 2, 841 n 5, 842, 843 and a 3, 844 and an 1, 9 and 1, 845 a, 859 a 8, 504, 661 and and a4, 863 a 3, 667 N

Izz-ud-Dit. Bulban i Yuz-baki, fordatory of Lakhapawati, 769, 770 and n >, 775 n, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 779 n, 827 and n 9, 832, 833, 849 and n 1.

Tex-nd Din b Fath-ad-Din, the Kard, Malik, --champion of the Din-al <u>Khilafat</u>, 1236, 1237, 1238, 1240 n 9, 1241 n, 1244, 1251

'Her ad Din, the Harawi,—the Mukaddem of the guild of weavers of Hirst, 037 a, 1127 a

'Izraid Din Husam i Kharmil, Ghuri, Malik, 525, 259 See also under Kharmil

'Izz-ud D.n Husain b Kntb-ad Din Hasan, Mal.k of (jihur, 332 n, 335 and n 2, 336 and n 4, 237 and n 7, 338 and n 2, 339 and n 7, 344 n, 347 n 2, 348 n, 319 n, 356 nn 3 und 8, \$50 a, 460 a 8, 461 a 7, 447 a 7, 1000 a 9.

'Iss-ud-Din Ibak, the Turk-min, ruler of Misr. 1275 n B. 1276 n

Zas-ud-Din Jini, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Shame-ud-Din Iyal-Timigh, 894 and x1. See also 'Ali-ud-Din Jani.

'Ins-ud-Din b) Kawam-ud-Din Abi-Bikr b. 'Ali, the Malik of Zawsau, 281 n 5.

'Inn-ud-Din Kabir Khān-i-Ayān, al-Mu'inni, Malik, 626 and n 8, 638 and n 8, 634 n 9, 639, 640, 641, 644 and n 7, 645, 655 n 3, 656 n, 663 n 9, 734, 725, 726, 727, 746, 747, 810 n, 1133 and n 6.

'Iss-ud-Din Mas'ud b. Mandud b. Zangi, Malik of Maueil, 206 x 7, 225 a.

'Iss-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Salari, Mahdi,—Mahk of Naşir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah of Dihli, 618, 626 a 7, 638, 634, 639, 640, 647, 673, 728, 726. 'Iss-ud-Die b. Muşaffar-ud-Die, the Atā-bak, 1195 s.

"Iss-ud-Din of Nise the Sayyid, 1028 s.

"Iss-ud-Dîn Raşî-ul-Mulk, Darmauhî,--Malik of Nîşêr-ud-Dîn Mahmûd Shâh of Dih'î, 697 and n and n 6.

'Iss-ud-Din Tughril Kuthi [Rahā-i], Malik,—of Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timigh, 626.

'Iss-ud-Din Tughril-t-Tughan Khan, Malik,—of Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, 618 a, 626 and a 2, 641 a 3, 662, 663 and a 3, 664 and a 6, 865 a 6, 666 and a 9, 667, 678, 736, 737, 739 and a and a 7, 740, 741 a a 9, 2 and 3, 742, 743, 744 and a, 747 763, 771 a, 774 a, 775 a, 778 a, 809, 866 a.

'Izz-ud-Din 'Umr, the Maragheni, 193, 257, 1199 s.

'Iss-ul-Mulük Muhammad b Tüjud-Din Abu'l Fath, Malik of Nimroz, 30, 189, 192.

Ĵ

Jabah, the Nū-yin, 945 n, 966 n, 982 n, 965 n, 986 n, 987 and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 n, 993 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 997 n, 996 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1001 and n, 1014 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030 n, 1038 n, 1102 n, 1116 n, 1208 n.

Jadah or Ajah Diw,—Wahil or minister of the Rie of Kälinjar, 523 v.

Jadan,-one of the Awang Khan's chiefs, 941 n.

Ja'far, Khwējah,—a Musulmān lii

the service of the Ching's Khān, 954,955 s, 967 s. [Khalifah, 23 s 8, Ja'far b al-Mu'tamid, the 'Abbāsi Ja'far-Tig'in, sen of the Xth Afrāsiyābi Khān, the Bughrā Khān b. Kadr Khān, 905 s.

Jagatas, mispronunciation of the name of <u>Chart</u>atic

Jaghar Beg, Abū Sulimān-i-Dā'ūd, b Mikā-il Saljūķī, 86 n, 94 n 2, 97 nn 3 and 4, 96 n 8, 102 n 2, 103 and n 9, 122 n 8 See also under Dā'ūdi-Jaghar Beg

- Jahan Ari, the .-- See the Tarikh-i-Jahan Ari.
- Jahan Pahlawan, Muhammad b. Iladd-giz, the Atā-bak, 166 n 7, 171 and n 9, 172 n 3, 242 n 5, 296 n, 995 n. See also under Muhammad, Jahān Pahlawān
- Jahan Pahlawan, Irbak, an officer of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din Khwarasm Shah, 294 n, 297 n.
- Jahin <u>Sh</u>ib b. <u>Kh</u>usrsu Malik <u>Ghas-</u> nawi, 115.
- Jahan Shah b. Sultan Ibrahim Ghaznawi, 108.
- Jahangir, Emperor of India, ix, 593 n, 631 n 6.
- Jai Chandra, Rājah of Kumauj. 402 n, 466 n 1, 467 n, 469 n 9, 470 and n 2, 491, 516, 518 n, 519 n, 742 n 9.
- Jaidan, the tribes of.—See under Jidan
- Jai-pal, the Hindu,—Badehah of Hind, 74 and nn 2 and 3, 79 n, 81 n, 82, 85 n
- Jai-Timür b Kalduz, chief of Tarâx,
 261 n, 933 n, 934 n, 972 n, 1116 n,
 1117 n, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1121 n,
 1141 n Same as Bāniko of Tarāz,
 which also see.
- Jajar-āts, the, 930 m See under the Jūri-āts
- Jajeos or Dzazis, the,—an Afghan people, 499 n.
- Jäjür Khâtün, of the Kungkür-ât tribe,—fourth wife of Cktāe Ka'ān, 1142 s.
- Jākambū, the,—signification of the title, 1092 n.
- Jakr (Chakr) Diw, Rajah of Jamun, 453 n 4, 454 n.
- Jala-ir tribe, the, 882 m, 894 m, 895

- n, 596 n, 898 n, 989 n, 940 h, 1094 n, 1148 n.
- Jalal-ud-Din ²Ali b. Bahā-ud-Din Sām <u>(Rh</u>ūrī, 1, 267, 401 n, 403 n, 482 and z 1, 482 and z, 484 and z, 485 and z and z 4, 486, 487 z 7, 483 and z, 494, 495 and z 5 and 6, 500 z 9, 502 z 6.
- Jalāl-ud-Din 'Alī b. Hamn Tigin, the Afrāsiyābī Khān. See under his title of Khiar Khān.
- Jalāl-ud-Din Bustāmī, Shaikh,— Shaikh-ul-Islām of Dibli under Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, 622 s-
- Jaläl-ud-Din Diw-Shāri or Diw-Shāhi, Wasīr of <u>Ghiyāg-ud-Din</u> Muḥammad-i-Sām, 890.
- Jalél ud-Din Ghasnawi, Kari of Shams ud-Din Iyal-Timiah, 626.
- Jalál-ud-Din Hassn of Ålamüt, 266 n 4. [Firûs-Koh, 588]
- Jalál-ud-Din bl. Jamál-ud-Din, the Ghanawi,—an Imám-Zádah of Jalal-ud-Din Jani, See under Jalálud-Din Kulich Kháu.
- Jalál-ud-Din Kessun, Káşī-i Mamá--lık of Dıhli, 652, 654 and s, 664, 686, 689, 739, 823
- Jalai nd Din Kuligh Khan Mae'ud Shah b 'Aia ud Din Jaus, Malik of Jakhanawats, under Nasir ud-Din Mahmud Shah, 673, 713 and n 9, 769 a 5, 771 a, 775 a, 776 a, 777 a, 776 a, 867 an l and 4. Nee also under Kulij Khan b. 'Aluud-Din Jani
- Jalai-ud Din-1 Majd-ul-Mulk, Ahmad 1-'Ugman Nasawi, K saf,... Hakim of Tulak, 1060 and n S.
- Jalal-nd-Din Milan,—one of the chief men among the weavers of litrat, 1127 m.

Jald-ud-Uln Mang-Barni b. Sultun Muhammad Khwirasm Shib. zliz, 1, 162 mand = 3, 173 = 3, 178 n7, 200, 228 n4, 200, 281 n1, 254, 267, 269 m, 275 m, 277 m 5, 278 and m, 279 and m 6, 280 m 1, 281 m 5. 268 n 9, 284, 285 and nn 3 and 3, 296 and no 6 and 7, 287 and n 1, 288 and a 3, 289 a, 290 a and a 4, 291 s, 292 s, 292 and s 5, 294 s, 295 m, 296 m and m 7, 297 and m, 398 n and w 1, 299 and w, 391 n 8, 409 m 6, 417 m 9, 419 m 5, 505 m, 527 m, 538 m, 534 and mand m I, 585 m, 536 m, 537 m, 538 m, 539 m 5, 640 m, 609 and nn 4 and 5, 610 n and n 6, 625 n 6, 793 x 5, 984 x, 988 x, 989 n, 998 n, 994 n, 997 n, 1007, 1008 n 5, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1012, 1013, 1015 m, 1016 and m 8, 1017 and m, 1019 s, 1020 s, 1021 and s 8, 1023, 1024 n 2, 1025 m, 1032 m, 1036 n 1, 1037n, 1042, 1046n, 1047n 4, 1049 n 2, 1060 n, 1059 n 1, 1065 and n 5, 1071 n 1, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1081, 1082 n, 1087 n, 1098 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1120 n 2, 1129 n 1, 1227 n 2, 1268 n, 1276 n, 1280 n 5, 1282 n. 1285 n.

Jaläl-ud-Din Mas'üd Shih b. 'Alä--ud-Din Jani. See under Jaläl--ud-Din Kuligh Khān.

Jahl-ud-Din Marud Shih b. Shan sud-Din Iyal-Tumuh, 625, 661, 665, 663, 664 u 8, 696 u, 609 and n 2, 700 and n 8, 793 and na 5 and 7, 515 u 1, 530 and nu 4 and 5, 831, 1224 u, 1225 u.

Jalal-ud-Din Warsak,—Shaikh-ul-Islum of Baikh, 429 and n 5.

Jalinzah b. Buķa Khau, sovereigu of the Tättär Imāķ, 875 a.

Jakosh b. Tünak b. Turk b. Yöfg 872 n, 873 n.

Jamāl-ud-Din, the Armourer, 729. Jamāl-ud-Din Abiah,—an officer of Bulţān 'Alā-nd-Din Muhammed, Khwāraam Shāh, 997 u.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn 'Alī, the Khalj,—the Hājīb-i-Ajali of Ulugh Khān-i-Balban, 860, 861, 862.

Jamál-ud-Dīu of Başrah, Khwājah, 800.

Jamāl-ud-Din the Bustāji, Imām, 1143 and z l.

Jamāl-ud-Dīn, the Bustāmī, Shaikh-ul-Islām of Dihlī, under Sultām Nāṣir-ur-Dīn Mahmād Shāh, 702 and # 6, 707, 708, 713. Jamāl-ud-Dīn Haṣīrī, Imām, 227. Jamāl ud-Dīn Ibrāhīm: the Musulmān name of Barkū <u>Kh</u>ān b-Tūnhī, son of the Chingis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1293 and # 2

Jamal-ud-Diu, Imam, of Marw, 1032 n

Jamai-ud-Din the Khazinghi, the Khwajah and Imam, 1060 and no 3 and 5.

Jamel ad-Din Muhammad-i-Chust-Kaba, of the Tight Tunic,—the merchant, 601, 602, 731 a 9

Jamêl-ud-Din Muhammad b Tāhir b. Majd-ud-Din, al-Bu<u>khārī,</u> 1357 » [jah, 754.

Jamai-ad-Dîn-a-Nadîmân, <u>Kh</u>wā-Jamāl ud-Dîn the Nighāpūrī, the Ulugh Koṭwāl-Bak of Dihli, 709. Jamai ud Dīn, the <u>Sh</u>abūrghāni,

karı, 685. Jamül ad Din Yakut, the Habashi,

Jamei no Din Yabut, the Habashi, the Am'r-i Albar of Sultan Rog--ivvah, 642 and a 2,043 st, 645 and a 3, 719, 750 Jamieib t. Baligh b Firux, of the Sisinian dynesty of 'Ajam, 5. James's Attile, 404 n 7.

Jami-'ul-Hikayat, the,—a book of anecdotes, 548 s.

Jämi'-ut-Tawárikh of Fakir Muhammad, xvi.

Jami-'ut-Tawarikh of Rashid-ud-Din, 17 = 6, 24 = 3, 40 = 7, 77 =, 78 n, 85 n, 86 n, 91 n 6, 94 n 3, 117 m, 138 and # 8, 139 and #, 143 * 2, 288 m 3, 294 m, 295 m, 297 m and n 9, 308 n 7, 307 n, 308 n 2, 309 n, 348 n, 400 n 3, 407 n 5, 409 n 6, 411 n, 419 n 5, 439 n 4, 457 n, 473 n 2, 474 n, 476 n, 482 n, 483 n, 484 n, 485 n 3, 493 n, 505 n, 514 m, 526 m 8, 535 m, 536 m, 540 m, 548 n 3, 592 n, 614 n 8, 618 n, 869 n 2, 892 n, 907 n, 906 n, 909 n, 924 n, 926 n, 928 n, 991 n, 1008 n 5. 1015 m, 1020 m, 1046 m, 1047 m 4, 1074 n, 1097 n 6, 1193 n, 1194 m, 1206 m 3, 1211 m, 1231 m, 1235 n 3, 1239 m, 1242 m 2, 1344 m, 1245 n 4, 1246 n 5, 1250 m, 1254 m, 1256 n 6, 1273 n 7,-app x, xx.

Jami'-1-'Ugm, the,—name of a book, 870 s, 872 s [879 s]

Jamehed, IVth of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2,303 s.7, 305, Jamehed b 'Aja-ud-Din Utsus, con

of 'Ala-nd-Din al-Hussin Jahanson of Ghur, 417

Jamehed Malik b Mas'ad-i-Karum, Ghaznawi, 107

Jāmūkah, the Sājān, styled also Jajan and Jachan,—Bāsh līgh or chief of the Jajarāt Mughale, 939 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n Jamūn-wāl [Jamūn dynasty], the,

453 n 4, 454 m.

Jān-dār, signification of the title, 608 n 7.

Jan-Jang,—a Chinese word signifying Governor General, 983 s.

Janjhükah or Janjhühl, the,...name of a tribe on the Koh-i-Jüd, 1130 a, 1131 a, 1132 a.

Janjuhas, the,—See the above. Jankabu, See Jakambu.

Jannabi, al.,—name of an author, 363 × 8.

Jaochin b Kāidū the Mughal chieftain, 896 m.

Jár-ghin or Jár-jin b. Káidá the Mughal chieftain, 895 n.

Jarkas, the, 1103 m. [ghön. Jarmäghün, the Núyin, See Jurmä-Jarräh, Abu'l 'Abbès Muhammad, Ibn-ul, 37 m.

Jachman b Tamghan,—ancestor of Saman-1-Khaddat, 39.

Jas-Päl Sihrü, Ränah of the Jüd Hills, 1130 s.

Jats, che, zhi, \$26 n, 464 n, 469 n, 600 n, 516 n 2, 647 n 9, 795 n, 1121 n, 1132 n

Jatwin, general of Bhim Diw, Rie of Nahrwilah, 516 n 2, 519 n.

Jāwidān-<u>Kh</u>ırad, the,—a work composed by Arfa<u>kheh</u>ād b Sām, **306** s 2

Jawli [or Ohawli], the Aid-bak, governor of Fars on the part of the Saljuk sovereigns, 174 s.

Jenghiz <u>Kh</u>ān,—wrong spelling of the name of the <u>Ch</u>ingiz <u>Kh</u>ān.

Jeroslaus, the Russian prince, 1171 m

Jesuste' map, the, 876 m, 960 m 6, 961 m, 1046 m, 1086 m, 1107 m 6.

Jhat Rae, -- general of Bhiraj [or Hamir], Rae of Ajmir, \$19 %.

- Jidin or Jaidin tribus, the, -- known, to the Mughale by the name of Kari-Khiti'in, 912 a. 212 a.
- Jiff Bühi Khin,-Bee Jiffin the His--yin.
- Jiffin, the Nu-yan,-of the Tingkut tribe, adopted son of the Chingis Khān and Bāgh-ligh of the Khās Ming, 1092 n, 1095 n, 1188 n.
- Jiffin or Arjifan, son of the Chingis Khin, 1003 m.
- Jigal b. Turk b. Yöfia [Japheth], 872 m.
- Jihani, al-,-Abû 'Abd-ullah,--the Regent during the minority of Amir Nasr Samani, 36, 87.
- Jihani, al-,-Abu Mangur,-governor of Hirat under the Samanis, 36 × 9.
- Jihani, al-,-Muhammad son Muhammad, Wasir of Amir Nasr Samani, 87 m.
- Jikal b Turk b. Yafia [Japbeth],
- Jingis,-wrong spelling of the name of the Chingis Khan Jinkal b Turk b Yafig [Japhoth], Jirkah-Langum or Jirkah Likum h Kaidu, chieftain of the Mughais. 895 m.
- Jital, a com, 584 n 2, 608 n 6, Joan of Sicily, Princess, xlvik Jokal the Karayit, the Gurgan, 1188 a.
- Jones, Captain Felix, 1241 a 1.
- Jones, Sir W , zvii,-app. iz,
- Joshus, son of Nun, 1269 s.
- Journal Asiatogne, le, 962 s.
- Journal of the Bengul Asiatic Society, zni = 5, zzin = 2, 78 s, 922 n, 1003 n 4, 1014 n, 1295 n 2 --app. i, xiz.

- Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 25 = 4, 71 = 5, 78 m, 79 m, 81 m, 288 m 3, 494 m 6, 508 m 1, 772 n, 915 n, 918 n, 934 n, 935 n, 936 n, 928 n, 938 n, 1011 n, 1196 n,--app. zzvi.
- Journal of the Boyal Geographical Society, 1129 a l.
- Journey to the Source of the Oxns. Wood's, 1009 n, 1010 n.
- Jud tribe, the .- in the Koh-i-Jud. 1131 =
- Jú-inah or Chübinah b. Ival-düs Khan, chieftain of the Mughais,
- Juji, sou of the Changis Khan, 941 s. 944 n. 945 n. 966 n. 959 n. 964 n 2. 969 n 1, 970 n and n 2, 971 n, 972 m. 978 n. 977 n. 962 n. 968 n. 986 n. 1000 s, 1001 s, 1004 s 8, 1026, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1084 n, 1087 n, 1091 #, 1092 m, 1093 m, 1097 m 7, 1099 m, 1101 am 1 and 3, 1102 m, 1103 m, 1105 m. 1164 n 9, 1107 m. 1168 m. 1176 n 9, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1239 n, 1283 a 1, 1284 a 2, 1286 a, 1292 a. Juji as the Iraui spelling of the name of Tünhî, which also see.
- Juji Kasar li Yassuka Bahadur .brother of the Changis Khin, 898 n, 943 n, 945 n, 949 n, 1094 n, 1180 n
- Juji Ughlan,-See Juji, son of the Chingis Khan.
- Juk, Turkish name of Sabuk-Tigin,
- Jak, the,-or Left Wing of an army, 1093 n.
- Julian, 135 n 1
- Jumkur or Jümghur b. Hulaku Khan, Junud, 10th of the Akasirah
 - dynasty of 'Ajam, 6.

Janaid, governor of Kharisia, 915 a.

Jürak b. Gusht-isp,—accestor of Tähir ihn-ul-Hussin, 9.

Jūrī-āta, the,—a tribe of Mughals. 980 m, 940 m, 941 m, 944 m, 946 m, 1094 m.

Jürjin, son of the Ching's Khan, 1092 a.

Jurmāghūn, or Jūrmāghūn, the Nüyin,—of the Manghūt tribe of Mughals, 298 n 1, 1109 and n 8, 1115, 1116 n, 1117 and n and n 6, 1118, 1120 m 2, 1121 m, 1128 m, 1152 m, 1191 m, 1193 m, 1236, 1227 and m 2, 1226 m, 1228 m 2, 1224 and m 7, 1229 m, 1265 m.

Juwaini, al-,---author of the Tārīkhi-Jahāu Kughāc, 869 n 2, 889 a, 917 n.

Juneingher or Left Wing of an army 1098 n, 1096 n,—Also called the Jüly.

Jushi, signification of the term, 979, 1080 n 5.

K.

Kā'ān, the title, 1105.

Kabachah, See Kabajah

Kābah [or Kāy*h], son of Nüh [Nosh], 308.

Kabājab, Nāṣir-nd-Din,—the Mu'inni Sultān in Hind, 474 n 0,
489, 500, 503 n 9, 505 n, 526 n 8,
527 n, 529 n 4, 530, 531 and n 8,
532 and n 2, 533 n, 534 n and n n 5,
6 and 8, 535 n, 537, 538 n, 539
and n 5, 540 and n, 541 and n, 541
n 9, 543 n, 544, 549 n 4, 607, 608 n
7, 609 and n n 1 and 5, 610 n, 612
and n 4, 613 and n 7, 614 and n 8,
615 n n 9 and 1, 622 n, 623 n 9,
627, 631, 724, 728 n 2, 778 n,
1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1131 n.

Rebal or Kabali Khan b Tuminā i, VIIth sovereign of the Buzanjar dynasty of the Mughal I māķ, 896 n, 897 n, 1067 a

Kabal Khwajah, Wazir of Aghus Khao the Hird sovereign of the Mughai I mak, 880 s

Kalohak, the .- name of a Turkish

tribe, which is also written **Ehaf.**-chāk and Kafchāk, 877 n, 899 n,
1101. [1, 2,

Kābīl b. Ādam [Cain of Scripture], Kabīr Khān-i-Ayās, Malik, 1188 and w 5 See under his title of 'Iss-ud Din.

Kabir <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Man-girnī,—the title of Malık 'izs-ud-Dın Kabir <u>Kh</u>ān -i-Ayāz, 735 and u 7, 865 u.

Kabîr-ud-Dîn, Kaşî of the Army of Sultân Shame-ud Dîn I-yal-Tımişh, 625, 652, 654 and n, 712, Kabus b Washm-gir, Wâlî of Gurgân under the Sămānis, 44, 51 n 6. See also nuder his title of Shame-ul Ma'āli

Kachwähah Räjpäts, the, 691 a.

Kadás Ranko or Rango, the Khitá--i general, 1137 s.

Radáb, the Christian Atá-bak of Kyük Khān, sovereign of the Mughale, 1100 a 8, 1185 n.

Kadák the Nu-yin, 1180 s. See the above.

- Sadfkij, the Khitin of Kophie, see of Ukide, see of the Ching's Khan, 1184 w.
- Kadān [Kadghān ?] Aghāl b. Kūtān, son of Üktās, son of the Chingin Khān, 1185 n.
- Kadān Aghāl b. Oktāc Kā'ān, son of the Chingin Khān, 1143 n, 1165 n, 1168 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1180 n, 1182 n. [b. Kūtān, 1185 n.
- Kadghān Aghāl. See Kadān Aghāl Kādir bi'liāb, al-,—the 'Abbāsī Khalīfah, 80 v.5, 81, 232 v.
- Kādir Khān, governor of Utrār, 267 n.9, 273, 966 and n.1. Thus is an error for Ghà-ir Khān Aniāl Jūķ, which see
- Kadkā žn Ughlūn,—one of the Mughal royal family, 974 n.
- Kadz Khan, invador of Ma-waraun-Nahr, 906 n, 907 n
- Ka'lr Khan of Kifchāk, zlvii, 254, 267 n 9, 272 n 9, 279, 929 n This was his Musalmān title, his Turkish name being Akrān or Ikrān.
- Kadr Khān b. Safaktān-i-Yamak, zivii, 961 s., 963 s. 8, 962 s. 1096. See also Kadr Khūn b Yasuf, the Tatār. (Khāns, 900 s.
- Radr Khan b. 'Umr Khan b Ahmad Khan, XVIIth of the Afranyabi
- Kadr Khān b Yūsof, the Tatār,
 klviii, 263 s., 267 and a 9, 268, 272
 a 9, 960 s 6, 961 s Secaleo Kadr
 Khān b Şafaktān-i-Yamak.
- Kadr Khān b. Yūsuf b Bughrā
 Khān-i-Hərūn, VIIIth of the
 Afrāsiyābī Khāns, 84 and n 9, 86
 a, 87 n, 116 and n 2, 118, 122 n 8,
 904 n, 905 n, 914 n.
- Kadsun the Nû-yin,—General of Hulāku Khān, 1239 s.

- Kie-mis, the Rami,—an Officer of Sultin Kuth-ud-Din Thak of Dinii, 575 and sa 9 and 1, 576 ands 3, 577 s.
- Kafohik, tho,—same as the Kabohik, which see.
- Kähir B'illah, al,—the 'Abbisi Khalifah, 37.
- Kahtan b. 'Abir [Hober of Scripture],—Father of the Arabs of Yaman, 6.
- Kahtars, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jūd, 1123 s. See the Kathars.
- Kahûn Jats, the,—name of a people in Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 s.
- Kaian b Iyal <u>Kh</u>an,—one of the only two males who escaped the general massacre of the Mughal people, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 885 n, 887 n, 888 n, 890 n, 892 n, 803 n, 895 n 937 n 9, 940 n, 1069 n.
- Kai-ànida dynasty of 'Ajam, the, 1, 3, 153 n 2, 809 n.
- Kaint tribe of Mughals, the, 868 n, 889 n, 890 n, 891 n, 892, n, 893 n, 804 n, 931 n, 940 n, 951 n, 1093 n, 1094 n, 1095 n,
- Kanat Kungkur-at tribe of Mughals, the, 1001 n
- Kaibukā the Nācmān, the Nū-yīn, 1192 n, 1195 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1238 n 8, 1230 n, 1243 n, 1250 n, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1275 n 3, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1282 n.
- Kāidū Khān b. Zūtūmm,—IVsh soveroigu of the Bū-Zanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 394 m, 895 n. 896 a, 938 n
- Kāidū b Kyūk Khān, son of Ckiše Kuān, son of the Chungiz Khān, 1144 n 6

Kaihin Shah b. Sultin Ibrahum Channawi, 106.

Kai-Kaûs 'Iss-ud-Dîn b. Kai-Khusran b. Kulij Arsalân,—of the Saljüķīah dynasty of Râm, 161 s.

Kai-Kāŭs b. Kai-Khuarau b. Kai-Kubād, of the Saljūķāsh dynasty of Rūm, 163 and * 5, 164, 1151 *, 1195 *, 1263 *. [187, 598.]

Kai-Kāūs b. Kai-Kubād, of the Kai-šniah dynasty of 'Ajam, S,

Kal-Kans b Kulij Araslau, of the Saljūķiah dynasty of Rūm, 161

Kai-Khusrau, grandson of Kai-Khusrau, grandson of Kai-Kais,—of the Kai-āniān dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 561 n 9.

Kai-Khusrau, Abū 'Alī b 'Izs-ul Muluk,—last of the dynasty of the Buwiahs, 66 * 7.

Kai-Khuarau b 'Ala-ud-Din Kai-Kubād, of the Saljūķiab dynasty of Rūm, 162 and n and nn 1 and 3, 163 and nn 4 and 5, 1131 n.

Kar-Khusrau b 'Izz-ud-Din Kulij Arsalān, the Būmi Saljūķ, 161 n

Kai-Khusrau b Khusrau Shah b Bahrām Shāh Ghaznawî, 113

Kai-Kubad 1st of the Kai-aniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 347 *

Kai-Kubád, Wazîr-i-Khāş of Ruknnd-Dîn Khûr Shab of Alamut, 1207 n

Kai-Kuhad b Bughra Khan b Ulugh Khan [Ghiy as-ud-Din Balban], lv. 717 s

Kai Kubád b Kai Kaus of the Saljukiah dynasty of Rüm, 161 Kai-Kubád b Kai Khu rau, of the

Saljúkish dynasty of Hum, 163 s 5

Kar-Kubad b Kulij-Araalān, of the Saljūks of Rum, 161 m, 163 m and n 3, 298 n. Kai-Luhrisch, the Tyrant, of the Kai-Luish dynasty of 'Ajam, 2, 4.

Ki'im Bl'amr'illah, al, — the 'Abbiel Khalifah, 125 = 8, 138 a 8, 184 and = 9, 135 = 2, 136 and a.

Kaimas, of the Dahima race of Rājpūta, Lord of Biānah and minister of Pirt'hwi-rājā, 469 n.9. Kaimr of Rūm, the,—defeated and

Kaiper of Rüm, the,—defeated and taken presoner by Shāpūr-i-Zu'i Aktāf, 4.

Kasûmurt, 303 a 7, 304. Same as Gasû-mart, which see.

Kajie-Tigin b. Muhammad-i-Takiah Khwarasm-Shib, 286 n 6.

Kajbun or Kajum b Yassakā Bahā. dur,—brother of the Chingis Khān, 209 n, 1740 n 2, 1094 n.

Kajir Olûkah, the Amir of the As, 1169 a

Kajiun & Yansuka Bahadur. Soo under Kajbun

Kājuli or ha<u>ch</u>ulī b. Tummā ī, leader of the Mughal troops, 896 s, 897 s, 898 s, 1037 s

Kakan h Kuchey b Kopanya, one of the generals of Bulaku, 1218 n

Kukure, the,—an Afghan tribe, 1048 n 1, 1067 n

Kalar, Badahah of the Bulum, 1165 a [634 m S

Kalb, signification of the term, Kalbad,—an officer of Jürmighün the Nuyin's army, 1116 n, 1117 n, 1120 n, 2, 1121 n.

Kaisi i Afghan, the,—name of a book, 1081 a 7.

Kalilah wa Dimoab, the, 100 w 9 Kalimāķa, the,—not the name of the people, but their nickname,

- \$60 a, 950 a, 923 a, 656 a, 909 a, 1000 a, 1140 a, 1167 a,
- Kalladjee, the, 1021 = 5; mispronunciation of the name Khalj.
- Kalmāk, the, 800 u. See the Kālimāks.
- Kalmuks, the,—Europeanized name of the Kälimäks, 922 n.
- Kaltimigh (also written Katlmigh) b. Izrā'il b. Saljāķ, 133 a. 3, 597 a 4.—app. zii.
- Kamil-ud-Daulah Sher-såd b. Mas--'öd-i-Karim Ghassawi, 107 and n 7.
- Kamil-ud-Din 'Ali Samairami, the Waxir of Sultin Mahmad b. Muhammad b. Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 170 n 8.
- Kamel-ud-Din Armlau Khan b Mahmad, the Wali of Jund, 908 s, 927 s.
- Kamāl-uz-Zamān, the minstrel of Sultan Banjar, 163.
- Kamar Takodar or Tagodar, the Khitái general, 1137 m.
- Kam-chak or Bak-chak, the Mughal general, 286 n 3
- Kamil-ut-Tawarikh of Ibn u'l Agir, the, zvi. 519 s.
- Kamr-1-Habash or 'Anbar-1-Haba--uh, a horseman in the army of the Malik-nl-Kāmil of Shām, 1272 s 5.
- Kamr-ud-Din Karmáni, ruler of the district of Banban, 586 s, 1131 s
- Kamr-ud Din Kir-an-ı-Tamur-<u>Kh</u>ān, Malik of Awadh and Lakhanawatı, 662, 665 n 8, 666, 667, 678, 742, 748, 747, 771 n See also under Kiran and Tamur.
- Kanders, a kind of silk made in Hards, 1037 n.

- Kand Rio,—See under Khind or Khindi Rio.
- Kand-Kie, the Ni-yin, 1228 a.
- Kanghali, the. See under the Kankuli.
- Kaugit, the,—a tribe of Mughala,
- Kanglis, the, 985 n,—same as the Kiakulis, which see.
- Kankie Khitun, Manga Khin's chief wife, 1185 u.
- Kantúd, a general of the Chingis Khán, 200 a.
- Nantul, signification of the word, 577 s.
- Kankulis or Kanghülis, tribs of the, 233, 235, 268 n.4, 290 n. 877 n. 879 n. 909 n. 914 n. 923 n. 972 n. 979 n. 982 n. 984 n. 985 n. 1021 n 8, 1022 n. 1091 n. 1169.
- Kanan-i-Mas'adi, the,—a work by Aba Ma'ehar-i-Munajum, 1, 2.
- Kans ul-Mabpür, the,—name of a book, 78 s.
- Karā Aghūl b Mitūkāo b. Chaghatās, son of the Chingis Khān, 1148 a 4. He is also ceiled Karā Halāku, which see.
- Kari Alb, the Armin Khūn,—a leader of the army of the Khwāramis, 979 m.
- Kara Arsalan Beg b. Jaghar Beg, of the family of Saljük, 98 s. 8.
- Kara Arsalin b huru Mallat, grandfather of Sabuk-Tigin, 70.
- Kara Bah-kam,—nick-name of Bahak-Tigin's father, 68, 69 a, 70. Kara Beg. the Khitā-i.—general of
- the Gür <u>Kh</u>ün, 479 a, 480 a.

 Karā Buj-kum, the,—the correct
 mck-name of Sabuk-Tigin's
 father, xlv.

Earâ Bâkā, tha Nū-yīn, 1260 a 6.
Earāghah, Amīr of Sulţān Jalālud-Dīn, Khwārasm Shāh, 1116 a, 1117 a, 1120 a 2, 1122 a, 1126 a 6.
Earāghah, the Nū-īn, 1063.

Karāchār the Nūyān b Sūghā-jijan or Sūghāj-chī, b. Irrdam-chī, the Barlās,—leader: of the Mughal troops, 899 m, 938 m, 939 m, 941 m, 944 m, 945, 1027 m 8, 1073 m 4, 1087 m,1105 m, 1145 m, 1148 m 4, 1149 m 7, 1153 m.

Karāchār b. Uktās Kā-au, son of the Chingis Khān 1142 n.

Kara Firus b. Yasdijurd-i-Shahr--yar, 70 * #

 Karā Hulākū b. Mitūkāe b. Chaghatās, son of the Chingis Khān, 1148 a 4, 1149 a 7, 1179 a, 1180 a, 1182 a, 1285. He is also called Karā Aghūl.

Karājah, the <u>Kh</u>ās Hājīb,—an officer of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Sh</u>āh, lz, 273 n 5, 971 n.

Karājah, the Sākī or cup-bearer, Atā-bak,—Sanjar's slave, 151, 152, 158 n, 159, 174 n, 207 n 8.

Karā-Jamāk, the Sīpah-Sālār,—a personal slave of Ulugh <u>Kh</u>ān-» Balban, 631 and ** 1, 832, 567 **

Fara Kash or Kara Kush Khan-1-Actkin,—one of the Shamsiah Maliks in Hind, 644 n 7, 645, 647, 655 and nn 1 and 2, 650 n, 659 n 4, 661, 674, 679 n 5, 741, 761 and n 3, 762 and n 6, 866 n See also under his title of Ikhti, ar-ud-Dia.

ară <u>Kh</u>ăn b. Mughal <u>Kh</u>ân, sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 876 n, 876 n, 890 n, 981 n. Karā Khitā-īn, the, 220 n, 487 n, 819 n, 900, 904 n, 908 n, 909 n, 910 n, 911, 912 and n, 913 n, 915 n, 917 n 1 and n, 918 n, 918 n, 919 n, 928 n, 924 n, 925 n, 939 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 933 n, 934 n, 951 n, 1001 n, 1003 n, 1136 n 9.

Kara-Küsh Khan-i-Astkin, See under Kara-Kash

Kara-Kush,--the colebrated ongineer from Egypt, liv.

Karā Mā, chief of the Kulij Karā branch of the <u>Kh</u>alj triba, 944 n. Karā Mallat or Millat b. Karā Na'mān, ancestor of Sabak-Tigla, 70

Karamitah, the,—a sect of Mahammadan heretics, 40, 46, 47 and a 7, 48 s 4, 60, 130, 209, 215, 218, 388 and s 3, 440 and s 2, 401, 646, 647. Excamzin, 1600 s

Karan, an ancient Dialemah King and champion, of the Gil race, 998 w.

Karan, or Kuruah Ráe—a leader of the troops of the Rájah of Gujaret, 521 s. 522 s.

Kara Nu'man b Firus t-Bameinjan, —ancestor of Sabuk-Tigin, 70

Kārāniān Maliks, the,—styled the Bastanian Maliks, 2.

Karar Bir,—a demon killed by Rejah Ram Ohand, 98 n 9.

Bará Sankur or Sunkar,— a leader of the <u>Kh</u>alifah's troops, 1240 s, 1241 s

Karā Sunķar-ı-Nāşiri, Malik,—of the Maliks of <u>Shama-ud-Din Iyal-</u> Timu<u>sh</u>, 627.

Karā Tigin, a slave of Abū lbrābām Sāmāuī,—made governor of Hirāt, 37 s, 38 s 6.

- Karlynt or Karlylt tribe of Mughals, the, 867 n, 874 n, 910 n, 941 n, 948 n, 948 n, 944 n, 956 n, 1076 n, 1098 n, 1168 n, 1176 n 9, 1198 n.
- Karbühā or Karbēghā, the Tāttār chief, 898 n.
- Karghia, tribe of, 878 s, 962 s, 1112. See also the Kirghia.
- Karim-ud-Din Hamsah, Malik, governor of Nègawr of Siwälikh, 200 and na 2 and 3.
- Karim-ud-Din-i-Zāhid,—a Tajzik ufficial of Ruku-ud-Dio Firus Shāh of Dihli, 625, 761.
- Kartiùkur, Khwijah, the Nu-yin, Amir of Kari-Kuram, 1178 u.
- Kariaghe, the, 1139 v 1,—See under the Karlaghe,
- Kärliks, the, See the Kärlüghs.
- Körlügh Shahmah of Hurat, the, 1128 n.
- Kärlügh Turks, the, 750 n 6, 731 n 2, 907 n, 925 n, 963 n, 1004 n 9, 1130 n, 1132 n
- #årlughish Turk-mäns, the, 374 n 5, 446 n 7, 953 n
- Karlughs, or Karlughs, the, 1, 375 s, 686 s, 689 s, 737 and s 7, 730 s 7. 782 and s 3, 792, 677 s, 876 s, 879 s, 907 s, 908 s, 909 s, 914 s, 919 s, 923 s, 925 s, 926 s, 963 s, 969 s, 960, 965 s, 1004 s 9, 1023 s, 1129 s 1, 1130 s, 1131 s, 1132 s, 1155 s 6.
- Karlaki Hazaras, the, 1130 m.
- Kāriūķa or Ķariuka, the,-See the Kāriughs
- Karmikh, governor of Multin,— See under 'Alt-I-Karmikh.
- Karsh-isp, ancester of Rustam i Zil, 308 a 2, 309 a. [494. Kārin,—the Korsh of Scripture,

- Elyman, name of an exticle of defence, 353 and a 3.
- Kasi <u>Kh</u>ātān, of the tribe of Iljikin,—wife of Mangā Kā'ān, 1228 n.
- Kashak, the Kanküli Kosh-chi er camel-man, 1183 s. 1185 s
- Kinghar Mission, History of the, 901 m, 912 m, 917 m, 940 m, 950 m, 952 m, 964 m, 968 m6, 981 m, 1044 m, 1069 m4, 1075 m, 1091 m, 1106 m,
- Káchi or Káchin, the Tinghat, 1142 n.
- Kieli b. Uktās Kā-ān, son of the Chingis Khān, 1142 n.
- Kashis, the,—a. Ghürian people in the territory of Kashi or Kasi, \$61 and a 1, 262, 395 and a 7.
- Kashkab, Kashili or Kashiki,—one of the champsons of Khwirasan Shah's army, 177 and 85.
- Kanhiik, the Tarkhan,—one of the two boys who apprised Tamurchi, the Chingin Khan, of his danger, 941 n. 942 n
- Kashlik Tarkhans, the, 948 a.
- Kaphi or Kashi Khin, the Amir--i-Ahhar of Sultin Muhammad Khwarasm Shih, 976 x, 977.
- Kachlu Khan, the Tatar,—See Kochlak Khan, son of the Tayanak Khan.
- Kachlù Khān-i-Santur,—same as the above.
- Kisim b. 'Abd'ullah,---Wazir of the 'Abbisi Khulifah al-Muktafi, 32n.
- Kānim b. Muḥammad b. Hakam b.
 Abū 'Aḥīl the Saḥafi, father of
 'Imād-ud-Dīn Muḥammad, the
 Conqueror of Sund,—app. zvzi,
 zviti.

Kasim-i-Amīr-ul-Mu'minīn,—atitle given to some Sultīns by the Khalīfahe, 316 and s, 368 = 2, 669 a 7, 772 s,—app. iv, v, zxii.

Kapr, meaning of the word, \$31 = 2, 651 = 6.

Kassur Jats, the,—name of a people in the Koh-i-Jūd, 1132 s.

Ent-ghan tribe of Mughals, the, 893 m.

Katghin or Katkin tribe of Mughals, the, 940 n, 944 n. See the above. Kathars, the,—a tribe, 587 n. See

the Kohtars. Katrin tribe, the, 1142 n

Katula,—mispronunciation of the name of Kübilah <u>Kh</u>ān b Ķabal Khān, 897 n.

Kawam-ud-Din, the Mahk of Zaw--ran, 258 n, 281 n 5

Kawam-ud-Din, Zawsani, Imam, 190.

Kawnrd b. Alb-Arsalān-i Ghāsī b Dā'ūd-1-Jaghar Beg,—founder of the Kırmān dynasty of the Sal--jūks, 138 v S.

Kaya Khan b. Yusuf b Bughra Khan-1-Harun—of the Afranyulu family, 905 s.

Kazil, one of the Militars or chiefs of the Saljūks, 120. [1070

Kasıl Manjuk, the Mughal Chief Keene, H. G., 1094 n

Kelilat,—wrong name of Kalbad which see,—1121 a

Kezan, one of the chiefs of Kifchak, 1170 n.

Khādijah, daughter of Dā-ūd-i-Jaghar Beg,—eapoused by the 'Abbāsī Khalifah al Ka'ım, 186 s. Khadijah, Muhammad's first wife, 302 and n 3 Khādim Humle, Manlawi, 1208 e. Khafohāk tribe, the,—See under the Kabyhak.

Khainā, the Né-yīn, 1327 and a 2. Khāḥān,—application of the title, 720 a 1.

Khākān of Turkistān, the, 27 n d. Khakhī division of the Afghia nation, the, 1044 s.

<u>Kh</u>åk-res of Hirát,—an artificial mound of earth surrounding the city, 1039 and # 8, 1040 m.

<u>Kh</u>alaf b. Ahmed,—ruler of Sijin.
-tän, 43 n 4, 76 n 8, 81 n, 86 n, 183 n 2, 185 n, 186 n, 187 n.

Khalaj, the,—See the Khalj tribe.

Khalifah, the office of, 1260 s.

Khalifah Shaibanī, of Tukhāristān, 875 a.

Khalifaha, the, zzziii, 540 a, 887 a, 1117 a 7.

Khalil'ullah,—the Friend of God, —one of the titles of Ibrihim [the Patriarch, Abraham], 1163 and n 5.

Khalj or Khalaj,—progenitor of the Khalj tribe, 878 m.

Khalj the,—a Turk tribe, h, 28 m 8, 397 and m 1, 439 m 4, 443 m, 460 and m 2, 463 m, 477 m 5, 480 m, 509 m, 529 m 4, 539 m and m 3, 540 m, 541, 542 m 9, 547 and m 9, 548 and m 3, 549 m 4, 550 m 6, 551 and m 7, 566 m 9, 574 m 7, 575 and m 1, 576 and m 3, 578, 579 m 4, 591 m, 595, 514 m 8, 615 m 1, 629, 757 m 7, 772 m, 870 m, 878 m, 916 m, 944 m, 980, 996 m, 1015 m, 1016 and m 3, 1023 m, 1023 m,—app. xx.

Khalj b Yafış [Japheth], 870 m. Khan, the title, 962.

Khan-r-A'tam,—app. iz, zzz.

Khin-i-Busurg, the,—or the great Khin, 59.

Thin-i-Thinds, the,—the Persian translation of the trile "Gir Thin," 911 and #8, 913 s,—app. xxi.

Khin 'Alam Firasjang,—app xxi. Khin Malik,—officer of Sultin Jajilud-Din Khwarasm Shih, 201 s.

Thin Malik, daughter of 'Ali-ud-Din Muhammad Khwiraam Shib,—wife of Sultin 'Usmin the last of the Afrasysbi Khins, 910 s.

Khin Malik, Amīr-i-Hājib,—of the Maliks of Mu'izz-ud-Dia Muḥammad-i-Sām, 401

Khán Zaman, -- app zzi.

Khaui Bās, -- See the next.

Khānd or Khāndi Rāe, ruler of Dihli,—brother of Pithorā Rāe of Ajmīr, 459 n.9, 460 n.and n.3, 461 n. 462 n. 463 n. 466 n.1, 467 n. 468 n. 469 n.9, 516 n.2. See also Gobiud Rāe

Khāns of Kifchāk, the, 289.

Khans of Krim [the Krimiā], the, —lineally descended from Juji Khan, 1102 w.

Kharbissh,—signification of the word, 404 and #9

Kharfash.—a nick-name or byname, 410 and a 2

Khargih or tent, description of, 1080 and u 4.

Kharijis, the,—a sect of Muslim schumation, 13 and a 8, 14, 2:1.

Khar-jam, or Khar-Oham, the Sipah-Salar,—champion of the Churi forces, 926, 929, 980 a 6.

Kharkab Langum,—See ander Jirkab Langum. Khar'l Jaju, the,—name of a people, 477 m.

Kharmil,—a nick-name or by-name, 410 a 2.

Eharmil, 'Irr-nd-Din Humin, the Gh iri,--Wali of Hirit, 258 and a, 259 and a, 259 and a, 259 and a, 402 a, 403 a, 410 a 6, 411 a, 433 a 7, 434 a, 455 a 5, 458 a, 474, 475 and an 1 and 2, 490, 493 a, 501 a 5, 503, 504 a 3, 516, 518 a, 603 a 3.

Kharmil, Nasir-ud-Din Husain,— See under Nasir-ud-Din Husain.

Kharmil-1-Sam Banji,—Pablawan of the Kingdom of Ghür, 351, 444 n, 445 n.

Kharmil-1-8ām Husain,— Pahlawān of the Kingdom of Ghūr. 851, 444 n, 445 n [410 n 2.

Kharnak,—a nick-name or by-name, Kharnak, Amir,—of the <u>Gh</u>üri tribes of Waliristan, 335 and #3

Kharnak b Bam,—ancestor of Amir Banji, Shansabi, 312 and n 8.

Kharcahti or Kharcahi, the Sipah Salár of the Ghúrian army, 438 and n.4, 408

Khar-Zor Maliki, the Ghuri Malik, 990 and # 6

Khâs Khân,—an officer of Suhân Jalál-ud-Din Khwāraam Shāb, 296 s.

Khip Ming or Hazirah,---the peraonal troops of the Ohingis Khim, 1092 a, 1098 a, 1094 a, 1095 a.

Khisah-bardar, application of the term, 802 a l.

Khashi, cept of the Afghan nation, the, xvi.

Khață'is, the,—an error for the Khață-is, see lax. Khatak tribe of Afghans, the, 511 s, 1044 s.

Khāṭir-nd-Dīn Muḥammad b Bahāud-Dīn b. Mas'ūd-1-Katīm, Ghasnawī, 107.

Khatrini Afghans,—a wrong name of the Kihtran Afghans, which see.

Khart-s-Khifet,—name of a fabric, 966 and n 8

<u>Khifchāk</u> or Kif<u>oh</u>āķ.—name of a tribe of Turka, 233 aud a 6, 235, 254, 998 a, 999 a, 1000 a, 1109.

Khing But—Grey Idol,—name of an idol or figure in Bămiân, 1058 * 6

Ehitá'is, the, lix, 154 and n 2, 155 and n 3, 244 n 4, 246 n 8, 261 and n, 477 n 4, 478 n 6, 479 n, 482 n, 882 n, 894 n, 895 n, 869 n, 877 n, 908 n, 911, 912 n, 921 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n 928 n, 928 n, 936 n 6, 955 n, 959 n 957 n, 958 n, 959 n, 959 n, 958 n, 959 n, 975 n 3, 1073 n 4, 1134 n, 1139 n, 1215 n 4

Khitans, the,—name of a people 885 s.

Phur, Militar, 287, 1293

Khar Khan b Ibrahim i Tomghaj Khan,—one of the Khans of Turkistan, 908 s

<u>Rhizr Khān</u>, Jalal ad-Din 'Ali b Hasan-Tigin, XXIInd of the Afranyāhi <u>Kh</u>āns of Turkistan, 909 a, 910 a

Khist Khan b Tarkaj Khan XIVth of the Afranyabi Khane of Turkis tan, 906 a

Khokhar Boe, King of Maltan, ? Khokhars, the,—a tribe of Bindus, It, 294 n. 453 n. 1, 454 n. 455 and u. 476 n. 477 n. 481, 482 n. 483 n. 484 n. 485 n.3, 486 n. 491, 524 n. 526 n, 536 n, 537 n, 539 n 5, 604, 605, 647 n 9, 648 n 3, 636 n, 678, 679 n 2, 795 n, 615 n 6, 623 n 9, 1074 n, 1130 n, 1133 n, 1136 and n 7, 1201 n.

Khūb Chihr b. Sultin Ibribins Charawi, 105.

Khudá Kuli, governor of the fort of Lash, 1122 a

Khudawand-Zadah of Maupil, the, 169, 204, 206.

Khudiwandah-i-Jabin,—title of the mother of Sulfan Muhammad Khwarasm Shah, 241, 256, 280

Khulagu, 1083 a,—wrong name of Hulaku Khan

Khulisat ul-Akhbar, the, --- a book of history, xvi. 34 s.5, 41 a 8, 136 a, 155 a 7, 266 a, 404 a 1, 407 a 8, 449 a 4, 470 a, 639 a 7.

Khu'avst ut Tawerikh of Sujim Rac, uv. 419 n 5, 453 n 3, 469 n 9, 524 n, 537 n, 582 n 6, 587 n 4 593 n, 649 n 5, 623 n 1

Khamsr-Tigus, Amir, ... governor of Fars on the part of the Buljuk sovernigns, 174 a

Khumar Figin, chief of the Kanjudia,—chusen to direct the administration of <u>Kh</u>warsavn with the title of fiultan, 1000 s.,

Khurjah Hazarah the,—one of the Hazarata constituting the Juwangher or Left of the Mughal army, 1003 a

Khur Khusesu, 27th of the Taba-bish of Yeman, ?

Khar than, limb of the Mulahidah dynasty of Alamost — See under huntele of Bake od Dra

- Khürghed b. Jamghed Malik b. Mae'üd-i-Karim Ghasnawi, 107.
- Churched Melik b. Sulpin Ibrihim Ghannawi, 105 and = 7.
- Khura, the 3rd son of Yafig [Japheth], 870 s, 871 s.
- Khush-bāl Khūn, the Afghān chief and poet,—of the Khatak tribu, 611 , 1044 n
- <u>Khush-nawas</u>, King of the Hayāṭi-lab, 423 s 8.
- Khusran, signification of the title, 1006 x 1.
- Ebusrau Mahk b. Ebusrau Shah Chanawi,—last of the Yaminah dynasty, xxi, 67, 111 n 6, 112 n 5, 113 and n, 114 and n, 115, 240 n, 371 n 4, 376, 379 and n 6, 425 n 9, 447 n 6, 448 n 4, 449 and n 8, 552 and n 9, 453 nn 3 and 4, 454 and n, 465 and n and nn 6 and 7, 456 and n 2, 457, 631 and n 7, 1072 n 5.
- Khuaran Parwis b Hurmus,—111 of the Akasirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 5, 6.
- Khuarau Shèh b Bahram Shèh Ghasnawi, xlvi, 111 and n 6, 112 and nn 3 and 5, 113 n, 114 n, 346 n, 348 n, 350 n and n 3, 357 n 7, 376 and n 2, 377 n 4, 444 n, 447 n 6, 448 n, 449 and n 8
- Khusrau Shish b Khusran Malik Ghaznawi, 116.
- Khusran Shah b Sulfan Ibrāhim Chasnawi, 108
- Dufteh, explanation of the term, 12 n 9.
- Ehutali, the (ihuza chioftain, 156. Ehwäjnh-i-'Amid, Abs Nagr-i-Mighkin as-Zausani,—See Abu Nagri-Mighkin.

- Khwijah of Zausau, the, gover nor of Kirmin, 253 and a 8.
- Khwājah 'Abd-nilah Anşūrī, 40 w8.
- Khwājah Abū Sahl,—minister c Amīr Muḥammad b. Maḥmād c Chasnīn, 89 n S.
- Khwajah Aba'i Farl Kirmanî, 196 Khwajah Aghul b. Kyuk b. Okti son of the Ohingis Khan, 116 n, 1184 n, 1185 n.
- Khwejah Ahmad the Wakhshi,z merchant, 978.
- Khwijah 'Ali the Bukhāri,—i charge of Bokhārā under th Mughale, 972 s.
- Khwajah Kuth-ud-Din Bakhtyi Kaki,— See ander Kuth-ud-Din Khwajah Ghiyag-ud-Din,— Wasi of the Atabak Sa'd b. Zangi, 17 n 7.
- Khwajah Isma'il, the officer in charge of the Ghaznin treasury 487
- Khwajah Muzhir, the merchani 216.
- Khwajah Tanh slaves, the,—signi fication of the term, 666 m i 699 m 2, 717 m.
- Khwiyû the Metîzî,—author of History of the Khashi Afghin zvî.
- Khwarasm Shah,—Rulers bearin thus title 232 m, 233 m.
- Khwaraxm Shah, Kutb-ud-Din Mu hammad b Nünh-Tugin-1 Ghai pah, 148, 171 and n 1, 234 n B.
- Khwarasm-Shahi dynnaty, the xxxii, 38 n 6, 138 n 8, 162 n, 17; 179 n 2, 182 n 1, 231, 282, 298 n 1 299, 377 n 5, 382 n 9, 399 n 6, 40 m, 527 n, 538 n.

Ehwararmi Sultans, the, 879 m, 917 ml, 960 m6, 963, 965, 1066 ml.

Ehwaranmis, the, xxiv, 540 n, 543 n.9, 887 n, 889 n, 901 n, 931 n, 932 n, 934 n, 971 n, 978 n, 998 n, 996 n, 1098 n, 1097 n.7, 1098 n, 1199 n, 1276 n.—app. ii.

Kid Rāj or Koda-raja,—name of a Hındü king, 509 n.

Kīdī Rāc, 461 s —same as Khāndi Rāc, which see

Kihtran Afghäns, the, 1043 a 1 Kil-tımish or Kat-lımısh b Jara'il b Salıük,—governor of Damashk,

158 m.

Kimāj, 'Alā-ud-Din, ruler of Balkh

—a Malik of the Samjari dynasty.

871, 372, 373 and n9, 374 n5, 875 n, 390, 424 and nn 4, 6 and 7, 936 n

Kimāj, 'Imād-ud-Daulah,—Amīr of the troops of <u>Khurāsān</u>, 336 n 4, 359 n.

Rimil (Gumari) b. Yass [Japheth], 870 s

Kin, the,—name of a people who conquered Northern China, 677 n, 885 n, 921 n, 1088 n, 1126 n 9, 1186 n 5

Kin Khan b. Mughal Khan the first ruler of the Mughal Imak, 875 s, 877 s.

Rinner, Mac D, 64 n l. [n 4]
Riosk, derivation of the word, 405
Rirāmis, the,—also called Mujusmamiān one of the subdivisions
of the Sifați sect, 344 and n 5,
385, 402 n

Riran-i-Tamur Khān, Malık, 779 n. 866 n. See also under his title of Kamr ud D.u and also under Lander Kircher, 1140 a Kirghis tribe, the, 899 a, 923 a, f n, 969 n. 1091 a See also und the Karghin.

Kisas-i-Şani, the,—See Tārikh Ibn Haisam.

Kigh les or Kigh-lagh, wint station, 876 n

Kiarā-ul-Aahghānī b. Naruī, VII of the Aahkānīān dynasty 'Ajam —He is also called Ard wān-i-Akbar, 4.

Kisrā b. Mihr-Jaigh, IXth of t Akāsirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 6, Kitāb-i-Mas'ūdī, the,—a book

ecclematical jurisprudence, 41 Kitāb-i Nāji of Sābi-i-Dabīr [t Secretary], 60 a 7

Kitāb-i-Yamini of al-'Utbi, the, x 44 a 4, 56 a 3, 73 a 7, 75 a 5, 80 57 a, 321 a 7, 341 a 7, 509 a, 536 —app xz.

Kıwak or Kyük b Alminjab, gran som of Turk b. Yafis, 878 s.

Kiwak Khan b Hamidal Bar, t Kara Khua L.—governor of B khara, 976 a, 977 a, 1118 a 9. Kiwak or Kwak Whita b Chara

Kiwak or Kyûk <u>Kh</u>ân b Uktêr K ân, 1084 n. Soe under Kyi <u>Kh</u>ân.

Kiya-i-Buxurg Umid,--extermin sion of his race, 1211 s.

Kizil Aradau b. Atabak Iladdig became sovoreign of Azarbaijê 166 a 7, 171 a 9, 172 a 3

Kizil Arsalan, -- brother's sonfluitin Sanjar the Saljaki, 15 160, 342, 1196 n.S.

Kind Banhin, the, in.

Kiaproth, 884 a, 885 a, 1186 a.

Kochaey, signification of the wor 60 s 8.

Koghi b. Uktie Kida, son of the Chingia Khin, 1141 n, 1142 n, 1140 n, 1160 n, 1184 n.

Kodii, brother of the Tikti Mgi sovereign of the Makrit tribe of Mughale, 960 a, 961 a, 962 a, 962 a. Kohistenia, the, 384 a.

Kojiak b. Tis-nik,—See Koghlak son of the Tayimak Khiu.

Kokar, <u>Chief of the Khokhars</u>, 482 a, 463 a, 464 a.

Kokjā, the Sat er But Tingrī, son of the Nū-yān Manglik, of the Ulķūnāt Kungkurāt Mughala, 1080 x 5.—Soe also under Kūkjā. Koktāc or Kūktāc, the Nā-īn, 1115 x 5, 1169 s. [1006 s.

Kol or Centre of an army, 1993 m, Kol-Irkin,—title of the Bighlighs or chiefs of the Tühüz I-ghüre, 951 m, 1997 m.6.

Kolah or Golah b. Pithers or Prithi-Rij, the Rie of Ajmir, 458 and w 5, 459, 466.

Kolah Pithoré, Hée, —See the above. Koli, —a Shéh-sidah of Jéji's house, 1366 n 9 —See also under Küli.

Kolkan b. Chaghatáe, son of the Chingis Khān, 1165 a, 1170 s.

Kolkan, son of the Chingu Khan, 1170 a —See also under Kalakan.

Kofüdī, also called Kunkše, daughter of Oldās b. Bartū,—Khātūn of Mangū Kā-ān, 1233 a.

Kolükah or Künukah, the Bigi---of the Mughal tribe of Uzr-āt, 960 u. Kolün <u>Kh</u>ütün, 927 u.---See Konik Khütün.

Komén or Kümän, the Gür Khän of Khitže, 728 s., 929 s.

Koman Kapchak, the, -the people of Valania, 1168 s.

Komizik er Gominik Khitén, 927 n.—See Konik Khitén.

Komķin or Kümķin Tio-ghi or Tiya-ghi. See under Kümķin.

Konayik or Konik Khātān,—the third Gar Khān, 927 a, 928 s.

Konch, the,—name of a tribe,—See under the Könch.

Konik or Konayik Khatan, the third Gar khan, 927 s. 928 s.

Konjū Khātūn, daughter of the Āltāu Khān of Khitās,—one of the wives of the Chingis Khān, 968 n, 1092 n.

Konstanțin (Constantiu),—of Rémiab, 1268 n 9, 1269 n.

Ko-pau-yu,—a Chinese general in the Mughal army, 965 n.

Kor-Básir or Kúr Bási Khitán, widow of the Tayanak Khin, marries the Chingis Khin, 946 a, 946 a 1091 a, 1093 a.

Korkan b. Tuli, son of the Chingus Khan, 1178 a.

Korumdevi, a princess of Pains, mother of Kuras, Prince of Cacetore, 520 s.

Koshaki, the Hakim, 156.

Koshlak Khin, son of the Tayanak Khin, the Niemiu ruler, 260 m 7 261 m, 203 m, 264 and m, 269 m, 273 m, 867 m, 930 m, 931 m, 933 m, 984, 946 m, 949 m, 950 m, 951 m, 952 m, 964 m 2, 960 and mm 8 and 1, 981 m, 962 m, 963 m, 964 m, 965 m, 966 m, 987.

Koshota, the, 1091 w.

Koehtin or Kunhtin Tio-Ki or Tiya-ki,-Bee under Kümkin.

Kosmeli,—one of the great chiefs of the last of the Kitan dynasty, 265 s. Kotola Katon 1185 s,—wrong name of Kankie Khitun, which see.

Ke-yang or the Great Khin, the, auruame of the Nayin Mühali, the Jali-îr, 1093 a.

Koyūnik <u>Kh</u>ātūn, 927 n. See Kouīk <u>Kh</u>ātūn.

Kozān,⊶one of the leaders of Ķif<u>oh</u>āķ, 1170 s.

Kubāohah, governor of Sind, 294 * Kubād, 421, 598,—See Rai-Kubād, of the Kai-āniān dynasty.

Kubid b. Balish, of the Sasinian dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.

Kubilse or Kubilin <u>Kh</u>un b. Tuli son of the Ohingiz <u>Kh</u>un, 1083 s, 1084 s, 1094 s, 1135 s 5, 1165 s, 1171 s, 1176 s 9, 1177, 1180 s, 1185 s, 1215 and ss 9, 1 and 4, 1216 s, 1217 s, 1218 s, 1219 s, 1220 s, 1221 s, 1223 s, 1226 s, 1298 s.

Kübilah or Kubilah Khān b Kabal Khān, ~of the Büzanjar dynasty of the Mughal Imāķ, 897 n, 898 n, 1217 n

Kubilân See under Kubilâe Khân b Tûlî

Kubur, one of the generals of the Chingiz Khan, 289 m

Kuch, the,—a nomad tribe of Kirman, 60 n 8

Kuch, the, 560 n 6 — Same as the Künch, which see.

Küchah b Kulakan, son of the Chingis Khan, 1092 a.

Küchin Bigi, daughter of the Chingis Khin, 941 s

Külder Sajan, the Au-yin, --chief of the Mangtut Naurum Mughale, 945 s.

Kuj-Buha Khan,—a general of Sal-

ția Mujemmed Khwirnem Mile, 906 n.

Kük or Kuk, fourth son of Lights Khān, the Hird sovereign of the Mugjal Imāķ, 880 n.

Küki I-yalka, the Nü-yin, 1196 a, 1207 a, 1208 a, 1248 a.

Kükghah or Kükghu or Kükjah, the Tab-Tingri. See the next.

Kükyü, son of Minglik Ighakah, the Kunak Kumür,—styled the Tah or Tub Tingri, 945 n, 940 n, 955 n. See also under Kokjü the Bai Tingri

Kûktês or Koktês, the Nû-yin, 1115 s, 1169 s.

Kuk-Tagh,—one of Mihtare or chiefs of the Saljuka, 130

Kükzü, the Tab-Tingri. See under Kukjü.

Külakin or Küläkin, son of the Chingis Khin, 1091 s., 1094 s. See also under Kolkin.

Kulin Khitim, danghter of Ti-ir Asin,—one of the wives of the Chingis Khin, 947 s, 1091 s, 1142 s

Kāli or Kali, grandson of Jūji Khan, son of the Chingus Khan, 1239 n, 1243 n, 1286 n 9

Kulij Armelen 'Izz-ud-Din b Mas-'ëd, V of the Saljük dynasty of Rum, 161 a

Kuly Armian Iss-ud-Din b. Suliman Shah, VII. of the Saljek dynasty of Rum, 161 a

Kulij Arsalen b Kimit Arsalén, of the Saljuks of Rém, 160.

Kulij Arnalán [called Salimin by some] Ruku-ud Din b. Chiyapud Din Kai-Khurra,—XII of the Saljuh dynasty of Ram, 163 n b. 164, 1195 a.—See also under Ruju-ud-Dia, Kulij Arsalia.

Kallj Aradia b. Salimin b. Kiltimish,—III. of the Saljäk dymaty of Rim, 140 m.

Kulij Kari,—a branch of the Khalj tribe, 944 s.

Kuiij Khān of Khitā, zīvili, 260, 261 n. 929 n.

Kulij or Kulioh Khān, Jalāl ud-Dīn Mas'ād b. 'Alā-ud-Dīn Jūnī, 768 n.4, 769 n.5, 847 and n.1 and 4, 848, 849 and n.5. See under Jalāl-ud-Dīn Kulich Khān; and also under Kutlugh Khān-i-Mas--'ād.

Kēmān or Komān, the Gür Khān of Khitāe, 928 s., 939 s.

Fining [Cumani], the,—a Sarmatian nation, 1167 n, 1168 s.

Kamkin or Komkin Tae-ghu or Tayaghu,—name of the family of the Gür <u>Kh</u>an of <u>Kh</u>itáe, lxiii, 918 a.

Kun or Kün <u>Kh</u>ān b. Aghūs <u>Kh</u>ān, 1V sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 880 s, 881 s

Einck, the,—a race of people in the mountains between Tibbat and Lakhanawati, 560 and as 4 and 6, 562 n, 571

Kundës, explanation of the word, 966 and w 9.

Kandüs Khān, 147 * 8, 906 *, same as Kadr Khān b. 'Umr Khān, XVII of the Afraeiyābi Khāns, which see.

Kungkurāt or Kunghurāt tribe of Mughale, the, 890 n, 939 n, 940 n, 943 n, 988 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1102 n, 1142 n, 1164 n 9, 1227 n.

Kunker Khatun,-one of the wires

of Mengh Kā-la, 1223 n. See under Kanhle Khātān.

Künükah, the Bigi. See under Wolfi--kah.

Künükü b. Karsohar b. Üktäe, son of the Chingis Khan, 1188 a.

Kür (Kiwak) b. Alminjab,—grandson of Turk b. Tüfg, 873 a.

Kur or Gur Khan, fourth son of Mughal Khan, 875 s.

Kurāidėsh.—one of the Amīre of Ula-Kūgh-Tigūn, the Bādehāh of the Turkish tribe of the Unghite, 945 s.

Kúrak-Lůk Bigi, daughter of Koahlúk, the Bådahlin of the Näemäns, 1166 a

Kur'an, the, 62 m 5, 103 m, 126 m m 4 and 6, 140 m 4, 301, 313 m 4, 380 m 6, 351 m 7, 355, 413 m 3, 451 and m, 473 m 2, 597 m 3, 600 m 2, 606 m 9, 625 m 4, 830 m 9, 836 m 7, 681 m 6, 835 and m 3, 862 m 7, 906 m, 976 m, 1011, 1136 m 6, 1142, 1161 mm 9, 1, 2 and 3, 1163 m 6, 1171 m 5, 1175 m 6, 1189 m 9, 1252 m 4, 1363 m, 1284, 1388 m 1

Kurat dynasty, the, xxv, 1087 m, 1039 n 7, 1078 n 2, 1182 n 2, 1198 n 8, 1200 n, 1203 n.

Kûr bisû <u>Kh</u>âtûn. See under Kor Bisa Khîtûn.

Kûr Bûkă, the Nû-yîn, 1149 z 7.

Kurbuz, Malik, 285 n 4. See ander Kuriz.

Kurdiah Maliks of Sham, the, szziil, 203 and a 1, 208 a 1.

Kurds, the, xlv, 60 n 8, 184 s, 298 n 1, 317 n 5, 996 n, 1117, 1193 s, 1232 and n 1, 1233 n 3, 1234, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1291 n.

Kurgharat, the Ghazz chieftain, 156.

Füri Subijü,—one of the Amire of the Tayinak Khin, 945 s.

Furilièe, or general assembly of the Mughal tribes, 948 n.

Kurix [possibly Kurbaz], the Khānsikx,—Malik of Chaznīn under Kultān Mahammad Khwārazaz Shāh, 267, 285.

Kurjā Tigin b. Muḥammad-f-Takuh Khwārasm Shāb, 254 × 8.

Rürküs or Kurküs, the Nü-yin,—an Ighür official of Chin-Timür, the governor of Iran-Zamin, 1121 n, 1122 n, 1123 n, 1141 n, 1181 n 2. Kürläs tribe of Mughals, the, 940

Kūrlās tribe of Mughals, the, 940 n, 1004 n

Kurns b Samarsi, Prince of Cheeters, 520 "

Kurnah Rac, 521 n. See under Rac Karau

Kurūk, or Kurūk, aigmification of, 1143 a.

Kumm, son of al Abels functe of Muhammad], 1258 and n 8

Kighk, meaning of the word, 331 a 2,661 a 6

Küghlak Khün h Tae-nak, -- See Koshlak, son of the Tayanak Khūn.

Füghtin or Kochtin Tae ku or Tayaku See under Kamkin Kütä Mangu b Üktäe Ka'an, 1142 W.—Same as the next

Mutau b Chine Ka'an, son of the Ohingiz Khan, 1984 n 1142 n, 1148 and n 6, 1149 and n and n 7, 1151 n, 1185 n,

Kutis, the, xlv. See the Chaja

Kuth i-Alghan, the,—title of Khwajah Kuth-ud Din Bakht yar Kaki, which see, whom the Afghans claim as their peculiar saint, 623 m.

Kutb b. Simrüd, the tyrant, (Nimrod of Scripters), S.

Kuthi dynasty, the, 539 a.

Kuth-ud-Dîn, Malik, —one of the Amirs of Sultan Firus Shah, the Hubaşhi, 891 m.

Kuth-ud-Din, Sayyid,—the Shaitisul-Islam of Dinli, 659 and m, 707, 708, 788 m 9.

Kutb-ud-Din Abá Bikr, Küşî of the kingdom, for Sultin Mu'en-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Bim Chúri, £89.

Kutb-ud-Din Abu'l Fath b. Jai-Timur-Baniko, chief of Taris, 1115 n 9, 1119 s

Kutb ud-Din Bakhtyār Kāki, the Cahi, Khwājah,—the Kutb Afghān, a celebrated Muhammadan samu, 622 a, 638 u 2, 660 u.

Kuth-ud-Din Hasan the Chari, 1135 n 3. See under Kuth-ad-Din Hussin.

Kuth-ud-Din Hasen b. Nubammad b. 'Abbüs b. Ship,—chieftein of <u>Chur</u>, 322 s., 333, 334, 336.

Furb nd Din Husain b 'All h. Abi 'All, the Ghuri Amir,—one of the Amirs of Sultin Muhammad Khwirasm Shah, 140, 416 and n9, 698 and n7, 642 and n9, 654 n6, 667 and n 6, 658 and n 1, 651, 673, 701 n 1, 702 and n 2, 709 n 3, 753, 754 n 5, 762, 798, 832, 833, 835 n 2, 1002, 1008 n, 1056 and n 1, 1060 and n 5, 1061, and n 9, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1067, 1068, 1070, 1071 and n 1,

Kuth-ud-Din Ibak, Sulpin of Dinii, xxi, I, II, 41 n 6, 248 and n 1, 310 n 5, 398 and m 6, 7 and 9,

404 = 9, 418 = 2, 458 = 1, 466 = 2, 457 m, 467 m, 469 and me 6 and 9, 470 m and on 2 and 3, 471 m and m4, 488 m, 484 m, 489 and n 6, 400 n, 401 n 8, 407 n 8, 500, 801 x5, 502 x 6, 508 and xx 8 and 9, 505 m and m 8, 506 m and m 9, 507, 508 m 1, 510 m, 512 and and, 5 and 6, 513 sand se 5 and 1, 514 mand m 4, 515 m and mm 7 and 8, 516 and x 2, 517 m. 518 and n, 519 n, 520 w, 521 n, 522 m 5 and m. 528 m. 534 m. 525 m and m 7, 526 m and m 8, 527 and n, 528 and as 2 and 3, 529 and # 4, 530 mand n 5, 532 and m 2, 533 m, 534 n 5, 545 n 5, 546 and n 7, 547 n and m 8, 540 m 4, 550 m 6, 551 m and m 7, 552 and m 4, 553 n 5, 554 and m 7, 555, 156 and m6, 558 m7, 569 and n, 67d n 9, 575 and n 9, 576 n 6, 577 and s, 578, 579 a 4, 580 a 7, 587 a 2, 595 and a 8, 601 a b, 602 and no 1 and 2, 608 and n 6, 804 and n 5, 605, 605 n 8, 610 n 7, 614 m8, 619 m6, 621 m 6, 622 m, 627 n 5, 628 n 2, 631 n 4, 636 n 6, 688 m 1, 643 m, 663 m 9, 669 m 8, 718, 723, 729 m 4, 731 m 8, 746, 767, 866 s, 1181 s,-app. i, n, m, iv, v, vi, vii, viii, ix, x, xi, xii, xxiii, zziv, zzv. zzvi.

futh-od-Din Muhammadb. 'laz ad-Din ill Hussin, Malik-ul-Jibāl, of the Shanasbāniah dynasty of Ghur, 337, 338 and na I and 2, 339, 340, 343 n.2, 346, 347 n.2, 348, 340 n, 354, 422, 439 and n.4, 442 n, 443 n.

inth-ud Din Muhammad b Küsh-Tigin, Khwārasm Shāh, 231, 232 a, 233 and a, 234 and *8, 235 s, 236 s 5,-wrongly called Kuthnd-Din Ibak by the author.

Kuth-ud-Diu Muhammad b Shamsud-Din Iyal-Timigh, Malik, 025, 633 and = 6, 670 = 1.

Kutb-ud-Diu Yüsuf Tımrüni, Malik, — one of the Mailks of the brother Sultäns of <u>Gh</u>ür, 390, 408, 490.

Kutlagh Indian, son of the Atä-bak Jahin Pahlawin Muhammad, 167 n 8, 173 n 3, 242 n 5, 243 n, 247 n, 240 n 3, 457 n.

Kutlagh Khäu, title of the Ati-bak Abū Bikr b Sa'd b. Zangi, which see, 180 n 5.

Kutlagh Tigin,—slave of Mu'izzud-Din Muhammad-i-Sâm and Amir-ul-Umara of Tāj-ud-Din Iyal-duz, 504 n 4, 526 n 8.

Kutlah,—mispronunciation of the name of Kubilah <u>Kh</u>ān b. Kabal Khān, 897 s.

Kutlugh, aignification of the title, 865 v.

Ketlugh Khāu, Hākim of Jand, 972 n Kuṭlugh Khāu, step-father of Sulṭān Nāṭir nd-Din Mahmud Sliāh of Dihli. 892, 701, 702 m 3, 703, 704 and m 5, 706, 707 and m 6, 708, 710 m 1, 759 and m 6, 768 and m 4, 769 m 5, 777 m, 778 m, 764 m, 765 and m 7, 825, 827, 833 mm 9 and 4, 824 and m 7, 835 and m 4, 836 and m 9, 847, 839 m 7, 839, 840 and m 2, 841 and m 6, 842, 844 and m 4, 847 nm 1 and 4, 1225 m.

Kutlugh Khān-i-Mas'ūd b. 'Alā-ud-Din Jāni, 768 v 4, 769 and s 5, 775 s. See also under Kultı Khān Jalāl-ud-Din Mas'ud.

Kutingh Mas'nd b Jani, Malik, 712 n 9. See the above. Aufligh Shih b. Saltin Ibrihim Chasnawi, 106.

Entlugh Sultan, title of Burak the Bajib, which see, 1118 a 9.

Entükü, the Nüyān, one of the generals of the Chingix Khān, 1019 a, 1020 s.

Kutükü, a Shāh-zādah of the family of the Chingis Khān, 1141 n

Küs or Güs Khān, fourth son of Mughal Khān, 875 s.

Kytk Khin b. Ultie Ki-in, con of the Ohingis Khin, xivil, 1068 and n.6, 1105 n, 1115 n.6, 1168 n, 1137 n, 1142 n, 1144 and n.8, 1148 and n.4, 5 and 6, 1149 and n.7, 1160 and n, 1151 n, 1163 n, 1167 and n.9, 1168, 1169, 1160 and n.8, 1163, 1168 and n.7, 1164, 1165 n, 1170 n, 1171 n, 1178 and n.9, 1177 and n.1, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1181 n.3, 1184 n, 1186 n, 1186 n.

L

Läghin, the Khitā î,—an army offcer of Kubāuhah in Sindustān, 294 n.

Lā-chin Beg,—a chief of the Karlüghs in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 900 s

Laddah, son of the Rae of Qhandwal [Chand war, 743

Lastat at Kadr, [the night of Power], -the 27th of the mouth of Ramasan, 531 and 88.

Laig, the Suffer, -head of the Bramers of Signstin, 19 and w. I. 153 m.2.

Lass b. 'Ali b. Lass, the Brazier, of the family of the Suffarians, 34 n 6, 184 n, 185 n.

Lak, the,—a sept of nomad Kurds, 317 n 3, 616 n

Lakan the Lak, Amir of Aytkinānād, 317 and n 5

Lak Bakhsh,—the giver of laks, a title given to Kuth ud-Din Ibak, 512 x 4.

Lakhi, son of Jundharah,...of the Bhati tribe of Hindus, 79 n, 80 s Lakhia Hazirahn, the,...nime of a tribe, 620 n 5 Lakhman Sen,—of the Hinda dynasty of Núdšah, 856 a 1.

Lakhmana or Lakshmana, son of Dasarata,—half-brother of Rim Chaudra, 544 n 2, 585 n 6

Lakhmania Rão sea under Rão Lakhmania.

Lams, the,-pontiff of the Buddhuse 1958 a 6

Lamaute, the, 951 a

Lamak (Lamech of Scripture), 308. Landrey, signification of the word, 273 n 4.

Lane, xxxi, 603 m 7, 829 m 9, 1296 m l.

Lis, the,—name of an idoi, 1058 a 6

Laftif i Chrysei, the,—of Imam Fakhr-ud-Din Risi, 265 u P.

Liwud h. Sim [Shem] b Nub [Nonh], 306

Lawik, Aba 'Ali i-, rater of Chasmin, 73, 186 s, 320 s 2. He seems to be the same person as the next.

Lawik, Aba Bikr-l-, Amir of Gasnin, 71 and a 5, 78 a 6. Layen the,—s title,—See under Livin. [a.3.

Lee, 648 x 5, 678 x 6, 800 x 8, 1275 Lees, Colonel W. N., z, 67 x 2, 1250 x.

Loner, 864 n.

Licerèque, 884 m.

"Life of Genghiseam [Chingis <u>Kh</u>in] the Great," Pétis de la Groix's, 890 s, 898 s.

Life of Yakuob Beg, Ameer of Kashgar, Boulger's, 1045 n.

Lik-Til or Lek-Til, the rebet shave of Sultin Mu'iss-ad-Din Muhammad-i-Sim, 478 n, 477 n, 493 n, 484 n.

Likum Khātun,—daughter of Kothiuk, som of the Tayānak Khān, 946 a.

Lingard, 309 w.

Lion of the Mountains, the, 213 a 5. See Asad ad-Din Sher-i-Koh.

Li-win [the Layan of Europeans], —title of the Bädghäh of Kighin. 1066 s.3. Lodi, -See under Ladi.

Los-daey,—the proper spelling of the name Lodi or Lidi, 105 u.

Louis VII. of France, 221 m.

Louis IX of France, 1990 m].

Lubb-ut-Tawārīķķ, tha, 41 m 8, 96 m 8, 97 m 4, 117 m, 125 m 2, 142 m 1, 202 m 8, 407 m 5, 440 m 8, 460 m 9, 523 m, 526 m 2, 580 m, 625 m 1, 651 m 7, 900 m 2, 1256 m 6.

Ladi or Lodi, surname of Ibrihim b. Shib Mussin, Churi, 510 s.

Ladi or Lodi tribe of Afghans, the, 220 n 4, 511 n.

Lüdiah dynasty in India, the, 510 n, 511 n.

Luhr-isp, 203 a 9. See Kai-Luhrisib, the Tyrant.

Lumedon, Mathew, -- app iz.

Lirs, the,—a tribe of nomade in 'lrik, 1198 a.

Lyew-ping-choug, the Chinese Bonsa, 1218 s.

Ly-Hyen, Bădghih of Kighin, 1986

M.

Macedonians, the, 1046 s.

Mac D. Kinneir's "Geographical Mesocir of the Persian Empire," 64 v. 1.

Macgragor, Colonel C. M., 1115 a S. Mil-Chin h. Chin b. Yang [Japhoth] 871 a.

Mi-Chin, b. Tühl b. Bü-manjar sovereign of the Mughal I-mily. 804 n. 896 n.

Ma'dan 1-Akhwir-i-Ahmadi, the,---a historical work, xvi, 587#4, 739 a.6.

Madhob Son b. Rie Lakhmaniah,---

of the Hinds dynasty of Núdiak, 558 a l.

Mie Khusrau b. Bahrim,—ancester of Tihir she zl-Hussin, 9.

Māhā-kāi Dīw,—the idel temple of, 621 a 6, 622, 623, 628.

Mahan-i-Khasa'i b. As'ad,....ancestor of Tahir ibn-ul Husam, 9 a l.

Mahi-phia,—the Rajah of Gwahyur, 619 a 7.

Mahd-i-'Irāk (or the 'Irāki spouse), sieter of Sultīn Sanjar Saljūķi, 107 and n 6, 108.

Mahdi, al-, [the Director or Guide],

-the last of the twelve Imams of the Shi'sh, 1180 and = 2.

Mahdi, al-,-the 'Abbisi Khalifah, 874 = 5, 1189 and = 9.

Mahi Maritib, signification of the term, 1295 a 8.

Mähi Subähi, signification of the term, 1295 and # 8.

Min Malik, daughter of Ghiyaş-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sam, xxii, 301, 391.

Mahmud of Ghasnin, Sultun Yaminud-Daulah Nızam-ud-Din Abu'l-Käsim, son of Sabuk-Tigin, zzzin, 20 n 3, 41 n, 44 n 8, 47 and m 9, 48, 49 and m 8, 50 and mm 8 and 4, 51 and no 6 and 7, 53 m, 67 and n 1, 68, 74 m 8, 75 and nn 6 and 7, 76 and nn 8 and 1, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n and n 5, 81 and m, 82 n 7, 83, 84 and notes, 85 m, 86 m, 87 and n, 88 and mm 3 and 7. 89 n 8. 91 n 9. 93 and nn 3 and 4, 93, 96, 99 and n, 102 n 4, 104, 105 n, 107, 112 n 5, 116 and m and m3, 117 m, 118, 119, 130 and n 5, 129 n 2, 186 n, 232 n, 285 n 5, 293 n, 308 n 2, 316 m and s 2, 320 and s 4, 821 and s 7, 332 and n, 323 n, 324 n, 329 and m, 839 n 7, 341 n 6, 354 and n 6, 419 n 5, 448, 432 n 8, 453 n 4, 487 n 6, 500 n, 510 n, 536 n, 537 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 1132 n,-app. xii, EVIL EVILLEE.

Mahmud the Patan King of Guzerat, 511 n

Mahmud the Täränī,—his rebellion in Bukhārā, 1128 z, 1145 z.

Mahmūd-i-Ātash <u>Kh</u>'ār [the Firecater], Shaikh, 1146, 1147, 1148, Mahmūd b. <u>Gh</u>iyās-ud Din Muhammed-i-Sim, Shansabi Soltin of Ghile, zziii, l. li. 303 a 8, 309, 304 and a, 295, 396, 397 and sa 6, 8, 9 and 5, 898 and an 6 and 7, 399 m and mm 2, 3 and 7, 400 and m 3, 401 s. 403 s. 403 and s. 404 and ml. 405, 406, 407 mm 5 and 7 408, 400, 410 an \$ and 6, 411 a, 412, 414 and no 8 and 2, 415, 418 n 2, 421 m 7, 482, 488 m and m 7, 484 m, 470 n2, 472, 476 n, 478 n 6, 480 n, 490, 498 m 7, 498 m, 494 m 1, 497 m 3, 498 m, 501 and m 5, 503 m and n 6, 808 and n 2, 519 n, 522 and n 5, 524 n, 524 n, 525 n, 526 n 8, 527 m, 528 m 2, 607 m 5, 1199 m,app. zva. His title was Ghiyasud-Dia.

Mahmüd-:-Īrān Shāh b. 'Atā-ud-Din Muhammad the Pearl of <u>Gh</u>ār, 394 n, 395, 396, 399, 408.

Mahmād b Iyal Arsalān, Sultān Shāh-1-Jalāl-ud Din, <u>Kh</u>wārasm Shāh, 239, 245 and a 5. See also under Sultān <u>Sh</u>āh, Jalāl-ud-Din.

Mahmud b Khusrau Shah b. Bahram Shah, Ghusnawi, 113

Mahmud b. Malik Shāh b. Alb-Armalān-i-Ghāzi, the Saljūkī, 436 and *7, 143 *2, 144 * and *8, 157 and *4, 158 and *, 159. His title was Mughu-ad-Dia.

Mahmid b. Mas'üd-i-Karim, @hasnawi, 107

Mahmud b. Muhammad b. Malik Shih Saljuki,—nephaw of Sultin Sanjar, 146 n.6, 147 n.8, 151 n.6, 169 n.7, 170 n.8, 204 n. 375 n.

Mahmad b. Muhammad-i-Sim, <u>Ch</u>ürî, Sultin, 25d and z 9, 258 v-See also under Mahmad b. <u>Ch</u>iyêşud-Dîn Mahmid b. Sulfin Ibelbim Charnawi, 106 and n 6.

Mahmud b. Sulfin Mandid Ghasnawi, 97.

Mahmad-i-Warrah, of Rightpur, 17, 18.

Mahmud Yalwej, the Bahker, the 'Axis and Schibel-A'ram of the Chinguish dynasty, 1075 n, 1119 n, 1138 n, 1141 n, 1149 n 7, 1152 n, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1217 n.

Mahmud Khān, XVIth of the Afrietyāķi Khāns of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 908 s.

Mahméd Khān b. Mahammad-i-Armiša Khān, son of the Bughrā Khān,—the XXth of the Afrāsiyābi Khāns of Turkistān, 180 n 7, 239 n, 906 n. 917 n, 908 n, 926 n, 926 n. His title was Rukn-ud-Din

Mahmid Shih b. Khassa Malik b. Khassas Shih, Ghassawi, 115.

Mahmudi dynasty, the, 26 and n 1, 98 n 8, 100 = 4, 111, 112 n 5, 113 n, 114 and n, 115, 127, 182, 184. Also called the Gharnaw sh and Yuuninah dynasty.

Mahmudi [of Mahmud of Ghanin] family, the 340, 342, 348 s. 350 s. 354 and s 6, 355 and s 7, 358 s.2, 377, \$22, \$40, \$48, \$52, \$55, 1062 s 7, 1072 s 5.

Mahmudi Maliks, the See the Mahmudi dynasty.

"Mahommedan Hustery," Price's,

Mahrattas, the, 691 ×

Mäh-Búe, 'Amil or governor of the district near Cagand, on the part of Sultan Mahmud of tehnamin, 53 n. Maimandi, al, — Khwijah-i-Figil Ahmad b. Hann, — Wazir of Sulțin Marid b. Mahmid of Ghasnin, 92 n S, 130 n S.

Main, the Rev. Robert, 268 a 3.

Mairs or Mors, the,—name of a Rindu people, 520 n.

Majāmi'ul-Khiyār, the,—name of a historical work, 869 n 2, 890 n, 804 n, 1030 n.

Müjür, son of the Nü-yin Ülji, 1180 m.

Majd-ud-Daulah Buwiah,— last of the Buwiah dynasty in 'Irâk, 87 n.

Majd-ud-Daulah b. Sayyid "AR-ud-.Daulah the Hamadānī, of the race of 'Alī, 995 z

Majd-ud-Dun, the Kil yünî, Malik, governor of Hirît under the Mughals, 1128 z

Majd-ud-Din, the Model, Kārī,—
of Chivîş-ud-Din Muhammad-ISām Chūrī, 888

Majd ud-Din Muhammad b. Hasan b Thus,—one of the Sayyids of Hillah, 1242 n 2.

Majd ud-Din Müsawi, Sayyid,— Waxir of Sultin Saif ud-Din Sari, 388, 440, 442, 445.

Majd ad-Din the Tabrisi, Malik, 1262 a

Majd-nd-Dîn Tülakî, Kîşî,—maternal uncle of Minhāj-i-Sarāj, 458, 1060 s 5

Majd-al-Mulk, <u>KL</u>wājah Safi-ud-Din, Wazir of <u>Ghiyās-ud-din Mu-</u> hammad i Sām <u>Gh</u>urī, 390, 414.

Majd-ul Mulk 'Umr-1-Rāji, the Kāfi
—one of the Wasirs of Sultān
Muhammad Khwārazus Shāh,
930 n. 1027 n S.

- Majdūd b. Sultān Mac'ūd b. Mahmūd of Ghazuīn, 96, 125 = 5.
- Majir-nd-Din Fakhr-nl-Mulk. See under Fakhr-nl-Mulk Nusim-nd-Din.
- Majir-ul-Mulk Sharaf-nd-Din Mupaffar,—one of the Amire of Sultan Mahammad <u>Kh</u>warasm Shah, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1033 n.
- Majır nl-Mulk "Umr-i-Rāji, the Kāfı, one of the Wazire of Sultān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>wārasm <u>Chāb,</u> 990 s, 1027 s 8.
- "Maķāmāt-ul-'Amīd-i-Abū Naşr-i-Muhkān,"—of Abu-l-Fazl-i-Muḥammad Al-Bashaķī, 87 n, 105 n.
- Mākān b. Kākī, Dīlamī,—of the Āl-i-Ziyār dynasty, sovereign of Gurgān, 37 n, 55 and a 1, 56 and n 2.
- Mukhdum-i-Jahanan-i-Jahan,—a Muhammadan saint, 541 n 6
- Makhsan-1-Afghāni, the,—a instoracal work, xvi. 622 s.
- Makrit tribe, the,—of the Kaiāt division of Mughals, xlvm, 273 n, 931 n, 940 n, 941 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 949 n, 950 n, 951 n, 964 n 2, 980 n 1, 981 n, 982 n, 983 n, 1091 n, 1102 n
- Malcolm, Sir John, 442 n, 1264 n, 1279 n, 1292 n
- Mil Diw,-the Rae of Gwaliyur, 619 and n 7.
- Mairk of Kābul, the,—put to death by Hulāku <u>Khān</u> 717 n
- Mahk, the son of Du ar, 'Aziz of Mur, 596.
- Mohk 5 Uktāc Kā'ān, son of the Chusgiz Khān, 1142 n, 1168 n.

- Mulik-ul-'Ādil Abū Bikr b. Mulikul-Kāmil, Nēşir-ud-Din, al-Alyūbī, 220 n 5, 230 u.
- Malık-ul-'Adil al-,--Abu'l-#Islam Nür-ud-Din Mahmüd, son of 'Imād-ud-Din Zangi, 204 s.
- Maik-ul-'Adıl Suf-nd-Din Abi Bıkı i-Muḥammad b Aiyüb, son of hadi, al-Kuidi, alvii, 208 and n 9, 219, 222 and n 8, 225 and n 5, 224 and n 2, 225 and n 4, 226 and n 8, 227 and n 9, 228 n 4, 1266 n 9, 1267 n 6.
- Malik-ul-Afpal Abu-l-Haran-i-'Aii Nur-ud-Din b Şalāl-ud-Din al-Aiyūbi, 210, 221 and u 7, 222 and wn 3 and 9, 223 n and un 2, 4 and 5, 224 and u 8
- Malık-ul-Afşal Najm ud-Din Abû Laghkar-ı-Aiyab See Aiyûb b. Shādı,
- Mahk-ul Amjad b Mahk-ul-'Add Saif-ud Din Abu Bikr-i-Muhammad al Aiyūbī, 226
- Mairk ul-Ashraf b. Malik-al-'Adj. Sarf ud Dm Abu Bikr-i-Muhammad al-Aiyubi, 226 and a 7, 236 a 4, 297 a, 296 a
- Malik-ul Awhad b Malik-ul- 'Adil Saif-ud Din Abu Bikr i Muhammad al-Aiyubi, 226 and u 7
- Malık ul-'Az.z b. Malık-ul-Zöhir Ghıy âs ud Dın b Şalāh-ud-Din Yusaf al Asyulu, 1207 a 6
- Mahk-nl 'Ariz, 'Imad ud Din, Abn--l Fath, 'Usman b 8 15h-ud-Din Yusuf d-Yushi, 219, 223 and a and no 6 and 9 223 a and na 4 and 5 224, 225 n
- Malik al Aziz Zähir-ad-Din Saiful Isläm, son of Aiyub b. Shiidi, al-Kurdi, 208 and alf.

- Malticat-Ye'is Sthit;-ad-Din İbcihim b. Malik-ul-'Adii Saif-ud-Din Abā Bikr-i-Mahammad al-Aryūbi, 236 and a 5, 228 a 4.
- Maint-ul-Chāsi b. Maint-ul-'Ādri Baif-ud-Dīn Abū Bitr-i-Muhammad ul-Aiyūbi, 226 and u. 6. Soe also under Shihāb-ud-Dīn-i-Chāsi.
- Malik-ul-Hujjāb, (Head of the Chamberlains),—name of a title, 880 and a 4.
- Malik-ul-Jawād Muşaffar-ud-Din Yūnas, son of Shams-ud-Din, Mawdud, al-Aryūbi, 229 v 5
- Malik-nl-Jibli, title of Kuth-ad-Dia Muhammad b. 'Iss ud-Din al-Human <u>Gh</u>uri, which see.
- Malik-ul Kāmil b Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif ud-Din Abé Bikr-i-Muhammad, al-Aiyubi, 223 n 5, 226 and u 7, 227 u 4, 228 and u 4, 329 and u 5
- Mahk-ni Kāmii Muhammad b Shihāb-ud-Din Ghāsi, al-Aişūbi, iv, 1265 and ws 9 and 2, 1266 and w 4, 1267 and w 6, 1264, 1470 and w 2, 1272 a 5, 1273 a 7, 1274 a 7
- Malik ul-Mamdúd b Walik-ul 'Ādil, Saif pd Din Abû Bikr-i-Muḥammad al-Aiyūbī, 226
- Malik-nl Mangur b Malik-ul-'Aziz b. Salāh-nd-Din Yusuf al-Aiyūbi, 222 n. 224, 225 a
- Mahk-ul-Manşûr Nür-ud-Din 'Ali b. 'Ias-ud-Din Ibak, the Turkman, sovereign of Misr, 1276 s.
- Malık-ul-Mas'üd b. Malık-ul-'Adıl, Saif-ud-Din Abû Bıkr-i-Muhammad al Ajyübi, 236 × 7
- Malik-ni-Mu'agam, Shams-ud Daulah, Türün Shih, son of Ahū

- Laghkar-i-Aiyāh b. Ehtdī al-Kurdī, 200 x 9, 214 x 6, 217 x, 229 z 5, 230 x.
- Malik-ul-Mu'sgram-i-'Isā b. Malikul-'Ādii Saif-ud-Dīn Abū Bıkr-i-Muhammad al-Aiyübi, 226 and x 7, 227 and x 9, 226 and x 4, 1267 x 6. Malik-ul-Muhsin b. Şalüh-ud-Din Yüsuf al-Aiyübi, 222 and n.
- Malık-ul-Mushtammir b. Şalāh-ud-Din Yüsuf al-Aiyübi, 222 and n.
- Malık-ul-Muşaffar, Saif-ud-Dîn Şudüz, the Turkulin, sovursiga of Mur, 1276 s, 1278 s.
- Malik-ul-Umară [Chief, or Noble of Nobles], a title, 285.
- Malık-un-Nüşır, tıtle of Şalüh-nd-Din Yüsuf al-Aiyübi, 217 n, 218 and n 6.
- Malık-un-Nöşir Şalāh-ud-Din-i-Dā'ud b. Malık ul-Mu'aşşam-i-'lağ al-Asyubi, 227 a.9, 238 a.4, 239 a.5, 1267 a.6.
- Malık-un-Nāşır Şalāb-ud-Din Yüsuf b. Mulık-ul-'Azis b. Malikug Zāhır Ghiyāg-ud-Din, al-Aiyübi, Sultān of Halab and Ehām, 1263 n, 1264 n, 1267 and n 6, 1275, 1276 n, 1278 n.
- Malık-un-Nawwib, Ibak,—one of the Malıka of Näpır-ud-Din Mahmud Shik of Dihli, 718.
- Mahk ur Baḥim-r-Abū Nayr, son of 'Imād-ud-Dīu, son of Sulţān-ud-Daulah, Buwiah, 135 s 2.
- Malık-us-Sa'ıd, the Şāḥıb, or Lord of Mārdin, 1279 n.
- Malik-ns-Sa'is, Shame-ud-Din Muhammad, 180 and n 9. See also under Shame-ud Din Muhammad the Malik-ns-Sa'is b. Taj ud-Din Abu l-Fath

- Makk-up-Stlip-i-Jama'll 'Imid-ud-Din h. Malik-ul-'Adil Saif-ud-Din Abū Bikr-i-Muhammad al-Aiyūbi, Lord of Ba'albak, 226, 239 n 5.
- Malik-ne-Şālih Ismā'il, son of Sultān Nūr-ud-Dīn Mahmūd-i Zangī, 205 and n6, 206 and n7, 207. In the text he is called 'Alī
- Malık-uş-Şālıh Najm-ud-Din Aiyüb b. Malık-ul-Kāmil b. Malık-ul-'Adil Saif-ud Din al-Aiyübi, 229 and n 5
- Malık-uş-Sāhh b Şalāh-ud-Diu Yüsuf al-Aıyübi, 222 and n
- Malık-ut Tähir, Bandkadār, 1278 n See under Bandkadār
- Malik ut-Tāhir (Thiyās-ud-Dīn Abu'l Manşūr i-(Thā rī b Salāh-ud I) in Yusuf al-Aiyūbī, 222 n, 225 n, 1267 n 6
- Malik-ut-Tujjär, aigmification of the term, 790 and n 7
- Malık-uş Zöfir al Mushtammor, Mu şaffar-ud-Pin, Abu l Köşim, son of Şaläh-ud Din Yusuf al Aiyûbi, 223 n
- Mahk-uz-Zāhid Majir-ud-Dīn, Abu Sulīmān-1-Dā'ud b Şaiāh ud Dīn Yūsuf al-Aiyubī, 222 n
- Malık-uş-Zāhır, son of Salāh ud Dîn Yûsuf al-Aıyûbi, 221, 222 n and n 8
- Malik Aghūlb Kūtān b. Chtāc Kā'ān, son of the Ching's Khān, 1155 n
- Malik Aghûl b Malik b Cktûe Kî'an, son of the Chingez Khā'a. 1182 n.
- Malık Arsalan b Mas'üd ı-Karım Ghaznawi, 107
- Malik Ohibr b. Mas'üd i Karun Ghaznawi, 107

- Malik Chihr b. Sultin Ibeshim Channawi, 105 and a 8.
- Walik Děd b. Sulțăn Ibrăhim <u>Chas-</u> nawî, 106.
- Malik Khin the Khalj,—a general of Sultin Jalil-ad-Din Khwirasm Shih, 589 and n 5, 540 n.
- Mahk Khan b. Takish-1-Khwarasm Shah, 249 n 3, 250 and an 4, 5 and 6, 251 nn 9 and 1, 254
- Malik Klän, Yamin-ul-Mulk, ruler of Hirst, ix, 287 and n and nn 9 and 1, 289 n, 290 n, 291 n, 409 n 6, 412, 415, 417, 171 n 5, 540 n, 989 n, 1000 n 1, 1013, 1014 n 3, 1018 and n, 1016, 1017 n, 1018, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1023
- Malik Malik, of Wakheb, —one of the Walths of Sulthu Malika-ud-Din Mubanimad i-Sam, Chari, 436, 190
- Mairk ≥hāh h Alb Armiān i Chāni, non of Dā ad-i Jagh ir Bog, the Saljuki 105 n and n G, 108 n S, 136 n, 137 and n n 5 and 6, 138 n and n h, 132 and n 1, 143 and n 2, 144 n 146 and n G, 148 n 6, 158, 159 n, 168 n 2, 160 n 7, 173 n 4, 1% and n, 232 n, 908 n, 914 n, He was styled Jaiāl ad Dīn
- Malik Shah b Barktaruh b. Sultan Malik Stah, Saip di 146 n. 145 n. 4.
- Malin Nah b Khuarau Malik, son of hhuarau Shith Ghaznawi, 115, 102 n 9, 436 n 1
- Malik Mahmud b. Muhammad son of Saltën Malik Shah Saljiki, 151 n.6. 174 n.
- Malik Mah b Name ud-Din 'Upman, wor of Tay ud-Din i Hurab, 194

- Malik Chab b. Aufün Ibeshim Chappawi, 108.
- Malik Shih b. Tughril-i-Arsalin Shih Saljûkî, 173 = 3
- Malik Shor, the Churi Hikim of Kibul, 1015 a.
- Mailk Cher b. Sulpin Ibrahim Chasnawi, 106.
- Malik-Zād b. Mae'üd-i-Karīm @asnawi, 107.
- Malik-Zād b. Sulţān Ibrāhim <u>Gh</u>asnawi, 105.
- Malıkah of Kidën, the, wife of Sulțăn Bahā-nd-Din Sām <u>Gh</u>ūri, 842
- Malikah-i-Hājī, the, daughter of Kuth-nd-Dîn, the Malik-nl-Jibal, Malik of <u>Gh</u>ür, 847
- Malikah-i-Jahān, the, mother of Sultān Muḥammad <u>Kh</u>warazm <u>Sh</u>ah, 1212
- Halikah i Jahan, the, mother of Taj ud-Din Zangi, of Bamian, 343 and n 9
- Malikah-i-Jahān, Jahāl-nd-Dunyā wa'd Din, the,—mother of Naurnd Din Mahmud Shāh, of Dihli, 676, 677, 701, 710, 785.
- Malikah 1-Jalah, the, daughter of Ghips-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sam Ghuri, 182 and w2, 412, 419 and w 6, 487.
- Malikah 1-Khurisan, the, mother of Alb-Arsalin-1-Ghāzī, son of Malik Kimi-Arsalan, 343
- Matikah-i-Mu'aşşamah, Jalâl-ud Dunya wa'd Din, the, 389. See under Malikah-i-Jalâli.
- Malikah-i-Mu'izziah, the, daughter of Malik Taj-ud-Din of Timran, 408.
- Malikah Turkën, the, mother of Sultän Sheh Mehmid, son of Syal

- Armlin Khwirson Shih, 239 u.L.
- Malir Jaja, the,—name of a tribe in the Koh-t-Jüd, 1132 s.
- Malki, the Hindú,—leader of the rebels of the Koh-piyah of Mewit. 850.
- Malkirab b. Tubba', of the Tababi'ab of Yaman, 7.
- Maleson, Col. G B, 1022 n, 1027 n S, 1045 n, 1046 n, 1058 n 6, 1202 n, 1203 n
- Mamlak, in what sense understood, 168 n 2.
- Ma'mún, al.,—the 'Abbāsī Ehalifah, 9, 10, 11 and n 9, 12 and nn 6 and 3, 13, 14, 27.
- Ma'munb Muhammadal Farighuni, —Lord of Jürjiniah of Khwarasm, 84 n 8, 232 n, 233 and n 7.
- Man, a weight, 1064 a 2.
- Manat,—one of three chief idols of the pagene of Makkah, 82 and %5, 1065 a 6.
- Mandahars, the,—name of a people, 795 a.
- Mandars, the,—of the Khrk'hi division of the Afghan nation, 1044 n.
- Mandeville, Sir John, 1173 a 1.
- Mang or Manaug b Yaus [Japheth], 870 s, 871 s.
- Mangal Diw, son of Mil Diw, Rajah of Gwiliyûr, 619 and w 7, 620
- Mangali Khān [styled also Mangali Khwājah], com of Timur-Taeh, the Maghal chieftain, 885 a
- Mangalı <u>Kh</u>ân b Yal-düz,—VII sovereign of the Mughal Imāķ, 881 s.
- Mangasão, the Mughal Dăroghab of Hirát, 1129 n

Mungatic or Mangio, the Mughal Shahnah of Hirat, 1037 n, 1089 n7, 1049 n 3, 1054 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1206 n 3.

Mang-baras-i-Zard or Manguras-t-Zūd sawār, Amīr,—one of the Turkish slaves of <u>Gh</u>iyās-ud-Dīn Muḥmūd <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 395 and # 9, 396 and #.

Mangirak,—one of the Amire of Siltan Mahaidd of Ghazzin, son of Sabuk-Tigin, 91 a 8.

Mangit tribe, the, 565 m.

Mangküt Nan un Mughals, the, 945 s, 1094 s, 1116 s

Manglik the Nüyan,—who married the Chingiz Khun's mother, 1080 a.b. See Minglik Ichakah

Mangu or Mangus, b Karājah the Atābak, governor of Fārs on the part of the Saljūk sovereigns, 174 n

Mangu Khan, son of Tuli, son of the Ohingiz Khan, xivii, 164, 067 u 4, 696 n. 699 n 2, 700 n 8, 784 n 5, 786 and n 6, 792, 809 n 1, 1078 n 8, 1088 m, 1089 m, 1093 m 1094 m, 1119 n. 1135 n 5, 1140 n. 1141 n. 1152 n, 1153 n, 1157 n 1, 1162 n, 1165 m. 1169 n. 1170 n. 1171 n. 1172, 1176 and n 9, 1177, 1:78 a. 1179 s, 1180 and s, 1181 s and a 2 1182 and # 4, 1183 and #, 1181 m, 1185 m, 1186 and n, 1189, 1190 # 1, 1191 m, 1192, 1193 n 1194 n. 1195, 1196, 1200 n, 1202 n, 1206 1211 a 5 and a, '215 and an 1 and 4. 1217 m, 1218 m. 1219 m, 1220 m, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1223 and n 1224 n. 1225 and n 1226 and n, 1256 and n 6, 1257 and n. 1263 n. 1264 n 1265 n and : 2 1260, 1267 n 6,

1976 n. 1978 n. 1986 n. 1997 n. 1990 n l. 1991, 1993 and n. 1998 n. Manguli Bog,—slave of Mu-ayyidi-A'inah-dâr, 188 n l. 246 n S. 247 n.

Mangutah the Nüyan,—one of the generals of the Chiugis Ehan, 656 n, 667 and n 4, 727, 807 and n 1, 810 n. 812, 813, 1068, 1109, 1126 n 6, 1152, 1158 and n, 1154, 1201 n.

Mānī [Manichman] religion, the,

Manichean Christians, the, 567

Minsk, the surname of the rulers of Bl atah or Bhati, 593 s.

Manjansk, or Kers, definition of, 1133 n 9

Manjing the,—name of a people, 899 n.

Mankadhu or Mankadah, the Jusbi the Num, 1047 and a 6,

Mankaimi<u>sh</u>,—one of the emissaries of Huliku to Buka ad Din <u>Eh</u>ur <u>Sh</u>ah, 12:6 s 3

Mankati, the Nayin, 1180 a

Manang or Monship b Yafig [Japheth], 870 s

Montar b 'Abd al Matik b. Nutboniani, 29, 41 and sa 5 and 8, 42 a 1 43 and a 4, 71 and a 4, 185 a, 186 s

Manyar b Ahmad b Amd Samani, 29, 54

Manaur b 'Ais, -- governor of Hirat for the Samania, 37 n.

Manuar : Falad Sutur, of the family of Buwah, "Inst of the Dilams surereigns in Fars, 1762

Manus b Islah b Imas'il, Semani, 36

Mangir b. Ismi'll b. Ahmad b. And Simoni, 38, 54.

Mangûr b. Nagr b. Ahmad b. Ismā'll, Sāmīnî, 56.

Mangår b Nüh b. Mangår Simänī, lvill, 44, 48, 49 and * 8, 50 and * 1, 52 an 8 and 1, 54, 72 * 6.

Mangar b. Núh b. Nagr b Ahmad, Sāmānī. 29, 88, 41 n 8, 42, 43 and n 4, 44 and n 4, 54, 71 and n 4, 73 n 6, 185 n. He was called Abū Sālib-i-Mangar.

Mangar b. Suitān Maudād, @haznawi, 97.

Manyur Shith b Babris Shith Chasnawi, 111

Manuchthr b Iraj, Ath of the Bisthriah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 6, 9, 1058 and s 5.

Manuchihr b. Shams-nl-Ma'ali Kabus, son of Washingir, 81 n

Manulun Khatun. See under Matulun Khatun

Maraghani family, the, 1089 and s. 7, 1199 m.

Māraj (Tāraj) b. Yāfiş [Japheth], 670 s.

670 m. Marco Polo, 953 m, 960 m 6, 1069 m,

Mardin Shih b. Sultan Mas'ad, of Ghasnin, 95.

1140 m, 1145 m, 1219 m

Mardiwau, general of the Simini forces, 55 ml, 901 m, 908 m

Margatão, the Mughel Shebnah of Hirit, 1206 a 3.

Marghazi, the,—name of a tribe, 1029 a

Marniës, Marsis or Marnis, son of Zubik the Helik, 306.

Marongi or Margina, the Roman Physician, 1268 n.9, 1269 s, 1270 s. Margad b. 'Abd-ul Kulfi, of the Tabilit'sh of Yassam, 7.

Maruden, W., 718.

Marshman, 41 n, 445 n, 462 n, 465 n, 467 n, 479 n, 524 n, 527 n, 568 n 5, 669 n 8, 690 n 8.

Marathe, a Bishop, 1270 s. See under Marongi.

Marzabān, Şomşim-ud-Daulah b. 'Uşd-ud-Daulah Fank <u>Kh</u>uaran, Dîlamî, 57, 64 and s 1, 65,

Marzabiu b Hariz, the 'Ajami, of the Tabibi'sh, of Yaman, 8

Masšinki wa Mamšiik, the,—name of a geographical work, xvi, 30 n3, 28 n3, 70 n6, 94 n3, 188 n7, 196 n2, 300 n6, 301 n2, 232 n5, 288 n8, 296 n, 305 n7, 423 n8, 426 n6, 674 n3, 922 n, 994 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n, 1018 n, 1024 n2, 1025 n, 1031 n, 1036 n1, 1061 and n, 1123 n, 1188 n, 1205 n8, 1240 n, 1278 n.

Mascon's History of the Germans, 870 s.

Manhruiso,—probably the Bishtruitu,—name of a people, 872 a. Masrat b Abrahah-ul-Ashram, of the Tabibi'sh of Yaman, 8

Masson, 339 n 7, 427 n, 1058 n 6.

Mas'ud b Kuli; Arsa nn, Sultäu of Rum, of the Sa'jūk dynasty, 160 m, Mas'ud b. Mahmud of Ghasuin, Sultän, vii, xx, xxi, 76 s S, 85 m, 87 m, 88 and sa 4 and 7, 89 and s S, 90 and ss 1 and 4, 91 and sa 6 and 2, 92 and ss 3 and 4, 93 and ss 8 and 9, 94 and s 3, 95 and ss 8, 7 and 8, 96 and s 1, 99 m and s 1, 100 s 4, 101, 116 s 3, 119 s 6, 130, 121 s, 122 and ss 8 and

3, 128 m, 124 m and m 4, 125 and nm

5, 6 and 8, 126 and an 2 and 8, 127, 128 a, 129 and an 2 and 3, 130 and a 6, 131 and a 7, 132 a 9, 232 a, 319 a 5, 324 a, 325 a, 326 a, 327 a, 328 a, 329 a, 329 a, 4, 354, 904 a, 905 a, 1009 a, 1043 a 1. He was styled Näsir-nd-Din U'liab.

Mas'ūd b Mahmūd b Saltān Malik Shāh Saljūķī, 157 n 4, 158 n, 159, 160.

Mus'ud b Mahmüd b Muhammad b. Sultān Mahk <u>Sh</u>āh, Saljūķī, 151 n 6, 160 n 8, 174 s.

Mas'üd b Malık-ul-Kâmil b. Malıkul-'Adıl Abii Bıkr, 228 n 4

Mas'ud b. Mandud, son of Sultan Mas'ud, Ghaznawi, 97 and n 4

Mas'ad b Muhammad, son of Sultān Malık Sljāh Sal,uķī, 146 n 6, 147 28, 150, 151 n 6, 152, 174 n, 207 n 8.

Mas'iid Bak b Mahmiid Yalwij, the Jumlat ul-Mulk, [Minister of State] of Chaghatie Ka'ian, son of the Chingiz Khan, 1111 s, 1147 and s 3, 1152 s, 1186 s, 1194 s

Mas'ud i-Karim 'Alā-ud-D n, b Su'tān Ihrāhim <u>Gh</u>arnawi, 103 and r, 106 and nn 2 and 5, 107 and n 7, 106 n and n 5, 109, 148, 313 a 4, 323 n, 332 n 5, 337 an 6 and 7, 348 n

Mas'ud Shah b. Bahrām Shāh, Ghasnawi, 111

Mae'ud Shih b Khusran Malik
Choznawi, 115 [1002 a.

Mathi Danmin, a Trobat title,
Mathiut the Khātun of Zūtumin,
the Hird of the Bu-zanjar dynasty of the Moghal I-māt, 806 a.

Maudul, Shihah of Daniah Ahū

ba'd b Sultan Manud of Glann,

Sultin, 90 and an 4 and 5, 95 and an 8 and 9, 96 = 2, 97 and a and an 3 and 4, 98 = 8, 100, 125 and = 5, 131 = 7

Maulini i Charkhi,—a Muhammadan mant, 73 s 8.

Maurice, 445 n, 462 m, 467 m.

Mayālūn <u>Kh</u>ātūn, daughter of Mangu <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tāli, son of the Chingis <u>Kh</u>ēn, 1223 a.

Mogh, Mey or Mogh tribe, the, 560 and a 4, 563 a, 571.

Memoirs of Babar, emperor of Higdustan, the, 1131 a, 1132 a.

Memoirs of Humayan Badehab, the, xv [n9.

Mong tribe of Mughais, the, 1164
Meng Koung,—a Chinese general
and historian, 895 a

Mers or Mairs, the,—name of a Hindu people, 520 s.

Mcanina the, 1162 a

Mewris, the, or

Mona the,—a race of people, 708 n 7, 715 and n 1, 755 a 9,

Mian a term abridged from Mianji, which see, 454 s.

Mišn_{ji}, title of the Jamun-nai (the Jamun dynasty), 452 n, 454 n

M \$1/1, the Mugjal,—the killer of habon, 1250 s.

Miānjuk, the Atā bak and commander of troops of Yunas Khān, son of Takish. Khwarasm Shāh, 242 n.6, 249 n.8.

Michell, Mr. Robert, 916 a.

Mibrab Shah, of the race of Zabák, the Tüzi,—the tributary ruler of Kübul, 309 n

Mshr-gen, title of Afriden, the VIIth of the Hesteniah dynasty of 'Ajani 3 Mihghid, Mighhid or Mamehid, nonof Marinia b. Afridia, Bādahāh of 'Ajam, 206.

Mihtar Jatti, the Farrigh, slave of Khwijah Muhagsab-nd-Dis, the Wazir, 767.

Mikl'il b. Saljük b. Lukmün, the Turkmün, 117 s.

Miles, 1056 = 7.

Military Antiquities, Gross's, 1184s. Mill, 462 s.

Minār of Kutb Şāhib, the, 520 n, 622 n.

Minglik Ighakah, the Kunakkumär or Känakumär, 940 n. See Manglik the Nayān.

Minhaj-1-Baraj, Maulana, vri, nin and n 1, xxx, xlvi, 104, 106, 108 n 8, 150, 160, 188, 194, 197, 201, 219 n 9. 233, 255, 344, 361 n 8. 396, 469 n 9, 496, 509 n, 541, 611, 615, 620, 648 and a 4, 649, 659, 662, 663, 667, 677 × 6, 679, 690, 698, 701, 716 . 6, 732, 740, 745, 754, 773 m, 783 m 7, 787, 789, 808, 821, 827, 831, 834, 835, 845, 882 w. 896 m, 963, 967, 967 m 3, 1006, 1007, 1039, 1060, 1061, 1079, 1140, 1156, 1174, 1197, 1203, 1204, 1285, 1204, 1205 and n 2, 1296 a,-app 1, 11, 111, V. VIII, ET, EVII, EVIII, EIE, MXII, XXIII, MXV, MXVİ.

Minhaj ud-Din 'Ogmān-u-lbrāhim, Maulānā xix, zīvi, 106, 190, 191, 102 s 2,—app zix.

Minis, the,—name of a Hindú tribe, 795 x

Mir 'Abd-ul-Karim Buhhāri, the bistorian, 1091 s.

Mir Haidar, the Gabari,—governor of the fort of Gibari, 1044 s.

Mir Khawind, the historian, 964 n 2,

984 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1019 n, 1024 n 2.

Mir Kheirat 'Ali Khin, Munchi, 633 a 8.

Mir-i-Mih, the,-app. z.

Mir Ma'çûm the Bakhari,—anthor of the Thrigh.i-Sind. xvi, 548 s, 613 n E, 614 n 6, 616 n 1, 616 n, 623 n 1.

Mir Nük b Sulțăn Mařík b. Mas'ûdi-Karim Ghazonwi, 107.

Mir Sharif-i-Amuli,--app. xxi.

Miran Shah b. Sulpan Ibrahim Chasnawi, 106

Mir'st-ui-Affghuah, the,—a history, 622 s.

Mir'it-ul-'Alam, the,—name of a hook, xvi, 33 n 6.

Mir'it: Jahin Numi, the,—name
of a historical work xvi, 79 n,
64 n 9, 146 n 5, 323 n, 363 n 8,
368 n, 403 n, 407 n 5, 440 n 8, 451 n,
453 n 3, 528 n 2, 534 n 5, 546 n 5,
559 n 2, 602 n 2, 611 n 3, 619 n 7,
324 n 8,—app xx.

Mirconde, the Europeanized name of Mir Khäwind, which see

Mirghati the Crä-ut See Ghati the Nú-m, 1143 a

Mirai, application of the title, 1235 m 1

Mîrsî Abû-Bikr,—makes Yêrkand his capital, 922 w.

Miraž Haidar, the Poghläti Mughal, —author of the Tärigh-i-Ranhidi, zvi, zlv, 876 n, 883 n 889 n, 890 n. See also Miraž Muhammad Hasan Khān.

Mirzi Khan,—one of the Sufi ports of Afghanstan, 1078 n S.

Mirsā Mulawi, the Ķārluķ, 1130 m, 1132 s. Mirzi Mughai Heg, the surveyor, 79 n, 459 n 7.

Mirak Muhammad Hasso Khau, the Doghläti Mughal, author of the Tärikh-1-Rushedi, 902 s, 913 s, 1258 s 8 See also Virsa Haular Mirak Shah Rukh. Sultin 1147 s 1

Mirză Shah Rukh, Sull'an 1147 w.t. Modern Universal History, the, 1171 n

Mokul, the Purnhar France, -ruler of Mandawar, 611 n 3

Mongolium, the—one of the four races of Seythmans, 880 n 887 n, 890 n

Mongols, the,—name of the Maghalans spelt by Europeans

"Mongole Proper," Howorth's, 196 п. 911 в 8, 915 п. 924 п. 939 п. 942 n. 943 n. 914 n. 947 u. 944 n. 950 n. 955 n. 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 971 n. 976 n. 953 n. 954 n. 993 n. 984 n. 996 n. 1000 n. 1001 n. 1021 n % 1024 n.2. 1028 n. 1035 n. 1037 r. 1046 n, 1074 n, 10°5 n, 1083 n, 1084 n. 1096 n. 1094 n. 1091 n. 1092 n, 1095 n, 1007 n 7, 1102 n, 1121 n, 1143 n, 1150 n, 1170 a 9 1185 m, 1148 m 4 1190 m 1, 1194 m, 1200 n. 1226 a 123h n 1344 n 1247 m, 1250 m, 1253 m 4, 1255 m. 1256 n 6, 1257 n 6

Montenegrams, the 1032 n Moncroft 1058 n 6 1115 n 5 Monre's "Loils Roman 374 n 5 Morley, Mr. 77 n 125 n 325 n 376 n

Moses, of acripture, \$14 Musddilb 'Abrilan, of the dynasty of the Suffarun 31, 185 a Mu'addilb Lus the Brazier, of the dynasty of the Suffarun, 19, 185 a. Maidiķ ul 'Adai or Musifiķiad-Daulah,—a learned doctor, 1906 n 3, 120 n.

Mu'allakët, the,—or the suspended poems, 88 a 6.

Modestat of Khwejah Ahmad b. Hasan at Masmands, the Waxir, the, 02 s

Mu'ingah, the Khalifah, of the House of I'maycah 1911 n

Munyyalı Amab dür. See Mu-'ayyıl ad Dan se-Sanjari

Mu syxid ad Daulah Bawah, sen of Ruka ud Daulah, 67, 59

Mu'nevel ad Die, Wenr of Rickenad-Din hh ir Shah; the Badyhah of the Mulahidah, 1200 a

Mu'nayal ad Din 'Arra, the netronomer and mathematicine, 1257 w

Ma'ayud-ad Du Hindû Khin, Malik,—holder of the fief of Celighah 641 a = 645 s

Mu'nyyet-sel Din Mas'ud, --one of the Mulks of Mu'us-ud din Mu hammed 1-85m, Churi, 491

Mu'ayyid ad-Din Mas'ud Timran, —one of the Maliks of the heather Suithus of Chur, 1913, 4843

Mu'ayvul ud-Din Muhammad b 'Abd ut Mahk the 'Alkomi, 122' a 9 See under Din Alkomi Wazir of al-Musta'sim Ballah

Manyrid of Din as Saujari, Mahk of Nightpur, 150 and s.7, 151 and s. 230 s. 241 s. 240 s.7, 250, 375 s. 377 s.5. 419 s.6. 988 s. 928 s. He was known by the name of Manyrid s.A. mah-fike

Mu'nyvid ol-Mille Muhammids 'Abd-otlah Sintâns, the Water, of Mu'szend Die Muhammid 1-Saus, @arī, 418 and n 5, 488 n 8, 489, 482 n 7, 468 and n, 494, 602 n 6, 505, 526 n 8, 534, 608 n 8.

Ma'ayyid Shih b. Sultin Ibrihim Ghanawi, 106. [the, 180 and a 7 Ma'ayyidish dynasty of Nighipûr, Ma'azzi, Amir,—Post-Laureste, of Sultin Sanjar, 158 and a 7.

Muhārak, the Kurd,—Pahlawān of Sultān Muhammad Khwārasm Shāh, 1003.

Mubārak <u>Sh</u>āh b ni-Musta'şım, the last of the 'Alıbāni <u>Kh</u>alifahs, 1254 n

Maháriz nd Din'Ali, Saráhāni,—an officer of 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad, the Manlānā of the Mulähidahs, 1192 a

Mublirs ad Din Mubammad 'Ali a-Utsuz,—one of the Malika of Mu'izz ad Din Muhammad-i-Sarr Chura, 490.

Mubariz-ud Din Sahawari,—governor of Pir ir koh under the <u>Kh</u>wa--rasm <u>Sh</u>ahis, 285-1004 and a 5, 1048-1040 a 2, 1050 and a, 1066, 1057.

Mahshi or Mushbi h. Kammure, son of Adam, 3:4

Mu'ddil i Wandd or Mu'dd' li Asad b Shalid ancestor of Amir Banji 814

Mughal demany of Dibli, the,—founded by Bähar, 1145 n -- in frecerrectly styled the Chaghatae dynasty

Mughal I mile, the, 866 n, 867 n 875 n, 875 n, 876 n 877 n, 870 n, 891 n, 892 n, 893 n, 894 n 885 n, 896 n 897 n, 889 n, 801 n, 808 n 800 r, 903 n, 906 r 937 n | 1046 n 1944 n, 951 n 209 1044 n 1091 n, 1100 n 9, 1177 n 1, 1282 n 6, 1284 n 6.

Maghal or Mughūl Khān b. Alivjah Khān,—first sovereign of the Mughal I-māḥ, 873 n, 875 n, 877 n, 881 n, 887 n, 900 n, 951 a

Mughal Turks, the, 1100 and a 9. Mughale, the, xiv, xxiv, xxv, xxvii, zliz, lili, 154 a 2, 155 a 3, 162 and un 3 and 8, 163 and n E, 164 and a7, 178, 180 and a 6, 196, 197, 198, 201 and a 4, 202, 239 a 9, 243 n 7, 264 n, 267 n 9, 268 n 4, 269 n. 370, 272 m 1, 275 m, 276 m, 277 and m 5, 278 m 279 280 m 1, 282 m and w 7, 253, 296 mm 6 and 7, 287 m. 268 and n 3, 290 n, 290 n, 291 n, 292 m, 294 m, 297 m and a 9, 298 m and = 1, 317, \$45 = 5, \$70, 391 = 8, 392, 412 and a, 416 an 9 and 3, 417, 426 a 6, 427 a, 473 a 2, 477, 511 n. 533 n. 5 & n. 684 n. 539 aud nandn 2, 540 n, 643 n, 567 n 4, 599, 000, 610 a 6, 642 a 9, 644 a and # 7, 655 and # 2, 656 #, 657 and n 7, 663 n 9, 665 n 8, 666 m, 667 669 a, 676 a 9, 677 and a 6, 681 : n 8 and 9, 688 and n 4, 689 w. 690 m M. 691 n 692 m 3, 696 m. 7th n 8, 711 and n 4, 712 n and n 6, 713 x 2, 714 x 9, 715 x 4, 716 x 6, 717 n. 724 n 3,726 n 3,727,733 n 4, 740 a 8 747, 758 a 9, 768 a 4, 780, 784 n 4, 786, 792, 793 n 5, 794 n 1, 795 n, 796, 810 and n, 811 and a 6, 812 an 2 and 3, 814, 815 and as 5 and 8, 816 and a 3, 822 n 9, 830 n 5, 840 n 2, 844, 845 n, 846 and an 5 and 6, 847 and a 8. 850 and sa 2 and 6, 861 a and a 5 862 and a 8 863 a 3, 867 a, 869 and . 2, 870 m 871, 873 m, 874 m,

\$76 m, 877 m, 878 m, 880 m, 981 m, 862 m, 888 m, 884 m, 885 and m, 896 m, 887 m, 886 m, 889 m, 890 m, 691 m, 692 m, 893 m, 894 m, 895 and n, 896 n, 897 n, 896 n, 890 n, 900 m and m 1, 910 m, 912 m, 913 m, 917 m, 918 m, 920 m, 934 m, 935, 936 and a 6, 937, 938 n, 939 n, 942 n. 943 m, 945 n, 946 n, 947 n, 948 n, 949 n, 950 n, 952 x, 953, 954, 955 n, 956 м, 957 м, 968 м, 959 м, 960 п, 961 n. 963. 964 n 2. 965, 968. 269 and # 1. 970 mand n 2, 971 n, 972 n. 973 m. 974 n. 975 n 5, 976 and s, 977 s, 978 and s, 979 and s, 980 and n 7, 981 n, 982 n 983 n, 985 n, 986 n, 987 and n and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 n, 992 and n 8, 993 n 995 n, 996 n, 997 n, 998 n, 999 n, 1000 n 1001 n, 1004 and a 5, 10% and a, 10% and a 7, 1007 and a 4, 1006 and a 5, 1010 a, 1011 and m, 1012 1014 # 2, 1015 m, 1016, 1017 m. 1018 s. 1019 s. 1020 n, 1021 and n 8, 1022 n, 1024 and a 2, 1025, 1026, 1027 a 8, 1029 a. 1020 m, 1030 m, 1031 m, 1032 m, 1033 n. 1034 n. 1035 n. 1036 n l. 1037 n. 1038 n. 1039, 1040, 1042, 1043 n 1 1045 n, 1047 1046, 1049 at. in 2, 1051 and a, 1953 and a 9, Burid, 1056, 1057 and n4 1059 1061 and as 9 and 1, 1062 = 5, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1965, 1060 and as 3 and 4, 1070, 1071 and a 2, 1072, 1074 a, 1075 a, 1076 n. 1077, 1078 r A. 1079 1480 n 6, 1081 and n 7, 1082 n, 1083 n, 1094 n. 1095 and n 3, 1096 n. 1084 n. 1099 n, 1090 n, 1091 n, 1092 n, 1095 and s. 1097 s 6, 1098 and s. 1099 s. 1100 and s. 1101, 1102 s.

1104 m 5, 1105 m, 1105 m, 1107 1109 n S, 1112, 1114 and n S. 1115 =5, 1116, 1117, 1118 and = 9, 1119, 1120 and s 2, 1121, 1122 a, 1123, 1126, 1126, 1127 and a, 1129 a L. 1130 m, 1181 m, 1182 and m S, 1182, 1184, 1135 and on 3 and 5, 1196 and #9, 1137 m, 1188 m, 1189 m, 1140 m, 1142 and m, 1148 and m, 1144, 1145 and s, 1149 s 7, 1150. 1151 m, 1152 and m, 1158 and m. 1154 and so 1 and 2, 1185 and a 6. 1166, 1157, 1158, 1169, 1168 m, 1166 m. 1167 m. 1168 m. 1169 m. 1170 m, 1171 m, 1172, 1173 and a S. 1175, 1176, 1177 aand a 1, 1178 a, 1181 n 2, 1183 n, 1183 n, 1184 n, 1186 and s. 1187, 1189, 1192 s, 1193 m, 1197, 1198 nm 5 and 6, 1199 a, 1240 a, 1201 a, 1202 a, 120s, 1207 s. 1208 s. 1209 s. 1210 m, 1211 and m, 1212 m, 1216 m. 1217 m. 1818 m. 1819 m. 1250 m. 1222 m, 1223 and m, 1224 m, 1225 m, 1217, 123Handw, 1330m, 1332, 1238 and 4 3, 1234 = 8, 1235 = 1, 1237, 1234 apris 4, 1339, 1340 a and m9, 1242 m2, 1243 m, 1244 m, 1246 and a 4, 1246 a 5, 1247 a 7, 1248 and a M. 1250 a. 1262. 1268 a 4. 1254 s, 1255 s, 1259 as I, 2 and 3, 1361 and s and s 7, 1362 s, 1364 s, 1266 a 2, 1270 and a 2, 1272 and a5, 1273 a7, 1276 a \$, 1276 a, 1277 n. 1278 n. 1279 and n. 1240 » 5, 1293 n, 1252 and n 6, 1294 and a 5, 1287 a, 1290 a 9, 1292 a 7. Muchin, the, or Fire-worshippers, 975 a 5.

Maghis ad-Din Mahmid b Malik Shah Saljaki See under Mehmud b Malik Shāh. Mughip-ud-Din Malik Shih. See Malik Shih b. Mahmid b. Mubammad Saljüki.

Maghip-ud-Din, Sultin, 771 a,—title of Rhityfr-ud-Din Yuz-bak-i-Tughril Khin on his attaining to accordingty.

Mughip-ud-Din 'Umr b Malik-ul-'Adıl b. Malik-ul-Kāmil al-Aıyûbî, 220 s.

Mughil Khān, 873 n. See under Mughal Khān b. Alinjah

Mehallab,—one of the early 'Arab governors of Mäwarä un-Nahr, 915 n.

Muhammad, the Prophet. 1 5, 8
11 n 3, 12 n 9, 104 n 3, 147, 180
218, 243, 313 n 4, 348 n, 388, 596
n 1, 694 n 4, 710, 717, 820, 856,
985, 975, 1041, 1161 and an 1 and
4, 1253 n 4, 1270 n, 1280, 1288,
1290

Mohammad b 'Abbas, son of Shis, son of Muhammad 1-Sura, the Amir of Ghur, 322 n, 332, 333, app zv. zvi

Muhammad a 'Abd ullah, Amir, an officer of the Ghuri dynasty, 410

Muhammad b 'Abd uliah b Tähir ibn ul-Husain, 14 n 4

Muhammad b. Abi 'Ali, Amir, governor of the province of Lähor and Multan for Sultan Multis-nd-Din Muhammad-i Sam, 1, 476 s, 482 s.

Muhammad b Abu Sa'id Junaidi, <u>Kh</u>wajah,—Wazir of Sultan Shame-ud-Din Iyal Timinh, 425 m9, 544, 613.

Muhammad-i-Abu-l-Kasumb Hasun al-'Askari, - kuonn as al Malidi the last of the twelve Imiums of the Shi'sh, 1160 n 9.

Muhammad b. 'Alī b. Laig, of the Şuffiriün dynasty, 84 u 6, 185 n.

Muhammad-i-Armilin Khan b. Sulimān,—XVIII of the Afrāciyābi Khāns of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 906 u, 907 u 9, 914 u, 923 u, 924 u, 925 u, Muhammad-i-Bakhtyār,—the Malik ·ul-Ghāzī, Ikhtiyār ud-Dīu the Khul), ruler of Lakhanawati, 489 u 7, 503 u 8, 517 and u 3 and 4, 519 u, 524 u, 548 and u 1, 549 und u 4, 550 un 5 and 6, 551 u and u 7.

m 6, 556 and m 6, 557, 568 and m 7, 559 m and m 2, 560 m and m 4, 561 and m 9, 562 and m, 563 and m, 564 and m 9, 562 and m 4, 567 m 1, 568 and m 4, 567 m 1, 568 and m 4, 569, 570 and m and m 9, 571 and um 1 and 2, 572, 573 and mm 9 and 3, 574 and um 3 and 6, 575, 576 mm 5 and 6, 581, 610 m 7, 627 m and m 5, 666 m, 764 m 6, 771 m, 1081 m 9, 1111 m, 1251 m 9 — app.

552 and n 4, 553 and a 5, 554 and

Muhammad :-Bashir,—Hājib and general of 'Umr, son of Lais Şuffāri, lvii, 25

RRIII, RRIV, RRV, RRVİ

zin, zer, zv. zvi, zvit, zvni, zzii,

Muhammad-i-Bashir,—Höjib of Sultin Muhammad Khwarazm Shib, 411 s

Muhammad b Buxurg-Umid,—third Bādehāh of the Mulājidah of Alamit, 363 n 8

Muhammad b Al-Hükum, Sarakhai, the Imām, 38 and a 5.

Muhammad b. Harun,—an officer of Amir Isma'il, son of Ahmad Somani, 32 » 7.

Muhammad fi Hasan b Ishah-

governor of Hirst under the Samania, 87 m.

Muhammad b. al-Hasan b Mus'ab, At-Tähiri,—governor of Khurasin, 11, 12.

Muhammad b Idrīs, Ush hlaff'ī, See under Shāfi'ī

Muhammad b Ja'far,—general of the Khalitah of Bughdad, 184 a

Muhammad Jahin Pahlawan b Hadd-giz, the Ata lak of 'Irak and Azarhaijan, 145 171 and nn 9, 1 and, 172 n 3 See also under Jahan Pahlawan Muhammad

Muhammad Junaidi, the Wastr, See under Nizam-ul Mulk Kamal nd-Din.

Muhammad b Käsim b Muhammad as Sakafi, Amir,—the conqueror of Sind, 1231 s 9—app xvii, xviii

Muhammad-i Kharnak, chief of the Amirs and champions of Ghur, 255 n 7, 207 n 2 257 and a 1, 100 471 n 5, 472 n 473 n 1 150 n, 927 See also under <u>bhināb</u> ud-Din Muhammad, Alt-i-Kharnak

Muhammad b Kirām,—head of the Kirāmi sect called also the Mujassamiān, 384 s 5, 395

Mohammad b Mahmud, the Khali, —feed story of Karhinandi, 549 —app xiii, xv, xvii.

Mahammad b. Mahmid of Gharin, Amir —of the Yaminiah denasty xxi, 85 n, 85 and a 4, 80 and a 8, 90, 91 and a 8, 92 and a 3, 94 a 2, 95 and a 6, 96 and an Incid 3, 310 a 5, 324 a, 323 a, 905 a - He was well defined profat. we add 15 a. Mahammad b Malik Shāh, son of Alb Arsalān-i-Ghūzi, the Saljūki, Sultān, 108 n 5, 148 and n 2, 144 and n and n 3, 145 and n 4, 146 and n 6 and 7, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 157 n 1, 169 n 7

Muhammad b Mas'ud b. Sultān Mahmud, Ghaznawi, 95.

Muhammad b Mandad b. Sulffin Mas'ad, <u>Ch</u>asmawi, 97 and n-h.

Muhammad b Muhammad al-Iihānī, —Wozir of Amie Nașe Sāmānî, 87 a

Muhammad b Mumffar—a general of Amir Abu Naer i-Ahmad Skman 185 s.

Muhammad i-Nähi [Al-Bähi], father of Ibu Hassam, the Historian 11, 320 and a 3

Muhammad h Vuer b Ahmad Il femā I, Sāmāni, \$8.

Mukammad b Nuk b Mangar b. Nah Sandur, 44.

Muhammad 1-Mami (the Syrian)
Shaikh -one of the Sadra or
thirf men of Dibli, 662, 654
and a

Muhammad: Sheran,—the Khali,
Maith of Lahinapawags, 573 and
unlani: 574 and un 3, 5 and
7 575 und un 9 and 1, 576 and
an 3 and 5, 577 u, 610 u.7, 1005
u.4—app xx He was styled
'isz ud Din.

Muhammad b Süri, Malik of the <u>hancabānish</u> dynasty of Chur, 316 n.2, 317 n. 320 and n.4, 321 and n.1, 322 and n.824 and n. 325 n. 329, 322 n.5, 387 n.7, 432 n.5, 560 n.5, 560 n.1,—app zv, Fiz, 25.

Muhammed a Tho [or Nic], Wasir of the Clür Khan of the Kari Chiti-m, 920 a, 930 a.

Muhammad b. Tähir b. 'Abd-ullah son of Tähir ibn-ul-ifusain, fifth of the Tähiri dynasty of 'Churisan, 10 a 5, 15 and a 1, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22 and as 6 and 7, 33, 24.

Muhammad-i-Takush, Khwirnem Shah, Sultan, 148, 155 m, 173, 176, 177 and = 6, 179, 183 and = 1, 197, 198, 199 n 9, 200 n 7, 225, 240, 242 n 6, 244 and a 4 249 n 8, 260 # 6, 251 and # 1, 252 and # 3, 253 and # 1, 255 wand wn 5 and 7, 256 and w, 257 and w 258 m, 259 and n 5, 200 x 7, 261 x and n 8, 262 and n 1, 263 m, 264 and n, 265 and n 4, 266 and n, 267, 208 nn 3 and 4, 270, 271 and n. 272, 274, 275, 276, 277, 378, 279 and a 6, 280 and #2, 281, 282, 455 #5, 470 # 2, 472 m, 473 and # 2, 474 m, 476 m. 479 n. 480 n. 481 n 8, 486 n 2, 495. 496, 501 m 5 502 m 6, 503 and m 8, 504 nn 2 and 4, 505 and n, 506, 518 m, 519 m, 523 m, 526 m B, 527 m, 825 m 6, 884 m, 905 n, 910 m, 914 m, 920 a. 930 a. 931 a. 932 a. 933 a. 934, 960 n 6, 963, 966, 967, 968 m 6, 960 m 1, 970 m 2, 973 m, 977 and v 979 and v, 9e0 an 8 and 1, 942 n. 984, 986 n. 967, 950 n. 99: n 992, 993 n, 994 n, 996 n, 1001 and a 1004, 1012, 1013, 1014 n 2 1028 n, 1038 n, 1041, 1042, 1052 1053, 1057, 1058 1059, 1063-1096-1097, 1100, 1101, 1102 n. 1199 n. 1212 - Before he came to the throne his title was Kurb-ad Din, but on his accession he assumed that of 'Ali-ud-Din, the title borne by his father. Muhammad-i-Zaid-ul-'Alawi, Ud-Dh'i-ila-l-Hakk,-- ruler of Tabaristin and Gurgin, 32 and s 5.

Muhammad Afral Khan Khatak, author of the Tärikh-1-Murassa', zvi.

Muhammad, the Aghri or robber, the Ohingis Khān ao called Sultān Muhammad Khwārasm Shāh, 1041, 1042.

Muhammad 'Ali Abu'l Kasim 'Imidî, Imam,—author of the Türikh-i-Majdül, xxxii * 2, 69.

Muhammad 'Al: Chūsī, the Amiri-Hājih of Sultāu Mu'sz.ud-Dīu Muhammad-i-Sām Chūrī, 491

Muhammad Armian Tatar Khan, aon of Armian Khan-i-Sanjar, governor of Lakhayawati, 771 s, 773 s, 776 s, 779 s.

Muhammad Bahlim,—ruler of Hındüstän, 110.

Muhammad Baḥā,—anthor of the Tārikh-i-Mir'āt-i-Jahān Numā, 79 a.

Muhammad al Băḥi [Nāhī],—fasher of the Hawam, the Historian, 11, 320 and n2

Muhammad Chara-governor of Bengal 511 v

Muhammad Hasan Khān,—otherwise Mirza Haidar, the Doghlati Mughal, which see

Muhammad Hasan Doghlati,—
father of Mirza Hadar the
Maghal Historian, 483 n [227.
Muhammad Husan 25 hani, luam
Muhammad Khan of Sanankand,
147 and children der Mahammada-Arada Khan

- Muhammad the Maraghani, the Charjah chief, Amir, 1073 and a 2, 1077, 1082 s, 1200 s. He was the ancestor of the Kurat dynasty. See also under Rukn-ud-Din Muhammad-i-'Uemān.
- Muhammad Murad b. 'Abd-ur-Rahman,—translator of the Asar-ul-Bilad in Persian, 14 * 1.
- Muhammad Shah b Bahram Shah Ghasnawi, 111.
- Muşammad Shah b Humayün,—of the Bahmani dynasty in the Dakhan, 592 s
- Muhammad Sharif b Mullä Muhammad Sharif b Mullä Muhammad Tähir, Haji, ix
- Muhammad Tir, see Muhammad b. Malik Shāh, the Saljūķī
- Muhammad the Tülaki, Ķāşi,—app m, v See under Zıya ud-Din Muhammad-1-'Abd us-Sallam Nısawi
- Muhammad Yalwaj,—minister of the Chingiz Khan, 265 * 4 See Mahmid Yalwaj
- Muhammad Yüsuf,—author of a history entitled Muntakhab-ut-Tawarikh, 614 = 8
- Muhammad Zeeruk, Prince of Marw, 472 n, 473 n
- Muhazab-ud Din Muhammad 'Iwaz Mustaufi, Khwajah, —Wazir of Sultan Rasiyyat of Dibli, Exer xxvii, 641 and n.6, 650 and n.1, 651 and n.7, 652, 653 and n.5, 654 n, 656 n, 657, 652 and n.1, 623, 661, 662, 663 and n.1, 751, 752, 753, 756 n.3, 757, 780, 787
- Muhata i-Jachari, one of the retainers of 'Izz ud-Din Ibak, ruler of Misr, 1276 n

- Mahtashim of the Malibidah, the, nignification of the term, 1963 w 3. Mu'in-ud-Din Chisti, the Ushi, the celebrated Mahammadan mint, 465 w 8.
- Mu'in-ud-Din Muhammad, Khwai-Jahan, the Jahangiri, [of the Household of Jahangir], ix, x.
- Mu'in-ud-Din Sabzwäri, Maulänä,---author of a history of Khuräsän,
 19 n 1
- Mu'in-nd-Din Cehi, 465 and n S. See under Mu'in-nd-Din Chisti.
- Mu'izz-ud-Daulah, Abu'i Husain Ahmad, son of Buwiah, son of Fana Khusrau, Dilami, \$5 x 1, 57, 58 and #9, 59 x 5, 60 x 8, 61 x 4.
- Mu'isr-ud-Din Bahram Shah b. Shame-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, Sultan of Dihi, xxvi, 539 n, 625, 647, 648 and n 2, 649 and n 6, 650 and n 9, 661 and n 7, 654 n, 656, 656 and n, 657, 659 n, 660 and n 1, 727 n 7, 737, 746, 747 and n 1, 749 and n 9, 750, 751 and n 3, 753, 756, 759, 761, 780, 790, 798, 1133, 1136 n 7, 1153 n 9.
- Mu'taz-ud Din Bahesim Shih b. Sultan Nierr ad Din Mahmud Shan, of Dibli, 672
- Mu ixx nd Din Harawi, Kapi of Sultan Chives ud Din Muhammad-iham of Chier, 389
- Mussa art Du Kai Kubed, Sultan of Ithibi, 634 a 2
- Mu'izz nd-Din Muhammad-i-Söm <u>Gh</u>uri, Sultan, xxiii and n 2, H, 112 and n 5, 113 n, 114 n, 118 and n 6, 189 n 1, 214, 244 n, 248 and n 1, 252, 255 n 7, 256, 257 n 2, 240 n 7, 281, 262 and n 9, 265 n 4, 267, 281, 250 n 4, 302 n 5, 307, \$10,

342, 344, 345 n 9, 369 n, 357 and #7, 266, 268, 269, 270 and mu 9 and 1, 871 and a 4, 972, 878, 874 #2, 275 m, 276, 277 and #6, 278, 279 and ma 4 and 6, 280 and n 9, 281 n 5, 282, 284, 285 n 9, 290, 291 and = 9, 393 and = 7, 394 and =. 296, 397 n 3, 398 and a 1, 399 m 2, 400 and # 3, 401 m, 402 m, 408 m. 404, '405 and # 3, 408, 413, 414 and and and 9, 415 and and 8, 419 n 5, 424, 425 nn 1 and 3, 426, 427 and n 9. 428 and n 1. 429 n and s 4, 481, 432 and s 9, 436 and a 2, 440, 446 and wa 3 and 5. 447 and n 6. 448 n 3, 440 and nu 8 and 2, 450 n, 451 and n, 452 and n 7, 468 n 4, 454 n, 455, 456 and s 1, 457 s, 458 s G, 460 s 3, 461 n, 462 w, 463 n, 464 and n 7, 465 mand m 8, 466 m 1 467 m, 169 я 9, 471 n and n 5, 473 and n, 473 n2, 474 n5, 475 and n 2, 476 n, 476 n 6, 479 n, 480 n and n 7, 481 manden 8 and 1, 482 m, 493 m, 494 n, 485 n 3, 486 and n, 487 n 6, 484, 489 n 7, 490 n, 401 n 5, 492, 496 and nm 9 and 1, 497 m 3, 498, 499 n, 500 and n 3, 501 n, 508 n 8, 504 n 4, 508 and n 1, 510 n, 512 n 3, 513 and n N, 514 and a, 515 and s. 516 a 2. 518 a, 519 a, 520 m, 521 and m, 522 m 5 and m, 523 m, 524 and m, 525 m, 526 m 8, 527 n 2, 531, 522, 533 n, 537 n, 544 and # 4, 545 and # 5, 546 # 7, 647 mand #8, 649 and #3, 650 m6, 552 n4, 553 n6, 559 n and n3, 560 m, 572, 573 n9, 583 n, 601 and n 8, 602, 603 n 7, 604 and # 1, 605, 607 n 5, 608 n 8, 611 n 9, 614 n 8, 617 n, 622 n, 627 nn 4 and

5, 628 n 2, 645 n, 679 n 2, 724 n 4, 727, 723, 745 n 9, 761 n 1, 772 n, 910 n, 929 n, 923, 925, 1052 n 7, 1069 n 9, 1060 n 5, 1063, 1155 n 6, 1198 n 8,—app. i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, xi, xii, xiv, xvi, xxvi. His title was at first Malik Shihab-ud-Dîn, which afterwards became Solțān Mu'isz-ud-Dîn even before he came to the thrope.

Mu'izziah dynasty, the,—the slaves of Sultān Mu'izz-nd-Din Muhamniad-1-Sām, of <u>Ch</u>ūr, who stiained sovereignty, xxxui, 496 n 9, 539 n, 737

Majahid-ud-Din Asad i Sher-i-Koh, Lord of Hims, 229 a 5.

Mujāhid ud-Dīn I-bak, the Sar-Dawāt-Dār, of Al-Mustanşir B'illah the 'Abbāsī <u>Kh</u>alifah, 1231 n, 1232 n, 1235 and n2, 1236 and n, 1237, 1238, 1240 and n and n9, 1241 n, 1242 n2, 1244 n, 1245, 1246 n 5, 1247 n, 1251, 1260 n 6.

Mu'jam-ul-Buldan of Yakût, the, 26 n 3.

Mujāmi'ul <u>Kh</u>iyār, the,—a historical work, 117 n, 1294 n, 1254 n. —app viii. See also under the Majāmi'-ul-<u>Kh</u>iyār

Mujamamián or Corporealista, the, —name of a Muhammadan sect, 384 a 5.

Mujmal-1-Facibi, the,—a historical work, xxix, xxx, xiv, xivi, 10 n 8, 12 n 3, 15 n 1, 23 n n 9 and 1, 24 n 3, 31 n 3, 34 n 5, 35 n n 5 and 6, 27 n 3, 38 n 6, 39 n 1, 40 n 4, 41 n n 7 and 8, 42 n 1, 43 n 4, 46 n 4, 47 n 8, 48 n n 4 and 5, 50 n 1, 53 n and n 6, 58 n 2, 60 n 7, 61 n 9, 63 n 8, 71 n 5, 72 n 6, 78 n 9, 75 n

and n 6, 76 a 9, 80 a 5 81 46 7 and 9, 85 a, 89 a 8, 97 an 3 and 4, 98 n ft. 99 n. 100 n 5, 103 n 2, 103 n 9, 103 n, 106 n 3, 107 n 7 108 n 5, 109 n 7, 110 n 5, 112 n 5, 113 n, 114n, 117n 120 n 3, 121 n, 126 n 2, 132 nn 9 and 1, 136 n, 142 ni, 113 ng, 114 n 145 n4, 146 nn 6 and 7, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 158 n, 163 n 4, 170 n 8, 181 n, 185 n, 191 nd. 199 n 7. 217 n. 218 n 5, 237 un 8 aud 2, 243 n, 264 n, 266 n, 273 n 5, 316 n 2, 336 n 4, 337 n 7, 347 n 2, 346 n 8, 358 nn 2 and 3, 359 n, 363 n 8. 370 n. 374 n 3. 377 nn 5 and 6, 401 n, 403 n, 404 n 1, 407 nn 5 and 7, 411 n, 449 n 8 450 n 3, 451 n 5 470 n, 496 n 9, 525 n, 527 n, 528 n 2, 535 n, 600 n 2, 623 и 1. 656 и. 717 и. 869 и 2, 594 и. 904 n, 905 n, 906 n, 976 n, 967 n 3, 989 m. 1099 n. 1116 m. 1164 m. 1200 n, 1221 n, 1256 n 6, 1251 n -app. 71, XX

Müki or Mükie, of the Katrin tribe, —third <u>Kh</u>ätun of Uktae Ka'an son of the <u>Chingiz Khan</u>. 1142 n, 1149 n 7

Můkā Aghūlb Tüli, son of the Chimgiz <u>Kh</u>án, 1179 a, 1180 a, 1183 a, 1222 a.

Mukah or Müki, the Najin, of the Kariyit tribe of Mughals, 578 s, 1076 s.

Mukali the Nüyin, of the Jali ir tribe of Mughala,—surnamed the Ko-yang, signifying the tireat Khan, 1093 n, 1215 a.s., 1217 n, 1219 n.

Mukanua'-i-Miti, the false prophet, 874 x 5.

Mnkānú or

Mukatū Nū-in,—one of the generals of Cktae Ku'an, son of the Chingiz Khan, larv. 1126 n 6.

Mukhis-ud-Din, the Kotwil-Bak [Senesohal] of the fortress of Cehe, sah, 669 n, 540, 1153 n 9.

Mukhtasar-1-Köff, the,—a work by the Imam Abu-l-Faşl Muhammad son of Al Hükim, Sarakhai, 38.

Muktadi B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 106 aud a 3,

Muktadir B'illah, al-, the 'Abhasi Khalifah, 35 s 6, 37, 185 s.

Nuktafi B'dlah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 32 s, 83, 34, 89.

Mulahidah, the,—a herotic sect of Muhammadous, xxv, 46, 139 s, 135 s 4, 172 s 3, 201 and sw 1 and 2, 244 s 4, 245 s, 255 s, 265 s 4, 363 365 and s 3, 381 s 5, 394 s 1, 485 and s 3, 423 s 2, 624, 646, 647, 765 s 3, 1639 s 7, 1061 s 1, 1062 s 2, 1147 s 2, 1145 s 4, 1187 and s 7, 1195 and s and s 8, 1189, 1190 and s 1, 1192 s, 1193 s, 1194 s, 1196, 1197 and s 3, 1198 s, 1203, 1204, 1255, 1296 and s 9, 1207 s, 1210 s, 1212 s 6 and s 1, 1214, 1221 s, 1230 s, 1257 s 1262 s,

Mulauman, meaning of the word, 671 a 7

Mumiah Afghans, the,—one of the three septe or divisions of the hharmuss, 1944 n.

Mumber ad Daulah Mufakhkhar-al-Musk, Hassman-Jang, az.

Mundaz Zu'l Adgher b. Abrahah, of the Tabübi'ah dyunsty of Yaman, 6

Mungard Khān,...grandson of Uktée Ka-an own of the Chingia Khān, 1180 a. Müngusir, the Nü-yin,—the principal of Mangü Khān's Nüyins, 1188 n.

Münis-i-Khādim,—general of the Khalifah of Baghdid, 184 z

Münshi b. Wajası, ancestor of Amir Banji, 312 and a 9.

Münghi Shism Parghid,—author of an account of Gaur, 558 s.7.

Muntakhab-i-Tärikh-i-Napiri, the, 130, 140 = 5, 141, 307

Muntahhab-ut-Tawarikh of Budauni, the, xv. 22 n 8, 26 n 2, 26 n 3, 41 n 8, 91 n 8, 99 n, 100 n 5, 102 n 2, 113 n, 117 n, 135 n 2, 146 n n 6 and 6, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 154 n 2, 155 n, 162 n 2, 163 n 4, 175 a n 7 and 9, 176 n 4, 177 n 6, 178 n 7, 812 n 6, 316 n 2, 322 n, 838 n 2, 263 n 9, 447 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 418 n 2, 427 n, 120 n 4, 434 n 8, 436 n 5, 449 n 8, 198 n 6 601 n 8, 613 n 7, 623 n 1, 169 n 2, 1221 n —app v. v. v. xx

Muniakhab ut-Tawarikh of Muhammad Yusuf, the, 614 a 8

Muntaur B'iliah al-, Ahmad b. Tahir, 1st of the 'Abbasi dynasty in Egypt, 1250 v 3, 1200 n

Muntapir B'illah, Ahs Ibrahim i Is-mâ'il son of Nub Samani, the last of the dynasty, 52 n 1, 81 s, 903 s.

Montager B'ellah, al., the Isma'ilian or Fatimite <u>Kh</u>alifah, m Egypt, 209 and so 5 and 6.

Murji (Procrastinators), the,—name of one of the heretical sects of Muhammadans, 646 and nn G and 7.

Murray, 462 st.

Musi. (Moses of scripture) 3 1161

Musi-i-Bogh b. Saljük, son of Lukmin, the Turkmau, 117 s.

Müsă-i-Ja'far [Müsä al-Küşim, son of Ja'far aş-Şūdik],...of the descendants of 'Ali, 1232.

Műső b. Satuk-Kújah, - second ruler of the Afrèssyabi <u>Kh</u>űns of Turkistán, 902 s

Mus'ab b 'Abd-ulish b Tähir ibnul-Ḥusaiu,—governor of Nushipūr, 15

Mus'ub b. As'ad,—grandfather of Tühir ibn-ul-Humin, 11.

Muy'ab h. Taihah,—ancestor of Tahir shn-ul-Husaso, 9 m l, 10 m 5, 11

May'abb Zarnik,—ancestor of Tähir ibn-nl Hussin, 9, 10 x 5, 11

Muy'ab Shah,—ancestor of Tühir ibn-ul-Husain, 10 s 5.

Muscovs, the, 1037 n 2

Mushrd-1-Manulik (Secretary of the State 1, the,—name of an office, 635, 638 and # 3

Musta'ın B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 15

Mustakfi B'illah, al-, the 'Abbisi Khalifah, 56 w, 58.

Mustanjid B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 218 a 5

Mustanger B illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Kh difah, 15 616 * 2, 617 *, 662 * 7, 1117, 1237 * 3

Mustansir B illah, al., Abū-Tamīm-i-Sa'd,—the eighth <u>Khalīfah of the</u> Isma'iliān or Fāṭimites in Egypt, 47 299 sa 5 and 6, 1189.

Mustarehid B'ill ih, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 201 m.

Masta'şim B illah. al-,—last of the 'Abban' Khalifaha of Baghdad, 662 = 7 711 712 n, 1227 and a \$,

1239 and n 6, 1230 n, 1240 n, 1250 n, 1262, 1259 and n 3, 1275 a.2.

Mustaufi, meaning of the word, 650 n l.

Mustashir B'illah, al-, of the 'Abbasi Khalifahs, 106,

Mustari Bi-núr'iliāh, al-, of the 'Abbāsi Khalifahs, xx, 217 n, 218 and n 5, 383

Mu'tamad-ud Daulah,—a vassal of Muḥammad-i-Bakht-yār, the Khalj, 565 and a 4.

Mu'taund 'Ala-lläh, al-, of the 'Abhasi Khalifaha, 22 n 7, 23 n 9, 29 n 9.

Mu'taşım B'ıllah, al-, of the 'Abbasi Khalifahs. 14

Mutawakkil 'Ala-lläh, al-, of the 'Abbösi Khalifaha, in Baghdäd 15

Matawakkii 'Ala-liih, the last of the 'Abbisi dynasty in Egypt, 1250 s

Mu'tarid, al-, of the 'Abbasi Khulifahs, 23 n 9, 24, 25, 31 and n 3, 33 and n, 33 Muti's-Lillah, al., of the 'Abbi-Khalifahe, 56 and a 2, 59, 60, 6 and a 9.

Mutriwi Afghina, the,—one of the three cepts or divisions of the Shalminia, 1044 s.

Muttaķi, al-, of the 'Abbāsî <u>Kh</u>al fahs, 37, 38, 55 = 1, 58

Muwaffak, al-,—the brother of th "Abbāsī Khalifah al-Mw'tamic 22 n 7, 23 and n 9

Muşaffar, Malik,—governor of Akl lät, 296 n 1.

Mugaffar b) Yāķūt, governor c Fārs,—s alave of the 'Abbāsı dy masty, 55 s 1.

Muraffar-ud Din b. Mahk-us-Sa'i the Säbib or Lord of Märdir 1279 s. [Ardabil, 297 s Mugaffar-ud Din Gargari, raler o Mugaffar-ud-Din Yuzbak b Jahi: Pahlawan, the Ata-bak, ruler o Agarbaijan, 295 s. Seo alse inder Yuzbak b Muhammas Jahan Pahlawan.

N.

Nabati, a son of Adam for Scripture], 1, 2.

Nadic Shah of Persia, 270 a.

Nüemans, the, 250 n 7, 923 n, 930 n, 931 n, 932 n, 940 n, 944 n, 945 n, 946 n, 956 n, 956 n, 958 n, 955 n, 965 n, 1092 n, 1094 n, 1165 n.

Naguz,—one of the only two males who escaped the general massacre of the Hughal people by the Thitirs 861 n. 862 863 n. 885 n. 887 m, 885 m, 890 m, 893 m, 804 e 505 m, 937 m 9.

Naguz, the,—descendants of the above, 951 n, 999 n, 1000 n 1095 u

Nabarau b. Warmeth, aon of Warmenhan,—ancostor of Amiz Banjs, 312 and a 6.

Nahar Diw, Rae of Rantabhür, the greatest of the Rice of Hindustan, 1919 and n.6, 824 n.8, 828 and n.3 Ni⁴b-i-Mambahat [Deputy or Lieutement of the kingdom], name of an office, 694 n 4.

Mairin or Nürün Mughals, the,—descendants of Ālān-Kuwā through the mysterious light, 891 n, 898 n, 696 n, 699 n, 986 s, 939 n, 940 n, 942 n, 965 n, 1091 n, 1096 n.

Najal Khan, 790.

Najib-ud-Din, <u>Kh</u>wājah, of Nág-awr of Siwālikh, 200.

Najm-ud-Din, the 'Ajami, 217 s.

Najm-nd-Dîn, Sharkh, anthor of a History of Hagrat Satuk-Kûjah, the sainted ruler of Turkistan, 901 s

Najm-ud-Din Abu Bikr Şadr-ui-Mulk, the Wazir of Sulțăn 'Alaud Din Mas-'ud Châh, son of Ruknud-Din Firâz Shâh of Dihi, 664, C96 and 27

Najm-nd-Din Abu'i Musaffar Ayūb b Malik-ul-Kumil, al-Ayyubī, 228 w 4. See under his title of Malikuş-balih Najm-ud-Din, al-Ayyubi

Kajm-ud-Din Aiyüb b Shadi, the Kurdi See under Aiyub, son of Shadi.

Najor-ud-Din the Kabri, otherwise Al Kiwaki, the celebrated Khwirasmi saint, 1100 m.

Najm-ud-Din Kazwini, the astronomer and mathematician, 1257 s.

Najm-ud-Din al-Kiwaki, See Najmud-Din the Kabri.

Najm-ud-Din-i-Sarbāri the Rumi, 1mām, 1214. (24 n 5. Naķabat, aignification of the word,

Nako b Juji Knar, the brother of the Chinges Khan, 1190 s. Näkä Aghül b. Kyük Khön, son of Üktön, son of the (Hingis Khän, 1180 n, 1183 n, 1185 n.

Nairis, menning of the word, 1194 n. Nair, Hājah, 691 n.

Nauda, a Hinda Rajah, 86 s.

Naviman or Nadiman, son of Afridan, ancestor of Amir Banji, 308, 309 n.

Naro [Tasdar] Jas-pāl, rulerof Hind, 86 n, 536 n

Narst-ul-Ashghani, VIth of the Ashkanian dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Narst b. Bahram b. Hurmus, Vith of the Sásaulán dynasty of 'Apam, 4.

Nar-singh Diw, son of Rajah Bijay: Diw, of Jamun, 454 n, 467 s.

Naghir-un-Ni'am b. 'Umaro b Sarakhil, of the Tababi'ah oi Yaman, 7.

Nășibia, the,—a soot of Muhammad an heretics, 646.

Nasioh-a species of silken fabric woren with gold, 1194 s.

Niur li-Din-illah, an-, the 'Abbis Khalifah, of Baghdid, xxii, 193 223, 239 n 9, 242 n 6, 244 and n 265 n 4, 266 n, 296 n, 383, 48n n 1 617 n, 660 n, 964 n 2, 968 n 6 1086 n, 1108 n 1,

Novir-ud-Daulah Abu'l Hasan-i Bimjur,—governor of parts o Khurasan under the Sümuni 44 Secalsounde, Abu'l-Hasan i Simjur.

Nagir-ud-Din, Wazir of Sultin 'Alā ud Din Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wārazu <u>Sh</u>ah 993 n

Nășir-ud Din i-Actazuur, Malik fendatory of Uchchub, li, 531 582.

- Naaîr-ud-Din of Bindár [or Pindár], the <u>Ohā-ŭsh</u>,—one of the Mahks of bulţāu Mu'1zz-ud-Din Mohammad-i-Sâm, of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 626, 762 aud n.7.
- Nöşir-ud-Din, the Muhtashim, ruler of the Kuhistan, 1190 s. 1, 1195 s., 1196 s.
- Nasir-ud-Dîn-1-Tughān, Feoffee of Bud'i'an,—one of the Malıks of Sultan Mu 122-ud-Din Muhammad 1-Sam, of Ghur, 626 and n 2
- Năsir ad-Din the Tusi Khwajah, the celebrated mathematician and Suffi poet and chief advisor of Hulāku Khan 1 % / 1, 1200 n 3, 1209 / 1230 n, 1232 - 1236 n 1239 - 1246 - 5 1253 / 4 1254 / 1256 n 6, 1257 n, 4204 / 7
- Nosii tal Dan b. Altend-Din, the Salalem Week of Ran,—ndvisor of Hulaka <u>Kh</u>an, 1262 n
- Naur-nd Din Aba Bike son of Malik Saif-nd-Din Suri,—one of the Malika of Sultan Glaves ad Din Muhammad i-Sun, Ohori, 344, 345, 348)
- Najur-ud-Din A: Yitum-ul-Bahi: Malik,—feudatory of Lohor und r Sulfan Shams-ud-Din I-y at consch of Dihli 7-27, 728, 789, 860 a
- Nastr-ud-Din Albu-Ghazi b. Kur l Arsalan, Saljuki,—nephew and Malik of the brother Sultans of Ghur, 390, 472 and m, 475 m 6 480 n, 490 1100 n 8
- Nasur ad-Din Fushamiri or Ka muri, —office, of Saltan Jalal-ad Din Khwarazin Shah 1276 a
- Mistr-ud fun Husam the Amir i Shekar, om of the Waliks of Sultan Mu'izz-nd Din Maham

- mnd-i-Sām, of <u>Ch</u>ūr, **415** and **8** 8, 416, 417 and **8** 8, 490, 504 and ***** \$, 505, 724, 725.
- Nüşir-ud-Din Husain-i-<u>Kh</u>armil b. <u>Kh</u>armil i Säm Husain, Malik, 351, 602 and n.3.
- Nacir-ud Din Husam b Mnhammad Madini,—one of the Malika of Mu'izz ud Din Muhammad-i-Sām of Ghui 340 n 344 and n, 361 and u 9, 362, 364 and u 1, 365, 356 and u 4, 490 and u 3, 496 u 1, 626.
- Naşır-ud Din Twas son of Sultin Ghiya-ud-Din Twas, the Kh dj of Lakhanawati, Iva, 556 n 1, 505 n 2, 615 n, 774 n.
- News ud-Dar & Gegah, Malik, xxxi, 200 - See under Kulüjah
- Near ad tha Kegida Khan b. Bek Ar-dri Mahk,—officer of States Juld-ad Dur Khwarezm Sigh 1276 a.
- Nasir ed Din Kosdi, K.zi, -one of the Court Kazas of Sultan Shams ud-Din 1-yal Timagh of Dible 625
- Nas r ud-Din, K. et al. f., bea in law of a sister of Solter Murra ud Din Bahram Stah of Dihli 659
- Nasar-ud Dan Malmart Bughra <u>Kh</u>an, son of Ulugh <u>Kh</u>an-a Batban Iv, 716 a 5
- Nepr-nd D n M damid Shah, Malik of Lakhanawati el ter son of Shims ad Din Iyal Timish, Sulyan of Dil Is, 534 n, 586, 594 and n and r 1, 595, 617 and n 5, 618 n, 625, 629, 629, 630, 631, 635 n 6, 633 n 4, 778 n
- Naşır ud-Din Mahmud Shah, Sultan, younger son of Shama-ud-Din Iyal-Timish, Sultan of Dilit.

nervii, teis, ens, exci, teris, zzziil and # 3, zzziv # 4, lv, 26 # 1, 70 and # 9, 183, 231 and # 1, \$10 and n 4, 388, 480, 538 n, 539 n, 547 m 9, 647 m 0, 023, 020 m 7, 630, 635 n 6, 639 n 4, 641 n 5, 649 m 5, 661, 664 m 3, 665, 000 and m 8, 670 and a 1, 671, 672 = and a 2, 675 and w 5, 676, 677 w 6, 681 and a 10, 654 and a 9, 655 : 4, 660 n 8, 695 n P. 690 n 1, 701 n 1, 716 n 5, 717 and a, 721 a 5, 723, 741 and n 2, 744 " 6, 747, 754 " 6, 758 and n 2, 759 and n 6, 700 an 5 and 9, 762 n 8, 767, 769 n 6, 770 n 9, 772 n. 771 n. 775 n. 776 n. 777 n. 778 u, 781, 782 n 4, 783 nn 7 and 1, 785, 747, 789, 790, 793 m 7, 794 n 1, 795 n, 797 n 5, 798, 799, 800 a4, Mm and a4, 813 a5, 814 and # 7,816 n 2, 518 and n 4, 819 and n 8, 820, 823 and a 4, 824, 831 and a 2, 842, 845, 849 n 5, 847 n 4, 849 m9, 953, 855 m5 856, 862, 863 and ns 2 and 3, 981 s, 900, 1056 ml, 1077, 1104, 1125, 1130 s. 1131 n. 1170 1181 n 2, 1181 n. 1202 % 1224 w. 1225 a and a 6. 1288 n 1, 1253, 1294 -- app x

Năpr-nd-Din Mahmud Tughrel-i-Alh Khan,—one of the Malika of Sultân Nă-n ud-Din Mahmud Shah of Dibh, 673.

Nasir-ud Din Mardan hhāh, Muhammad-1-Cha-ush, —one of the Maliks of Sultan Shams-nd-Din Iyal-Timish of Dihli, 62d and al. Nasir-ud-Din, Miran Shah, son of Muhammad-1 Chā-ush, the Khalji, —one of the Maliks of Sultin Shams-ud-Din 1-yal-Timish of Didi, 636 al. Nüşir-ud-Din Muhamınad, the Wazir of the Dür-ul-Khfläfat, 1220 u 8.

Nāşır-ud-Din Muhammadb. 'Alā-ud-Din Utanz b 'Alā-ud-Din Husain Juhān-nov, <u>Ch</u>ūrī, 417

Navir-nd-Din, Muhammad, Haris-i-Mardan Shish,—one of the Malaks of Sultan Shams-ud-Din 1-yal Timish of Dibli, 626 n 1.

Nistroud-Din Muhammad b. 'Irrud-Din Husain, Mulik of Müdin of (thur, 339 and a 5, 340 a, 343 a 5, 344 a, 497. See also under Shihab-ud-Din Muhammad Kharnal, for which it seems to be a mistake

Nasu-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Khurnak, Malik of Marw, 381.

Napir-ud-Din Muhammad, Maltk, son of Maltk Sarf ud-Din Hasan, the Karlugh, 699 n, 782 n 3, 786 n 6, 859 and n 8, 860, 861 and n 5, 863 n 3, 1129 and n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n.

Nier-ud-Din Mahammad bher-i-Koh, entitled al Mahk-ul Kahir, ruler of Huns, 213 a 5

Neer ud-Din Mahammad b Shihābud-Din Muhammad Kharnak b. 'Izz ud Din al-Humau Ggüri, 344 n

Nasır ud-Din Sabuk Tıgın, 45 and * 4. See under Sabuk Tigin.

Nasur-nd-Din Timrani —one of the Malika of the brother Sulfaus of Char. 350, 490.

Nastr-tal-Din 'Uşmün-i-Harab, son of Malık Tāj nd-Din i-Harab, Malık of Sijistan and Nimros, 193 and #5, 194 #7, 195 # 5, 199, 200, 961 # 3, 1199 #.

- Mariri Malika, the,—the Turkish Slave dynasty of Dihli, 26 and w1.
- Nāşiri Nāmah, the, of Minhāj-i-Sarāj, zzvi, 681, 682, 818.
- Nasiriyyah College of Dihli, the, xxvi, xxvii, 644, 667.
- Nasr, the Haji, the merchant of Bukhārā, 70.
- Narr, the Tülaki, Amīr,—governor and feudatory of Tülak, 1058 and a 7.
- Nasr b. Ahmad b. Asad, son of Sămān-i-Khaddāt,—second of the Sāmāni dynasty, 29 and * 9, 30 and *, 31, 54
- Nasr b Ahmad, son of Tshir Suffari,—governor of Sijustan under the Samanis, 25 s 2.
- Nayr b. Ahmad-i-Ismā'il Sāmān', Amir, Vth of the dynasty, 33, 35, 36, 37 and nand nn 2 and 3, 54, 56 a 2, 185 s.
- Nasr b Ahmad Khān 1-Khar Khan, of the family of the Afrasiyabia of Turkustan, 906 n
- Nasr b. Darhim, son of Un-Nasr, governor of Sijistân under the Tähiris, 19 n 1, 22 n 5
- Nasr b. Ibrāhīm, son of Sultān Mas-'ūd, Ghaznawi, 105
- Nasr b Isma'il, son of Ahmad Samani, 54.
- Nasr b. Mahmud of Ghaznin, Amir, 88
- Nasr b Muhammad Arasian Khan, —of the family of the Afranyabis of Turkistan, 900 n, 907 n.
- Nate b. Sabuk Tigin—commander of his brother Mahmud's army, xvii, 50 n 5, 51 and n 6, 75, 61 n 26 n, 186 n.

- Napr-1-9his or Abi-Napr-i-Shis, son of Rabi'i, the Khiriji, 12 and *7.
- Nest, the Khākān, Shame-ni-Mnik son of Taf-kāj Khān, XIIIth of the Afrāsiyābī Khāns of Turkistān, 905 n, 906 n.
- Nagru'llab b. Muhammad b. 'Abdu'l Majid, translator of Kalilah and Dimnah into Persian, 109 n 9.
- Nau Daulat, the,—title of the son of Rukn-ud-Din <u>Kh</u>ür Shāh of Alamüt, 1218 n.
- Nau Musalmän, the,—Jalál-ud-Din Hamn of Alamüt, the Muláhidah heretie who turned orthodex, 265 n 4, 1190 n.
- Naubat, signification of the term, 383 and » 3
- Navak, signification of the word, 1135 and n 4.
- Nostorians, the, 961 s, 984 s, 1284 s 9, 1244 s
- Newport, Captain Christopher, 295 a
- Nisiss, the,—the adherents of Niši Tigin, the robel vicercy of the Panjab, 121 and a 7, 122 a 3, 129,
- Nisl 1/g/n,—chamberlain of Abu'l-'Albās i-Mamun al-Farighūni, 85 n, 120 n 5, 232 n,—some call him Alb Tigra
- Nial Tigin, the rebel vicercy of the Panjab, 121 a7.
- Nih-Firuxah h. Sultan Ibrühim (Shazoawi, 105 and u.9.
- Nikudar Agbul, son of Huláků <u>Kh</u>žn,—be became a convert to Ivlem and was styled Sultžu Ahmad, 1.577 n, 1293 n 2
- Niku-dar, Nu m.—anc of the Nüyms of the Chingu Khan, 1128.

3

- Mikidaria, the, name of a people, 874 a.
- Mi'met'nlish, the histories, 622 s.
- Mimrid, the Tyrant, [Nimrod of Scripture], 2.
- Minkiassu,—the name of Shūdai-Shū-o-shū, the Altān Khūu, as spelt by some European writers, 965 n, 1126 n 9.
- MishwPe Life of Sulph Jakil-ud-Din <u>Ma</u>waram Shah, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n.
- Nijīb-i-Nijābiān, the,—a lexicographical work, by Imām Abū Naşr Fanāhī, 195 s.4.
- Nigem of the Deccan, the, 511 w.
- Nigim Shib, of the Bahmani dynasty, 592 s.
- Nipam-ud-Din, Sharkh, the colebrated saint of Dihli, xxx and w5. xxxi.
- Nixim ud-Din, of Farghanah,—a Janbas in the service of Soltan Muhammad-i-Bakhtyar, the Khall, of Lakhanawati, 652
- Nuam-ud-Din Ahmad, Khwéjah, author of the Tabakat-1-Akbari, zzi, 623 s 8, 665 z 8.
- Ngam ud-Diu Muhammad,—an officer of Sultan Kuth ud Din I-bak of Dikli, 398, 528 s, 602
- Nişam-ud-Din Shafürköni or Shaburghani,—one of the Amira of Sultan Shama-ud-Din I-yal-Timigh of Dihli, 635 and a 7, 761
- Nipām-ul-Mulk, Wasir of Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din Takigh-i-Khwārasm Shāh, 244 s 4
- Nişām-ul-Mulk Kamāl-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Abú Sa'ıd Junaudi,---Wasir of Sulşān Shama-ud-Din

- I-yal-Timigh of Dihli, 635, 634 and * 1, 630, 640, 641, 734.
- Nîşîm-ul-Mulk Tûsî,—the Wasir of Sulțân Jalii-ud-Dîn Malik Hhkh, Saljûķî, 139 and s, 141, 142, 142 n 2.
- Nipim-ut-Tawirikh,...of the Kuji Abli Sa'id-1.'Abd-ullah of Baiçi, xvi, 25 = 3, 97 = 4, 99 =, 118 =, 187 = 5, 143 = 1, 146 = 5.
- Nogays,—the vulgar spelling of the name of the Nagin, which see.
- Noghay, the, name of a people, 899 n.
- Norce-i-Jailii, the,—the first day of spring, named after Sultin Jalal-ud-Din Malik Shah, Saljuki, who reformed the Calendar, 142. North, Lieut., 1052 a 5.
- Noghed b. Bahram,—ancestor of the Samanis, 20. [Samanis, 29.
- Nonher b. Nonhed,—ancestor of the "Notes on Afghānistān," Raverty's, xlvı, xlxı, i, lıv, iv, 1048 s.1.
- Nuh [Nosh of Scripture], 2, 8, 303 and a 7, 870 s, 882 s.
- Nüh, the Jan-där,—a Khalj chief in the service of Sultān Jalāl-ud-Din Khwāraum Shāh, 540 n, 1022 n, 1023 a
- Núb b. Asad b. Simin-i-<u>Kh</u>addit, —governor of Samrkand, 27 and = 6, 28, 29, 53
- Nuh b Maneur b Nüh Sämäni, IXth of the dynasty, 39, 33 n l, 44, 45 and nn 5 and 6, 46 and nn 4, 47 and nn 8, 48 and nn 2, 3 and 4, 53 nn 8, 54, 74 nn 3, 186 n, 233 n, 903 n, 903 n, 916 n.
- Nuh b Napr b Ahmad Sāmānī, Vith of the dynasty, 29, 38 and nn 5 and 6, 39 and n 1, 40, 54.

- Mil-is, signification of the word, 164 and s 6.
- Münis, the, 567 and #8, an error for the Tünis, which see.
- Wir-nd-Din, the Khwaresmi, Imam, 1160, 1161.
- Nür-nd-Din, the Turk, styled Nür, the Turk,—head of the Mulähidah heretics of Hudustän, 648 and n 5.
- Nur-nd-Din-i-A'mi [the Blind], a Muhammadan saint of Samrkand, 1326.
- Nur-ud-Din Mahmud al-Malik-ul-'Adil, son of 'Imād-ud-Din Zangi, Bultān of Shām, 149, 169, 208 and s. 1, 204 s. 205 and ss 4 and 6, 206 and s. 7, 207 s. 8, 208 and mand s. 4, 209 and s. 6, 210 and s. 212 s. 213 mand ss 2 and 5, 215, 216, 217 s. 218 s
- Nur-ud-Din Muhammad, governor of Sind for Sultan Shame-ud-Din I-yal-Timuh of Dibli, 616 s.
- Nüridäsh, Burandäsh or Kurāldāsh —one of the Amira of Clā-Küsh Tigin, the Bādshāh of the Turkish tribe of the Ungküts, 945 n.
- Nurki, the Nuyin,—one of the generals of the Chingiz Khin, 1027 *8 [Nanun Murkals.
- Núrön Mughais, the. See under the Nusakh 1-Jahān Ārā, the,—name of a book, 195 n 4.
- Nushad, son of Bahrām Chūbīn, son of Bahrām Jash-nash,—ancestor of the bāmānīs, 53,
- Nushir, son of Nusha!, son of Bahram Chubin—aucestor; of the Samapis, 53 and a 5
- Nighirwan b. Kubad, the Just, first of the Akasırah dynasty of

- 'Ajam, 5, 6, 8, 19 n I, 425 n S, 888 n, 894 n.
- Nigh-Tigin-1-Marjah, founder of the Khwireau Shihi dymesty, 188 n8, 100 n 7, 222 n, 223 n, 602 n.
- Nügh-Tigin, the Khinah Khidim of Sulpin Mas'id of Ghoznin, 186 a 4.
- Nugh-Tigin, the Silkh-där,—a Turk who slew Tughril, al-Mal'un, the usurper of the throne of <u>Ghasnin</u>, 100, 101.
- Nûsh-Tigin or Kûsh-Tigin, the Pahlawan,—an officer of Sultan Muhammad-i-Takush Khwarasm Shâh, 1034 s.
- Nujrat-ud-Dîn Abû Bikr b. Jahân Pahlawân Muhammad, the Atâbak of Azarbâijân, 178 n 3, 173, 240 and n 3.
- Nurest-ud-Din "Ali b, Yamin-ud-Din Bahram Shāh, Malik of Sussan and Nimros, 196, 197 and # 1, 198, 1300 a.
- Negrat-ud-Din Hazar-Asp, also styled
- Nusrat-ud-Din Hazār-Şaf, the Atābak of Lar,—one of the greatest of the ancient Maliks of Bultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad Khwāraum Shāh, 990 n, 991 n.
- Nuerat-ud-Dm Kutlagh Sháh b. Ulugh Khān-i-Abi Muhammad, Khān of Guzarwin, 235.
- Nusrat-ud-Din, Selari,—governor of the tract of Hansi, on the part of Sultan Kath-ud-Din Ilak of Dibli, 517 n.
- Negrat-ud-Din Sher Khân Sunkar-i-Saghalous the Malik-ul-Kabir, one of the Malike of Sultan Nagir-

ud-Din Mahmid Shih of Dihli, 673, 674 n.3, 684 n.8, 687 and n.n 9 and 1, 688 and n and n.2, 689 n and n.6 and 7, 680 n.8, 682 n.3, 685 and n.0 9 and 1, 686 n. 689 n. 2 and 5, 700 n.8, 704 n.5, 713 and n.2, 1202 n.

Musrat-6d-Din Tāyasā'i, Malik and fendatory of Awadh under Saltās Rasiyyat of Dihli, liv, lv, lxiii, 638 n.7, 639, 690 n.1, 733 and n.2, 738, 734, 735, 743, 834 and n.8, 835 and n.3, 866 n. Numera Khan Bade-nd-Dia Sankari-Sufi, the Rumi,—one of the Malike of Sultin Night-ud-Dia Mahmud Shah of Dihli, 673 = 5, 700 = 5, 713 = 2, 714 = 9,787,788 and = 9,794.

Nupratjang,-app. zzi.

Nushat-ul-Kulüb, the name of a book, 1216 a.

Nysw-lyen, of the Chanchu tribe, one of the generals of "Mongko" Kl'an, sen of the Chingis Khan, 1222 a.

0.

Odo de St. Amand, the Master of the Temple at Jerusalem, 230 n S.

Ogotai,—mispronunciation of the name of Cktée, son of the <u>Ohin-gis</u> <u>Khén</u>, 1104 n 4.

Ohsson, D'. See under D'Ohsson.

Oldjaits, a Maghal title corresponding to the Turkish Kuflugh and the Arab Mubbrok, 866 n.

Oriental Congress, the, 511 m, 885 m, 1094 n —app. iv.

Oriental History, Hayton's, 1162 n. Osboru, Major S. D., 1187 n.7.

Osmanli, the. See under the 'Ugmanli Torks.

Ossetm, the,—the Europeanised name of the tribe of As, 1166 s, 1160 s.

Ossian, 508 m 1.

Ottomen Turks, the, 874 n, 878 n, 1235 nl. See also under the 'Ugmanli Turks.

Ouseley, 94 n 3, 1008 n 5.

F

Paderin, M., 916 m.

Påedär,—a Mughal Amīr in the army of Hulākü Khān, 1276 s.

Pársah, 1268 = 8.

Pakhal, Sultan—the Jahangirian Sultan, 1044 n.

Palärak,—a species of Demascened steel, 1213 and n 7.

Pali-che, the Orla [Arlät],—an officer of "Mengko" Ka'ān, son of the Chingiz Khān, 1223 n.

" Panjšb and Dehli, in 1857," Rev.

J. Cave Browne's, 1062 n 2. Panjab Survey Maps, the, 537 n.

Parinchabe, the, 511 m.

Pardon, the dress of, \$7 and a 1.

Paris National Library, the, viti. Paras the, 630 = 2.

Parwes b. Parwes, son of Shansab, —ancestor of Amir Banji, 312 and a 7.

Parwis b. Yazdajird-i-Shahr-yār, the last of the Akāairah dynasty of 'Ajam, 70 * 1.

Paghm, argumication of the word, 939 a.

Pathän Kings of Dehli, Thomas's
Chronicles of the, xxvi = 3, lv,
666 n, 668 n, 669 n 8, 679 n 6, 685
n 4, 688 n 2, 690 n 1, 699 n 1, 730,
779 n, 791 n 4, 795 n 2, 800 n, 818
n 6, 857 n 8, 878 n, 1108 n 1, 1129
n 1, 1259 n 3,—app. i, iv. See
also under Thomas's "Chronicles
of the Pathäu Kings of Dehli "

Patans or the Batani Afghaus, the, xu, xiu, xxuu n 2 820 n 4, 334 n, 852 n 8, 397 n 1, 497 n 3, 508 u 1, 509 n, 511 n, 513 n, 528 n 3, 533 n, 736 n 5, 852 n 4, 873 n, 885 n, 1132 u, 1295 n 9,—app xix.

Paynton, 295 a.

Pemberton, 563 n.

Peah Dad, surname of Gaiu-mart, or Gil-Shah, lat of the Bastaniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 2

Pesh-dad b. Hoshang, ancestor of Zuhak-1-Tazi, 303 n 7

Posh-Dadan, the lat of the five dynasties of 'Ajam, the, 1,--- also called the Bastaniah,

Peta, son of Hocotam Cham, son of the Genzis Cham,—wrong name of Bātū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tushi [Jūji] <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Chingiz Kh</u>ās, 1167 n.

Pétis de la Croix,—author of a Life of the Chingiz Khan, 535 n, 890 n. 598 a, 964 a 3, 969 a 1, 971 a, 184 a, 998 a, 999 a, 1000 a, 1010 a, 1017 a, 1019 a, 1084 a 3, 1085 a, 1088 a, 1084 a, 1085 a.

Potreius, 1171 s.

Philaretus, 158.

Philip Augustas,—Philip II. of France who undertook with Richard Cour-de-Lion the third Crumde, 226 s.

Philip Smith, 886 a.

Ping-obing. See Lyew-ping-chong, 1318 n.

Pir-i-Roshan, the, or

Pir-i-Türik, the, 1078 a 8.

Pir Muhammad, grandson of Amir Timur, 1155 s 6.

Pur Shah, son of Sultan 'All-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takish Khwaraum Shah, 254 n 3, 262 n 7, 283 n 9. See also under Chiyle-ud-Dm Pir Shah.

Pirey, the slave of Alb-Tigin, ruler of Ghannin, 78 and u 7, 74 u 2, 330 u 8.

Pithora Ris of Ajmir, xxiii, 846 n 9, 393, 410 n 5, 432 n 9, 456 n 2, 458 and n 6, 459 n and n 9, 460 n and n 7, 466 n 1, 463 n, 463 n, 464 and n 7, 466 n 1, 467 n, 468, 449 nn 8 and 9, 470 n and n 3, 486 n, 469 n 8, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 540, 568 n 6, 608 n 8, 621 n 6, 622 n, 635 n 4, 761 n 1, 779 n 8, 1059 n 9, 1060 n 5, --- app. ii, iii, 1v, v, vi.

Plowden, Captain T. C., 1081 n 7.
Poetry of the Afghins, Raverty's,
xxx, 511 n, 1078 n 8, 1147 n 9.
Poles, the,—the people of Poland,

1166 a. Po-lt-cha,—a great Tatar [Maghal] lord, general of "Mongko" Kiin, 1222 n.

Polo, Marco, See under Marco Polo.

Pocadir, of the Dahma race of Rippits, 460 s. See also under Chand Pocadir.

Popes, the, 1227 n S.

Portakin, Bortakin or Bortikin. Bee under Yorkin.

Porus, the Indian Prisce who came to battle with Alexander the Great, 536 u, 537 u.

Pottinger, 188 n 7.

Pramarah race of Hindus, the, 523 s.

Pranki b. Silni b. Lådi,—ancestor of Sultan Bahlul, the founder of the Lüdiah dynasty in India, 510 s. Projevalsky, the Russian Colonal and explorer, 951 s, 961 s, 1085 a. Price, Mr., 186 s 7, 442 s, 584 s 2, 1046 s 1.

Prithi Raj or Pirt'hwirkji, of Ajmir. See under Pithori Rae. Prithu, Barta or Brita,—e Hinda chief, 639 n 5.

Proceedings of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 683 s., 774 s.,—app. zzii. Procepius,—the Greek historian of the VIth century, 171 s.

Pülåd or Fülåd, son of Malik Shanseb, son of <u>Eharnak</u>,—Amir of <u>Ch</u>ür, 306 n 9, 311 and n 2.

Pâlân,—one of the Malike of Sultân Shame-ud-Dîn I-yal-timish of Dîhlî, 630 and a 7.

Purshars, the -- name of a Hindu people, 611 * 3.

Q.

Qáān-i-Buzurg,—title of the Chingis Khān,—app. xxi.
Quatremēre, 1168 n 8, 1256 n.
Queen of Sheba [Sabā], Balţis, of the Tabābr'ah of Yaman, 6, 303 n 7.
Queen Roussudan, dangther of

Queen Thamar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 s.

Queen Thantar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 n.

Qotb aldyn Saktyar Káky, 623 s. See under Kutb-ud-Din Bakhtyár Kiki.

R

Ridwish,—ancestor of Tahir ibu ul-Hussin, 9 n I.

Rie of Chand-wil [Chand-wir], the. 743.

Rão of Diblī, the,—defeated by Mu'isz-ud-Din Nuhammad-i-Sām Chūri, Sultān of Charnin, 379 n 4. Rão of Gujarāt, the, 521 n. Rão of Gwäliyür, the, 546 n 7.

Rie of Jaj-nagar, the, xxvii, 585 n 6, 589 n, 592 n, 666 n, 738, 739, 762, 763 and n 3.

Ric of Jaj-nagur-Udianh, the, 592 n. Ric of Kälinjar, the, 523 n, 524 n,

738, 784, 785 and n 9, 777 n, 884 n 8.

Bie of Nahrwilah, the, 516 m 3, 519 m, 520 m

Bie of Thangir [or Thankir], the, 543.

Rie of Udisah, the, 592 m.

Bio Kokir or Kokar,—an error for the Khokhar Rie, 294 a.

Rie Lakhman, 554 a 9.

Rie Lakhmaniah, of the Hindu dynasty of Nüdiah, 512 = 4, 554 and = 9, 555 and == 1 and 4, 556, 557, 558 and == 7 and 1, 559 and == 2, 574.

Rie Lakhmiah. See the above.

Rác Mán, 627 and = 6.

Rie Pathora of Ajmir, \$46 × 9. See also under Pubora Rie.

Rão Sãl, the Hindli chief of the Jibil-1-Jūdī [the Jūd Hills], 482 n. 484 n.

Ban' b. Haramah,—deputy of Amir Muhammad, son of Tahir [the last of the Tahiri dynasty], in the government, of <u>Khurasan</u>, 23, 24 and a 8, 30, 32 a 7

Rafi' b. Masham,—the rebel governor of Mawars-un-Nahr, 12 a 3.

Bāfiņis, the,—the name given by the Sunnis to the Shi'ahs, 1256 a.

Rahup, the Räjpät prince of Mandawar and Chestors, 611 n S.

Ra'is-i-Bat or Tab, chief of Zarin, \$26 a.

Ra'is-ud-Danish, the,—a learned Muhammadan doctor, 1208 s 8, 1200 s.

Rajah of Jamus, the, 453 = 4, 454 s, 460 s 3.

Rijah of Kimrūd, the, 562 a, 568 a, 564, 570 a and a 9, 764.

Rijah of Sankarah, the, 591 s. Rajasthan, Tod's, 530 s.

Bijpāts, the, 460 m 9, 462 m, 465 m 1, 516 m 2, 530 m, 521 m, 611 m 2, 614 m 8, 691 m, 694 m 6, 853 m 6, 1048 m 1, 1076 m.

Ralph Fitch, 570 = 9.

Bâm Chand (Bâmā), Sājah, 98 a 9, 648 a 3.

Ram Diw,—brother of Jakr [Chakr] Diw, ruler of Jamin, 455 a 4.

Ramish-i-Bat or Tab, chief of Zarin, 886 a.

Rempoldi's Annali Muselmani, 535 s.

Bamusio, 1219 a.

Bàna Lakhi, son of Jüschars, of the Bhati tribe, 79 n, 80 n.

Rinah of Ajër, the, 690 n 1, 691 and n, 733 and n 6. See also under Chihar, the Ajër.

Rinch of the Jud Hills, the, 815.

Rantaki or Zaakabi, son of Tisiobarsed,—assestor of \$ahik the Taxi, 203.

Banjit Singh, the founder of the Sikh Kingdom, 202 a.

Rap-pål [Rap-påla], the Hindê Rinah, 880 and a 7, 840.

Ricibers or Diribers, a general of the Rio of Gujarit, 522 s.

Resed-i-II-Khūni, or II-Khūni, the, —the observatory erroted in Aparbiijin by command of Hulikū Khūn, 1967 a, 1988 a.

Righid, the Shir of Charjistin, 341 a 6.

Reghid-ad-Din, anthor of the Jimi'at-Tawirih, 300 a 4, 250 a 2, 250 a, 618 a, 600 a 2, 691 a, 692 a, 200 a, 200 a, 204 a, 226 a, 203 a, 200 a, 240 a, 264 a 2, 206 a, 200 a, 1000 a, 1018 s, 1019 s, 1020 a, 1046 a, 1086 a, 1080 a, 1156 a 6, 1211 a, 1216 a, 1226 a 2, 1226 a, 1240 a, 1244 a, 1247 a 7, 1250 a, 1255 a, 1200 a 6, 1261 a, 1262 a, 1264 a, 1278 a, 1286 a, -app. xx.

Raghid-nd-Dio, the Hakim, Khwijah,—a merchant of Bulkh, 1174 and s 2.

Raghid-ud-Din-i-'Abd-ul-Majid, Imam, 184.

Rashid-ud-Din 'Ali, the Sipak-Bálür,—an officer of Sultin Shamsud-Din Iyal-Timish, of Dibli, xxvi, 630, 643 = 4.

Rashid-ud-Dîn 'Alī, Ḥanafī, the Sharaf-ul-Mulk,—the Ulugh-i-Khās Hējib [Chief Royal Chamberlain], of Sultān Nāsir-ud-Dîn Mahmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 883.

Rashid-ud-Din Mülküni or Mülküni, Khwājah,—a Tājak officiel of Sultān Huku-ud-Din Firus Shāh of Dilali, 635 and 28.

Raghid-nd-Din Watwât, Imām,—a lineal descendant of the Khalifah 'Umar and author of the Eladāyikus-Siḥr fi Daḥāyik-vah-Shi'r, 236 and a 4.

Esthel or Eastbel,—title of the King of Kibul who opposed the first Musselmäns when they invaded his territory, 23 n 5.

Ratbel or Rantbel, the Shih of Kābul,—to whom the sons of Darbim, Governor of Sijistān, fied after their defeat by the Saffāris, 19 n 1, 22 n 5.

Rithhs, the,—name of a people in the Koh-i-Jüd, 1133 m.

Rātibah, the slave guri of Maḥmūdi-Warrāḥ of Nīghāpāv, 17, 18. Roussi-us-Safa, the, name of a historical work, 118 a, 116 a 8, 146 m4, 149 = 8, 162 = 2, 199 mm 7 and 9, 264 m, 266 m, 287 m 9, 280 m, 297 m, 905 m 5, 306 m 2, 316 m 3, 328 n. 338 n 6, 338 n 2, 348 n 3, 378 # 9. 404 # 1, 407 ## 5 and 7, 411 #. 482 ml, 484 mm 8 and 9, 456 m 5, 449 = 8, 581 = 8, 540 m, 559 m 2. 560 n 4, 572 n 4, 576 n 5, 615 n 1, 023 ml, 645 m 8, 658 m 1, 659 m 6, 665 n.8, 869 n.3, 906 n. 909 n. 988 n. 946 m, 951 m, 959 m, 960 m, 963 m, 964 m. 967 m S. 995 m. 998 m. 999 n, 1008 n 5, 1012 n 9, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1025 n, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1031 m, 1083 m, 1084 m, 1086 m l, 1088 as \$ and 6, 1042 = 5, 1048 a 1, 1060 m, 1068 m 9, 1074 m, 1083 m, 1087 m. 1137 m. 1138 m. 1141 m. 1148 n 4, 1159 n 6, 1190 n 1, 1194 n, 1197 m 8, 1906 m 8, 1221 m, 1927 m 4, 1238 m 2, 1248 m, 1246 m 5, 1256 a 6, 1262 a,-app. EE.

Rausat-ut Tähirin, the, --name of a book, xvi, 306 n 3, 433 n 8, 451 n 6.

Ravenetsin, 969 u 1.

Raverty, Major, lii, 718 *, 1081 * 8, —app. zin, ziv, zvii, ziz, zziv.

Raysin, application of the term, 694 n 4.

Raybin, 'Imid-ud-Din. See under 'Imid-ud-Din Raybin.

Rayhani, signification of the term, 839 n 9.

Reses Mürit,—ancestor of Takir fbn-nl-Husain, 9.

Riqi Bi'likh, ar-, the 'Abbini Khalifah, 37, 55 w 1.

Easi-ul-Mulk, 'Izz-ud-Din Durmaahi [Darmashani],--one of the Maliks of Sultin Napir-ud-Din Mahmud Shah of Dihli, 697 and # and # 6.

Easi-ul-Mulk Tirmisi, who became the director of the affairs of Chasnin at the time of the Mughal invasion, 1015 s, 1016.

Rasiyyah, Sultan, daughter of Sultin Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timish of Dihli, xxvi, 624 n, 625, 631 nm dand 9, 632 n 5, 633 n 7, 635 and # 9, 636, 637 and # 8, 638 # 1, 639 mn 7 and 8, 640 and sal and 2, 641 and # 8, 648 and # 4, 644 and m and = 7, 645 and = and == 9 and 3, 646, 647 and n 9, 648 and nn 2, 2 and 5, 649, 651 m7, 652, 666 m, 669 x 8, 726 and x 3, 781 x 9, 735, 787, 743, 745, 746, 748, 749 and an 1 and 3, 750 and a 9, 752, 753, 754, 761, 767, 779, 780, 782 . 3, 790, 798, 806, 818 n 5, 860 n, 884 n, 1129 and m 1, 1153 m 9, 1224 m, 1285.

Re-bāl or Ban-bāl, a Hindū Chief in the Koh-i-Jūd, 482 n, 484 n See also under Bāc-sāl.

Reinaud, 1046 # 8.

Reuke's Geography of Abu'l Fids, 94 a 8.

Remusat, 912 n 9.

Rennel, 585 n 6, 588 m.

Retrospect of Mahammedan History, Price's, 584 n 2

Reynold, 73 n 7, 76 n 2, 87 n.

Riaş-uş-Şalāţīn, name of a historrcal work. 777 *.

Richard Cosur-de-Lion, xlvii, 220 n 3, 225 n, 470 n,

Rien, Prof., vi, viii, zvi, 826 n,---

Ripkawar b. Sahırah, son of Tüg,

-ancestor of Juhik, the Tini, 303 = 7.

Riellah-i-Bahi'iah, the,—of Falike ud-Din Muhammad, Riel, 429.

Ritter, 622 a, 916 a.

Ruä, Imam, descendant of 'Ali b. Abi Talib and one of the twelve Imams of the Shi'ah, 11 n 9.

Bişā, ar-, title of Nüḥ b. Manydr son of Nüḥ, son of Naṣr Sāmāuī, 44 n 9.

Romans, the, 135 and **2, 186 **, 139 **, 872 **. See also under the Rüms.

Romanus [Armānūs the Kamar of Rūm], 134 n 9, 186 n.

Boyal Asiatic Society, Transactions of the, 691 s.

Bubruqua, 900 mand m 2, 1078 m 8, 1080 m 4, 1110 m 7, 1140 m, 1157 m 9, 1161 m 4, 1162 m, 1165 m, 1168 m, 1173 m 1, 1185 m, 1225 m, 1207 m 6, 1290 m m 9 and 1, 1291 m 2.

Rüdaki, Farid-ud-Din, Abü 'Abdullah Muḥammad, the famous poet, 153 n 7.

Rukn-ud-Daulah, Abû 'Alī-i-Hasan, sou of Buwiah, son of Fana Khuerau, Dilami, second of the dynasty, 55 n l, 57, 59, 61 n 4, 62 n 6.

Ruku-ud-Din, Abū-Bıkr, the Kurat, Malik,—the maternal grandfather of Malik Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, founder of the Kurat dynasty, 1181 n 2, 1203 n. Same as Ruku-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Abū Bıkr the Maraghani.

Rukn-ud-Din Abu'l Muşaffar Barkiërük, son of Sultên Malik Shêh, Saljükî, 143 n.2. Soe under Bar-

- kürük, Rakn-nd-Din Abu'l Musaffar,
- Bukn-ud-Din b. 'Alf-ud-Din Muhammed, 392.
- Rakn-ud-Din 'Alī b. Ibrāhīm, of Nīghāpūr, the Kāşī-i-Mamālik, 1025 n.
- Rakn-ud-Die Fieus Shāb, Malik, b Sultlu Nāşir-ud-Din Mahmūd Shāb, son of Shams-ud Din Iyal-Tımişh, of Dilhi, 673, 696, 827 88.
- Enkn-ud-Din Firux Shāh, Sultān b. Sultān Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Tuniah of Dihli, 625, 630 and n 3, 631, 623, 633 and nn 6 and 8, 634 and n 9, 635 and n 9, 636, 638 n 1, 667 n, 670 n 1, 703 n 9.
- Ruku-ud-Dín <u>Gh</u>ùrí Shānastī b bultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad -1 Takish <u>K</u>hwārasm Shāh, 235, 256 and n, 273 n, 275 n, 276 n.5, 261 and n.5, 282 and n, 281, 399 u.6, 990 n, 992, 1075 n See also under <u>Gh</u>ūri Shānasti
- Ruku-ud-Din Hamsah, Kiwam-ul Mulk, the Sadr i-Kabir,—Kari of Sultan Mulux ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, of Chur, 466 a 1, 489 a 3, 517 a.
- Rukn nd-Din Hamsah-i-'Abd-ul-Malik,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, of Dihli, 026
- Rakn-ud Din Khür Shih, son of 'Aši-nd-Din Mahmüd,—the last of the Mulähdah rulers of Alamüt, 706 n 3, 1148 n, 1206 n n 1 and 3, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1209 n, 1210 n, 1211 n, 1221 n, 1276 n, 1279 n.
- Rakn-ud-Din Kulij Arsalān [called Sulimān by some] b. Ghiyāş-ud-

- Din Kai <u>Kh</u>uaran,—XIIth of the Saljūķiah dynasty of Edm, 163 n.5. 164, 1161 n. 1153 n. 1195 n. 1262 c.
- Ruku-ud-Din Mahmud, son of Yamin-ud-Din Bahram Shah, grandson of Taj-ud-Din-i-Harab, Malik of Sijistän and Nimros, 197 and nn 7 and 8, 198.
- Rukn-ud-Diu Mahméd Khán b. Muhammad-i-Arsalān Khān, the Afrāsiyābi. See under Mahméd Khān b. Muhammad-i-Arsalān Khūn.
- Rukn-ud-Din Mubārak-i-Khwājah Jūķ, son of Burāk, the Hājab, sovereign of Kırmān, under the vasselagn of the Mughala, 1119 s.
- Enku-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Abi Bikr, the Maraghani, Halik, 1037 a, 1039 a 7, 1042 a 6, 1049 a 2, 1052, 1054 a, 1199 a, 1200 a. Same as Rukn-ud-Din Abū Bikr, the Kurat
- Bukn-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Ugman, the Maraghani, Malik,—chief of Khansir of Chür and father of Eliams ud-Din Muhammad, founder of the Kurat dynasty, xxiv, xxv. xlvii, 201, 233, 967 and a 8, 1039, 1061 and a 1, 1198 and a 6, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1203, 1204, 1205
- Rokn-ud Dîn Salîman b <u>Gh</u>iyas-ud-Dîn Kai <u>Kh</u>uarau Sce Ruknud-Dîn Kalıj Arsalan
- Rukn-ud-Din Sür, of Kidan,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Mu'izz-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Säm, of Ghür, 491 and a 7.
- Bukn-ud-Din, title of Tughril Beg, son of Mikh'il, sou of Saljük, 136 n.

Rumi dynamy of the Saljuks, the, 157 and n 4, 158, 235 u.

Rümiän, the, 872 m. See also under the Romans.

Rūmīs, the, xxxiii, 139 s. See also under the Romans.

Rums, the, 69 n, 211 n 7, 226. See also under the Romans

Rus, the, 870 n, 999 n, 1103 n, 1151 n, 1169 and n 2, 1170 n. See also under the Russians.

Rüs, fifth son of Yâfiş [Japheth], —from whom the Bussians are descended, 870 n, 871 n, 887 n.

Rü-thed b Manüghihr,—ancestor of Tähir ihn ul-Husain, 9

Rusians, the See under the Russians and also under the Rus Raminus, the, 474 n 5, 870 n, 871 m, 929 n, 961 n, 999 n, 1000 n, 1051 n, 1171 n. See also under the Rüs. Russik, signification of the word, 927 n.

Rustam-i-Dastan or

Bustam-i-Zāl,—the famous here of ancient Irān, 3, 91, 184 n, 285 n 3, 306 n 2, 318, 422 and n 7, 460, 471 n 5, 510 n, 561 n 9, 681, 721, 972 n, 1135, 1234,—app zzi.

Rustam b. as-Saddid, ancestor of Tähir ibn al-Husain, 9.

Rusaik b Mähän-i-Khazā'ī, ancestor of Tābur ibn ul-Husain, 9 n l. Buzudan, daughter of Queen Tha-

Buzndan, daughter of Queen Thamar, sovereign of Georgia, 1001 s. Ruzutan See the above.

8.

Sabē, the 'Arab tribes of, 613 x 2. Sāban-tar [Sāwan-tara], leader of the forces of Jēz-nagar, 788.

Şabhāḥ al-Ḥimyarī, ap-,—of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7, 187 n 7

Sibi-i-Dabir [the Secretary], author of the Kıtab-i-Naji, 60 and a 7.

Sabit b. Sinān, son of Sabit b. Kurrah, surnamed Abû Kurrah ng-Sabi, 60 n 7. See the above

Sabtāe, the Nüyin, 276 s. See under Swidse, the Bahadur.

Sabuk-Tigin, founder of the Gharnewiyah dynasty, xxxii n 2, xxxiii, xlv, 1, 27, 41 n, 45 n 8, 46 and n n 1, 2 and 4, 47, 48 and n 3 and 4, 49, 51 n 5, 61, 62, 67, 68, 69 and n, 70 and n 8, 71, 73 n 6, 73 and nn 7 and 9, 74 and n and nn 2 and 3, 75 and n, 76, 80 n 5, 84 n8, 105 n, 115, 232 n, 320 and n 3, 324 n, 329, 455, 509 n, 852 n 4, 1016 n 3, —app xvin.

Sa'd b. Zangi, son of Maudud, the Atā-bak,—ruler of Fars under the Saljūk sovereigns, 148, 173, 176 and n I, 177 and n 6, 178 and n 7, 179, 265, 266 n, 283 n 9, 295 n and n 6, 396 n.

Sa'd-ud-Daulah, the Shahnah or agent of Alb-Armalan-a-<u>Ch</u>ésī, son of Dâ'ūd-i-Joghar Beg, the Saljáḥī, at Baghdād, 134 u 9.

Sa'd-nd-Dîn Abû Bikr the Şalghüri, Atā-bak, ruler of Fārs, 1202 s. See under Abû Bikr b. Sa'd b. Zangi

Sa'd-ud-Din Garduizi, Kāşi,-one of the Court Kāşis of Sulţan

- Shams-nd-Din I-yal-Timigh, of Dihli, 624.
- Sa'd-ud-Din Tirmini, Khwajah, defender of Hirat against the Khwarnamie, 256.
- Sudaks or Hundreds,—one of the four degrees of Mughals, 948 n.
- Sadahah,—one of the officers of Malik Sliah, son of Rukn-ud-Din Barkiërük, the Saljühi sovereign, 145 n 4.
- Saddid b. Dostân, se-, amoustor of Tàbir ibu ul-Hussin, 9.
- Sa'di, Shuykh, author of the Gulistan, 179 a 1, 407 a 8
- Sn'di the Jushi,—one of the Nüyms of the Chingis Khān, 1006, 1047, 1051, 1053 and n 9.
- Sadrd ud-Din Tüsuf b. Mutahhar, —one of the Sayyıds [Shi'aha] of Hillah, 1242 a 2.
- Şadr-i-Jahün, a title given to Minhāj-i Sarāj, by Sultān Nāşir-ud-Din Mahmèd Shāh, of Dihli, xxviu. 698 and a 8.
- Sadr-1-Jahān, application of the title in Akbar's reign, 696 n 8 Sadr-1-Jahān of Bukhārā, the, 601 n 8.
- Şadr Jahin Mufti,—app xxi.
- Şadr-ud-Din,—one of the chief mea of Rukn-ud-Din Khūr Shāh, Bādghāh of the Muläḥidah of Alamūt, 1209 a.
- Şadr.ud-Din, the Tabrizi, Malik, commander of the Tijzik tomân of the Mughal troops, 1280 n 5, 1281 n.
- Sadr.ud-Din'Ali Haisam, the Nighipuri, Imam, of the sect of the Kuramis and the head of the Afahu college, 385.

- Şadr-ud Din Mas'ad, Harawi, Wazir of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Din Tukinh, son of I-yal-Arsalän Khwarasm Shah, 261 n 1.
- Şadr-ul-Mulk, the Sayyid, Tāj-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Mūsāwī, the Munhrif-i-Mamālik of Dihlī, 652 and a 1, 658 and n 5, 654 n, 663, 711. See also under Tāj-ud-Dīn, 'Alī, Mūsāwī.
- Sadsan, son of Yang [Japheth], son of Nah [Noah], 870 s.
- Safaktān, of the Turkish tribe of Yamak, father of Kadr Khān of Turkistān, xivisi, 961 a, 963 a 8, 1097 and a 6. His Musalmān mane was Yūsuf.
- Saffah-i-Makyūrah, the,—the most sacred place within a Masjid, 976 s
- Şafi, an officer of the "Abbasi <u>Khali-</u> fah al-Mu'taşid, 32 s.
- Sali or babi, the Historian. See under Sabut b. Sipan
- Şafi-ud Din Mahmud. Khwajah, one of the Wazire of Sulţin Ghiyāş-ud-Din Muhammad-ı-Süm, of Ghür, 388, 390.
- Saghalaüs,—a word attached to the name of Malik Nusrat-ud-Din Sher Khia, Sunhar, which see, 969 and n 3.
- Singhnik Tigin, son of Uzir, the Kankuli, the chief of Almiligh, 900 a 1, 900 a.
- Sag-lab [Saklab], fourth son of Yang [Japheth], 870 u, 871 n.
- Şāḥib, aş-, title of iba-1-'Abbād,---Wazir of Fakhr-ud-Daulah, Abu'l-Hassu-i-Buwiah, 46 n 4.
- Sähind or Sämind, son of Sifandasp, descendant of Zuhāk, the Tāzī, 806.

108 Index.

Sähirah b. Tüjs,—assestor of Şupāk the Tāsī, 303 = 7.

- Sahüdah, the Bahädur. See under Swidze, the Bahädur.
- Safbi b. Althu Tägh-i-Khwärmum Shāh, the Hājib of Sultan Mahmād of Ghusnin, 121 s.
- Sa'id Bådghard,—one of the chief zam among the weavers of Hirat, 1127 s.
- Sa'id, son of the Khalifah 'Uşman b. 'Affan, 1258 s 8.
- Saif b. Zī-Yazan,—of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, S. [jutān, 1301 a. Saif-ud-Dīn,—the Malik of <u>Ghar-</u> Saif-ud-Dīn, Malik,—one of the Amīrs of Ghūr, 1070.
- Saif-ud-Din, the Bëkhurri, Shaikh, —a Muhammadan saint, 1181 w, 1247.
- Saif-ud-Din the Bitik-ohi, —an army officer of Huläkü Khan, son of Tüli, son of the Chingiz Khan, 1306 n.
- Saif-ud-Din al-Kalmari or al-Kamiri,—one of the Amirs of Malik-un-Nieir, the ruler of Halab and Shām, 1267 * 6
- Saif-ud-Din Abu Bikr b Malik-ul-Kümil, son of Malik-ul-'Adıl Saifud-Din Abu Bikr-ı-Muḥammad al-Aiyubi,--his father's Lieutenant in the Diyör-i-Muscian, 228 n 4.
- Saif-ud-Din Abū Bikr-i-Muhammad b. Alyūb, son of Shadi, al-Kurdi, the Malik-ul-'Ādil. Soe under Malik-ul-'Ādil Saif-ud-Din
- Saif-ed-Din Aka,—the Wazır and Secretary of the Province of Tus, 1196 *
- Baif-ud-Din Azkali or Arkali,-a

- horseman of the Malik-ul-Kāmil, of Shām, 1272 z 5.
- Saif-ud-Din Bat Khān-i-Ibak, the Khijā-i, Mulik of Kuhrām,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāşir-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dihli, lxti, 673, 699, 706, 757, 758 and n 9, 830, 832, 833, 948 s.
- Saif-nd-Din-: Ghási, son of 'Imidud-Din Zaugi, ruler of Manul, 204 n, 206 n 7, 207 n 8.
- Saif-ud-Din H san the Karlugh, Malik,—governor of Multin, 633 n 6, 644 n 7, 645 n, 668 n, 689 n, 730, 781 and an 8 and 2, 782 and n 3, 1094 n 9, 1108 n 1, 1119, 1128 and n, 1129 and n 1, 1130 n, 1131 and n, 1153, 1154 n 1, 1201 n.
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak, the Chamei, the chief Dad-Bek, one of the Mahike of Sultan Nasir-ud-Din Mahmud Chah of Dibli, 673 n 5, 788, 789 and n 3, 790
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Balkā Khan, Sanā'i,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāṣir-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihli, 673, 749, 774 s
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Bihak, the Kutlugh Khân,—commander of the army under Sultân Begiyyah, daughter of Sultân Shama-ud-Din I-yal-Timish of Dihli, 641.
- Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Kaehh <u>Kh</u>ān Mabarak i Barbak,—one of the Maliks of Sniṭān Nāṣir ud-Din Maḥmud <u>Sh</u>ali of Dilili, 674, 685 n-4, 694 and n-3-702, 709 n, 713 and n-2, 778 n, 781 n-9, 795 and n-2, 796, 796, 790 n-4, 802, 820, 827, 840, 841, 840 n-9
- Saif-ad Din Ibak-i Cchchah, Malik, -one of the Maliks in Hand of Sul.

tin ghame-nd-Din I-yel-Timigh, of Dihli, 617 x 5, 638 x 6, 729, 730, 731

Saif-ud-Din Ibak-i-Yughān-Tat, the <u>Khitā-</u>i Turk,—one of the Malike in Hind of Bultān Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timigh of Dihli, 618 n. 781, 782 and n 1, 736, 744, 762 n 9, 770 n 9, 771 n. 774 n. 778 n. 866 n.

Saif-nd-Din Ighrük, Malik of the I-ghüre,—who joined Sultän Jalälnd-Din Khwärnam Shäh in the early part of his campaign against the Mughals, 287 n 9, 289 n, 290 n, 891 n 3, 409 n 6, 540 n, 1015 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1031 n 8, 1022 n, 1023 n, 1129 n 1.

Saif-ud-Din Kudus, the Turkmin,-Amir-ul-Umara of Musr, who under the title of Malik-ul-Mutaffar, usurped the sovereignty of that country, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1282 n.

Saif-ad-Din Kuji, Malik, feedatory of Hansi,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Shams-ud-Din I-yal Tim.ah, of Dibli, 633, 634, 639 640, 726, 735, 779.

Saif-ud-Din Muhammad, son of Sultan'Alā-ud Din al Husain, XVIth of the Shausabāniah dynasty of Ghūr, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368 and a, 369, 370, 371, 375 a, 377 a 4, 413 a 1, 446, 447

Saif ad Diu Sädik Khân b Mangüţā or Maugūeţā, Malık,--one of the Sardars of Sulţân Jaiāl ud-Diu Khwarasm Shāb, 1276 n

Saif-ud-Din Suri b 'Isx-ud-Din al-Husain Ghuri, Sultau, 1st of the Shansabaniah dynasty of Ghazniu, 112 x 5, 113 x, 149 n 2, 316 n9, 387, 388 nn 1 and 2, 389, 340, 341 and n7, 348, 348 and nn 2 and 3, 347 n 2, 348 and n, 340 n, 350 n, 351 n, 353 n 5, 354, 355, 356 n, 356 n 3, 433 n 5, 438, 439 and nn 3 and 4, 440, 441, 442 and n, 445 n, 445, 446 n 3.

Saif-ud-Din Sürî, son of Shihāb-ud-Din Muhammad <u>Kh</u>arnek, son of 'Izz-ud-Din al-Husain <u>Gh</u>üri, Malik, 344, 472 n, 496 n 1

Saif-ud-Din Timrani, the <u>Kh</u>udawand-Zadah,—one of the Amire of <u>Gh</u>ür, 395.

Saif-ul-Islam, Malık-ul-'Azis, Zahir-ud-Dia, son of Alyab, son of Shadi al-Kurdi, 208 and n 9.

Şê'in <u>Kh</u>ân, the,—title of Bâtū, son of Tughi, son of the <u>Chingis Kh</u>ân, 1165 n.

St John, the Baptist, 1253 n 4

St. John, the Evangelist, 1161 n 1

St John, Major, 991 s, 1119 n, 1207 n

St. Louin, 895 n, 896 n

St Petersburg's Journal, the, 72 a 6. Sair-ul-Bilid, the,—a Persian traus-

lation of the Asar-ul Bilad, an Arabic geographical work, zer

Sajan b Murzaban b Hariz, of the Tabiba'ah of Yaman, S.

Sajukah. See under Samukalı, the Bahadur

Sakiab or Sakiab, the fourth of the eight sous of Yafis [Japheth], 870 s. 571 s. 586 s

Saklebs or Saklabs, the,—descendants of Saklab, son of Yads [Japheth]. 870 n, 872 n, 1032 n. The Sclave or Slave of Europeans, Saknak, son of Tuch, son of the

Saknāk, son of Tushi, son of the Chingin Khan 1166 s.

Saktūr, the Nū-yin,—one of the Nū-yins of the Chingir Khin, 972 n. 975 n.5.

Sakya-muni, the Buddha,—story of his incarnation, 892 s.

Seläh-ud-Din, the Nisā-i, Kotwāl [Soneschal] of the city of Ghaz-nin,—under Sultān Muhammid Khwārazm Shāh, 1013, 1013 and a 2, 1015 n

Saláh-ad-Din Khalil, son of Malaul-Kämil Nöşır-ad-Din,—the last of the Alyübi dynasty in Egypt, 1275 n 3

Salüh-nd-Din Yüsuf, son of Aıyüb b Shādi-al-Kurdi. Sultān,—Vth of the Kurdian Mahks of Shām, 101 n8, 204 n, 205 nn 4 and 6, 206 and n7, 207 and n8, 208 n and nn 9 and 1, 209 n 6, 210 and 1, 211, 212 n, 218 and n, 214 n and nn 6 and 8, 215 and n, 216 and nn 3 and 4, 217 and n 216 n and nn 6, 211 and n9, 220 and nn 1 and 3, 221 n and nn 6 and 7, 222 and n and n8, 224 and n 8, 225 n 229 n 5, 470 n, 1265 n 9, 1267 n 6 Sālār Zaffir See under Zaffir, tho

Sale, General Sir B. 97 n

Sale's, "Defence of Jaliliabad," 331
n1, 1012 n4, 1025 n [19,835 n 3
Sale's Kur'an, 365 n 3, 646 n 7, 820
Salghau Khātin,—daughter of Chaghatāe Khan, son of the Chingiz Khān, 1167 n 4, 121 n
Salaban the Tarkens Chag

Salghur, the Torkman Chief,—progenitor of the Atā baks of Fars, 174 n

Saighur Shāh, son of the Ata bak Said b Zangi, ruler of Fars, 205 n6 Salghur Saltān, Abū Bikr-l-Sa'd, raior of Fārs, 1118 n 9. See under the Atā-bak Abā-Bikr h. Sa'd b Zangī.

Sali Sarî or Salin, a Tâttar tribe, 1195 n 5.

Sali, the Nûyin. See under Salin the Tutter Nuyin.

Sähh, Khwajab, the Kot-wäl [Sensechal], deputy of Hindů Kháa Mihtur i Hubarak in the fort of Cuh hali, 1163 and s.9.

Şilih-i lama'ti, Malik, 5 Badr-ud-Din Abu'l-Faşa'ti i-Lülü, ruler of Mauyti, 1347 n, 1363 n 8, 1364 a, 1250 n 5, 1281 n, 1283 n.

Saith b Durhim, governor of Silistan, 19 n 1, 22 a 5

Salidib at New, Kanini, ... governor of Sij ... a under the Talmis, 10 and a 1, 21

Salim, Suitan, first of that name, of the 'U-munit according, deposes the last 'Abbast Khalifah in Egypt, 1200 a.

Salim [Islam] Shah, Sur, ruler of Diali, 511 n

Salor, San or Sari, the Tattar Nuym,—one of the Nu-yms of Manga Kalan, son of Tali, son of the Chingus Khan, 711 and n.4, 786 n.6, 755 n.1, 844 and n.8, 850 n.2, 862, 1135 n.5, 1191 n., 1201 n, 1202 n.1225 n.1253 n.8.

Saljud trabe of Mnghais, the, descendants of the account of the Nurun sons of Alas-Kaws, 893 s, 840 s, 944 s.

Salpik b. Lukman, the Turkman, founder of the Salpiki dynasty, 85, 116 and a 3, 117 s., 118 and s, 120, 903 s., 915 s

- Saljūk dynasty of 'Erāk, the, 160 n, 165, 167 n 8.
- Saljāķ dynasty of Kirmān, the, 98 u 8, 138 n 8, 158 n, 167 s 8.
- Saljük dynasty of Rüm, the, 157 and n 4, 158 n, 164 n 7, 168 n 2, 235 n, 1237 n 4.
- Saljük dynasty of Shim, the, 144 m S, 167 m S.
- Saljūķ <u>Sh</u>āh, son of Mahmūd b Muhammad b. Malik <u>Sh</u>āh, Saljūķī, 207 n 8.
- Saljūķ Turkmāns, the, 1028 a.
- Baljūķi Sultāns, the, 108 n, 126 n 2,
 182 n 9, 145 n 4, 157 n 2, 168 n 3,
 172 n 8, 189 n 8, 208, 234 n 8, 235,
 236 and n 4.
- Baljüka, the, vii. xxxii, 68 n 7, 93 n 8, 94, 98 n 1, 98 n n d n 8, 100, 102 n 1, 104 n 1, 105 n, 108 n 5, 116 n 8, 117 n, 119 n n 7 and 8, 120 and n 1, 121 and n, 122 and n n 8 and 3, 123 n, 124 and n and n 4, 125 and n n 6 and 8, 126 n n 2 and 3, 127, 129 and n and n n 3 and 3, 130, 131 and n 7, 132 n 9, 138 n 8, 144 n, 167 and n 4, 167 n 8, 172 n 3, 174 n, 184 n, 185, 232 n, 236, 324 n, 332 n 4, 374 n 5, 478 n 6, 867 n, 891 n, 901 n, 903, 905 n, 1008 n 5, 1009 n, 1239 n, 1249 n
- Salm b. Afridun, sovereign of I ran, 206 and a 2
- Sai-Timigh,—a Turkish name,—app žii
- Sam, the Serhang [standard-bearer], - an army officer of Sultin Muhammad <u>Kh</u>wiraam <u>bh</u>āh, 1005, 1025 n 3.
- Sam b. Ghiyaq-ud-Din Mahmad, Sultan of Ghur. See under has title of Baha-ud-Din.

- Sam b. Hamn b. Muhammad-i-Sarī the chief of @har, 223 n, 223 n 6
- Sim b. 'iss-nd-Din al-Husain, Sultin of Chur See under his title of Bahi-nd-Din.
- Sam b. Nariman, grandfather of Rustam-i-Zal, 309 n. 510 n. 972 n.
- 8am [Shem], son of Nüh [Nosh] 6, 170 n S, 308 and n 7, 304.
- Sam b Shams-ud-Din Muhammad Bămiāni. See under his title of Bahā-ud-Din.
- Sam, the Sipah-salar, son of Suhak the Tazi, 307
- Sămân-i-Khaddât, founder of the Sămânî dynasty, 26 and n 3, 27, 28, 29, 53 nn 8 and 8.
- Sāmānia, dynasty of the, xxxiii, 25 m 2, 26, 27 and m 6, 28, 29 and m 9 31 m 3, 32 m, 42, 50 m 2, 51 and m 6, 52 and m 1, 53 and m, 55 m 1, 63, 71 mm 4 and 5, 72 m 6, 73 m 7, 74, 75 m, 80 m, 61 m, 84 m 9, 118, 232 m, 841 m 6, 901 m, 915 m, 916 m, 922 m
- Samanis, Genealogical tree of the, 53
- Samarsi, the Rajput Prince of Cheetore, 520 m.
- Samurush, rater of the descendants of Sabil and Nabati, the sons of Adam. 3.
- Samoydes, the,—the peoples inhabiting Northern Russis, who probably are the descendants of Yajuj and Mājūj 872 s.
- Şamçám-ud-Daulah Marzaban, son of 'Cid-ud-Daulah Fana Khuarau, of the Buwish dynasty, 57, 64 and n 1, 65.
- Samam-ud-Din of Farghanah, a soldier in the service of Muham-

mad-i-Bughtyur, the Khalj, 552

Simükah Bahâdur, the Sāljūt,—one of the Nü-yìns of the Ωhingus Khūn, 958 n

Sanbāshī, the Hājib. See under Subāshī, the Hājib-i-Buzurg. Sanders, Captain, 1052 n 5

Sanders, Captain, 1002 n 5 Sang-s-Yadah, the rain-producing stone, 870 n, 941 n

Sangar Khan, the Janjhuhah. See under Sankar Khan

Sangar Khān, Karlūki. See under Sunkar Khān.

Sangkar, the Nu-yiu,—one of the Nu-yins of Hulaka Khan, son of Tuli, son of the Chungis Khan, 1263 a.

Sangun, also styled Shangun, son of the Awang khān, 941 n, 942 n, 944 n.

244 n.
Senjan Rēs, author of a historical
work, 85 n.

Sanjar, Sultan, son of Malik Shah, non of Alb-Arsalan-1-Ghasi, Salmki, 105 m, 107, 108 and n 5, 109 and n 8, 110 and n 1, 111 and n 2, 112 n 3, 189 n, 143 n 2, 144 n and n3, 146 and nn 5, 6 and 7, 147 and a 8, 148 and so 4 and 5, 149 and #2, 150, 151 and #6, 152, 153 and #7. 154 and n 2, 155 s and an Sand 6, 155 and = 8, 157 and == 1. 2 and 5, 150 m, 159 and m, 165 and a5, 166 s, 168 and a 2, 169 and n7. 170, 171 and = 2, 173 and = 5. 174 and n. 180 and n 7, 181 n. 187 and a 4, 186, 189, 204 and a, 205. 233 m, 234 m 8, 236 mm 4, 5 and 6, 237 n and nn 7 and 8, 238 m and яя 3, 5 and 8, 239 and в, 242, 260 77, 261, 264 n, 296 n, 333 n 6, 336

and a 4, 327, 342, 344, 847 a 2, 348 s, 349 s, 350 s, 387 and a 7, 366 and a s 2 and 3, 350 and a 360, 361 and a 7, 362, 363 a 8, 364, 374 and a 5, 375 a, 378 a 3, 436 a 4, 427, 439 a 4, 900 a 4, 906 a, 907 a 908 a, 900 and a, 910, 911 and a 7, 919 a, 923 a, 924 a, 925 a, 926 a, 1196 a 8, 1244 a 3.

Sanjar Malik, the neurper of the government of Bulhara, 260 a 7, 930 n

Sanjar Shin b Tughin Shin, son of Mu-ayyid-1-A'inah-dar, --of the Sanjariyah Maliku of Nightpur, 182 and n 1, 246 n 8, 247 n, 250, 251 n 9 391 n 3

Sanjari [of Sultin Sanjar Saljūķi] dynasty, the, 168, 203, 281, 245, 248, 250, 336 and a 4, 367, 371, 424.

Sanjárib, Malik of Bábil, [Sennacherib of biblical history], 3.

Sanjariyah Maliku of Fars, the, 173 Sanjariyah Maliku of "I-rik and Aşarbaijan, the, 169 [180 Sanjariyah Maliku of Nishipin, the,

Sanjūti, a friend of Kabal Khān son of Tūminā-i, chieftain of the Murhals, 897 s.

Sankar or Sangar Khān, the Janjhubah,—chief of the Janjhühah, tribes of the Koh-r-Jad, 1181 s.

Sankghur, the Nu-yan, --- one of the Nu-yans of the Changiz Khun, 1020 a

Sankur 5. Salghur, the Atō-bak, progenitor of the Atō-baks, of Firs, 148, 168, 169 and n 7, 173 and un 4 and 5, 174 n, 175 and x 7. See also under Ak-Sankur b 'Abdu'llah, the Hōjib. mhar Shik b. Sa'd b. Mangi, the Att-bak of Fire, 179,

mkur or Sankur Tigin b. Maudúd b. Zangi, the Atë-bak of Fárs, 174 a.

uhurina, the, a sept of the Ghuzz hribe, 114 s, 377 s 6, 483 s 6, 450 and s 8, 451, 409 s.

nnafab-ut-Turikh, the,—of Sabit, ion of Sluän, Abu Kurrah np-Şabi, 50 n 7.

r Dāwat-Dār, [Head or Chief ink searer, or Chief Scorotary], the, 1262 s. See Mujāhid-ud-Diu Isak, the Sar Dāwat Dör

-1-Jándár (chief of the Jan dars ir Guarde),—title of a class of filters, six, 370, 603 and a 7

aj ud-Diner-Abu Bikr, the Amerliajth,—one of the Mainks of luitan Mu'az ad Din Mubam and r-Sam, of Ghur, 483 s., 491

āj ud Din b Minhoj nd Din, 'jubah-i-Zaman, father of the uthor, xix and #1, xxii, xxiii, xx, xlvi, 105, 192, 193 #4, 244 83, 429 and nn 4 and 6, 456, 469 3,—app v, xix

nj ud-Din't mi-i <u>Kharosh</u>, <u>Kha-seh</u>i or <u>Kharosh</u>ii, Malik.—one f the <u>Malika of Gh</u>ur, 1070 and 6, 1071.

akhsi, Abu'l Farl Mahammad m ul-ffakim, imim,—author of iv Makhtasar i bafi, 38 and 5. §50.

as, Rac, Rājah of Sankarah, hang, signification of the word, 03 and n 7

i, Sali or Sälin, a Tattër tribe. 43o n 5. Siri, the Ni-yin. See under Silin, the Titter Ni-yin.

Sirik or Sirigh,—a term applied to the Tijziks by the Turks, 879 m.

Sorkah, sen of Kokar, the chief of the Khokhars, 462 s, 484 s.

Sarligh Khan or

Sarsigh Khūn,—one of the Sardāre of Sultan Muhammad Khwārasm Shāh, 978 n, 979 n.

Same, the,—a term by which the Taprike are styled, 878 r, 879 s.

Sarwent b. binds thusen, son of the <u>Chart chief who settled among</u> the Afghans, 510 s

58sman dynasty, the,—fourth of the ave dynastics of 'Ajam, 1, 4, Sammon comes, found in the city of Uk. 1122 s

Satuk-Karachar, or

Satuk Kujah, or Satuk Kujah, first of the Afraniahi <u>Kh</u>šus of Turkisism,—becomes a convert to Islam and is known as Hazrat Satuk, 901-7, 902 s, 923 z

Such, the hade,—an officer of Huisku <u>Kh</u>ân, executed by his criter, 1256 s

hawan tara or Suban-tar —leader of the forces of Japinagur, 763.

Sayyid 'Alā-ul-Mulk or 'Alā-ud-Din, of Tirmid, a lineal descondant of Imām fluvain acknowledged as Khaiifah by Sult in Muhammad Khwārazin Shah, 205 n.4, 266, r

Savyid Ashraf the 'Alawi, heads a rebellion in Semikand, Solis

Savid Hasan, a relebrated pact of Gharma 109

Saved from a Bukhari,-a Maham

madan mint, buried in Uchghahi-Sharif, 541 = 6.

Sayyids, dynasty of the, in Duhli, xivi, 509 s., 511 n.

Scandinavians, the,—their custom of presenting the cup or bowl, 1106 s.

Schiefner, 1226 n.

Schuyler, Mr Eugene, 917 n, 919 n, 1031 n.

Sclaves, the, 870 m See under the Saklübs

Scythians, the, 750 n 7, 886 n, 887 n, 1043 n 1, 1076 n, 1081 n 7

Sekuri, the,—a small tribe of the Afghān people, 184 s

Semenof, M , 916 a

Sen dynasty, of Gaurand Lakhaus wati, the, 559 x 2

Sonnocherib [Nennacherib], the clay stamped annals of, 423 n 4

Sergins, the monk.—an Armenian priest in the ardā of Irtuk Būķā, son of Tūli, som of the Chingis-Khān. 1161 z 4. 1162 z.

Serki or Sherki, son of Mangu Kano, son of Tuli, son of the <u>Chun</u> gus <u>Kh</u>nu, 1219 n, 1223 n.

Shabankarah, the,—name of a people in Fars, 174 s

Shabësi, usurper of the government of Hirat, 37 a.

Shabki Kotu,—one of the Nu-yins of the Chingia Khan, 239 n See also under Shiki Kutuku

Shaddad b 'Ad, King of the carly 'Arabs, 303 n 7, 386 n 1

Shaddal b. Zuhak, ancestor of Amir Banji, 812.

Shādī b Mardān, the Kurdi, father of Aiyūb, the founder of the Aiyūbī dynasty of Shām, 207 z.8. Shiff'i, Imam, Mahammad, son of Idris—founder of the Shiff'i sect of Muhammadans, 182, 218 s, 227, 228 z 4, 384 and s 5, 385, 646.

Shiff'i Sect, the,—one of the four orthodox sects of Muhammadans, 884, 994 n.

Shah, Mahk or Chief of Jund, 190, 121.

Shah b Ibrahim b Ardabir, the Shar of Gharjistan, \$63.

Shib-1-Jahan or Shish Jahan, fifth Mughal emperor of Dahli, ix, x, 631 n 6.

Shih-i-Zaman, daughter of the 'Abbasi Khalifah at-Ta'i'-u-L'illah, —gaven to marrage to 'las-ad-Dm Bakhtyar, sou of Mu'iss-ad, Daulah, Buwash, Dilami, 61 n I

Shith Hussin, son of a chief of Chur, who sottled among the Afghius, —ancestor of the Chalzis, 337 n 6, 510 n

Sheli Jalil b. Kurum b. 'Abhās,— 'Abhās, the uncle of Muhammad, 1258 n.8.

Eliāh Kiyā b 'Alā-ud-Dîn Maḥmūd, brother of Rokn-ud-Dîn Khūr Shāb, Bād-ahāh of the Mulāḥdab of Alamūt, 1208 n

Shah Mahmud, 200 n 8 See under Shihab-nd-Din Mahmud i-Harab.

Shih Malik, ruler of Khwirazin under Sultan Mas'ud of Ghaznin, 232 n.

Silāh Mulik b Ya'hūb son of Lnis, Suffere, 36 n 9, 185 n

5hāh Mir,- an officer of Hulākû Khān, son of Tuli, son of the Ohmgis Khān, 1206 x \$

Shah Namah of Jirdansi, the, 1, 721 n 3, 1058 n 5.

- 3hüh Nişim-nd-Din, the Bedi'üni, —a Muhammadan paint, 694 n 2.
 Shih Rokh, son of Timër, Bultin.
- Shih Rukh, son of Timir, Bulçin, 1088 n.
- Shih Shuji', son of Shik Jahin, the fifth Mughal emperor of Dihli, 802 s.
- Shih Turkin, mother of Ruku-ud-Din Firus Shih, son of Sultiu Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish of Dibli, 630 and n 3, 631, 670 n l. Also styled Khudiwandah-i-Jahin, Shih Turkin.
- Shih 'Upmin, grandson of Nipirud-Din 'Upmin-i-Harab, Malik of Sijistin and Nimroz, 199 and x 7, 200 x 5, 201, 967 and x 3. Also styled Nuxrat-nd-Din 'Upmin.
- Shāh Wakhahi,—one of the Malika of Sultān <u>Ghiyāg-nd-Din Muham-</u> mad-1-Sām <u>Gh</u>úrī, 390
- Shah Zid b. Farrukh-Zid b Mae'üdi-Karim, Ghamawi, 107.
- Shih-an-shih [King of Kings],—a title first assumed by Bahrim, son of Bahrim, Vth of the Sisinum dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.
- Shann-Shah b 'Alf-nd-Din Mah mud,—brother of Buku-nd-Din Khur Shah, Bedahah of the Mulabidah of Alamat, 1206 n. 2, 1206 n. Shan-Shah h. Bahram Shah, Ghas-
- Shāhan-Shāh h. Bahrām Shāh, Ghasnawi, 111.
- Shāhan-Shāh, Nūr-ud-Daulahb Abā Laghkar-i-Aiyāb, son of Shādi al-Kurdī, 208 and w9, 219 w9.
- Shelman, signification of the term, 783 n.4.
- Shahrin-Shin b. "All-ud Din Mahmud,—brother of Ruhz-ud-Din Khur Shin, Bidghin of the Mulihidah of Alamit, 1208 a

- Shahr-ārās or
- Shehr-yir, the Wasir of Arda-Sher, son of Sherwaiab,—he accorded the -throne of the Akinirah by usurpation, 5, 1295.
- Shihu Khol tribe of Ladi, the,—a division of the Afghiunation, 510 s. Shaibin, son of Tüghi [Jūji] Khia,
- Shaiban, son of Tüghi [Jüji] Khān, son of the Chingis Khān, 1102-1105 n, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1170 n, 1178 n, 1179 n.
- Shaibkni Khka,—ancestor of Abu-t <u>Gh</u>ksi Bahkdur Khka, the historan, 878 s.
- Shaid-sep b. Asar-seb,—ancestor of Tähir ibn-al-Hussin, S.
- Shaid-soph Sismak,—descendant of Zahāk the Tāsi, 206.
- Shaikh Khin,—an officer of Saltin Muhammad Khwirasm Shib, 1030 a [901 a.
- Shaikh Najm-ud-Din, the historian, Shaikh-ul-Jibël, the, Chief of the Assassins,—title of Hassan-i-Sabbëb, the head of the sect of Mulibidah, 651 n 7, 1187 n 7, 1188 n.
- Shajarat-ul-Atrik, of Abu'l <u>Gh</u>āzī Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān, the, 548 n 3, 965 n, 969 n 2, 804 n, 1056 n 7.
- Shakar Khātāu, danghier of Rāc Sārac, Rājah of Sankarah,—adopted by Sulţān Fīrūz Shāh, the Habaghi, 591 s.
- Shalāmūn, the Bitik-ohi,—secretary of Mangu Khān, son of Tāli, son of the Chingus Khān, 1180 n, 1184 n.
- Shalikh b Arfakhehed b. Sam [Shem], 6.
- Shalmanis, the,—a Tijzik rece along the banks of the river of Kabul, 1043 n 1, 1046 n.

- Shamed. Shild or Shilled, son of Narimin b. Afridan, King of Irin, 806.
- Shamar b. Afrikis b. Abrahab, the Ra'šah, of the Tabābi'ah of Yaman, 7.
- Shamgir [Washmgir], son of Ayar, —governor of Rai, 56. See also under Washmgir.
- Shams, Sultan.—one of the Jahangiriën Sultans of Proh. 1044 n.
- Shams Khan,—one of the Sardars of Sultan Muhammad Khwarazm Shah, 978 n, 979 n
- Shams Malikah Khātūn, daughter of Sultān Tughril Saljūķī,—and wife, first of the Atā bak Yūrbak of Arrbāijān and then of Sultān Jalāl-ud-din Khwārann hāh, 296 n, 297 n, 298 n
- Shame-1-Sirāj,—anthor of the Tārīkh-1-Firāz Shāhī, zvi, 590 n, 692 n
- Shamsinh [of Sultin Shams-ud-Din I-yal-Timish, of Dihli] dynasty, the, 310 and n 5, 677 n 6, 696 n, 746, 746, 748, 789.
- Shams-ud-Daulah 'Ali, son of Sharaf-ud-Daulah, son of 'Urd-ud-Daulah, Dilami, 65 n 5
- Shams-ud-Daulih, the Iiak Khin of the Turks,—reconquers Miwari un Nahr, 915 n
- Shams ud Daulah Turan Shâh, son of Abu Lashkar-1-Aiyub b Shadi al-Kurdi See under his title of Malik ul Mu'aşşam
- Shams nd-Din, Amir of Yamin-ud-Daulah Bahram Shan, son of Tājnd-Dīn-i Harab, Malik of Sijistān and Nimroz, 198
- Shams ud-Din, the 'Ajami, Khwa-

- jah, the Malik-ut-Tajjär [Chief of the Merchante], 789 u 2, 790 and v 7.
- Shams-ud-Din, the Ba'khi, Kāgi, one of the Court Kāgis of Bultin Mu'isz-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām Ghūri, 489
- Shams-ud-Din, of Bharā'ij,—Kāṇi of the Kingdom of Sulţin Nānir-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihli, 694, 707, 708, 827.
- Shams-ud-Din, the Maghrabi, Imām, ---envoy of the Khalifah of Baghdād to Nasar-ud-Din Mahmad Shān, of Dihli, 1898.
- Shams-ud Die of Mihir, Kāṣi—put to death by Sulṣās Mu'iss ud-Din Bahrām Shāh of Dibli, 667 and n 4
- Shama-ud-Din, the Muhtashim, envoy of 'Alâ-ud-Din Muhammad, Bādaḥāh of the Mulāindah of Alamut, to the Kāriltās of the Mughals. 1151 s.
- Shams-ud Dm, Kāşī,—governor of Sarakhs under the Mughils, 1030 a
- Shama-nd-Din, the Turk, Imam, envoy of Bultan <u>Ghiyaş-ud-Din</u> Muhammad-r-Sām of <u>Gh</u>ūr tā the Court of the <u>Eh</u>altfah of Baghdād, zzii.
- Shame-nd-Din 'Ali,—sensechal of the fort of Sa'luk in Gilân, 1029 a.
- Shams-nd-Din-i-Arghad, Imam,--an ecclementic of the fortress of Kawik, 426

· ·

- Shame-ad-Din Härigi, the Sheithal-Islim of Marw, 1020 a.
- Shams-ud-Din Hann-i-lightiyar, she Mubiashim,—governor of the Kuhistin, xxv, 1196, 1203, 1204, 1212, 1213.
- Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timigh, Sultin of Dibli, 310 a S. See under 1-yal-Timigh.
- Shame-nd-Din Khusrau-Shihi, Imim,—one of the 'Ulami of Khustain, 1197.
- Shams-ud Din Kidhni, Malik, one of the Malika of Sulphn Muliss-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sam, of Ghür, 490.
- Shams-ud-Din Mandud, non of Malik-ul-'Ådil, Saif-ud Din Abë Bikr-i-Muhammad al-Aiyúbi, 229 n 5.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, of Bimiin, Sultin, \$48, \$49. See under Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b Fakhr-ud-Din Mas'dd.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, the Jüzjāni,—one of the Maliks of Sultan 'Alä-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takish Khwarasm Shāh, 1003, 1036 a l. 1088 a.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad, son of Bahā-ud-Din Sām, son of Izz ud-Din al Kusain, Ghūri,—after his accession to the throne of Ghūr his title was changed to Chiyāg-ud-Din, 370. See under Bulţān Chiyāg-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām Ghūri.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b. Fakkrud-Din Mas'ud of Bāmian, son of 'Iza-ud-Din al-Husain, Mahk of @hr,--Sultān, 248, 249, 342, 258 n 3, 378 and n 8, 879, 390, 405

- n 8, 426 and n 8, 426 and n 6, 427, 486 n 1, 439 and n 7, 467 n, 472 n 9, 461 n 8.
- Shams-ud-Din Muhammad b. Ghiyāş-ud-Din Mahmüd, son of Ghiyāş-ud-Din Muhammad-i. Sām, Ghūrī, 408, 412
- Chams-ud-Din Muhammad h. 'Insud-Din, the Hirawi,...-the Muhaddam of the guild of weavers of Hirst, 1128 s.
- Shame-ud-Din Kuhammad b. Majdud-Din, the Kil-yani,—governor of Hirst, 1126 s.
- Shame-ud Din Mahammad, son of Rukn-ud-Din Muhammad-i-'Ugmān,—the founder and first of the Kurat dynasty, xxv, 199 n 7, 784 and n 4, 1181 n 2, 1194 n, 1196 n, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1203 n, 1204 n 2.
- Shams-ud-Din Munammed b. Thjud Din Abu'l Fath, the Malik-usfile, Malik of Sijistän and Nimros, 189, 190, 191 and 5, 193, 447 and 2.
- Shama-ud-Din 'Uşman, the Tughrie, —one of the chief 'Ulami of Tabris, 997 n
- Shame-ad Din Utena, the Hajib, one of the Turkish Maliks of the Khwarazm Shahi dynasty, 399, 414, 1003
- Shoms-ui Ayimma Aba'l Fasi Mubammad, son of al-Hakim, Sarakhai.—author of the Mukhispari-Kâfi, and Wazir of Amir Nüb, son of Neyr, son of Ahmad, Samāni 38, 39 and v 1.
- Shame-ul Lughât, the,—a lexicographical work, 49 n 7, 138 n 8, 200 n 2,—app. viii, xxii.

Shame-ul-Ma'ālī Kābūs, son of Washingir,—ruler of Jurjān, 51 a.6.59, 81 n.

Shams-ul-Mulk 'Abd-ul-Jabbar Kidani,— one of the Waxirs of the brother Sultans of Ghür, 389 and ma 3 and 4, 489.

Shams-ul-Mulk, the Khâhān Nașr b. Tafkāj Khān, XIIIth of the Afrāsiyābi Khāns of Turkistān, 905 n, 908 n [Ghaznawi, 105. Shams-ul-Mulk b. Sultān Ibrāhīm Shams-ul-Mulk, Shihāb-ud-Din, the Wasir. See under Shihābud-Din-i-Alb, Sarakhāi.

Shangua, son of the Awang Khan. See under Sangun.

Shankal, sovereign of Hind,—slain by Bustam-i-Zil, 561 = 9.

Shansab b. Kharnak b. Bain,—aucestor of Amir Banji and progenitor of the Shansabiniah dynasty of Chür, 302 and n 6, 306 w 9, 307 n, 311, 312 and n 2.

Shansabānīs, the,—the royal family of <u>Gh</u>ūr; descendants of <u>Shansab</u>, <u>wiii</u>, <u>xxxni</u>, 115, 300, 302, 310 and x 4, 313, 316, 316, 335, 340, 368, 386 x 4, 416 and x 2, 421, 494 and x 1, 506, 669 x 7, 894 x, 1011 x, —app. xxni

Shaneshi family, the, 340 and a 3, 420. See the Shansebanis.

Shēpār b. Arda-Shevi-Bābakān, son of Bābak,—IInd of the Sānināla dynasty of 'Ajam, 4.

Shipir b. Ashkin, son of Ashk,— Hird of the Ashkiniin dynasty of 'Ajata, 4.

Shipir b. Shipir-i-Za'l Aktif,— Xth of the Sinizin dynasty of 'Ajam, 6, 1268 n 9, 1269 n. Shipār Zu'l Aktāf b. Hurm: Narsī,—VIIIth of the Sāsā dynasty of 'Ajam, 4, 5.

Sher, title of the rulers of Ghatian, 80 m 5.

Sharaf-ud-Daniah, Abu-I Fawi i-Shir-Zail, son of 'Uşd-ud-Dar Faus Khusrau, Dilami, 57 w 8, 65 and sw 4, 5 and 6.

Sharaf-ud Din Abü Bikr,— Sadr-i-Sa'id, son of the Şaç Shahid, Nuşăm-ud-Din,—one the Court Kaşis of Saltan Mu' ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sim, Ghür, 489

Sharaf-ud-Din Adimi, Imam, of the 'Clami of <u>Th</u>urishn : Miwari-un-Nahr, 227.

Sharaf-ud-Din Ahmad Far-Imim,—one of the Court poet Yamin-ud-Din Bahrim Sh Malik of Sijistan and Nimi 195, 196.

Sharaf-ud-Din 'Ali,-author of history, 733 a 2.

Sharaf-ud-Din, the Amir-i-Maj —governor of Nighāpār, 1027 : Sharaf-ud-Din 'Aṭṭār, Imām, learned ductor, 190.

Sharaf-ud-Din, the Balkhi, (
Imam and post, 742

Sharaf-ud-Diu, the Khatib, Mi länä,—a native of Hirät, 11 nd, 1127 n.

Sheref-ud-Din Mes'dd-i-Hesen, Melik,—commander of the fore of Khwaram, 254.

Sharef-ud-Din b. Muhammad, as of 'Abd-ul-Malik, the 'Alkan the Wasir of ul-Musta'sim, ti last of the 'Abbäsi Khalifahs of Baghdad, 1265 n.

- Sharef ud-Din Muhammad, the Yandi,—Wasir of Chin-Timir, ruler of Khurlaku under the Mughale, 1121 w.
- Sheraf-ud-Din Mupaffar, the Hajirul-Mulk, 1028 v. See under Majir-ul-Mulk Sheraf-ud-Din Musaffar.
- Sharaf-ul-Mulk al-Agh'ari,—envoy of Tughril-i-Tughën Khën to Sultin 'Alë-ud-Din Mas'ud Shëh, of Dibli, 664 and so 4 and 6, 738, 739 and so.
- Eharuf-ul-Mulk Raphid-ud-Din 'Ali Hanafi,---the Ulugh-1-Khāş Hājib [Chief Royal Chamberlam], of Sultan Nāṣur-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh, of Dibli, 833.
- Sharif of Makkah, the, 265 a 4. Sharki dynasty of Jüupür, 589 a.
- Share of Charjistan, the,—submit to the suscrainty of Sultan Mahmad, of Chasnin, 341 and 2 6.
- Shāu-kam, the Mughal Shahnah of Bigh-Bâligh, capital of the Yiddi-Köt. 952 n.
- Shā'ar, Wasir of the Isma'llian or Fātimite Khalifaha of Misr, 200 n6, 210 and n, 211 n9, 212 n, 213 and n
- Shā'ūr or Bāūr Bahādur,—one of the Nā-yins of the <u>Ohingis Kh</u>ān, 1043 and n 7.
- Shaw's "High Turtary," 950 n, 970 n 2, 1084 n
- Sher Khan, of the Shera Khel, of the Sur subdivision of trube the Ludi of the Batani Afghans or Patine, 611 n. See under Sher Shih
- Sher Khan, feedstory governor of Lakhapawati, 772 n, 776 n
- Bher Khan, -- uncle's sons of Sultan

- Chiyig-ud-Din Balban, 794a' Same as the next, which see.
- Sher Khān-i-Sunkar, Malik Nagra ud-Din,—one of the Shamsia Maliks in Hind, 714 n.9, 767, 76 and n. n.d. n. 782, 783 and n. 7 and 9, 784 and n. and n.2, 71 n.5, 788 n.9, 791 and n.2, 71 and n, 793, 794 and n.1, 795 799 n.4, 800, 818 n.4, 841, 849 an n.8, 865 n.6, 867 n., 1217 n., 1224 1225 n. Uncle's son of Uluy Khān-i-Baiban
- Spor Khān-i Surkh, son of Mali Nāşir-ud-Din Hasain, the Amir-Slinkār of Channin, 725.
- Sher Malik b. Sulfan Ibrāhim, Ghanawi, 105
- Sher Shin, the Afghin, Governor Bengal,—sets up as emperor of Duble, 510 n. 511 n. 538 n. 58 n. 6, 757 s. 5.
- Sher Zad b. Man'ud-1-Karim, Ghannawi 107 and n 7.
- Chera Khel, the,—of the Bar sal division of the Ludi tribe of the Batan Afghans or Patins, 511
- Sheran, the 'Amid, [chief of the tribe of the Aba Sahlan, 100 and s.
- Sher in the Khalj, Malik, 627 e See Mubammad i-Sheran, th Khalj
- Shork or Sorks, son of Mangu Ki an, son of Tali Khar son of the Chingu Khan, 1223 a
- Sherwaiah b Khustau Parwi JVth of the Akamrah dynasty c 'Ajun 6
- Sher wan, one of the chiefs on the frontier of Ghür and Güzgünü 325 n. 327 n. 328 n.

- Sherwin Shik b. 'Ali-ud-Din Mahmüd,—brother of Rukn-ud-Din Khur Shib, Bidahih of the Mulähidah of Alamüt, 1208 s.
- Shi'ahs, the,—the sect of 'Ali b. Abi Tailib, 648 n6, 994 n, 1189 n 9, 1206 n 3, 1229 and n 8, 1230 n, 1242 n 2, 1243 n, 1260 n.
- Shiam Parshad, author of a history of Gaug or Lakhapawati, xvi, app. xxv
- Shidaghū or Shidaghū,—one of the Nū-yīns of Halākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūlī, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingiz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1280 n 5, 1281 n.

Shidarkü or

- Shīdāsķū, ruler of the country of Tingķūt, 940 n, 950 n, 952 n, 1081 n, 1085 n 3, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1086 n, 1089 n.
- Shihāb-i-Manşür Abu'l Fath, the Muhtaghım of the Mulahıdah, 1197, 1198, 1212, 1213
- Shihab-ud-Daulah Bughra Khan Abu Musa-1-Harun, son of Saliman, son of the Ilak Khan,— IVth of the Afrasiyabi Khans of Turkistan. See under Bughra Khan, Shihab-ud Daulah.
- Shihab-ud Danlah Haran Bughra Khan, 46 n 4,—app xvm See under Bughra Khan, Shihab ud Danlah
- Shinab nd-Din, the Muhtashim, envoy of 'Ala ud Din Muhammad, Badahah of the Mulajadah of Alamut, to the Karillas of the Mughals, 1151 m.
- Shinsb-ud-Din 1-Alb, Sarakhai, one of the Wazira of Sulpan Alu hammad Khwarazm Shieb, 245, 1013, 1014 n Z, 1917 n

- Shihāb-ud-Din-i-Ghāsi,—Wāll, of Nārdīn in the territory of Diyāri-Bakr, 1152 s.
- Shihab-nd-Din-i-Ghan b. Malik-ni'Ādil Saif-nd-Din Abū Bikr-iMuhammad, al-Aiyābī, Malik of
 Shām, 1264, 1265 and sa 9 and 1,
 1266, 1267. See also under Malik-ul-Ghāsi.
- Shihāb-ud-Dīn 'Alī, Malik, son of Malik Nāṣir-ud-Dīn 'Ugmān, son of Malik Tēj-ud-Din-j-Ḥarab, of Sıjıstán and Nimros, 194.
- Shihāb-ud-Din 'Ali b. Saif-ud-Din Surī. See under Shihāb-ud-Din Muhammad 'Ali-i-Kharnak.
- Shihab-ud-Din Harmawadi, Kaşı, one of the Kaşis of Sultan <u>Gh</u>iyaşıud-Dın Muhammad-i-Sam, <u>Gh</u>üri, 389 and n 2.
- Shihāb-ud-Din Mādīnī, Malīk. See under Shihāb-ud-Din Muḥammad 'Ali-i-Khurnak.
- Shihāb-ud-Din Mahmüd, son of Nasır ud-Dîn 'Uşman, son of Malık Taj-ud-Din : Harab,---IX th of the Malıks of Sijutan and Nimros, 198 and s 5, 199 and s 7.
- Shikib-ud-Din Mahammad, son of Bahi-ad Din Sam, son of '12s ad-Din al Hussin, Churt, —his title was afterwards changed to Ma-'142 ud Din, xlvin, 368, 370 Boo under Mu'122-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sam Ghuri.
- Shibab-ad-Din Mahammad 'Ali-i-Khurnak, son of Malik Saif-ad Din Süri, son of Shibab-ad-Din Muhammad Kharnak, Malik of Midia of Ghur, 344, 372 a, 408, 480 Soo also under Mahammad 1-Khurnak

- Shihab-ud-Din Muhammad, [Kharnak], son of 'Isz-ud-Din al-Humin Chürl, Malik of Madin of Chür, 337, 339 and a 4, 340 n, 343 and a 5, 344 n, 345 n 6, 473 n, 496 a 1.
- Shihāb-ud-Din Muhammad, Malik, son of Sultān Shame-ud-Din Iyal Timigh, of Dihlî, 625.
- Shihāb-ud-Din Muhammad Shāh, Malik, son of Sultān Nāṣir ud-Din Mahmēd Shāh, son of Sultān Shame-ud-Din 1-yal-Tamah, of Dibli, 672.
- Shihād or Shibādan, sou of Nariwan b Afridau, the Bādahāh of Iran, 206
- Shiki Katükü, adopted son of the Chungis Khān and con mander of the Tattar Ming or Hasarah, 1093 *
- Shiktur or Shiktur, the Nu yin,—one of the generals of Halaku Khan son of Tuli, son of the Chingis Khan, 1256 a 6, 1264 a He is called Hulaju by some
- Shimighu, the Nú-yin,—one of the generals of Bulšku Khān, son of Tuli, son of the Chingis Khān, 1287 n.
- Shiramun b. Koghû b. Uktac Kaan, son of the <u>Ohingus Kh</u>ān, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1178 n, 1180 n, 1183 n. 1184 n, 1185 n, 1220 n, 1343 n, 1287 n
- Shirin, daughter of Mangu Ki-an, non of Tah, son of the Ohingu Khan, 1186 s.
- Shirley, Sir Robert, 295 a.
- Shirwan Shah, the,—title of the raters of the Dar-hand or Babul-Abwah, 200 a.

- Ship, name of a tribe in the territory of Chur, \$15 a 3. [1, 2.
- Shig b. Adam, [Seth of Scripture], Shig b. Bahram, Amir,—Mihtar or chief of the tribe of the Shiganian, 313 and a 5, 315.
- Shig, youngest son of Malik Muhammad, son of Süri, Amir of Ghür, 321, 322 and n, 329.
- Shīşān or Shīşānīān, the,—name of a people in the territory of Ghūr, 313 and n 3, 313, 316, 367
- Sliddar-Shiro-Shir or Shudai-Shirosii, [the "Ninkimsen" of some European writers], the Altan Khiro of Khira, 955 s., 1136 s. 9.
- Shuja' b. Sultān Mas'ud, son of Sultān Mahmud Ghaznawi, 95, 101 a 6.
- Shuja'-nd Din Abu'l Kasim,—governor of Kırman for Malık Ghiyaşud-Din Aţ Sultan, son of Sultan Muḥammad 1-Takuh Khwarazm Shah, 203 n 9, 284, 295 n.
- Shuji'-ud-Din 'Ali b 'Iss-ud-Din al Harain, of the Shansabini family of Ghèr, 338, 339 and a 4, 345 and a 6, 346, 391, 393 a 9, 417 a 5, 1002 a 9
- Shuja'-ud-Diu Hasan Türüni,—ose of the officers of 'Alā ud-Din Muhammad, the Maulānā of the Mulāhidah, 1192 s
- Shuls, the,—name of a people, 1281 a.
- Biāl,—name of a tribe of Jata, dwelling at and around Jarg-r-SHI, 454 x
- biamak li Kasumurt, the first King of 'Atam, 304 a 2.
- Sismak b Marniss, son of Zuhäk the Malik, 306.

Sidenak h. Mubahi, son of Kalimura son of Adam, 204.

Sinni b. Ibribim Lüdî, son of Shah Musain, of Ghür, 510 s.

Sifand-lap b. Zahlik, son of Suhrib, son of Shaid-isp, 306.

Sigisī or Sijisī stave of 'Umre, som of Laig Şuffārī, the, 34 m 6, 186 m, 186 m.

Signis or Sijinis, the,-name of a people in Sijinian, 184 s.

Sikandar, Sultân,—who was first called Ahmad Khān, the last of the eight Afghān or Patān sovereigns of Dibli, 512 s.

Sikandar b. Ilyās Hājī, [Salān Shams-nd Dīn], rular of Bangālah, 591 n

Sikardar Hümi, 598, 852. See also under Alexander the Great.

Sikaudar-i-Fe'l Kermain, Alexander Bicorma, not the Macedonian, descendant of the fourth son of Thraj, son of Yhfe [Japheth], 870 m.

Simjär-i-Dawäti,—governor of Sijistän on the part of Amir Abü Nagr-i-Ahmad, Sämäni, lvii, \$5 and a 4, 36 a 9, 186 a.

Bimon de Montfort, Earl of Leicester, 846 n 7.

Simurgh, the,—the fabalous bird of eastern romanos, 1205 and a 5, neurishes Zâl-i-Zar, 213.

binin-ud-Din Ohati-ear for Jatiear, the Sümrah chief of Dibal, 614 and 8 6, 615 a 1.

Sindiku, the, inhabitants of Sind,

Siër Kühibi Bigi, daughter of Badie the Jäkumbë, brother of the Äwang Khān, and wife of 'a ali, son of the Thing's Khu, 1062 u, 1143 n, 1164 n, 1176 n 9, 1178 n, 1181 n, 1185 n, 1222 n.

Signification of the term, 918 n. (691 n.

Sketch of Buddhism, Hodgeon's, Slavonians, the,—See under the Slave.

Slave, the, 871 n, 372 n, 886 n, 387 n, See also under the Sakläbs and the Sciever.

Solinkh Pil, Rie of Gwiliyür, 545 a 5, 519 a 7.

Sommath, the idel of,—destroyed by Sultan Mahmud of Chaspin, 62, 83.

Song, the,—the people of Mahi-Ohin or Southern China, as styled by the Chinese, 1136 x 9, 1319 n, 1223 a.

Sozan-gar, the, definition of the term, 1003 and w 1.

So seni, flowers worked of silk and thread, 1008 u l.

Sprenger, Prof. Alois, zvii, zzzi.

Searang Setzen, 988 a, 1086 a 8, 1091 a.

Stewart, vii, 568 n, 585 n 6, 666 n, 722 n 1, 762 n 9, 768 nn 3 and 4, 765 n 8, 770 n 7, 776 n, 777 n, 792 n 5, 906 n.

Strabo, 1055 m.

Strangford, Lord, liii.

Student's Manual of Indian History, the, 370 a 9, 445 a, 467 a, 478 a 6, 479 s, 467 a 6, 510 a, 557 a 5.

Stuppagel, Mr. C. R., zziii, a 2.

Subishi or Subis, the Hijib-l-Buzurg of Sultin Mus'ad of Channin, 124 a 4, 125.

Subāghī-Tigin, chief of the Turks of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 908 *.

- Sufficium, dynasty of the, xxxiii, 19 and n 1, 21, 22, 25, 26, 187 n 2, 184 n, 186 n, 216 n 2, 217, 220,— So called after Ya'kūb and 'Umro, the some of Laig, the Suffic, or Brazier.
- Bugātā, the Jushi. See under Sākātā.
- Süghüj-chi or Süghü-jijan, son of Iridam-chi the Barlin,—chieftain of the Mughal troops, 898 n, 899 n, 938 n.
- Subrib, son of Rustam-i-Zil, the horo of ancient I-ran, 681.
- Subrib b Shaid-isp, son of Silmak,—descendant of Juhik, the Timi, 306.
- Suida Bahādur. See under Swidse the Bahādur.
- Sujan Rae, author of the Khulinatut Tawarikh, xvi
- Suji, the Bigi, of the tribe of Barlis, of the progray of Iridamchi, 939 a.
- Sujiūt, the, a tribe of Mughals,—one of the Hazārahs of Jūjī, son of the Chingis Khān, 1093 z
- Súkhtú or Sngátú, the Jushi,—an Cliunút Kungkör át Mughal, and commander of the Cliunút Ming or Hazarah, 1980 n S.
- Suhisb, the,—name of a people, 561 a 9. See under the Sahikha.
- Salaimās Kararīni,—app zzi Sāldās or

1098 n, 1094 m.

- Suidas, the, a tribe of Mughels, one of the Haskrahe of Chaghetie, son of the Chingis Khin, 988 a.
- Sulimin, Mihtar, [Solomon of Scripture], 3, 187 and « \$, 549, 550 » 3, 1161.

- Suliman,—one of the Amire [nobles] of Halab, 226 a 4.
- Sulimin, the Sipah-Silir,--an officer of Sultin Mu'ins-nd-Din Muhammad-i-Sim, Chüri, 483 s.
- Salimān b. 'Abd-nllah b. Tāhir ibm nl-Ḥumain,—governor of Tabaristān, under his nephew Muḥammad, son of Tāhir, 15.
- Soliman, b. <u>Chiyig-ud-Din</u> Kal-Khusrau. See under his title of Bukn-ud-Din.
- Sulīmān b. Ibrāhīm b. Sultān Mahmūd, @hasnawi, 88.
- Sulimin, son of the link Khin, the third of the Africayabi Khins of Turkistin, 901 s.
- Sulimān b. Jaghar Bog-i-Dē'ūd, son of Mikā'il, son of Saljūķ, the Turkmān, 133 a 3.
- Suliman b. Kai-Khusrau b. Kai-Kubad. of the Saljuki dynasty of Rum, 162 n 2, 163 n 5.
- Salimān b histrum<u>sh,</u> son of larī'il, Saljūķī,—raler of Rūm undar Salīkā Malik <u>Sh</u>āh, Saljūķī, 139 s., 158, 150 s.
- Sulimin b. Mahmud of @hasnin, 68 and a 3
- Suliman b. Mahmud b. Sultan Maudud of Channin, 97.
- Sulman b Shig, the Amir-1-Did,--one of the Malike of Sulfan Mu'iss-ud-Din Muhammed-i-Sim,
 of Chir, \$16, 306, 433, 491, 493
 and a 8.
- Salimān Khān, ruler of Samarhand, under Malik Shān, sou of Sultān Alb-Arastān-i-(<u>th</u>āzī, Saljāķī, 187 u.S. 188 u and u.S.
- Saliman Shah, the Aryabi Turkman,-the Amir-i-'Alam [Lord

of the Standard] of al-Musta'sim B'illab; the last of the 'Abbāsī Khalifsha, 712n, 1227, 1281 n, 1288, 1284, 1296, 1237, 1238 s 8, 1242 n 2, 1244 and n, 1246 n 5, 1247 n, 1250, 1254.

Sulimen Shehb Jatel-ud-Din Utsus Khwarasus Sheh, son of Tej-ud-Din Muhammad, son of Ibak, the Turk, 238 n 6.

Buliman Shah b Muhammad b. Malik Shah, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-i-Ghasi, Saljüki,—ruler of Khwarasm under Sultan Sanjar, 236 x6.

Sulimän Shih, Ruku-ud-Din b 'Issud-Din Kulij Arsalin, the Rumi Saljūk, 161 s.

Sultan, application of the title, 1235 n I.

Sultan Malek b Mas'ud-i-Karim, <u>Ch</u>asuswi, 107.

Sultan Shah b Bahram Shah b Mas'ud-1-Karim, Ghaznawi, 111. Sultan Shah b Theahim b Sultan

Sultan Chah b. Ibrahîm b. Sultan Mas'ad, Ghaznawi, 105

Saltān Shān, Jalāl-ud-Din Mahmūd b. Iyal Arsalān, son of Jalāl-ud-Din Utaus Khwārasm Shāh, son of Tāj-ud-Din Muhammad, xlvi, 115, 181 n and n 9, 239 and nn 1 and 2, 240 n, 245 and nn 5 and 7, 246 and n 8, 247 n, 248 and n, 249, 250 nn 6 and 7, 260 n 7, 874 n 5, 377 n 5, 378 and n 8, 379 and n 5, 387, 391, 427, 456 and n 2, 457 n, 469 n 5, 514 n 4, 515 and n, 917 n 1, 927 n, 928 n,—app il.

Sümrah dynasty, the,—Rājpút rulers of Lower Sind, 614 s 8, 615 wand s I

Summah tribe, the,--- a tribe of Raj-

påt descent in Lower Sind, 614 28. [Khān, son of Bāldā: Sānds Khān. See under Sūnj Sangar Khān, Kārlūķī. See under Sunkar Khān.

Suniat, the, a tribe of Mughals, one of the Haziraha of Chaghatie Khin, son of the Chingis Khin, 1093 v.

Sunj, father of Africiyab, the Turk, sovereign of 'Ajam, 882 n.

Sün; Khûn, -- one of the Sardars of Sultān 'Alē-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takieh Khwērsam Shāh, 976 s, 1118 s 9.

Sunj Khān or Sunds Khān, son of Bāidu,—VIIIth of the objetains of the Tättär I:māk, 875 s, 881 s, 882 s, 883 s, 887 s

Sûnjāķ, the Bahādur,—one of the Nû-yins of Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tūli, son of the <u>Ch</u>ungīz <u>Kh</u>ān, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1213 n, 1263 n, 1286 n.

Sunkam, one of the chiefs of <u>Khitā</u>, 154, 911, 912 a, 924 a, 925 a.

Sunkar or Sungar <u>Kh</u>ân, Kārlūķī, —one of the chief men of Kārlūķ, 1130 n. 1132 n.

Sannis, the,—the orthodox sect of Muhammadans, 648 n 6, 984 n, 1229 n 8, 1242 n 2, 1235.

Süntäe the Nü-yin,—one of the Nüyine of Huläku <u>Kh</u>än, son of Tüli, son of the <u>Chungiz Kh</u>än, 1289 s, 1264 n, 1270 n 2, 1278 n.

Suntie, the Bahidur, 987 a S. See under Swidie, the Bahidur.

Suntãe, the, a tribe of Mughals, one of the Hazārahs of Jūjī [Tush:] Khān, son of the Chingis Khān, 1093 n.

- Str., a subdivision of the Ladi tribe of the Betani Afghine or Pallas, 250 a 4, 509 a, 510 a, 511 a.
- Sür dynasty of the Patan Kings of Dibli, the, 511 s.
- Sur b Isma'it b. Silmi b. Ludi, founder of the Afghin tribe of Sur, 510 s.
- Sår or Sås, son of Zuhäk, the Taxi, sovereign of 'Ajam, 307 and wa 6 and 8, 308.
- Surbishi or Surpishi, the Hillib.

 See under Subishi, the Hillship.

 Busurg.
- Sürghān or Surghān Shirah, of the Süldüs tribe of Mughal, 939 s.
- Sarī, Abu'l Faşl or Bü-Faşl, Diwän of Nighkpür, under Sulţān Mas'ud of Chamin, 122 n 2, 123 n.
- Süri b Muhammad, son of Amir Banji,—third of the Shansabāniah dynasty of Chür, 84 n 7, 316 and an 9 and 2, 317, 318, 830 n 4, 324 n, 336 a 4,—app xix.
- Surks bat—Red Idol,—name of an idol or figure in Bamilia, 1088 a 6
- Surkh-ru, signification of the term, 918 u.
- Surpiehi or Surbiehi, the Hijib Sec under Subsehi, the Hijib-i-Basury.

- Strill Ehitin, daughter of the novereign of the Kangkur-it tribes,—and one of the wives of Juji, son of the Chingis Khan, 1102 s. 1140 s.
- Surtak b. Bētā, son of Tüshī, son of the Ohiugiz Khān, 1178 n, 1390 and n 1, 1291 and nn 2, 5 and 6, 1292.
- Surtagh, an officer of the Khwi-razmi dynasty, 861.
- Bū Sem b. Madhob Sen,—the last ruler of the Hindū dynasty of Nūdiab, 656 n l.
- Sis or Sir, son of Zohik the Tisl, sovereign of 'Ajam, 307 and us 6 and 8, 308.
- Swatis, the,—the people styled the <u>Shalmānī</u> by the Afghāns, and the Dihgān by the Tājgiks, 1043 n 1.
- Swidseor Sahudah, the Bahädur,—one of the Nu-yinz of the Chingiz Khān, 277, 976 n, 981 n, 988 n, 987 and n 3, 988 n, 989 n, 990 n, 991 n, 994 n, 996 n, 996 n, 997 n, 998 n, 999 n 1060 n, 1001 and n, 1014 n 2, 1027 n 8, 1028 n, 1030 n, 1063 n, 1102 n, 1116 n 5, 1116 n, 1162 n, 1168 n, 1169 n, 1206 n;

T.

Tabibi'ah of Yaman, dynasty of the xxxiii. 6. 7.

Tabaşāt-i-Akbari,—name of a historical work, the, xli, xvi, 78 n, 113 n, 449 n 8, 458 n 2, 461 n, 469 n 9, 47 6 n, 477 n, 478 n 6, 486 n, 500 n 2, 518 n, 523 n, 533 n, 536 n, 541 n, 642 n 9, 545 n 8 557 n 3, 559 n 2, 571 n 2, 575 n 2, 576 n 4, 577 n, 678 n 3, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 593 n 7, 594 r, 609 n, 610 n 8, 611 n 3, 612 n 4, 613 n 7, 623 n 1, 631 n n 5 and 8, 632 n 1, 633 n 8 635 n 9, 688 n 1 640

mn 2 and 2, 641 n 8, 642 n 3, 642 n, 645 nn 2 and 4, 646 nd 6, 647 n 9, 648 nn 2 and 3, 651 n 7, 653 n 5, 654 n, 657 n 4, 653 nn 8, 1 and 2, 659 n 6, 661 n, 664 nn 4 and 5, 666 n 3, 666 n and n 9, 667 n 1, 068 n 5, 671 n 9, 675 n 5, 677 n 6, 678 nn 7 and 3, 680 n, 681 n 2, 682 n 5, 688 n, 689 n, 660 n, 691 n, 692 n 4, 693 n 2, 695 nn 6 and 9, 966 n 4, 697 n 6, 717 n 4, 716 n 5, 776 n, 777 n, 778 n, 802 n 1, 1271 n,—app. vi, viii, xiv, xvi, xxvi,

Tabuḥāt-i-Nāṇiri of Minhāj-i-Sarāj, vii, xxx, xxxiv, liv, 67 n 2, 509 n, 912 n, 1045 n, 1203 n,—app. i, vii, viii, x, xiv, xvii.

Tabari, at-,—Imām Muḥammad b Jarir, the Historian, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and n 8, 10 n n 3 and 6, 13 n 9, 42 n 9, 58 and n 8, 308 n 7, 304 n 1, 305 n 6,—app. xviii See also under the Tārikh-:-Tabari.

Tab or Tub Tingrî, the, 948 n, 965 n.
See under Kükjü, son of Minglik
Ighakah, the Kunakkumär.

Tābūr, son of Farāwwal or Ķarāwal,—ancestor of Zuhāk the Tāzī, 804, —also called Tāzīo-barsed. Tacitus, 871 n.

Tae b Shā'ūr, the Wazīr of the Ismā'iliān <u>Kh</u>alifah of Egypt, 209 n 6.

Taf-ķāj <u>Kh</u>ān, Abu'l Musaffar, son of Ibrāhīm, son of Nagr,—XIIth of the Afrāsiyābi <u>Kh</u>āns of Turkistān, 905 m.

Taghaghār or Tonhājār, the Bahādur,—one of the Nū-yīns of the Ohingīz Khān, 981 n, 988 n, 989 n.
Taghāghār, the Gürgān h. Sāghā p

jan or Süghüj-ahi b. Iridam-ahi, the Barlia,—one of the Nü-yins of the Chingis Khan, 1027 n 8, 1034 n 9, 1035 s.

Taghāghār b. U-Tigin b. Yassūkā Bahādur,—brother's son of the Ohingis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1220 a, 1221 s.

Taghëe Khën,—one of the Sardërs of Sultën 'Alë-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takish Khwërasm Shëh, 978 n, 979 n.

Taghājār, the Nū-yīn, 276 n. See under Taghaohār or Toghājār, the Bahādur.

Tagher, an earthen vessel of capacity, 1192 s.

Taghar Khākān, the,—title of the rulers of the Ghuzz tribe, 961 u.

Tahamtam Shāh b. Sultān Ibrāhīm, son of Sultān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawī, 105.

Tahir, the Dabir [secretary], of Sultan Mas'ud, son of Sultan Mahmud of Ghasnin, 92 n 3.

Tähir b. 'Abd-ullah b Tähir ibn ul-Husain,—fourth ruler of the Tähiri dynasty, 10 % 5, 14, 15.

Tahir ibn ul-Ḥusain,—general of al-Ma'mām and founder of the Tahiri dynasty, 9 and n 1, 10 and nn 2, 5, 7 and 8, 11 and nn 9, 2 and 4, 12 and n 6, 15 nn 6 and 1, 27 n 6, 28.

Tähir ibn ul-Husain, son-in-law of Khalaf b. Ahmad, governor of Sijistan, 186 n., 186 n.

Tähir b. Khalaf b. Ahmad,—ruler of Sijistan, under the Sämänis, 81 n, 186 n.

Tähir b. Muhammad b. Tähir b. Khalaf,—ruler of Sijistän, 184, 186 s., 187.

- Tähir b. Mujammed b. 'Umro, Saffiri,---governor of Sijistin, under the Siminie, 25 * 2, 184 *, 185 *.
- Tähir b. 'Umro, son of Lais at-Caller [the Branier], of the family of the Suffiriun, 34 a 6.
- Tähir-i-Band-Kadär, Malik, ruler of Migr, 1259 s. See also under Band-Kadär.
- Thiris, dynasty of the,—So called after the founder, Thir ibn ul-Hussin, raiers of <u>Khurisin</u>, xxxii, 9, 10 and s 5, 14 s 1, 16 s 4, 17, 19, 23 ss 6 and 7, 23, 26 and s 2, 29, 232 s, 915 s.
- Tāijīūt, the, 899 s,—read the Tāuījūt [Tānjūt P]. See lxu.
- Timini imik, the,—one of the Chahir I-mik, 874 s.
- Tā-ir, the Babādur,—one of the Nüyins of the Chingus Khāu, 656 π, 727, 976 π, 1119, 1120 π 2, 1126 and π 6, 1123, 1135 and π δ, 1191 π, 1202 π
- Ta-ic Asun,—chief of the Crhar Makrit tribe, 917 n, 947 n, 1091 n 1151 n 1142 n
- Tair Bukā, the Nú-yīn,—one of the generals of Hulāku Khān, son of Tuli, son of the Chingus Khān, 1227 w 5
- Täighi, signification of the word, 866 s. 927 s.
- Tāighi,—the envoy of the Karā

 <u>Kh</u>itā-is to Sultān 'Aiā-ud-Din

 Muhammad-i-Takigh <u>Kh</u>wārazm

 <u>Shāh</u>, 929 n. Tāighi is probably
 his title.
- Tk'i'u L'ilikh, at ,—the 'Abbam Khalifah, 44, 61, 62, 64, 65
- Taj-ud-Daniah Abu Sa'id, surnamed

- Tuisgh, sen of Alb-Armin-i-Ghini b. Di'id-i-Jaghar Beg, the Saljükî, 204 n. See under Tuisgh. Tij-ud-Daulah, son of Alb-Armin-i-Ghini.
- Taj-ud-Daulah-i-Tutigh, see the above.
- Tšj-ud-Dīn, the Jūzjānī,—one of the <u>Kh</u>wārasmi Maliks in Higāt, 1036 and n S.
- Tāj-ud-Din, the <u>Kh</u>alj,—an officer of Sulţān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammadi-Takigh <u>Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 471 n 5.
- Tāj-ud-Din, of Mukrān, Malik,—one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 390, 400
- Tāj-ud-Dīu, Zawrani, Kāşī of Sultāu Babā-ud-Dīu Sūm, son of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīu Mahammad, Bāmīāni, 428.
- Tāj-ud-Din b. Şalāyah, the 'Alawī, —styled the Lord of Arbīl, 1388 n 8, 1263 n.
- Tāj nd-Dīn Abū Bikr, son of 'Ixsud-Dīn Kabīr <u>Kh</u>ān-i-Ayās, feudatory of Multān, 656 w, 668 w 9, 668 w, 727 and w 7, 758 x 9, 810 and n
- Tāj-nd-Dīn Abu'l Fath b. Tāhir, son of Muhammad,—second of the Manks of Sijutān and Nimroz, 187 and s 4, 188 and s 5, 189, 925 s.
- Taj-ud Din Abu'l Farl-i-Nasr, gon of Tahir. See the above.
- Tāj-ud-Din "Ali,—one of the Amirs of Sultān "Alā-ud-Din Muhammad-ı-Takieh Khwāraum Shāh, 481 n 8
- Tāj-nd-Din 'Ali Mūsawī, Sayyid, the Mushmi - Mamālik of Dibli, 852, 653 n 5, 654 and n, 753 Bee

- also under his title of Sadr-ul-Mulk.
- Tāj-ad-Dīn 'Alī Shāh b. Takiah, son of I-yal-Arealan <u>Kh</u>warazm Shāh, 244 n 4
- Tāj-ud-Din Arsalān Khān, Sanjari-Qhast, Malık of Awadh. See under Arsalān Khān Sanjar-i-Ohast.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Azabar Shāh, son of Ulugh Khān-i-Abi Muhammad, the Khūn of Guzarwān, 235 and *3
- Täj-nd-Din Bināl-Tigin, of the family of the Khwärarm Shāhīs, —tenth ruler of Sijistān and Nimroz, xxv, 199 and n 7, 200 and n 8, 201, 202 and n 6, 232, 233, 235, 626 n, 1119, 1122 n, 1124, 1125, 1203, 1204, 1205. See also under Bināl Tigin
- Tāj-ud-Din Habashi-i-'Abd-ul-Malik, Sar-i-Zarrād, the Sipah-Sālār of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 1008 and n 9, 1007, 1059 n 2, 1079 and n 2, 1201 n
- Tāj-ud-Din Ḥabaahi-ı-Nezah-war, Amir Soo under Ḥabaahi-ı-Nezah-war.
- Tāj-ud-Din-1-Harab, the Malik us-Sa'id, son of Shams-ud Din Muhammad,—the fourth ruler of Sijistān and Nimros, and one of the Maliks of the brother Sultāns of Ghūr, 20, 191 and n 6, 192, 193, 194 and n 7, 249, 373, 390, 457 n, 490, 502 n 6, 504, 1199 n
- Tāj-ud-Din Ḥasso i-Sālār, Khar-Post, Malik,—governor of the fort of Tülak under the Khwa razmis, xxiv, 1197
- Tāj-ud-Din Ibrāhim Shāh, son of Sultān Nāşir ud Din Mahmud Shāh, of Dibli, 672.

- Tij-ud-Din, 'Imëd-ul-Mulk, the Jimi,--one of the Ministers of State of the Khwarasm Chabi dynasty, 963, 964.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Iyal-dūs, [styled Yaldūs and Yal-dus by some],—elave of Sulţān Mu'isz-ud-Dīn Muḥammad-i-Sām <u>Gh</u>ūrī,—Sulţān of <u>Gh</u>aznīn, See under Iyal-dūs, Tāj-ud-Dīn.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Muhammad Timrīnī, one of the Malıks of Suḥān <u>Gh</u>uyās-ud-Dīn Muhammad-I-Sām, <u>Gh</u>ūrī, 390, 408.
- Tāj-ud-Din Muḥammad b Kuthud-Din Ḥusain b. 'Alī b. Abī 'Alī, the Ghūrī Malık, 1060.
- Tij od-Din Muhammad b. Kuthud-Din Ibak, the Turk,—ruler of <u>Kh</u>wāraxm, 234 and n 9, 235 and u 1
- Tāj-ud Dia Sanjar, the Sihwagtāni, Malik,—one of the Maliks of Sultān Nāşir-ad-Din Mahmūd Shāh, of Dibli, 103 and a 7, 835 a and a 4, 836 a 6 He is also called Tāj-nd-Din Sanjar-i-Māh-penhlnī, which also see.
- Tāj-ud-Din Saujar-i-Gasiak or Gajz-lak <u>Kh</u>ān,—one of the Maliks of Sultān <u>Shams-ud-Din Iyal-Timush</u>, of Dihli, zzwi, 612 n G, 613, 616 n, 618 n, 626, 643 n 4, 661 n, 722, 723 aud n 1, 724, 725 n 6, 730.
- Tāj-ud-Dīn Saujar-i Gurait Khān, Malik of Awadh, 663 n.9. See also under Tāj-ud-Dīn Sanjar i-Kuret Khān.
- Tāj-ad Din Sanjar-i-Kik luk, the fendatory of Sadā'ān,—one of the Malika of the Shamsiah

dynamby of 19fhii, 648 * 4, 661 *, 662 and * 6, 668 * 9; 754, 756, 756, 780, 667 *.

Tij-nd-Din Sanjar-j-Kuret or Gurais Khin, Malik of Awadh,—one of the Maliks of Salpin Nöpk-ud-Din Mahmad Shih, of Dihli, 668 n 9, 674, 708 n 7, 736, 800, 867 n, 1201 n.

Tij-nd-Din Sanjar-i-Müh-poghānī, Malik,---one of the Malika of Salțăn Nișir-nd-Din Majawād Shāh, of Dihli, 708 n 7, 741, 235 n and n 4, 236 and nn 6 and 9. He is also salled Tij-nd-Din-i-Sanjar, the Sihwasilui, which also see.

T8j-ud-Din Sanjar-i-Ten <u>Kh</u>ân, Malik of Awadh,— one of the Malike of Salţān Nēşīr-ud-Din Maḥmūd Shāh of Dihlī, 674, 708 u 7, 704 u 8, 714, 789, 760, 880, 851 u 9.

Taj-ud-Din Tughin, governor of the furtress of Sāran-duja, on the part of Saltān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takish Khwārnam Shāh, 990

Tāj-nd-Din 'Uymān, the Maraghani, —sensethal of the fortress of Ehlecar of Ghir, under his brother, 'Iss-nd-Din 'Umr the Maraghani, 1199 n. 1200 n

Téj-ad-Din Zangi h. Fahhr-ud-Din Mas'ad, son of 'Isa-ud-Din al-Husain,-- Malik of Bāmiān, and one of the Maliks of the brother Sulfins of Chūr, 348, 381, 390, 404 and n 3, 425 and u 3, 475 n 9, 481 x 8, 490.

Tij-ul-Ma'isir, the, —name of a historical work, xvi, 448 a 8, 458 a 6
466 a 1, 489 aa Nind 9, 470 a
471 c 476 a 477 a, 480 a 482 c,

486 n, 806 n, 816 n 2, 517 n, 528 u, 519 n, 320 n, 821 n, 522 n 5 and n, 828 n, 524 n, 526 n, 538 m 2, 560 n, 536 n 5 and 8, 580 n 5, 542 n 9, 548 n, 545 n 5, 606 n 3, 608 u 3, 600 n, 611 n 9, 615 u 1, 617 n, 610 u 7, 628 u 4,—app. ii, iv, v, vi, xiv, xxiii, xxv, xxvi.

Tij-ul-Mulk Mahmid, the Dabir [Secretary], the son of the Mughrif-i-Mamilik,—one of the Tijik officials of Sultin Ruku-ud-Din Firin Shib, of Dibli, 625, 626, 761.

Tüj-ul-Mulük Majd-ud-Din, son of Abü Leghkar-i-Aiyib, son of Bhbdi al-Kurdi, 208 n 9.

Tiji, name by which the 'Ajamis call the 'Araba, 804 st 8.

Tājik-Mājik, the Afghāns so style Persian-openking people who are neither Turks nor 'Araba, 804 n S.

Tšjike, the,—the descendants of 'Arabe, been and grown up in 'Ajam, xii xx, xxv, 304 * 3, 449 * 9, 750 * 7. See also under the Tèjnika.

Tājā or Tānjā, Nāyān,—one of the Nūyīns of Helākū <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tuli, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingis <u>Kh</u>ān, zivii, 162 n 2, 1237 n 4. See also Tānjā, she Nū-yīn.

Tājzik Chūrie, the, zii, ziu, 873 s, 1058 s S. See also under the Tānk Chūrie.

Töjsika, the, xii, xx, xxv, 878 s, 879 s, 983 s, 972 s, 973 s, 978 s, 980 s, 1003 s 1 and 2, 1004, 1016, 1039 s, 1033 s, 1043 s 1, 1076 s, 1081 and s 7, 1103 s, 1131 s, 1167 s, 1168 s, 1192 s, 1281. See also under the Täjika

Tik, the,—a sept of Rijphia, 611 n.
Tik, Tigh or Digh, fifth son of
Lights Khin, the third severeign
of the Mughal I-mik, 680 n.

Tak-sh or Ing-sh, definition of the term, 334 n S.

Takalmiah or Mankalmiah,—one of the emusarise of Hulākā Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Ohung's Khān, to Bukn-ud-Dīn Khūr Shāh, the Bādahāh of the Mulābidah of Alamūt, 1206 a \$.

Tah-so or Tug-se, definition of the term, 334 n 8.

Taki.ud.Din Al-Qhāzi, son of al-Malik-ul-'Ādil Abā Bikr, son of Aiyūb, son of Shādī al-Kurdī, See under Shihāb-ud-Din-f-Qhūzī, sou of Ralık-ul-'Ādil.

Takish [Tughān Shāh], son of Alb Arsalān-i-Ghāui, son of Dā'ād-i-Jaghar Beg, Saljūķī, 138 * 8, 148 * 2.

Takish i-Khwārazm Shāh, son of Ival-Arsalan, son of Jalal-ad-Din Uteus Khwarasm Shah, Snltan, xxii, 148, 165, 167 and a 8, 172 n 3, 181 m and n 9, 182 and n 1, 235, 289 and wm i and 2, 240 m, 241 and n 4, 242 nn 5 and 6, 243 n and sn 7 and 1, 244 and nn 3 and 4, 245 m and n 7, 246 n 8, 247 n, 249 n, 349 and n 3, 250 nn 4 and 6, 251 mn 9 and 1, 254, 255 n 7, 260 n 7. 265 n 4, 279, 290 n 7, 281, 286 n 6. 346, 377 n 5, \$78, 38? and n 9, 383 n 2, 400 n 2, 457 n, 927 n, 928 n, 929 n, 934 n, 1118 n 9 He was styled 'Imad-nd-Din Takish Khan and 'Ala-nd-Din by others. Takna Khan, 273 a,-wrong name

of Tak fuglian the Makrit, which

280

Tak-Timish,—app. zii.
Talbah b. 'Abd'allah,—one of the
Prophet's companions, 9 z l, 11
and z 2,—styled Talbah-i-Tale-

lığı.

Talbah b. Muhammed un-Niel'i, governor of Hirit under the Sămanis, 42 × 3, 71 × 5.

Taibah b. Tähir-i-Zu'l Yaminain, —second of the dynasiy of the Tähiris, 10 % 5, 13 and % 2, 13, 36 % 7.

Talbah-i-Talabēt, title of Talbah b. 'Abd-nilah, the Prophet's companion, 9 n 1.

Tamahtsa b. Sa'd b. Zangi, the Atè-bak of Fars, 179, 180 s 5.

Tamghēj, a dynastic name of the novereigns of Tubbat and Yughmë, 909 n, 935 n 8.

Tamghāj, the Turk, Amīr of Tabarhindah,—slave of Kutb-ad-Dīn Ibak, 603 and a 6. He was at first called I-bak.

Tamghij Khan b Mohammad Khan, XXist, of the Afraciyabi Khaus of Turkistan, 908 m

Tamghi or Taghma Khan Ibrahim b al-Hussin,—one of the Chine of Turkistan, 908 n, 985 n s.

Tamghan b. Nueher,—ancestor of Seman i-Khaddat, 29

Tamghur, Yamghur or Tighur, one of the leaders of the Mughal army, 288 a 3.

Tamú-chi See under Tamur-chi, the ruler of the Tittar I-mak.

Tamüchin, 155 n 6. See Tamurchi, the Qhingiz Khan.

Tamur Khau, -- one of the Ohiblganiau or forty slaves of Sultan Shaus ad Dia Iyal-Tamigh, of Dibli,—foudatory of Sunnius,

Tamur Khin-i-Kirin, Halik of Awadhand Lakhanawati, 565 x 7, 689 x 8, 666 x, 740 and x 8, 741, 742. See also under his title of Kamr-ud-Din and also under Kirin-i-Tamur Khin.

Tamur Khān-i-Sunhar, the 'Ajami, Malik of Kuhrām,—one of the Maliks of Sultan Nāşir-ud-Din Mahmūd Shāh, of Dihli, 673.

Tamur-phi, the Janbi, the Tork, one of the leaders of the Chingis Khin's army, 979 s.

Tamurahi or Tamurahin, the Chingis Khin, son of Yassaka Bahadar, 1988 n. 1998

Tamur chi or Tamurchin, raler and chief of the Tättär I-mäß,—made captive by the Bahådur Yassukä, father of the Chingis Khin, 898 u, 935, 936 a.

Tanaw-ohm Khatuu, of the Bayaut tribe,—one of the wives of Mangu KaTa, son of Tuli, son of the Chingis Khan, 1223 n

Tand-pil, son of Jas-pil, ruler of Hud, 81 st [n 2

Tangah, definition of the term, 584 Tangahan harses, definition of, 567 and a 6

Tinijut, the laiti,—See under the Tinijut.

Tāniko of Terās, leader of the Gür <u>Kh</u>ān's army, 184, 200 n 7, 261 and n, 924 n. See also under Haniko of Tarāz Tinjist tribe of Mughale, the, talli,

Tünjü er Tijā, the Nē-yin,—one of the Nē-yins of Hulikü Khān, sen of Tüli, son of the Chingis Khān, 1190 n l, 1191 s, 1192 n, 1297 n 5, 1296 n, 1282 n 3, 1287 and n 4, 1289 n, 1240 n 1245 n, 1262 n, 1263 n, 1265 n. See also under Tijā Nūyān.

Tarab b. Kiyah, son of Nüh, annestor of Zahik, the Tisi, 308 a 7.

Taraj or

Tarakk, eighth son of Yafs [Japheth], 870 m, 872 m.

Tarakimah or Turkin, the I-lak of the, 900 s, 910 s.

Tergié, a description of woven ailk of a red colour, 372.

Tărikh-i-Aba'l 'Ală-i-Ahwal, or the "Squinter," the, 116 n 3.

Tarikh-i Abu'i Fast-i-Baihaki, the, xvz, 77 a, 82 a 2, 101 a 7, 107 a 8, 119 a 8 See also under al-Baihaki.

Tīrīkh-i-Abu'i <u>Gh</u>āzī, Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān See nuder Abu'i-<u>Gh</u>āzī, Bahādur <u>Kh</u>ān

Tārikh-i-Āl-10Sabak-Tigin, of Abu'i Faşl-1 Bashaki, the,—entsted Tārikh-i-Yamıni; called also the Tarikh-1-Nāşiri, 106 st.

Tärīh-1-Alfi, tho, 112 m 6, 118 m, 117 m, 124 m 4, 129 m and m 8, 129 m, 142 m 1, 280 m 3, 286 m 6, 287 m 9, 284 m, 348 m, 374 m 6, 401 m, 402 m, 403 m, 407 m 5, 427 m; 434 m 8, 436 m 5, 489 m 4, 449 m 8, 457 m, 467 m, 471 m, 474 m, 476 m, 477 m, 481 m 1, 482 m, 484 m, 436 m, 408 m 8, 501 m 5, 502 m 6, 504 m 4.

505 m, 520 m, 526 n 8, 535 n, 536 m, 540 n, 545 n 5, 590 m, 592 m, 614 u 8, 631 n 6, 655 n 2, 778 n, 800 n 2, 876 m, 901 m, 906 m, 907 m, 928 m, 925 m, 927 m, 934 m, 941 m, 945 m, 946 m, 956 m, 970 n 2, 976 m, 978 n, 979 n, 983 n, 987 n 3, 993 n, 995 n, 996 n, 999 n, 1005 n, 1009 n, 1020 m, 1024 m 2, 1027 m 8, 1035 m, 1037 m, 1047 m 4, 1082 m, 1087 m, 1088 m, 1098 m, 1100 m, 1115 m 5, 1]22 m, 1126 m 6, 1127 m, 1135 m 3, 1198 m, 1140 m, 1148 m 4, 1166 m 1167 s. 1198 s. 1199 s. 1200 s. 1206 m 3, 1211 m, 1220 m, 1221 m, 1283 n 2, 1241 n, 1243 m, 1244 u, 1265 n 3, 1286 n .-- app. vi, xx.

Tārīkh-:-Fanākatī, the, 19 = 2, 81 = 3, 35 = 5. See also under al-Fanākatī.

Türikh i-Faşihi, the, See the Mujmal-1-Faşihi.

Tarikh-i-Firshtab, the, xi See under Firshtah.

Tārīkh-i-Firus-shāhī of Shama-i-Sirēj, the. zvi.

Tärikh-i-Firüs-ahāhī of Zıya-ud-Din Barani, the, xvi, xxx, 568 n6, 584n2, 590n, 634n3, 677 n6, 678n8, 716n5, 776n, 778n, 794n1, 796n See also under Ziyā-ud-Din Barani.

Tarikh-1-Ghizani, the, 869 n 2, 883 n, 892 n.

Tärikh 1 Guzideh, the, xlvm, 26 n3, 25 n2, 29 n9, 32 n6, 34 n5, 37 n3, 41 n6, 44 n4, 45 n8, 49 n7, 53 n2, 54 n7, 60 n7, 64 n1, 88 n5, 94 n3, 96 n1, 97 nn3 and 4, 98 n5, 100 n5, 101 nn6, and 7, 1/2 n2, 103 n 108 n3, 109 n7, 112 n5, 113 n 117 n 119 n 119 n7. 124n 4, 126 n 2, 132nn 9 and 1, 134 n 9, 138 n 9, 142 n 1, 148 n 2, 147 n 8, 151 n 6, 154 n 2, 155 n and nn 3 and 7, 157 n 1, 155 n, 168 n 2, 163 n 4, 173 n 4, 174 n, 175 n 7, 185 n, 217 n, 232 n, 236 n 4, 240 n, 254 nn 2 and 3, 254 n, 266 n, 270 n 7, 297 n, 203 n 7, 350 n, 407 n 8, 439 n 4, 447 n 6, 452 n 1, 473 n 2, 474 n, 476 n, 478 n 6, 465 n 8, 678 n, 809 n 2, 908 n, 941 n, 981 n, 1000 n, 1144 n 5, 1190 n, 1221 n, 1222 n, 1250 n, 1253 n 4, 1266 n 6, 1278 n, 1257 n.

Tārigh-i-Hāfiş Abrū, the, 941. See also under Hāfiş Abrū.

Türikh-i-Haft Iklim, the, zvi, 36 m. Türikh-i-Hamd'ulah, the Mustauff, 964 n 2 See also under Hamd'ullah the Mustaufi.

Tārikh i Ibu Haisam, the, 11, 19, 26, 31, 56 and n 3, 60, 116 and n 1, 217, 320 n 2

Tirigh-1-Ibrihimi, the, 26 n 2, 21 n 3, 35 n n 6 n d 5, 37 n 2, 41 n 3, 44 n 1, 49 n 7, 25 n 1, 98 n 5, 199 n 5, 156 n, 308 n 7, 317 n, 380 n 2, 360 n 3, 361 n 6, 407 nn 5 and 7, 533 n, 536 n 2, 860 n 2, 1030 n.

Tārih-i-Jahān Ārē, the, 26 n 8, 31 n 3, 35 n 5, 41 n 8, 97 n 4, 127 n, 187 n 5, 147 n 8, 161 n 6, 162 n 2, 176 n 4, 190 n 7, 228 n, 256 n 2, 303 n 6, 306 n 1, 312 n 6, 316 n 2, 320 n 4, 322 n, 326 n 3, 363 n 8, 407 n n 5 and 7, 411 n, 412 n, 416 n 2, 422 n 3, 424 n 3, 427 n, 429 n 4, 422 n 1, 424 n 8, 436 n 5, 440 n 8, 452 n 2, 466 n 2, 486 n 4, 496 n 6, 574 n 5, 566 n 3, 1176 n 9 — app xx.

färikh-s Jahänger the. 300 n 2:

803 a, 808 a, 821 a, 945 a, 957 a, 867 a 3, 1000 a, 1010 a, 1083 a, 1083 a, 1086 a 1, 1083 a, 1096 a, 1116 a, 1189 a, 1148 a 4, 1166 a, 1194 a, 1195 a, 1256 a 6, 1286 a.

Türith-i-Jahün Kughüe, the, of the Jüwnini, nitz, 485 n 8, 585 n, 540 n, 560 n 2, 589 n, 979 n, 987 n 8, 1014 n 2, 1015 n, 1016 n 8, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 n 8, 1021 n, 1022 n, 1088 n, 1129 n 1

Thrith :- Khin-i-Jahin, the Ludi, xvi

Thrigh-i-Khurisin, the,—of Mau-Und Mu'in-ud-Diu, Sabswäri, 19 s 1, 1085 n.

Tārigh-i-Majdāl, the,—of Imām Muhammad 'Ali Abu'i Kāsım 'Imādī, azais s 2, 69

Thrikh-1-Ma'şamı, the,--of Mir Ma'şöm-i-Bhakhari, 618 n 2

Tärigh-i Mir ät-i-Jahān Numā, the, —a general History by Muham mad Bakā, 79 n See the Mir ät--i-Jahān Numā.

Tārikh-: Mubārak bhāhi, the, xvi, 665 a 8, 691 a, 716 a 5

Tărikh-i-Muḥaddasi, the, 6, 303 n 7, 205 1258

Tarikh i-Muraya, the, -of Muham mad Afçal Khan Khatak, xvi. 622 n.

Tarikh-1-Nieirs, the, 69, 108 s, 119
122 and s 1, 217
See the Tarikh
-1 Al-i-Sebuk Tigrn.

Tärikh-z Ninhat-i-Afaghinah, the -of the Shukh 'Ahd ar-Razzāk, Matizi, zvi

Thrith-i Rajshahe Jammu the, zvi

Tirithe Rankeds the, of the Mir she Minke the

Doghläti Maghal, zvi, 918 a, 1288 n l.

Tirigh-i-Sind of Mir Ma'sum, the Bakhari, the zvi, 548.n.

Tārīkh-i-Tabarī, the, avi, 88 n l, 36 n 5, 42 n 9, 44 n 4, 58 n 2, 806 n 2, 597 n 3 See also under at-Tubarī.

Tarikh-i-Yafa'i, the, 9n1, 10n8, 11 n 4, 12 n 8, 41 n 8, 42 n 9, 60 n 8, 94 a 8, 97 a 4, 98 a 6, 99 a, 102 a 2. 107 na 7 and 8112 n 5, 118 w, 117 n. 118 m. 120 m 1. 132 m 9. 123 m 3. 136 m, 148 m 2, 146 m 5, 167 m 8, 178 n 7, 204 n, 209 n 6, 212 n, 222 #1, \$23 n 3, \$24 n 8, \$23 nn 5 and 6, 235 n 2, 236 n 6, 239 n 2, 240 m, 244 m 3, 248 m 2, 251 m 1. 254 x 3, 264 x 266 n, 268 n 3, 274 n9, 281 n5, 285 n3, 298 n3, 289 n, 370 n, 380 n 9, 381 n 2, 393 n 8, 400 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 408 n, 407 nm 5 and 7, 411 n, 419 m 5, 473 n 2, 496 n 9, 596 n 8, 532 n 4, \$40 m, 869 m 2, 948 m, 926 m, 968 m, 1129 n I

Tārikh-i-Yamini of Baikaki the, 105 n See ander the Tārikh i-Āl's Sabuk Tigin

Tarigh-: Yamini of al-'Utbi, -See the Kitab i-Yamini.

Tarins, the —name of a people, 1016

Tarkhan, exponention of the word, 942 m

Iarkhān one of four Mughal tribes styled the ulus-i Qhārgānah, 1164 w 9

l'arkhan Malik of the people of the Snghd of Samerkand 915 n

Inche application of the word 567 n 4 1234 n 2.

Tartare, the, 874 s, 809 s, 1018 s, 1019 s, 1089 s, 1167 s, 1171 s. See also the Tāttārs, of which word Tartars is the European spelling.

Tascherau, 159 n 7

Tasdar [Naro] Jai-pal, ruler of Hard, 86 *

Tagh, Husam-ud-Daulah, Abu'l 'Abhas, the Hājib,—commander of the forces of Amir Nüh, son of Manşür, son of Nüh Sāmāni, 44 and n 2, 45 and n 7.

Tasso, 159 n.

Tassükän or Yassükän <u>Kh</u>ätän the Tättär,—third wife of the <u>Qhingis Kh</u>än, 1092 n

Tata, the,—the Chinese fiame for the Tattars.

Tätür, the Gakbar, habitation of, 1132 m.

Tätär <u>Kh</u>än, <u>Muhammad Arsaläu</u>, son of Arsalän <u>Kh</u>än-i-Sanjar, governor of Lakhanawati, 771 s, 772 s, 776 s, 779 s

Tätärs, the, 691 a See under the

Tatatouggo, a master of the I ghurt language, 1186 a.

Tatris, the, also called the Rathhs,
—one of the tribes of the Koh 1
Jud, 1132 m.

Táttür I mik, the, 874 n, 975 n, 876 n, 877 n, 881 n, 892 n, 884 n, 885 n, 887 n, 891 n, 898 n, 898 n, 899 n, 900 n, 937 n 1, 938 n, 949 n, 999 n, 1041 n 3, 1092 n, 1282 n 6

Tättär Khän b Ahnjuh Khan, som of Kiwsk,—first ruler of the Tattar I mäk, 873 n, 874 n 865 n 887 n, 900 n, 951 n

Tatter Ming or Hazarah, the, -- one

of the divisions of the Chingis Khān's army, 1008 n.

Tittirs, the,—descendants of Tittir Khin, son of Alinjah Khin, son of Alinjah Khin, son of Kiwak, 866 n, 869 n 2, 873 n, 875 n, 877 n, 888 n, 884 n, 885 n, 886 n, 887 n, 691 n, 894 n, 898 n, 899 n, 900 n, 913 n, 936 n 6, 937 n 7, 940 n, 944 n, 946 n, 940 n, 961 n, 980, 1001 n, 1014 n 2, 1036 n 1, 1041 n 3, 1076 n, 1093 u, 1094 n, 1106 n, 1107 n, 1108 n, 1217 n, 1285 n 1, 1246 n 8, 1284 n 5, 1290 n 9.

Tavernier, 860 x 9, 1119 a.

Tawarikh-i-'Ajam, the,—name of a historical work, 1.

Tayānak Khān, also written Tayān and Tayānak, the,—Tūbukā or Taibukū, sou of Balikto Inānaj, sovereign of the Torkish tribe of the Nāemāns, 940 n. 944 n. 945 n. 946 n. 947 n. 940 n. 966 n. 1091 n. 1092 n

Taylor, Meadows, author of the Student's Manual of Indian History, 445 a, 462 a, 467 a.

Tasba or Tasbur, son of Parawwil or Kariwal, -ancestor of Zubik the Tax: 204 He is also called Tabur and Taxio-barred, which 900 [Persians, 304 and u 3 Tasi, name of the Arabs among Tizik Churis, the, 510 m, 511 a See also under the Tajaik Churu Their-bassed, son of Farawwal or-Karawal,-father of all the 'Arabs and brother of Hoshang Malik, 302 and a 7, 304 He ie differently called Tabur, Tauba and feshur

Tiglo-barrid, Tiglo-barrided or Tiglo-margad. See the above. Taskirah of the Darbietini, the.

Tankirah of the Daghietānī, the, zzz.

Taskirab of Daulat Shih, the, 609 a 5.

Taşkirat-ul-Abrar, the,—of the Ahhand, Darwenah, xvi.

Taşkirat-ul-Mulük, the,—of Yahyā

<u>Kh</u>āu, xvi, 89 n 8, 90 n 4, 99 n,
103 n 1 113 n 8, 113 n, 440 n 8,
461 n, 525 n, 546 n 7, 557 n 3, 593
n 7, 693 n 6, 699 n 1, 613 n 7, 619
n 7, 625 n 1, 639 n 4, 641 n 8, 647
n 9, 691 n,—app. vi, viii, xxvi

Tehukan, possibly Tehükang, the Faghfür, ruler of Chin, 1218 s.

Templars, the religious military order of the, 101 w 8

Terry's "Voyage," 585 x 7, 586 x Thamar, Queen, sovereign of @arjistan 1001 v.

Thamiras-i-Diw-Band,—third of the Bastiniah dynasty of 'Ajam, and grandson of Hoshang, the second of the dynasty, 2

Tharoo caste, the, 560 s 4. See under the Tibars.

Thindr Diw, Ree of Nahrwelah, Sidn I.

Thomas, E, author of the "Chronicles of the Patän Kings of Dehli,"

x, xxii, xxiii, xxvi and n 3, 1v, 71

n 5, 72 n 6, 91 n 9, 114 n, 286 n 3,
369 n 5, 399 n, 434 n 6, 436 n 6, 469

n 9, 463 n 1, 497 n 3, 510 n, 514 n,
530 n, 535 n, 55 n 6, 561 n, 558

n 7, 560 n, 573 n 9, 560 n 8, 584

n 2, 596 n 8, 603 n 6, 615 n, 623 n,
622 n, 637 n 8, 638 n 1, 542 n 3,
644 n, 637 n 6, 661 n, 666 n 668 n,
669 n, 8 679 n 8, 660 n, 635 n 4,

686 a 2, 690 a 1, 699 a 1, 720 a 6, 771 a, 772 a, 774 a, 777 a, 791 a 4, 795 a 2, 300 a, 818 a 6, 659 a 8, 351 a 5, 878 a, 1011 a, 1108 a 1, 1139 a 1, 1269 a 3.—app. i, ifi, iv, vi, vii. See also under the Pathia Kinga of Dehli.

Thornton, 462 a, 705 a 7. [xxxi. Thousand and one Hights, Lane's, Thugatshur, wrong spelling of the name of Taghānhār, son of U-Tigīn, which see

Tigin, a Turkish title borne by Turks and Tātiārs, 944 n.

Figin-i-Busarg, a name incorrectly given to Malik Balban-i-Busarg 'Iss-ud-Din Kashlü Khân, of the Malike of Sultan Shame-nd-Din I-yal Timish of Dibli, 661 s.

Tihāru, the,—a race of people dwelling in the mountains between Tibbat and Lakhapawati, 560 and n 4, 562 n, 567 n 1

'Timee" newspaper, the, 899 n, 1027 m 8

Timur, the Gurgin, Amir, [the celebrated Tamerlane], xiv, lviii, 100 n.9, 391 n, 460 n.3, 499 n, 384 n, 889 n, 693 n, 896 n, 898 n, 1027 n.8, 1035 n, 1073 n.4, 1145 n, 1155 n.6, 1235 n.1 —app x.

Timur, the Nu-yin, Amir of Kara-Kuram,—one of the Nu-yins of the Chingis Khan, 1178 n.

Timur Malik, governor of Khujand, ander Sultān 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Takieh Khwārasm Shāh, 972 a, 973 a, 974 a, 1118 a 9

Timar Melik b Sultān "Alā-adlim Muhammad-i-Takah Khwārasm Shāh, 254 z 3, 236 z 6 Timer Namah, the,—a history of Amir Timer the Gurgan, 887 m.
Timer Time,—of the race of Kalin, chieftain of the Maghale, 888 m.
Timeriah dynasty,—another name of the Moghal dynasty founded at Dibli, by Bäbar Bådshäh, 1146 m.

Tingüz, the sixth son of Äghüz Khān, the third sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 880 n.

Tingia or Tinjis Khān b. Mangali Khān, aon of Yal dūs,—Villth sovereign of the Mughal I-māķ, 881 n. [1092 n, 1138 n. Tingķūt, the, a tribe of Mughale Tingķūt, son of Jūji [Tūahi] Khān,

Tingküt, son of Jüji [Tüahi] <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Chinguz Kh</u>ān, 1105 s, 1165 s, 1176 s

Tingküt language, the, 1065 n \$.

Tingrī Khān, the Shidarkū or Shidarkū, the Bádahāh of the territory of Tingkut or Kāshīn, 1065 and # \$ 1090, 1095, 1096

Tinjîz <u>Kh</u>an, See under Tingiz <u>Kh</u>ân b. Mangalî <u>Kh</u>ân.

Tipā's Library, vii

Tir Muhammad b Malık Sihāb son of Sulţān Alb Araalān-ı-(ihaz; Saljūķī, 144 and n3 See under Muhammad b Malık Sihāh, the Saljūķī

Tod's Rajasthân, 459 n 6, 469 n 9, 467 n, 517 n, 519 n, 522 n, 611 n 3, 691 n, 728 n 3, 628 n 3.

Tokashi, name of a ruler whose territory was subdued by Bâtu Khān, son of Tushi, son of the Chingix Khān, 1170 a

Tokuz-I ghurs,—those of the I ghurs who dwelt on the fokus or nine rivers, 889 n, 951 n, 1097 n 6. Tomins or ton Thomands,—the first of the four degrees of Meghale, 946 n.

Tonghī, Tünghī or Tüghī,—eavey of the Karā-Khitā-ie to Sultān 'AMud Din Mohammad Khwārasm Shāh, 939 n.

Toris, the, 499 s,-read the Taris, see ixi

To<u>sh</u>ajār, See under Tagha<u>sh</u>ār, the Bahādur.

Tott, Baton de, 1285 a 1.

To-whan, the Chalar (Jaif-ir),—one of the officers of "Mengke" Kiān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingis Khān, 1282 a.

Traditionists, the,—the four orthodux sects of Muhammadans, 384 and a 6, 385

Travels of Father Avril, in Tartary, 567 n 4.

Travels, Masson's, 339 n 7, 427 n, 1058 n 6.

"Travels in the steppes of the Caspian Sea, the Grimes, the Canonsus, etc., by Xavier Hommoire de Hell 884 a.

Techermiss, the, —an ancient people dwelling between the Volga and Tanals or Don,—the modern Charkas probably, 1170 » 3

Tubba'-:-Akbar b. Akrán, of the Tabábi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7

Tubba'-ul Arghar b Hassau, of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7 Tubba'-ul-Ausat, of the Tababi'ah

dynasty of Yaman, 7.

Tubba's, the,—the royal tribe of Arabia Felix, 203 n 7. See also under the Taudbi'ah.

Tabba' Yawa, the incorrect spelling of the word Tabébi'ah

- Tub or Tab Tingri,—title of the Mughal stint, Kükjü, son of Minglik Iohakab, 948 u, 948 n.
- Tebuku or Taibuku, the Tryanak Khin, son of Balikto Ininaj, sovereign of the Niemius, 944 s. See also under the Tryinak Khin.
- Tughā Tigin, the Hājib—one of the generals of Sultan 'Alā-ud-Din Mae'ūd-i-Karim, son of Sultan Ibrāhim, <u>Gh</u>asnawi, 107.
- Tughaphir, also styled Dālāu,—one
 of the Nāyins of the Dhugis
 Ehān, 956 α.
- Tughle-Timur, son of Tughi [Juji], son of the Chingis Khan, 1103 s, 1164 s 9, 1166 s.
- Taghin i-Sunkar,—one of the Amirs of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din Mang-barni, son of Sultan Muhammad-i-Takuh Khwarazm Shin, 1116 n.
- Tughān Khān, Sharaf-ud-Din, son of 'Ali, son of Müsä, son of Satuk-Kūjah,—VIth of the Afrānyābi Khāns of Turkistān, 85 s, 904 s
- Tughān Shāh (Takuh), son of Alb-Armann-1-Ghāz), son of Dā'ūd-1-Jaghar Beg, son of Saljuk, the Turkmān, 136 w 8
- Tughān Shāb b. Ibrāhīm, Sayyıdus-Salatın, son of Sultān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawi, 105.
- Taghin Shiah b Mu'ayyid-1-A'inahdör,--second of the Sanjariyah Malake of Nighapur, 181 and n 9, 182, 245 n 7, 246 n 8, 419 n 6
- Tughlak dynasty of Dibli, the,—
 founded by <u>Ghiyās-nd Dis</u>
 Tughlak, 810 s. <u>[ghaph Kh</u>sn.
 Tughmākh <u>Kh</u>ān See under 1 sm-

- Taggril, signification of the word, 805 s.
- Taghril, governor of Bangkiah under Saitān <u>Gh</u>iyāş-ud-Dīn Balban, 560 n, 590 n, 776 n.
- Tughril II,—Amin Khān's Mā'ib in the governorship of Bengal, 772 s.
- Taghril, al-Mal'un, or the executed, —the usurper of the throne of Ghaznin, 96 n 8, 99 n and n 2, 100 and n 4, 101, 133 n 9.
- Tughril, Bahā-ud-Din, al-Mu'issi as-Sulţāni,—one of the Mu-'issiah Sulţāns of Hind, 471 s, 518 s, 520 s, 544 and s 4, 545 and s 5, 546 and s 7, 547 and s, 540 s 4, 619 s 6, 627 s 4, 704 s 5, 727 and s 8, 732 s 3, 767 and s 6.
- Tughri, Bahā-ud-Din [styled- by some Badr-ud-Din], the Sanjari, —governor of Hirat, 248, 249, 374 and a 5, 375 a, 377 and a 6, 379 and a 5, 391, 427.
- Taghril b. Arsalin Shih b Tughril b Muhammad b. Malik Shih, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalin-1-Chini, Saljuhi, Sultan,—last of the Saljuhih dynasty, 91 n 9, 165 n 2, 166 n and n 7, 167 and n 8, 171 n 9, 172 n 3, 243 and n 5, 247 n, 249 n 3, 250, 296 n
- Tughril b. Isra'il b Saljúk, son of Lukmán, the Turkmán, 126 a 2.
- Tughril b Mahmud b Muhammad b Malik Shah, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-1-Ghasi, Saljüki,---governor of 'Irik-1-'Ajam under Sultan Sanjar, 146 a 6, 151 a 6
- Tughri b Mae'id b. Nuhammad b. Maisk Shih, son of Sultan Alb-Arealan-1-Chaz, Suljüki,---gover-

nor of 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam under Sultān Sanjar, 161 n 6

Tughril b. Muhammad b Malık Shāh, son of Sultān Alb-Arsalān-1-Ghāsī, Saljūķī,—governor of 'Irāķi-'Ajam under Sultān Sanjar, 151 n 6, 170 n 8, 171 n 9, 242

Tughril b Sankur, son of Maudud, son of Zangi, son of Ak-Sankur, the Atā-bak of Fārs, 176 n 8

Tughri!-i. Tughān Khān —one of the Maliks of Sultān Shams-ud-Dīn I-yal-Timiāh, of Dihlī, xxvii See under his title of 'Isz-ud-Dīn

Tughril b Tughril, Seljüki,—last of the dynasty, 185 and n 2. See under Tughril b. Arsalán Shāh, which is his correct name.

Tughril Beg b Mikä'il, son of Saljük, son of Lukman, the Turkman,—the first of the Saljükiah dynasty, 86 n, 94 n 2, 103 n 9, 116 n 3, 117 n, 119 n 7, 122 and n 8 and 8, 123 and n, 124 n, 125 and n 7, 8 and 1, 126 and n 2 and n, 129 and n 3, 130, 132 and n 9 and 1, 133 n and n 3, 135 n 2, 136 n, 174 n, 232 n His correct name s Abū Tālb, Muhammad, and his title, Buknud-Din Tughril Beg, Yamīn-1-Amir-ul-Mu'minia.

Tughril Shāh b Ibrāhīm, Sayjidus-Salātīu, son of Sulţān Mas'ūd, Ghaznawi, 106

Tughrul,-name of a bird used in field sports, 936 and n 5

Tughrul ligin,—surname of Tamurchi, the Tattar, afterwards known as the Awang Khan, which sen, 936 and a 5, 939 a, 940 s.

Tüh or Tawah, son of Käbah, son of Nüh,—ancestor of Suhāk, the Tāsī, 303.

Tüh, son of Rü-shed,—ancestor of Tähir ibn nl-Husain, 9.

Tuhfat-ul-Kirām, the,—name of a historical work, zvi. [niān. Tūniān, the See under the Tū-Tūjs or Tūj, son of Afridūn, the Bādahāh of 'Ajam, 206 and a 2, Tūjs, son of Farāwai,—brother of Hochang, Bādahāh of 'Ajam, 308 a 7.

Tük, son of Muklas,—governor of Kırman, 817 » 5

Tüḥāe, Tūḥā or Tūḥīā, son of Bēsaujer, the first ruler of the Būzaujar dynasty of the Mughal Imāḥ, 894 s

Tûka<u>n</u>hêr or Tûk<u>a</u>hêr, the Nê-yên 968 s, 969 s See also under Tagha<u>n</u>hêr, the Bahêdur.

Tükājār, the Gārgān, son-in-law of the Chingu Khān, 1027 n 8, 1120 n 2 See also under Taghānhār, the Gürgān

Tükal, the Bakhahi,—the Mughal Shahnah [Intendent] of Halab, 1264 s.

Tukal, son of Tuli Khan, son of the Chingis Khan, 1169 n, 1170 n.

Tuhânh, the Khatan of Yasea Mungâ, son of Chaghathe Khân, son of the Chingus Khân, 1884 a.

Tükahar See under Taghaghar, the Bahadur.

Tükia or Tukā, son of Bū-manjar, the first ruler of the Bū-manjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māķ, 894 m Tükli-Tigin, called also Sachulk-Tigin, con of Unit, the Kankuli, shief of Almiliah, 969 n 1, 986 n.

5

Tüklah, the Muchal Shebnah [Intendent] of Hillah, 1243 m.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Mandad, son of Zangi, son of Ak-Sankur, Ata-bak of Fare under the Saljūkis, 174 s. 175 s.7.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Salghur, mistaken for Tuklah, son of Maudud, which see.

Tuklah or Duklah, son of Sankur, mistaken for Tuklah, son of Zangi, which see

Tukiah or Dukiah son of Zangi, son of Mandud, the Ata-bak of Fars, 175 and wa 8 and 9, 176 and a 1.

Tak-li or Tank-li sheep, 968 and a 7 Tukte, the Bigi,—the Badahah of the Makrit tribes, of the Mughals, 940 s, 941 s, 945 s, 946 s, 947 s,

949 m, 950 m, 951 m, 952 m, 981 m, 983 s. 963 s.

Tuk-Tughan, the Amir or Chief of the Makrit Mughals of the Karat division of the Mughels, 268 n 4. 269 m, 273 m, 931 m, 961 m, 970 m 2, 961 m, 962 m, 963 m, 966 m, 1097 m 6 Tükülku or

Tükulkuchi, the Jushi,- one of the Nu yine of the Chingis Khan, 1137 m. 1126 m. 1129 m.

Tukus or Dukus, daughter of Aighu, son of the Awang Khan,-tim Christian Khatun of Hulaku, son of Tuli, son of the Ohingis Khan, 1193 m, 1262 m.

Tüküz-I-ghürn, the, -those of the I ghars who dwelt on the Tabes or nine rivers, 869 n. 951 s. 1007 n 6

Tilin or Tilin, the Jushi, the Talaugut Mughal, -one of the Nú-ying of the Chingin Khin. 969 n. 1004, 1028, 1054, 1055. 1061 = 7, 1080 = 5,

Táli, Tülüi or Tülüs Khán, son of the Changis Khan, zivili, 280 a 9. 585 m. 989 m. 940 m. 941 m. 944 m. 946 m, 970 m 2, 975 m 5, 976 m. 988 m, 1000 m, 1004 m 1, 1012 m 9, 1017 m, 1018 m, 1020 m, 1026, 1027 # 8, 1028 a, 1081 m, 1082 m, 1033 m, 1034 m 9, 1035 and m, 1036 # 1, 1087 #, 1088 and me 5 and 6, 1039 and a 7, 1040, 1049 a 2, 1084 m, 1086 m, 1087 m, 1090 m, 1001 m, 1092 m, 1094 m, 1095 m, 1104 m 5, 1106 m, 1106 m, 1115 m 5, 1127 m. 1187 m. 1188 m. 1148 m. 1152 m. 1164 m. 1165 m. 1176 and m 9. 1177 m, 1178 m, 1181, 1199 m, 1228 m, 1325, 1326.

Tale, a Mughal word signifying mirror, eradicated from the language of the Mughals in favor of guegā, 1176 n 9, 1177 n.

Tülüs Khin, son of the Chingis Khān. See under Túti Khān

Tumi,-one of the chiefs of the Karā Khigā-is, 911, 912 n

Tuniade, the, -or

Tumits, the,-a tribe of Mughals, 931 n. 981 n

Tumghash [also written Tughmakh] Khan,-a descendant of Bughra Khan of Turkustan, and father of Turkin Khitun, the consort of Mahk Shah, son of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-ı-Ghazı, Caljükı, 188 n 9 Tummie or Tumina 1, also written

Tampesh or

Tumnah, son of Bac Sankar or

Bio-Sunghar,—sixth of the Bisanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-mak, 896 s, 1087 s.

Timeg or

Tinak, first son of Turk, son of Tills [Japheth], 872 n, 873 n, 879 n.

Tungani, the,—name of a people, 699 n.

Tungusian race, the,—one of the four great races into which the Soythians are divided, 886 n

Tunian or Tu-luan, the,—the pressly class of the Mughal idol-worshippers, 1110 and a 7, 1111 a, 1157 and a 9, 1158, 1160, 1163 a. Also called the Tunis.

Tunis, the, ii, 1110 and #7, 1111 # See the above.

Tanish, wrong name of Tutish, son of Sultan Alb-Arealan-i-Ghasi, Saljūķi.

Tunny Blinhs, the, 530 a.

Tir b. Faridun, the sovereign of 'Ajam,--ruler of Māwarā-un-Nahr and Turkistān, 881 s, 863 s, 868 s.

Tirë-shin <u>Kh</u>ātên, of the Bēyāti tribe,—one of the wives of Mangā Ķā'ān, son of Túlī, son of the Ohingās <u>Kh</u>āu, 1323 s.

Türak b. Anghar,—ancestor of Tähir ibn ul-Husain, 9

Fürükinah Khātūn, of the Chāt or Orhār Makrīt tribe,—second of the four Khātūns of Uktās Kū'ān, son of the Chingis Khān, 953 n, 1141 n, 1143 n, 1144, 1149 n 7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1252 n, 1176 n, 1161 n 2.

ursu Dukht, daughter of Khuaran Parwis, son of Hurmus, son of Neghirwan,-Vilth of the Aktsirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.

Türin Malik b. Jamphod Malik b. Sulțău 'Alâ-ud-Din Mac'ad-i-Karim, Chaznawi, 107.

Türün Shih, son of Abü Laghbar-l-Aiyüb, son of Shidi al-Kurdi. See under Malik-ul-Mu'aşşam Shaws-ud-Danlah, Türün Shih.

Türün Shih b. Sulțău Ibrihim Chasnawi, 105.

Türânian race, the,—known to the Greeks as Soythians, and in modern times as Tatars, 886 s, 899 s.

Turble, the Mughal,—one of the leaders of the Ohing's Khan's army, 1084 a. See also under Turtie.

Turgut, the,—name of a tribe, 1091 w.

Turis, the,—a people reckoned among the Afghans, but who claim other descent, lai, 469 a

Turk, the first of the eight sons of Yafs [Japbeth], progenitor of the Turks, 870 m, 871 m, 872 m, 873 n, 875 m, 879 m, 881 m, 882 m, 887 m, 900 m, 1108 m, 1140 m, 1259 m1.

Turk Ili, Amir,—chief of the Angiris Kunghurit Mughale, 943 s

Turk Imak, the,—one of the Ohahar I-mak, 874 s

Turkin Khitun, daughter of Sultin Alb-Armin 1-Ghēzi, sou of Dā údi-Jaghar Beg, son of Mikā'il, son of Saljūk, son of Lukmān, the Turkmān, 906 n

Turkin <u>Kh</u>itun, daughter of Sultin Jahil-ud-Din <u>Kh</u>warazm <u>Sh</u>ah, green in marriage by Mangu Ehin's command to Malik 7610, son of Badr-ud-Diu Lülü of Mausil, 1262 n.

Application of the second

Turkin Khitán, daughter of Tunghish Khin, a decembant of Bughri Khin of Turkistin, one of the wives of Sultin Muhk Shih, the Saljūķi, and mother of Sultin Sanjar, 188 n and n 8, 120 n, 148 n 2.

Turkin Khitün, mother of Sultin Ragiyyah, the daughter of Sultin Shame-ud-Din I-yal-Timish of Dihli, 686.

Turkin Khitün, wife of Sultin Takinh, and mother of Sultin 'Ali-ud-Din Muhammad Khwiraum Shib, 279 x 6, 260 n 7, 929 n, 980 n, 982 n, 993 n, 1085 n, 1096 n.

Turkin Khitun, the Malikah-i-Jahin, chief consort of finitian Sanjar, son of Malik Shiah, son of Suttin Alb-Aresbin i-(Thissi, Saljūti, 184 and n.2, 185, 186 n.8, 225 n.6, 911, 936 n.

Tarkin-i-Mawijah-Tigh, 600 ° ° Bon under the Mawijah Tigh clares.

Turkin Shib b. Sultan Ibrahim Chamawi, 106.

Turkish mos, the, -one of the four great rucus into which the Soythinus are divided, 890 s.

Turkish Slave dynasty of Dikli, the, 26 n 1, 41 n 6, 156, 510 n, 511 n, 537 n, 635 n 6.

Turk-manind or Turk-min,—why the Turkmins became so named, 879 s.

Turkmins, the,—one of the Qhabir I-mak, 671 n, 874 n, 878 n,

879 n, 880 n, 906 n, 909 n, 958 n, 1015 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1022 n, 1020 n, 1080 n, 1081 n 1284 and a 4, 1281 n.

Turks, the, -descendants of Turk, the first of the eight sone of Ykfis [Japheth], zd. zili, 31 n 4. 41 n 6, 46, 58, 62, 65, 69, 70, 119 a 8, 281, 232 s, 423 s 8, 477 s 5, 462 m, 496 m 2, 497 and m 2, 509 m, 511 m, 536 m, 546 m 8, 556 and m 7. 564 m, 507 m 4, 606 and n 3, 607. 896, 869 m 2, 670 m, 871 m, 873 m. 874 m, 876 m, 879 m 880 m, 882 m, 263 m. 884 m. 385 m. 886 m. 887 m. 891 m, 892 m, 899 m, 900 and m. 901 m, 903 m, 904 m, 905 m, 907 m, 909 x, 912 x, 913 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 u. 922 n. 928 n. 925 n. 926 n. 934 n. 935, 936 and n 6, 937 n 1. 940 n. 941 n. 944 n. 946 n. 948 n. 949 n, 953 n, 965 n, 966 n, 962 n, 978 a, 980, 983 a 999 a, 1001 a, 1004, 1014 = 3, 1016 and = 3, 1021, 1022 m, 1029 m, 1030 m 1022 m, 1041 # 3, 1045 m, 1076 m, 1082 m, 1087 n. 1091 n. 1094 n. 1097 n.6. 1100 mg, 1106 m, 1117 and m7, 1129 m 1, 1131 m, 1132 m, 1139, 1187, 1188 w. 1151 m. 1167 u. 1168 m, 1216 m, 1217 m, 1288 m 8, 1235 a 1, 1277 a, 1282 and a 6,

"Turks in India," the,—by Henry George Keene, M. B. A. S., Jadge of Agra, 1001 s.

Tur Kughah or Tur-Kughah, the name given by the Furks to the Great Walt of China 956 s.

Turkutse or Turghutze Kariltuk, great-grandeen of Homanka, son of Kårde Khän, the fourth of the Bū-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-māk,— Bādahāh of the Tānjiāt Mughala, 938 m.

Türmati, the Nü-yin. See under Türtäe or Türti.

Turner, 563 *.

Tursütäe Aghül, son of Tüli Khân, son of the Chingis Khân, 1194 s. Türtäs or

Turt, the Mughal Nu-in,—one of the lenders of the <u>Ohingis Kh</u>ān's army, 293 n 5, 297, 534 n 1, 535 and n, 536 n, 539 n 2, 540 n.

Turtiah,—the lieutenant of Sulțin
'Ală-ud-Din Muḥammad-i-Takugh
Khwārazm Shāh, ın Samarkand,
981 s.

Tüsäl or Üsäl, Amīr,—governor of Irān Zamīn under the Mughals, 1121 s, 1122 s, 1141 s

Tüghi Khān, son of the Thingis Khān, 268 and w. 4, 269 and w. 272 w. 5, 280, 281, 960 w. 6, 961 w. 963 w. 8, 1004 w. 8, 1026 and w. 6 and 7, 1096, 1097, 1100, 1101, 1102 and w. 1108, 1104, 1146, 1164, 1172, 1283, 1284, 1293. See also mader Jūji which is the Irāni speiling of the name.

Fittag or

Fütak, first son of Turk, son of Yäfig [Japheth], 872 n, 878 n, 879 n.

fütär Aghal, son of Bata Khan, son of Tushi [Juji] Khan, son of the

Thingis Thân, 1339 n, 1348 n, 1386 n.

Tatagh, son of Sultin Alb-Armidai-Ghānī, son of Dā'ād-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Mīkī'īl, son of Saljūk, the Turkmān. See under Tutigh, Tāj-ud-Daulah.

Tüti,—one of the Chieftains of the Ghuzz tribe, 166.

Tutiah, Tāj-ud-Daulah Abū Sa'id, son of Sulțān Alb-Arenlān-i-<u>Gh</u>āsi, son of Dâ'üd-i-Jaghar Beg, son of Mīkā'il, son of Saljūk, the Turk-mān, 189 n, 144 n 8, 204 n.

Tutmes, asguification of the word, 141 a 7

Tutmār-i-Ohūbīn, the Bahādur,--one of the leaders of the OhingisKhān's army, 981 s.

Tawaw-chiu Khātun, of the Bāyāut tribe,—one of the wives of Mangu Kā'ān, son of Tulī Khān, son of the Chingis Khān, 1223 n. Tusak-i-Jahānguri, the,—app xxi. Tās, signification of the word, 68 n 9. Tūzi, name of an expensive and fine fabric, 63 and n 9.

Tusük-i-Bâbari, the,—name of a historical work, xvi.

Tüsün b. Abu'l Wafa, the Amir-ul-Umara, chief of the Turks of Baghdad, in the Khilafat of al-Mattaki B'illäh, 55 a 1, 58

Tymunee, wrong spelling of the Tamani Imek, which son.

U

Ubaid aliah b Tahir — deputy of 'Umro, son of Law, Suffari, at Baghdad, 23 = 9

'Ubaidi Isme'ili dyrasty in Egypt, the termination of, 217 n, 616 n 2,

- Udisah er Udi Sah, raier of Jilor. 807 a.
- Udāpāta, the,—one of the sept of the Makrit tribe of Mughale, 947 n, 966 n.
- Uds-āķī, the,—the three youngest some of Aghin Khān, the third severeign of the Mughal I-māķ, 879 a, 880 a, 896 a, 1096 a.
- Oghāl-Kāimiah or Ughāl Kīmiah, the chief Khātān of Kyūk Khūn, son of Uktās Khūn, son of the Ohingis Khūn, 1177 w 1, 1180 s, 1184 s, 1228 s.
- Ughül Malik, or Aghül Şöhib, sou of Sultin "Ais-ud-Din Muhammadi-Takish Khwirasın Shâh, 254 n 3, 286 n 6.
- Oghüs Khān b. Kark Khān, son of Mughal Khān, third sovereign of the Mughal I māk, 876 n, 880 n, 883 n, 891 n, 894 n. See also under Āghüs Khān.
- Egrian race, the,—one of the four great races into which the Soythians are divided, 886 a
- That Makrita, the, 1141 n See under the Crhar Makrit.
- Thud Crmingku, the,—a Mughal tribe of the race of Kasan, 1089 a
- Cimak or Ci-magh tribe, the, descendants of Alinjah Khan, son of Kiwak, 873 a
- Vin Kun, the name given by the Maghale to the Great Wall of China, 956 n
- Cin-kut tribe, the, 956 a See under the Ung kuts.
- Cir-at or lur-at tribe of Mughale, the,—one of the Hazāraha con stituting the Burānghar or Eight

- Wing of the Mughal army, 940 s, 944 s, 960 ≈; 1093 s, 1164 s 9.
- Ujar, son of the Chingis Khan, 1092 a.
- Ujšeh or Akhis Malik b. Khin Malik—maternal uncle of Sultin Jalki-ud-Din Khwarasm Shih, 201 n.
- Új-ūķī, the, 879 n, same as the Uds-ūķī, which see.
- Than-Kari or Irkab Kari, ... brother of the Awang Khan, the sovereign of the Kariyat Mughala, 940 s.
- Uktās Ķā'ān, 1105 s. See under Uktās Ķā'ān, son of the <u>Oh</u>ingis <u>Kh</u>ān.
- Chin or Ukain-Barkik, also written Ukin-Barki, son of Kabal Khan, the Viith of the Bü-zanjar dynasty of the Mughal I-mak, 897 s, 955 s, 1217 s.
- Uttan or Ughtan, the Jushi, son of the Nû-yan Manglik,—of the Ultunut Kungtur-at Mughala, 1006, 1007, 1056, 1080 and n 5.
- Uktāe or Cktāe Kā'an, son of the Changis Khan, zlviti, 162 a. 180 a S. 260 n 9, 284 n, 689 n 913 n, 917 n, 938 m, 934 m, 953 m, 956 m, 970 # 2, 974 m, 975 m 5, 996 m, 1001 m, 1026, 1042, 1047 and n 4, 1048 and # 8, 1051 m, 1067 and # 5, 1073 and a 4, 1075 a, 1076 a. 1077 m 6, 1062 m, 1063 m, 1064 m, 1086 m, 1087 m, 1088 m, 1091 m, 1092 m, 1093 m, 1094 m, 1096, 1097 m 7, 1099 m, 1101 m, 1102 m, 1103 n, 1104 and an 4 and 5, 1105 m, 1106 and m, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1110 and a 6, 1111, 1112, 1113, 1114 and a 3, 1115 and a 5.

Ohül-Küimish, the chief Khatun of Kyük Khan, son of Uktae Ka'an, son of the Ohingis Khan, 1177 n 1 See under Cghul Kuimish Khatun.

Clighchi, son of Bétü Khên, son of Tüghi [Jüji], son of the Chingis Khân, 1290 n 1, 1292 n.

Uhji Bigi, daughter of Uktée Kà'ān, son of the Chingis Khān, 968 n.

Uiák Khān,—one of the Sardārs of Bultān 'Alā-ud-Dîn Muhammad-ı-Taki<u>sh Kh</u>wārazm Shāh, 978 s, 979 s

Cli-Küsh Tigin or Alähügh Tigin Kürin,—Hādnhāh of the Unghāt tribe-of Turka, 944 n, 945 n, 956 n, 957 n.

Ciā-tīmūr, [Vladīmīr. son of the Grand Duke George of Russia], ruler [Hākun] of Makār, 1170 s

Uldas, son of Barta, of the Angiras tribe,---a son-in law of the Chingiz Khān, 1323 n.

Cipso Khātau,—one of the Khātāna of liuluku Khan, non of Tult, non of the Changu Khan, 1254 s, 1261 w 7

Clyanu Sultan .- possessed by Ra-

ghid-ud-Din, the Mughal historian, 1396 s.

Uljī, the Nū-yin,—one of the Nūyins of the Chingis Khān, 1180 s. Ulḥūnūt, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughal I-māḥ, 898 s., 1094 s. Ulḥūnūt Kunghur-āt or Kunghūrāt Mughals, the, 945 s., 1080 s.5.

Uingh or Great Nā-yīn, the,—title of Tüli Khān, son of the Chingis Khān, 1177 n.

Ulugh Bär-Bak [Chief Master of the Ceremonies], one of the high officers of a court, 894 and # 8.

Ulugh Khān-i-Abi Muhammad, Khān of Guzarwān, and Atē-bak of Sulţiu Rekn-nd-Diu Chāri Shānasti, son of Sulţiu 'Alā-nd-Diu Mohammad-1-Tukieh Khwārasm Shāb, 235, 266, 281, 396, 414, 1003.

Ulugh Khan-ı-Balban, of the liberi tribe, who afterwards became sovereign of Dahli under the title of Bultan Chiyas-ud-Din Balban, xili, zgrti, zzvili, zgig, EXX. EXXIV. 4, Iv. 538 n. 580 n. 634 × 2, 662 × 8, 661 n, 668 x 9, 664 and m 2 and 3, 667 and an 1 and 3, 668 n, 673 w 8, 674 u 4, 675 n5, 677 n6, 678 aud un7, 8 and 1, 680 and " and " 9, 081 and no 10, 1 and 4, 482 m 5, 688 and m, 654 mm 8 and 9, 686 and un 2, 3 and 4, 686 and ws 5, 6 and 7, 687 and a 8, 685 mm 2, 3 and 4, 689 m 5. 691 and n. 692 n 3, 693 and nn 8, 9 and 2, 694 and at 3, 4, 5 and 6, 695 an 5 and 2, 696 a and a 4, 698 and a 7, 690 and su 1, 2 and 5, 700 ma 6 and 7, 708 and a 3, 718 and #7 704 and a 2, 705 and 5, 7 and 8,

706 and sal. 2 and 4, 707 and mm 5, 7 and 8, 708 and a 1, 709 a and me 5 and 6, 710 and m9, 711 84. 712 au 6 and 9. 713 nm 2 and 5, 714 ms 7 and 9, 715 and n 4, 716 m 5, 717 m, 720 and m 1, 721 #8, 726 m3, 733 mm 5, 6 and 7, 735 x 9, 758 nn 9 and 1, 759 and zn 4 and 6, 760 and a 9, 762 and a 5, 766 # 9, 767 and mi 8 and 1, 768 mm 8 and 4, 775 m, 777 m, 778 m, 781 and + 9, 782 + 3, 783 * and + 1, 784 nanda 8, 785 anda 8, 786 a 6, 787 m, 788 and m 9, 791 and mm 4, 5 and 6, 792 and #1, 794 # 9, 795 mand = 2, 796 and =, 797 and = 5, 798, 799 and u 4, 800 and uu 5, 6 and 5, 801 and n, 803 and n], 805, 806, 807 and n 2, 808 and n 4, 809 and = 6, 810 and = 4, 811, 813 and = 5, 814 and = 9, 815 and = 8, 816, 817, 816 and wa 8 and 6, 819 and a 8, 820 and as 1 and 2, 821, 822, 823, 824 aud = 6, 825, 826, 827, 828 and ws 8, 5 and 6, 829, 830 and n 4 831 and n 1, 832, 833, 884, 836 and n 2, 836, 837, 838 and a 7, 839, 840, 841 and a 6, 842, 843 and = 5, 845 and = 5, 846 and n 3, 846 and an 5 and 6, 847 and m 4, 846 849 and n 9, 850, 851 and n 9, 852, 853, 854 and n 8, 866, 867 and a 3, 858, 859 and a and a 7, 860 and a, 861, 862 and a 8, 863 and sa 2 and 3, 364, 864 m, 961 m, 1071 m 1, 1097 m 6, 1131 m, 1156, 1169 a 1, 1181 a 2, 1184 a, 1202 a, 1217 m, 1234 m, 1225 and m, 1228 * 6, 1294, 1295, -app xi.

Uingh Khan, son of Saltan Chiyand-Din Tughlah,—of the Tughlah dynasty of Dibli, \$80 n Ulugh-i-Khis Hājib [the Chief Royal Chamberlain], one of the high officers of a court, 888 and a 2.

Ulugh Kutlugh, signification of the title, 720, 965 π,

Olân Ānkah or Āngah, of the Cikûnût tribe of Mughala,— Khātūn of Yassükā, the Bahādur and mother of the Ohingis Khān, 896 a, 1024 s

Ulün Küjin, of the Ülkünüt teibe of Mughals,—<u>Kh</u>ätün of Yassukl, the Bahádur, and mother of the <u>Chingiz Kh</u>än, 898 n Also called Clüu Ankah

Umaiyah [Umayyah], the <u>Khali-</u> fahs of the House of, 1, 311

'Umar, this name is written 'Umr by Esverty

'Umaro b Tubba', XIVth of the Tabibi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7

'U'mdat-ul-Mulk of Tirmiz,—administrator of the affairs of Ghasnin, 1015 n.

'Umdat-un-Niswän,—title of Sultin Başıyyah, daughter of Sultin Shame ad-Dia 1-yal-Timeh of Dibli, 637 4 8.

Um Khan, the name given by Marco Polo, to the Awang Khan, 1089 n.

'Umr, the Bäwardi, Amir,—one of the Malika of Sultin 'Alā-ud-Din Muhammad-s-Takish Khwirazm Shāh, 1002.

'Umr, son of sl-Khattab,—second of the four early Khalifahs 238 a 4, 721

'Umr, the Maragham 'Isz-ed-Din, —governor of Hirst on the par of the brother Sultans of Ghur, 193, 267 a 2, 1199 s. "Umr-i-Rēji, the Kāfi, the Majd or Majīr-nl-Mulk,—one of the Waaira of Sultān 'Alā-nd-Dīn Muhammmad-i-Takiah Khwārasm Shāh, 990 s., 1027 s 8.

'Umr-i-Sarij, a poet of Tülak, 363.
'Umr-i-Shalmati, Amīr, the Sipah-Salār of the forces of Ghūr, 395, 308, 410, 415.

'Umro, son of Khalaf, son of Ahmad, the ruler of Sijistan, 186 a.

'U mro, som of Laig, as-Suffar, or the Brasser, --second of the Suffarius dynasty of Khurisan, 19, 20 x 3, 22, 23 and x 9, 24 and x 3, 25 and maland 2, 31 and x 5, 34 and x 6, 183 x 2, 184 x, 185 x, 186 x.

'Umro, son of Muhammed, son of Ya'kūb, son of Lau, ae-Suffér, or the Bráxier, governor of Sijustān, 35 and n 9.

'Umro, son of Ye'kūb, son of Last, sa-Suffár, governor of Sijistán, 84, 35, 185 n

'Umro, son of Ya'hûb, son of Muhammad, son of 'Umro, son of Lan, as-\$uffir,—governor of Sijutan, 185 m.

Unchi-Tigin or Unji-Tigin, son of Yassükö, the Bahádur,—brother of the Ohingiz Khán, 899 n, 1105 n : See also under U-Iigin or Aw-Tigin

Cng Khān sovereign of the Karāyat Mughala, 470 s. See under the Awang Khān.

Ungkut or Cin-kut, the,—a Turkish tribe who had charge of the Great Wall of China,—one of the Hazaraha constituting the Buran gharor Right Wing of the Mughal army, 944, 945 a, 946 a, 956 a, 959 a, 1098 a.

Ongu, the name given by the Khiti-is to the great Wall of Chus, 956 s.

On I ghürs, the,—those of the I-ghürs who dwelt on the Owor ten rivers, 889 n, 951 n, 1097 n 6. "Universal History," the, 292 n.

University of Calcutta, 445 a, 527 a, 560 a 6, 553 a 5, 556 a 7.

Unji-Tigin or Unahi-Tigin, son of Yassükä the Bahâdur,—brother of the Chingis Khin, 899 m, 1105 m. See also under U-Tigin or Aw-Tigin [Ung-hüts.

Unkut Turks, the See under the Unmuch, son of Ship, son of Adam, [Anos of Scripture], 1.

*Unpari, the poet,—one of the court poets of Sultan Mahmud of @hasnin, 82.

Urad Kalangtüts, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 1094 m.

Crikicor Urkic Ohun, the Bahader, —omissary of Tamurchi, the Chingin Khin to the Awang Khin, 943 a.

Urimān, the,—a tribe of Turks, 275 n 2, 929 n

Criaution Crus Inial, Bidahih of the Kirghis tribe, 961 s, 970 s.

Crdah, son of Tügh: [Jüji] <u>Kh</u>än, son of the <u>Chingus Khan</u>, 1102 n, 1152 n, 1164 n 9, 1170 n, 1178 n, 1179 n.

Urdah or Ardah or

Urds or Ards son of Atsis, son of fley,—sixth of the sovereigns of the Tatter I-mak, 675 m.

Urghanah Khatun,—one of the Khatuna of Chughates Khan,

son of the Ching's Khan, 1140 a 7, 1194 a.

Urhār Makrit, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughals, 917 s, 947 š, 1991 s, 1141 s. Also called the Ühât Makrit.

Uri-angkut Küngkur-āts, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughala, 961 s, 1094 s.

Urkneor Trible Chin, the Bahidur, —emissary of Temar-chi, the Chingis Khin to the Awang Khin, 948 n.

Ursi Rie,—a leader of the troops of the Rijah of Gujarit, 531 s Urus, the,—descendants of Ris, son of Yifla, [Japhoth] See under the Ris and the Russians.

Urûs I-nîsî or Urisut, Bidahih of the Kirghis tribe, 951 n, 970 s

Urût tribe of Mughals, the,—one of the Hazirahs constituting the Juwinghir, or Left Wing, of the Mughal army, 1093 s.

Ursulā Shāh, son of Ulngh Khān 1-Abī Muhammad, Khān of Guzarwān, 235 n 3 Also called Tājud-Dīn, Azabar Shāh

Cail or Tuail, Amir,—governor of Iran Zamin under the Mughala, 1121 v. 1122 v. 1141 v.

Ushin or Hoshin tribe, the,—one of the four Haskraha of Jüji Khān, son of the Ohingiz Khān's contingent, 1093 n.

Ushun tribe, the,—one of the four tribes styled the ulus: Ohir-ginah, 1164 n 9

'Ugman, son of 'Affan, third of the four early <u>Kh</u>alifahs, 70 and #8, 223 and #3

'Usman, son of Jalal-ud-Din 'Ali,

son of Hasan Tigin of the Bugira Thin's family,—XXIIIrd of the Afraniyābi Khans of Turkistān, Sultān of Samarkand, 260 × 7, 261 n, 262 n 1, 268 n, 401 n, 478 n 2, 475 n, 478 n 6, 479 and n, 480 n, 481 n, 485 n 3, 504 n 1, 909 n, 910 n, 911 n, 923 n, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 933 n, 979 n.

'Usmin-i-Kharfaih,--one of the Amirs of Ghür, 410 and a 3.

'Ugmān-i-Maraghanī, the Sar-i-Jāndār to Sultāu @hiyāş-ud-Din Mahmūd, son of Sultāu @hiyāşud-Din Muhammad-i-Sām, of @hūr, 410. See also under Tājud-Din 'Uşmān, the Maraghanī.

'Ugmān, Shāh, —of Sistān, grandson of Nāṣir-ud-Din 'Ugmān-1-Ḥarab, ruler of Sijistān and Nimros, 201, 967 and w 8

'Usmānli Sultāns, the,—why they claim the office of <u>Kh</u>alifah, 1260 s.

"Usmānli (val Ottoman) Turks, the, —they trace their descent from Aghus <u>Kh</u>ān, son of <u>Karā Khāns</u> the third sovereign of the <u>Kughal</u> I-māķ, 874 n, 878 n, 899 n, 909 n, 955 n, 1165 n, 1171 n, 1190 n l, 1234 n 4

Ustād 1-Rāz-bān, the,—one of the officers of a court, 315 m 7.

'Utarid [Mercury], also called Muzzhi, 312 n 9

'Utha or 'Uthi, al., author of Tarikh-1-Yamini, 44 n 4, 76 n 3, 67 n, 321 nn 6 and 7, 322 n, 324 n, 341 nn 6 and 7, 536 n

'Ctla or 'Utbī, al-, Abū Ja'far, Wasir of Amir 'Abd nl-Malik, son of Nūh son of Nasr, Samānī, 40 s 2-

- "Uthā or 'Uthī, al-, Abu'l Ḥasan-i-'Abd'ullah, son of Aḥmad,---Wasīr' of Amīr Nüh, son of Manşūr, son of Nüh, Sāmānī, 44.
- Utiohkin, son of Bartan, brother's son of the Ohingis Khan, 943 n Also called U-Tigin.
- Otichkin, son of Yassükä, the Bahldur,—brother of the Chingis Khan, 899 n, 1105 n. See also under U-Tigin or Aw-Tigin
- T-Tigin or Ttiohkin, son of Bartan, —brother's son of the Ohingis Khan, 943 n.
- U-Tigin or Aw-Tigin, the Nû-yin, son of Yassükä, the Bahādur,—hrother of the Chingis Khan, 899 n, 1094 n, 1105 n, 1144 and n3, 1149 n7, 1150 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1164 n 9
- Utman Khel,—one of the independent Afghan tribes, 353 a 3
- Utsuz, the Häpb,—one of the officers of Sultän 'Alä-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Takish Khwarasm Shah, 992.
- Utaus, the Nü-in,—one of the Nüyins of the Ohingiz Khan, 1063 and a l.
- Utsus, son of 'Alā-ud-Din al-Ḥusain Jahān Soz, Sultān of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 238, 395 and s 4 See also under 'Alā-ud Din Utsus, son of 'Alāud-Din al-Ḥusain.
- Utens or Itans, Jalal-ud-Din Khwaraxm Shah, son of Kuth ud-Din Muhammad, son of Nuch Tigia-1 Gharjah, 254 x 2, 169 and x 6, 234

- nn 8 and 9, 126 n 1, 256 and nm 4, 5 and 6, 227 n and nn 7 and 9, 238 n and n 6, 239 n 2, 368 n 8, 908 n, 927 n.
- Unir, the Kankuli or Kanghuli, takes possession of Almäligh, 264 n, 965 n, 966 n.
- Us-bak, another spelling of the name Yus-bak.
- Usbaks, the,—name of a people, 870 m, 874 m, 879 m, 890 m, 899 m, 9±1 m, 933 m, 947 m, 963 m, 1091 m,
- 'Urd-ud-Daulah Abū Shujā' Fanā <u>Kh</u>usrau, son of Buku-ud-Daulah, Aba 'Ali-i-Ussen, son of Buwiah, Dilami, 45 and n 5, 57, 59 n 6, 60 n 8, 51 and n 6, 63 n 8, 64 and n 1.
- 'Uşd-ud-Daulah wa'd Din, Amir,--governor of Hindustan on the
 part of Sulțin 'Ală-ud-Din
 Mas'ād-i-Karim Channawi, 106
 and * 5.
- 'Usdi Hospital, the,—at Baghdid,—founded by 'Usd-ud-Daulah Abi Shuji' Fani Khusran, son of Rukn-ud-Daulah Abi 'Ali-i-Hasan, son of Buwiah, Dilami, 1263 s.
- Uzják,—one of the leaders of Rifchák, 1170 a.
- Cr Khān,—one of the officers of Sultan Jalal-ud-Din Khwarnam Shih, 290 n 4, 291 n, 294 n, 297 n 9, 298 n 1.
- Czlák Shāh, son of Sultān 'Alf-nd-Din Muhammad-i-Takish <u>Ch</u>wirusm Shāh, 255 x 2, 279 a 5. See also under Arzalák Shāh.

V.

Vähyn-cherya, the, 691 s.
Vairigi äeram, 691 s.
Vajra Achiryaz, the, 691 s.
Vakil [Wakil], signification of the term, 694 s 4.

Valani, the,—the name given by the Germans to the Koman Kapohak, the people dwelling in the country between the Danube and the Ātil, 1168 v.

Vambiry, Professor, 782 n 2, 865 n, 866 n, 977 n.

Vambéry's Bokharah, 977 w.

Vessel [Wapif],—name of an author, 505 n

Vazir [Wazir], signification of the term, 694 = 4.

Vertot, 217 m.

Vicer of Bray, 578 a 2.

Virate, the,-vul. for the Ul-rate, which see, 1098 s.

Visdalu, 919 n, 928 n, 988 n, 986 n.

Viadimir, son of the Grand Duke George of Enesis, the ruler of Makar, 1170 s.

Von Dorn, 72 a 6.

Von Hammer, 1187 n, 1188 n 8, 1190 n 1, 1198 n, 1209 n, 1210 n 4 and n, 1226 n, 1246 n 6, 1284 n.

Voyaga, Terry's, 588 n.

Voyages and Transactions of the Fortuguese, the, 582 n 6. Vällers, 694 n 4.

W.

Wabil, signification of the word, 1194 m.

Wahid-nd-Din, the Büghanji, Kaşi and Imam of Khuriaan, 1089

Wahid-ud-Din Marwasi, Kāri,—one of the Court Kāris of Sultan Chiyas-ud-Din Muhammad-1-Sam of Ghur. 384 385.

Wassin, Wasn or Wasan, son of Hain, son of Behrim,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 313.

Wakil, agnification of the term, 694 a 4.

Wakil-i-Dar, the,—one of the high officers of a court, 694 a 4, 698 a 7.

Walaka, the,—name of a people, 959 a.

Wilan,-a leader of the troops of the Rijah of Gujarat, 522 n Walker, Colonel, 919 m, 220 m, 921 m, 929 m, 932 m, 950 m, 970 m 2, 987 m, 1008 m 5, 1009 m 7, 1018 m, 1098 m, 1207 m

Walta'ab, son of Margad,—of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7. Wamkah Porko,—Amir of the

Wamkah Porko,—Amir of the country of Karîkië, 1170 n.

Wang Khin, the Chinese name of the Awang Khin, 959 a.

Wang te-ching, son of Wang-shihyen,—general of Mangu Kā'ān, son of Tuli Khān, son of the Chingiz Khān, 1216 w

Warat, Darrat or Dirat, son of Ham. son of Bahram,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 312.

War-heahāu, son of Parwez, son of Parwez, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 312. Warmagan or Dar-manahan, son of Parwes, son of Parwes, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 312.

Warmesh-1-Bat,—chief of the district of Jarus or Kharus, 328 a, 828 a.

Warmeah, son of Shis, of the family of the Shisanis, the Sipah-Shiar and champion of Ghür, 366 and * 4, 367, 413 * 1.

Warmeeb or Wir-meeb, son of Warmeeban, son of Parwes, son of Shansab,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 312 and a 6.

Warmeshan, Warmssan or Warmsshan, son of Parwes, son of Parwes, son of Parwes,—ancestor of Amir Banji, 812.

Warrak, aignification of the word,

Wigik B'illah, al-, the 'Abbasi Khalifah, 14, 15, 22.

Waahm-gir, son of Ayas,—ruler of Rai, 56.

Wassif,--name of an author, 866 m.

Wasir, signification of the term, 694 n, 698 n 7.

Wasir of Ladan or Lawen, the

Wasn or Wasan, son of Hain, son of Bahrisn,—aucestor of Amir Banji, 312,

Westmacott, 590 s, 591 s.

White Tatars, the,—a division of the Tattar, 884 a.

Wilford, 559 w.

Wolff, 939 m, 963 m, 996 m, 996 m, 1000 n, 1021 m 8, 1075 m, 1167 m.

Wood, Captain, 292 s, 1009 s, 1010 s, 1115 s 5

X.

Xavier Hommaire de Hell,—author of "Travels 14 the Steppes of

the Caspian Sea, the Crimes, the Caucasus," etc., 884 m.

Y

Yadah-tëgh,—the ram-producing stone, as called by the Turks, 870 n, 1138 n. [i-Yafa'i

Yafa 7, 207 n 8. See also the Tarikh-Yafa, son Nüh, [Japheth of Serrp ture], 969 n 2, 870 n, 571 m, 872 m, 873 n, 874 n, 881 n, 886 n, 899 m, 955 n,

Yafa Ughlan, or the Younger Yafa [Japheth],—the title given to

Turk, the eldest son of Yafs when chosen head of his people, 872 s. Yaghan Shih b Sultin Ibrihim, son of Sultin Man'ud, Ohannawi, 106 and s. 1

Yagh mu, Yaghmur or Yagh-mur, the Turkmin,—one of the Mihtars or chiefs of the Saljuka, 116 w 3, 119 w 6, 130 and w 9, 121 and w 6, 123, 123 u

- Yagh-rash or Bagh-rash, Amir, whose son was the Shahush [Intendant] of Hirst, under the Mughals, 862 and s.9.
- Yaghug, the,—the Arabic name of the Khing But or Grey Idol of Bamian, 1058 n 6.
- Yagaüm, son of Abrahat-ul-Aghram, of the Tabābi'ah dynasty of Yaman, S.
- Yabya, St. John the Baptist 1258, w.4.
- Yahyā, the, Nakhjūānī, Amīr, governor of Hillah, on the part of Hulākū Khān, son of Tūlī, son of the Chingiz Khān, 1243 n
- Yahya, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Saman-i-Khaddat, 29, 54.
- Yahyā, son of Ahmad, son of Ismā'īl, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-1-<u>Kh</u>addāt, styled Abù Zakariyā, 38, 87 ×
- Yahyā, son of A'kab,—disciple of the Khalifah 'Ali and tutor of Husan and Husain, his sons, 1281.
- Yabyā, son of Asad, son of Sāmāni-<u>Kh</u>addāt,—governor of <u>Shāsh</u> and Isfanjāb, 27 and a 6, 28.
- Yahyā- i-Bermak,—the paragon of Orienfal liberality and generosity, 1295 and n l.
- Yahya, son of Isma'il, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Saman-1-Khaddat. 83,54
- Yahyā, son of Naşr, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-Khaddāt, 54.
- Yabyā <u>Kh</u>ān,—author of the Tapkirat-ul-Mulūk, xvi, 84 n 9, 440 n 8.
- Yā-jūj and Mā-ju) [Gog and Ma

- gog], descendants of Gumäri [Gomer], son of Yifig, and the probable ancestors of the Samoydes, 872 a.
- Tak, [Bos Grunnians], the Khitz-i bull, 60 m.
- Yakah ar the Unique Nū-yin, the, —title of Tüli <u>Khān</u>, son of the <u>Ohingis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1177 n.</u>
- Ya'küb, son of Abū Naşr-i-Ahmad, son of Isma'il, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Sāmān-i-Khaddāt. 54-
- Ya'küb, son of Ahmad, son of Asad, son of Simin-i-<u>Kh</u>addint, 29, 56
- Ya'pūb, son of Lais, the Suffir, or the Brazier,—founder of the Suffirium dynasty, 10 n 5, 16, 17, 19 and n 1, 20 and n 3, 21, 23 and nn 7 and 8, 23 and n 1, 34, 185 n, 186 n, 317 and n 5.
- Ya'hüb, son of Muhammad, son of 'Umro, son of Lass, the Suffer, of the family of the Sufferium, 186 s.
- Ya'kûb, son of Nüh, son of Manşûr, son of Nüh, son of Man-şûr, Sêmanî, 52 n 8
- Ya'kāb, son of 'Umro, son of Laig, the Suffir, of the family of the Suffiriun, 34 a 6.
- Ya'kub, son of Yusuf, son of Nisirud-Din Sabuk-Tigin,—commander of the forces of Sultan Muhammad, son of Sultan Mahmud of Ghasnin, 89 n 6.
- Yāķūt, a slave of the 'Abbāsi dynasty,—governor of Fars for the Khulifah, 55 n 1.
- Yal-bur, another name of Ibak i-Na-Pak, the Tark: clare who

became master of Multip, 478 n.

Yel-dus, another way of writing the name, Iyal-dus.

Yal-düs or Yüldüs, son of Ac Khin, —Vith sovereign of the Mughal-I-müt 881 »

Yal-düs, Yüldus or Yüldüs, third son of Äghüs Khān, son of Karš Khān, the Hird sovereign of the Mughal I-māk, 890 s.

Yal-düs, Yüldüs, Yüldüs or I-yaldüs Khün, son of Mangali Khün, son of Timür-Tigh,—chief of the Mughais on their issuing from Irganah-Kün, 888 s, 891 s, 892 s.

Yalwij, the Şihib See under Mahmud Yalwij

Yamah Núyān, 276 m, 277, 278 m, another name of Jabah, the Nüyîn, which see

Yamak or Yamāk, the,—name of a part of the Ilbari tribe of Turks, 961 m, 1097 m 6, 1169 and m 1, 1295.

Yaman, the Maliks of,—the dynasty of the Tähiris, 26 and # 2.

Yam-ghür or Yıghür,—one of the leaders of the Changis Khän's army, 288 * 8

Vamīn-ud-Daulah Nişām-ud-Din, Abu'l Kāsim Mahmūd, Sultān of <u>Chasnin</u>, 67 aud s 1, 75, 80 s 5 See also under Sultān Mahmūd of <u>Chasnin</u>.

Yamin-ud-Daulah or

Yamin-ud-Din Bahram Shah, son of Malik Naşır-ud-Din 'Ueman, son of Taj-ud-Din-ı-Harab, Malik of Sijistan and Nimroz, 20, 193 and n5, 194 and n7, 195, 196, 197, 198, 403 n. Yamini, the See the Kith-j-Yamini of al-'Uthi.

Yaminiah dynasty, the,—founded by Sultan Yamin-ud-Daulah Mahmud of <u>Ghamin</u>, also called the Mahmudiah dynasty, which see, and the <u>Ghamawi dynasty</u>, which see, 67.

Yamkālin, or Bamķālin, the,—a branch of the Sütdüs tribe, and one of the four Hazīrahs of Chtēe, Kā ān sou of the <u>Thingis</u> <u>Khān</u>, 1094 s.

Yanghī, probably the Chinese name of Mahmūd Yalwēj, the Wasir of the Chingisiah dynasty, 1217 s.

Ya'rib, son of Kahtin, son of 'Abir [Heber of Scripture],—first King of Yaman, 6.

Yarkand Musion [to the ruler of the State of Kächghar], the, 890 n, 942 n.

Farlick, 1263 a.

Yiei or Yiesh, the usmt applied to the Code of the Ohingis Khin, 1106 and a 9.

Yasah, signification of the word, 1108.

Yassi-Mangi or--- Mangi or

Yassu-Mungh or Mangah, son of Qhoghatin, son of the Qhingis Khin, 1148 = 4, 1179 =, 1180 a, 1185 a.

Yaseikā or Yaseikās, the Bahāder, son of Bartāu,—father of the Chragis Khāu, and Xth of the Büzanjar dynasty of the Maghal Imāḥ, 808 n, 800 n, 926 n, 938 n, 940 n.

Yassükäs Munguh, som of Chaghatäs, son of the Chingle Khān, 1148 n 4. See under Yassü Mungi.

- Yesuthin or Teasikin, a Tittir hdy,-third of the Khitins of the Chingis Khin, 1092 s.
- Yasaüki, the Buhldur, 808 s. See under Yasaiki, the Bahldur, son of Bartin.
- Yassālāu, sister of Yassākāu, fifth of the <u>Kh</u>ātāus of the <u>Thiu-</u> gis <u>Kh</u>ān, 1092 a.
- Yasû Bûşî, the Tî-înhî, of the Uhûd Urmangşût tribe of Mughals, the Kornhi or geardian of the sepulchre of the Ohingis Khan, 1099 a.
- Yasi Mungi, son of Jüji Kasir, son of Yassükü, the Sahidur,—nephow of the Chingis Khan, 1180 s.
- Ye'fik, the,—the Arabic name of the Suring But or Red Idol of Bamian, 1058 = 6.
- Yandajird-ul-Asim [Evil-door], also styled Elwkhash [morose], X11th of the Sésanian dynasty of 'Ajem, 5.
- Yasdajird b. Bahram Gor, son of Yasdajird-ul-Asim, XIVth of the Stainian dynasty of 'Ajam, 5.
- Yandajird-i-Shahryir, son of Khuarun Parwis,—last of the Akisirah dynasty of 'Ajam, 6, 70 and so 8 and 1.
- Yeilu Taishi, the,—the name of the Gür Khën of the Kara Khita-is, according to D'Ohsson, 913 a
- Yiddi-Küt,...title given to the rulera of the Lahur tribes, 952 n.
- Yiddi-jub, the,—ruler of the I-ghir tribes, whose capital was Bigh-Bäligh, 983 n, 961 n, 962 n, 968 n, 985 n, 961 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 965 n, 1082 n, 1097 n 6, 1101

- n1, 1116 n, 1141 n, 1157 n1, 1168 n,
- Yighur or Yam-ghar,—one of the leaders of the Ohingu Khan's army, 268 a 3.
- Youg-ku, the Chinese name of a tribe of West Tartary, 965 n.
- Yorkin, Portakin or Bortakin, chief of the Yorkin tribe of Mughals, 940 s.
- Yorkin, the,—one of the tribes of the Mughale, 940 n.
- Yaghān-Tat,—title given to Saifud-Dīn I-bak, of the Shamsīah Maiiks of Hind, 618 n. 866 n. See under Saif-ud-Dīn I-bak-i-Yaghān-Tat.
- Yughān Tigin,—title given to Kadr Khān b Yūsuf b Bughrā Khān-i-Hārūn, the VIIIth of the Afrāsiyābi Khāns of Turkistān, which see, 905 n He afterwards took the title of Bughrā Khān.
- Yûhûn or Bûhûn, Bêdahêh of the Charkes, 1170 m.
- Yūl-dūs, another way of writing the name Iyal-dūs.
- Yûl-dûs Kalmêks, the,—a branch of the Kālīmêks, 1091 s.
- Yule, Colonel, 912 n, 916 n, 917 n, 918 u, 1010 n,
- Yunanian [Ionians], the descended from Gumari, son of Yang, [Gomes of Scripture], 872 n.
- Yünênîs, [the Greeks], the, 2. See the above
- Yansa, son of Saljük, son of Lukmän, the Turkmin, 117 n.
- Yūnes Khin, son of Sultin 'Alānd-Dīn Takish, non of I-yal Arsalān Khwārasm Shih, 243

#6, 249 and #8, 250 and #4, 251 #9. [876 m.

Yarat, signification of the word, Yürish-i-Haft Salah, or "Jeven years' Campaign," the, 1165 s.

Yusha', son of Nun, [Joshus of Scripture], 1269 s.

Yüsh-müt, son of Hulākü <u>Kh</u>ān, son of Tüli, son of the <u>Ohingis</u> <u>Kh</u>ān, 717 n, 1264 n, 1370 n 3, 1272 n 5 and 6, 1278 n 7, 1276 n, 1279 n.

Yusuf, [the Patriarch Joseph], 596, 597 and a 3, 598, 599, 600, 910 n.

Yusuf, the <u>Kh</u>warasmi, governor of the fortress of Barsam,—the murderer of Sultan Alb-Arsalan-1-Ghāsi, son of Dā'ūd-1-Jaghar Beg, Saljūķi, 137 * 4.

Yüsuf, the Tätär, whose Turkish name was Safaktän of the tribe of Yamak, 267. See also under Şafaktän-i-Yamak

Yūsuf, son of Altūn-Tāsh, the Hājib, the ruler of Khwārasm on the part of Sultān Mahmūd of Ghasnin, 121 s. Yusuf-i-Kadr Khan, 904 n,—the Gardesi so calls Kadr Khan, son of Yusuf, son of Bughra Khan-i-Haran, which see.

Yuenf, son of Nieix-ud-Din Sabuk-Tigin, of <u>Chasum</u>, 75, 89 n 8, 324 n.→app. xvii.

Yüsuf, som of Saljük, son of Lukmán, the Turkmün, 117 s.

Yusuf b. Sulțăn Ibrăhim, <u>Ch</u>asnawi, 106.

Yusuf Shib, Sultin,—ruler of Gaur or Lakhapawati, \$82 a G.

Tusufais, the,—of the Khak'hi division of the Afghan nation, 78 n, 352 n 3, 1044 n.

Yūx-bak b. Maḥammad Jahān Pahlawān b. Hadd-giz us-Sanjarī, the Atā-bak, ruler of Ārarbāijān, 172 and n 3, 173, 176, 265, 266 a, 296 n, 996 n, 997 s.

Yüz-bak-ı-Tughril <u>Kh</u>ün, Malik of Lakhanawati, 561 n 9. See under his title of lkhtiyär-ud-Din.

Yûz-Tâ<u>sh,</u> the Hājib of Amīr Abā Ibrāhīm al-Muntaşir, the last of the Sāmānīs, 81 n.

Z.

Zafar Namah, the,—name of a historical work, xv1, 278 s, 288 s 3, 289 s, 1131 s

Zaffir Khan, 591 n.

Zaffir, the Sālār,—one of the Khalj Amīrs of Sultān Tāj ud-Din Iyaidūz, 577 and n S, 578 and n 9

Zafir, ay-, See under Malik-ng-Zafir, son of Salah ud-Din Yusuf ul-Anyubi. Zagatay, mispronunciation of the name of <u>Chaghathe</u>, son of the <u>Chingis Kh</u>En, 1145 a

Zāhid-i Āhū Pogh, the,—a holy man in the time of Sultān Sanjar, son of Sultān Malik Shāh, the Saljuķī, 237 n

Zāhid, aṣ-, See under Malik-nş-Zāhid, aon of Şalāb-ud-Dīn Yusuf al-Aiyūbī.

- Zhir, ar. See under Malik-ur-Zhir, son of Salah-ud-Din Yüsuf, al-Aiyübi.
- Schir-ud-Dia, the Bitik-ohi,—one of the emissaries of Huikka Khin, som of Tali, som of the Ching's Khin, to Rukn-ud-Din Khür Shih, the Bidghih of the Mulihidah of Alamit, 1206 n \$, 1207 n.
- Sahir-ud-Din Färyäbi, Maniänä, the Khwäjah,—the Malik-unh-Shu-'arë [Primes of Posts], 343 and 98.
- Sahir-ud-Din Fath-i-Karmälli, one of the Malika of Sultin Mu-'ins-ud-Din Muhammad-i-Sám, of Ghūr, 490.
- Zahir-ud-Din Muhammad i-Karmikh,—one of the Maliks of Salțin Mu'izs-ud-Din Muhammad-s-Săm, of <u>Gh</u>ür, 490
- Zählr-nd-Din Sanf-nl-Isläm, son of Alyüb, son of Shadi al-Kurdi, See under Malik-ul-'Asiz Zähirud-Din.
- Zähir-ul-Mulk 'Abd'ullah Sanjarî, —one of the Malike of Sultän Chiyaş ud Din Muhammed-i-Sam, of Ghúr, 390
- Zaid, son of Mahammad-i-Zaid-ul-'Alawi, the ruler of Taberistän, —taken prisoner by Amir Ismā'il, son of Ahmad, son of Amd, Sāmāni, 32.
- Zaidi, deputy of Humin-i-Eharmil, See under Zaydi.
- Zain-ud-Din 'Ali, the Sayyid and Imam, 785 a 9.
- Zain-ud-Din Häftsi, Wasir of the Malik Un-Näsir, the Sultiu of Halab, 1263 n. 1266 s.
- Zain-ul-Abbber, the,-name of a

- historical work by Abû Sa'id, son of Huiyab, the Gardaini, zvi, 586 a
- Zain-ul-Ma'agir, the,—name of a historical work, 468 n.
- Zainab, daughter of Sulfan Mahmud of Chasnin,—given in marriage to the Bughra Khau, son of Kadr Khan, the Xth of the Afrisiyabi Khans of Turkistan, 905 a.
- Zil b. Sam, son of Nariman, father of Rustam-i-Dastin, 309 s, \$18.422 s.7.
- Zember, signification of the word, 127! a
- Zanbür, the agent despatched by Täli Khān, som of the Chingis Khān, to the inhabitants of Hiršt, 1036 s. i
- Zambar, signification of the word, 1271 m.
- Zanbārak, signification of the word, 1271 m.
- Zangi-i-Abi Ḥinfs, Amir,—chief of the troops of Sistan and governor of the fortress of Tirmid, 1902.
- Zangi, son of Ak-Sankur, the Malik of Maustl. See under his title of 'Imad-nd-Din.
- Zangi, Ba'lami, Mahk,—ancle's son of Mahk Kuth-ud-Din Husain Ghūri, See under his title of 'Imād-ud-Din.
- Zangi, son of Fakhr-ud-Din Mas'ud, Malık of Bümian, See under his title of Tāj ud-Din
- Zangi, son of <u>Khalifah Shalbini</u>. Amir,—the Will of Tukhiristan, 375 n.
- Zangi-1-Khar-Jam [or Khar-Qham], --one of the Churi Maliks of Sultân 'Alâ-ud-Din Muhammad-1-

- Takish Khwirasm Shih, 960 and u.S.
- Zangi b. Mandud, son of Zangi, son of Ak Sankur,—the Atâ-bak of Fars, 175 and sa 7 and 8, 176 and n 2.
- Zangi b. Sa'd, son of Zangi, son of Haudúd, the Atā-bak of Fârs, 200 n.
- Zangi b. Sankur, son of Maudād, son of Zangi, son of Ak Sankur, the Atā-bak of Fāre, 148, 175.
- Zangis <u>Kh</u>ān, wrong spelling of the name of the <u>Chingis Kh</u>ān, 1058 n 6.
- Zankubā b. Tāzīo-bareed, son of Farāwwal or Karāwal,—anoestor of Zuhāk, the Tāzī, 303 and ** 7, 804.
- Zar'ab, Zu'l Nawash of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7
- Zarnik b As'ad,—ancestor of Tahir ibn ul-Husam, 9
- Zartusht, founder of the Zorosstrian religion, 8.
- Zan b. Thamāsīb, son of Manūohihr,—XIIth of the Bāstāniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3.
- Zaydī, a steward or deputy in the employ of Husain-i-Kharmīl,—takes possession of the fortress of Hirāt till defeated by Sultān Muhammad-i-Takish Khwārasm Shāh, 259 n 3.
- Zeeruk, Mahomed, Prince of Murv, 472 n, 478 n,—wrong name given by Briggs to Muhammad-i-Kharnak
- Ziā-yi,—author of a history, 60 n 7 Zireek, Mahommed, prince of Murve, 472 n, 478 n,—wrong name given by Dow to Muhammad-i Khar-nak

- Eirghim, the Wasir of the Isml'ilian or Fitimite Chalifnha of Egypt, 200 a 6.
- Ziyā-ud-Dîn 'Alī, Amīr,—appointed governor of Marw, his native city, by Tūli Khān, non of the Chingia Khān, 1088 n, 1084 n and n 9.
- Tipi.ud-Din Balani, author of the Tārīkh-i-Firūs-Shāhī, zvi, zzi, 590 s, 562 s, 716 s 5, 717 s, 771 s, 776 s, 779 s, 794 s 1, 795 s. See also under the Tārīkh-i-Firūs-Shāhī of Ziyā-ud-Din Baranī.
- Ziyā-ud-Din Janaidī, the Majd or Malik-ul-Umarā,—the Amīr-i-Dād of Gwāliyār, for Salān Shama-ud-Din I-yal-Timiqh of Dibli, 620, 643 a 4, 644.
- Ziyā-ud-Din Muhammad-ā-'Abd-us-Sallām Nisāwi, Kūjī of Tūlakson of the Kāṣī Majd-ud-Din Tūlakī,—governor of Tabarhindah on the part of Sultāu Mu'uzz-ud-Dīz Mahammad-I-Sām, of Ghūr, xxiu, 881 n 5, 458, 461 u, 462 n, 464, 1060 n 5
- Zivi-ud-Din Muhammad b. Abû 'Ali, Shansabi, the Durr-i-Ghur or the Pearl of Ghar, 1, 252 and m 6, 255 m 7, 846 and m 8, 381 and n 4, 390, 391 am 2 and 5, 393 and nn 8 and 9, 394 m, 367 m 5, 399 m 3, 400 n 3, 417, 418 n 4, 419 n 6, 468 n 4, 472, 478 = 6, 490 m, 488, 490 and n 8, 525 s, 1002 n 9 title was changed to that of 'Ali-ud-Din when the throne of Firuz-koh was conferred apon him by Sultan Mu'ins-ud-Din Mubammad-i-Sam 800 also under 'Ala ud Din Muhammed, the Malik-nl-Hail.

Siya-nl-Mulk, son of the Nişam-ul-Mulk Muhammad Junaidi,—one of the Täjik officials of Sultan Euknud-Din Firus Chab of Dibli, 685.

Ziyā-ul-Mulk, the 'Azīs, the Zawzanī,—one of the Wazīrs of Sultān 'Alā-nd-Diu Muḥammad-i-Takigh Khwārasus Shāh, 990 s, 1027 s 8.

Ziyā-ul-Mulk Durmanhāni or Durmanhi,—one of the Wazirs of Sultān Mulizs-ud Din Muhammad-1-Sām, of Chūr, 489 and n 4. Ziyā-ul-Mulk Tāj-ud-Din,—appointed Wazir of Sultān Nāsir-

ud-Din Mahmad Shib of Dahli under the latie of Nulim-ul-Mulk, 710.

Zotenberg, M. H., visi, zvsi.

Zu'i Karnain, See under Sikandari-Zu'i Karnain.

Zu Shanktar, of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yamau, 7.

Zu'l Nawas, of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7 n 1

Zu'l Nawath, of the Tababi'ah dynasty of Yaman, 7 and a i

Su'l Ynminain,—title of Tähir ibn ul-ffusain, the general of al-Ma'mun the 'Abbāsî <u>Kh</u>alifah, and founder of the Tahiri dynasty in <u>Kh</u>urasan, lvii, 9 n l, 11 and n S. Zu-n-Nün Arghän, Amīr,—trother of Saltān 'Alī the Arghün, the governor of the territory of Sijistān, 1122 n.

Zubdat-ut-Tawarikh. the,—name of a historical work xvi, 449 n 8, 552 n 2, 556 n 8, 557 n 8, 559 n 3, 561 n 8, 566 n 7, 567 n 1, 568 n m 7 and 9, 569 n n 3 and 6, 572 n n 4, 6, 7 and 8, 575 n 1, 576 n 5, 577 n 580 n 9, 606 n 3, 611 n 3 618 n, 619 n 7, 623 n 1, 643 n, 647 n 9, 648 n 8, 659 n 6, 666 n 8, 669 n 6, 716 n 5, 778 n.—app. vi, viii.

Zuhāk, son of Arwand-āsp, called the Tāxī,—Vith of the Bāstāniah dynasty of 'Ajam, 3, 201, 202, 203 and n 7, 204 and n 1, 205 and n 5, 306 and nn 9 and 5, 307, 308 n 2, 309 and n, 331, 340 and n 3, 509 n.

Zuhāk, son of Subrāb, son of Shaudāsp.—ancestor of Amir Banji, 306.

Zütümin or Zütü-manin, son of Büşüs, son of Bü-zanjar,—111rd of the Bu-zanjar dynasty of the Mughai I-mak, 894 n, 895 n, 904 n

Zuzi,—wrong speiling of the name of Juji <u>Kh</u>ān, son of the <u>Ch</u>ingus <u>Kh</u>ān, 1167 n.

PART II, GEOGRAPHICAL.

(n. stands for footnote).



A

Ab.i-Bārānī, the,—the upper pertion of the Kābul river, 288 % 3, 289.

Ab-j-Fanākat, the, which is also called the Sīḥūn, 987 s. See also the river Fanākat.

Lb-i-Khujand, the,—another name of the river Sibin, 921 a.

ib-i-Lakhanawati, the,—a branch of the Ganges, 763 and a 1.

Lb-i-Shudah, the,—for the river Sindh, 76 n 2, 77 n.

ib-i-Sind, the, 77 n, 78 n, 96 n 1, 541 n 6, 1020 n. See also "the Indus" and "the Sind."

b-i-Sugun,—name of an island in the sea of <u>Khurs</u>, 278 n, 286 n 6, 994 n.

b-f-Sugue,—name of the sea of Khurs, 278 s.

b-i-Sugun, the, - name of a considerable river falling into the sea of Khurz, 278 a

>i-Tang, description of the term, 334 x 8, 335 and x 9

Abalik-Chāk, 987 a 8,—for Balik-Chāk, which see. [Sind. Abā-Sind, the, 78 a,—See the Āb-i-'Abbās ābād,—a town in the district of Rai, 1207 a.

Abbas-abad,—a district west of Hamadan, 1289 s.

Ab gun,—name of an island in the sea of Khurs, 278 s.

Ab Istadah, in the territory of Wajiristan, 334 n 8

Abiward,—a city and district of <u>Kh</u>uršain, 13 n 8, 51 n 6, 116 n 8, 117 n, 119 n 7, 248 n, 471 n 5, 481 n 8, 1028 n, 1031 n, 1037 n. See also Bäward.

Åbû or Alagadh, fort of, 521 n, 522 n, 706 n 7.

A'bu, mountain of, 522 n.

Abū-Bikrpūr or Bū Bikrpūr,—a district in Hindūstān, 286.

Abi-gadh or Alagadh, kills of, 522 s.

Abuhar,-a town of Hindustan, 687 and n 9, 823 = 3 Aburdhan-kof, 562 v. See under Burdhan-kof.

Aghāriah or Ajāriah, 783 s 6, 824 s 8. See under Ajār.

Acre, Hv. 215, 225 x 4, the 'Akkah of Arab geographers.

'Adam [Anglicised Aden], 766 and a 1.

Aden, the 'Adam of Areb geographers, 766 * 1.

Adward Bihar, 491 and = 5, 624 s. --- app. zxiii.

Afghin-Shil, the place where Sabuk-Tigin was buried, 75 s 5.

Afghinistan, xi, xiv, xivi, xiiz, l liv, 1v, 79 n, 80 n, 288 n 3, 304 n 3, 381 nn 1 and 2, 334 n 8, 363 n 6, 483 n, 538 n, 548 n 3, 522 n, 874 n, 876 n, 901 n, 1016 n 3, 1027 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1045 n, 1046 n, 1032 n 5, 1064 n 3, 1078 n 8, 1081 n 7, 1115 n 5, 1202 n, 1203 n,—app xi.

Afrikah —a district of Mauritania, 1229 n 8.—the present Tunis

Afahin,—capital of the Shars of Charlistan, 369, 370 m, 385.

Afüsn Shan or

Afwin Shin, 1210 n,—called elsewere Liwak-shin

Agra, 546 n 7, 742 n 9, 1094 n.

Agrab, = Agra

Agrin,—a town of Hungary, 1167 s. Ahang, the,—a rever flowing past the city of Charnin, 331 s 6

Ahangaran, a Dih or village near Ghaznin, 321 n 6.

Ahangaran, - one of the fortresses of Chur, 321 and an 6 and 7.

Ahmad-ábád,—a town of Hindustan, 455 n,

Ahrawat or Ahrawat,---a Kasbah or town of Bindastau, 612 and a 7. Abiret,—a Kapbah or town of Hindustin, 613 a 7.

Ahwis, written also Āhwis, 10, 22 n8, 24, 53 n6, 58, 61, 62, 65. Road Ahwis in all cases, see Ivii.

Aimin-ábid,—a town in <u>Kh</u>urisin founded by Sulțăn Ibrăhim <u>Ch</u>asnawi, 104.

Ai-Tigiu-ibid,—a more correct way of writing the word "Aytkin-ibid, xlix.

'Ajam, territory of, xxxiii, 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14 and x3, 15 and x 9, 33, 38, 56, 54 and x 6, 182, 163 x 7, 183, 203, 227, 221 and xx 1 and 3, 243, 304 x 3, 229 x, 322, 388, 409 x 6, 421, 429 and x 4, 466, 599, 750 x 7, 764, 790, 872 x, 861 x, 1040, 1079, 1081 x 7, 1099, 1111, 1112 and x 8, 1117, 1138, 1158, 1179, 1196, 1215, 1329, 1296, 1270, 1292

Ajër or Ajëri, territory of the Rae Qhahar, 788 and a 6, 824 a 8, 825

Ajarki or Ajarnah,—See the above, Ajmerr, for Ajmir, which see.

Agmere, for Agmir, which see

Ajmir, 246 n 9, 393, 404 and n 9, 468 n 6, 463 n, 465 n, 466 n 1, 467 n, 468, 469 nn 8 and 9, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 611 n 3, 627, 661 and n 3, 728 and n 3

'Akā See under 'Akkah.

Aķābar or Aķābir.—a place in Terkistan, 1083 a.— Also called Akāir.

Akāir or Akāir,—a place in Turkietān, 1983 s.— also called Akābar.

Akar,—a fortress on an island in the riverd Sinh, 294 s. Akarrah,-an ancient city in ruins. in the Bank district, 538 m.

Akashin,-the name of the city of Kashin, which see, as given by Abu'l Ghazī Bahādur Khān, 949 n.

Akdālah, also Written Akdalah,one of the fortifications of Bangālah, 582 n fl. 590 n, 591 n.

Akhlat, also written Khalat,-a fortified town in Armenia, 134 n 9, 170 n 8, 228 n 4, 297 n, 296 n and n 1, 299, 1264 n, 1276 m.

Akhshi, [the Aksi of maps],--a city in the territory of Fargha-Dah. 921 =

Akhsikat,-a city in the territory of Kashghar [Little Bukhara], 922 n

Akhaisak. See the above.

'Akkah or 'Aka [Acre],-a city of Syna, 215, 225 × 4

Ak Masjid,-one of the ferries of the river Siban, 970 a 2

Aku or Aghu Beligh, See Ku or Ghù-Baligh.

'Akul,-a small town or village in the Mausi territory, 62 and a 7

Akm, 991 n. Bee under Akhahi.

Aksa, -a city in the territory of Kashghar [Little Bukbaria]. 889 m, 922 m, 969 m 1.

Alše,-a station in Mughühsten, 1185 a.

fortress Alah Baghin, -- a in Khurisia, 1192 a.

Alamatu or Almatu,-a town in Mughülistan, 921 n. Seu also under Almāta

Alamat or Alamut,-the stronghold of the Mulähulah sect, 145 n 4. 265 n 4, 363 and n 8, 265, 706 x 8,

1010 s. 1151 s. 1187 s.7. 1188 and n8, 1189, 1196, 1198, 1205 a 8, 1906, 1207 m, 1209 m, 1210 m, 1212 m. 1213 m. 1221 m. 1227 m 5. Alan, territory of the, 998 s.

1102 m, 1167 m

Alanjan canal, in the district of Hirāt, 1128 n.

Alarjūk,-a fortress in Azarbāiiān. 296 ».

Al-Ask .- a town in the province of Mazandarán, 993 s

Ali Tak, Tigh or Dagh, the,---a mountain in Armenia, 1264 s, 1375 n 3, 1278 n, 128: n. Hulikū Khan gave it the name of Lauba or Labna Sághút

Al-a'uz, 962 m, an error for Al-Ghur, which see.

Albania, 1001 m

Alebr or Lalehr. - a town of Hindústān, 627 and # 8.

Aleppo, 162 a 3 See also under Halab.

'Alfin,-a village in the district of Damaghk. 226 a 7.

Al Ghur,-the country of Chur as called by the Arabs, 963 a

Alha,-a fort in the territory of Kanauj, 680 s

Al-Hass,-a district and town of Arabia, 179 a 3.

'Ali-ābād,-a town in the district of Balkh, 129 and a

'Ali-garb, otherwise Sabit-garb,--town of Hindustan, 699 n 1, 795 a 2, 796 m.

'Alkamah,-a city of Afrikah or Mauritania, 1229 n 8

Allahabad, 683 n

Allygurh,—Angheized form 'All-garli, which see.

Almalig, 917 n, for Almäligh, which see.

Almāligh or Almāligh,—a town in Mughālistān, 154, 890 s, 919 s, 920 s, 921 s, 924 s; 969 s 1, 984 s, 685 s, 966 s, 1141 s, 1162 s, 1194 s. [920 s.

Almātī,—a town in Mughālistān, Almātā,—a town in Mughālistān, 890 n, 921 n, 970 a ž.

Almüt,—the stronghold of the Mulähidah sect. See under Alamüt.

Alpine Panjāb, the, 453 m 4. Alpe, the, 890 m.

Altäe, the, [now the Siba],—a river in the territory of Kangaktäe, 945 s.

Altãe mountains, the, 1302 s.

Altës Soning, the,—the same given by Abu'l-<u>Ch</u>äsi Bahädur <u>Kh</u>än to the Altäe river, 945 a

Alta: Mount, the, 920 m. See under the Altan mountains.

Altā-karā,—name of a place in Khute, 1188 s.

Altan or Golden Mountains, the northern boundary of Taghkand, 890 n, 920 n, 981 n.

Altan-I-mil, the, 919 a.

Altan Kol or Golden river, the,—a river of Kok Nawar, 981 s.

Alten-imel, the, 919 n.

Alū gadh, fort of, 523 s. See also the fort of Ābū

Aiu-gadh or Abugadh, hills of, 522 n.

Aliss or Alish Arki or Argi,—a tract of country in Mughulistan, 895 n.

Alwar,—one of the Rapput states, 863 n 6. Alwar, the hills of,—in Hindustin, 519 s. [Amid.

Amarkantak or Amarkantaka, in the Jij-pagar state, 588 s.

Amber,—a town in Rijpütinah, 521 a.

Amid, capital of the Divir-i-Sakr, 1208, 1264 a, 1265.

Amin-ghar,—a village of Hindletin, 460 n 7.

Amrohah,—a town in Hindústān, 520 n 4.

Āmā, the,—another name of the river Jībān, 154, 426 s 6, 917 a 1, 1030 a. See also under the Āmālah, Jībān and Ozna.

Amid, probably Amii or Amiish, which see, 917 and n 1.

Amidah,—one of the principal towns of Kadhah Kaisahah, 587 x 4, 586 s.

Amůi,--or

Amūlah,—a town on the banks of the Jihūn [Oxus], 917 s 1, 1030 s, 1196 s.

Amūiah, the,—another name of the river Jibūn, 25 a.8, 84 a.9, 247 a, 878 a, 901 a, 908 a, 904 a, 917 a.1, 921 a, 927 a, 980 a, 988 a, 1084 a.2, 1064 a, 1109 a.3, 1115 a.5, 1116 a, 1127 a, 1138 a, 1141 a, 1174 a.4, 1191 a, 1192 a, 1193 a, 1196 a, 1211 a, 1287 a, 1290 a.9, 1293 a. See also under the Āmū, Jibūn and Oxus.

Amul,—a town and district in the province of Mazandaran, 45, 49, 278 s, 991 s, 994 s, 1058 s 5, app. xxi.

Amun, the,—another name of the river Jihun, 917 s.

- 'Anah,—a fortress of the Diyar-i-Bakror Mesopotamia, on the east bank of the Furst [Buphrates], 185 a 1, 186 s
- A'nak or l'nāk or Ighnāk, fortress of. 263 a.
- Anbar or Felugia,—a town on the Euphrates, 135 and al, 863, 1240 a, 1360 a.
- Andar-āb;—a town in the district of Tukhāristān, xlix, 389 n, 390 n 4, 1019 n, 1020 n.
- An-des the Upper,—or Urnā-desa, 663 x 8, 787.
- An-desah-i-Bülä-tar, or the Uppermost Andes, 787 and a 9.
- Andeshah,—for Andesah or the Andes, 737 n 9.
- Andigan,—a strongly fortified place in the territory of Farghansh, 919 n, 921 n, 923 n, 923 n.
- Andijan,—the name given by the Arabs to Andigan, which see.
- Andkhūd,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 261, 378. 474 and a and a 6, 476 u, 477, 478 a 6, 491, 501 a 5, 532 and a 9, 604 and a 1, 910 a, 927 a

ATIANSEO,-OF

- Anksie,—a mountain country adjoining Khitše, called also Tingküt and Kiehin, 947 m.
- Antä-e or Intä-5,—another name of the city of Taiming, the Pyenlyang of the Chinese, called also Nanking, 958 a
- Antarbed, the Do-āb of,—the country immediately cast of Dihli, 607 n 5, 629 n 6, 682 n 5, 739 n 5, 816 n 4, 847 n 3
- Autaibed Do abah, the See the above

- Antikinh of Shum, 138 n 8, 140 n 5, 158 n, 161 n. See also under Anticoh.
- Antioch, 144 * 8. See alse under Antikiah.
- Aobab,—a place near Hirst, and also the name of one of its gates, 149 **1, 155 **8, \$58 **8, 426 **4, 1061 **.
- Arabia, 670 = 5, 974.
- Arabia Felix,—the district of al-Yaman, 308 * 7.
- Aral, city of,—the capital of the Taghar Khākāu, 961 a.
- Aral, Lake or Sea of, 916 m, 921 m, 962 m, 970 m 2
- Aral Nawar, the See the above.
- Aran or Aran. See under Arran
- Arangul, now Warangul,—a town in the Dakhan, 589 w
- Aris, the,—the giver Arazes of the maps, 1267 s.
- Aravalli mountains, the. See the next.
- Arawali mountains, the, 521 m, 705 m 7.
- Arbela, the Arbil of the Arabe 1268 **, 1278 **. See also under Arbil of Mesopotamia.
- Arbil,—a town in Agarbéijau, 995 s, 1001 s.
- Arbil,—a town of Mesopotamia, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1263 n, 1276 n, 1278 n.
- Arctic Sea, the, 1171.
- Ardabil, the capital of Azarbāijān, —Ardibil of the maps, 997 n.
- Ardaban,—a place in the Kurdish mountains between Kermanahah and Baghdad, 993 s.
- Ardas, fortress of,-in the territory of Khwarnzm, 278 s

- Ardibil. See under Ardabil-
- Ardish or Artish,—a country to the B. W. of Tibbat, knii, 940 s, 950 s, 964 s 2, 960 s 1, 970 s 2, 1103 s, 1103 s, 1143 s.
- Ardinh,—a fortress on the frontiers of the Makrit and Naeman territories, 950 m.
- Ardish Pass, the, 970 n 2.
- Ardigh river, the, 960 m 1, 1143 m. See under the river Artush.
- Ardukend,—another name of Kishghar, [Little Bukharia], the capital of Türün, 915 n.
- Arg, fortress of,—an error of the copyists for Uk, which see, xlv.
- Arghaida,—a district of Khurasan, 1131 s.
- Arghand, the,—a river in the country of Ghūr, 1061 n 9, 1070 and nn 8 and 9
- Arghaud-ab, the, 1070 a 9. Hee the above.
- Arjatů or Irjatů, the 'Ukbah or Pass of, 919 n
- Ark, fortress of,-an error of the copyrate for Uk, which see, xlv
- Arkenekom,—name of a mountain of Mogolistän as given by Pétis de la Croix, 890 n
- Arkey,—another name of Iriki or Irikia the capital of Kāshin, which see. 963 s.
- Arkhnik,—a country to the B. and S of Bangalah, [turned into Arracan by Europeans], 595 %.
- Armalik, 917 s, for Almäligh, which
- Armau,—the country of the Armemans, 187, 144 s, 398 s, 1196 s, 1191 s, 1208 s, 1262 s. See also under Armania.

- Armardan or Urmurdan. See under Umürdan.
- Armenia, 1162 a, 1290 a 1. See also under Arman.
- Arpan,—a city in the country of the Urus or Russians, 1170 x.
- Arracan, 593 s See under Arkknak.
- Arrin,—a district of Azarbijin, 143 n 2, 147, 173, 881, 996 n, 1117, 1195 n, 1237, 1285.
- Arauf,—a town in Palestine, 220 n 8.
- Arruf,—the chief town in the district of Bāmiān, zliz, 1025 a. Also called Rāşif or Raşif, which see
- Arumi or Urumi,—a city in the territory of Tragitation Kashin, 1085 = 8.
- Artish, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see.
- Artoch, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see.
- Artuan,—a city and district on the frontier of the Känhghur state, 950 s, 970 s2, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which also see.
- Artush, the,—a river watering the Artush district, 950 m See also under the Ardish river.
- Artush Pass, the, 970 a 2.
- Artysh, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see,
- Arwish, correctly written Ardish or Artish, which see, 964 = 2.
- Arran,—a town in the territory of Mardin, 1879 a.
- Arg-1-Rúm, the modern Ersroom, 161 s.
- As, country of the, 1102 m, 1164 m 9, 1165 m, 1169 m

Asad Ibad,—a town in the district of Hamadan in the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 242 n 6, 266 n, 1239 n.

Asal Yürat, or the original Yürat of the Chingis Khān, 1140 s.

Asin,—a city in the neighbourhood of Kinghar [Little Bukharia], 985 s.

Ascalon, 225 n 4,—the 'Askalān of Arab geographers.

Asdër,—a town in Tabaristëu, 278 n, 991 n. Also called Astadër and Astaws, which see.

Ashim, 565 %, 586 % 9, 598 %,—the Indian province of Assam.

Ashiyar, -a fortress of Charjistan, 894, 395, 398, 415, 418, 1011 a, 1048 and a 7, 1072, 1073, 1075, 1077 and a 6, 1200 a.

Ashnas,—a city in the neighbourhood of Tashkand, 971 a

Ashuk, the,—a mountain in the district of Timran, 319.

Asi,—a fortress in the Kinneuj state, 96 s, 519 s.

'Asi [Orontes], the,—the river of Antioch, 1276 n

Asia, 244 n 4, 309 n 2, 567 n 4, 563 n, 916 n, 964 n 2, 986 n, 987 n, 994 n, 1008 n 4, 1010 n, 1019 n, 1028 n, 1043 n 8, 1046 n, 1046 n, 1078 n 8, 1110 n 6,—app iv.

Auia Minor, 936 a 6, 996 s.

Asīr Darah,—a pase on the way between Dajzak and Gharjistān, 369

Asjank or Sajang,-the Sakra or Steppe of, 1141 m

'Askalan [Ascalon],—a town of Palestine, 220 a 3, 225 a 4

Asni,--the stronghold of the Bajah of Kinnauj and Banaras 470 n 2 Assam, 586 n 9,—the Ashim of mative geographers, which see. Assyria, 185 n 1, 1876 n.

Astadar,-or

Astadărah,—a town in Tabaristin, 901 s, 1208 s. Also called Andâr and Astawā.

Astarābād,—a town in the district of Jurjān, 378 n. 878 n, 991 n 994 n, 1131 n, 1137 n.

Astarah, same as Astir, Astadir and Astawa.

Astāsh,—a city in the neighbourhood of Tāshkand, 978 n.

Astaws, -or

Astawah,—a town in the province of Tabaristan, 206 a 6, 991 a. Also called Asdár, Astadár and Astadárah, which see.

Astish,—a fortress in the country of Ghür, 1006.

Astrys,—a town in the district of Kapman, 409 n.

Astrakban, 943 *, 1000 *, 1290 * 9, the Ḥaji Tarkhān and Hashtar Khān of Onental geographers, which see.

Asturah,—a country or city in the Karë-chal or Karë-chil monntains 1046 m 3. {1043 m 1,

Atak, 79 n, 95 n 4, 269 n 3, 525 n, Atil, the,—the river Wolga or Volga, 870 n, 871 n, 872 n, 943 n, 1000 n, 1168 n, 1169 n, 1172 n 9, 1173 n, 1290 n 9.

At-pathi,—a city in the territory of Kanhghar [Little Bukharia], 922 a

Atrar, capital of the Province of Farab, 932 n, 969 al.

Atrowii,--name of a place in Histdustão, 809 s 7 Attak. See under Atak. Attak-Banaras, 78 m.

Audh, app. zziv.-Awadh, which

Austria, 1168 m.

Awadh,-the present Province of Oudh, zziv, 491 and n 5, 524 n, 549, 550 a 6, 551 a, 558 a 7, 575 and a l. 576, 577 m, 593 m 7, 594 and wand * 1, 608, 617 *, 627, 628 aud n 8, 629, 633 and m7, 639 and mm 7 and 8, 662, 663 and mm 6 and 9, 664, 666 m, 673, 674, 686, 700 x 8, 701 and x 1, 708 and mn 7 and 8, 704 m 5, 714 m.9. 735, 737, 738 and a 4, 739, 740, 741 and n 2, 743, 744, 757 and #7, 759 and ## 5 and 6, 760, 762, 763, 764, 767 n 4, 768 and nn 3 and 4, 770 n 9, 772 n, 773 n, 793, 816 n 4, 830, 834, 835, 836 and nn 7 and 9, 837, 838 and n 7, 847 and # 4, 851 # 9,-app. xxiv, xxvi. Awai Tak or Tagh, -- a mountain in

the Nieman country, 949 n.

'Ayr.i-Jalüt,--Gohath's Spring,-in Syria, 1277 n

Aytkin-abad,---a district in the territory of Bust, xix, 318 and n 6, 448 n 4. See under Al-Tiginābād.

Azīd,—name of a hill in Firuz-koh, 409.

Aparbāijān, 58 n.6, 61 n.4, 124 n.9, 143 n.2, 141 n., 147, 152, 164, 166 n.7, 168, 169 and n.7, 170 and n.8, 171 and n.9, 172 and n.3, 178, 176, 207 n.8, 247 n., 265, 266 n., 296 and n., 208, 717 n., 201 n., 361, 881, 902, 996 n., 996 n., 1117, 1119, 1151 n., 1159 n., 1195 n., 1227 and n.6, 1237, 1239 n., 1251, 1252, 1257 n., 1262 n., 1263 n.8, 1264 n., 1265, 1276 n., 1277 n., 1278 n., 1281 n., 1286 n.

Azdák [Azof], also called Südák, which see, 1165 s.

Azerbaidjan, 996 s,—for Āgarbāijān, which see.

A'zım-abād-ı-Talāwarī, 459 n.7, 779 n.3 See also under Taláwarī, formerly called Tarā'in.

Azmardānjor Uzmurdān. See under Umurdan.

Azmurdan or Usmurdan. See under Cmurdan.

Azof, the Sūdāķ of Omental geographers, 1165 s

B.

Ba'albak,—a town of Syria, the Baalbee of maps, 204 n, 207 n 8, 206 n 1, 229 n 5, 1276 n

Bāb-ul Abwāb, or Gate of Gatea, 680 w7, 998 w, 1287 n. = Darband, the Derbend of the maps, which see Bāb-ul Farah wa'u Ni'am, or Gate of Gladness and of Benefits, of Mayyāfārikiu, 1269 n. Bab-ul-'frak, or 'I-rak Gateway of Mayvafarskin, 1264 n

Bab-un-Nasr [the Nasr Gate], of Kaburah, 215 n 9

Bab ush Shahwat, or Gate of Desire, of Mayyafarihin, 1269 n.

Bābal or Babil, the nucient Babylon, 304 × 2 See also under Bābil and Babylon Bibal, the name of the district of Fiyal or Payil as given by Firshtah, 640 n 3.

Bähil, the ancient Babylon, 2, 3, 304 a 2 See also under Babylon. Babool, the name of the district of

Bubool, the name of the district of Payal or Payil as given by Briggs, 640 n 3.

Bābul, the name of the district of Pāyal or Pāyal as given in Elliott, 640 n 8

Babylon, 140 n 2, 1237 n 6 See also under Bäbil.

Babylonia, 1016 # 8.

Bada<u>khsh</u>ān,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 390, 423 n 8, 424, 426 and n 6, 494, 909 n, 921 n, 923 n, 987 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1044 n, 1058 n 6, 1145 n.

Badā'ān, 524n See under Budā'ān Bādghais,—a district of <u>Kh</u>urāaān about Hirāt, lvn, 22, 23 n 1, 86 n 9, 374 n 3, 479 n, 490 n, 580 n 9, 874 n, 1076 n, 1120 n 2, 1132, 1185 n, 1195 n

Badi-koţ,--a district of Hindustan, 838 and n 1

Bādkhis or Badgheis, wrong spelling of Badghais, 1120 n 2

Badshāhān or

Badshân,—a halting-place near Hirât, 325 n

Badwan, fortress of, 115 n 6. See Balarwan of Gharjistan.

Badwati [Padmawati],—South Bihir probably, 592 s

Bic kol, the,—a Kol or Lake between Mughilistan and Czbakistan [the Baikal Lake of our maps], lxiii, 890 n

Bagh : Jud, the Jud Garden, - near the capital city of Dihli, 606 n 3, 627, 708 and s 2, 709 and s, 785 and s 2, 786, 854 and s 1.

Bagh-i-Jün, the name of Bagh-i-Jüd in the Tüj-ul-Ma'aşir, 606 n 3: Bagh-i-Khurram—the Pleasant or Delightful Garden,—near the

capital city of Khwarazu, 1998 s. Bāgh i-Shāhmār,—a garden some distance W. of the Old city of Dihli, 709 s.

Bāgh 1-Sultān,—the Sultān's garden —near the city of Balkh, 128 a.

Bagh-i-Wazir,—a Ribāt on the frontier of Ghür, 325 a

Baghe-Eram,—wrong name given by Pétis de la Croix to the Bäghi-Khurram, which see

Baghdad, the Dar-ul-Khilafat, xxiii. xlv, 10 and # 8, 11, 13 # 9, 14 and # 4, 15 # 6, 18, 22 # 7, 23 # 9, 24 and s 3, 25, 27, 32 s, 34 s 6, 25 m 6, 39, 43, 55 and n 1, 56 and n. 58 and n 3, 59, 60 and n 8, 61 n 4. 62, 63 and a 8, 64 a 1, 65 and a 6, 66 n 7, 73 n 9, 76, 87, 91, 125 n 8, 134 and # 9, 135 m 4, 136 m, 138 and n 8, 139 n, 142 n 1, 143 n 2, 144 m, 145 m 4, 146, 147, 167 m 8. 184 n, 185 n, 193 n 4, 204 n, 207 #8 218, 223, 243 244 and #, 250 n 4, 265 n 4, 266 n, 277 n 5, 278 n, 296 n, 299 n, 465 n, 488 n 1, 616 n 2, 617 n, 622 n, 629, 658 n 3, 662 a 7, 666 a, 711, 712 a, 759 a 3, 774 m, 796 and n 2, 797 m and n 4, 800, 936 n 6, 965 n 6, 991 n, 998 n, 1117, 1187 n 7, 1190 n 1, 1193 n, 1212 m, 1221 m, 1226 and m 1, 12.29 m. 1229 and n.S. 1230 m. 1231 m, 1232, 1233 and m 3, 1234 and n 7, 1285 n 2, 1286, 1287, 1288 and s 6, 1239 s, 1240 s, 1241 s

and s 1, 1242 s 2, 1360 and s and s 6, 1361 and s and s 7, 1263 and s, 1364 s, 1366, 1367 and s 6, 1268, 1269, 1273, 1275 s 2, 1279 s.

Bagh-dad, the,—a river in the Sughd near the capital city of Saurhand, 200 s.

Beghehov,—a diatrict; between Charjistan and Faras, 876 and 86, 876 n.

Baghan,—the chief town of the Daixis, 499 a

Bag-madi, the,-or

Bug-mati the,—a river flowing in front of the city of Burdhan-kot, 561 n 1, 567 n 1, 571 nm 1 and 2, 764 n 6,—app. xxii. See also under the Beg-mati.

Bugram,—the original name of the city of Peehawar which was so called up to Akbar's time, 81 n, 285 n 5, 462 n 8, 1002 n 5.

Bagura [Bogra],-app IXI

Bahand, 76 n 3 See under Bahind and Waihind

Bahawalpur, the State of, 723 n 1, 812 n 2

Buhawan or Bhawan,—a fortress in Hindústan, 96 n.

Bahind,—a city on the bank of the river Sind, 76 and n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n, 293 n. See also under Waibind

Bahindah, fortress of, 79 % See also under Bathindah.

Bahr r-Khurs, the, 140 * 5, * the Caspian, which see.

Bahr : Zulmat, [see of Darkness], the, 1170 [706 s 7.

Bahrāich, for Bharā'ij, which see, Bahram,—a district of Arabia, the Bahrom of the maps, 179 a 3, 180. Baidin, 126 × 4.

Bathak,—a city and district in <u>Khuricia</u>, 181 x 7, 1121 n.

Bashak,—a small town in Zäwnligtin, also called Mukir, 67 a 2, 87 a.

Baikal Lake, the 886 n, 890 n. See under the Bae-Kol.

Bailkān, a town in Āṣarbāījān, 997 s.

Bassie,—a town of Kara Khitas on the river Til, 956 a.

Bait-ul-Makaddas, the Holy City, [Jerusalem], 1209 s.

Baiss,—a town in Fars, also called Nass, 178 a 7.

Bajawr,—a country north of the Kabul river, zlvs, 1043 a 1.

Bakar,—a fortress of Sijistān, 1200 s.

Bakar, a fortress on an island in the river Sindh, 294 n See also under Bhakar.

Bakarhi, for Nagrabar or Nangrahar, which see, 96 n 2.

Bakhrālā, for Bak-rālah, which see, 96 a 2.

Bakht-ābād, the name given by Humāyūn to the city of Gany or Lakhanawatī, 559 s 2, 589 s 6.

Bakhtman Onyartes, stronghold of the, 1054 a 2.

Bakhtrus, the,—another name of the river Jihun or the Ozua, 25 n 8. Bikhurz,—a city and district in

Baghurz,—a city and district in Khurisin, 22, 131 n 7, 181, 247 n, 401 n.

Bakla,-or

Baklanah,—a town in Lakhanawati,

Bak-mati, the Sec under the Bagmati and the Bog-mati, Bak-pilah,—a place cast of the Margalah Pass, 96 n 2, 97 n.

Bakr Khel, the darsh of, south of the Kurmah, 409 s.

Balālah,—a place near the city of Lähor. 294 w

Balameen. See under Balimin.

Balaram or Balaram,—a district in the province of Awadh, 712, 714 n 9, 767 and n 4, 788 n 9, 794 and n 8, 849 n 5.

Balarwan,—a fortress and district in Gnarjutan of Khurasan, 115 and a 6, 396, 415, 429 and a 8, 456, 1072 and a 5

Balarwan of Bamian, 429 n 8

Balasaghun See under Bilasaghun. Balasagun or Bala Sagun, 915 s.,

for Bilasäghün, which see. Bäläsghün, 912n, for Biläsäghün,

which see.
Balbis,—a town in the Diyar-i-Mis-

rīah, 212 n 1, 229 n 5

Balc, for Balkh

Baldjuna,—a desert of Turkistân, 942 n.

Balgasun, 916 n, for Bilësäghün, which see.

Balghassun, 916 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Bâlijûnah, the,—a lake or spring in Turkistân, 942 a.

Balik-Chāk,—a spring of water in Kalur-ān of Turkistān, 937 and n.8.

Balimin [vulg Balameen],—a town in the district of Karman, 499 n

Baljianah Lake, the,—in Turkistan, 942 n

Bāljūnah Būlāķ, Būlāgh or Balīķ, the,—a spring of water in Turkutān, 941 s., 942 s., 943 s. Bâljûnah Nawar,—a Lake in Turkistân, 942 n, 948 n.

Bālkath, the Tin-ghis or Din-ghis, —a Lake in Maghūlistān, 920 n, 969 n.

Balkh,-a city and district of Khurasan, 22 and a 4, 35, 26 a 3, 27 n 4, 31, 35 n 6, 48, 46, 47, 48, 50 and #4, 51 #6, 72 #6, 74, 75, 80 and n 5, 85 n, 86 n, 94 n 3, 95 #9, 113 m, 120 m5, 125 and a 5. 126, 127, 128 s. 129 and s. 133 and n 9, 147 n 8, 184 u, 258 n, 260 and # 6, 275 and # and # 2, 280, 288 n 3, 289 m, 306 n 5, 324 m, 367 n 9, 368 n, 371, 372, 373 and n 9, 375 n, 378, 399, 401 n, 402 n, 412, 413, 424, 426 and # 6, 427 m, 429, 431, 433 n 7, 474, 481 n 8, 610 n, 874 n, 904 n, 907 n, 916, 920 m, 921 m, 985 and # 2, 987, 989 m, 1002 m 4, 1008 m 5, 1009 and m. 1010 m, 1011 m, 1014 m 2, 1015 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1023 m.9, 1024 m.2, 1025 m, 1027 m 8, 1032 m, 1046 m, 1050 m, 1058 and # 8. 1039. 1063, 1076 m. 1062 m, 1097, 1115 m 5, 1145 m, 1174.

Balkhan Koh, the, -or the

Balkhān mountains,—on the northeast of <u>Kh</u>uršain, 123 x, 131 n 7.

Bairam,—a district in Awadh. See under Bairam.

Baltādah,—a territory in Hindustān. See under Baltārah.

Baltie,—a city in the country of <u>Kh</u>ipse, 1189 m.

Baltārah,—a territory in Hindustān, 718 n 2, 714 n 9, 794 and n 8, 849 n 8 Balüt,—a town to the district of Karman, 499 n.

Balwan, for Balarwan of Charpiatan, which see, 429 a 8

Bälyüs,—the ancient name of the province of Kandahār, 1018 ». Bam.—a fortress in Kırmān. See

Bam,—a foriress in Kirman. Boe under Bamm.

Bami,—another name for the city of Baikh, 1024 * 2.

Bimian,-a district of Khurssan, zzii, zlvm, zliz, 1, 74, 149 n 8, 245, 248, 249, 252, 260, 267, 288 n3, 289 nandu4, 304 n l, 306 and # 5, 310, 320 # 3, 837, 335, 342, 358 n 3, 362, 369, 371, 372, 274, 275 n, 278, 379, 399, 401 n, 402 m, 405 m 3, 413, 414 and m 8, 421, 422, 423 n 8, 424 n 3, 425, 426 and n 4, 427 and n, 428 n 1, 429 and n 8, 430, 431 and n 7, 432, 433 and n, 434 and n, 435 n, 436 and n 5, 447 and n 7, 456 n 9, 457 n. 472 n 9, 490, 492 and # 7, 493 and #, 494 and # 1, 495, 496, 500 m 9, 501 and m 5, 502 and #6, 504 * 4, 514, 922, 1002, 1011 m, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 m, 1020 m. 1021 n and a 8, 1023 n 9, 1024 m 2, 1025 m, 1042 and mm 5 and 6, 1047 m 4, 1050 m, 1058 m 6, 1071 a 2. 1072 n 9. 1081 n l. 1081 a

Bamm, a fortress in Kirman, 34 m 6, 184 s

Banakat, -- a city in Mawara-un-Nahr. See under Fanakat.

Banaras, the Benares of the maps, 470 and s 2, 491, 516, 518, 519 s, 545 s 5, 551 s, 562 s, 567 s 1, 592 s, 608, 627, 742 s 9, 743 s 3. Banārsi or Bārāni, capital of the Rāc of Jūj-nagar, 592 n.

Banhān,—a tract of country in Hindustān, 536 n, 541 n 7, 623 n 8. See also under Banīān,

Band-1-Amir, the,—the embankment over the river Kur, founded by 'Uşd-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 64 n1,

Band-i-Käfiran or the Infidel's Dyke,—a place in Balkh, 128 s.

Bandīšrān, mountains of, [the Kumā'ūn mountains], 799 and n 2.

Bang,—that part of Lakhanawati which is hable to inundation being the western side of the river Gang, 585 n 7.

Bang, territory of, 554, 557 and n 3, 558 and n 1, 584 n 4, 585 n 7, 586 n 9, 587, 590 n, 592 n, 594 and n 1, 595, 618 n, 629, 732, 769, 770 n 9, 773 n, 776 n.

Bangai, v. xii n 5, xxii n 2, 93 n 9, 203 n 1, 511 n, 553 n 5, 559 n, 563, 570 n 9, 596 n 9, 589 n, 595 n, 610 n 7, 666 n, 762 n 9, 764 n 8, 771 n, 772 n, 773 n, 774 n, 777 n, 878 n, —app vi, xiv, xz, xxii, xxii, xxiv, xxy, xxv See also the next.

Pangaiah, 529 n 4, 554 n 9, 559 n and n 2, 562 n, 568 n, 582 n 6, 584 n 2, 585 a 7, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 593 n, 665 n 8. See also the above.

Banguah, Sarkar of, -- the province of Karman, l.

Bangiwn or Sagiwn. See under Bekinwah

Bang-mati, the. See the Bag-mati and the Beg mati

Bautan or Banban, -a tract of coun-

try in Hindustan, xxvi, liv, 536 n, 541 and n 7, 612 n 5, 638 and n 8, 631 and n 8, 638 n 6, 615 n, 677 and n 6, 689 n, 730 and n 6, 750 n 6, 782 and n, 861, 862, 363 n 3, 1126 n 6, 1126, 1129, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1225 n.

Banj-rūt,—for Wanj-rūt, the territory in the Multan province, 728 #1.

Blaki, otherwise Tarks,—a territory of Turkistan, 905 n, 921 n, 970 n 2. See also under Tarks.

Bannû, l. See Banû.

Banu,—a town in the province of Karman, 498 n 7, 538 n, 541 n 7

Banyān,—a tract of country in Hindūstān. See under Banīšn Bār or Pār;—a place between Hirāt

and Ghūr, 325 s.
Bar Nadi, the,—a river in Kāmrūd,

Bar Nadi, the,—a river in Kemrud, 564 n.

Barab, 399 x 7,—another name of Far-yab, which see.

Bārah,—a Parganah of Allahabad, 683 n.

Baran,—another name of Barwan, the town between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Balkh, which see, 288 n 3.

Baran,—a town east of Dihli, 604 and n 8, 645 n and n 9, 680 n, 723, 730, 745, 748, 754, 758, 759, 779, 791, 1029 and n 1 Afterwards called Bulandshahr

Bārāni or Banārsi, capital of the Rāc of Jāj-nagar, 592 a.

Barani, for Barwan, the town between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Balkh, which see, 1020 n

Biras, 342, 398 n 5. Another name of the district of Fires, which see. Barbind, 586 a 7, for Barind or Barindah, which see.

Barda',--a town of Aşarbāijān,

Bardär, mountains of,—in Hindüstän, 696.

Bardasir,—a town in the territory of Kirman, 295 s. Also called Gawaghir, which see.

Bareili, the Bareilly of the maps, 470 = 1.

Barendra, that side of Lakhanawati which is not liable to inundation, being the eastern side of the river Gang, 585 n 7.

Bar-ghund, an error for Bas-Ghund, a fortress in the territory of Ghasnin. See p lviii.

Berbamün,—or

Barhanman,—a town in Hindustin, 779 and a 2.

Bari Do-Sh, the,—in the Panjab, 696 n S.

Barian,—a place between Hirat and Chûr, 825 w.

Barnh,—a district in the Panjab, 455 s.

Barihun,-a district of Hindustin, 764.

Barned, -or

Barindah,—that wing of the territory of Lakhanawati which is on the eastern side of the river Gang, 565 and a 7, 618 a, 727.

Barjanid, -or

Barjunid,—a fortress of Hindustan, 79 n.

Barmal-Madrue, or Madru-mue, a village on the frontier of Balkh, 75 m 5

Barmas or Jarmas,—a district of Ghūr, 838 x 9.

- Baroghil Pass,—a Pass leading to Kithchar, 1065 n.
- Baronkhin,--- place between Irin and Turin, 961 s.
- Barghibûr,—a town in Sindh, 76 and z 2, 285 u 5. See also under Barghiwar.
- Barghiwar or Farghor,—a town in Sindh, 77 n, 291 n, 298 n, 540 n. See also under Barghibür.
- Barsül,—a town in Lakhapawati, 874 a S.
- Bar-tar,—a fortress in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ur, 836 s.
- Bartis, the territory of, 1167, 1170 s.
- Bartuki,—a place in Gujarët, 521 n.
- Barûl,—a place in Gujarêt, 521 s. Bêrûtah,—a halting-place in the
- violatity of Dihli, 851 and #8.
- Barwälnh,—the Burwals of the Indian Atlas, 732, 837 and s.4, 851 s.8.
- Barwan,—a town or city between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Bal<u>th</u>, on the banks of the Ab-1-Bärani, 288 and n 3, 269 n, 409 n 6,: 540 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 and n 7 and 8, 1042 and n 5, 1049 n 2, 1129 n 1.
- Barwin, for Balarwan of Charjustin, which see, 429 a 8.
- Barwan or Parwan,—a place north of Kabul, 288 n, 1020 n See the Parwau Pass.
- Bar-yab, 399 a 7,—another name of Far-yab, which see
- Barsam,—a fortress on the river Jibûn, 187 a 4.
- Basan-kot,—a fortress in Lakhanawati, founded by Sultin Ghiyis ud-Din 'Iwaş, lii, 582 and = 6, 629, 737, 773 =.

- Backgal or Yaghkal, Dare's or Pass of,—on the road to Til-ķin, 1308 a.
- Bashchird,-or
- Blahhir, territory of, 1165 s, 1166 s, 1167 s.
- Bas-koj, fortress of, 582 s.S. See under Bassn-koj.
- Başrah, 65, 800, 1245 and n 4, 1261 a 7.
- Bastābād or Bust-ābād, 728 and w.9.
- Bastim or Bustim,—a town of, Khurisin, 702 n 6. See also under Bustim
- Bathindah or Bhatindah, fortress of, —capital of Jai-pal, the Badahah of Hind, 79 n, 80 n, 458 n, 462 n, 491 n 1, 538 n, 645 n 4, 794 n 1
- Bäward,—a city and district in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 119 and 27, 129 n, 491. See also under Abiward
- Bay of Bengal, the, 589 n, 592 n.
 Bayabau of Ka'b, the,—an uncultivated plain between Talkan and
 Balkh, 1009
- Bāyazid,—a place near the N shore of the Lake Wan [Van of the maps], 1204 =
- Basar-1-Farod,—a place to the south of the city of Sistan, 195
- Bas-Ghund,—a fortress in the territory of Ghazain, xlv1, 101 and a 7, 103
- Bas Künlık-ı-Bultan,—a Kaşrat the capital city of Firus-koh, 408 and n 5, 405 n 4, 418
- Bazul, 521 n, for Nadul of Gujarat, which see
- Beginwah See under Bekinwah. Begiwah See under Bekinwah.

Beg-hatī, the. See the Bag-matī and the Beg-matī.

Beg-mati, the,—a river flowing in front of the city of Burdbankot, 561 and x1, 562 x, 570 x, 764 and x6. See also the Bagmati.

Behär. See under Bibär.

Behob,—a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 m

Bekanwah,—a city m Lekhanawati, 565 and v 5.

Bekāwān Soe under Bekānwah. Belazaghun, 916 n, for Bilāsāghūn, which see.

Belasagun [Balgassun], 916 s, for Biläsäghün, which see.

Beloochistân, 1021 n 8, 1043 n 1, 1075 n.

Bengal See Bangul

Bengala Sce Bangālalı

Bern, the city in Switzerland, xvii.

Bhagwat or Bhagwat,—a town and district between the Ganges and the Karam-Näsah, 549, 550 n 5, 551 n.—app. xxiv.

Bhagwat or Bhugwat, parganah of, 550 n 5.

Bhakar,—a fortress on the Pasy-āb,
 294 n, 529 n 4, 542 n 9, 543 n, 544
 and n 1, 613 and an 2 and 7, 614,
 615 n 1, 616 n, 628, 724 and n 2

Bhakhar, 613 nn 2 and 7. See under Bhakar

Bharah,—a district to the south of the Jud mountains [the Salt Range], 1131 a, 1132 a

Bharā'i, on the eastern bank of the river Sar'ū, 665, 676, 377, 694, 703, 705 n 7, 707, 708, 827, 834 and ns 7 and 9, 835 n and n 4, 886 and ns 8 and 9. Bharat-pür, territory of, 790 s 9, 868 s 6.

Bhlitah or Bhliti,—a tract of country adjoining Bahlir and Hangalah, 587 n 4, 568 n, 593 n.

Bhatgong, anglicised name of Bhatighûn,

Bhath-Ghorah. See Bhati-ghor.

Bhātī See under Bhātah.

Bhātīāh,—a fortross near Multān, 85 n

Bhati-ghor or Bhati-Ghora,—the tract lying on the left bank of the Son, east of Banaras, 743 and # 3.

Bhātīghūn or Bhatī-ghūn,—a city and territory in the Nipāl valley, 367 n 1, 630 n 8.

Bhaţi-koţ,—a city in the district of Burghor or Parghāwar, 1022 N.

Bhatindah, forcress of,—captal of Jai-pāi, the Bādshāh of Hind, 79 s. 80 s. 794 s 1 See also under Bathiadah

Bhatuir,—a town in Hiadústán, ton, 459 n 7.

Bhūwan or Babāwan,—a fortress in Hindūstān, 86 z

Bhawani or Parwati, temple of, in Amarkantak of Jaj-nagar, 588 s.

Bhawāni,—a town in Hariānah, 791 n l

Bheolee, anglicized name of the town of Bhiúli, 550 a 5

Bhilinah or Bilinah, formerly called Thankir or Thangir, 520 n, 543 n9, 544 n1, 545, 546 n7, 547, 659 n4, 692 and n4, 709 n6, 712, 713 n2, 714 n9, 732, 747 and n i, 767, 784 n, 787, 788 ard n9, 794, 824, 825, 849, 850 See also under Billinah. Bhilsin,—a fortress and city in Milwah, 621 n 6, 632, 638, 738 n 5, 785 n 9.

Bhīm, also called [tán, 85 %. Bhīm-nagar,—a fortross of Hindüs-Bhīrah,—a town of Hindüstān, 401 and n 2.

Bhiùli, parganah, 550 s 5.

Bhilli or Bhiwali,—a town and district between the Ganges and the Karam-Nāsah, 550 and #5, 551 n,—app. zziv.

Bhiwali. See Bhiali.

Bhugwat. See Bhagwat.

Bhūili, 650 n 6. See Bhiūli.

Bhūpāi, the Bhopal of the maps, 690 n l.

Bhūtān [Bootan], hills of, 562 a, 568 a, 570 a 9.

Bith, the,—the Bias of Europeans, xxvii, 688 n, 647 n 9, 656 n, 658 and n 8, 667, 668 n, 694 n n 8 and 9, 667 nnd n n 9 and 1, 686 n, 689 n, 692 n 3, 693, 696, 696 and n 8, 704, 705 n 7, 707 nnd n 6, 714 n 9, 723 n 1, 758 n 9, 780, 783 n 7, 784 and n, 788 and n 9, 793 n 7, 795 n, 811 and n 8, 812, 813 n, 818 and n 4, 826 and n 6, 826, 850 n 4, 840 and n 2, 845 n, 846 n 6, 851, 862 n 8, 1131 n, 1136, 1152 n, 1156 and n 7, 1224 n, 1225 n.

Biana or Biāna, for Biānah, 459 a 0, 546 a 7.

Biliush or Bhilinsh, formerly called Thankir or Thangir, 459 = 9, 471 = See also under Bhilinsh.

Bias, the,—the river Biah as called by Europeans, 533 a

Bidr, -a fortress in Taking \ Takin ganah \, 589 a.

Bihand, 76 See under Babind and Waihind.

Bihār, province of, 489 n 7, 519 and n, 520, 524 n, 550 and n 5, 551 and n and n 7, 552 and n 3, 553 n 5, 554, 556 and n 6 and 8, 557 and n 5, 588 n, 580 n, 591 and n, 592 n, 598 n, 594 and n 1, 610 n 7, 626 n 3, 627, 663 n 9, 731, 728, 737 n 6, 748 n 4, 757 and n 7, 772 n, 773 n, 776 n,—app. xiii, xxiv and n 2, xxv, xxv. Also called Wihār.

Bihat, the,—or the Jhilam, 454 n, 635 n, 536 n, 587 n, 697 n. Also called the Wikat.

Bihatah or Wihatah, the. See the Bihat.

Bihrin,—a dependency of Nighapur, 181 a 8.

Bijaipūr [Wijayāpūr],—district of, 560 n 4.

Bija-nagar, 511 a See under Bijayā-nagar.

Bîjand, also called Wirkil Kürghin,—a stronghold in the country of the Makrits, 947 s.

Bi-jayā-nagar,—in Bollary district, Madras, capital of the Vijayanagar Kinga, 511 s.

Bijnor or Bijnor [the Bijnour of the Indian Atlas], 696 and a 4, 697 a

Bijnour. See the above.

Bikāuir,—a district of Hindustān, 723 n 1.

Bikrām pūr or Wikrām-pūr,—capatai of Babil Sen of the Hindu dynasts of Nucliah, 558 s 1

linktäah country of, 1088 n, 1216 n, 1217 n 1220 n Same as Tingnä<u>sh</u> which see Bilid-i-Däwar, 267. See under Zamin-i-Däwar.

Billid-i-Jibil, in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 335 and n 8, 839 n 7. See also the Jibil of <u>Gh</u>ūr.

Bilad-ul-Hind,—the country immediately north of Mangurah, 674 a.S.

Bilad-us-Sind,—the country S.-E. of Mansurah, 674 * 3

Bilādsā-ghūn, 900m 2, for Bilāsāghūu, which see.

Bilaram, 714 x 9. See also under Balaram.

Bilāsāghūn,—a city of Māwarā-un-Nahr near Kāshghar and the capital of the Afrāsiyāhī Khāns, 134 and n8, 135, 154, 155, 261, 264 n, 899 n, 900 and n2, 905 n, 907 n, 913 n, 913 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 918 n, 919 n, 920 n, 931 n, 938 n, 924 n, 925 n, 926 n, 931 n, 938 n, 952 n, 980 and n7, 983 n. Bilasagun, 919 n, for Bilāsāghān,

Bilaur Mountains, in the direction of Turkistan, 423 and a 1, 424 a,

which see.

922 n 1046 n 3.
Bilaur [also written Biliaur] Tagh.
922 n. See also the above.

Bilsa,—a fortress in the Malwah province, 623 n 9.

Bindar or Pindar,—a fortress in <u>Gharjistān</u>, 342, 417, 626, 762, 1072.

Birah,—a fortress in the territory of Sawalakh, 110

Birar,—a province of Central India, 588 n, 592 n.

Birbhum,—a district of the Bardwan division in Bengal, 585 x 6, 592 x Birgoni,—a place on the western or Mirwir side of the Arawali mountains, 531 s.

Birouan, 1019 s, for Barwin, the town between <u>Chaunin</u> and Balkh, which see.

Birwin, fortress of, 1073 x 5. See under Balarwin of Gharjistin.

Bishbalig or Bish-Balig, 918 a, for Bish-Biligh, which see.

High-Bäligh, capital of the I-ghürs, 918 n, 920 n, 928 n, 980 n, 961 u, 962 n, 969 n1, 970 n2, 965 n, 1106 n, 1127 n, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1145 n, 1157 n1, 1164 n, 1284 n.

Bisirām or Bisrām,—a fortress on the way from Uchohah to the Salt Range hills, 294 n.

Bisrám-pür, 294 s. Same as Bisirám or Burám.

Bithandah, fortress of, 458 n, 680 n. See also under Bathindah or Bhatindah.

Bitanda or Bitahnda, 458 n, 680 n, the name of Bathindah or Bhatindah in Briggs.

Bryan, the, 667 x 1. See under the Bran.

Biyûr Nûwar, the,—the Lake of Biyûr,—in the country of <u>Kh</u>ijâs, 940 s.

Black River, the,—the Kari Muran, —a river between Khitle and Mughühntän, 862 n, 1216 n.

Black Ses, the, 696 n.

Boada,—a town on the bank of the Maha-nadi, 588 s.

Bocars, for Bukhara.

Bogra,—a district in the Rij-nhahi division of Bengal, app. zxi.

Bohemm, 1167 m

Bokhāra or Bokharah, for Bukhārā. Bolan Pasa, the,—a mountain pasa from Baluchietën into Afghanistin, 462 m.

Bolo [Poland], country of, 1168 a. Bombay, z, ziii, 95 n 4, 452 n 8, 1942 = 1,-app. zvil.

Bom-Labas or Labe,-- country on the northern boundary of Muchülistän, 889 m.

Booloondshuhur, anglicized name of Bulandshahr, 680 m.

Boost, for Bust.

Bootan, Anglioised name of Bhūtin, which see.

Borki-i-Buzorg, -great Borki, -a city in the country of the Urus. 1170 ..

Borysthenes, the,-a river west of the Black Sea, 896 m.

Bosnia, 1168 n.

Bostan, 1207 n, for Busiam, which 90C.

Brahmanabad,-subsequently styled Dibal or Diwal and Thathah, 295 n.

Brahma-putr,--or

Brahmā-putra, the, 562 m, 564 m, 565 m, 586 m 9.

Bramah, a fortress in Hindustan,

Braminy, the,-a river running to the W of Gang-par, 588 m. Also called Scank.

Bram-Tala,-a town in the territory of Jij-nagar, 592 a.

Brinds, 585 a 7, for Barind or Barindah, which see

Bå-bikrpur or Abu-Bikrpur,--district in Hindustan, 285.

Budāņun, district and city of, 755 See under Buda'un.

Buda'un,-one of the two most important fiefs of the kingdom

of Dihli, 419 n 5, 491, 505 n 8. 506, 594 m, 529 m 4, 530, 545 m 5, 549, 561 m, 604 and un 9 and 5, 606, 606 and s 9, 609 s and s 5, 626 and n 2, 627, 628 n 2, 681, 688, 654, 662, 668 n 9, 684 and #8, 685, 690 and #8, 693 #8. 698. 696 n. 697 n. 698, 700, 708 and a 7, 704 a 5, 729, 786, 748, 750, 752, 753, 755 and # 8, 756, 757, 759, 760, 784 and n and n 3, 816 x 4, 825, 830, 832, 838, 884 n 9, 1224 a,-app. zziv.

Bughlan or Buklan,-one of the divisions and districts of Balkh, 290 n 4, 426 n 6, 1000 n, 1046 n, 1061 n 1, 1062 n.

Bū-Kais,—a monutain near Makkah, 670.

Buka Suchiku,-a piace in Mughülistän, 1088 n.

Bukhara See Bukhara,

Bukhara, 25, 29, 30, 82, 84, 36, 41, 43 and n 4, 45, 46 and n 4, 47, 48, 49, 50 and # 4, 51 and # 6, 52 and *and *8, 53 m, 71, 72 m 6, 74, 117 m, 118 and m 4, 130 and w 1, 121, 123 n, 152, 153 and n 7, 154, 186 m, 186 m, 192, 260 m 7, 267, 268 a 3, 269 a, 278 a and a 5, 274 and n 9, 275 n 2, 276 n, 280 n 9, 413, 423 n 8, 600 and nn 3 and 4, 601 and # 8, 602, 800 n 8, 801 m 877 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 909 n, 914 n, 915 n, 916 n, 918 n, 922 n. 930 n, 932 n, 964 n 2, 970 n 2, 972 m, 975 n 5, 976 and n, 977 n, 978, 979, 1011 n, 1032 n, 1033 a, 1034 a, 1082 a, 1083 a, 1097 n 7, 1118 n 9, 1128 n, 1141 n, 1142, 1145 n, 1181 n, 1223, 1275 #3, 1285, -app xxi.

- Buşlan or Bughian, district of See under Bughian.
- Buland Khel,—a town in the province of harman, 499 a.
- Bulandeah, 308 x 9,—another name of Mandeah, which see.
- Bulandshahr [anglicized into Booloondshahur], 680 m.
- Bülär [Bulghar], territory of, 1103 n.
- Büldän Kä-īr, the mountain range of,—where Uktās Kā'ān is buried, 1148 n.
- Bulgaria, 1168 n, 1190 x 1, 1283 x 1. Sec also under Bulghär.
- Bulghāķ-pūr [place of great sedition];—a by-n=me acquired by Lakhanawaṭī from the rebellious spirit of its governors, 582 = 6.
- Bulghër, territory of the, 224, 870 m, 871 n, 872 n, 886 n, 962 n, 1102 n, 1115 n 5, 1165 n, 1166, 1168 n, 1283, 1292. See also under Bulguria.
- Bülkin or Bürkin Kildün, styled the Yakah Kurük,—the place where the Chingis Khin was buried, 1089 n, 1223 n.
- Bulo [Poland?], 1165 m, 1166 m, 1167 m.
- Bund-Emeer, the. See the Bandi-Amir.
- Bundelcund, 777 n. See under Bundélkand
- Bundélkand,—a district of Hindustan, 546 n 7, 777 n
- Bundi-a territory of the Hindus, 728.
- Bür,—a strong fortress in the territory of Ghür, 328 a
- Bur-Bilaur,—the Hill territory of, 424 s.

- Burdhan, 561 x S Same as Bardhan-kot, which see.
- Burdhan-kot or Abardhan-kot,—a city of Hindûstân, 661 and a 8, 562 a, 565 a, 566 a 9, 570 a,—app. zzi, zzui.
- Burhanpür,—a town in Randes of Hudüstän, ix.
- Burhnawah, Anglicised Burnawa, —a town of Hindûstän, 779 a 2.
- Burin, the,—the high tract of country N -W. of Rim-pür, in the Rāj-Shāhī district, 585 n 7.
- Burj.i.'Ajnmî,—a tower in the city of Baghdid, 1244 a.
- Burj-1-'Alī biu Wahab,—a tower in Muyyāfāriķīu, facing the west, 1200 s.
- Burj-i-Kārlūgh,—a tower in Hirāt, 1126 n.
- Bürkön or Bülkön Kaldün, styled the Yakah Kurük,—the place where the (Thingis Khān was buried, 1069 n, 1223 n.
- Burnawa, anglicused from Burhnawah, 779 a 2.
- Burning Mound,—Paghtsh Afron, the, in Bidghais, 580.
- Burogil Pass,—a Pass leading to Kēshghar, 1046 n.
- Burghor, Purghor or Furghor [Poghswar ?], 81 s, 285 and s 5, 291, 462 and s 8 and 2, 458 s 4, 467 s, 491, 501 s 5, 525 s, 1002, 1013 and s 7, 1016, 1022 s, 1043 s 1.
- Burshor,—a place between Kandahar and the Iudus, 285 a 5.
- Burwala, 837 n 4 See under Barwälah
- Büshanj, also written Füshanj,—a tract of country in <u>Khurāsān</u>, 23 See also under Füshant

Bust,—a district and town in Ehrnistn, 21, 74 and 28, 94 n 3, 29, 111, 132 a 9, 194, 267, 317 and 5, 318 a 6, 319 a 5, 330 and 2, 334 n, 350 a 4, 355, 362, 392, 394, 397, 413 and 28, 415, 423, 472, 480 n, 492 n 7, 502 n 6, 525 n, 1105 n, 1018 n.

Bust-abab or Bastabad, 723 n 9.

Bustim or Bestim,—a colebrated town in the territory of Khurisin, 243 n, 256 n 7, 419, 420, 702 n 6, 1206 n 2, 1207 h.

Butan,—a district about the Hindu-Koh, 1044 n.

Bus-Ghund, fortress of. See under Bas-Ghund.

Ø.

Cabul, 500 m, for Käbul, which see. Cairo, 101 m 8, 140 m 2, 1276 m. See also under Kähirah.

Calcutta, v, 445 n, 527 n, 534 n l, 541 n 7, 542 n 9, 530 n 6, 553 n 5, 556 и 7, 559 п, 590 м, 665 и 8, 666 m, 684 m 8, 686 m 7, 687 m 1, 692 x 3, 693 x 5, 711 x 5, 730 x 6, 785 m8, 787 m9, 767 m5, 771 m, 773 n. 774 n. 783 n 8, 785 n 2, 788 # 1, 789 # 6, 800 # 5, 807 # 2, 809 n5, 812 n3, 814 n3, 816 n5, 818 m6, 826 m1, 829 m7, 831 m1, 836 m6, 888 m1, 843 m3, 844 m1, 846 m6, 849 x7, 851 n8, 852 x 3, 856 #8, 860 n 2, 862 n 6, 968 n n 5, 6 and 8, 1043 n 1, 1047 n 4, 1111 n, 1130 m, 1148 m 5, 1154 m 5, 1158 n4, 1159 n5, 1188 n8, 1197 n3, 1204 n 9, 1215 n 9, 1225 n, 1232 m 1, 1233 n 3, 1941 n 1, 1242 n 2, 1251 m 9, 1258 m 9, 1259 m, 1265 n9, 1366 n 4, 1267 n 6, 1268 n 7, 1272 x 6, 1279 a 4, 1290 x a 8 and 1, 1292 s 9, -app 1, vii, viii, zviii, XIX, XX, XXV, XXVI.

Calinger, 523 s, for Kälinjar, which

Callinger, 777 n, for Kälinjar, which

Campion,—a vitiated name of Kāahīu given to it by the old European travellers, 950 s.

Candahur, 41 %, 509 %, 1017 %, 1018 %, 1025 %. See also under Kandahär.

Caracatay, 969 n 1, for Karë-<u>Kh</u>itës, which see. [964 n 2.

Carcon,—a town in Kari-Khuie, Carisme, 969 a 1, for Khwarasm, which see.

Carmania,—ancient name of the Persian province of Kirman, 518 n.

Carnál or Karnál,—a town in Hindústáu, 459 n 7.

Caschgar, 985 s, for Känghar, which see.

Cashmu, the name of Kä<u>sh</u>in, as given in the Kü<u>shgh</u>ar Mission Report, 950 s.

Caspian gates,—the Dar-band or Bāb-al-Abwāb, as known to clasaical writers, 998 s, 1117

Caspian, the, 140 n 5, 162 n 3, 278 and n, 879 n, 884 n, 886 n, 902 n,

- 916 n, 988 n, 991 n, 992, 994 n, 996 n, 998 n, 1001 n, 1029 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1286 n 9.
- Castle of Zuhik, at the foot of the mountains of Zir-i-Margh, 381 *1.
- Caucasus, the, 884 n, 886 n. See also under the Kaukasas and the Kakasus.
- Oentral Asia, xi, 69 n, 474 n 5, 878 n, 886 n, 890 n, 899 n, 919 n, 1001 n, 1106 n, 1115 n 5, 1202 n, 1258 n 8.
- Central Perma, 933 n, 939 m
- Chachan,—a route of Kārudas in the Kāshīn territory, 950 s
- Ohioh, Ohij or Shieh,—a city in the territory of Farghinah, in Miwari-un-Nahr, 921 s
- Ohach Hazirah,—a tract of country in Northern India, 1132 m.
- Chacheh,—a district along the banks of the Indus, 1132 n
- Ohaghān Sarāe, or 'white heatelry,' in the Kunar valley, 1044 n, 1045 n
- <u>Chaghāniān,—a</u> dependency of <u>Khurāsān</u>, 83 ** 2, 232 **, 374 ** 5, 493 ** 8, 426 and ** 6, 923 **
- Chaghan-Jang,—the name given by the Mughais to half the country of Gandhar, where the people are white, 1216 n, 1217 n.
- Chāj, Chāch or Shāsh,—a city in the territory of Farghānah, 28 48, 921 n
- Ch vnoah, -a village on the bank of the river Bish, 533 m
- Qhând gatewny in Lakhanawati, erretted by Sultân Firuz Shāh-i-Abu'l Muzaffar, Shāh i Jahān, the Habashi, 582 n 6

- Qhandanpür, 470 n l. See under Ohandpür,
- Ohandawar, 470 m 1, 518 s. See under Ohandwär.
- Ohandīri,—a territory in Hiudāstān, 639 n 5, 690 and n, 691 n, 783, 824 n 7.
- <u>Ohandpür,—a town in the district</u> of Farrukhābād on the route from Bareili to Fath-ghap, 470 a 1.
- Ohand-wal, or Jandwal,—a place a few miles S.-E. of ltawah, 470 a 1, 516 and a 9, 518 s, 742 and a 9, 743. Synonymous with the next.
- Ohandwar or Ohandawar, 470 and n 1, 518 n, 743 and n 9. See also the above, with which it is synonymous.
- Ohang or Ohing-Khū, the,—a river passing by the city of Taiming in Khūle, 958 n.
- Chang-sha,—a city in the Chinese province of Hu-quang, 1222 n.
- Charamuin, the,—or Liao Ho,—a river in the country of the <u>Kh</u>utans, 885 s.
- Chârdoàr,—a town in Western Kamrûd, 563 n.
- Charizul, for Khwarazm
- Charkes,—the territory of the Cheremis, 1165 n
- Chatkh,—a place a few miles from the right or east bank of the Lubgar river, 73 and a 8.
- Chashan or Chushan.—a station on the road between Hirst and Chur, 325 x [459 n 7.
- Qharang, —a village of Hindústän, Chatgánw (Chittaging), - a port of Bangálah, 592 s, 593 s.

Chan-nayman-some,—ruins in the country of Karchin on the river Shan-tū, 1210 n.

Chestore,—a tract of country in Hindustin, 520 n, 611 n 3

Ohe-kyang,—a province of China, 1219 a.

Chenkb, the, 1180 s. See under the Ohinkb.

Ohhar Kund or Jhar-kundah,—a tract of country lying on the right bank and upper part of the Son, 587 n 4, 588 n.

Chhotah Nag-pür, 598 z.

Ohigal or Ohikal,—a small tract of country in Turkistan, 872 n

Ohiläe,—a city or country in the Koh-1 Karā chal, a range of the Hamālayah, 1046 n 3.

Chin. lvn. 29, 154, 155 n 5, 180, 183, 197 and #9, 214, 227, 230, 244, 268, 269, 270, 271 and #1, 272, 273, 310, 383, 412, 496, 516 n 3, 534, 535 m, 561 and m 9, 677, 720 m 1, 764, 790, 817 m 7, 876 m, 877 m. 890, 891 m. 900, 933 n, 904 m, 907 m, 911 m 7, 912 n 9, 916 n, 922 n, 923 s, 924 n, 935, 961 m. 962 m. 968 and m 1, 966, 967, 968, 988 n, 1046, 1081, 1084, 1095, 1106, 1107, 1109, 1111, 1112, 1115 n 5, 1130 n, 1144, 1147, 1151, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1178, 1186, 1211 m 5 and m, 1215 and n 2, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218 n, 1223, 1259 and n 2, 1284, 1283 n 8, 1284 n 3, 1288. See also under China Chin-1-Buxurg, or Great China, 912 n 9 See under Maha Chin China 564 n. 794 n 1, 796 n. 877 n.

886 a. 911 x 7. 912 x 9, 923 n,

924 n. 940 n. 950 n. 955 n. 950 n.

1022 s, 1148 s, 1147 s 1, 1217 1218 s, 1322 s. See also unde Chin.

Ohnab, the,—a river falling int the Indus, 76 n 2, 538 n, 526 a 641 n 6, 678 n 1, 687 n 9, 816 n : 1130 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6.

Ching,—a town in the district of Si-gan-fa, 1218 m.

Ching Ching,—a Chinese province 886 a

Ching-dù or Chingtù,—capital c the Altan <u>Kh</u>ân and metropoli of <u>Kh</u>ṇāe, 957 n, 958 n, 959 r 1186 n 9, 1137 n, 1222 n

Ching-Khū or Chang, the,—a rive passing by the city of Taimiu, in Khithe, 958 a

Qhingtu, 957 s. See also unde Ching-du

Ching-tu-Fu, the Chinese province of, 1222 a.

Qhinh itah Do-Ibah, the,—lying between the Chinsb and the Bi hatah, 535 n, 536 n.

Ohirkah or Jirkah,—a cut in the country of the Urus [Russials] 1169 s, 1170 s, 1171 s.

Ohirkah or Jirkah, the,—a river in the country of the Urus | Rus sians], 1169 s.

Chittagong, 592 n. See un les Chitginw.

Chitral, state of, 1044 n

Chitral, valley of, 1044 n 1045 n

Chitril, the See the next

Chitrat or Chitral, the place 9-1 the Kamahl,—a tribut my of the Kibul river, 79 -

Carry for Khiti

C₁ og King,—a province in the country of Ohm, 1222 n.

Chang-quey,—a mountain in the country of Chin, 1223 w.

Chorásán, 1009 m, for Khurásán Chorassan, for Khurásán.

Chorienes [Kal-yun], the rock fortress of, 1054 n 2.

Chù, the,—a river of Mughalistau, 918 n, 919 n, 983 n

Ohůl [uncultivated or desert tract] of Jarůk, in Hindüstän, 293 n 5.

Oht -i-Jalàli,—a name of the Ohul of Jarûk, so called after Jalilnd-Din Khwārazm Shāh, 298 n 5, 537 n.

Ohünär-gorh,—a hill and fortress of Bindüstän, 530 n 5, 551 n

Chẳng dữ See under Ching-dữ or Chingtù

Ohuri-garh,—onputal of the country of Kadhah-Katankah or Gadhah-Katankah, 587 n 4, 588 n Ohushān or Ohashān,—a station on

huahan or Chashan,—a station on the road between Hirat and Chūr, 325 m. Ciandu, the capital city of Shangtü as called by Marco Polo, 1219 n.

Cobalek, 917 s, for Chū-Bālīķ or Bālīgh, which see.

Cobalic, 917 n, for Ghū-Bātīķ or Bālīgh, which see.

Comoras, anglioised name of Kumër or Kumërûn, 1205 n 4.

Constantinople, 139 n, 161 n, 860 n 9, 999 n, 1260 n. [Bibār. Cooch Bahar, See under Kügh-Corassan, 964 n 3, for Khurāsān, which see.

Gorea, the name of Khūrjah, which see, as known to Europeans, 956 s.

Crimes, the, 884 m See under Krim and Krimes.

Groatia, a country of Eastern, Europe, 1168 n

Cubáhgh, 912 n, for Ghū-Bālīķ or Bālīgh, which see.
Cumanus, 1168 n.

D.

Dabüs,—See the next,
Dabüsah, also called Dabüs and
Dabüsi,—a fortified town about
midway botween Bukhārā and
Samrķand, 977 n, 978 n.

Dabûsî,-See the above

Dāc-kān,—a town of Khurāsān in the district of Marw, 94 and n 8, 99 n 1, 125 n 8, 127 n 8, 130 An error for Tāl-ķān, see page 94 n 3

Dae-kû,---ee the next

Dac liu or Dae-ku,--- a country to the S-W. of Khitae, it is called Gandhar by the Mughals, 1916 n, 1217 n

Daghistan, country of the Alans, 999 n

Dahānah-1-Sher,—the Lion's Jaws,
—a Pass of Sarakhs, 387 and
a 6

Dahind, 77 n. See under Bahind and Waihind.

Dajayl or Dajaylah,—a district lying on either aide of the Dijlah above Baghdad, 1240 n.

Dajayl, the [the Lattle Tigris], 1240 s.

Dajlah [Tigris], the, 242 s 6, read the Dijlah, see page lix.

Dajzak,—a town in the territory of <u>Khurisan</u>, 348, 367, 309, 378 Dakhan, the, viii, 511 n, 587 n 4, 589 n, 592 n, 1216 n, 1271 n.

Dalmatia,---a province of Austria-Hungary, 1168 a.

Damascas, the Dimaahk or Damashk of the Arabs, 162 n 3
See under Damashk.

Damnihk [Damascus], capital of Syria, 158 n, 204 n, 205 and nn 4 and 6, 206 and n 7, 207 and n8, 208 n, 209 n 6, 214 n 6, 218 n, 219 and n 9, 221 n, 222 and n 8, 223 n 5, 224 and n 9, 225 n 4, 226 n 8, 227 n 9, 228 and n 1, 229 n 5, 1264 n, 1276 n, 1277 n, 1278 n, 1280 n 5

Damawand,—a mountain in the 'Irāķ-i 'Ajam, Ix, 305, 1046 n 3, 1207 n

Damduma,—a place in the parganah of Diwkot or Dib kot be tween Lakhanawati and Dinajpur, 591 n

Damghān, the capital of Kūmis, a district between <u>Kh</u>urāsān and 'Iruk-i-'Ajam, 133 n 3, 158 n, 266 n, 991 n, 992, 1188 n 8, 1206 n 3

Damietta, the Dimyāt of the Arabs, 226 n S. See under Dimyāt

Damik, 480 n 5 The correct name of this place is Dam-yak, which see.

Damow or Damü,—a place east of Saugor [Sigar] in Hindustän, 824 n 9.

Damrilah,—a town in the country of sind, 294 n, 641. Damu. See under Damow.

Damüh,—a town in the country of Kadhah-Katankah between the capital <u>Ch</u>ürä-garh and Āmūdah, 588 s.

Dam-yak,—a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznīn, 432 and n 2, 484, 486 and n and n 5, 462.

** Daudanekan,**—a small town in Khurasan, 94 n 3. Same as Dandankan, which see.

Dandānkād or Dāndauķād,—a small town in the district of Marw in Khurāsān, 94 n 3, 99 n 1, 125 nn 5 and 8, 127 n 8 Seo also the next.

Paudánkán or Dándankán, 94 n 3, 125 n 8, 905 n Same as the above, which also see

Dangah,—a hilly tract in the Salt liange, 1130 n

Danisur, - a town in the territory of Märdin, 1261 a, 1279 a

Danube, the, 1168 *

Dā-nu i, -a dependency of Amul, the capital of Tataristan, 991 w.

Dår-al-Khilafat, Baghdåd. See nuder Baghdad

Dar-ul-Khilafat, Dihli, 525 n

Dar 1-Sarrajān, or Gate of the Saddlers, in Marw, 1029 n.

Dar-: Ta'ām,—a place to the south of the capital city of Sistan, 20 and s 3, 195,

Dar-1-Tang.—a fortress on the frontier of Baghdad, 1238 a

Darah-1-Gaz —a valley near the city of Balkh, 128 n

Darah-1-Karmān,—a small darah in the province of Karmin, I.

Darah :- Khisht-Ab, the,--- in the

182 Index.

district of Hariw-ar-Rud, 417 and n.4.

- Durah-i-Mandror,—in Afghanis-an,
- Darah-i-Nūr, --valley of the river Nūr, zlvi.
- Dart-nagar,—a town of Hindustan, 611 n 3.
- Darangawān [Daran-gā-on],—a district in Hindūstān, 746 and n.5
- Dar-band, the Bāb-ul-Abwāb of the Arabs and the Derbend of the maps, 994 n, 998 n, 1117, 1286 n, 1287 n
- Dar-band-1-Rûm, [the Caspian Gates], 1117 See the above
- Darbûr or Dat-bor See under Dargûn.
- Darghan,—a place in the neighbourhood of Samarkand, 120 and n 4
- Dar-güs,—a bill tract of Tokhāristān, 423 and *1.
- Dar-gür or Dar-gor. See under Dargün,
- Dar-gût, Dar-kût or Darkot. See under Dar-gûn
- Darküfah, 421n. See under Dargûn.
- Darlah, the,—a river of Kamrud, 764 n 6.
- Darmash, 698 x. See also under Durmashān
- Darunah or Daruntah, 77 n. See the next.
- Darünthā,—a place at the point of junction of the rivers of Nür and Kirāt, xlv, xlvi, 77 n, 79 n
- Darwäz, 424n See under Dar-gün Darwäzah-1-Bära [Färs],—one of the five gates of the capital city of Sigiān, 20 n 3.

- Dasht-i-Chul, the.—a desert in Khurāsān, 1009 a 7.
- Daght-i-Kabchak, the, 163 n 5. See under the Daght-i-Kibchak.
- Dasht-:-Khufghāk, the, 992. See under the Dasht-i-Kubghāk.
- Dasht-1-Khurz, the,—a desert of Turkistan, 117 n, 1030 n.
- Daaht-i-Kib<u>ch</u>āk or <u>Khifchāk,</u> the;—a desert of Turkistān, 163 n.5, 233 n.6, 943 n. 993, 994 n. 998 n. 1000, 1082 n. 1083 n. 1084 n. 1087 n. 1104 n. 1102 n. 1103 n. 1105 n. 1150 n. 1164 n. 9, 1165 n. 1168 n. 1171 n. 1177 n.1, 1178 n. 1266 n. 1267 n. 1290 n.9.
- Dasht 1-Kifohāk, the See under the Dasht-1-Kibohāk.
- Dasht-1-Kipchāk, the, 238 n 6. See under the Dasht-1 Kibchāk.
- Dest-1-Chap,—the left hand—a territory of Mughülistän, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1220 n.
- Dast-I-Rast,—the right hand—a territory of Mughūlistān, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1220 n.
- Datoli,—a place in the Do-ab of the Jun and the Gang, 809 and n7.
- Dāwar,—a district in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 21, 74, 201 n 2, 267, 287, 317, 319 and n 5, 355, 362, 386, 389, 390, 472, 1018 n See also under Zimin-i-Dāwar.
- Dawar the, Darah of,—south of the Kurmah, 499 n.
- Dāwari, -a district of Khurāsān, 201 and n 2.
- Dazak,—a town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 248. See also under Dajgaķ.
- Debekote [Diw-kot or Dib-kot], parganah of, 591 m.

Decan, the, 511 n. See under the Dakhan.

Dehli, See under Dibli.

Dehly,-for Dihli.

Dekaka,—one of the chief cities of Bangliah, 586 s.

Denmark, 138 n 8, 722 n 6.

Doo-kah [Deva Kala],-a place 8.-E of Kanau, 680 a.

Deckot, 574 n 3, for Diw-kot, which see

Depur,—a pince between Ghasnin and the Margalah Pass, 96 n 2.

Deva Kala 680 n See under Deckalı

Dewal, 53iml. See under Diwal and Dibal.

Dhukah, capital of Bang, lxi, 558 n '. 586 n 9

Dhuragarh, in the Dakhan, 589 n. Also called Diw-gir

Dharam-gā-on, 746 n 5.

Dharam pattan, the ancient name of Bhātighūn in the Nipal valley, 567 n 1

Dholpur,—a district of Hindustan, 853 n 6.

Dhútali, 521 s, for the town of Pali in Gujarët

Diar-1-Bakr, the See under the Diyar-1-Bakr

Diar i-Rabi'ah,—a district in Mesopotamia, 996 n

Dibal or Diwal,—one of the chief cities of Sind, 294 n, 295 n, 452, 491 n 5, 614 n 8, 615 n 1, 628 See also under Diwal.

Dībāi pūr,—a district in Hindūstān, 795 s

Dib-kot, of Lakhanawati See under Diwkot

Didankan, 94 n 3 Same as Dan-

dānķād and Dandānķān, which see.

Digärchah. or Tishá Lämbü,—a Buddhist monastery on the bank of the Sanpü river, 570 x 9.

Dih of Ahangaran,—a village near Ghaznin, 321 n 6.

Dih-i-Jalabiah, -- village of Baghdad, 1253 n, 1254 n.

Dih-i-Wakf,—a village of Baghdad, 1253 n, 1254 n.

Dihistan,—a district in the territory of Khurasan, 123 n, 124 n, 125 and n 8, 240 n.

Diblî or Dehlî, z. ziji zxi, zxxiji #2, EEVI, EEVII, EEVIII, EEIE, EEE, l, 26 n 1, 41 n 6, 79 n, 91 n 9, 158 n, 168 n 2, 204, 205, 281 n 1, 248 n 1, 283 n 9, 293 n 5, 294 n. 317, 322 m, 345, 360 m 5, 379 m 4, 388 n 7, 399 n, 418 n 2, 459 n 7, 460 aud n 3, 462 n, 463 n, 467 n. 468, 469 and #9, 470 s, 488 s 1, 480 n 6, 491, 497 n 3, 506 n, 508 n, 509 m, 510 m, 511 m, 514 m, 515. 516 n 2, 517 n, 515 n 5, 519 n, 520 n, 522 m, 523 m, 524 m, 525 and m. 527 n, 528 n, 529 n 4, 530 and n and n 5, 533 m, 534 m, 535 m, 537 m, 538 n, 639 n, 542 n 9, 543 n, 549 and n 4, 550 n 6, 551 n and n 7. 552, 553 n 5, 562 n, 573 n 9, 575 and n.9. 576 n.6. 577 n. 579 n 4. 583 and n, 584 us 2 and 3, 589 s, 591, 594 n 1, 598 and n 8, 602 and m 4, 604 n 9, 605 and n i, 606 and #8, 607 s and #6, 608 and ##8 and 9, 609 and wand am 3 and 5, 610 x 7, 611, 612 n 6, 614 x 8, 615 and n 1, 617 n, 618 n, 619, 620 and m 4, 621 n 6, 622 n, 623, 624 m and a 3, 625, 627 a 5, 628 and a 8, 629,

680 and n 2,681,684 nn 9, 2 and 8, 685 and n 9, 636 and n 3, 637 n 8, 639, 640 and n S, 644 and n, 645 and n. 646, 647 and n 9, 648, 649 and n.6. 652, 655, 656 and n. 659 and m and m 4, 660 and m 9, 662, 666 n, 667, 668 n, 670 n 2. 672 n. 675, 676, 677 and n 6, 679, 680 n, 683 and n 7, 684 and nn 8 and 9, 686, 687 and n 9, 688 and n and nn 8 and 4, 690 n 8, 691 n, 692, 693 n 2, 695 and n 9, 696 n, 698, 700 and n 8, 701 and n 1, 703, 701, 705 and n 7, 706, 707 n 6, 708 and n 1. 709 and n 710, 711 and n 4, 712 n.6. 715 716 n.5. 717 n. 718 n. 720 n 1, 722 and n 6, 724, 725 and n 5, 727 n 7, 728, 730 n 7, 735 738, 741 and n 2, 745 n 2, 747, 749 n 2, 753, 756 and n 3, 757 n 5, 758 n 9, 761, 763, 767 n 1, 768 n 4, 769, 771 n, 772 n, 773 n, 774 n, 775 n, 776 n. 777 n. 778 n. 779, 780 and n 5, 782 n, 783 and n 9, 784 n and n6, 785, 786 and n6, 788 and nn 9 and 1, 789, 790, 791 n 1, 792 n 9, 793 nn 3 and 7, 794 nn 9 and 1, 795 n, 796, 797 and n 5, 801, 806, 809, 811 n 8, 812 nn 2 and 3, 814, 815 # 8, 816 and n 4, 817 # 7, 821 # 6, 823 n and n 3, 825, 830 and n 5, 834, 837 and n 2, 838 n 7, 839, 840 and n 2, 841 and n 5, 844 and n 4, 845 and n, 846 nn 5 and 6, 847 n 2, 848, 849 and n 7, 850 n 6, 851 n 8, 855, 867 n 3, 860, 861 and n. 862 n 8, 863 n 3, 864, 878 n. 884 n. 910 n. 912 n. 932 n. 934 n. 936 n 6, 948 n, 961 n, 1002 n 6, 1045 m, 1129 and n 1, 1131 n, 1135 n 3, 1136, 1145 n, 1155 n 6, 1156, 1174 and n 2, 1181 n 2, 1184 n,

1201 m, 1208 m, 1224 m, 1225 n, 1259 m 3, 1271 m, 1274 m 1, 1275 m 3, 1285, 1288, —app. i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi, vii, xix, xxiii, xxiv, xxv.

Dnlah [Tigris], the, hx, 64, 243 m 6, 712 m, 1233, 1237 m 6, 1288, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1241 n 1, 1243 and n, 1245, 1261 n, 1268 n n 8 and 9, 1282 n See also under the Tigris.

Dilam, territory of, 15, 55 n 1, 58, 59, 94 m 3, 187, 996 n, 1158 n, 1234 n 4

1234 n 4
Dilem, 996 n, for Dilam, which see.
Dilün-Yıldük or Dilün Yüldük,—a
place in Mughülistän, 938 n. 944 n

Dimashk See under Damashk Dimawand, an error for Damawand See page Ix

Dimyst [Damietts],—a town in the Diysi-i-Misriah, 226 n 8, 228 n 4, 229 n 5.

Dînājpūr,—a district in the territory of Lakhanawati, 559 n, 562 n, 563 n, 591 n.

Dinal pur, 795 n, for Dibal-pur.

Dînaur, 1239 a, or

Dînawar, 996 n, or

Dinawr,—a town in the 'Irak i'Ajam, 13, 151 n 6, 242 n 6, 096 n,
1239 n

Din-ghiz or Tin-ghiz [Lake] Bâlkash,—a lake of hiughühstän, 920 a [see page h

Dînjā-pūr, an error for Dinājpar, Dinkoţ,—a town on the bank of the Indus, 291 s

Dînür,—a place between Ghaznîn and the Märgalah Pass, 97 n.

Diul,—a city in the month of the river Sindh, 295 a See under Dibal and Diwal Diwah, the,—a river of Lakhayawati, 586 a 9.

Diwal or Dibal,—one of the chief cities of find, 294 n, 295 n, 452 and a 2, 491 n 5, 532, 534 and a 1, 614 n 8, 615, 641, 668 n, 1154. See also under Dibal.

Diwgir [Dharégarh], in the Dakhan, 589 s, 1216 s

Diw-ket or Dib-ket,—a city of Lakhannwati on the eastern side of the river Gnng, 562 n, 563 n, 864 n, 565, 571 n 2, 572 and n 4, 574 and nn 3 and 7, 575 and n, 576 and n 3, 578 and n 2, 585 n 6, 586, 591 n

Diyar i-Bakr,—a district in Mesopotamia, 136 n, 187, 169 n 7, 204 n, 206, 210, 222, 224, 298, 883, 996 n, 1141 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1263, 1264 n, 1268 n 0, 1270 n 2, 1280 n 5

Diyêr-: Misrîah, 140 n 2, 209 n 6, 210 n, 212 n 1, 217 n, 216 n and n 5, 222 n 8 and 9, 226 n 7, 228 n 4, 229 n 5, 230 n See also under Egypt and Misr

Do-ab or Do abah, the, -- of the

Bills and the Riwi, 550 n 6, 663 n 9, 679, 705 n 7, 740, 811 n 8, 1131 n, 1155 n 6, 1156 n 7.

Do-abah, the, --- of the Gang and the Jun, 816.

Dolāb-1-Bakul—a place in Baghdād, 1243 a

Doli Shing,—a fortified city in the country of Tinguash, 1220 n

Don, the, 1000 n, 1001 n, 1170 n 8 and n.

Dooshak, or Jalalabad, capital of Signifan, 186 x 7.

Donb, 921 n

Dufflah, 566 # 8

Du-in,—a city of Azarbāijān, 170 n 8

Dojg-i-Kamili,—a fortress in the Kuhistan of the Mulähidah, 1192 n

Du-mandesh,—a plac in the country of Ghur, 808 s 9

Dantoor, 96 n 2, wrong name of Depúr, which see.

Dardhan, 561 a 8, for Burdhankot, which see

Durmashān, 392 # 6 See also under Darmash

E.

Eastern <u>Kh</u>nväsän, 403 n Eastern Mungolia, 886 n Eastern Lerma, 1119 n

Echatana, the ancient name of Hamadan, 996 s

Edessa,—a town of Mesopotamia, the Ruhā of Arab geographers, 225 n 4

Egrigaia,—the name given by Marco Polo to Iriki or Irikis, the

capital of lingket or Kärbin, 952 m

Egypt, hv, 13 n6, 101 n8, 139, 140 nn 2 and 5, 209 m5 and 6, 212 n1, 215 n9, 220 n3, 221 n6, 225 n4, 226 n8, 603 n7, 766, 829 n9, 1189, 1275 n3. See also under the Dipir-i-Misriah and Misr.

Ekdala,—Europeauzed form of Akdālah, which see, 590 s. England, 309 n, 718 n

Erbil, 1001 n See under Arbil of

Āzarbēijān.

Eski Saghra, 1100 n

Euphrates, the, 135 and n 1, 140

n 2, 1241, 1256 n 6, 1260 n 5,

1264 n, 1275 n 2. See also the Furit.

Europe, vi, 157 n 3, 214 n 9, 886 n, 1028 n, 1194, 1283 n 1,—app. v.

Euxue, the, 886 n. See also under the Black See.

P

Fadas, 875 × 6 another name of Fans, which see

Fai-fong-fu, the ancient Taiming and the Pian-kin of Europeans, 1126 # 9

Fa; Hanisār,—a Pass in the mountains of Ghür, vlix, 319 nS, 441 n7.

Paj of Khūesūr,—a Pass in the mountains of Ghūi, 319

Fallen Minär, - Pratah Minärah, the, a fortiess on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n

Fanökat,—a city of Mäwarā-un-Nahr, also called ash-Shūsh, now known as Tāshkand, 19 n ≥ 28 n 8, 261 n, 932 n, 1083 n, 1084 n

Fanākat, the, [the Sihūn or Jaxattes], 263 n, 931 n, 932, 972 n, 973 n, 975 n 5, 987 See also under the Sihūn and Jazates

Fong-ching or Mang-ching,—a Chinese province, 1221 n

Fār-āb or Fār-yāb, -- a territory and city of Turkistān, 399 n 7, 915 n, 920 n, 921 n, 962 n, 969 n 1 Also called Utrār, which see See also under Fāryāb

Farah or Farah,—a city of Sistan or Sijistan, xxv, 195, 201 and n 2, 233, 397, 472, 480 n, 1122 n, 1200 n, 1203, 1204. Färes or Bäres,—a district in the territory of Khurasën, 342, 375 and #6, 390 and #7, 398 and #5.
Fär-äw, 399 #7, another name of Fär-väh and Fär-äb, which see.

Farawah,—a district and city in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsan, 122, 123 n, 124 n, 125, 129 n

Targhänah,—a district in Mäwarä-un-Nahr, 27 and n 6, 28, 29, 43, 52, 260, 465 n, 889 n, 890 n, 903 n, 914 n, 015 n, 916 n, 019, 921 n, 923 n, 970 n 2, 980, 1146.

Farhad-gurd,—a place at a short distance of Nishapur, 16 and n5

Farman-dih,—a place in the territory of the Kuhistan, 1197

Farrokhābād,—a district of Hindustān, 470 n 1

Fars, province of, 23 and n9, 24, 31 n 3, 34 n 6, 55 n 1, 56 n, 61 n 4, 62, 63, 65, 66 n 7, 84 and n 6, 133, 137, 148, 155 n 6, 169 and n 7, 173 and n 4 and 5, 174 and n, 175 and n 8, 176 and n 1, 177 and n 6, 178 and nn 7 and 8, 179 and n 9, 180 n, 183 n 2, 184 n, 185 n, 187, 265, 266 n, 277 n 5 283 n 9, 294, 295 and n 6, 296, 299, 304 n 2, 882, 934 n, 991 n, 1011 n, 1110, 1118, 1119, 1151 n, 1228, 1234

w8, 1248 m, 1262 m, 1268 m9, app. xxi.

Farus, 867 x 7, another name of Faras, which see.

Farwan,—another name of Barwan, the city between Ghamin and Balkh, which see, 268 s 3

Farwän or Parwän,—a town on the Pany-hir river, 284 z 3.

Făryāb or Fârāb —a territory and oity of Turkistān, 56 n 3 128 r. 243 n 8, 378, 391 399 and n 7, 920 n, 1009 n. 1128 r. Soe also under Fārāb

Fastāt or Fustāt, another name of Misr [Catro], 1274 a

Fath-hödd,—a place between Charnin and the Margalah Pass, founded by Sultan Mandad, son of Sultan Masud of Charnin, 97 a

Fath-ghar,—a town of Hindüstan. 470 a 1

Fath 1-Jang —a district in the Rawal Pindi Division of the Ponjob 537 s

Filingue or Anhar—a town on the Eurhrates, 135 n 1

Feraber,—a town of Bukhun, 964 n 2

Fernoakooh, for Finus koh, which

Filistin [Palestine], 215, 224 See also under Palestine.

Firbad,-or

Fubar—a fortress of Khwarazm on the river Jihun, 137 n4

Firuz ālaid,—now called the Kotilah of Firuz Shah, one of the auburbs of Dildi, 590 n

Firezabad, a town on the site of the aucient city of Chand-wan, on the banks of the Jun or Yamuna, 742 = 9.

Firûs-abad or

Firusi gateway,—one of the quarters of Hirat, 1036 n 1

Firuzi Bagh.—a garden of Ghaznin, 87 a.

Figur-koh, capital of the Bilad-ul-Jibal of Char, xxii, xlvi, l, 115, 150 242 n 6, 244, 245, 253, 282 n, 285, 310, 337, 239 x 1, 339 and n7, 340, 311, 344, 318 n, 349 n, 350, 356 and a 2, 357, 358, 361, 862, 364, 365, 369 279, 871 and n 3, 372, 377, 386, 389 393, 394 and a and a 3, 395, 396 397 and an 5 and 3, 398, 399 400 and a 3, 402 s, 403 and s, 404, 405 and # 1, 146, 107 and # 5, 448 449, 111 and s, 412 s, 113 s 1 414, 115, 417, 418, 419 » 5, 424, 429, 130, 447, 156, 161 u, 172 and u.Z. 480 n, 487, 190, 501 and n 5, 502, 523 n 525 n, 553 and n.b. 772 n. 1002 # 7, 1004 1006 1007, 1047, 1015, 1055 1056 1057 and a 4, 1073, 1199 a, 1207 a

Tiraz kolu, 574 s

Fir or par,—a town of Hindustan,

Figure —a district and town between <u>Charpston</u> and Faras, 260, 342, 375, 376 a 390, 449, 502 a 6, 1003, 4026 1053, 1054, 1055, 1060 1061 a 7.

Fu chen Fu,—a town in the Chinese province of Se chien 1222 n

Fulad -a town in the Irak r 'Ajnm, 991 n

Fulad-Sum,—a city in the Kinhghai territory, 922 n, 986 n,

Fur Pur or Porus country of .-

a territory in Hundústán, 536 s.

Farst [Euphrates], the, 135, 136 s, 1240 s, 1241 and s I, 1261 s 7, 1264 s, 1275 s 2, 1277 s. See also under the Euphrates

Furshor, -- same as Burshor, which

Füshanj, also written Büshanj,-a

tract of country in <u>Khuršsān</u> near Hirāt, lxiii, 11 and a 4, 23, 36 a 9, 49 a 9, 81 a, 185 a, 186 a, 247, 377, 391, 991, 992, 1027 a 8, 1039.

Fustat or Fastat,—another name of Mast [Caro], 1278 a Futtuhahad, moorrect spelling of Fath-abad, which see.

G.

Gabar,—a town in the country of Balaws, 1048 n l

Gabari, an error for Gibari, see page lv.

Gabar-kot or Gibar-kot,—a fortress in Bäjawr, xlvi, 1044 n.

Gadhah-Katankah or Kadhah Katankah, also called Gondwânah, —a territory of Hindüstân, 587 n 4, 591 n.

Gadhī,—a town of Bangālah, 592 n 593 n.

Gab or Käh,—a Kala' or fortress in Sijistän, 35 »

Galwā-ī or Kalwā-ī,-or

Galwā-in or Kalwā-in,—a district of Hindustin, 576 n

Gandah-har, 1216 s, for Gandhar, which see

Gandhār,—a town or city on the banks of the river Siad, 77 n, 78 n

Gandhar,—the name given by the Mughals to the country between Hind and Tibbat, 1216 n, 1217 n Called Kandhar by the Musalmans and Mahā-Chim by the Hindus.

Gändhärah, the ancient name given by the Hindüs to the hilly country on the frontier of Hindüstan, 537 »

Gang [Ganges], the See under the Ganges

Ganges, the, 86 n, 107, 468 n 4, 460 n, 524 n, 550 n n 5 and 6, 551 n, 559 n 2, 561, 562 n, 564 n, 582 n 6, 585 n, 658 n 6 and n 6, 586 n, 589 n, 593 n 6 and n 611 n 3, 618 n, 629 n 6, 639, 646, 667 n 3, 696 n 3, 697 and n, 737 n 7, 739 n 5, 710 n 8, 763 n 1, 809, 816, 838, 1021 n 8,—app xxii

Gang pur,—a district of Bhāt ih or Bhāt i, 588 n

Gangüri or Kankürî,-a district of Hindüstän, 575 and n 2.

Ganjah,—a town of Gharjistan, in Khuišian, 141 n, 170 n 8, 998 n. Ganjirūt, for Wanjrūt, the territory in the Multan province, 723

Gan-nan, the former name of Kochin-China, 1221 n, 1222 n

Garanah or Garanah, the,-a river bounding the Gwaliyar territory

- on the east and falling into the Jan or Yamuna, 783 x 7, 825.
- Gardais,—a district and town in the <u>Ghannia</u> territory, 435 n 2, 449 and n 9, 491, 498 n 7, 505, 518 n, 586 n, 588 n, 901 n.
- Garden, lv. 901 st. Same as Gardan, which also see.
- Gari Kapura'h, the Afghin name of the fortress of Giri near the Sind or Indus, lv.
- Gariw or Karıw,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 344 n l
- Gariwah of Süntie the Nü yin,—a tract of country to the west of Baghdad, 1239 s.
- Garmair,—a tract of country in the territory of Khuranan, xivi, hx, 16 n 3, 107, 108 n, 148, 253, 341, 342, 350, 374 and n 3, 393, 395, 397, 398, 448 and n 3, 472, 501, 540, 548 and n 3, 580, 878 n, 1006, 1014 n 3, 1015 n, 1016, 1075 n, 1133, 1226,—app. xx
- Garwa—a place near Sheorájpúr in Parganah Barah of Allahab id 653 a
- Gasguri or Kashuri,—a district of Handustan, 575 s 2
- Gandah or Gaur,—a division of the country of Bangalah, 558 *7 559 *2, 592 *n. See also under Gaur
- Gaur or Gaudah,—a division of the country of Bangalah, 558 ms 7 and 8, 559 m 2, 564 m, 576 n 5, 582 n 6, 585 n 6, 588, 590 n, 592 n, 595 n 2, 617 n, 618 n, 778 n
- Gawsehir, also called Bardasir,—a town in the territory of Kuman, 281 s 5, 283 and s 9, 2×1 and s 1, 286 s 2, 295 s, 934 s, 1119

- Gaz, Darah of,--a valley near the city of Baikh, 128 m.
- Gasiw or Kasiw,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 344 and a l.
- Gasmin, 1020 n, wrong spelling of Ghaznin.
- Genos. 1000 v.
- Georgia, 296, 996 s. 1001 s. See also under <u>Gh</u>arjistän and Gurjistän
- Getes, Europeanised name of Jutsh, which see, 959 n.
- Ghaghar, the,—a tributary of the Indus, 80 s.
- Ghara, the,—a river of Hindustan, 533 n, 541 n 6, 723 n 1, 813 n, 1155 n 6
- Gharchistau, same as Gharjistan, which see
- Gharrahtan, same as Gharratan, which see
- Gharjah, -a place in the vicinity of Samrkand, 233 s
- Gharnstau Georgia 1.-a district or province of Khurāsān, xxi, 80 n5, 95, 113 n, 115, 298 n, 341 and a 6, 342, 348, 350, 463, 367, 369, 370 and a 372, 374 a 3, 376. 885, 390, 394, 394, 396, 397, 398, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 n 5, 428 n 8, 424 u 3, 427 n, 429 n 8, 431, 456, 577 a, 918, 1003, 1008, 1011 n, 1020 n, 1029 n, 1036 n, 1038 a 6, 1048 and a 7, 1055, 1056 m, 1071 n 3, 1072 and nm 7 and 1, 1077, 1199 a,: 1200 a, 1201 n See also under Gurjustān
- Gharman [Inghar 1-Ghazz],—a territory of Turkistän, 962 n. Chazzstän, for Ghazzstän, which see, 1071 n.2

Ghaznah, same as Ghaznin, which see.

Ghazni, another way of writing the word Ghaznin

Ghaznīn, vii, xii, xiv, xix, xx, xxi, uni, uni e 2, univ, unvi, unui, zivi, zhx, 1, 22, 27, 41 u, 13 and nn 4 and 5, 46, 49, 50 n 3, 71 and n 5, 72 and n 6, 73 and nn 7 and 8, 74 and mn 2 and 3, 76, 79 n, 82 and n 2, 85 n, 86 n, 87 n, 88 and n 2, 89 and n 8, 90, 91 n 8, 92 n 3, 93, 95 and 207 and 9, 96 and un 1 and 2, 97 and n, 98 and an 5 and 6, 99, 100, 102 n 1, 104, 105 n and n 6, 107, 108 and n and nn 2 and 5, 109, 110 and n 5, 111, 112 and un 3 and 5, 113 n 111 n, 115, 117 n, 124 n 4, 130 132 and n 9, 183, 139, 143 n 2, 148 and n 5 149 and a 2, 186 n 189, 190, 231, 236 n 6, 243, 244 n, 248 and n 1, 252, 253, 255 and n 7, 256 and n, 257 n 2, 258 n, 265 n 4, 267 and n 7, 275 n, 285 and n 5, 286 and n 7, 287 and a 9, 285 a 3, 289 a, 290 and n and n 4, 291 n, 307, 308 n 2, 310, 313 n 4, 316 n and n 2, 317 n and n 3, 319 n 5, 321 and n 6 323 n, 324 n, 326 n. 329, 330, 331 n 2, 332 and n 4. 333, 337 and u 7 335 un 1 and 2, 339 and na 7 and 8, 340, 341 and n 7, 342 343 and n 3, 344, 345, 346, 347 and n 2, 349 n 349 n 350 and n, 351 n, 353 n, 354, 355 and n, 356 and n and n 2, 357 n 7 358 n 2, 361 n 6, 369 n 370 n 2, 374 n 2, 376 and n 2, 377 and an 4, 5 and 6 378 379 ind an Land 5, 380 and » 9 382, 384 390, 391 ind #8, 392 ind #96 394 395 398 and m. 7, 8 and 1 am unt .

401 n, 408 n, 405 n 3, 412, 413 and n 1, 415, 416 and n 3, 417, 418, 410 n 5, 421, 422, 423 n 8, 427 and s. 428 s I. 429, 431, 432 and n 1, 433 and n, 434 and n. 435 and n 2, 438 and n 2, 439 and n 5, 440 and #6, 441 and #7, 443 and #, 446 n and n 3, 447 n 6, 148 and n 8, 449 and # 8, 450 n, 451 n, 453 n 4, 451n, 456, 458, 460 n 3, 461 n, 462, 464 n, 467 n, 469 n, 470, 471 n and n 5, 473, 474, 473 n 2, 476 n, 480 n, 481, 482 n, 483 n, 484 and n, 486 and n and n 5, 487, 488, 489 and n 2, 192 and n 7, 494 and n. 491, 495 and # 4, 498 n and # 6, 499 a 8, 500 and a 3, 501 and a and a 5, 502 and a 6, 503 and a 8, 504 and a 4, 505 and a and a 5, 506 and a 9, 509 a 510 a, 513 and n 8, 514, 515, 516 a 2 517 a, 518 a, 519 a, 520 n 521 n 522 n, 523 n 5 and n, 526 n S, 527 and n 528 n 2, 512, 533, 534 and a 5, 536 n, 537 n. 538 n, 541 n and n 7, 545 n 5, 546 n 7, 544, 549, 550 n 6, 575 n 9, 576 n 6, 577 and n 578, 601 602 and n 4 604 607 and n 5 612 n 5, 614 n8 624 n8, 627 n 5, 631 n 7, 633 #6 155, 659 #, 000 n 5 692 n 3 725 and n 5, 790, 795 u, 874 n, 881, 901 " 901 n, 905 n, 926 u, 936 n 6, 964 a 2, 959 a 1002 and a 6, 1005, 1006 1010 a, 1011 a, 1012, 1013, 1011 n2 1015 n, 1016 and n 3, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1021 and n 8, 1022 n, 1023, 1042 and n 5, 1043 1047 and a 4, 1050 a, 1057 and a 4, 10001 . 5, 1002 a 7, 1065, 1671 mind, 1672 and a 5 and 5 1973 red of 1975 n. 1881 of 1 32 I lebe 1109 1119, 1126,

1126, 1129, 1121, 1132 n, 1133, 1145 n, 1152, 1155 n G, 1193 n S, 1202 n, \$203 n,—app iii, vi, xvii, xviii, xxvi

Ghoucscrai, 971 n, for Kiwak Sarne, Which see

Chiyêr pûr or Shahr-i-Zaghan, one of the suburbs of Dihli, 598 n S

Ghizm, for Ghaznin, which see Ghizny, for Ghaznin, which see Ghograh, the,—a river of Hindustan, 700 n 7

Ghoor, for Chur, which see Ghor, for Chur, which see Ghora Trap, or the Herse's Leap, a place on the west bank of the Indus, 292 n

Ghore, for <u>Gh</u>ür, which see Ghou, for <u>Gh</u>ur.

Ghū-Buligh the name given to the city of Billion ghan by the Wa ghols, lym, 264 n 917 n, 918 n, 980 n 7

Chu-lialik, same as Chu-Baligh, which sie

Ghulghuleh, 1058 n.6 Same as Gulgutth, which see.

Char, xii, xii, xx, xxi, xxii xxii n 2, xxiv, xxv, xxxii, h, 74-84 and n 7-106 n 3, 110 and n 5, 111, 112 and n 5, 114 n, 115, 149 and n 8, 150, 155 n 3, 181 and n 9-182 192 and n 1-197-189-201, 241, 237 n 8, 248-240 i, 243 ind n 9-214 n, 245 and n n 6 and 7-246 and n 9-248-252-253, 255 and n 7-256 and i-257 and i-2-258 n 265 n 4, 266, 267, 274-461-9 276, 282, 285, 287 i 9-360-362, 305 n 7, 306 ind n 9-n d 5-207 i, 308 and n 2, 309, 441 and 2-2-312 313 and # 4. \$14, \$15, \$16 and # and # 2, 317, 318 and ## 9 and 1. 319 and a 5. 320 and an 3 and 5. 321 and as 6 and 7, 822 s, 328 and n. 324 and n. 825 n. 826 n. 327 m. 328 and #8 and m. 329 and n. 330, 331 and n 1, 832 and n 5, 333 and n 6 334, 335 and n 3, 336 nu 4 and 5, 337 and nu 6 and 7, 338 and su 1 and 2, 339 u 7, 341 and a 7, 342, 343 and a 3, 344, 345, 846, 347 and n 2, 348 n, 349 and n, 350 and n, 351, 352, 355 and n7, 358 and nn 2 and 3, 359 and n, 361 and nn 6 and 7, 362, 363 and # 6, 364, 365, 366, 367. 368, 369 and u.5, 370, 371 and n 3, 372, 374, 375 n, 376, 377 and n 5 378, 379 and n 6, 380, 382, 385, 357, 384 389, 390, 391 n.2. 392, 393 and a 8, 394 and a, 395. 396, 397 and n 3, 395, 399 and n 3, 400 na 1 and 3, 402 n, 403 n, 407 n6 405, ko, 110 n5, 411 n, 413, 414, 415, 416 and an 9 and 2, 417 and n9, 418, 419 n5, 420, 421, 421 421 and # 3, 425, 427, 431 and n 7, 432, 439 and wit. 440 and n 6, 141 n 7, 442 n, 443 n. 446 and n 5, 447, 444 and n 3, 449, 456, 465 n, 471 n 5, 172 and n 7, 473 and n.2, 471, 180 n 489, 491 and n 8, 492 and n 7, 193 n, 491 n 1. 196 198 n. 502, 503, 504 n.2. 509 n, 510 n, 514 515, 519 n, 522 n, 525 n 534 539 and n 2, 548, 550 76 578, 580 581 582 n, 612, 626 and #7, 612 #9 725, 750 a 7. 573 n 877 n 878 n, 881, 894 n, 918. 922 925, 926 a 928 a, 929 a, 936 n6 980 1002 and n9, 1003 at din, 1004, 1005 1006 1007, 1008,

1010 m. 1018 and m6. 1017 m. 1018 m. 1019 m. 1020 m. 1029 m. 1036 n. 1039, 1045 n, 1047, 1048, 1051 n 4, 1055, 1056 and n and ml, 1058 and m6, 1059 n9, 1061 m 9, 1062, 1063 and #8, 1065, 1070 and n 7, 1071, 1072 n 5, 1073, 1075 m, 1076 m, 1079, 1106, 1108 n 1, 1109, 1119, 1126, 1128, 1132 and n, 1133, 1188 n, 1198 n 8, 1199 and n. 1201 n. 1202 n. 1203 and a, 1205, 1226, -app xvi, xix Ghuzak, 'Ukbah of,-a pass in a lofty mountain of Northern India, 87 %. Ghuss,-a country bordering on Turkistān, 962 n.

Ghussistan, country of the Ghuss tribes, 980, 1071 n 2

Gibari, - a tract of country north of the Käbnl river, between the Kamën and the Landey Sind, iv, 1043 and n 1, 1044 and n, 1045, 1047, 1078, 1081

Gibar-koţ or Gabar kot,—a fortress in Bājawṛ, xlvi, 1044 n

Glän,—a province south of the Caspian, 15, 278 n, 991 n, 1029 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1192 n, 1208 n, 1234 n 4, 1286 n 9.

Gilgit,—a city or country in the Koh-i-Karā-chal, in the Himālayah, 1046 n 3

Gilū-Khari,—one of the suburbs of Dihli, 598 n 8, 622 n, 634 and n 2, 636 and n 2, 709, 856, 857

Giră, 95 n 5, for Girî or Girî, which

Gird-gan or Kodakan,—kashah or town of, 1013 and n 1

Girdkjuk, 1193 n. for Gird Koh, which see

Gird-Koh,-a strong fortress in the

Kuhistan of the Mulähideh, 991 », 1188 » 8, 1192 », 1193 », 1206 and n 3, 1207 », 1209 », 1210 », 1211 », Girefte, 1119 », for Jiraft, which see.

Girî or Gîrî,—a fortress near the Sind or Indus, zivi, 78 n, 95 and n 5, 1043 n 1

Go-balig, for Ghü-Baligh, which see, 918 n.

Gobi or Shamo desort, of Turkistan, 951 n, 961 n See also under the Kohi Desort

Godagån or Kodskän,—kasbah or town of, 1018 n 1.

Golden River—Altan Kol, - the, 981 a

Golith's Spring-'Ayn-1-Jalüt, in Syria, 1277 n.

Gondwänsh, another name of Kadhah or Gadhah-Katsukah, 587 n 4, 588 n

Goolgooleh, 1025 n Same as Gulgulth, which see

Goonahad,-Junehad or Gunahad, as written in the mais, 394 x 2

Goshah 1-114b,—a place between Firuz koh and Hirát, 351 n 8

Gour, for Gaur, which see.

Gonr, for Ghur, which see

Gonro, 552 x 6, for Gaur, which see. Govindganj, a town on the Karataya river,—app zxi.

Gowahati or Gowahatty,—a town in Western Kämrüd, 568 n, 564 n, 565 n.

Gowk,—a district in the territory of Kirman 200 a 6.

Gran —a town of Hungary, 1168 a. Grandhak the,—a name of the river ling mati, which see, 561 a 1.

Great Armenia, PUL n.

- Great Borki, -- Borki-i-Busurg, --
- Great Butharia, 920 m, 921 m. The Mawarë-un-Nahr of Oriental Geographers.
- Great Russia, 1167 m.
- Gubalik, for Ghü-Bäligh, which see, 917 n, 918 s.
- Gudawari, the,—the southern boundary of Jaj-nagar, 588 m.
- Güjāh or Kūjāh,—a dustriot of Hindūstān, lxii, 627, 750 n 6.
- Gujatāt, 82, 88 n 2, 517 n, 519 n, 521 n, 522 n, 522 n, 602, 646, 723 n 1, 800 n 8. See also under Guzetāt.
- Gujzarwan, the correct name of Guzarwan, which see, zlvff, 235 m 2.
- Gülgülih,—a town of Bâmian, 427 s, 1025 n. 1058 n 6
- Gumal, the,—a river south of Kar man, 1, 498 n 7
- Gumbaz 1-Balüch, Balüj or Balüt,—
 a place on the east aide of Sistan,
 194 and n 8
- Gum-rahān,—a place between Damyak and Bāmiān, 492 n 7
- Gumul, the, I See under the Gu-
- Günsbid or Janübüd,—a town in the Kuhistin between Tabas and Hitüt, 394 n 2 See also under Janübid.
- Genah of Künah-waz,—a place in the country of Ghür, 351 n 8.
- Gangārām-pūr,—a town between Lakhanawaţi and Dinâj pūr, 501 n
- Gui-i-Walisht,—a stronghold on the route between Ghaznin and Vandesh, 319 n 5

- Gurbat or Kurbat,—a fortress in the district of Hamadan, in the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 997 m.
- Gurdwan, 235 n 2, for Gasarwan, which see.
- Gurgān or Gürgān,—a district and town cast of the Caspian, 22, 22, 22, 33, 43, 44, 45, 48, 51, 56, 104, 123 and n 3, 278 n, 296 n, 963 n. Called Jurjān or Jūrjān by the Arabs, which see.
- Garganish or Jurjāniah, chief city of Khwarasm, 84 n 8. See also under Jurjāniah.
- Gur-gānj, the capital city of <u>Kh</u>wāraxm as called by the 'Ajamīs, zlviti, 908 n, 1097 n.7. It is the Urgan; of the Turks and the Jurjāniah of the Arabs.
- Gurjistāu [Georgia],—a district or province of Khurāsān, 144 m, 296, 297 n, 996 n, 1151 n, 1152 n, 1195 n See also under Charlistāu.
- Gurmseer, 16 a 3, wrong spelling of Garmsir, which see.
- Gurmschl, 16 a 3, wrong spelling of Garmsir, which see
- Gürwan, 235 x 2, for Guzarwan, which see
- Gurar, the chief town of the territory of Färab in Turkistan, 941 s
- Guzarwan, up the valley of the Murgh-ab river, zivii, 235 and #2, 398, 402 m, 433 m7, 475 m8, 917, 1003 The Juzarwan of the Arabs, which see.
- Guzerāt, 511 n, 522 n, 1074 n. See also under Gujarāt.
- Guz gan or Jüzjan,-a district on the north-west frontier of Chur,

zz, zzi, ziviil, 1008 a S. See also ander Jüziān,

Queganin or Jürjanin,—a tract of country to the north of Ghur, ax, axi, alvin, 325 n. See also nader Jürjanin.

Guarud,—a town m the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 995 m

Gwalior, for Gwaliyar, which see. Gwaliyar, xxvi, xxvii, hii, 470, 471 n, 491, 521 n, 545 and n 5, 546 and n 7, 547 n, 604 and n 8, 619 and n 7, 620 and n 1, 623 n 9, 627, 631, 638, 639 n 8, 643 and n 4, 644 and n, 667, 690, 712, 713 n 2, 714 n 9, 732, 733 and n 7, 734, 735 n 9, 743, 745, 752, 754, 755, 761, 779, 788 n 9, 794, 824 and nn 7 and 1, 849, 884 n, 1284, 1286.

H.

Hudar-žbād, the city in the Dakhao, vii [Sind, 540 n Haidar-žbād, in the province of Hajar,—a district of Arabia, 179 n 3 Now called the Bahrain Hāji-nagar or Jāji-nagar,—a town of Hindūstān, 590 n

Hāji-Turkhān, afterwards called Haghtur Khūn,—a city on the Atil [the Volge] iver, 913 m, 1000 n, 1290 n 9 The Astrakhan of Europeaus.

Hakat or Jakut,—a town of Turmatin, 961 n

Hakrā, the,—a river separating between Wanj-rut and Bikānīr, now the build or Ghārā, 723 a 1, 881 a 8

Halab [Ateppo],—a city in the Diyār-i-Shēmiah, 169 n.7, 173 n.4, 204 n., 205 n.6, 206 and n.7, 207 and n.8, 222 n.8, 225 n.4, 1151 n., 1152 n., 1186 n., 1239 n., 1256 n.6, 1261 n.7, 1262, 1263 n.8, 1264 n., 1267 n.6, 1274 n., 1275, 1276 n., 1278 n., 1286 n.9

Haimand, the,—the Helmand of the maps, 441 n 7. Hamadan,—a district and town in the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 10, 59, 61 n 4, 88 n 7, 93, 143 n 2, 151 n 6, 166, 170 n 8, 174 n, 242 n 8, 250 n 4, 251 n 9, 266 n, 994 n, 995 n, 896 n, 997 n, 1227 n 5, 1228 n, 1238 n 8, 1239 n, 1240 n, 1244 n, 1260 n 6, 1262 n

Hamāh,- a town in nah-Shām [Syria], 204 n, 206 n 7

Hömil or Katë-Jang,—a territory between Tibbat, Khita and Rind, 1216 s

Han, the,—a mountain west of Pekin, 1090 n

Han chong-Fú,—a town in the Chimese province of Shen-si, 1222 n

Hang-chew,—the metropole of Che-kyang and the empire of the Song, 1219 a

Hanli,—a ferry over the river Jhilam, 485 n 3.

Hensi,—a province of Rindüstän mmn, 93 n 9, 124 n 1, 448 and n 4, 469 n 9, 491, 517 n, 520 n, 611 m 3, 628, 634, 664, 674, 681, 687, 668, 693 and n 8, 694, 695, 699, 708, 786, 732, 767 n 8, 798 n 8, 807, 626 and s 3, 827 and sm 5 and 8, 831, 833, 887, 860,—app. ii, v.

Harāwat,—a ķaşbah or town in Bindûstân, 612 n 7.

Hardwar [Brad-war],—a town of Hindustan, 799 a 2

Hari, another name of the district and city of livet, which see.

Hari, the, 372, 441 x 7. See under the Hari-rud

Harianah,—a district of Hindustan, west of Dihli, 791 s 1, 850.

Hari-rud or Hariw, the,—the river of Hirst, 258 n, 259 n 3, 358 n 3, 407 n 6. See also under the river Hari.

Hariw, the,—the river of Hirst, 258 m 3 See also under the Haririid.

Hariw-ar-Rud, velley of the Hari river, 358 and a 3, 372, 417

Harmas or Jarmas,—a district of Ghür, 388 n 9.

Harrin,-a town in ash-Shim [Syrm], 225 n 4, 1162 n, 1264 n.

Harrab,—the voig name of the derah of Iri ab in the province of Karmin, 499 a

Harus,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 326 m. Also called Kharus and Jaras [Arabia, 179 n 3

Hasī, Al-, - a district and town of Hasan Abdel,—a town of Hindüstün between Räwal Pindi and Attak, 95 n.4.

Hashoùe,—a place outside the city of Sistan, 192 and a 8

Bushtar Khan, the Astrakhan of Europeans 943 a See Haji Tarkhan. Haght-nagar Do Shah, near the Kábul river and the Sind, 586 n. Haghue, same as Haghuie, which ace.

Hasirah,—a halting-place in Hindüstän, 693 and z 7, 826 and z 1. Hasie, same as Hushnie, which see. Haus-rani,—name of a plan in Hudüstän, 641 z 6

Haytal,—the territory of Khatlan, a dependency of Badskhahan, 428 a 8, 1144 a 6

Hāsam,—a strong fortress went of Halab, in the Dıyār-ı-Shāmlah, 264 n.

Hasārah-i-Kārlūķ or Ķārlūgh, the, —a territory in Northern India, 1132 s.

Hasar-asp,—a district and town of <u>Kh</u>warasm, on the <u>Kh</u>urasan side, 165 n, 236 n 6, 237 n, 473 n 2, 973 n, 1101 n 1.

Hazār-<u>Chash</u>mah [the thousand springs],—a district in the mountains of <u>Ch</u>ūr, 806 and * 5

Hazar-Darakht,- or

Hazār-Darakhtāu,—a place northeast of Ghaznin, 1, 435 and n 2.

Hazār-Darakhtān,—a pince between <u>Gh</u>aznin and Gardaus, 1, 435 and n 2

Hazār-Şaf,—another name of Hazār-asp, which see, 473 n 2

Hagrat 1-Turkistân, the Turkistân [Hagret] of the maps, 932 n.

Hejakan or Jejakan,—a place in the country of Marim, in the country of the Uras, 1170 s.

Herat, for linat, which see.

Hin,-the mame given by the Chinese to the country of Tingkut or Kā-hin, 947 a. Hi-fong-kew,—a town in the Chiness province of Fe-che-li, 1219 n.

High Tartary, 950 %.

Hijās,—a district of Arabia, 24, 133, 186, 190, 203, \$14, 226, 228 and a4

Hilah,—a town of al-Trak, on the bank of the Euphrates, 1237 n 6, 1238, 1248 n 3, 1243 n, 1250 n 1, 1261 n 7.

Himālayah mountains, the, 468 n 4, 522 u, 611 n 3, 705 n 7, 706 n 9, 707 n 6, 737 n 9, 838 n 9, 1046 n 3.

Himar,—a fortress of Kurdustan, 204 n.

Hims,—a town in agh Shām [Syria], 162 n 3, 204 n, 208 n, 214 n, 229 n 6

Himyar, dominions of, 7.

Hind, xxi, xxiii, xxv, xxx, xxxiii, f. ln, lxn, 31 n 3, 78 n 7, 74 and nn 2 and 8, 81 n, 82, 85 n, 86 n. 105 n, 113 n, 114 n, 115, 117 m, 131 n 7, 132 n 9, 178 n 7, 201, 305 and = 7, 306 = 2, 309 =, 322 =, 847 n 2, 848 n, 377 n 5, 383, 419 n 5, 421, 438, 440, 445 n, 446 n 5. 457 n, 459, 450 n 8, 463 n, 465 n, 466 n 1, 476 n, 482 n, 483 n, 485 n 3, 486 n 1, 489, 492, 493 n, 500, 501 n 5, 502 n, 503 n 8, 505 n. 513 n, 519 n, 522 n 5, 524 n, 525 n, 527 n, 537 n, 538 n, 545 n 5, 550 n 6, 550 n 5, 555, 560 and n 7, 561 n 9, 581 n 3, 595 n 2, 596, 601 n 8, 610 n, 611, 614 n 8, 622 n, 627 n 5, 630, 646, 649, 673, 674 and n 3, 696 n, 718 n, 754 n 5, 792 n 1, 829, 853, 862, 879 n, 882 n, 904 n, 905 n, 963 n 1, 992 n 8, 1047, 1061 n 9,

1062 nn 4 and 5, 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1126 n 6, 1144 n 6, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1224 n, 1285 n, 1346 n 5,—app. vi. See also under Hindustin and India

Hindoo Koosh, the. See the Hindū Ku<u>sh</u>

Hindostan, for Hindustan.

Hindù-koh, the, 1044 n, 1075 n.

See also under the Hindù-Kuah
Hindù-Kugh, the, 74 n 2, 288 n 3, 318

n 7, 436 n 6, 1045 n, 1153 n. See
also under the Hindù-Koh

Rusdun, 779 s 2, for Barhamun, which see

Hindustan, zzi, zziv. zzv. zzr. xxxii, le, 31 n 3, 60 n, 79 n, 82, 84 n 9, 85 n, 86 n, 93 and n 9, 95, 96 and a 1, 102 a 4, 106, 107, 109, 110 111, 112 and a 3, 115, 123. 145 n 4, 148, 170 n, 189 n 1, 199, 204, 214, 219 n 9, 235, 248, 267, 275 n, 283 n 9, 287 and n 1, 290 n4, 298, 805, 306 and n5, 810 and n 5, 316 n 2, 322 n, 324 n, 849 n, 350, 383, 398 and a 7, 416 n 9, 432, 440, 445 n, 432 n 2, 455, 456, 457 n, 458, 460 n 3, 462 n, 464, 470, 481 n 8, 482 and n, 482 m. 484 n, 485 n 3, 488 n, 489 and m and n 4, 499, 503, 504, 505, 506 and n9, 507, 509, 512 and nn5 and 6, 516, 518 n, 522 n 5, 524 m, 525 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 528, 530, 532 n 8, 535 n, 536 n, 637 n, 545, 547, 548 n 3, 549, 551 and n 7, 563 n5, 560 n4, 561 and n2, 562 n, 577 n 578 and n 9, 581, 582 n 6, 583, 584 n 2, 594 and n 1, 597, 599. 602, 604, 607 and #8, 609 and n 5, 610, 611, 614 n 8, 616 n, 617 n, 618 and n, 623 and n 9, 626 m,

628, 629 and n.6, 633, 646, 657, 676, 679, 687 n.9, 703, 704, 705 n.7, 725, 731, 734, 739, 759, 760, 764, 795 n., 797 n., 800, 802, 816, 818, 823, 826, 830 and n.8, 836, 837, 838, 839, 847, 868, 869 n.1, 873 n., 874, 934 n., 1015 n., 1046, 1070, 1081, 1129, 1135 n.5, 1151, 1162 n., 1153, 1156, 1174 and n.5, 1191 n., 1198 n.8, 1203, 1204, 1205 n.8, 1211 n.—app. i, xxii. See also under Hind and India

Hira,—a mountain of Makkah in Arabia, 670

Hirat,-a district and city in the territory of Khurasan, x111, xx, xx1, Exv. zivit) xiv. 11 m 4, 12 n 6, 22, 23 and n 1, 27 and n 0, 28, 36 and n 9, 87 #. 88 x 6, 40 n 4, 41 m, 42 n 3, 44, 45 n 8, 46 and n 4, 47, 48, 50, 51 n 6, 71 n 5, 74 n 8, 81 n, 85 n, 89 n 8, 90, 91 nn 8 and 2, 93, 102 m 2, 104, 122 n 8, 129 n and n 3, 130 and a, 132 n 9, 138 n 8, 140, 153 n 7, 180 n 7, 185 n, 198, 237 and a 8, 246 a 8, 247, 249, 249, 255 n 7, 256 n, 257 and n 2, 258 and e, 259 and wa 3 and 5, 260 #6. 264 m, 287 and mand #1, 824 n, 325 n, 326 n, 326 n, 339 m8, 341 and n 7, 342 and n 1, 346, 849 n. 358 and u 3, 362, 363 n 8. 864, 370 n 2, 371, 372, 873 and m9, 374 and n 5, 375 m, 277 and m6, 378, 379 m5, 381 m5, 383, 384 n 5, 390, 391, 393 n 8, 894 n 2, 397 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 404 and n 1, 407 and n 8, 409 and n 6, 410 n 6, 411 n, 412, 415, 417, 419 n 5, 424, 427, 447 n 6, 455 n, 471 and n 5, 472 and n, 475 n 1, 480 n, 498 n, 502 n 6, 503, 504 n 2, 540 n,

878 n, 874 n, 903 n, 969 n, 990 n, 991 and s, 1008 1014 n 5, 1006 # 1, 1011 #, 1012 # 9, 1018, 1014 # 2, 1015 and #, 1016, 1017 #. 1018 and n. 1019 n. 1020 n. 1021. 1022 m, 1028, 1027 m 8, 1036 and # 1, 1037 n and # 2, 1038 and # 6. 1039 and nn 8 and 9. 1042 n 6. 1046 m. 1048. 1049 and # 2. 1050 n, 1051 n, 1052 and n 5. 1058 n 9, 1054 n, 1056 m, 1057 and = 4, 1059 = 9, 1070 and = 8, 1075 m, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1126 n 6, 1127 m. 1128 m. 1132, 1137 m. 1143 n, 1154 n 2, 1177, 1185 n. 1194 n, 1198 n 8, 1199 u, 1200 u, 1201 m. 1203 m, 1205 m 8, 1206 n 3, 1220 and a 1, 1292 a 1

Hirat, river of. See the Hazi and the Hari-rud.

Hirmand, the,—a river of Central Ann, 104, 309 n, 355 n 6, 1020 n. Histor of Andkhad, 478 n 6 Histor of Karah, in the territory of

Khurisan, 1203

Hisar of Lohor, 1183 and n 7.

Histrof Sial-ket, 458

Histi of Tak, 1029 s [Dibli, 646. Histi-1-Nau [the New Citadel], of Histi,—a tract of country in Turkistan, 233 s 5

Ho chew,—the name given by the Chinese to the capital of the Ighūrs, 920 n, 985 n, 1220 n, 1222 n The Ho-chew or Ko-chew of the Jesuits' map.

Hohang-Ho, the,—a river of China, 921 n, 951 n, 981 n, 1138 n. Also called the Karā-Marān, which also see

Hok, wrong name of the fortress of Uk, 1122 s.

Holani Nükat .- a place in the mountain range of Mu awand or Mű-áwandur, in Turkistán, 942 s Ho lin,-the name given by the Chinese to the Ulugh Yurut, I105 %.

Ho-nau, the Chinese province of, 1218 n.

Ho Si, the ancient name of the country of Tingkut or Kashin, 847 n

Hrad-war [Hardwar], a town of Hmdüstän, 799 n 2.

Hajrah 1-Shah Makim,-a town on the bank of the Bah, 53 in

Buk and Kmk,-a district in the territory of Kuman, 200 n 6

Hulwan,-a district and town in

the territory of 'Irak, 10 = 3, 22 #7. 55 x 1.

Haiwan Pass, the "gate" of 'Irak, 251 and # 8, 266 m, 1117.

Humiyun-dujz,-a fortress in the Kuhistan of the Mulibidah. 1207 m.

Hungary, 1166 s, 1167 s, 1168 s.

Hil-quang, the Chinese province of, 1219 n. 1221 n. 1222 n

Harmüz.--an island in the sen of Fara [the Perman Guif], 179 # 3, 180 and n, 383.

Hushundi, same as Hashnus, which

Hūtali or Dhūtali,-a place m Gujarat, 521 n See Hyarcan, 984 a, for Yarkand, which

1

'Id gal, -- name of a gate of Samarkand, 979 n

Idur, -- a town of Hindustan, on the road from Ajmir to Nahraalah, 521 n f of, 263 n

zhnak, I'nāk or A'nak,-fortress Ighrak -pame of the territory held by the Ighrak tribe of Turkmans. called after them, xirx, 391 and n 8

1-ghur country, the, 876 s. 889 a. 920 n, 933 n, 952 n, 960 n 6, 981 n, 1140 a, 1145 n See also under I-ghūriā and I-ghūristān

1-ghūriā, or country of the I-ghūrs. 984 n See also under the I-ghur ceuntry and I gharustan

I-ghüristün, 952 n, 1141 n. See also under the I ghur country and 1-ghūria

Ihrawat,-a kasbah or town in Hindüstän, 612 n 7

I-kali,-a city in the country of the Urus [Russiaus], 1170 s

I-kia Muran, the,-another name of the liver Chang or Ching-Khū, which see, 958 n.

I lab, the, - a river of Mughulistan, 890 s See also under the river lib

I lak,-a fortress in Mazandaran. 993 n, 996 n,

I-lal or I lal,-a fortress of Mazandarán, 277 n 5, 278 n, 903 n, 994 n,-also called Lil.

I-lamish, plain of See under I-lägh [993 N.

I-lan,—a fortress in Tabaristan, I-lash or I-lamah, -a plan of Turkıstan, Iv. 932 n.

I-lih,—a town in the territory of Kanhghar [Little Bukharia], 922 a

I-lih or I-lih, the,—a river of Mughilatan, 919 n, 920 n, 969 n l. See also under the I-lab.

'Imādish,—a fortress of Kurdistān, founded by 'Imād-ud-Din Zangi, 204 n.

I-mil or 1-mil,—the territory on the river 1-mil of Mughūlutin, 890 n, 913 u, 930 n, 931 n, 982 n, 1105 n.

I-mil, the,—a river of Mughülistân, 890 n, 913 n, 916 n, 1083 n

I'nāk or Ighnāk or A'nak,—fortress of, 263 n

In Chan mountains, the,-in Mu ghülistkii, 855 s.

Inda-khūd, an error for Inddakhud See page la

Inddakhūd or Audkhūd—a town in the teiritory of Khurasān, 532 n9, 604 n1, 929 n See also nuder Andkhūd.

India, v. vii, viii, z and n 2, zi, 41 n, 69 n, 72 n 6, 76 n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 80 n. 84 n 8, 87 n, 90 n 1, 93 n 9, 94 n 3, 96 n 1, 104 n 2, 107 nn 6 and 9, 110 m 1, 128 m, 131 m 7, 349 n, 312 n 6, 316 n 9, 323 n, 327 n, 351 n 9, 352 n 3, 355 n 4, 363 n 8, 380 n 9, 431 n 7, 441 n 9, 445 n. 446 n 5, 431 n, 456 n 1, 438 n5, 459 n7, 465 n, 469 n, 470 n and n 2, 471 n 5, 485 n 3, 501 n, 503 a 8, 508 n, 511 n, 516 n 2, 517 n 3, 510 n, 523 n, 521 n, 525 n. 526 n. 527 n, 530 n 7, 531 n 8, 532 m 3, 534 m 1, 535 m, 536 m, 537 m, 538 n. 539 n. 541 nn 7 and 8, 543 n 9, 545 n 5, 546 n 7, 530 nn 5 and

6, 553 n 5, 554 n 6, 564 n, 584 n 2, 589 n, 677 n 6, 723 n 1, 800 n 8, 801 n, 878 n, 912 n, 964 n 2, 991 n, 1010 n, 1017 p, 1019 n, 1028 n, 1043 n 1, 1045 n, 1046 n 2, 1056 n 1, 1064 n 2, 1071 n 1, 1081 n 1, 1094 n, 1129 n 1, 1131 n, 1143 n, 1154 n 2, 1155 n 6, 1174 n 6, 1192 n, 1203 n, 1225 n, 1288 n 3,—app. ii, iii, iv, vu, xvii, xviii. See also under Hind and Hindüstün.

Indian Desert, the, 812 n 3, 828 n 8 Indian Ocean, the, 1075 n.

Ind-khu, for Indda-khūd.

Ind khud, for Indda-khud.

Indra prastha,—an aucient Hindû town near Dibli, 598 a 8.

Indus, the, lv. 74 x 2, 76 x 2, 78 x. 79 n, 50 n, 95 n 7, 96 nn 1 and 2, 285 n 5. 288 n 3. 290 n 4. 291 n. 202 n, 203 n 5, 294 n, 295 n, 297 n, 891 n 9, 403 n, 416 n 9, 455 n, 457 n, 462 n, 471 n 5, 485 n 8, 486 n 5, 499 n 7, 499 n, 533 n, 534 n I, 535 n. 540 n. 541 n 7. 548 n. 615 n9, 644 n7, 668 n, 674 n8, 678 n 7, 657 n 1, 809 n 1, 816 nn 2 and 8, 1015 n, 1017 n, 1021 n 8, 1048 n 1, 1014 n, 1045 n, 1048 n and n 3, 1047 n 4, 1049 n 3, 1050 n, 1057 n 3, 1074 n, 1075 n, 1129 n 1, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n, 1144 n 6, 1145 n. a154 n l. 1155 n 6. 1181 n 2, 1201 n, 1225 m See also under the Sind

Infidel's Mount, the, [Kapir di

Intā-ī or Autā e,—another name of the city of Taming, the Pyenlyang of the Chinese, which is also called Nanking, 958 s.

lone, the name given by Hayton to

the capital city Kay-ping Fu, afterwards Shang-tû, 1219 n.

"Irak, nien, lyn, 10, 22, 23, 31 n 3, 33, 89 a 9, 43, 45, 55 and a 1, 56 a, 59. 61 n 4, 63, 66 n 7, 84 and n 6, 87 and n. 88 and n 7, 89, 93, 107 n 6, 108 n 5, 121, 130 and n 6, 132 m 2, 133, 137, 144 n, 146 n 6, 147, 149 n 8, 150, 151 and a 6, 152, 157 n 4, 158 s, 159, 165, 166 and mand n 7, 167 and n 8, 109, 169, 171 and a 9, 172 n 3, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177 n 6, 181, 204 n, 235, 239 #9, 242 and nn 5 and 6, 243 and mand n 7, 241 n 4, 247 m, 249 and #8, 250 and ##4 and 6, 251 and un 8 and 9, 252 n 3, 253, 265 and n 4, 266 and n, 268 n 4, 273 n, 275 mand # 2, 276, 277 # 5, 279, 281 and n 5, 282 and n, 283 n 9, 284, 285, 295 st, 296 st, 297 st, 305, 377 n5, 381 n 2, 382 and n 8, 383, 392, 439 n 4, 457 n, 578, 610 n 6, 711, 786 and n 5, 790, 801 n, 851 and n.7. 861, 878 n. 881, 927 n. 963 n 3, 990 a, 992, 994 a, 995 a, 996 a, 997 n, 1001, 1014 n 2, 1016 n 3, 1074 n. 1105, 1109, 1115, 1117, 1119, 1151 and n, 1152 s, 1158, 1189, 1198 n, 1195 n, 1196, 120d and n 3, 1207 and n, 1215 and n 1, 1221 n, 1226, 1227, 1248, 1229, 1232 and n 1, 1234, 1259, 1264 n. 1265, 1266.

"Inäk-1-'Ajam, 132 n 9, 151 n 6, 308 n 2, 974 n, 996 n, 1009 n, 1117, 1188 n, 1209 n 1210 n, 1256 n 6 'Irūk-1-'Arab, 204 n, 308 n 2, 1225 n,

'Irik-1-'Arab, 204 n, 308 n 2, 1228 n, 1230 n, 1233 n 3, 1243 n, 1256 n 6.

Irākanah-Ķūn Sce under Irgānah Kūn Iram,—the famous garden of Shaddad, son of 'Ad, 386.

I-rin, ix, xiv, lvii, lx, 3, 4, 5, 19 n1, 29, 115, 116, 118, 134 n9, 137, 163, 167 n, 280, 281, 271 n, 280, 284, 302, 306, 309 n, 382, 423 n 8, 454 n, 561 n 9, 579 n 4, 696 n, 717 n, 720 n 1, 784 n 5, 797, 870, 882 n, 888 n, 917 n 1, 938 n, 961 n, 964 n 2, 990 n, 1011 n, 1087 n 1107, 1111, 1112, 1188, 1151, 1163, 1172, 1190 n 1, 1196, 1215, 1236, 1259, 1266, 1287 n.

1-ran Zamin, 877 n 1082 n, 1004 n, 1116 n, 1121 n, 1152 n, 1164 n, 1181 n 2, 1186 n, 1190 n 1, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1198 n, 1194 n, 1195 n, 1200 n, 1211 n, 1215 n 4, 1254 n, 1267 n, 1267 n 8, 1286 n 9, 1293 n. Irdigh or Irtigh,—a country to the 8-W of Tribbat, ixni, 890 n. See also under Ardigh or Artigh Irginah Ku or Kün,—a range of mountains in Mughühatān, 882 n, 888 n, 890 n, 891 n, 893 n, 894 n, 895 n, 937 n 9, 939 n, 1091 n

Iri-ab [vulg Harrah],—a darah in the province of Karman, 499 n. Iriki or Irki, the capital of Kaghin in the Tingküt language, 952 n, 1085 n, 1086 n

Irikië or Irkinh, the capital of Kāshin or Tingkut, as called by the Mughals, 1055 s, 1086 s, 1088 s. Irjatë or Aijatë, the 'Ukbah or Pass of, 919 s

Irkanah-Kun See under Irganah-Itki See under Iriki

Irkia Ses under Irikis.

Irmanish,—a town of Armenia, 298 n 1 Iron Gate Pass, the,—a place between two mountains, through which lay the route between Turkistān and Ohin, 1147 x 1.

Irtikit or Irtikis, the capital of Tingkit or Kashin, 1088 a.

Irtish or Irdish, 890 m. See under Ardish or Artish.

Irtinh, the,—a river of Mughülistän, 920 s, 950 s, 970 s 2.

Irtigh-Jáilik, the,—a river of Mughülistán, 890 s.

Islahiu,—a district and town in the 'Irik-i-'Ajam, 23 n 9, 23 n 8, 66 n 1, 86 nn 3 and 7, 39 and n 8, 93 n 6, 137 n 8, 138 n 8, 143 n 2, 144 n, 145 n 4, 170 n 8, 173, 176, 244 n 4, 240 n 3, 251 n 9, 252 n 3, 253, 282 n, 296 n, 297 n 9, 298 n, 496 n 5, 579 and nn 4 and 6, 906 n, 995 n, 1116 n, 1117, 1236, 1227 and n 2, 1233, 1274 n, Also called Safahin.

Isfand,—a town in the district of Nighāpūr in Khurāsān, 131 a 7.

Isfanjāb.—a town of Māwarā-un-Nabr, 27, 26 and w8, 49 and #6, 903 %, 906 %, 916 %, 962 %. Also called Sfanjāb and Sıfanjāb.

Infart'in or Infartyin,—a town in the district of Nightpur in Khurteau, 376 s, 480 s, 990 s, 991 s, 1131 s.

Isfarāyin. See under lafarā'in. Isfarār or Isfirār, fortress of,—in the territory of Khurāsān, kriv, 201, 397 × 7, 1197, 1198. See also under Isfirār.

Isfinir or Isfinir,—a district and town in the territory of Khurinin, the present Sahswir, xxiv, ixiv, 397 and 67, 472, 480 s, 1051 m, 1002 mA, 1070 and m8. See also under Isfirar.

Ighrusush,—a district and town of Mawara-un-Nahr, 962 %. See also under Israshtah.

Iskandariah or Sikandariah,—the Alexandria of the maps, 210 a 224.

Israehtah or Sîrushtah,—a district and town of Miwari-un-Nahr 27 n 6, 962 n. Also called Ishrusnah.

Issigh Lake, the, -- same as the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Iseigh-Kol or Issigh-Kol, the,—a little sea or great lake in Mughālistān, 670 a, 879 a, 890 a, 919 a, 923 a, 970 a 2,

Issik-Kol, the,—same as the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Issik-kul, the, for the Issigh-Kol, which see.

Issi-kol, the,—same as the Issigh-Kol, which see,

Istakhur or Istakhur,—a district and town in the territory of Fars, 94 m 3, 174, 176 m 1, 178 m 7, 286 m, 304 m 2.

Istanbal,-another name of Constantinople, 161 s, 168 s 5.

Istawā or Astawā, same as Asdār, Astadār and Astawā.

Istis,—one of the mountains of the range between @hasnin and Hirst, 339 a 5, 370 a 2.

istiah,--a district between Chasnin and Hirat, 339 and n 8, 370 and n 2, 448.

Istiyi, same as Istia and Istiah, which see

lțăwah,—a town of Hindustan, \$18 n, 743 n 9.

- Jab,—a tract of country in Ghuszistan, 980 and n 9.
- Ja'bar,—a fortress in the territory of Ram. 204 n.
- Jadar, the,-or
- Jadarah, the,—a river of Mawariun-Nahr, 484 and n 9. See also under Jazir.
- Jadidah Masjid,—in Munsüriyah of the Diyär-a-Misriah, 229 * 5.
- Jagdespür,—a town of Hindastän, 851 n 8
- Jage-nagur, for Jaj-nagar.
- Jagnath,—a province of castern Bang, 557 n 3, 558 n 8.
- Jāh-kūt,—another name of Khitš or Chîn, 912 x 9. See also under Jāķūt or Jāŭķūt.
- Jaik, the,—a river of Turkistan, 870 v.
- Jailam, the, 815 m 8, for the Jhilam, which see.
- Jaspür, the Rējpūt State of, 853 w.6.
- Jäj,— another name of the district of Shāsh in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 28 n 8, 921 n.
- Jājī-nagar or Ņājī-nagar,—a town of Hindustān, 590 n.
- Jāj-nagar, territory and State of, xxvii, liv, 573 and n 2, 574 n 3, 585 n 6, 587 and n n 3 and 4, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 n, 592 n, 628 n 2, 663 n 9, 664 n 6, 666, 666 n, 701 n 1, 738, 739 and n 6, 740 n 8, 762, 768 and n n 3 and 4, 779 n.
- Jāj-nagar-Ūdisah, 592 s. See under Jāj-nagar and Ūdisab.
- Jájnagur, for Jaj-nagar.
- Jāj-pūr,—a town or city in the Jājnagar State, 587 a 4.

- JE-jurm—a city and district in the territory of <u>Khullaku</u>, 181, 1087 s, 1121 s.
- Jāķashmān or Jaķahmān,—a treot of country W. of Kāshghar, 922 s.
- Jāķahmān, same se Jāķaahmān, which see.
- Jakut or Ḥakat,—a town of Turkistīn, 961 n.
- Jāḥūt or Jāūḥūt,—the name given by the Mughals to the country of <u>Kh</u>iṭā or <u>Oh</u>īn, 912 n 9, 1216 n, 1220 n. Also called Jāh-ḥūt.
- Jalálábád,—a town of Afghanistau, 79 s, 381 a 1, 1012 a 4, 1025 s.
- Jaliii bid or Dosehak, capital of the country of Nimros and Sijistis, 188 * 7
- Jalandar or Jalhandar,—a town in the Panj-āb, xxvii, 679 and n 8, 746
- Jalandhar See under Jalandar or Jalhandar. (see.
- Julhandar, same as Jalandar, which Jalisar,—a territory in Hindüstän, 713 a 2, 714 a 9, 794, 849 and a 8.
- Jšligh,—a tract of country south of Mughulustan, 889 m.
- Jälor,—a kashah or town of Hindüstän, 607 s. 627
- Jām,—a city and district in the territory of <u>Khurāsān</u>, 22, 181, 247 n, 990 n, 991 n.
- Jam, the, same as the Jam Muran, which see.
- Jam Murān, the,—a river flowing from the Āltān mountains, 951 n, 981 n, 983 n. See also under the Kham Murān.
- Jaman, the, 684 x 2. See under the Jamas and the Jan,

- Jumms, the,—the Jumms of the maps, 470 n 2, 524 n, 709 n. Also called the Jün or Kamunä, which also see.
- Jami,—a territory of Hindustin, 824 and = 9, probably as error for Damow or Damis.
- Jamü, the Hindū State of,—in Kaghmar, 79 m, 733 m 5. See also under Jamüş.
- Jamün, the Hindü State of,—in Kaghmir, 458 n 4, 454 n, 456 n, 460 n 3, 466 n 1, 467 n. See also under Jamü.
- Janibid, an error for Junibid, see page ixi.
- Janan, the,—a river in the country of Bolo, 1168 a.
- Jand or Jund,—a territory of Miwari-un-Nahr, 927 *, 971 *, 972 *, 978 *, 963 *, 963 *, 1038 *. See also under Jund.
- Jand-i-Shapur,—a town of Ahwis, 22 n 8.
- Jande-Shapër, same se Jand-i-Shapër.
- Jandwil,—a place a few miles S.-E. of Iţāwah, 470 w l. See also under Chand-wāl.
- Jing, Ohughen-. See under Chaghan Jing
- Jäng, Kará. See under Kará-Jáng. Jang-i Siäl,—a town in the Panjáb, 454 n.
- Janinah mountain of Talkan, the, 1013 and #2.
- Janish mountain, for Janisah mountain [Hindustan, 637.

 Janjer,—a town in the country of Janjhüi or Janjhühi,—another name of the Júd mountains or the Sait Bange, 557 s, 1131 s.

- Januat-Shiid,—name of the times or district in which Gany is situated, 563 = 6.
- Januat-ul-Bilād,—Bangālah is sometimes so styled, 559 u 2.
- Jin-Sindin, See under Khin-Sindin
- Jär,—a district in the country of Chur, 1070 and a 7.
- Jarali,—a place in the Do-ab of the Jun and the Gang, 809.
- Jari-lüm,—a canal in Mughülistäm, out by Käidü, the fourth Khān of the Büzanjar dynasty of the Mughal-I-mäk, 898 a
- Jarmis,—a district in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 336 and #9, 346. Also called Harmis and Barmis.
- Jarmin, mountains of, 1.
- Jarük, the Ohül (uncultivated or desert tract) of, 297 a 5. Afterwords called the Ohül-i-Jaläli, which see
- Jarum, the district of Garmaïr in the territory of <u>Khurusian</u>, 16 and m. 3, 267, 343, 362, 376 and m. 9, 391, 426.
- Jarus,—a district in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ür, 336 a Also called <u>Kh</u>arus and Harus
- Jasaimir,—a territory of Hindustan, 80 s.
- Jasüdah,—a parganeh between Udisah and Bangliah, 593 s. Turned into Jessore by Europeans.
- Jatah,—another name of Mughülistin, 889 n, 959 n. Called Getes by Europeans
- Jat-nagar,—a dustriot of Bangalah, 592 n, 739 n 6.
- Jatr-Ebed,-a town of Khuresen

- founded by Sulfan Ibrāhīm Ghasnawi, 104
- Jatwan,—a district and town of Hindustan, 469 n 9.
- Jāūķūt or Jāķūt, 1220 s. See nuder Jāķūt and Jāh-ķūt.
- Jawajān or Juzjān, 1011 n. See under Juzjān or Gângān.
- Jawajānān—a tract of country in Khwārasm, 282 n.
- Jazartes, the, 28 n 8, 76 n 3, 916 n, 970 n 3, 1086 n. See also under the Sibūs.
- Jazi'ir, the, 204 n, probably the Jazirah, which see,
- Jasër, the,—a river of Mäwarë-un-Nahr, 267 and s 6, 434 s 9. Also called the Jadër and the Jadërah.
- Jasīrab, the, [Mesopotamia], 185, 204 n, 228 n 4, 882,
- Jasürän,—a town of Khurësën, on the way between Balkh and Hirët, 268 n.
- Jejakān or Hejakān,—a place in the country of Marim, 1170 a.
- Jem, the, 988 n. Same as the Jam Muran, which see.
- Jend, 978 s. See under Jand and Jund.
- Jennessi, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 983 s.
- Jericho,—the Arîhâ of Arab Geographers, 393 * 8.
- Jerusalem, zlvii, lix, 8, 4, 101 n 8, 105 n 5, 143 n 2, 204 n, 209 n 6, 210 n, 220 n 8, 221 n, 1269 n. Called Bait-ul-Mukaddas by the Arabs.
- Jessore, 598 n. The Jasüdah of the natives, which see.
- Jezdonn,—a dependency of Hirāt, 287 s.

- Jhajhar,—a town of Hindüstlu, 714 n 9, 788.
- Jhanjhānah,—a district in the country of Hindustän, 759.
- Jhir-Kundah or Ohhir-Kund,—a tract of country in Hindustin, lying on the right bank and upper part of the Sop, 587 = 4, 588 =, 591 =.
- Jhilam, the,—the Jhelum of the maps, xxiv, liv, 97 g, 403 n, 454 n, 483 n, 485 n 3, 486 n 5, 535 n, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 604 and n 6, 678, 688 n 3, 697 n, 815 and nn 8 and 1, 822, 823 n, 1180 n, 1181 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6. Also called the Bihat. See also under the Jihlam and the Jilam.
- Jhind. See under Jind.
- Jibil, the,—or Mountains of Dilam, the tract of country south of the Caspian, 1188 s. Also called the Kohistan.
- Jibāl, the,—the mountain tracs of the north of @hūr, 140 and *8, 348, 858 n 2, 364, 365, 366, 871 ** 3, 439 n 4, 1188 **.
- Jibal of Hirat, the, zz, zzi
- Jibil, the,—or the Highlands of 'Irik, called also the 'Irik-i-'Ajam, lvii, 39 and w 9, 137, 173, 1117, 1189.
- Jibil of Khurana, the, 283.
- Jibal-i-Jūdī, the, 482 m. See the Jūd Hills.
- Jihlam, the, same as the Jhilam and Jilam, which see,
- Jihūn, the, xxiv, lvii, lxiii, 24, 26, 31, 35 n 6, 46 n 4, 76 n 2, 84, 86, 116 and n 3, 117 n, 118, 119, 120 and n 1, 121, 123 n, 134 n 4, 154 and n 9, 155 n 6, 156 n 8, 261 n,

268 m. 274 a L 275 n and a 2. 276 n. 277, 260, 291 n. 293 n. 200 m, 345, 388, 410 m 6, 412, 414 n8, 426 n6, 473 n2, 474, 480 n, 485 n 3, 879 m, 883 n, 890 n, 904 n, 900 m, 911 m7, 914, 915 m, 916, 917 m 1, 921 m, 926 m, 981, 982 m, 962, 973 m, 987, 988 m, 989 and m, 990 m, 1001, 1003 mm 4 and 1, 1005 m, 1008, 1009, 1027 m 8, 1082 m, 1084 m, 1086 m, 1097, 1099 m, 1109 m 8, 1127 m, 1141 m, 1145 m, 1186 m, 1193 m, 1195 m, 1226, 1290 n 9. See also under the "Oxna."

Jilam or Jilam, the,—the Jhelum of the maps, 96 n I, 97 n, 483 n, 604, 678, 815, 822. See also under the Jhilam.

Jili,—a ferry over the Jhilam river, 485 n 8.

Jind [Jhind], -- a district of Hindustan, 782, 832, 897.

Jiraft,—a district and town in the province of Kirman, 288 n 9, 934 n, 974 n, 1118 n 9, 1119 n

Jirfat, an error for Jiraft, which

Jirjan,—a tract of country south of Kanghar, 922 a.

Jirkah or Chirkah, -a city in the country of the Urus, 1169 n, 1170 n, 1171 n.

Jirkah or Chirkah, the, -a river in the country of the Urus, 1169 m.

Jit-pur, 705 * 7. See under Santūr, which is the correct name of this place.

Jitur, 705 n 7. See under Santur, which is the correct name of this place. Jodpur,—a town of Hindustan, 611 a 8, 706 a 7.

Jodhpur, same as Jodpur, which see. Jogi Bila-nith, hill of,—in the district of Nandanah. 587 n.

Joah-i-Ab-i-Garm, [the jet of hotwater],—a place near to Tigfnähäb, 353.

Joshbak,—a subur of the city of Mausil, 1281 n.

Jounpoor. See under Jünpür.

Jower, the, 267 × 6. See the Jaser and the Jaderah.

Jowarsher,—a fortress in the territory of the Kuhistan, 1197.

Jud Bagh, the, 709 n. See under the Bagh-i-Jud

Jud Hills the,—the Salt Eange of our maps, 294 n, 481, 482 n, 484 n, 537 n, 538 n, 676, 815, 1130 n, 1131 n, 1132 n. See also under the Koh-i-Jud

Jüd,—a plain before the city of Dibli, 529 m 4,606 and m 3,786 m 8. Judon, 101 m 8

Jue Inju,-name of a canal in Hirat,

Jū-in,—a dustrict of Khurisan, 1121 m.

Jūjāhū Kasķīn,—a city of Mughūhatān on the banks of the Karā-Mūr-ān, 1137 n.

Juk and Kuk See the next.

Jāk and Lūk,—a district in the territory of Kirman, 200 n 6

Jülik,—one of the ferries of the river Sihûn, 970 n 2.

Jumna, the, 709 m. See under the Jamus and the Jum.

Jun or Yamuna, the,—the Jumpa of the maps, 470 n 2, 518 n, 629 n 6, 634 n 2, 640, 646, 667 n 3, 682 x 5, 686 and x 6, 696 x 3, 708, 709 x, 783 x 7, 742 x 9, 809, 816, 817, 821 and x 6, 850 x 2. See also under the Jampa.

Junābād or Gūnābād,—a town in the Kuhistān, between Tabas and Hirāt, lzi, 381 n.5, 394 and n.2, 491.

Jund, or correctly Jand,—a territory of Māwarā-un-Nahr, xlviii, 120, 121, 233, 287, 240 n, 242 n 6, 254, 263 n, 269 n, 273 n, 906 n, 909 n, 911 n, 921 n, 1099 n, 1292 See also under Jand.

Jünpür [vul. Jounpoor],—a city of Hindüstän, founded by Firüs <u>8h</u>äh Tughlak, 93 × 9, 589 n, 591 n.

Jurjān or Jūrjān,—a dutrict and town cast of the Caspian, 31 n 3, 37 n, 45 n 7, 81 n, 104, 151 n 6, 265 n 7, 278 n. Called also Gurgan, which see.

Jürjānān, capital of <u>Kh</u>wārasm, 232 n. See under Jürjānīah. Jürjäniah, the capital of Khwiresm on the Khurisin side, 52 n 9, 84 n 8, 85 n, 333 n, 963 n.

Jurowii,—a town of Hindústán, 809 a 7.

Juwain,—a town in the territory of Khurisin, 990 s.

Jusarwan, up the valley of the Murgh-ab river, zlvii, 235 n 2, 276, 390, 402 n, 475 and nn 8 and 2, 917. Called also Gusarwan, which see.

Jusdez,—a fortress in the Kuhistan, 85 s. 186 s.

Jusjān or Güzgān,—a district on the north-west frontier of <u>Gh</u>ür, xx, xxi, 1011 s. See also under Güzgān.

Jüsjánān or Güsgánān,—a district and town of Khurāsān, xx, 75 n 6, 81 n, 186 n, 325 n, 1097 n 7 See also under Güsgánān.

K.

Ki'an Ling, the,—a great river of China, lv, 1220 n Known to the Chinese as the Kyan-lin

Ka'b, Bayabān of, an uncaltivated plain between Tālţān and Balkh, 1009

Ka'bah, the sacred Temple of Makkab, 8, 178, 190, 192, 243

Kabal, the,—a river of Turkistan, 970 = 2.

Kabülik, 154, 918 n, 920 n, 985 n. An error for Kaižlik, see page xlru

Kabchak, came as Kıbchak, which see.

Ķabohāķ,—a dasht or plain in Turkistān, 877 s

Kabud Jamah,—a territory of Khurasan, 1121 a

Kabul, territory of, xiv, xlix, i, 19 m1, 23 aud m6, 31 m3, 73 and m8, 74 m2, 77 m, 78 m, 88 m2, 97 m, 98 m8, 267, 288 m2, 289 m, 306 m6, 308 m2, 309 m, 324 m, 334 m and m8, 376, 391, 434 m, 411 m7, 502 m6, 509 m, 717 m, 873 m, 874 m, 881, 1015 m, 1020 m, 1022 m, 1026 m, 1042 m5, 1044 m, 1047, 1061 m, 1057 m4, 1115 m5, 1119, 1131 m. 1144 m6, 1303 m.

- Kābul, : the,—the river passing through Kābul, xvi, 77 n, 78 n, 79 n, 288 n 3, 535 n, 538 n, 564 n, 1093 n, 1048 n 1, 1044 n.
- Kabilah,—a town on the bank of the Bill, 533 m.
- Kabuskan, 1196 n, for Khabüshan, which see.
- Kachh, the Rinn or desert of, between Sindh and Gujarët, 83 a 2.
- Köghti, the name given by Abu-)
 Ohasi Bahadur Khan to Iriki ar

 Irikia, the capital of Käghin or

 Tingküt, 1086 m.
- Kādas or Fāras,—a district and town of Khurāsān, 375 n, 1026, 1056 and n 2 Also written Kādus and Kādugh, which also see.
- Kadhah-Katankah or Gadbah-Katankah,—a tract of country in Hindústān, 587 n 4, 588 n, 739 n 6.
- Kādus,—a district and town of <u>Kh</u>urāsāu, 367 a 7, 396 a 5 See also under Kādas, Kādush and Pāras.
- Kādueh,—a district and town of Khurisān, 376 n, 1054 n 2. See also under Kādas, Kūdus and Fāras.
- Kif, mountain of. Bee under the Kob-i-Kif.
- Ķaf<u>ch</u>āķ, same as Ķīb<u>ch</u>āķ, which see.
- Käfiristän,—a tract of country in the Hindú Kugh, 1044 a.
- Kāh or Gāh,—a Kala' or fortress of Sujistāu, 35 s., 1208 s.
- Kuhan, the, -a feeder of the Wana-Ganga river, 588 s.

- Wähirah, the capital of Migr and the Cairo of the maps, liv, 101 #8, 140 #2, 209 #5, 212 #1, 218 #5, 215 #9, 217 #, 318 #, 228 #4, 229 #5, 1260 #, 1276 #.
- Kahlukah or Pass of Kongkahān. See under Kongkahān.
- Kahlur,—a city in ruins on the cast aids of the Sind, 538 m.
- Kahram, 469 n 7,--app. v, for Kuhram, which see.
- Kaišligh or Kaišligh, same as Kaišlik or Kaišlik, which see.
- Kāuāliķ or Kaiāliķ,—a territory of Turkistān S of the Ulugh Tāgh, and between Turfān and Aķaā, xlvii, 900 and n 2, 924 n, 930 n, 931 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 3, 962 n, 966 n, 989 n, 1004, 1023, 1054, 1055, 1061 n 7, 1066 n 6, 1112 n 8, 1132 n, 1141 n, 1184 n. See under Kabāliķ and Kayāliķ.
- Kaif or Kayif,-a town in the Divar-i-Misriah, lix, 229 w 5.
- Kailar, the,—a river of Turkistas, 943 s. See under the river Kalar
- Kailās,—a ridge in the Himēlayah mountains, 737 s 9.
- Ka'in,—the chief place of the Knhistan, knv. 195 n 3, 394, 1039, 1197, 1198 and n 7, 1203, 1206 n 8, 1214 n 8.
- Kai-song-fü, the capital of the Chinese province of Honan, 968 m.
- Kasthal,—a tract of country in Hindustan, 648 and n 3, 692, 697 n, 699 and n 5, 707 n 7, 749 and n 2, 831, 840, 841
- Kajā,-a district south of Nangrabār, 1022 n.

Kajla,-or

Kajiah,—the name of a place on the route to the Indus by the Paiwar Pass, 290 n 4.

Kā-jū,—a city in the territory of Tingķūt or Ķā<u>sh</u>īn, 1085 s.

Kajūrān,—a kapr and territory in the country of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 319, 342, 370, 1015 n, 1018 n. See also under the Kapr-i-Kajūrān.

Kakāsūs or Koh-i-Kāf, the, 1287 s, the Caucasus of the maps.

Kikh,—a small town in the Kuhistin, a dependency of Junabid, 394 and n 2.

Kakshāl monutains, the,—in Mughūlastān, 970 n 2

Kala'-1-Käh or Gäh,—a fortress of Silistän, 35 n, 1203.

Kala'-1-Nau,—a fortress on the Amu, 1030 n.

Kala'-1-Safid,—a fortress of Fars, 175 n 7, 178 n 7.

Kala'-1-Shūh,—a strong fortress of Isfahān, 145 n 4.

Kalāchīn,—a mountain range of Turkistān, 941 a

Kal'ah of Baghdad, the, 1243 n.

Kainh-i-Koh,—a place near Hirāt, 1126 n 6.

Kälnir or Käleir,—a place near the frontier of Tirbut, 704 and n 2, 838 n 7.

Kālā Nāwar the,—a lake of Turkustān, 943 n

Kalangae Kadā or Kad.—a territory bordering on Khitä, 943*, 945*

Kālanjī, 1074 n, for Kālinjār of Kalangūsh,—or

Kalanküsh,—a city in the country of Tingküt or Känhin, 947 a Kallr,—a torritory near the country of the Bolo, 1167 a.

Kalir, the,—a river of Turkistin, the Kailar of our maps, 948 s.

Kalát, an error for Kal'āt, see page lz.

Kal'it,—a place near Tüs in Khurāsān, lx, 276 m.

Kälbī,—a territory of Hindastan, 524 n, 553 n 5.

Kiler or Kilair,—a place near the frontier of Tirhut, 704 x 2. See also under Kilair.

Kälinjar,—a strong fortrass of Huddistän, in Bhati-Ghora the tractlying on the left bank of the Son, east of Banaras, 491. 528 n, 524 n, 553 n 5, 603 n 6, 682 n 5, 704 n 2, 733, 734, 735 and n 9, 743 n 3, 755, 737 n 7, 769, 777 n, 817 and n 6, 824 and n 8, 825, 850 n 8, —app xxiii.

Kälinjar,—a strong fortress in the province of Multän, 75 n 8, 87 n, 88 n 2, 94 n 2, 117 n, 120 n 3, 126 n 2, 905 n, 1074 n,—also called Talwarah.

Kālınjar,—a territory on the banks of the Sind river, 1074 s, 1075 s. Kāliūn,—a fortress of Khurāsān,

1003 See under Käl-yün
Kalıyar,—a few miles north-east of

Rujkî, 704 a 2 Kâliyûn or Kaliyûn. See under

Kālyan Kalunjur, 524 a, for Kālinjar of Hind.

Kalur an or Kalur-an,—a tract of country north of Turkistan, 987 and n.7, 940 n, 953 n, 1000 n, 1105 n, 1140 n, 1178 n, 1179 n, 1180 n, 1215 n.2, 1319 n, Kalār-Ān or Lokah, the,—a river of Turkistāu, 892 s., 894 s., 960 s. 1.

Kalwa-i,--or

Kulwa'in, -a track of country in Hindustan, 578 s.

Käl-yün or Käl-yün,—a territory and fortreas in the country of Khurisan, 875, 290, 409, 412, 502 m6, 1003, 1051 and n, 1052, 1053 and n9, 1064 and n2, 1055, 1061.

Käl-yügh.—a territory of Khurësën, 502 n 6, for Käl-yün or Käliyün, which see.

Kimi, the,—a river in the country of Bulghir, 1165 s

Kâmah,—a town in the Bharat-pūr territory on the route from Mathurah to Fīrūz pūr, 790 and n 9.

Kämnh,—a town of Afghinistän, between Jalälabäd and Peghäwar, 79 n.

Kāmah, the,--another name of the river Chitrar or Chitral, 79 a.

Kamij, the,—a river of Turkistān, 970 s 2

Raman the, -the river of Kunnr, north of the Kabul river, 1048

Kāmil, 1020 x, wrong spelling of Kābul.

Kam jim,—a city in the territory of Tingkut or Kashin, 1085 a

Ram-Kamjiüt,—a tract of country in Turkistän, 951 n. See also under Kum-Kumjiüt

Ram-Kampiut, the,—a river in the country of Kirkis in Turkistän, 960 n l.

Kam-Kunchak,—a tract of country in Turkustan, 961 a, 959 a. See also under Kum-Kunjak. Kamroop, 765 s 8, 'for Kämrüd, which see

Kämrüd,—a district and city of Hindüstän, \$35 n, 564, 557, 568 n 8, 561 and n 9, 562 n, 568 n, 564 and n, 567, 569 and un 4 and 5, 570 and n and n 9, 578, 587, 598 n, 594 and n 1, 596, 701 n 1, 764 and n 6, 765 and n 8, 766, 770 n 9, 771 n, 778 n, 775 n, 779 n, 1046 and n and n 3, 1061 also called Kānwrā.

Kamrup, 563 s, for Kamrūd, which see.

Kan-i-Gul,—a mead near Samarkand, 1194 s.

Kanauj, same sa Kinnauj, which

Kandahär, mistaken for Gandhär and Kaudhär, the country between Hind and Tibbat, 77 n, 80 n, 1217 n.

Kandahar, the town of Afghānistān, ix, xiv, xix, 35 n, 77 n, 80 n, 285 n 5, 331 n 2, 339 n 7, 335 n 6, 509 n, 713 n, 873 n, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1020 n, 1217 n

Kāndes,- a district of Hindustan,

Kandhär or Gandhär, 1216 n, 1217 n. See under Gandhar

Kanduana, 588 n, for Gondwanah, which see,

Kangaktãe,—a territory za Tarkistãn, 945 n

Kang chong Fû,—a town in the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1222 a

Känjar, 1074 s, for the territory of Kälinjar on the river Sind

Kankūrī or Gangūrī,—a district of Hindūstān, 575 and a 2. 210 Index.

Kanouj, 467 a, for Kunnaj, which

Kanpilab,—a town lying on the southern bank of the Ganges a few miles N. N. W. of Budā'ān, 551 a.

Kan-sa,-or

Kansuh, -a dependency of the kingdom of His, 947 n.

Kanwru, 593 n Another name of Kamrud, which see.

Expir di Giri—the Infidel's Mount, —a place on the western bank of the Indus, 78 n, 1043 n l.

Kaptehak, for Kibahak

\$arā-bāgh,—a tract of country in the territory of Tingküt or \$\vec{a}\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{m}\vec{n}\vec{n}\vec{1}\vec{1}\vec{a}\vec{b}\vec{m}\vec{n}

Karā Bilād, the,—in Mughūlistān, 1179 n.

Kars-ohal or Kars-ohil, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 1046 n and n 8.

Kari Ohing, lv.

Karā-Jāng,--the name given by the Mughals to half the country of Gandhar, where the people are black, lv, 1216 n, 1217 n.

Karā <u>Khatā-i,</u> an error for Karā Khitāe

Kara-Khelin, for Kara Kuram.

Kara-Kherem, for Kara Kuram.

Kari Khita,-or

Karā Khitāe,—a country north of Khitā, xlvn, 154, 236 n6, 239 and n, 240 n, 245 n7, 263, 364 n, 270, 283 n9, 401 n, 402 n, 478 n2, 474 n, 738 and n4, 748, 749, 900, 903 n, 911, 913 n9, 921 n, 924 n, 926 n 932 n, 933 n, 934 n, 956 n, 969 n, 966 n6, 967 n, 960, 963 n, 966 n, 1118 n 9.

Karakhital, 921 n, for Kara-Khita, which see.

Kari Khwijah,—a territory in Ighūristān, 952 n, 1141 n.

Karā Kol or Black Lake,—of Turkistēn, 900 n, 970 n 2.

Kara-Koram, for Kara Kuram.

Karakorum, 916 a, for Kara Kuram. Kara Kum,—a tract of country in Turkiatan, xiviti, lv, 268 a 4, 982 n, 983 n, 1140 n, 1176 n 8

Karā Kuram,—a tract of country in Turkistān, xlviii, lv, 154, 268 n 4, 676 n, 889 n, 915 n, 916 n, 917 n, 920 n, 924 n, 940 n, 942 n, 947 n, 956 n, 359 n, 960 n 1, 952 n, 1080 n, 1090 n, 1101 n 1, 1105 n, 1110 and n 5, 1130 n, 1140 n, 1141 n, 1174 and n 3, 1175 and n 8, 1178 n, 1180 n, 1184 n, 1185 n, 1191 n, 1211 n, 1215 an 2 and 4, 128 n, 1219 n, 1225 n, 1290 n 1. It is always mentioned as the Chingia Khān and known also as the Urdūc-Bāligh

Karā Kuram,—a mountain range between the Karā Tū aud the Uaḥūn-Lūḥ ranges, 889 n, 920 n, 1140 n

Karā-Mūr, the, 1095 See the Karā Mūrān.

Karā Mūrān or Black river, the,—a river of Mughūintān and Khitā, lxni, 882 n, 885 n, 895 n, 920 n, 951 n, 981 n, 1092, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1216 n. The Hohang-Ho of the Chinese.

Kar5-8ü [the Black Water],—an squeduct drawn from the river Jihūn to the city of Khwārasm. 478 n 2, 474 and n 4.

Kari-Tigh, the,—a mountain of Turkistan, 970 a 2.

Kari-Til,--on the north of Maghtlistin, 889 a.

Karā-tāl, the,—a river of Turkistāu, 919 n.

Barl-Tü, the,—a range of mountains in the country of the I-ghürs, 889 s.

Karanhi, the supposed site of Dibal, 295 s. 453 s 3.

Karah,—a territory of Hindústän, 591 n, 592 n, 626 and n 8, 663 and n 8, 663 and n 8, 663 and n 8, 664 and n 2, 682 n 5, 683, 684, 694 and n 2, 702 and n 4, 704, 737, 738, 739 n, 743, 747, 757 n 7, 768, 769, 777 n, 790, 796 n, 793, 817, 816 and n 3 and 4, 827, 830, 838 n 7, 847 and n 4, 848, 819 and n 6, 850 n 3

Karah,—a Hipër or fortified town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urásán, 1203. [Karê <u>Kh</u>itá

Karah Khita, 786 and * 4. See under Karahah Darah,—one of the Passee on the route from Channin to Lahor, 505 and * 5.

Karak,—a town in the country of <u>Shām</u> [Syris], 215 a 9, 218 a, 225 a 4, 229 a 5, 230 a.

Rarak or Kuruk,—a place of Bindustän in the district of Harianab between Rot-hak and Bhawani, 791 al.

Karakh,—a place [township] in Mäwara-un Nahr, 759 and a 3.

Kuram-battan or Karam-pattan, see Kar-battan.

Karam-Nässh or Karam-Näss, the, —a river of Huddistän, lxi, 550 a 5, 551 a. Karam-sin, 567 n 1. See under Karbattan.

Karinah or Kārānah, the,—a river of Hindüstân, bounding the Gwaliyür territory on the east and falling into the Jûn or Yamuni, 733 * 7, 826.

Kārau-dujz,—a fortress of Māsandarān, 990 n, 991 n, 993 n, 994 n, see also under Kārūn and Kārūndujz.

Karan-pattan, 567 a 1. See under Kar-battan. [battan.

Karan-tan, 667 n l. See under Kar-Karër,—a town in the country of Marim, 1170 n.

Karar-kot,—a fortress of Hindustan, 93 * 9.

Karar-bettan or Karar-pattan, 567 vi 1. See under Kar-bettan.

Karis Murin, the,—a river of Turhistin, 940 s

Karasah Darah, for Karahah Darah, which see.

Karat, the,—a river of Afghanistan. See the Kirat.

Karataya, the,—a river of Hindüstān,—app. xxi, xxii.

Kar-battan or Kar-pattan,—a city in the country of Tibbat, li, 565 %, 567 and a 1

Karchin,—a tract of country in Mughülistän, 1219 n

Karendar, 993 a, for Kärau-dujs of Mäsandarän, which see.

Karhakatenka, the chief city of Kanduana [Gondwanah], 588 w.

Karikla,—a city in the country of the Urus, 1170 a.

Karim-yū,—a city in the country of Mahā-(Thin or Tingnish), 1141 s. 212 Index.

Kariw or Gariw,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 844 n 1.

Karkh—a suburb of Baghdad, 188 n 8, 248, 759 n 3, 1229 n 8, 1231, 1238, 1240 n, 1263 n.

Kar<u>kh</u>, 171, 279 s, by mistake for Gurj [Georgia].

Karķīr,-or

Karkis,—a country of Turkistän, 888 n. See also under <u>Khar-khez</u> Kärlik or Kärlük Tägh, the,—a mountain in Northern India, 1182 n.

Kärlük Hasärah or Hasärah-i-Kärlügh or Kärlük,—a district in Northein India, 1132 a

Karlük Tägh, the, see the Kärlük Tägh.

Karmah-nasah, an error for Karam-Nasah. See page lau.

Karmān,—a province in southern Afghānistān, l, lv, lx, 286, 290 n, 439 n 4, 476 n, 492 n 7, 493 and n, 494, 495, 498 and m 6 and 7, 499 and m and m 8, 500, 501 n and n 5, 503 and n 8, 506, 518 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 588 n, 540 n, 541 n 7, 623 n 8, 683 n 6, 901 n, 1021 n 8, 1022 n, 1108 n 1, 1129, 1131 and n, 1132 n Karmān Dara'h,—a small dara'h in

the province of Karman, l, 499 s Karnai or Carnal,—a town in

Karnāl or Carnāl,—a town 11 Hindûstân, 459 a 7

Karokol,—a canul in Mughülistän, 896 n. See under Jarā-lüm

Karra, 694#8, for Karah of Hindustan, which see.

Karrman, an error for Asyman, see page lx.

Kars,—a district and town in the territory of Rum, the Kars of the maps, 161 s Karehikh, the capital of the Tähiri dynasty in Khurisin, 14 * 1.

Karahī,—a ķaşr near Karā-Kuram founded by Uktāc Ķī'ān, 1189 s.

Karahin, 567 a 1. See Kar-battan or Karpattan.

Kar-Tigh, --- or

Kar-Tak,—a range of mountains in Mughúlistán, 875 n, 879 n.

Ķārān,—a fortress of Māzandarān, 277 n 5, 278 n. See also under Ķārān-duja.

Kārūn dujz,—a fortress of Māsandarān, 277 n.5, 278 n. 991 n. See also under Ķāran-dujs

Karyat-ul-Ghaffar,—a village on the Tigric, 1245 s.4.

Karyat-ul-'Uḥāb,—a village on the Tigrus, 1245 n.4.

Kasal Ankah,—a city in the country of the Urus, 1170 s

Käsän,—a town in the country of the Urus, the Kazan of the maps, 652 n 2, 1165 n.

Kasanik,—a town in the Turkuh Empire, 1100 n

Kaschin, 947 n, for Kashin, which see.

Kasghar, lvu, 29, same as Käshghar, which see.

Kagh,—a town in the territory of Khurasan, 46 and n 3, 1194 n, 1206 n 3

Kasha, see under Kashi.

Kāshān,—a town in the 'Irāk i'Ajam, 996n, 1118

Kashgar, for Käshghar, which see.
Käshghar,—a territory and city in
Central Asia, Ivii, 29, 46 n 4, 52 n,
74, 134 and n 8, 261, 263 n, 269 n,
424 n 3, 431, 577 n, 882 n, 889 n,
899 n, 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n,

905 a, 907 a, 912 a, 914 a, 915 a, 916 a, 917 a, 919 a, 920 a, 921 a, 922 a, 923 a, 920 a, 943 a, 944 a, 950 a, 952 a, 961 a, 964 a 2, 968 a6, 970 a 2, 971 a, 981 a, 982 a, 983 a, 984 a, 985 a, 986 a, 987 a, 1044 a, 1045 a, 1047, 1080 a 4, 1075 a, 1091 a, 1106 a, 1141 a, 1145 a,

Kaghi or Kaghi,—a territory of Ghar, 840, 361 and a 1, 362, 364, 295.

Kashif, 378 w 1, for Kilaf or Kilaf, which see.

聚基金hin, the capital of the country of Tingküt, catled also Käghiu, 947 n, 940 n, 950 n, 1084 n, 1085 n, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 n.

Kishkir, 1044 n, same se Kishghar, which see.

Kashmandi or Kashtmandi,—a destrict of Awadh in Hindustan, 549, 704 = 3, 759 and = 5, 838 and = 2.

Kaahmī-ghāgūr,—a place m Turkistān, 961 s

Kashmir, State of, 42 in 3, 43 i and n 5, 469 n, 530 n, 611 n 3, 693 n, 1044 n, 1046 n 3, 1120 n 6, 1031 n, 1135 n 5, 1191 n, 1218 n

Kashtmandi 549, see under Kashmandi.

Kasi, 361 w 1, for Kashi or Kasha, which see.

Kāmli,—a town of Hindustan, 728

Kaskūrī or Gasgūrī,—a district of Hindustān, 575 n 2.

Kasli, 728 n 3, for Küsili, which

Kasmandah,-or

Kasmandi,-a district of Awadh

in Hindistin, 704 n 3, 759 and n5, 838 and n 3. Also called Kammandhi, Kaghmandi and Kaghtmandi.

Kapr-Bigh [the Garden Castle],—in the capital city of Dihli, 669 and n.9.

Kaşr.i-Fîrûzî, the,—in the capital city of Dihlî, 661. See also under the Küghk-i-Fîrûsî.

Kaşr-i-Kajürün,—a territory in the country of <u>Ch</u>ür, 319, 341, 448, 1018 m See also under Kajürün.

Kuşr-i-Sabs [Groen Castle], the, in the capital city of Dihli, 675, 858. See also under the Küşhk--ı-Sabz.

Kapr.1-Safed [White Castle], the, —in the capital city of Dibli, lin, 553 m 5, 554, 661 and nm 6 and 7, 056, 661 and n, 685, 751.

Kaşr-ı Sultăn, the,--in the capital city of Dibli, 657.

Kasrak,—a place in Hindustan, 791 and a 1.

Kases of Ghur,—the fortified villages in the country of Ghur, 381 and 2

Kassagoi, the,—a Lake of Turkutin, 963 a

Kassullie, 725 s 8, for Kāsili, which

Kaswin, 1190 n 1, for Kaswin which

Kât,—a town on the Jihūn in the district of Hazār-asp, in <u>Kh</u>wārazm. 973 w.

Katah-wāz,—a place in the country of Ghūr, 351 n 8

Katak or Katuk,—a city in the gaars or steppe in the E and S. of Kanghar 922 n

- Katankah,—name of a mossa' in Kadhah-Katankah of Huddistän, 587 n 4.
- Katāsin,—a frontier town on the Mahā-nadī river towards Lakhanor of Lakhanawatī, xxvii, 585 n 6, 588 n, 684 n 6, 788 and n 2, 739 and n.
- Katīsinghah,—a more correct name of Katāsin, which see, 588 n.
- Kätheher, see under Käthehr or Käther
- Käthehr or Käther,—a town in the district of Budā'ün in Hındüstän, 627 n8, 663 n9, 697 n, 698, 699 n5, 755
- Katif,—a tract of country in Arabia, 179 n 3
- Katif,—a town in the Diyar-i-Mişriah, lix.
- Katran,—a Desht or Desert on the frontier of Samarkand, 155 n, 900 n.
- Katuk, See under Katak.
- Kaukasas, the, 998 n, 1287 n, the Caucasus, of the maps, also called the Koh-1-Kāf
- Kawak, see under Kawik.
- Kāwik,—name of a pass and fortress in the range of Hindû Kush, called Kawak by modern travellers, 436 and n 6
- Kayālik or Kayālik, 918 n, 920 n, 985 n See under Kaiālik or Kajālik.
- Kayıf or Kaıf,--a town in the Diyar-i-Mısriah, lix, ?29 a 5
- Kay-ping-fü, capital of the Chingiziah dynasty in Tartary, afterward called Shang-tü, 1141 n, 1219 n.

- Kasan,—a town of Russia in Europe, 652 n 2, 967 n 2, 1090 n, 1066 n. Kasul-Tägh,—a place in Turkistän, 941 n.
- Kasiw or Gasiw,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 844 and a l.
- Kazvin, 996 s, for Kazwin, which
- Kazwin,—a district and town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 61 n 4, 93, 125 n 8, 144 n, 242 n 6, 277 n 5, 990 n, 993 n, 994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 1010 n, 1189, 1190 n 1, 1193 n, 1208 n, 1211 n, 1237 n 5.
- Kaswin Darah, the, 993 s.
- Kelardjek, 1046 a 8, for the Karaahal, which see.
- Kem, the, 993 s, for the river Jam in Turkistän
- Keuchak,—a town in Kara-Khitä, 919 n.
- Kerman, 1081 #8, for Karman of Afghanistan
- Kerman, 1119n, for Kirman of Persia.
- Kermanshah,—a town in the Jibil or 'Irāķ-1-'Ajam, 993 s.
- Kesh, 46 x 3, for Kash of Khurasan, which see
- Kegh. 180 s, for Kigh of Fars, which see.
- Khabüshan,—a town of Khurāsān, 991 n, 1196 n, 1206 n 3 Called also Küshan.
- Khā-e,—a town on the bank of the Bish, 533 n.
- Khācsār, Faj or Pass of,—in the mountain of Ro'en in the coutral part of Chur, 319 and n 8.
- Khāesār or Khaisār,—a town and district in the country of Chūr, xxiv, xxv, lx, 201, 233, 967 and

n 8, 1062, 1181 n 2, 1198, 1190 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1202, 1203, 1205.

Khafahāk, same as Kibahāk, which see.

Khaibar Pass, the, l, 252 n 3, 488 n, 588 n.

Khaiber mountains, the 79 m.

Khair-ābād,—a town of Kharāsān founded by Sulţān Ibrāhîm <u>Gh</u>asnawī, 104.

Khaisār,—a town of Ghūr. See under Khāceār,

Khākistar Burj,—a bastion in the fort of Birāt, 1050 s.

Khalät, —a town in Armenia, 328 n.4, same as Akhlät, which also see.

Kham Muran, the,—a river flowing from the Altan mountains, of Turkistän, 951 n, 952, 981 n. Also called the Jam Muran, which also see.

Khāmii,—a province in the country of the I-ghūrs, 930 s.

Khān Bāligh,—a city a little north of Pokin 918 n 958 n, 1219 u. Called Yen-king by the Chinese.

Khan-Sindan,—a territory of Turkistan, 1220 n

Khānah-ābād,—a place in the 'Irāķt-'Ajam near Hamadān, 1228 z.

Khandah-1-Shāpūr or Jand-1-Shapūr,—a town in the district of Ahwās. 22.

Khandén,—a tract of country on the frontier of Qhin, 155 and n 5, 911 and n 7.

Khānķin,—a town m 'frāķ-1-'Ajam, 1239 n, 1243 n, 1262 n.

Khin-hus. (1191 u.

Khanpur,-s town of Hindustan,

Khān-sjū Khān-kūe, the name of China as called by the natives, 917 n.9.

Khaream, for Khwarasm.

Kharism, for Khwarasm.

Khar-kher,-or

Khar-khez 883 n. See under Kherkhez Tungüt.

Khar-khes Tungüt,—a tract of country south of Mughülistän, 876 s. 883 s.

Kharkhis,—a tract of country west of China, 962 m

Kharas,—a district in the territory
of Ghūr, 326 a. Also called
Jarūs and Harūs

Khatā-i, an error for Khitā and Khitāe, see page lix.

Khati,—a tract of country in Turkistän, 923 n See also under Khatian and Khutian.

Khatlan —a tract of country in Turkistan, 911 # 7, 923 n, 1152, 1226. See also under Khatlan.

Khawania,—a tract in the territory of Chur, 324 a.

Khifchak, same as Kibchak, which see

Khirkhiz,—a territory north of Mughalistän, 923 s See also under Kirkir or Kirkiz

Khisht-Ab, Daruh of,—in the district of Hariw-ar-Rud, 417 and n4

Khita,-or

Khitie,—the country of China as known to the people of Mawariun Nahr, xivii, lix. 140 n 5, 149, 154 n 2, 160, 188, 203 n 1, 204 and n 3, 205, 243, 244, 246, 252, 256 n, 250 and n 7, 261 and n, 263, 263 and n, 264 and n, 288 n 9, 284, 285, 294 n, 378, 387, 448, 474, 475 n 2, 479 n, 480 n, 481, 482 n, 483 n, 484 n, 491 and n 5, 632, 693 n, 604 and n 1, 876 n, 882, 889 n, 892 n, 896 n, 897 n, 909 n, 911, 912 n 9, 928, 929 n, 930 n, 931 n, 940 n, 943 n, 947 n, 959 n, 965 n, 965 n, 966 n, 967 n, 959 n, 961 n, 965 n 5, 966, 961 n, 1073 n 4, 1086 n, 1092 n, 1093 n, 1095, 1115 n 5, 1116 n, 1119 n, 1136 n 9, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1139 n, 1141 n, 1143 n, 1149 n, 1152 n, 1167 n 1, 1166 n, 1185 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1186 n, 1216 n, 1216 n, 1217 n

Khita-i, see under Khita or Khitae. Khittah of Sangah,—a district in the country of Mandesh in Ghür, 331

Khiva, the modern capital of Khwarasm, 929 n, 1097 n 7, 1098 n, 1100 n. See also Khiwak

Khiwak or Kiwak, capit il of Khwārasm, 949 n, 1098 n, 1100 n Anglicized into Khiva

Khokand, -a city in the territory of Farghanah, 921 n

Khohn or Korin,—name of the ancient capital of the Turks, 916 m. [see

Khorassan, for Khurāsān, which Khost, the darah of,—south of the Kurmah, in the province of Karmān in Afghāpistān, 409 a

Khotau, see under Khutan

Khowif,—a city and district in Khurisan, 181 n 7, 258 n, 994 n, 1037 n, 1195 n

Khuarezm, for Khwarazm

Khusrism, for Khwarazm.

Khuo,—a town in Armenia, 144 n, 297 n, 997 n.

Khūjān,—a dependency of Nighāpūr in the territory of Khurāsān, 181.

Khujand,—a city in the territory of Farghanah, 271 n, 905 n, 908 n, 921 n, 970 n 2, 972 n, 974 n, 976 n 5, 988 n, 1118 n 9, 1284.

Khujistān,—a dependency of Bidghais in the highlands of Hirit, 23 and n 1

Khūk and Kūk, see the next

Khūk and Lūk,—a district in the territory of Kirmān, 200 and s 6.

Khüljnh,—a city of Turkistän, 910 n, 920 n, 969 n.

Khulum,—a town in the district of Tukhāristān of Balkh, 288 n 3, 426 n 6.

Khumër,—a darah tu the country of Ghaznia, 99.

Khūnsāe or Khunsāe, capital of the country of Tingnaah or Ningaish, 1216 n.

Khūrand,—a district of Khurāsān, 1121 n

Khuranjiah,—a tract in the country of the Ghuzz, 962 a

Khurason, xxii, xxiv, xxis, xlvi, xlix. lxii, 9, 10 and *5, 11 and *4, 12 and *6, 13 and *8 and 9, 14 and *1, 15 and *8, 16 and *4, 19 and *1, 23 and *9, 16 and *8, 25, 27 and *6, 28, 29, 30, 31 *1, 32, 33, 34 *1, 6, 35 *1, 6, 36, 37 *1, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46 and *1, 47, 49, 50 *1, 50

and no 7 and 8, 190 and a 1, 135, 128 m, 124 m 4, 125 and n 8, 127, · 130 and a 6, 132 and as 9 and 2, 188 m, 186 m S, 140, 148 m 2, 144 m, 146 and mm 6 and 7, 147 and m 8, 148 = 4, 152, 155 = 6, 155, 157 = 1, 167 = 8, 166 = 2, 169 = 7, 174 m. 160 n 7, 184 n, 189, 190, 192, 198, 196, 197, 198, 331 * 2, 232 *. 238 n, 235, 236 n 6, 239, 340 and n, 341, 342 a 6, 345, 346 and a and m 8, 247 m, 250 mm 4 and 6, 251 and an 9, 1 and 2, 263 and a 8, 255 m 7, 256, 257 m and m 2, 268 and a. 259 a 3, 260 a 7, 262 a 1, 268 m, 269, 274 m 1, 275 m, 276, 277 a 5, 260 a 1, 262 and a, 286, 290, 297 m 9, 308 mm 9 and 2, 309 m. 311, 320, 334 m, 339 m, 335 m4, 842, 844, 845, 846, 849 n, 859 n, 362, 367, 370, 375 m, 377 m 5, 378, 380, 381, 383 wa 8 and 9, 383, 387, 898, 894 m 1, 897, 400, 403 m, 409, 410, 412, 414, 415, 424 and a 6, 438, 448 n 3, 457 n, 465 n, 471 and s 5, 478 a 2, 476 a, 479 a, 480 n, 487 n 7, 489, 493 n, 503, 504 n 4, 514, 515 n 8, 523 n, 534, 541, 545, 546 m 7, 548 m 3, 578, 579 m 4, 609, 612 and m 5, 655 and m 2, 968, 675 m 5, 686 and m 7, 687, 703 46, 711, 715 44, 725, 788, 786, 821, 823 and a 9, 851 and a 7 858 and #7, 857 m 1, 859, 860 m 9, 868, 876 m, 879 m, 906 m, 907 m, 908 m, 915 and m, 916 m, 917 m 1. 930, 935 m, 926 m, 927 m, 981, 933 m. 943 m, 968, 964 m 2, 988 m, 989 m, 990 and n, 992, 993 m, 994 m, 996 m. 1001 and m. 1003, 1004 and m 1, 1005, 1005, 1007, 1008 and m 8, 1000 m, 1010 m, 1011 m, 1013,

1014 = 3, 1015 s, 1019 s, 1021, 1025 m, 1026, 1027 m 8, 1028 m. 1081 m, 1084 m, 1087 m, 1089, 1040 and a 2, 1043, 1045 a, 1046 a, 1047, 1048, 1050 s, 1051 s 4, 1052, 1054, 1055, 1056 n, 1068 and a 6, 1059 and a 9, 1061 a 1, 1065, 1070, 1071 and #8, 1078, 1077, 1079 and n 3, 1088 n, 1105. 1109, 1110 and a, 1115, 1116 a, 1117, 1119, 1120 # 2, 1121 m. 1127 m, 1126, 1182 m, 1183, 1141 m, 1143, 1146, 1149 s, 1151 and s, 1158 n. 1154 n 2. 1174 and nn 2 and 4, 1177, 1192 a. 1196, 1197, 1198, 1199 n. 1205 n.S. 1207, 1209 n, 1211 n, 1218, 1226, 1228, 1282 n 9, 1234, 1258 n 8, 1271 n. 1292 and n 1 -app. ii, in, iv.

Khārjah or Khūrjat,—the Corea of Europeans, 956 n. 957 n. 959 n. 1086 n. 1088 n. 1219 n.

Khürjat, see under Khürjah.

Khurkan,—a town between Khurisin and 'Irak-1-'Ajam, 1206 n 8.

Khurramābād,—a town in 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 995 s.

Khurs, country of,—in Turkostān, 968 n, 1102 s.

Khurz, Sea of, [the Caspian], 140 z 5, 278 and z, 391 z, 1117. See also under the Caspian.

Khuaka,—a halting place in Khurisin, between Hirst and Chur, \$25 s.

Khūsh-āb,—a district in the Paujāb south of the Jūd mountains [Salt Range], 455 n, 496, 537 n, 538 n, 1131 n, 1133 n. [which see Khushādi, 192 n 8, same as Hashnūe, Khutan,—a tract of country in Central Asia, the Khotau of the maps, 140 n 5, 268 n, 904 n, 905 n, 912 n 9, 915 n, 922 n, 923 n, 944 n, 984 n, 986 n, 987 n, 1115 n 5, 1141 n.

Khutlan,—a dependency of Badakhehān in Turkistān, 185 n 5, 231 n 2, 374 n 5, 423 n 8, 923 n. See also under Khatlān

Khutte, for Khitā, which see.

Khūniatān,—a province of Irān, 143 a 2, 174 a, 288 a, 368 a 9, 688, 1239 a.

Khwar,— a district or tract of country in the neighbourhood of Bai, 1207 w.

Khwarasm or Khwarism,-a territory on both aides of the Jihun, zlv, 1, li, 24 n 3, 51 n 6, 52 n 9 and m, 84 and mm B and 9, 85 m, 86 m, 88 m 2, 117 m, 120 and m 5, 121, 128 m, 125 m 8, 132, 133, 137 n4, 138 n8, 148, 155 n, 167 n8, 169 and n 7, 172 n 8, 181, 182, 197. 199, 280, 281 and n 2, 282 n, 288 and w. 234 and n S. 235 and n. 286 and a 6, 237 and a, 238 and n 6, 240 and n, 242 n 6, 243 n, 244 n 4, 245 and n, 246 n 8, 217 n, 249 #8, 251 and ##9, 1 and 2, 252, 253, 254, 255 and n, 256 n, 257 mS, 258 m, 259, 260 and nm 6 and 7, 261 = 8, 262 and = 1, 263 n, 264 n, 267, 268 n 8, 274 n 1, 276, 278 n, 279 and n 6, 280 and nn 9 and 1, 281, 286 and n 6, 344, 382, 393 and n 8, 394, 399, 400 n 3, 401 n, 402 n, 403 n, 405 n 3, 412, 419, 420, 425 n 8, 456 n 2, 457 n. 471 m 5, 478 and m 2, 4/4 and m, 475, 476 n, 480 n, 481 n 8, 482 n, 485 n 3, 488 n 1, 491, 504, 505 n. 515 n, 527 n, 539 n 3, 604, 609,

625, 676, 725, 790, 798 a 5, 879 a. 908 m, 905 m, 908 m, 909 m, 910 m, 917 m, 919, 921 m, 927 m, 929 m, 932 n, 933 n, 934 n, 948 n, 964 n 2, 969 a 1. 970 a 2, 972 a, 978 a, 980 n 8, 982 n, 986 n, 988 n, 994 n, 996 m, 1000 m, 1004 mm 8 and 1, 1026 and a 7, 1013. 1030 a. 1082 m, 1045 m, 1046 m, 1059, 1082 s. 1097 and s 7, 1098 and s. 1100, 1101 and a1, 1102 -. 1116 m, 1117 m, 1118 m 9, 1190 n S, 1181 m, 1128 g, 1131 m, 1145 n, 1146 n 8, 1166, 1212, 1283, 1292,-app. ii.

Khwarism, see under Khwarasm. Khwarkan,—a village of Aşarbāijan, 1296 n 9.

Khwarusm, for Khwarasm.

Kibchāķ,—a desert of Turkistān commonly called Daght-1-Kibchāķ, zlvii, lxiii, 233 n 6 234, 237, 239, 240, 254, 261 n 9, 272 n 9, 279, 296 n, 644 n, 742, 754, 756, 761, 775, 789, 790, 877 n, 885 n, 929 n, 980 n, 959 n, 981 n, 988 n, 993, 996 n, 998 n, 998 n, 1000 n, 1026 and n 7, 1097 n 6, 1101, 1115 n 5, 1149 n 7, 1165 n, 1167 n, 1170 n 4 and n, 1172 n 9, 1178 n, 1215 n 1, 1277 n, 1283 and n 6, 1284 and n 3, 1285, 1286 n 9, 1291, 1292.

Kiblah, the,—the direction of the Temple of Makkah to which the Moslims turn in prayer, 346.

Kich,—a town in Mukran ou the frontier of Sand, 283 n 9, 984 n, 1020 n, 1073 n 4.

Kichah or Kinghah,—a tract of country N. E. of Samarkand, 985 n

Ķīchik Bukhārā [Little Bukharia],

-the western part of the Kighghar territory, 920 s.

Kidán,—a district in the territory of Ghūr, 317 s, 321, 342, 343, 349, 369, 415, 433 and s 8, 490, 491.

Kief,—a town in Russia in Europe, 1171 a.

Kifghāķ, same as Kibohāķ, which see.

Kij,—a town in Mukrān, 88 x 3. See under Kioh

Kikrab,—a tract of country in Turkistan, 980 and n 9.

Kilaf or Kilif,—a town on the Oxus, zlix, 878 and a 1.

Kila Garhi, 588 * 8, for Gilü-Khari, which see.

Kliif, zliz, see under Kilaf.

Kimāk,—a tract of country in Turkistān, towards the Caspian, 923 n, 962 n.

Kinchah, see under Kichah.

Kinien,—a valley in Mughūlistān, 1143 n. See also under Ki nyen.

Kinnanj,—a province in Hindustan, lxi, 86 n, 88 n 2, 402 n, 466 n 1, 467 n. 470 and n 2, 401, 518 n, 546 n 5, 628 n 2, 639 n 8, 644 n, 666, 676 n 7, 679, 680 n, 683, 701 n 1, 782 and n 5, 742 n 9, 743, 762, 316 n 5, 318 n 4,824, 884 n, —app. vi.

Kinnauj-i-Sher-garh,—a fortress of Hudnatän, 627.

Kin-sha or Kyang, the,—a river of China, 1318 ×

Ki-nyen,—a cave in a mountain to the north of the sandy descri, where the Chingis Khān was buried, 1090 a. See also under Kinian. Kipchak, for Kib<u>ch</u>ük, which see. Kirä-kir, the country of Kirkir or

Kirkis, as called by Mirsa Haidar, 876 n, 889 n, 928 n, 1184 n. See also under Kirkir.

Ķirā-ķīz, 923 s. See also under Ķīrķīr or Ķīrķīz.

Kirât or Karât, the,—an affinent of the Kâbul river, xlv, 77 s, 78 s. Kirdhah, 1198 s. for Gird Kab

Kirdkuh, 1198 n, for Gird-Koh, which see.

Kirghis,—see under Kirkir or Kirķīs

Kirkir or Kirkis,---a country north of Mughülistän, 876 n, 889 n, 923 n, 944 n, 950 n, 952 n, 968 n, 1184 n.

Kirkir Nor,—the Kirkir or Kirkis of the Jesuite' map, 876 m.

Ķīrķīs, Ķīrķīs or Ķīrķīs,—see under Ķīrķīr or Ķīrķīs.

Kirmän, province of, 23 n 9, 24 and n 8, 31 n 3, 34 n 6, 55 n 1, 60 n 8, 61 n 4, 65 and n 6, 66 n 7, 38 n 3, 93 n 8, 133 n 9, 133, 138 n 8, 155 n 6, 155 n, 167 n 8, 184 n, 189, 199, 200, 232, 246 n 8, 261 n, 281 n 5, 282 n 7, 283 and n 9, 284 and n, 285, 286, 294, 295 n, 297 n, 317 n 5, 378 and n 8, 499 n, 516 n, 610 n, 616 n, 882, 933 n, 934 n, 1001 n, 1075 n, 1109, 1118 and n 9, 1119 and n, 1151 n, 1153 n, 1206 n, 1234 n 8, 1235 n 1, 1243 n. Kish or Kish —a city on a hill on

an island, in the Sea of Färs, called Hurmüz, 179 and # 4, 180 n.

Kiwak, 1100 s See under <u>Kh</u>iwak. Kiwak Mazār,—a shrine to the north east of Bukhārā, 977 s

Kiwak Sarie,—a sarie near Samarkand, 971 n, 978 n.

- Kisil-kol,—a lake in Turkistiu, 876 n. [876 n.
- Kinil-hum,—a place in Turkistän, Kinil-Tigh,—a range of mountains in Mughülistän, 876 n.
- Kebalik or Kabahk, for Knialik, which see.
- Kobi or Kob, the Great Descrit of Turkistin, 920 n, 1217 m. See also under the Gobi Descrit.
- Kobīghah Mūr-ān, the,—s river of Mughūlistān, 1231 n.
- Ko-chew, capital of the I-ghürs, 1230 n.—See also under Ho-chew.
- Kechin-China,—a country of Eastern Asia, 1221 s.
- Kodakin or Godagin, kashah or town of, 1018 n 1.
- Koh-1-Āzād, the,—a hill near the city of Firûz-koh, the capital of Ghūr, 406, 407, 409, 410 and n 3.
- Koh-i-Bāla-nātb, the,—a hill country in Nandanah, 537 **.
- Koh-i-Janinah, the,—a mountain of Tällpän, in the territory of Khurāsān, 1012 and *2.
- Koh-j-Jüd, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, the Salt Range of the maps, 482 s, 484 s, 491, 537 s, 538 s, 539 s, 627 s 9, 1131 s, 1155 s 6. See also under the Jüd Hills.
- Koh-i-Ķēf, the,—a mountain supposed to surround the world, 1205 and n 5.
- Koh-i-Käf or Kakāsus, the, 1287 n, the Caucasus of the maps.
- Kch-1-Karā-chal, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 1046 and n and n 3.
- Koh-i-Kārlīk, the, see under the Kārlīk or Kārlūk Tāgh.

- Koh-i-Maidin, the,—a hill near the city of First-hob, the capital of <u>Gh</u>ür, 410.
- Koh-i-Nukrah,—a lofty hill in Khurasan between Marw and Balkh, 1008 * 5.
- Koh-i-Sangin [the Stony Mountaios],—in Eastern India, 598 n.
- Koh-i-Sher, the,—a hill in the distriot of Bust in Khurësën, 446 a 4.
- Koh-1-Silmür,—the hill tract of Sirmur. 706. See under Silmür.
- Koh-i-Siwalikh, the Alpine tracts below the higher range of the Himalayah, 469 a, 611 a 8.
- Koh-i-Siyāh, the,—a range of mountams east of Afghānistān, ziv. Also called the Sulimāni mountains.
- Koh-i-Surkh, the,—a range of mountains south of Afghänistän, xiv.
- Kohāt,—a tract of country between the Darah of Kaymān and Poghāwar, L
- Kohistan of the Mulahidah, they—a mountainous tract of country south of the Caspian, 1188 n, 1205 n 8 See also under Kühistan.
- Kohistän of Bada<u>kheh</u>än,—a mountainous tract of country south of Farghanah, 921 n.
- Koh-pāyah, the,—the hill tracts of Mewāt, in Hindustān, 604 x 5, 840 z 6, 850, 851, 852, 864.
- Koh-pāyah of Gibari, in Sund, 1046, 1045, 1047.
- Kokjah-Tingiz the,—a lake north of Mughülistan, 889 n, 890 n.
- Kok Nāwar,—a place in Turkistān, 981 n, 1150 n.

Kohaner, 261 a, 1140 a, incorrectly for Kok-Näwar. [Kok Näwar. Koki Näwar, 1150 a. See under Kol,—a territory and fortress of Hindustän, xxviii, 470, 491, 517 a, 518 a, 519 a, 550 a 6, 589 a, 624, 662, 698, 712, 713 a 2, 714 a 9, 787, 788 a 9, 794, 849 —app. vi.

Koi-i-Åb or Koi-āb,—a dependency of Badakhahān, in Turkistān 423 a 8, 928 a. Another name of Khatlān or Khutlān, which see.

Kolghā Nāwar, the,—a lake of Turkistān, 948 n.

Kolghan or Kolkau,—a territory of Turkistän, 1115 n 5, 1137 n.

Koli,-a tract of country in Turkutăn, 1217 c.

Kolķān, see under Kolghān.

Kolüsh Arki or Argi,—a tract of country in Mughülisten, 896 m

Komak,—a city in the country of the Urus (Russians), 1168 n.

Komatapur, the old capital of Kimrud on the west bank of the Darlah river, 764 n 6

Kon-chin-Fû,—a city S. E of Hochew in China, 1222 n.

Kongkahén,—a Kahlukah or Pasa between two lofty mountains in Khitä, 1137 n

Koniah, see under Künlah.

Kops, the,—a river of Hindustan, 578 and a l.

Konsi, the, see the above,

Kooram, the, 74 n 2, for the Kurmah, which see.

Kopal,—a Russian station in Central Aisa, 918 m

Korobin,—a tract of country in Machalistan, 1219 a. Korea or Corea, 1818 s., See also under Khūrjab.

Korin or Kholin,—name of the ancient capital of the Turks, 916 a.

Kortükük Jiwan,—a place in the middle of Mughülistän, 1317 m.

Kos, the, see the Kops.

Kosan,—a city in the territory of Kanghar, 932 n.

Koshah-Dāgh,—a place in the territory of Rüm, 1239 s.

Kosi, the, -see the Kops.

Kotah-bas,—a place in the country of Ghür, 851 and # 8.

Kottlah of Firas Shih, — the present name of Firas-ibid in Dibli, 599 n, 621 n 6.

Kourah,—a city in the territory of Kaghghar, 922 n. [sec.

Koylak, 900 n 2, for Kaiālīk, which Krim, the Crimes of the maps, 298 n, 1102 n, 1165 n. See also under Krimes.

Krimes,-or

Krimiā, the Crimea of the maps, 1000 s, 1102 s. See also under Krim.

Kū-Bālīgh or Bālīķ,—the name by which Bilāsāghūn was known to the Mughals, 913 s., 917 s. See also under <u>Gh</u>ū-bālīgh.

Kubbah-1-Shakh-ul-Makürim,—a place in the district of Baghdid, 1262 s.

Kuch or Küj,—a territory to the north of Bangalah, 568 s, 570 s 9, 593 s.

Kuch Bihar or Cooch Bahar, 588 *9. See the above.

Kaphah, see under Küjäh or Küjah. Künhän,—a town of <u>Kh</u>urisin, 1196 s, same as <u>Kh</u>abünh^kn, which see.

Küching Chiw,—a city in the Khitke territory, 958 s.

Ku-Chiw,—a city in the Khitae territory, 956 a.

Kuds [the Holy City], 215. See also under Jerusalem.

Kudsi [the Holy Land], 214. See also under Filistin and Palestine.

Kūfā or Kūfah,—a town of 'Irāķ, 884, 518, 1238.

Kuhandujs,—a fortress of Tu<u>kh</u>äristin, 288 n 3, 1153 n. Afterwards called Kunduz, which see

Kuhistän of the Mulähidah, the, a mountainous tract of country south of the Caspian, xx, xxiv, xxv, lxi, 50 n 4, 85 n, 141, 195 and n 3, 196, 244 n 4, 255 n 7, 381 n 5, 394 and n 1, 496, 1015 n, 1028 n, 1039, 1061, 1062, 1187, 1190 n 1, 1193 n, 1193 n, 1195 n, 1195, 1197 and n 3, 1198, 1200, 1204, 1205 and n 8, 1207 n, 1200 n, 1310 n, 1313 and n, 1218, 1227 n 5. See also under the Kohistân

Kuhnah Urganj, capital of Khwarazm, 929 n, 1098 n.

Kuhrim,—a district and fortress of Hindustan, 457 n, 469 and nn 7 and 9, 470 n, 491, 516 n 2, 517 n, 529 n 4, 532, 533 n, 607, 627, 628 n 2, 634 and n 9, 673, 697 n, 699, 723, 758, 780, 785, 830,840,—app iii, v, vi.

Kuhustan, 1028 n, for Kuhistan, which see.

Külking or Küyülking,—a city in the country of <u>Kh</u>ürjah [Corea], 956 n. Küj or Kügh,—a territory to the north of Bangālah, 668 ν, 598 π, See also under Kügh.

Kujā,—a tract of country in the territory of Ohin, 961 s.

Küyäh or Küjah,—a district of Hindustân, 637 and *9, 750 and *6, 1225 %. Also written Küghah and Gujah.

Külä Süe, the,—or River Külä, in Tarkistän, 943 n.

Kulán Bázī,—or

Kulán Tási,—or

Kulān Yāxī,—a place in the vicinity of Fauākat, 1082 s, 1083 s, 1108 s.

Kuldza, soe under Khüljah.

Kulunjur, 524 s., for Kälinjar of Hind

Kul-wāṣī gateway,—at Baghdēd, 1243 n, 1250 n, 1253 n.

Kulzum or Sea of Khurz, the,—the Caspina, 991 n.

Kum,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 994 s, 996 s, 1118.

Kumi, --or

Kumărûn, the Comorin of the maps, 1205 x 4.

Kumā'un,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 799 n 2.

Kūmis,—a district or province between <u>Kh</u>uršsān and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 1209 s.

Knm-Kumjiüt,—a tract of country in Turkistän, 1184 a. See also under Kam-Kamjiüt.

Kum-Kunjak,—a tract of country in Turkistin, 981 n, 962 n. See also under Kam-Kunchak.

Kunsh or Gunah-waz,— a place in the territory of Ghur, 351 n 8.

Kunay,-a valley north of the

Kibul river, 1048 * 1, 1044 *, 1045 *.

Künaün-Kor-hän,—a fortress in Mughülistän, 1047 n 4.

Kun-Ohiw,—a city in the Khitle territory, 956 s.

Xundus, alvili, same as Kundus, which see.

Sundus,—a fortress of Tukhāristān of Balkh, in Khurāsān, xlvini,
 288 n. 389 n. 609 and n.2, 1008 n.5, 1010 n. 1011 n. 1023 n. 1109,
 1110 n. 1188 and n. 1226 and n.9.
 Formerly called Kubandujs.

King-Ohiw,—s city in the Khitle territory, 956 "

Künī, 572 n 7, for Nāran-go-e or Nāran-ko-e, which see.

Kunia Urganj, for Kuhnah Urganj, which see.

Kūzīah,—a city in the territory of Būm, called Koniah by Europeans, 160 and * and 9, 161 and *, 163.

Kuntil, 550 nm 5 and 6. See under Kuntilab.

Kuntilah,—a town of Hindustan west of the Karam-Nasah, the Kuntil of the Indian Atlas, 550 as 5 and 6, 551 a.

Kur, the,—a river of the Persian Empire, 64 * 1.

Küram, 1115 n 5.

Eurbat or Gurbat,—a fortress of Hamadan, in the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, 267 n.

Kurdigh mountains, the,—between Kermanthah and Baghdid, 998 n. Kurdistän, 204 n. 1228 n.

Kuren or Ourge, 895 s. See under Ulie-i-Aurgab or Urgab.

Kürkah Kinghan or Kipjan,-a for-

tress in the country of the Makrits, 947 s.

Kürkân, the,—a river of Turkistân, 948 s.

Kurmah,—a district in the province of Karman, in Afghanistan, 499 n.

Kurmah, the,—a river watering the Karman province, 74 n 2, 498 n 7, 499 n.

Kurmah Darah or valley, the, the upper portion of the province of Karmin, xiv, 498 n 7, 499 n.

Kurrab, 777 *, for Karah of Hindustan,

Kurram, the, 498 s.7, 499 s, for the Kurmah darah and river.

Kuruk or Karak,—a place of Hindustän, in the district of Harianah, between Rot-hak and Bhawani, 791 n 1.

Kurum, the, 74 n 2, for the Kurmah, which see.

Kusdar,—a city in the province of Mukran, 74 and n 3, 88 n 2, 319 n 5, 616 n, 1015 n, 1018 n.

Küshin, 1206 n 8. See under Khabüshin.

Kushk-i-Akhohak, the,—or

Kushka-Akhjak, the,—or

Küshk-i-Akhjuk, the,—a castle in the capital city of <u>Kh</u>wāraum, 281, 1100 and a 8.

Kuahk-1-Firusi, the,—a castle in the capital city of Dihli, 638 and n 2, 676 See also under the Kayr-1-Firusi.

Kushk-1-La'l, the,—a castle in the capital city of Dihli, 599 n.

Kuahk-i-Manpur, the,—a castle on the bank of the Furit in Irak, 1240 s. Künhk-i-Nühhöd,---a castle in Afghänistän, 881 a 3.

Müghk-i-Sabs [the Green Castle],
—in the capital city of Dihli,
856. See also under the Kaşr-iSabs.

Küghk-i-Safed,—a castle in Afghtnistin, 881 n 2.

Küghk-i-Sulţăn,—a fortress in Fîrâs-koh the capital of Ghür, zivî.

Kustantiah, 900 s., for Kustantiniah [Constantinople].

Kuşür,—a town on the bank of the Biah, 533 s.

Kù-Tāḥ,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 1105 s.

Kuthi Masjid, the,—in the city of Dibli, 590 a.

Kutlügh Bäligh,—the name given by

the Chingin Khin to the town of Zarnik in Miwari-un-Nahr, 975 u.5.

Kutluk balig, for Kutlügh Biligh, which see.

Küt-Tigh,—s range of mountains south of the country of the Ighürs, 889 n.

Ku-yang-quan,—a place in the country of the Khiti-is, 958 s

Kuyülking, same as Külking, which

Kya-ling,—a town in the country of Ohin, 1222 a.

Kyang or Kin-she, the,—a ziver of China, 1218 s.

Kyang-nan,---a Chinese town, 1219 a.

Kyan-lin the,--a river of China, lv. 1222 a.

L

Lacaracoonda, for Lakarkundhah, which see.

Ladikiah,—a town in the territory of Büm, 140 n 5.

Lādāu or Lāwān,—a town in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1170 a.

Läghri,—a fortress of Charussian un the territory of Khurasan, 1072 and n 6.

Lähnor,—a district and town of Hindustän, 453 nn 3 and 4, 454 n. See also under Lähor.

Lähor or Lohor,—a district and town of Hindustän, xxi, xxii, xxx, 1, 11, 88 n 2, 95 n 7, 108 n 5, 110 n 1, 112 and n 3, 118 n, 114 and n, 115, 190, 294 n, 849 n, 891

and # 9, 398 m 9, 449 m 8, 452 and mm 1 and 2, 453 and mm 3 and 4, 455 and n, 456, 457 n, 460 n, 461 n, 462 n, 468 n, 467 n, 476 n, 481 and a 9, 482 a, 484 a, 489, 491, 499 n 8, 503 n 8, 505 and n and = 5, 506 = 9, 523 s, 524 n, 525 and n, 526 and n and n 8, 527 m. 528 n 2, 529 n 4, 530 and n, 532, 593 n, 534 n and an 5 and 6, 536 n, 537 n, 538 n, 539 n, 542 n 9, 547 m, 550 m 6, 577 m, 605, 607 and n 5, 608 and n 7, 609 and n 5, 612, 614 n 8, 627, 628 n 4, 631, 634, 641, 644 and a 7, 655 and n 2, 656 n, 657 and n 7, 668 m, 674, 676 n 9, 677 n 6, 678, 684 and n 9, 689 x 6, 692 x 3, 696 n,

700 and n 8, 704, 726 and n 2, 727 and n 5, 728, 747 and n 1, 762, 762, 775 n, 782, 764 and n, 762, 792 and n and n 7, 795 n, 810 n, 811 and n 8, 812, 818 n 4, 822 n 9, 825 n 6, 830 and n 5, 829 n 4, 850 and n 6, 1126 nn 5 and 6, 1120 n 1, 1122, 1123 and n 8, 1125, 1126 and n 8, 1138, 1142, 1152, 1125 n 6, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n, 1225 n,—app. xxiv.

Labore. See under Lihor.

Lah-şā [al-Ahsā],—a tract of country in Arable, 179 * 3.

Li-'ir-wil, the,—a tributary of the river Sind. 77 s.

Iakarkündhah,—a city of Hindüstän in the Jij-nagar State, 585 n 6.
Lake Baikal or Bie-Kol, the,—see the Baikal and the Bie-Kol Lake.

Lake Bāljīūnah, the,—see the Bāljūnah Lake.

Lake Bilkash, the,—see the Bilkash Lake.

Lakhanawati,-a Muhammadan State of Hindustan and its capital, zzvii, liv, lix, lzi, lzii, 489 and = 7, 491 and = 5, 508 = 8, 530. 585 m, 547 m 8, 548 and m 2, 552, 553 x 5, 554, 558 x 7, 559 and x 2, 560, 561 a 9, 562 a, 565, 567, 568, 578 and sa 9 and 2, 575 and a and mm 9 and 1, 577 m, 578, 579 and #4, 591, 582 #6, 588 and #, 584 and # 2, 585 ## 6 and 7, 586, 587 and n 3, 588 n, 589 n, 590 n, 591 and u, 592 and z, 598 x 6, 594 and w, 596 m, 610 and w 7, 614 m 8, 617 m, 618 and m, 625, 636 and # 8, 627 and m, 628 m 3, 630 and w1, 683 and m7, 686 m3, 641 and

m8, 803, 668 and m8, 664, 666 and m8, 666 a, 667, 678, 701 m1, 713 and m9, 713, 730, 732, 736, 737 and m7, 738, 739 and m, 740 and m8, 741 and mm1 and 2, 743, 744, 747, 766, 757 m7, 762, 763, 764, 769, 770 and m9, 771 m, 773 m, 774 m, 775 m, 776 m, 776 m, 779 m, 778 m, 779 m, 808, 808, 837 m9, 838 m9, 847 m4, 848 and m8, 849, 878 m, 1003 m4, 1046 m, 1061 and m9, 1111 m, 1251 m9,—app. ziil, zvii, zzvi. See also under Lakhnautt.

Lakhanawati Lakhan-or,—a name by which Lakhan-or is sometimes styled, 585 x 7, 786, 787 x 7.

Lakhan-or or Lakh-or,—a city of Lakhanawati on the western side of the river Gang, 573 and *2, 574 *3, 585 and *** 686 *3, 786, 787 and *7, 739, 1072 *** 6

Lakhi jungle, the,—in the territory of Bhatindah, 79 a.

Lakhıyah, the,—a branch of the Brahmā-putra south of Kāmrūd, \$62 s.

Lakhmana-wati, original name of Lakhanawati, 548 n 2.

Lakhnão, x = 2,—the Lucknow of the maps.

Lakhnan, 759 n 5,—the Lucknow of the maps.

Lakhnaur, 588 a 5. See under Lakhan-or.

Lakhnantī, liv, lix, 303 a 1, 204, 582 a 6, 585 a 6, 771 a, same as Lakhaņawaṭī, which see. [see. Lakh-or, same as Lakhaṇ-or, which Lakhwāl,—a town of Hindūstān, 714 a 9, 788.

- Lakki,—a town, district and range of mountains in Sind, 616 m.
- Lakahmana-wati, original name of Lakhanawati, 546 z 2.
- Lal,—a fortress in Tabaristan, 280, 993 m. See also under I-Iāl.
- Lalehr or Alehr,—a town of Hindustan, 827.
- Lalitah-pattan,—a city in the Nīpāl valley, near the Beg-madī river, 567 st 1.
- Lamandosh, 306 n 9, for Mandesh, which she.
- Lambah-Sar. See under Lambah-Sar.
- Lamghan,—a territory of Afghanistan, xlvni, 77 n, 79 n
- Laughānāt or the Laughāns, xlviii, another name of Laughān, which see.
- Lamhessar, 1188 n8, for Lambah-Sar, which see
- Lampages,—the name of Lumgh'an in the classical writers, 72 n 6.
- Lamsher, 1188 * 8, for Lambah-Sar, which see.
- Lamsir, 1188 n 8, for Lanbah-Sar, which see.
- Lanba or Labnī Sāghūt,—the name given by Hulākū <u>Kh</u>ān to the mountain of <u>Ālā-Tāgh</u>, which see, 1264 n.
- Lanbah-Sar,—a fortress in the Kuhistan of the Mulähidah, 1188 and n.8, 1206 and n.1 and 2, 1207 n, 1209 n, 1227 n.5
- Lan-chew,—a Chinese town, 1222 n, now Pan-ning-Fû.
- Landsey Sind, the,—a tributary of the Indus, 78 n, 79 n, 1043 n 1.
- Lanhar, 1074s, for Kälinjar of Sind.

- Langer,: 1074 s, for Kilinjer of Sind.
- Lanj-rüt, for Wanj-rüt, the territory in the Multan province, 723 a L.
- Lanksi,—a fortress in the territory of Tingküt or Känhin, 947 s.
- Lir or Lăristân,—a province of Persia, 98 n 7, 990 n, 991 n, 1207 n. See also under Lüristăn.
- Lăristăn,—a province of Persia, 98 n 7. See also under Lär and Lüristän.
- Lār-jān,—a fortress on a mountain in Tabaristān, 993 s.
- Lār-jār,—a fortress in Tabaristān, 280 n 3.
- Ligh,—a fortross in the territory of Sujistin, 1122 w.
- Lath of Kuth Sahib,—name of the Minarat in the city of Dibli, 621 %6, 622 %.
- Lätkin-äbäd, more correctly wratten Aytkin-äbäd, which see, 318 n.6.
- Läwah,—a town in Rapputanah, 728 and n 3.
- Liwin or Lidán,—a town in the country of the Urus [Rusmans], 1170 n.
- La-wir or Lu-îr, on the way between Rud-bar and Gharjistan, 269
- Lankoran, 1021 n 8, for Sankuran, which see.
- Lewak Shan, 1220 a. See under Liwak-shin.
- Lewpan,—a mountain in the Chuese province of Shen-ai, 1219 n, 1221 n.
- Lhasi, capital of Tibbat, li.

Liuri,—a fortress in Sind, 542 n 9, now called Robgi.

Liao Ho or Charamnin, the,—a river of China, 886 n.

Liguita,—a town of Hungary, 1108 s.

Lin-tau-Pû,—a town in the Chinese province of Shen-sī, 1218 a.

Lithuania, 1167 m.

Little Bukharis—Kiohik Bukhārā, or the western part of the Kāghghar territory, 920 n, 922 n.

Liwak-ahin,—a place within the conflues of Tinguigh, 1068 s, 1230 s,—called slawwhere Afwin-Shin. [Niwar.

Lob, 1084. See also under Lob Lob Kasal,—or

Lob-Ental,—a city which formerly exacted in the territory of Käshghar, 922 a.

Lob-Nawar,—a tract of country on the borders of Tibbet, 951 s, 1085 m.

Logar, the, 1021 * 7. See under the Lobgar river.

Logarh, the, 283 n S. See under the Longar river.

Lohawar or Luhawar,—a district and town of Hindustan, 453 n3, 483 n, 484 n, 534 n, 538 n, 536 n, 1136 and n 5, 1136 n 8, 1201 n, 1225 n. See also under Lüher.

Lohgar or Logar, the,—a river of Afghänistän, 73 x 8, 288 x 3, 1019 x, 1021 xx 7 and 8, 1042 x 5 Lohor, same as Lähor, which see.

London, 309 m, \$53 m3, 883 m, 884 m, 1078 m8, 1094 m, 1131 m, 1147 m9, 1173 m1.

Long-Ching, -- city in the Khiti-i country, 966 a.

Long-gan-Fû,—a town in the comtry of Ohin, 1223 a.

Long-kang,—a place to the cast of the Chinese city of Whan-show, 1218 s.

Lopi, 670 x 2. See under Lüni.

Lost Ever of the Indian Descrt, the,—the Hakri, 707 n 6, 728 n I, 811 n 8, 812 n 8, 813 n, 822 n 2, 828 n 2.

Lowah-wii,—a village of Rindistin on the banks of the Bith, 538 s. Lower Sind, 614 s 8, 615 s 1, 1074 s. See also under Sind.

Lower Sawat,—a mountain district to the west of Kashmir, lv.

Lucknowty, 777 s, for Lakhanawati, which see. [640 s 8. Lūdiānah,—a town in the Panjāb, Luhāwar, same as Lohā-war and Lāhor, which see.

Luhāwār, same as Lohā-war and Lāhor, which see.

Luhri,—a fortress in Sind, 543 n 9, now called Bohri.

Lü-ir. See under La-wir.

Lük,—a fortress in Lower Sind, 616 n

Lukah, the,—a river of Turkistan, 961 s. Also called the Kalür-Au. Lumgbán, 72 s 6, for Lamghán, which ass.

Lūnī,—a town few miles north of Diblî, 670 and a 2.

Lit-pan, mountain of, 1221 n. See under the Lewpan mountain.

Lúristāu,—a province of Persia, 174n, 277 n.5, 297 n.9, 991 n. See also under Lär or Läristän.

Lyan-tong,—a territory south of the Kobi Desert, 1217 s.

Lyan,—the empire of the Kin, 921 a.

Ma'abar, the,—in the Dakhau of Hind, 1216 s.

Ma'bar,—a village on the La'irwil river, 77 n.

Maburn-abiid,—a town of Khurasan, 287 m.

Macedon, 680 # 7, 1044 m.

Mā-ohīn,—a country east of Khitā, 271 n, 383, 871 n, 913 n 9. See also under Mahā-Ohīn.

Mediran or Mediran, Sarkar of, south of Bangalah, 593 *-

Madiyin,—a place near Baghdad, 1945 a 4.

Midin,—a district in the territory of Ghür, 338, 348, 344, 351, 364, 367 and 27.

Madinah,—the city where the prophet Muhammad is buried, 5, 7, 24, 64 n 1, 82, 188, 140 n 5, 218 n 5, 227 n 1

Madinat-ush-Shahid [Madinat-ush-Shuhadā], or city of the Martyrs [Martyropolis], 1269 n.

Madrasah-i-sar-i-Ḥaws,—a place to the south of the city of Sistan, 195.

Madriwi, for Madri-mue, which see.

Madrüe, for Madrü-müe, which

Madrū-mūs,—a village on the frontier of Balkh, 75 and # 6.

Madrūsā-sālā, or Madīnat unh-Shahīd [Martyropolus], 1269 n.

Madrükah,—a town of 'Irāk on the east bank of the Furāt [Euphrates], 1240 m.

Mādūm-un-Nahr, 903 % See under Mādūn-un-Nahr. Middin-un-Nahr,—a term applied to the cis-Amiiah or cis-Oxus countries, 908 n 8, 1137 n, 1145 n.

Maghrab [Mauritania], 2, 7, 208, 209 and s, 214, 1252. See under Maghrib.

Maghrib, the countries in the north of Africa, west of Egypt, 1198 w, 1228 w. See also under Maghrab.

Mag-madi, the. See under the Begmati and the Beg-mati.

Magyar, territory of, 1166 s, the Majir of the Arabs.

Mahšban,—a place on the western bank of the Indus, 78 s.

Mahā-Ohin, the country east of <u>Kh</u>itā as called by the Hindas, 912 n 9, 1136 n 9, 1137 n, 1138 n, 1141 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n. See also under Mā-Ohin.

Mahamhā-i or Mahamhā-i Darah, —a pass between Kāmrūd and Tirhut, 568 and n 7.

Mahā-nadī, the,—a river between Lakhaņ-or and Jāj-pagar, 588 m, 598 m.

Maha-nadri or Maha-nadri, the, 592 m. See under the Mahanadi. (the Maha-nadi.

Mahān-darī, the, 593 s. See under Mahanmhā-i Durah, the. See under the Mahamhā-i Darah,

Mahā-pūr, 697 s, 799 s 2. See under Mīš-pūr.

Mahl'un,—a town of Hindustan, 733, 755. See also under Mahlwan.

Mahawan,—a town of Hindusten, 718 x 2, 714 x 9 783, 794, 824, 649 x 8. See also under Mahi'an.

- Mahin,—a plate in the Segar and Narbadah territories, 732, 744 and a 9, 824. See also under Mihir and Mihar.
- Mahmidābād,—a town between Tabrīs and Hamadān, 997 n.
- Mahobab, capital of the territory of Kälbi, in Hindustan, 834 w, 862 a 5, 755, 757 a 7,—app. xxv, xxvi.
- Mahû,—a town in the country of Khita or Chin, 1222 n.
- Maidin, the darah of,—south of the Kurmah, in the province of Karman, 400 %.
- Maidin,—a district near Kābul,
- Maidin-i-Sabs,—a place in the city of Chasnin, 287 n 9.
- Maiden's Castle, the,-in the territory of Chur, 340 n 1.
- Maifarkat, the name of Mayyafarikin [Martyropolis] in the flyrian language, 1270 m.
- Maihand, 378 n 9, for Maimand, which see.
- Maimanah,—a place in Khurasin, 139 n 8,—more correctly Mihanah.
- Maimand,—a small town of Charnin, 92 m 8, 878 and m 9, 891, 200.
- Maimin-Dujs,—a stroughold in the Kuhistan of the Mulibidah, 706 n 8, 1206 n 1, 1208 n, 1209 n, 1257 m.
- Majar, territory of, 1165 %, the Magyar of Europeans.
- Maker,-a city in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1170 s.
- Makhad,—a town on the river Sind, 538 m.

- Makhilish mountains, or the Kolii-Jūd,--now known as the Salt Range, 468 n 4, 597 n, 596 n, 500 n 3.
- Makkah, the mored city of the Moslema in the territory of Hijan, 5, 8, 24, 83 and n 8, 108 n, 188, 180 n, 140 n 5, 178, 185 n, 190, 192, 227 n 1, 228 n 4, 265 n 4, 346, 384 n 7, 717 n, 767 n 5, 835 n 2.
- Makrin, 86 n 2, same as Mukrin, which see.
- Maksadah or Maksidah,—a town of Hindustän, 576 and = 4,—app. xxii.
- Maksidah or Maksidah, 576 n 4. See under Maksadah.
- Makwinpur,—a town of Hindustan, 680 a 4, 507 a 1.
- Mālān,—a Daght or desert in the territory of Hirāt, 185 m.
- Malizah-gird,—a place in Asarbiijin in the vicinity of Akhlit, 124 a 9.
- Mildah,—a city in the Bengal Presidency, 590 a.
- Malik-pūr,—a town in the Panjāb, 536 s. 587 s. See also under Malkapūr.
- Malkapür,—a town in the Panjäh, 203 n 5, 536 n.: See also under Malık-pür. [wah.
- Milwa, 628 n 9. See under Mil-Milwah,—a territory of Hindustan, 491, 567 n 4, 568 n, 569 n, 592 n, 622, 633 n 9, 628, 639 n 8, 690 n, 691 and n, 733 n 5, 743, 769, 817 and n 6, 824 and n 7.
- Manbij,—a fortress in the neighbourhood of Halab, in the Diyari-Shāmiah, 204 n.

- Mandaux,---a province of Hindus-
- Mandawar,—a fortress within the limits of the Siwälikh territory, 611 and n.8, 623 n.9, 627, 778 and n.1.
- Mandawar, 611 * 8, for Mandawar, which see.
- Mandeth,—a stronghold in Khurtain, 306 n 9.
- Mandesh.—a district and city in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ūr, 306 x 5, 308 and x 9, 311 and x 8, 318 and x 1, 319 x 5, 340, 1002 x 8, 1073 x 7.
- Måndhü,-or
- Mändhüp,—a district south of Bangālah, 689 n, 593 n.
- Mandianah,—a district in the country of Hindustan, 750.
- Mandror, the chief town of Lamghan, in Afghanistan, 79 a.
- ghan, in Arghanssan, 70 s. Mandror, darah of,—in Afghānis-
- tân, 79 m. Mându or Mandů, 611 m 3 633 m 9, for Manda war, which see
- Mandud, 611 a 8, for Mandawar, which see.
- Mangali-Sûyah,—another name of the territory of Küshghar, 922 n.
- Mang-ching,—a town in the country of Khita or Chin, 1221 n.
- Mang-Fun,—a town in the country of Khita or Ohin, 1221 s.
- Maugir, Sarker of,—in the province of Bangalah, 593 a.
- Mangushlak. See under Meng-Kuhlak.
- Manglan,—a range of Huls in Northern India, 453 n 4.
- Manharah,—a village on the east bank of the La'ir-wal river, 77 n.

- Minik-pir,---a district and town of Banglink south of Awadh, 590 s, 591 s, 668 s 8, 704, 787, 788, 757 s 7, 880, 888 s 7, 847, 848.
- Manigh,—a town in the territory of Awadh, 744 and * 5.
- Manj, name of the forkress of Bremah in Kinnaul, 86 s.
- Mankas,—a city in the country of Bulo [Poland], 1166 s, 1167 s, 1171 a.
- Man-Minārah,—a fortress on the west bank of the Indus above Atak, 76 s, 1048 s 1.
- Mansūrah or Mansūra,—the capital of Sind, lix, 82, 539 and # 4, 674 # 3, 809, 810 m.
- Manyūriah,—a fortress in Khuriain, 1192 s.
- Mantariah,—a town of Bindustan, 588 s.
- Mansūriyah,—a town in the Diyūri-Muriah, 229 n 5. It is incorrectly printed Mansūrah, see page lix.
- Mansur-pur,—a district west of Dihli and north-west of Kaithal, 635, 707, 740 and n 2, 750, 830.
- Man-Yazid,—a fortress in Khurtain, 82 and a 6.
- Manxi,—the country east of <u>Khitā</u> as called by the Chinese, 1152 m, 1216 m, 1217 m, 1219 m, 1267 m. It is the <u>Mahā-Oh</u>in of the Hindūs.
- Mar-abid,—a town of Khuraean ten farsakhs from the city of Hirat, \$25 n.
- Marighah,—a town in the province of Āṣarbāijān, 170 m 8, 178, 471 m 5, 597 m, 1001 m, 1264 m, 1266 m, 1262 n

- Mambh-Duja, on fortress in the mountainous tract between Hamadin and the Siwid of Baghdad, 1998 a S.
- Maranjat, 1197 n S, for Ser-i-Takht in the Kuhistän.
- Marin-sid,—a place in the Hirst district, 358 + 3.
- Marbakht, 1197 s 8, for Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistiin.
- Märddin. See under Märdin.
- Mardin,—a town in the Diyar-i-Bakr, 1152 n, 1264 n, 1265 and n 1, 1278 n, 1279 n.
- Margalah Pass, the, 96 s 3, 97 s. See under the Mērigalah.
- Margh-1-Nülah,—a tract in the country of Ghür, 415.
- Marghaniën,—the Marghilian of the maps, 921 s.
- Marghilán,—a city in the territory of Farghinah, 921 n.
- Mārīgalah or Mārgalah, the,—a pass in the Panjāb, between Rāwal Pindī and Attak, 90 and a 1, 95 and a 4, 96 a 2, 97 a, 712 and a 6.
- Marikhat, 1197 # 8, for Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistan.
- Marim,—a tract in the country of the Urus, 1170 s.
- Mărjin,—a town in Măwară-un-Nahr, 972 s.
- Markimah,—a monastery in the city of Mayyi-firikin, 1268.
- Maro Malkah,—a town of Hindustan, 391 and # 1.
- Marot, 812 n 3, for Marut, which
- Martyropolis,—the city of Mayyāfāriķīn, in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 236 a 4, 1264 n, 1269 n, 1270 n. See also under Mayyāfāriķīn.

- Marit or Minit,—a place on the runte from Dilhi to Ughahah, 606 and a 3, 812 and sa 3 and 3, 861 a 6.
- Mārūtah 851 a 8, for Bārūtah, which see
- Marw .-- district and town in the territory of Khurasin, 6, 12 .8, 17, 23, 27, 38, 39, 50, 51, 70, 94 and ms 2 and 3, 97 n 3, 120 n 8, 121, 123 and an Sand 5, 124 n 4. 125, 126, 127, 129, 131 + 7, 132 and n 9, 138, 127, 146, 151, 162, 153 n 7, 156 and n 8, 238 n, 246 and n 8, 347 n, 248, 249, 260 nn 4 and 6, 251 m 9, 255 m 7, 256 m, 257 n 2, 311 n 1, 367, 375 n, 376, 381, 387, 393 n 8, 399, 400 n 3, 414, 457 m, 472 m, 478 m, 479 m, 480 m, 481 n 8, 491, 514 n 4, 906 n, 996 n, 1009 m, 1010 m, 1011 m, 1021 m 8, 1027 and a 8, 1028 a, 1029 a, 1080 m, 1031 m, 1082 m, 1088 m, 1034s, 1036 a 1, 1037 a and s 3, 1046 s, 1049 s 2, 1058 s 5, 1226,--app. iti.
- Marw, the,—a branch of the Oxus, 1030 a, 1031 a.
- Marw-ar-Rud,—a district and town in the territory of Khuraniu, 35 n.2, 247 n, 257 n.2, 300, 378, 391, 405 n.3, 457 n, 481 n.8, 491 and a.4, 509 n, 1009 n, 1019 n, 1028 n. Now called Murghöb.
- Marw-ar-Rūd, the,—a branch of the Ozus, 131 a 7. Now called the Murgh-āb.
- Marw.i-Shih-i-Jahin,—a district and town in the territory of Khurisin, 94 a 3, 131 a 7, 146, 153, 381, 397 and a 9, 1027 a 8, 1028 a. See also under Marw.

Marwir,—a district in Rajpatinah north of Jodpur, 521 s, 611 s 8.

Marwat,—a district in the province of Karman towards the Sind, 496 a 7.

Magh-had of 'Ali,-st Küfah of 'Irik, 64 n l.

Magh-had of Müsi-i-Ja'far,—at the Karlh of Baghdid, 1283 and * 9. Magh-had of Tüs,—in <u>Kh</u>urisin, 1140 a, 1233 * 9.

Maghrik-ar-Rūd, 401 n 4, for Marwar-Rūd, which see.

Massil, the Kahiukah or Pass of, in the territory of Tingnish, 1330 s.

Mathan or Mithan, 541 a 7, for Banian, which see.

Matharah. See under Matharah. Matharah,—a city of Hindustan, 85 s. 790 s. 9.

Man-Biligh, or the unfortunate city, —the name given by the Mughals to Espif, the capital of Bimila, after its rain, 427 s, 918 s, 1012 n 3.

Mauritania, 1239 s. See under Maghrab and Maghrib.

Mauro Castrum [Maläzah-gird], a place in Āşarbāijān in the violnity of Akhlät, 184 a 9.

Mansil,—a district and town of Mesopotamia, lvlii, 59 and a 4, 63 and a 7, 148, 149, 169, 308 and a 1, 204 and a, 305 a 6, 207 a 8, 225 a 4, 883, 934 a, 1228, 1232, 1234 a 8, 1237, 1239 a, 1244 a, 1247 a, 1252, 1262 a, 1270, 1272 a 5, 1278 a, 1280 a 5, 1281 a, 1282 a.

Mavrennahr, 915 n, for Mäwarä-en-Nahr. Mäwar-un-Nahr, for Mäwarä-un-Nahr, see page lviii.

Miwari-un-Nahr [Transcriene]. the countries beyond the Jihun. ivil, 13 n 3, 19 n 2, 24 and n 8, 26. 26 and # 3, 27 and # 6, 28 and # 8, 31 and a 3, 35 a 6, 44, 53 a and m1, 85 m, 86 m, 87 m, 116 and #2, 117 and a, 190 and a 8, 122 a 8, 128 m, 134 m 8, 187 m 6, 185 m 6. 139 m, 140 m 5, 147 and m 8, 154 m 2, 155 m, 227, 236 m, 246, 260 and m 7, 264 s. 266, 267, 269, 278 s and n.5, 275 and a, 280, 281 a 5, 374 n.5, 375 m, 382, 496 m 6, 484 m 1, 759 x 3, 578 x, 580, 581 x, 882 x, 901 m, 902 m, 908 m, 904 m, 906 m, 907 m, 906 m, 909 m, 911 m, 912 m 9. 914s, 915s, 916s, 918, 921s, 928 s, 925 s, 926 s, 927 s, 980 s, 978 m, 980 and m 8, 998 m, 1007, 1016 s, 1075 s, 1087 s, 1097 s 7, 1137 m, 1142, 1146 m, 1146, 1152 m, 1196 n, 1194 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1218, 1220, 1258 x 8, 1275 x 8, 1292,app, zviii. [576 a 4,-app. zzii. Mazabad,-s town of Hindustan, Maxadabad, - a town of Hindustan, 576 a 4,--- app. zxii.

Mayys-firikin [Martyropolis],—a city in the Diyir-i-Bakr, liz, 296 a 4, 226 a 7, 1263, 1264 a, 1265 and a 9, 1268 and a 9, 1269 a, 1270 and a and sa 2 and 3, 1272 a 5, 1273 a 7, 1276 a, 1279, 1280.

Mizandarin,—a province of Persia, 45 n 9, 94, 180 n 7, 241, 242 n 6, 261 n, 274 n 1, 277 and n 5, 279, 280, 926 n, 932 n, 990 n, 991 n, 992, 994 n, 1000 n, 1004, 1028 n, 1063, 1117 n, 1120 n 2, 1121 n, 1168 n and n 8, 1207 n. Masir-I-Hairst-i-Turkistia, or Turkistin [Hauret],—name of I-ligh in the turritory of Turks, 932 n.

Mecca, 835 = 3, for Makkah, which

Moomuna, 278 n 9, for Maimand, which see.

Megnah, the,—a river in southcestern Bengal, 589 m.

Meimuna, \$78 n 9, for Maimand, which see.

Meng-Kighlük,—the Winter Station of the Meng, the Mangishlak of the maps, 1164 n 9.

Meimundus, 1209 s, for Maimündujs, which see.

Merke,—a town in the country of Karë-Khitë, 919 s.

Merou, for Marw, which see.

Meru, for Marw, which see.

Merv, for Marw, which see.

Merv-i-Shah-Jahan, 94 n 3, for Marwi-Shah-i-Jahan, which see.

Meshed, 1232 w 9, for the Mash-had of Tüs in Khurāsāu.

Mesopotamia, 135, 136 s, 204 s, 921 s, 1274 s, 1279 s. The Jazirah of Arab geographers.

Mewar,—a city of Hindustan, near the Aravalli mountains, 705 n 7

Mewat, the hilly tract of,—in Hindustan, 684, 705 * 7, 706 * 9, 760, 818, 837, 846 * 6, 850, 851

Miafarķin, an error for Mayyafarikin.

Mii-pūr,—a place on the river Gang near Burki, 697 and n, 799 and n 2.

Mia-pūrī,—an old place a little to the S. W. of Hardwar, 799 a 2

Minhani,—a place in the Khaibar mountains, 79 s.

Michai, for Michani.

Mihanah,—a place in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 129 ** 8,—called <u>Maimanah</u> by Europeans.

Mihar, 714 n 9, 733. Same as Mahir and Mihir, which see.

Mihir or Mihir,—a place in the Sagar and Narbadah territories, 657, 718 = 2, 744 = 9, 794, 849 = 8. See also under Mihar and Mahir.

Mihrān, the,—another name of the river Sind [Indus], 295 m.

Mihrīn-dujs,—a fortress in the Kuhistān of the Mulähidah, 1192 n.

Minār of Kutb Şāhib,—in the capital city of Dibli, 520 n, 621 n 6, 622 n, —app. iv.

Mingrelia,—a country south of the Caucasus, 860 x 9.

Mirat, 688 n 3, 612 n 3. See also under Mirath.

Mirath or Mirat,—a city east of Duhli, the Meerut of the maps, 469 and x 9, 491, 515, 516 x 2, 702 and x 3, 799,—app. ii, iv, v. See also under Mirat.

Mirwan or Nirwan,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 n 4.

Misr. 18, 47 n 7, 65 n 1, 134, 137, 139, 140 and nn 2 aud 5, 163 n 5, 903, 204 n, 205 n 4, 206, 206 n 2, 209 and n and n 6, 210 and n, 211 and n 9, 212 and n 1, 213 and n 3, 214, 216 and n 3, 217, 218, 219, 222, 223 and n and nn 4 and 5, 224 and n 8, 225 n 4, 227 n 9, 228 and n 4 229 n 5, 230, 399, 303 n 7, 470 n, 597, 616 n 2, 766, 796, 1152 n, 1193 n, 1246 n 5, 1254 n, 1256 n 6, 1259 n 3, 1260 n, 1261 n, 1265 n 9, 1275 n 3, 1276 n, 1277 n,

1378 a, 1380 a 5, 1381 a, 1383 a. See also under Egypt and Kihirab. Ditriah.

Migriah. See under the Diyar-l-Mithau or Mithan, 541 n 7, 623 n 8, for Banian, which see.

Mithan-kot,—a place on the Indus, 541 a 7.

Mogan, plain of, 996 s, 1001 s. See under Mughäu.

Mogholistän. See under Mughalistän or Mughülistän.

Mogelistan. See under Mughalistän or Mughälistän.

Mongolia, 950 n. See also under Mughalistän or Mughälistän.

Montreal,—a town in the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem, 310 n.

Mooltan, for Multan, which see.

Morang,—a tract of country in Central Asia, 1044 s.

Moravia, 1167 s.

Mosal, for Mauril.

Mosdok,—the chief city of the Cherkassans, 999 n.

Mū-āwand,--or

Mü-äwandur,—a mountain range of Turkistän, 942 n.

Mādah, 627 and n 7. See under Māndūdah.

Mughal-Tegh,—a mountain in the territory of Farghanah, north of Khujand, 921 s.

Mughalistän or Mughälistän [Mongolia], 271 n, 880 n, 881 n, 882 n, 883 n, 889 n, 890 n, 895 n, 896 n, 902 n, 913 n, 919 n, 922 n, 966 n 6, 988 n, 998 n, 1081 n, 1083 n, 1089 n, 1091 n, 1099 n, 1108 n, 1112 n 9, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1140 n, 1174 n 5, 1217 n, 1288 n 8. Also styled Jatah.

Mughin, plain of,—on the Caspian in Aparbäijin, 996 v, 996 s, 1001 v.

Mughālistān. See under Mughalistāu.

Muhir,—another name of Baibak in Zāwulistān, 67 # 8, 87 #.

Mukrān,—a territory between Sind and Kirmān, xxiii, 88 * 2, 192 and * 4, 244, 283 and * 9, 284, 295 *, \$90, 490, 534 and * 1, 616 *, 883, 934 *, 1074 *, 1075 *.

Mukrānāt or the Mukrāns, 295 s. See under Mukrān.

Mulhidistän,—territory of the Mullhidab, 394 and n 1, 1187 and n 6, 1196, 1306, 1207 n. See also under the Kuhistän.

Müli Säng,—a fortified city in the country of Truguagh, in Turkistan, 1220 n.

Multan .-- a district and town in the country of Sind, xiii, xxvi, 1, 85 %, 88 m 2, 91 m 2, 110, 114 m, 117 m, 119, 120 and n 1, 187, 298, 294 m. 207. 321 a 7. 440 and an 1 and 2. 451, 453 n 4, 454 n, 455 n, 456, 466 n 1, 476 n, 477 n, 482 n, 489, 491, 529 = 4, 580, 582 and = 1, 588 m. 535 and n, 536 n, 538 n, 539, 540 n. 541 and n 7, 542 n 9, 548, 610 n. 611, 612, 614 n 8, 622 n, 623 n 8, 628, 633 and we 6 and 8, 684 n.9. 644 n 7, 645 and n, 686 n, 663 n 9, 668 m, 676 m 9, 677 mm 5 and 6, 678 a 7. 686 a 7, 687 and a 9, 688 and mand mm 8 and 4, 689 and m, 692 and # 3, 695 and ## 9 and 2, 696 mand = 3, 707 and = 6, 711 and m4, 714 n 9, 720 m 1, 723 and m 1. 726 and = 6, 727 and mm 5 and 6. 798, 780 n 6, 781, 746, 747, 758,

781 and na 9 and 2, 782 and n 2, 788 and n and n 7, 784 and n and n 2, 786, 786, 786, 792, 796 n, 810 n, 812 n 2, 816 n 2, 822 and n a 9 and 1, 838 and n 3, 835 n 6, 840 n 2, 844 and n 1, 846 n, 850 n 3, 860, 908 n, 1074 n, 1129, 1180 n, 1123, 1153 and n 1, 1156 and n 7, 1174, 1201 n, 1202 n, 1224 n.

Mümin-ibid,—a fortress in the Kuhistin of the Mulihidah, 1303. Mandore, 611 %3, for Mandawar, which ass.

Mündüdah or Müdah,—a town of Hindustin, 627 and a 1.

Muner,—a place at the confluence of the Scane [Sop] with the Ganges, 550, 551 m. Márang, the,—a river of Hindüstān, 500 n 4.

Murdhan,-or

Murdhan-kot, 561 a 5, for Burdhankot, which see.

Murgh-lib, 1009 s, the later name of Marw-ar-Rüd, which see.

Murgh-ab, the,—a river of Khurlain falling into the Oxus, xxi, 285 n 2, 246 and n 2, 324 n, 368, 379, 394, 467 n, 514 n 4, 1010 n.

Murghab,—a fortress in the district of Marw. 1034 s.

Mury,-and

Murve, 472 n, for Marw.

Mügh,—a town near the banks of the eastern branch of the upper Euphrates, 1275 * 2. [Iviii. Müşil, an error for Mauşil, see page

M.

Mib,—a town between Firsz-koh and Hirst in the valley of the Hariw-ar-Rüd, 358.

Nadiya,—a town in the province of Bengal,—app xxiv

Nadole, in Gujarët, 523 a. See Nadël.

Nadül,—a town in the territory of Gujarit, 521 n, 523 a.

Não,-a district in the territory of Bāmīts, 414 and * 6.

His,—a fortress in the district of Wajiristän, 108 and = 6, 324 s, 357 s.1.

Milemin country, the, 949 n, 981 n, 1145 n, 1219 n.

Magiwin, See under Nakawin or Nakiwin.

Mag-awr, -a district and town in

the territory of Siwälikh, 200 and a 2, 469 a, 520 a, 549, 611 a 3, 616, 668 a, 685 a 4, 689 and a 5, 695 and a 2, 696 a, 699, 702 a 3, 759, 767, 780, 781 and a 9, 783 a 9, 792 and a 1, 798 and a 8, 827 a 5, 829, 830.

Naghawr, fortress of, 110. See under Nag-awr.

Nag-mati, the. See the Bag-mati and the Beg-mati

Nagoor, 520 s, for Nag-awr, which

Nagor, 585 a6, for Lakhap-or, which

Nagore, 611 * 3, for Nag-awr, which

Nagrahâr, 96 and n 2, 1016. See under Nangrahâr.

- Nahlsiah,—a town in the district of Baghdid, 1248 n.
- Nabr-i-'Isi, the,—a canal or stream in the district of Baghdad, 1240 n.
- Nahr-i-Sher, the,—a canal or stream in the neighbourhood of Baghdad, 1241 and n 1.
- Nahrwilah,—a city in the territory of Gujarit, 82, 88 n 3, 114 n, 294 n, 451, 470, 491, 516, 517 n, 518 n, 519 n, 520 n, 521 n, 522 n, 545 n 5, 602 and n 3, 1074 n.
- Nahrwän,—a town in the district of Baghdad, 62.
- Nabshab, for Nakhshab, the station between Hirst and Chur, which see.
- Nähün or Nähun,—a town in the Silmür or Sırmür territory in Handüstlin, 839 n 9.
- Najaf,—a town of 'Irek where the <u>Eh</u>alifah 'Ali is buried, 1243 s
- Najās or Na<u>kh</u>ās,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 995 s.
- Nakawan or Nakawan,—a village in the district of Payal in Hindustan, 640 and n 4.
- Nakhās. See under Najās.
- Nakhistan,—a tract of country in Turkistan, 70 and n 6
- Nakhjīr,—a town in the country of Ghūr, zliz.
- Nakhjūšn,—a town in Āparbāijān, 296 n. 997 n.
- Nakhshab,—a town in Mawara-un-Nahr, 46, 274 n 1, 1004 n 1, 1034 n
- Nakhahab,—a station between Hırāt and <u>Gh</u>ür, 325 n.
- Nälah-i-Biah, the, 538 s, another name of the Biah, which see.
- Naman, 1219 a See under the Naeman country.

- Namān-Karah, 944 s. See under Samin-Kabarah. [i-Nu'min.
- Namin Pushta. Secunder Pushtah-Namin-gih,—name of a gate of
- Samarkand, 979 s.
 Nāmiau,—a district in the territory
- Maman,—a district in the territory of Khurasan, 401 m, 1030 m, 1021 m8, 1082 m. Same as Bamian, which see.
- Nandah Diwi,—one of the peaks overlooking the Kumā'an mountains, 799 w 2.
- Nandanah,—a district and fortress in the Sind-Sägar Do-äbah of the Panjäb, 85 n, 584 and n I, 535 n, 586 n, 587 n, 588 n, 589 n, 623 n 8, 637 and n 9, 878 and n 7, 679 n 6, 750, 816 n 6, 816 n 5, 1131 n.
- Nandanah, the,—a small river in the district of Fath-i-Jang in the Panjab, 587 a.
- Nandanpūr,—a small district north of Nandanah, 537 s.
- Nandna, *678 n 7, for Nandanab, which see.
- Nandua-tari,—a fortress in the territory of Mukrān, 584 n l.
- Nandunah, fortress of, 587 n, for Nandanah, which see.
- Nang-mati, the. See the Bag-mati and the Beg-mati.
- Nangnihår, 94 % 8, for Nangrahår or Nagrahår, which see.
- Nangrahër, originally called Nekanhër,—a district immediately south of the Kabul river, 1, 96 and n2, 540 n, 1015 n, 1016, 1622 n, 1043 n 1, 1044 n, 1103 n. Also called Nagral ër.
- Nanking, 958 a, another name of the city of Taiming, which see.

Narlin, 459 n 7, 597 n, 685 n 4, for Tari'in, which see.

Näran-go-e,--or

Nāran-ko-a,---a district of Hindustān, 572 and n 7, 577.

Narbadah,—a territory of Hindustan, 744 n 9.

Marbadab, the,—a river of Hindústin, 588 n, 858 n 6.

Nārdîn [Mārdīn ?],—a city in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 1153 s.

Nardin, 85 %, 86 %, 587 %, 678 % 7, for Nandanah, which see.

Nārīn, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 890 n.

Märkoti, 572 n 7, for Näran-go-e or Näran-ko-e, which see.

Nārnūl,—a town of Hindustan, 730.

Nasībīn,—a town in Mesopotamis, 1264 * 4.

Nasīr-koh of Tāl-ķān,—or

Naer-koh,—a fortress of Tāl-kān in the terratory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 94 × 3, 988 x, 1003, 1005 and x 3, 1006, 1008, 1010, 1013, 1013.

Mava deva kala,—a town close to Rájgir about four miles S. E. of Kanauj, 680 n

Neh,—s district and town in the territory of Nimros, 196, 199, 200, 1062 and a 4, 1204.

Nebawend, 996 n, for Nihawand, which see.

Nek-anhār, 1, 1022 n, 1048 n 1, the original name of Nangrahār, which see.

Nek-Nihār, I, same as Nangrahār, which see. [Nipāl.

Nepāl, 737 s 9. See also under Nephugard,—the name of Mayyāfāriķīn [Martyropols] in the Armeniau language, 1270 s. News, 993 s, for Nist, which see. New Cairo, 140 s 2. See also under Kihirah.

New Khulja,—a town in Mughitlistan, 920 n.

Nicsea, the city of Nisa in Khuraain, 1055 a.

Nigaristan,—a fortress of <u>Gharjis</u>tan, 1199 s.

Nihāwand,—a town in the 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 307, 995 a, 996 a.

Nihehab, for Nakhshab, the station between Hirāt and <u>Gh</u>ūr, which see

Nikālah,—a place near Lahor, 294 s.

Nil, the. See under the Nile.

Nil-āb, territory of the Nil-āb or river of Kābul, 1182 n

Nil-sb,—a ferry on the river Sind, 291 a, 292 a, 454 a.

Nil-ab, the,—the river of Kabul, 78 **, 486 ** 5. See also under the Kabul.

Nil-Ab, the,—another name of the river Smd, 1020 s.

Nile, the, 140 and nn 2 and 5, 213 n, 328 n 4,

Nim-ros,—name by which the country of Sijustan is styled, 12 m5, 16, 20 and n3, 24, 84, 154 n3, 183 and n2, 185 n, 186 and n, 187, 188 and n7, 189 and n8, 190, 191, 194, 195, 196 and n5, 197, 198, 199 n7, 200, 201, 283, 287, 317, 278, 390 n6, 391 and n1, 626 n, 911 n 6, 925 n, 967 and n3, 1119, 1130, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1203, 1304 n1.

Ninduna, 537 s, for Nandanah, which see.

Ninglish or Ningits,-a country

adjoining Ehiti or (thin, 1067 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n, 1220 n. See also under Tingnish.

Ming-kya,—the Chinese name of Tingli, a city in the territory of Tingküt or Käghin, 1085 %.

Minglis, 1216 a. See under Nings.

Nipal or Nepal, valley of, 561 * 1, 567 * 1, 689 * 8, 787 * 9.

Nirwan or Mirwan,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 * 4.

Nirwal or Nirwar, 690 * 1. See under Nurwal or Nurwar.

Nisā,—a district and town in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urūsān, 116 n 3, 117 n, 119, 121, 122, 123 n, 124 n, 125, 129 n, 262 n 1, 266 n 6, 391, 458 n 4, 461, 740 n 4, 963 n, 1081 n, 1033 n, 1037 n, 1055 n, 1060 n 5.

Nisatah,—the place where the Landaey Sind join the Käbul river, 79 %. [see.

Nighābūr, same as Nighāpūr, which Nishapoor, 963 s, for Nighāpūr, which see.

Nishapor, for Nishapur, which see. Nishāpūr or Nishābūr.—a district and town of Khurisan, 18 and m8, 14 and m1, 15, 16, 17, 22 and n 7, 23, 36, 42 and n 8, 48, 44, 45, 46, 47 and = 8, 48 and = 4, 49, 50 and n 4, 51 n 6, 59, 70 n 8, 71 n 5, 74 n 3, 76 n 2, 80 n 5, 81 n, 89 n 8, 94 a 2, 119, 122 and a 3, 124 a, 125 and a 8, 128 n, 130 and a, 131 n7, 182 n9, 138 n8, 181, 182, 193, 199, 236 n 8, 240 m, 245 n 7, 250 and n 6, 251 n 9, 252 and n 4, 254, 265 and #7, 278 *, 276 and *, 277 and a 5, 280, 286 and a 6, 346, 375 m, 380, 381 and nn 2, 4

and 5, 385, 391 and a 3, 396 and a 5, 416, 491, 518, 636 a, 908 a, 968 a, 967, 960 a, 990 a, 992, 998 a, 1000 a, 1014 a 2, 1027 a 8, 1026, 1061, 1038 a, 1084 a, 1035 a, 1066 a 1, 1037 a, 1049 a 2, 1058 a 8, 1116 a, 1117 a, 1126 a 6, 1212, 1226,

Nigibin. See under Masibin.

Nebo-dwip, the former name of Nūdiāh, 559 a.

Nocrecenb,-or

Nocreconh, for Nukrah-koh, which

No-po-ti-po-kin-lo, Chinese name of Nava deva kala, which see, 680 %.

North Chins, 940 s.

North Western Provinces, the, 1181 n.

No-shahr,—a town in Asarbiijiu, 1286 a 9.

Nübah, the Nubis of Europeans, 214 n G.

Nubia. See under Nübah.

Nudawar, the. Bee under the Nudwar.

Nuddeah, 558 x 7, for Nudiah, which

Núdiah or Núdia, capital of the Hinda State of Lakhanawati, before the Muhammadan conquest, lxi, 520, 554, 557 and a 4, 558 a 7, 559 and a and an 2 and 8, 574 and a 6,—app. exist, exv, exvi.

Núdwär or Núdawär, the,—a river of Khwärasm, 678 a 2.

Nukrah-koh,—a mountain of Tukhāristān of Balkh, in <u>Khurisin</u>, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n.

Nu'man, Puntah or mound of,-

in the territory of Khurisian, 1000 and * 7, 1011 s., 1046 s. See also under the Paghtah-i-Na'mān.

Mür,—s fortress in the territory of <u>Gh</u>ür, 328 n. See also under Tür.

Nur,—a district in the textitory of Bukhārā, 117 a, 118 and a 4, 120, 976 a. [473 a 2.

Mar, the,—a river of <u>Kh</u>warasu, Mar, the,—a stream falling into the Kābul river, xlv, 77 s, 78 s.

Nur, Darah of,-valley of the river Nur, zivi, 78 s. Surokirit, they—78 s, for Nir and Kirit, two streams falling into the Käbul river.

Nurwal or Narwar,—a place forty miles east of Bhūpāl, 600 and n and sn 6 and 1, 601 n, 732 n 7, 834 n 7, 885 and n 4.

Hurwar, 690 and nandas 8 and 1. See also under Nurwal.

Nū-thī,—a city in the territory of Khītā, 966 a.

Nuzül, 621 a, for Nadül in Gujarët, which see,

O.

Ohan,—a tract of country in Muphilistin, 1319 n.

Ohind, 78 s, for Chand, a town on the Upper Indus.

Oke, wrong name of the fortress of Uk, 1122 s.

Old Cairo,—the al-Misr of the natives, 140 = 2.

Old Kuldja,—a town on the river Ili in Mughühetän, 919 s.

O-li-ma-lu,—the Chinese name of Almaligh, 965 s.

Onon, the,—a river in the country of Khita or Chin, 1218 a.

Ooch,—the name of Uchchah as spelt by Europeans, 541 a G.

Ocche, 450 s, for Uchohah, which

Cong.--a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 m.

Ordu Balik, 1189 a, for Urdüs-Biligh, which see.

Orontes, the,---called al-'Aşî by the Arab geographers, 1876 s. Osseto,—the country of the As as called by Europeans, 1165 m, 1169 s.

Onala, fortress of, 1019 s. See under Wälign and Wälightun.

Ouche,—the name of Cobohah as spelt by Europeans, 641 n 6.

Oude, 550 n 6, mme as Awadh, which see.

Onkieir, 1083 s, for Akâbir or Akâîr of Turkistän.

Ourga, 895 s. See under Ulus-i-Aurgab or Urgab.

Ousaneth,—a fortress of <u>Gharjis</u>tan, 1001 m.

Ozford, 268 a &.

Oxus, the, xxix, xlix, 25 n 8, 76 n L 84 n 9, 123 n, 278 n, 292 n, 426 n 6, 879 x, 883 n, 903 n, 921 n, 995 n, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1017 n, 1024 x 2, 1044 n. See also under the Jihūn.

Oxyartes,—a Bikhtrian stronghold, 1954 n 2, Panhoham,—a province of Bangalah, on the west side of the river Ganges, 586 s.

Padmiwati or Bidwati,—South Bihir probably, 592 s.

Paiwar,—a town in the province of Karman, 409 n.

Paiwar,—a darah or valley in the province of Karman, 409 s.

Paiwar Pass,—on the route from Ghaznin to the Indus, 290 * 4.

Pakkā-kot,—a place on the route between <u>Ehush</u>-āb and Makhad on the Sind, 588 n.

Pakla'i, 1043 * 1. Same as Pakli, which see.

Pakii,—a tract east of the Indus, 1048 n 1, 1046 n.

Palamão,—a district in the territory of Bhātah, west of Bangālah, 588 n.

Palestine, 214 n 3, 326 n, 470 n. See also under Filistin.

Pali,—a town in the territory of Gujarāt, 521 n

Palri,—a place in Gujarat close to the Arawali hills, 521 s

Paltärah,—a territory in Hindüstän, 718 n 2 See also under Baltärah.

Palwal,—a place in the Bharat-pür territory in Hindüstän, 726, 790

Pamir, mountains of,—in Central Asia, 426 n 6.

Panduah,—a towr in the territory of Bangalah, 590 n, 591 n

Pānīpat,—a town of Hudūstān, 505 n 8, 679.

Panj-Āb, the,—the five rivers of the Panjab, 609 n 1, 614, 811 n 8, 1155 n 6.

Paul ch, the, xiv, xxiv, xxvii, h, 79 a.

95 n 4, 96 n 1, 118 n 3, 118 n, 114 n, 121 n 7, 125 n 5, 290 n 4. 291 n, 295 n 5, 826 n, 458 n 4, 454 n, 455 n, 466 n 1, 469 n, 477 n, 508 and n 8, 506 n, 518 n, 526 n 8, 527 n, 538 n, 585 n, 587 n, 539 n, 606 n 8, 600 n n 1 and 5, 610 n 6, 633 n 6, 644 n 7, 645 n, 668 n 9, 678 n, 667 n 1, 689 n, 696 n 3, 713 n n 2 and 6, 716 n 5, 730, 784 n 4, 611 n 8, 823 n 9, 860 n, 869 n 1, 905 n, 997 n, 1015 n, 1043 n 1, 1074 n, 1144 n 6, 1162 n, 1155 n 6, 1184 n, 1202 n, 1225 n,—app. xxi.

Panj-āb,—a ford over the river Āmūiah or Jīhūn, 988 s.

Panj-ab-i-Sind, the,—the five rivers of Sind, 503 nn 7 and 8.

Panj-Angusht,—a halting-place in the 'Irak-1-'Ajam, 1231 n, 1238 n.8.

Panj-dih,—a town in the territory of Khurāsan, 378, 391.

Pany-hir, the,—a river of Afghanistan, 288 n 3.

Panjkora, the,—a river in the Swat country, 1045 s.

Panj-Nad, the,—or the five rivers, 1155 n 6 Same as the Panj-Ab, which also see.

Pāpin,—a town in the district of Nangrahār, 1044 n.

Păr or Băr,—a place in the territory of <u>Khurāsā</u>u, between Hirāt and <u>Gh</u>ūr, 325 n.

Paras, the,-or

Pāras-Kol, the,—east of Mughülistān, 890 n.

Parāyān,—a place in the territory of Khurāsāu, between Birāt and Ghūr, 325 a. Paris, viti, 68 n. 4, 159 n. 7, 316 n. 5, 355 n. 9, 340 n. 1, 354 n. 7, 376 n. 5, 410 n. 5, 425 n. 5, 425 n. 5, 425 n. 5, 425 n. 5, 568 n. 7, 573 n. 7, 573 n. 3, 581 n. 605 n. 1, 626 n. 7 and 8, 627 n. 9, 672 n. 2, 674 n. 4, 684 n. 8, 695 n. 1, 703 n. 9, 870 n. 1071 n. 2, 1197 n. 3, 1262 n. 6, 1292 n. 8, app. xv.

Farghāwar er Barghābūr,—a city on the bank of the river Bind, 76 n 2, 80 n, 483 n, 583 n, 1015 n, 1028 n, 1048 n 1, 1047 n 4, 1063 n. Seealso under Barghābūr, Barghāwar and Burghor.

Parghor, 540 m. See under Barghiwar and Parghiwar.

Pars-kol, the,—east of Mughülistän,

Parthia, 1188 n. Now the 'Irak-i-'Ajam, which see.

Parwin,—another name of Barwin, the town between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Balkh, which see, 288 s 2.

Parwin or Farwin,—a town of Afghinistän, on the Pany-hir river, 286 m \$.

Parwin Pass, the,—a narrow valley north of Kähnt, 2lix, 288 n 2, 269 n, 290 n, 1020 n, 1042 n 5, 1075 n. Also called Barwin.

Parwin Pass, the,—over the Hindu-Kush, 286 n 3.

Parwati or Bhawani, temple of, in Americantak of Jaj-nagar, 588 s.

Phr-yab, of Turkistan, 128 s. See under Phr-yab.

Patan [Paghgham],—a province of Bangaluh, on the west side of the river Ganges, 536 a. [tah. Patestah, 550 a 5. See under PatiPathadah, 645 n.4, for the fortross of Tabarhindah in Hindustan.

Patkindah, fortress of,—capital of Jai-pāl, the Bādshāh of Hind, 458 n, 462 n, 803 n 6, 645 n 4. See also under Bathindah and Bhatindah.

Patiali,—a town on the southern bank of the Ganges, 551 s.

Patitah,—a town of Hindustän, between the Ganges and the Karam-Nässk river, 550 ns 5 and 6, 551 s.

Pau-ning-Fû, 1222 n. The present name of Lan-chew, which see

Pājal or Pāyil,—a town on the route from Dihli to Lādiānah, 640 and s2.

Piyil. See under Piyal.

Pe-che-li,—a province of <u>Khitā</u> or <u>Chin</u>, 1219 s

Pekin,—the capital of China, 980 n, 956 n, 1090 a, 1219 a.

Pelasium, the modern Balbis in Egypt, 213 m l.

Persia, 14 n 3, 70, 98 n 7, 295 n, 809 n, 426 n 6, 142 n, 447 n 9, 694 n 4, 991 n, 1003 n 5, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1064 n 2, 1186 n 8, 1264 n, 1279 n, 1292 n — app. xxi. See also under I ran.

Persian Gulf, the, 179 and w 8, 1245 = 4.

Peehiwar, 1, 76 n 2, 78 n, 79 n, 81 n, 285 and n 6, 291, 452 n 4, 465 n, 510 n, 518 n, 535 n, 588 n, 874 n, 1002 and n 5, 1013, 1016 n 3, 1021 n 8.

Peshawir, for Peshäwar, which see.
Peshawar, for Peshäwar, which see.
Pharwala,—a hilly tract in the Salt
Range, 1130 s. [1136 s 9.
Pian-kin,—a city of Khita or Chin,

Pigh or Pigh,—a country north of the Kābul river, 431 n 5, 1044 n.

Pieckipali, 918 n, for Bigh-Bäligh, which see.

Pind-i-Didan Khan,—a town in the district of Nandanah, 537 m.

Pindar or Bindai,—a fortress in <u>Gharjistau</u>, 842 See also under Bindar.

Pinjan,—a place neer Turfan in Turkıstân, 916 n.

Pirisabur,—a city iu Assyria on the Euphrates, 135 m 1.

Pir-Pinjäl,—a range of mountains in Kashmir, 1044 n, 1132 n.

Pishawur, 518 s, for Peshawar, which see

Pitan,—a city in the territory of Gadhah-Katankah, in Hindüsten, 588 n.

Piwar, 499 s, for the Pawar datah, which see.

Po-chu, the,—name of the Oxus with the Chinese, 426 m 6.

Poland, 1165 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1171 m.

Po-la-si,--name of Fire with the Chinese, 1011 n

Pragryotisha,—the ancient name of Gowahatty in Western Kamrud, 562 n

Pratab Minarah,—Fullen Minar, a fortress on the weatern bank of the Indus, 78 n, 1043 n 1

Precop,—a fown in the country of the Urus, 1000 n

Pul-1-Ahangaran,-a place between

Chûr and Charnin near unto Fîrûs-koh, 1047 and a 5.

Pul-i-'Ashitan,—a stone bridge across the river Hirmand, 355 *6.

Pul-i-Kārwān,—a place in the vicinity of 'Alī-ābād of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 129 s.

Pul-i-Yak Tāķ,—au One-aruh Bridge in the city of <u>Gh</u>annin, 445 and a 1.

Punjëb, the, 79 a. See also under the Panjäb.

Pürab,—a province of Bangālah, on the east side of the river Ganges, 586 m.

Purb, 586 a. See under Pürab

Purshawar, 483 n, 633 n. See under Parshawar [Pershawar. Pursher See under Bursher and

Posht, - a name of a dependency of Badghaus, in Khurasan, 580 s 9,

Pushtah Afroz (the Burning Mound), in Bädghais of Khurssan, 580 and ny.

Puchtah-1-Nu'mën, the,—a bill in the vicinity of Tal-hän of Khurasan, xhx, 1009 and n7, 1011 n, 1012, 1013 and n8, 1020 n, 1023, 1027, 1045 n, 1049 n 2, 1071, 1073, 1079 n 3, 1082 n

Paten,—the Rajput State of Paten for Aphilwara Patian) in Gujarat, 467 n. 520 n.

Pyen lyang,—the name of the city of Taining with the Chinese, 95 s. Q

Quang-si,—a province in the country of Khitā or Qhin, 1222 a Quey-chew,—a Chinese town on the borders of Hâ-quang, 1222 a. Quey-lin-Fû, capital of the Chinese province of Quang-zi, 1222 a.

R

Rabit-i-Missh,—a tract of country in the territory of <u>Kh</u>wārasm, 121.

Rid, 585 n 5, same as Ril and Rich, which see.

Bādakān,—a plain in the territory of Tus in Khurāsān, 247 n, 256 n, 991 n, 1196 n, 1287 n

Rādān, 991 s, wrong namo given to the plain of Rādakāu, which sec.

Bafüḥ [Biwäḥ?]-i-Yahud,—a makallat or quaster in the city of Mayylfārikin [Martyropolis], 1269 n.

Righ.:-Wejz,-a plain in the ferritory of Ghur, 372 n 1.

Righ 1-Zar, -or

Ragh-1 Zarir, -a plans in the territory of Ghar, 372 and n 8.

Rahab, the,—a river of Hinduston, 697

Rahi, 521 s, for the town of Pali in Gujarat.

Hai —a district and town in the 'Ii ik i.' Ajam, 1, 10, 16 and n.2, 33, 43, 47, 53 n.6, 55 n.1, 56, 59, 61 n.i. 62, 81 n. 93, 121, 124 n. 125 nn 8 and 1, 132 n.9, 136 n. 133 n.2, 114 n. 166, 167 n.8, 171 n. 176 n.i. 177 n.6, 180 n.7, 249 n.3, 266 n. 276 n. 277 n.5, 278 n. 283 n.9, 296 n. 297 n.9, 99.) n. 991 n.

994 n, 995 n, 996 n, 1207 n, 1208 n, 1228 n, 1262 n, 1276.

Rājah Hodasy's castle,—on the west tern bank of the Indus, 78 n.

Rajgin,—a furtrees to the S.-E. of Kansuj, 680 s.

Raj-mandri,—a district of Hindustin, 592 a.

Rajpūtanah, 728 a 3.

Rāj-Shāhī,—a district of Hindustân, 585 x 7. [see.

Rakah, 12 n 6, for Rakk'ah, which Rakk'ah,—a town in Mesopotamia, 12 and n 6.

Ril [Righ], -one of the two wings of the territory of Lakhapawati, on the western side of the river Gaug, 585 and mm 5 and 7, 737 and n 7.

Rambok, 487 s, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznin.

Rammā,—a town in the Diyār-i-Bakr, 1152 n

Răm pur,—a town în the Rāj-Shāhi district, 585 v 7

Ram Shahr,—a city in the territory of Sijistän in Khuräsän, 20 n 3 See also under Häm-Shahristän

Ram-Shahratan,—an ancient city
in the territory of Squatan in

- Khurisin, 1192 n. See also under Rim-Shahr.
- Elm-takht,-a town on the western bank of the Indus, 78 m.
- Bang,—a fortress of Gusarwin, in the territory of Khurisan, 1003, 1072 and s 4.
- Bangamahat,—one of the chief cities of Bangalah, 595 n.
- Rangamati,-or
- Rangamatty,—a district of Bangalah, 586 n 9
- Rang-par,—a town in the territory of Bengal, 570 n 9.
- Rentabbür or Renthabhür,—a fortress of Hindüstän, 517 m, 519 m, 548 m 9, 591 m, 610, 611 m 9, 623 m 9, 527, 642, 684, 685, 713, 818 and m 4, 819, 824 m 8, 860.
- Benthabhür, same as Rantabhür, which see.
- Rich or Ril,—one of the two wings of the territory of Lakhanawati, on the western side of the river Gang, 585 and no 6 and 7, 787 and no 7.
- Blaist, mountains of,—a name given by mistake to certain mountains in <u>Gh</u>ür, zliz, 318 n 9, 319 n 8, 441 n 7.
- Ripif or Rapif,—the chief town in the district of Bāmiān, xlix, 427 n, 434 n, 435 n, 1035 n. Also called Arsuf.
- Risin,—a fortress in the district of Milwah, m Hindüstün 587 n 4, 566 n.
- Ratan-púr,—a fortress in Jhär-Kundah, north of Jáj-nagar, 587 n 4, 588 n, 501 n.
- Rawā,—a town in the Diyār-1-Bakr, [Mesopotamia], 136 n.

- Rāwah or Rāwī, the,—a river of Lohor in the Panjāb, 726 and # 8, 811 and # 8, 1166 # 7. See also under the Rāwī.
- Rāwal Pindī,—a Division under the Panjāb Government, 95 % 4, 587 %, 528 %. 1191 %.
- Bawan Hyida, Lake,—the fountainhead of the Sutlaj, 787 = 9.
- Rāwī or Rāwah, the,—a river of Lobor in the Panjāb, 460 n S, 811 and n S, 1181 n, 1154 n 1, 1155 n 6, 1156 n 7. See also under the Rāwah.
- Rayi, 996 n, for Rai, which eec.
- Ris,—a place near Sabswir, xiiz, 385 x 9, 429 x 4.
- Rasin,—a tract of country in the territory of Ghür, 326 s.
- Red-River--- Sur kh-rad--, the,---a tributary of the Kabul river, 79 n.
- Reg.i-Gunjān,—a plece to the south of the city of Sijintān, in the territory of Churlein, 20 and u S. See also the next.
- Reg.i-Rawin,—a running or flowing and situated near Kala'-i-Kala in Sijistan, 38 n.
- Reg-Pul,—a sand or gravel gate of Firis-koh leading to Bush, 412 and n 8.
- Rhutak, 487 s, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam on the route to Gharnin.
- Right or Zukht, for the fortress of Sar-i-Takht in the Kuhistan, 1197 = 8.
- Rinn or desert of Kanh, the,--between Gujarat and Sind, 82 a 2.
- Rintamber, 623 n 9, for Rantabhir, which see. [Hindustän, 806, 807.
- Emply-a trust of country in

- Ro'en,—a mountain in the central part of Ghur, \$19.
- Roh,—a tract of country in Afghānistān, 510 s.
- Rohri or Lhuri,—a town of Sind, 542 n 9.
- Bohtak,—a town of Hindustän,
- Rohtuk, 487 s, for Dam-yak, a place west of the Jhilam, on the route to Ghaznin.
- Rome, 1268 a 9. See also under Růmiah-i-Kubri.
- Rot-hak,—a town in the district of Harifinah in Hindustin, 791 al.
- Roz-mandeth, \$08 n 9, for Mandeth, which see.
- Rüdak,—a town in the district of Samarkand, 158 n 7.
- Rüdber,—a district and town between Gilin and Kaswin, 908 n, 996 n, 1192 n. See also under Rüdberen or Rüd-baret.
- Rudbler of Jiraft,—in the province of Kirmin, 283 * 9.
- Rúdbár,—a towa in the district of Marw, 367 and a 8, 369, 376, 379, 390, 427.
- Rūd-bārān,-or
- Rüd-bärät,—a district and town between Gilân and Kaswin, 1208 n, 1209 n. See also under Rüdbär.
- Rue,—a town in the territory of Khurasan, 471 n 5.
- Ruhi [Edosse],--a town of Mesopotamia, 225 n 4, 1364 n.
- Rühah, 1264 n, fer Ruhl [Edens.], which see.

- Enhtis,—a fortress on the route between <u>Ghaznin</u> and Hind, 538 a. Ruhtis-warh.—a district of Hindise.
- Ruhtës-garh,---a district of Hindustan, 588 s.
- Rā-īn-dujs,—a fortress of Marāghah in Āṣarbāijān, 997 s., 1001 s.
- Buirā,—a town near the hill of Ābū in Gujarāt, 521 s.
- Rukhsj.—a small tract of country in the district of Bust, 318 and w6, 350 and w4.
- Rum, the Bilid or country of, xlvii, hix, 3, 4, 5, 183, 134 n.9, 185, 187, 138 and n.7, 189 n, 140 n.5, 157 and n.4, 158 n, 159, 160, 161, 163 and n.3, 168 and n.6, 164 and n.7, 168 n.3, 171, 304 n, 211, 214, 215, 219, 226, 229, 285 n, 397, 296 n, 752, 884, 1141 n, 1151 n, 1153 n, 1158, 1160 n.8, 1167, 1186 n, 1191 n, 1192 n, 1195 n, 1228 n, 1237 n.4, 1239 n, 1153, 1263 n, 1276 n, 1292.
- Rümiah-i-Kubrā [Rome], 1268 a 9,
- Rûmîlît,—a country in Turkey in Europe, 575, 752.
- Burkî,—a town of Hindusten, 704 a.2. 799 and a.2.
- Rus or Urus, country of, 870 s, 887 s, 999 s, 1102 s, 1165 s, 1167 s, 1168 s, 1292 and s 9. See also the next.
- Russia, 896 n, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1169 n 2, 1170 n, 1171 n. See also the above.
- Rustandër,—a territory on the shores of the Bahr-i-Khurs [the Caspian sen], 268 n, 991 n, 1117. Ruthenis. 1168 n.

- Sabi [Sheba],—a tract of country in the territory of Yaman in Arabia, 6, 303 n 7
- Babangji or Sabankji, 1072 * 8 See under Sabekji.
- Sabegji, fortress of, 363. See under Sabekji.
- Sabekh,—a fortress in the vicinity of Ghasnin, 1072 n 8
- Sabekji or Sabegji.—a fortress in the country of <u>Gh</u>ür, now western <u>Afghānistān</u>, 363 and s.f., 1072 and s.8.
- Sibit-garh, otherwise 'Ali-garh,--a town of Hindustan, 796 n See
 also under 'Ali-garh
- Sabrās, -- a dependency of Nīshāpūr in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 181 and n 8.
- Sabrānh, 181 n.8, for Sabrān, which see.
- Sabzwär,—a district in the territory of Khuräsän, xlix, 247 a, 897 a 7, 491, 1027 a 8, 1037 a,—formerly called 1sfirár.
- Sadd-i Sikandar or Bab ul-Abwab, 680 # 7 See under the next.
- Badd-i-Yājúj-Mājúj or Rarrier of Gog and Magog-rho Great Wall of China, 680 n 7, 794 n 1
- Sadhūrā, the,—an old name of the Chmāb, 76 n 2, 80 n See also under the Südnrah or Südharah
- Sadi Kurgan,—a place in Mughūlistan, 940 »
- Sadusan or Siwastan,—a city in the province of Siwastan or Sihwan, now the Upper Sind, 204 a, 540 a. See also under Siwastan
- \$af,—a fortress of Hindustan, 1205 a.8.

- Şafahan, same as Işfahaz, which
- Safed Koh, the. See under the Safid Koh.
- Şafhed,—a fortrees in Sijistän or Sistän, in the territory of <u>Kh</u>urñzăn, xxv, 1205 and n 8.
- Şafhed Koh or Sufed-Koh,— a fortress in the territory of Silistan, in Khurasan, 202 and n 6.
- Safid-Kuh or Safed Koh,—a range of mountains in Afghünistän, 74 n2, 874n, 1022n, 1044n. Also called the Spin-Ghar
- Safkin, 1283 a 1, for Saksin, which
- Sagar or Saugor,—a territory of Hudústán, 744 n 9, 824 n 9.
- Sagawa,—a town in the territory of Lakhanawati, 655 x 5
- Sagher or Tagher-i-Ghuzs, —a tract of country in Tarkistän 960 and s 6, 961 s. 963 and s 6
- Saghii or Sakir,—a town of Turkietān between Kāshghar and Khitā, 960 n 6, 901 n
- Ragh-nāķ, zīvui, 906 s. Same as Sak nāķ, which see.
- Sahāran-pūr,—a town of Hindūstān, 611 n 3
- Sahārī See undor Şuhārī
- Sabilt or Sihwali, 550 n 5, for Bhiuli or Bhiwali, which acc
- Sahl-äbäd,—a place in the territory of <u>Khurāsan</u>, on the frontier of Bai<u>kh</u>, 75 n 5.
- Sählat or Sehlast, 550 s 5, for Bhagwat, which see.
- Sahrā 1-jūd,—a place in Hindūstān near the capital city of Dibli, 758 v.2.

- Sahrā-i-Mūsh,—the valley of the Fault [Euphrates], north of the Aia Därh, 1275 and # 2.
- Şa'id,—a district in the Diyār-a-Mişrîah [Upper Egypt], 210 n, 228 n 4
- Saida.—a town in the province of Karman, 499 a
- Sa'idan—Upper Egypt—, 228 # 4. See also under \$a'id.
- Saifrüd,—a fortress in the tearitory of Ghür, 115 and a 6, 375 n. 390, 456, 1062 and a 7. 1064, 1068, 1070 and a and a 8, 1072 a 5.
- St Peteraburg, vi, vii, viii, 68 n 4, 70 n 6, 72 n 6, 254 n 3, 263 n 3, 516 n 1, 572 n 7, 609 n 2, 619 n 7, 680 n 9, 682 n 5, 791 n 3, 832 n 7, 853 n 5, 900 n 2, 1023 n 9, 1286 n 4, 1289 n 4,—app xv
- Sairām or Sairām,—a town of Turkistān S of the Ulugh Tāgh, 877 n, 969 n l, 970 n 2
- Saying or Asjank,—a Şabrā or Steppe in Mughālistān, 1141 m
- Sakah-Mani, 607 n 5, for Tiklah-Bani, m Bindustan
- Sakar,—a fortress on an island in the river Sindh, 294 s, 542 s 2, 543 s
- Saker, 960 n 6 Same as Sagher or Saker [see
- Sü-<u>Kh</u>anah, for Siya <u>Kh</u>anah, which Sakit, —a town of Turkistan, 961 n
- Saklab,—country of the Sjavs or Sclavonium, 1167, 1292 Secular under Suklah
- Bak nak on Sagh nāk,—a town of Turkistān north of Utiār, xlvin, 908 a, 970 n 2, 971 n.
- Saknāt, 557 a 3, 558 a 8 Sec under Sankapāt

- Saksin,—a territory in Turkistau, 234, 1115 = 5, 1283 and = 1, 1291, 1292 and = 9
- Sall-Mahr,—a fortress in the district of Zawran in Khurislin, 258 n. 288.
- Salcot, fort of, 454 s for Siâl-kot, which seb. [tân, 1090 s.
- Sali-chwen,—a place in Mughülu-Sali ki Sarie,—a place on the route from Rawal Pindi to <u>Kh</u>anpur, 1191 a.
- Sālingāe or Sahngāe,—a tract of country north of Mughālistān, 870 n, 889 n, 1185 n.
- Salingah. See the above
- Säingah or Säingäh, the,—a river of Mughülistän, 888 n, 889 n, 940 n, 947 n
- Salmās,—a town in Āşarbāījān, 997 n. 1262 n
- Salomed,—a fortress in the district of Khowaf, in the territory of Khurasan, 258 a
- Salt Desert [Shoristan], the,—in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hirāt, the Kuhistān and Sijistān, 1015 s.
- Salt Lake, the,-in Rajpūtānah north of Ajmīr, 728 n 3
- Salt-Range, the,—a range of mountains in Northern India, 74 n.Z, 294 n, 482 n, 498 n.7, 537 n, 541 n.7, 609 n.3, 623 n.8, 727 n.6, 1130 n, 1131 n. See under the Makhidah Hills and the Jüd mouttains or Koh-i Jüd
- Şa lük, a fortiess in the province of Gilan 1029 n
- Sala-hag-kiw,—one of the entinness in the great Wall of China 955 a

Sklürah or Askirah,—a place in the territory of Khurasin, on the limits of Maimand and Fac-yah, 400 and a 8.

Bamairam,—one of the dependencies of lefahān, in the 'Irāḥ-i-'Ajam, 170 w 8.

Samāirān,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 880 s.

Saman,—a place in the territory of Balkh, 25 = 8

Sīmān,—a village in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 26 % 3.

Samānah or Sāmānah,—a district in Hindus āii, 516 s 2, 608 s 8, 707, 708, 758, 785, 830, 840, 841.

Samand,—a place of Hindustan, 606 n 8.

Samān-Kaharah or Samān-Karah, —a place in Mughūlistān, 944 s, 918 s, 968 s.

Sam-Aram, the original name of Samairum, which see.

Samarcand, 1045 », for Samrkand, which see.

Samarkand. See under Samrkand.
Samarkant,—a city on the river
Atul or Wolga [the Volga], 1290
n.9.

Sambal, 684 n 8, for Sanbhal, which see.

Sim-ghar,—a tract west of Kinhghar, 922 m

Samisit, Samisit or Samisit,—a town in the Diyar-1-Shamiah, 223 and we 5 and 6.

Samnagan,—a division or district in the territory of Balkh, 426 w6

Samnakān,—a dependency of Nishāpūr, in the territory of Khurāsān, 181 n 8. Samrā-mū,—a town in Hindustia, 703 n 1.

Samrkand or Samarkand,--- district and town in Mawari-an-Nahr, xxx, 26, 27 and a 6, 28, 29, 80, 36, 87 m, 45, 49, 72 m 6, 117 m, 120 = 4, 187 = 6, 188 = and = 8, 147 and = 8, 158 n 7, 154 n 2, 155 m, 223 m, 260 m 7, 261 m, 262 ml, 263 m, 265 and n 8, 268 m4. 269 m. 278 mand m 5, 274 and mm 9 and 1, 276 * 2, 277, 280 * 9, 401 m, 473 m 2, 478 m 6, 479 and m, 480 m, 485 m 3, 604 m 1, 801 m, 901 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 906 n, 907 m, 908 m, 909 m, 910 m, 915 m, 918 m, 919 m, 921 m, 922 m, 924 m, 926 m, 929 m, 930 m, 931 m, 970 m 2, 971 m. 974 m. 977 m. 978 and m. 979 and n. 980 and n.S. 981. 982 m, 983 m, 985 m, 988 m, 993 m, 1004 and m 1, 1032 m. 1015 n. 1082 m, 1097 m 7, 1099 m, 1141 m, 1145 n, 1164 n, 1194 n, 1222, 1256 and # 8, 1266, 1275 # 3, 1287. 1288, 1289.

Samudr .- or

Samudra, 561 w 3, same as Samund, which see,

Samund, the,—name of the river Beg-meti in the Hindůi dialect, 561 and n 2. 562 n.

Şanidiğn,—a tract of country in the Diyar-i-Shamiah, 228 a 4.

Sambagji or Maubakji, 1072 n S. See under Sabekji,

Sanbhal, Sirkër of,—a district of Hindustan, 468 n 8, 684 and n 8, 818 n 4, 1224 n.

Sanbhal-pur,—a dustrict of Hindustan, north of Jäj-nagar, 588 m.

Sänbhar or Sanbhal,-a district

- and town on the Great Salt Lake in Rājpūtānah, north of Ajmīr, 726 n 2.
- Sambhar Namak, 738 and # 3. Same as Sanbhar or Sanbhal, which see.
- Sang-i-Surakh,—one of the routes between Ghannin and Hindüstan, 267, 503 and a 8, 505 and a 6, 506, 537 a.
- Sang-i-Surakh,—a Kotel or pass near the Halmand river, on the route from Ghamin and Kabul into Ghür, 441 and × 7.
- Sang-i-Surkh,—a strong fortress in the territory of Ghur, 443 = 7.
- Sangah, capital of the district of Mandeah in Ghür, 331, 340, 341, 417 n 9, 1003 and n 8, 1072 n 7, 1079 and n 1. [sec.
- Sangān, 181 u 8, for Shangān, which Sangarah or Sankrah,—a district of Hindustān, 590 u, 591 u.
- Sanjär,—a town in Mesopotamia, 146, 1881 w.
- Sankanāt,—a province in eastern Bang, 557 and # 3, 558 and # 6.
- Sankarah, 591 m. See under Sangurah.
- Sankurin, read Sankurin. See page lvisi.
- Sanknät, 557 a 3, for Sankanät, which see.
- Sankrah, 591 m. See under Sangarah.
- Sankurān,—a district in the province of Karmān, 290 s, 491, 492 s 7, 495, 498, 499 s, 540 s, 1021 s 8. Now called Shalüxān, which also see.
- Sankurén, 115 n 6, for Sanfrüd, the fortress of Chür, which see.

- Santuran, mountains of, 200 n, 1021 n 8.
- Sanpo, the,--or
- Săupă, thu,—name of the Brahmāputra behind the Himālayahs, li, 562 a, 568 a, 570 a 9.
- Santoo,-a town S.-W. from Jodhpur, 706 a 7.
- Santpūr,—a town near Abū in Gujarāt, 706 n.7.
- Santür or Santür-garh,—a town of Hindüstän, 705 and nn 6 and 7, 758, 839 and n 4, 840.
- Santür-garh, 839 x 4. See under Santür.
- Santus,—a town of Hindustan, 576 and # 4.
- Saptari, plain of,—in Hındüstän, 560 n 4. [995 m.
- Sar-1-Āb,—a town in Āṣarbāijān, Par-1-Pūl,—a town in Māwarā-un-Nahr, 978 n, 1057 n 4.
- Ear-i-Takht,—a fortress in the district of Tün, in the Kuhistän of the Mulähidah, 1196 s., 1197 and s.3.
- Sar-i-Ulang Pass,—one of the passes of the Hindu-Kush, 288 n 3.
- Sarie,—a city on the Aul or Wolgs, 1178 s, 1290 s 1.
- Sarāe-i-Barūţah,—a place of Hindūstān, north-west of Dihlî, 851 a 8.
- Sarās i-Siāsati,—a royal palace in Sistān, 189, 195,
- Sarāe-i-Sultān,—a palace at Baghdād, 64 m l.
- Sarāe-i-Tāhirī,—a palace in Sistān, 186 s. 187.
- Sărahāe-mēri,—a place of Hindüstān, north of Lakhapawați, 582 a 6.

Sarakha,—a district and town in the territory of Khurāsān, 50, 81 n, 94 and n 3, 124 n, 125, 126, 127, 129, 130, 131 n 7, 181 n 9, 246 n 8, 247 n, 390, 471, 472 n, 479 n, 545 n 5, 1009 n, 1028 n, 1029 n, 1030 n, 1031 n, 1033 n, 1037 n.

Saraswati, the,—a river of Hindustan, now the Sursuti, 468 n 3.

Sar-hadd,—a traot of country in the Diyār-i-Shāmīah, 222 and v l. Sarba-sang, zlix.

Sari,—name of Sari, the Nü-yīn, mistaken for a place, 711 n 4.

Sărīgh-i-I-ghûr,—a tract of country south of Kāshghar, 922 n, 987 n.

Barigh-Kol, the,—a lake in the mountains of Badekhahān, in Turkistān, lv, 987 n.

Sarigh-Kol, darah of,—in the mountains of Badakhehān, in Turkistān, iv, 987 n.

Sarigh Pamur, the,—a range of mountains in Central Asia, 987 n.

Sarik-kul, the, 987 n See under the Sarigh kol.

Sariz Pamir, 987 n, for Sarigh Pamir, which soo.

Sarjoo, the, 836 x 8, for the Sar'ū, which see.

Sarjou, the, 836 n 8, for the Sar'û, which see.

Sarkar of Bangash. See under Bangash.

Sar-khad,—a tract of country in the Diyar-i-Shamiah, 222 n 1

Sar-sar, -a town in the district of Baghdad, 1243 n.

Sarsuti, 812 n 3. See under Sursuti. Sarsuti, the, 459 n 7. See under the Sursuti.

Sar'ū, the,—a river of Hindustan, 886 and #8, 687, 888.

Satā-Khānah, for Siya-Khānah, which see.

Sātgāwa,—a town in the territory of Lakhanawatī, 565 n 5.

Saugor or Sigar,—a territory of Hindústān, S24 x 9. See also under Sigar.

Sāwah,—a town in the 'Irūk-i'Ajam, 144 s, 147 s 8, 151 and
s 6, 1200 s, 1201 s.

Sáwah Pass, 151. See under Sáwah. Saygill, 1018 x, 1020 x, an error for Sigis or Sijis, which see.

Sayo, the,—a tributary of the Theiss, in Hungary, 1167 s.

Sciavonia, 1253 n 1. See also under Saķlāb and Suklāb.

Sea of Darkness,—the Arctic Sea, 1170.

Sea of Fare—[the Persian Gulf], 179 n

Sea of Gilan--[the Caspian], 1286 n.

Sea of Khurz, [the Caspian] See under Khurz,

Son of Maghrib,—the Mediterranean, 1228 n.

Sea of 'Umman [Oman], 903 n.

Sea of Crum,—the Lake Crumiah, in Armenia, 1262 n

Sea of Yunka,—the Mediterranean, 1228 n.

Se-chwen,—the Tingnäah of the Musalmän writers and the Maha-Chin of the Hindus, 1210 s, 1221 s, 1222 s

Sehwan, 204 n, same as Sibwan, which see.

- Seket, capital of the territory of Shigh, in Mawara-un-Nahr, 28 n8.
- Selinga, the,—a river of Mughālistān, 983 m. See under the Sālingah.
- Sera-Mow,—a town of Hindustan, 760 n 7. See under Sibra-ma.
- Sersily, the,—a river of Hindustan,
- Bewastin, 1154. See also under Siwastin.
- Sew-chwen,—a province of Ehitä or Ohin, 1218 s.
- Sfanjāb, same as Isfanjāb, which
- Shabartā,—a plain in the territory of Khurāsān, mear the city of Hirāt, 1036 a 1
- Shaburan,—a town in the district of Shirwan, in the territory of Azarbaijan, 1287 n
- Shaburghan,—a dependency of Balkh, in Khurasan, 128 n, 120 and n, 686 n 7. See also under Shiwarghan.
- Shad-yakh,—a city of Nishapur, in the territory of Khurasan, 14 and m1, 16 n4, 239 n8, 242 n6, 247 n, 250 n6, 251 nn9 and 1, 252 n3, 255 n7, 256 n, 259 n3, 286 nn6 and 7, 381 n2, 393 n8.
- Shafurkan, 129, 635 x 7, same as Shaburghan, which see.
- Shagan, 181 x 8, for Shangan, which see.
- Shaghnan, same as Shakuan, which
- Shah-abad,—a town of Hindustan, 100 n.C.
- Shihanshihi,—a fortress in the territory of Nimroz, 196.

- Shthantalah,—a mountain north of Tabrix in Aşarbāijan, 1286 n9.
- Shih-dujg.—a fortress in the 'Irik; i-'Ajam, 1192 n, 1207 n
- Shih-pur,—a district of Rawal Pindi in the Panjib, 537 n.
- Shāh-Rūkhiyah,—the later name of Fanākat or Banākat, the city in Māwarā-un-Nabr, 972 n
- Shahr-i-Nau,—a piace in Gilü-Khari near Dihli, 684 * 2, 856, 857.
- Shahr-i-Sabz,—a town of Māwarāun-Nahr, formerly called Eagh, 1194 a.
- Shahr-1-Zaghan or Ghiyān-pür, one of the anburbs of Dihli, 598 n 8.
- Shahristan, the ancient capital of Sijustën or Sistan, 1030 m, 1120, 1122 n
- Shahristanah,—a town in the territory of Khurisan, 1116 s.
- Shahristanah Gatoway,—in Marw, 1031 n.
- Shāhū,—a mountain in Āşarbāijān, 1286 n 9.
- Sheknan or Shaghnan,—a mountamous tract of country in the territory of Khurasan, 306 and \$2, 423 and \$9, 426.
- Shalüzän,—a district and darah in the province of Karmün, 450 n3, 401, 492 n7, 493 n, 499 n, 503 n8, 526 n8, 527 n, 1022 n. See also nuder Shanüzän and Sankurän.
- Shaluzan, the,—a stream falling into the Kurmah, in the province of Kurman, 400 n.
- Sham (Syria), xxx111, 2, 55 a 1, 137, 140 a 5, 144 a, 149, 158 a, 167 a 8

160, 171, 208 and s 1, 204 s, 205 and s 6, 206, 207, 208 and s 311, 213 and s 5, 214 and s, 210 and s, 211, 213 and s 5, 214 and s, 210, 226 and s 8, 214 and s 8, 227 s 9, 228 and s 9, 229 and s 9, 229 and s 9, 220, 227 and s 20, 229 and s 20, 220 and s 20, 220 and s 20, 227 and s

Shamākhi,—a towa in Āşarbūjān, 998 n. 1287 n.

Shamāli Kachhi Do-āb,—in the Panjāb, 696 x 3.

Shemin Gara [Samën Kabarah?]
—a place in Mughilistän, 966 s.

Shāmiah, territory of, 126 %7. See under Shām [Syria].

Shāmilān,—a range of mountains in the Himëlayah, 1046 n 3.

Shamisat, 228 a 6. See under Samisat.

Shimo or Kob,—the Great Desert of Turkistin, 920 n.

Shamum,—a town in the Diykr-i-Migrish, 229 n 5

Shandu, 1219 m, for Shang-tû. See also under Ciandu.

Shangan,—a dependency of Nightpur in the territory of <u>Khurkean</u>, 181 and n 8.

Shang-tû,—the later name of Kayping, Fu, capital of <u>Oh</u>iu under the Mughals, 1141 a, 1219 a.

Shangtû, the, 1219 n. See under the Shan-tû.

Shan-tû or Shangtû, the,--a river

in the country of Earchin, in Mushalistan, 1219 a.

Shanusin,—a district in the provisce of Karmin, in Afghinistin, 200 n, 450 n S, 493 n 7, 499 n, 540 n. See also under Shalüsin and Sankurin.

Shāristān,—a wild desett in <u>Kh</u>wārasm, 286.

Shāristānah,—one of the dependencies of Nishāpār in <u>Kh</u>urāsān, 161, 255 n, 401.

Shart, the district of Beghdad, 15 m 6.

Shāgh or agh-Shāgh,—name of a territory, river and city of Māwarā-un-Nahr on the Sibān or Jaxartos, on the frontier of the Turks, 27 and s.6, 28 and s.6, 916 s., 921 s., 923 s., 971 s., 978 s., —it was also called Fanākat and is now known as Tāghkand.

Shett-i-Nudawar, the,-or

Shatt-1-Nüdwär, the,—a river of Khwārasm, 478 n 2.

Sheba,—a country in Arabia, 308 *7. See under Sabs.

Shen-si,—a Chinese province, 1218 n, 1219 n, 1222 n.

Sheorājpur, 688 m. See under Shīw-rāj-pūr.

Sher-bin,—a gate in the city of Nighāpār, in the territory of Khurēsān, 1035 s.

Sher-Sang,—a fortress in the monntains of Hirst, 342.

Sherwin, 1195 m, same as Shirwin, which see.

Shetžb,—a fort in the city of Gandhar, 77 s.

Shik-Khanah, for Stys-Khanah, which was.

- Shibbergan, 1195 s, for Shiwarghan or Shiwarkan, which see.
- Shigates,—a town in the territory of Tibbat, li.
- Shighnan, 428 n 9, for Shaghnin or Shaknin, which see.
- Shighule,—a territory on the Upper Oxes, 1044 s.
- Bhihab-ud-Din,-or
- Shihāb-ud-Din-pūr,—a place in the Panjāb, 467 s.
- Shina-Khanah, for Siya-Khanah, which see.
- Chiran, 181 x 8, for Sabres of Nightpur, which see.
- Shirin koh,—a fortress in the 'Iraki-'Ajam, 277 n 5, 990 n.
- Shirks, the capital of Fars, 25 n 2, 55 n 1, 64 n 1, 266 n, 296 n, 407 n 8, 974 n, 1118 n 9.
- Shiriyah,—a town in the district of Dajayl, in the Arabian 'Irâk, 1240 w.
- Shirwan,—a district in Asarbājin, hu, 170 m 8, 994 m, 998 m, 1152 m, 1196 m, 1287 m.
- Chiwarghan or Chiwarkan,—a tract of country in the territory of Charisan, 128 n, 1000 n, 1050 n, 1195 n, 1226 n 1 See also under Chaburghan which see]
- Shiwarkin, same as Shiwarghin, Shiwastin, 532 x 3, another name
- of Siwastan, which see \$\hat{h}iwistan,\text{---the modern Sihwan or}
- Shiwistan,—the modern Shwan or Upper Sind, 1074 ** See also under Siwastan.
- Shiw-raj-pur,—a town in Parganah Barah of Allahabad, 688 s.

- Shiwsten, an error for Shiwisten. See page lxiv.
- Shiya-Khanah, for Siya-Khanah, which see.
- Shoristän,—the Salt Descri in the territory of Khurāsān, between Hurāt, the Kuhistān and Sijistän, 1015 m.
- Shustar,—a city in the province of Khūzustān, in Persia, 296 n, 1261 n 7.
- Siil-kot,—a fortress and town in the Panjib, 453 and n 4, 454 n, 456 and n, 401, 627.
- Siba, the,—a river in the territory of Kangaktão, in Mughilistän, 945 a.
- Siberia, 961 m.
- Subr, the original name of Siberia, 961 s.
- Bicily, xlvii.
- Sifaujāb, same as Isfaujāb, which
- Si-gan-fû, capital of the Chinese province of Shen-si, 1218 a.
- Sigustân, same as Sijistân, which
- Sigis or Sigisi,—a mountain range in Zābalistān, 184 s, 1918 s
- Signitz,—a town of Hungary, 1167 n.
- Sth-Goghah-t-mah,—a place in the mountain tracts of Hirat, 149, 351 a 8, 358.
- Sihnür, Hills of,-in. Northorn India, 684, 1224 n
- Sthrä-mü,—a town of Hindüstän, west of the Ghograh river, the Sera Mow of the Indian Atlas, 760 and a 7
- Sihun [Jaxartes], the, 28 n 8, 76 a 2, 268 n 3, 271 n, 273 n, 877 u,

879 n, 890 n, 904 n, 916 n, 921 n, 922 n, 931 n, 932 n, 968 n 8, 970 n 2, 972 n, 963 n, 985 n, 988 n, 1000 n, 1062 n, 1192 n. See also under the Jazartes.

Sihwali, 550 n 5, same sa Sahili, which see.

Sihwiz or Schwin,—the present name of Siwastin or Upper Sind, 294 n, 540 n, 692 n 4, 1074 n. See also under Siwastin.

Sijistan, - a district or province in the country of Khurasan, xxiv, xxv, xlv, hn, 13, 19 and a 1, 20 and n 3, 21, 22, 23 and n 9, 21 n 4. 25 n 2, 31 n 3, 34 and nn 6 and 8, 35 and n, 43 and n 4, 76 n 8, 81 n, 85 n, 134, 183 n 2, 185 and n, 186 n, 187, 188 and # 7, 192 and ## 8 and 2, 193 n 5, 198, 199 n 7, 305 w 7, 309 n, 321 n, 376 and n 1, 378. \$90 n 6, 301 and n 1, 398 n 4. 402 n, 419 n 5, 420 n 8 417, 157 n. 490, 502 n 6, 503, 501 and n 2, 510 n, 616 n, 626 n, 892, 911 n 6. 925 m, 967 n 3, 1015 n, 1062 n 3, 1119, 1120 and n 2, 1122 n, 1123 n. 1125, 1126 and n 6, 1127, 1199 n, 1200 n, 1201 n, 1201 n 1. 500 also under Sistan.

Sijiz, 1018 n. See under Sigiz or Sigizi.

Sikandariah, same as Iskandariah, which see

Sikhim, State of,—in the Eastern Himalayah Mountains, 562 n. 562 n. [Kol, which see. Si-Kol, the,—same as the lasigh-Silena, 1166 n, 1167 n, 1171 n.

Sil Hiko,—a budge over a small branch of the Brahmuputra near Gowshati, 563, 564 s filmur or Sirmur,—a hilly tract and city in Hindustan, 708 and \$2,839 and \$9,840.

Simnān,—a town in the district of Kūmis, between <u>Kh</u>urāsān and 'Irāķ-i-'Ajam, 991 s, 1207 s.

Bind or Bindh, li, liii, 23 n 9, 82, 88 n 2, 96 n 2, 115, 200, 283 n 9, 284, 290 n 4, 292 n, 293 n 5, 294 n. 305 and n 7, 308 n 2, 318 n 6, 451 n, 476n, 491n5, 503 n7, 522 n5, 534 u, 529 n 4, 530, 539, 534 and ## 6 and 1, 537 m, 538 m, 539 m, 540 m, 610 mm 6 and 7, 612, 614 and 48, 615 a 1, 616 a, 623 a 8 644 x 7, 646, 656 n, 661 n 2, 678, 674 and n 3, 676 n 9, 657 n 9, 695 ml, 704 n 5, 707 n 8, 722 and n 8, 724, 727 and n 6, 728, 730, 768 a 4, 784, 786 and n 6, 788, 792, 795 m. 809, 810 a, 812, 816 and a 3, 823 u 3, 6 to, 841, 850, 953 n 9, 859 n 8, 860, 862, 863 n 3, 860 n 1, 997 n, 1023 a, 1073 a 4, 1074 a, 1129, 1131, 1153, 1251 n 9,-app zvii, 35111

Sind [Indus], the, 77 n, 78 n, 80 n, 267, 290 n 4, 291 n, 292, 204 n. 45 t n, 455 n 3, 498 n 7, 534, 535 n, 536 u, 537 n, 538 u, 540 u, 541 n 6, 544, 623 n 8, 631, 674 n 3, 677, 678 n 7, 679, 687 n 1, 695 and n 9, 696 n., 724, 733 n 7, 758, 792, 815, 816 n 2, 993 n, 907 n. 1007, 1020 s 1023, 1042, 1043 s 1, 1046 1073 n 4, 1074 n, 1075 n, 10%2 n, 1133, 1135 n 3, 1145 n, 1153, 1154 n.1, 1201 n. 1217 n. See also under the Indus.

Sand i-B di, the, the river of limb], 687 n 1 See under the Binh, Sindh. See under Sind

- Sindlim-yū or Sindlim-yū, -- a city in the country of Mahā-Ohim or Tingnāgh, 1141 m.
- Sind-Sagar, the, [the river Sind], 498 a 7, 490 a, 541 a 6.
- Sind Sagar Do-abah, the,—in the Panjab, zxiv, 96 n 2, 455 n, 536 n, 537 n, 539 n, 609 n 5, 622 n 8, 677 n 5, 615 n 5, 616 n n 3 and 5, 1131 n, 1155 n 6, 1181 n 2
- Sindustan,—anothername of Siwastan or upper Sind, 294n, 532 and #8, 1154. See also under Siwastan.
- Sining,—a city of Mughultatin, on the banks of the Kara Mur-an, 1137 m.
- Sinjāb,—a town or city m Māwarāun-Nahr, 905 n. See also under Isfanjāb or Sfanjāb.
- Sipahan, same as Işfahan, which see.
- Sira Mürän, tho,—the river Sira of Mughulistan, 1219 n
- Şîrlim,—a town of Turkıstân, 877 s See also under Şairâm.
- Siran, 181 w.8. for Sabras of Nishapur, which see.
- Sirauri, 459 m 7, for Tara-in on the banks of the Sursuti
- Sirguojah,—a district of Hindustan, 591 n.
- Sirhind,—a town in the Paujab, 458 n, 533 n, 707 n 6
- Buhou-a town in Rippéranah near the Arawali Hills, 521 s
- Sirmur, 706 and a 2, same as Silmur, which see
- Sirmur Barder, hills of, 641 and a 5. See under Silmur or Samur
- Sirr, the,—another name of the bihan [Jazarten], 970 n 2.

- Sirentty, the, 459 n 7. See under the Surenti.
- Sīrushtah,—a district and town of Māwarā-un-Nahr, 27 a 6. Also called Ishrüsnah and Isrushtah.
- Sistău,—a district or province in the territory of <u>Khurisin</u>, rlvii, 16, 99, 133, 137, 186 n, 187, 188 and n7, 189, 190, 192, 193, 194, 195, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201 and n4, 202, 233, 235, 248, 504 and n2, 926 n, 967, 988 n, 1002, 1013, 1016, 1037 n, 1047, 1048 and n9, 1053, 1062 and nn4 and 5, 1068, 1119, 1120 and n2, 1123 n, 1123 n, 1124, 1193 n, 1203, 1205. See also under Squstăn. [seo.
- Sitnoor, 705 n 7, for Santur, which Siwad,—the country surrounding the city of Baghdad, 1238 n 8
- Siwälik hills,—in Northern India, 093 n 8, 637 n 3 See also under Siwälikh.
- Siwalikh,—a tract of country in Northern India, 110, 200 and n 2, 468 and n 4, 469 n, 608, 611 and n 3, 674, 693 and n 8, 703, 710 and n 8, 728, 781, 786, 830, 837 and n 3, 850.
- Siwastan,—a district or province to the north west of Sind, the present Sihwan, 88 n 2, 294 n, 520 n i, 532 n 3, 538 n, 539 nn 6 and 3, 540 n, 610, 614 n 8, 616 n, 628, 641 n 8, 663 n, 1154
- Siya Khunuh,—a fortress of Gharpatán in <u>kh</u>urasin, 116 and n 3, 1072 and n 7
- Snowy Mountains, the, the Pir
- Soane, the,—a river of Hindustan, ool a. See also under the Son,

- Soank, the,—a river of Hindustin, running to the W. of Gang-par, 588 n.
- Sodra, the, 678 x 1, for the Sugharah, which see
- Boliki or Suliki,—a tract of country between Ohin and Hind, 1217 a.
- Somnāth,—a town of Gujarāt, 82 and n 2, 86 n, 88 n 3.
- Sop, the,—a river of Hindustan, 551 a, 588 a, 748 a 3, 817 a 9.
- Scorsutty, the, 459 n 7. See under the Sursuti
- Southern China, the country called Mahā-Qhīn by the Hindus, 1136 #9.
- Spin-ghar or Safed-Koh,—a mountain range in southern Afghānistān, 408 n 7, 490 n, 1044 n
- Stony Mountains, the,-the Koh-i-Sangin, 593 s.
- Strigonia,—a province of Hangary, 1168 u.
- Süädak or Südäk,—a city in the Krimea, 999 n. See also under Südäk.
- Subah of Bangalah, 593 n. See under Bangalah.
- Şübah of Bihâr, 593 n. See under Bihâr.
- Suchur, 960 = 6, same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Sucur, 960 s 6, same as Saghir or Sakir.
- Sūdāk or Sūādaķ,—a city in the Krimea, 999 n, 1000 n, 1165 n.
- Südharā,-or
- Südharu,—a town to the north-west of Wazirābād, 678 n 1, 728.
- Sudarsh, the,-or
- Sudharah or Sudhara, the —an old name of the Chmab, 76 n 2, 483 n,

- 536 n, 539 n, 544 n 7, 658 n, 678 and n 1, 679, 818, 814, 816 n 2, 1180 n, 1236 n.
- Saft,—a town in the country of Azarbāijan, 995 a.
- Sufed-Koh, fortress of. See under Safted Koh.
- Şüfi-Khinah gate, the,—one of the gates of the city of Utrār, in Turkistān 971 s.
- Sughd or Sughd,—a province in Māwarā-un-Nahr, and the country round Samarkand, 117 n, 905 n, 909 n, 915 n.
- Suhān or Sühān, the,—a river issuing from the Jūd mountains, 1130 n, 1131 s.
- Suhārā,—a tract of country in Turkustān, 238 % 6. See also under the next.
- Suhārī or Sahörī,—a tract of country in Turkistān, zlvii, 238 and n 6, 237.
- Bûjā.—a place in Turkistān, in the neighbourhood of the Awaj Tāk or Tāgh, 949 a.
- Büjö, the,—a river of Turkistön in the neighbourhood of the Awij Tik or Tagh, 940 n.
- Sujá,—a city in the territory of Tingküt or Ķāshīn, 1085 n.
- Sük-1-Amīr,—a town opposite Shīrāz founded by 'Uzd-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 64 n l.
- Sük-1-Sultān,—a place in the city of Baghdād, 1243 s.
- Sûkaukā,—a tract in the country of Jāûķūt or Khitā, 1220 a.
- Suk-Ohiw,—a city in the country of the Khitā'is, 956 n
- Sukin or Sunkin,—a city in the country of Khurjah [Corea], 956 n.

Sukkier, 960 n 6, 961 n. Same as Saghir or Sakir.

Snkläb,—country of the Slavs or Schavonians, 581 x 9, 1262. See also under Sakläb.

Subquier, 200 s. 6. Same as Seghir or Sakir.

Suknir, 960 n 6. Same as Saghir or Sakir.

Sáliki, same as Soliki, which see.

Baliman mountains or Koh-i-Siyah, the,—a range of mountains east of Afghanstan, xiv, 187 and a 3. Also called the Sulimani mountains.

Salimani mountains, xiv. See the above.

Sultāniah,—a fortress in al-Kāhirah [Cairo], 229 n 5.

Sultān-kot,—a city and fortress in the Bhianah territory in Hindustin, 545, 546 = 7, 733 and = 3, 524.

Sultan-pur,—the name given by Ulugh Khān, son of Sultān Chiyāṣ ad-Din Tughlak to the town of Arangul now Warangul in the Dakhan, 589 w.

Saužm,—a district of Hindústän, 633 n. 8, 699, 714 n. 9, 726 and n. 9, 730, 758, 796 n. 880. Also called Sunnäm.

Sunarganw,--a tract of country in Lakhapawati, 558 a 1, 590 a, 591 a.

Sunārgāņw,—a district of Rindastān, near Talingānah, 590 n.

Suni-pat,—a town to north-west of Dibli, 851 = 8.

Sankhis,—a dependency of Nightpur is the territory of Khurusin, 181 n 6. Sunk's, same as Sukin, which

Sunnim,—a district of Hindustin, 736 s. See also under Sunim.

Su. ah,--a place in the Charnin territory, 1014 a 2.

5"raj-garh,---a porganak west of Baugalah, 598 n. "

Sur.st, Bandar of, win the Bombay Presidency, ig.

Scrkh-Ab Kotal, the,—in Afghanistan, 1022 s.

Surkhiban,—a fortress in Mazandarin, 991 w.

Sur<u>kh-Gh</u>ar,—a range of mountains in the country of <u>Gh</u>ür, 318, 819 a. Sur<u>kh</u> rūd [Red Elver], the,—a river of Afghinutān, 79 n.

Sursuti,—one of the Muhalls of Sirker Sambhal, in Hindustän, 466 n 1, 488 and n 8, 401, 529 n 4, 532 and n 4, 533 n, 611 n 3, 627, 688, 731, 755, 612 and n 3, 637.

Surenti, the,—a river of Hindustin, 459 n 7, 463 n, 464 and n 3.

Süs,—a district in <u>Rh</u>uzistān or Abwiz, 304 n 2.

Sutlaj, the,—the Sutlej of the maps, 79 n, 468 n 4, 533 n, 611 n 3, 687 n 9, 723 n 1, 739 n 9, 788 n 9, 811 n 8, 823 n, 1155 n 6.

Sawat,—a mountain district to the west of Kaghmir, 1v. 481 n 5, 1044 n, 1045 n, 1062 n 2.

Swat or Swit. See under Suwit.

Swen-wha-fu,—a town in the country of Khitä, 958 s.

Sylbet,—a district to the territory of Assam, 763 n ±.

Syrm. 162 n 3, 786, 1251, 1267 n 6, 1275 n 3, 1277 m, 1279 n. See also under Shām. Tabarhind, -- or

Tabarhindah,—a district and town of Hindustän, xxiii, 881 n.5, 457 and n and n.3, 458 n, 460 m.3, 461 n, 464, 466 and n.1, 401 and n.1, 532, 533 n, 543 n.9, 603 and n.6, 607, 612, 613, 617, 615 and n.9 and 4, 647 and n.0, 649, 652, 689 n.6, 695 and n.3, 699, 700 n.8, 704 n.5, 714 n.9, 723, 746, 748, 740, 753, 762, 767, 768 and n.2, 784 and n. 788, 792, 703, 794, 830, 1069 n.9, 1080 n.5, 1217 n,—app. 11, ni.

Tabarhindh, same as Tabarhindah, which see.

Tubaristán,—a province on the Caspian, 15 and n 6, 16, 23, 31 m 3, 32, 33, 43, 63, 81 and n 6, 66 m 2, 93, 133, 137, 268 n, 278 n, 280, 881, 902, 993 n, 1117, 1119

Tabas,—a town in the Kuhistān of the Mulāhidah, lziv, 80 n.5, 125 n.8, 182 n.9, 394 n.2, 496, 1203. Also called Tabbas.

Tābas, 744 n 5, for Mānish of Hundūstān, which see.

Tabbas, 125 n S. See under Tabas of the Kuhistan.

Tabriz, capital of the Atā-baks of Āṣarbāijān, 136 m, 170 m 8, 172 m 3, 296 m, 296 m 1, 488 m 6, 492 m 7, 861, 996 m, 997 m, 1192 m, 1228 m, 1262 m, 1263 m, 1281 m, 1286 m 9, 1287 m.

Tie-ghān, fortress .f, 1008 n 5. See under Tie-han of Tuhhāristān.

Tae-tau of Bada<u>khah</u>in, 1010 a.

Same as Tis-tan of Jukhanstau,
which see.

T.

Tie-ḥān of Kunduz, 1010 a, 1082 a, 1153 and s. Samo as Tie-ḥin of Tukhāristāu, which sec.

The han,—a town in the district of Tukhāristān in Halkh, east of Kundus, zlviii, zliz, lzi, lziii, 22 n4, 94 n3, 128 n, 288 n3, 280 n, 290 and naud n4, 376 n7, 428 n6, 800 and n2, 1008 n5, 1009 n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1082 n, 1109 n4, 1110 n, 1153 and n, 1226 and n9.

Taffis,—a city in the territory of Charjistin, 996 n, 996 n See also under Tiffis

Tag-åb, the,—or

Tag-āo, the,—a river and valley in Afghānistān to the east of Kibni, 231 x 8, 1044 s.

Taghar r- <u>Oh</u>uzz,—the Taghar or country of the <u>Ch</u>uzz tribe, in Turkistän, 923 a, 961 a, 963 a. See also under <u>Ch</u>uzzistän.

Taghazguz,—a country of Turkietan, probably corrupted from Taghar-i-Chuzz, 962 s.

Tahkāl, the old capital of the province of Pethäwar, 1002 n 5.

Tahkri, an error for Tighari, see page zivi.

Tabt Hazirah,—a tract of country along the banks of the ladus, 1132 a.

Tāskān or Tālikāo, 1010 v., for **Tāc**ķān of Ju<u>k</u>hāristān.

Tas is-fa,--a town in the Chinese province of Yan-nan, 1217 a.

Taiming, the present Pyen-lyang, a city in the Chinese province of Honan, 958 n, 960 n, 1136 n 9, 1138 n,—called also Nanking.

- Tal-tong-få,—a city in the country of Khith or Thin, 968 a.
- Tajir-Kob,—a tract of country in the territory of Gher, ulix, \$19 and a 4.
- Tik,—a fortress in the territory of Sijistim, 76 n 8, 81 n, 186 n, 366 n, 1028 n, 1029 n.
- Täk of Zäbalistän, 366 m. See under Täk of Sijistän.
- Tik Bridge, the,—in the city of Chanin, 356 s.
- Tak-ib,—a small district in the territory of Wajiristän, 334 and a 8.
- Tak-āb or Tak-āo, the, 834 x 8 See under the Tag-āb or Tag-āo.
- Takarhārūd, 94 n 3, 96 n 2, for Nanguihār or Nagrabār, which sco.
- Takht-1-Bibî,—a hill north-east of Penhiwar, 78 a.
- Takrit,—a town and fortress on the Dijiah (Tigrus) above Baghdid, 307 n 8, 1233, 1233 and n 2, 1237.
- Tal-i-Bisher,—a strong fort and amail town on a hill, two day's journey north of Halab [Aleppo], 1873 n.
- Tilakin of Juzjin, 1011 s, for Tiehin of Tukhirmtin.
- Ta-la-kien,—the Til-kin of Khurisin as called by the Chinese traveller Histon Theory, 1011 n.
- Talandah, for the fort of Talaandah, which see.
- Talan Wasir,—a place in Mughūlistān, 1165 n.
- Talia or Taliah,—a little district immediately north of Lower Sawit, lv.

- Talis or Taligh.—lv. See under Täligh of Turkistön.
- Tails or Taligh,—another name of Taris, which see, 983 a.
- Talach or Talac,—a city of Turkistan, lv, 877 a.
- Talagh, the,—a river of Turkistan, 879 n.
- Taliwari,—a town of Hindfetlin near Pinipat, south of Thanl-mar, 459 = 7, 463 n, 467 n, 486 n, 506 n 8, 537 n, 635 n 4, 761 n 1,—formtrly called Tari'in, which see
- Talbandah, for the fort of Talsandah, which see.
- Talbis,—a place in the Diyar-f-Misriah, 211.
- Taloan, 1010 n, 1011 n, for Tae-han of Tukhëristän.
- Talcan, 1011 s, for Tal-kan of Khorasan.
- Tulcan, 1011 n, for Tie-ķān of Tukhārietān.
- Talh-pat or Tal-pat,—a place in Hindustan, S.-B. of Dihli, 837 and n 3.
- Tali,—a town in the country of Eluta or Chin, 1221 s.
- Tālikān,—incorrectly for Tān-ḥān of Taḥhāristān, which see, 94 m 3, 1010 m.
- Tilitin on the Murgh-ib, 1010 a, for Til-tin of Kharisin, which
- Tālikhān,—incorrectly for The-hān of Tekhāristān, 94 n.3, 1008 a, 1010 s.
- Taling, -or
- Talinganah,—a city in conth-matern Bongal, 588 s, 589 s, 590 s, 593 s. [sec.
- Talkah-Bani, for Tiklah-Bani, which

- Talkah-mini, for Tiklah-Büni, which see.
- Til-bin,—a dependency of Kaswin in the 'Irih-i-'Ajam, 1009 s, 1010 s, 1206 s.
- Til-kin, —a city and town in the territory of Khurisan, between Marw and Balkh, xiviii, xix, lxi, lxiii, 22 n 4, 43, 94 and n 3, 257 n 2, 296, 376 and n 7, 378, 390, 396, 399, 436 n 8, 474 n, 478 n 6, 451 n 8, 502 n 6, 809 n 2, 917, 908 n, 1908, 1905, 1008 and n 5, 1000 and n, 1010 n, 1011 n, 1012, 1013, 1015 n, 1019 n, 1030 n, 1032 n, 1035 n, 1027 n 8, 1040 n 2, 1040 n 2, 1071 and n 2, 1072 n n 3, 1040 n, 1099 n, 1101 n 1, 1103 n, 1109 and n 4, 1110 n, 1158 n, 1301 n.
- Tăi-țăn of Tukhāristān, 426 n 6, 809 n 2, 1009 n. See under Tše-țăn of Tukhāristān.
- Tal-pat, same as Talh-pat, which see.
- Talsandah,— a stronghold within the limits of Kınnauj, zzvii, 679 and n 6, 680 N, 616.
- Taiwandi,—name of several places in Hudustän, 459 % 7.
- Talwirah,—the present name of the fortress of Kälmjar of Multin, 75 n 6, 67 n, 1074 n
- Talwirah,—a place in Hindustan, on the road from Duhli to Bhatmir, 450 n 7.
- Tāmāk,—a tract of country in Turkistān, 877 a, afterwards called the Dasht-1-Kaughāk.
- Tamghāj,—a city and territory of Turkutān, 154, 270, 271, 900, 1009 n, 924 n, 935 and n 3, 930, 954, 956, 957 n, 958 n, 900 and n

- w6, 961 and n, 969, 965 and * 8, 966, 967, 968, 974, 1047, 1062, 1084, 1096, 1097, 1196, 1107, 1109, 1111 and n, 1112, 1144 n 4, 1157, 1156 n 3, 1186, 1315 and n 3, 1267 n, 1262, 1293.
- Tuminhah, an error for Timminhah, see page ix.
- Tamking, capital of the Altan Khan of Khita, 1136 n, 1139 n.
- Tannis, the,—another name of the river Don, 1170 s 8.
- Tanésar, 460 x 7, for Thanisser, which see.
- Tangah-Takü, 991 ss, samo as Tang-Talü, which see.
- Tangāi or Tingāi,—a sity in the territory of Tinghūt or Kānhīn, 1085 n3.
- Tangan, the,—a river in the territory of Bangalah, 590 m.
- Tangestän, or region of tange or defiles,—the assemblage of mountains which constitutes the territory of Bootan, 568 s.
- Tangtābash,—another name of the country of Tinguish or Ningšieh, 1067 s.
- Tang-Talû,—a range of mountains between Lüristän and Pärs, 277 n5, 991 n.
- Tanguethau,—the mountains of Bootan, 568 a. See under Tanguetän.
- Tangut, 947 s, for the country of Tanguit or Kichin.
- Tanklah-Bēni, for Tiklah-Bēni, which see.
- Tanklah-Päni, for Tiklah-Bini, which see.
- Tannastar, 461 n, for Thani-sar, which soe.

Turi'i,—the marshy forest at the foot of the Sub-Himilayah, 886 a 9.

Tark'in,—a town of Hindustin, on the banks of the Sursuti, south of Thinisar, 433 n 9, 457 n, 459 and n 7, 463 n, 466, 477 n, 486 n, 491, 805 and n 8, 515 n 7, 537 n, 549, 608 and n 8, 635 and n 4, 761 and n 1, 779 and n 8, 803 n 2, 1059 n 9,—now called Taliwari or Tariwari.

Tarl'in gate,—one of the gates of Firux-koh, the capital of Ghur, 410 n 5.

Tara'in ghar, 460 n3, same as Tara'in, which see.

Tarak [vul. Terek], the,—a river of Azarbáijān, 1287 n.

Taram,—a territory in the province of Lar or Lariatan, in Persia, 98 and n 7, 1192 n

Tārān,—a village of Miswarā un-Nahr, near Bukhārā, 1145 n

Tarkwari,—a town of Hindistan, south of Thani sar, 468 n,—for merly called Tara'in, which see.

Tarāz,—a territory of Māwarā un Nahr, beyond the frontier of Shāsh, on the side nearest to Turkustān, 164, 200 n7, 261 and n, 268 n3, 293 n9, 402 n, 411 n, 474 n, 889 n, 905 n, 911, 915 n, 919 n, 921 n, 923 n, 924 n, 929 n, 932 n, 934, 970 n3, 1118 n0,—called also Bānkī and Talās or Talāsh

Tarbogor, -- or

Tärbokor,—a tract of country east of the territory of Käshghar, 922 n.

Targhit-Biligh, -the later name of

the April Térat or the original Yurat of the Chingis Khun, in Kalur-in and Kart-Kuram, 1140 s.

Tarbind, 466 = 1, for Taberhindah, which see.

Tarhindah, 456 w, for Tabarhindah, which see.

Tarkin, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Büldin Kā-ir and falling into the river of Ardish in Turkistin, 1148 a.

Tarkū,—the chief city of the Åläns in Däghistän, 999 n.

Tarmax. 198 * 2, incorrectly for Tirmix or Tirmid. [see. Tarrai, incorrectly for Tara'i, which Tartary, 567 * 4, 965 **, 1089 **, 1217 **, 1216 **, 1265 **. See also under Tatariatin and Tätäary.

Tanhkand,—a territory and city of Mawari un-Nahr, on the Sinko or Jazartes, on the frontier of the Turks, 28 n 8, 390 n, 919 n, 921 n, 932 n, 970 n 2, 972 n, 973 n, 976 n,—formerly called Shuah.

Tataristân, 270. See under Tartary and Tättäry.

Tāttāry, 920 n. See also under Tartary and Tataristân.

Taulikhaun, 1010 n, incorrectly for Tie-kän of Tukhāristān.

Tauris,—a town in the territory of Irâu Zamin, 1194 s.

Taxilas,—a town a few miles cast of the Indus, 78 n.

Tāyāng-fū,—another name of Ching-dū, the capital of the Altān Khāu of Khitā, 1136 n.9, 1137 n.—the present Fai-fong-fu. Tāyif,—a town of al-Hijās in Arabas, 140 n.5.

- Tayering, 900 n, mose as Taiming, which use.
- Tis Marin, or River Tis,—a river of Turkistin, 947 a.
- Terek,—a river in the province of Aparbiljin, 1287 s. See under Tarak.
- Terki,—the chief city of the Cherkassianz, 900 n,—the present Mosdok.
- Tes,—a seaport in the province of Mukrin, 1076 a.
- Thaigin,—a castle in Grand Tartary, 1089 n.
- Thaneswar, app. i. See under Thani-
- Thangir or Thankir,—a fortress of Hindustin, the present Bidnah, 545 and a 5, 546 a 7, 724 a 2. See also under Thankir.
- Thani-sar,—a city and town of Hindustan, 458 n, 459 n 7, 460 n 3, 461 n, 463 n, 606 n 8,—app. i.
- Thankir or Thangir,—a fortress of Hindustan, the modern Bianah, 470 and n 3, 471 n, 491, 516, 520 n, 521 n, 542 n 9, 544 n 1, 545 and n 5, 638. See also under Thangir.
- Thatbah,—a district and town in Lower Sind, 295 s, 452 s 2, 614 s 5.
- Thebes,—a city of asseisat Egypt, 140 n 2.
- Theise, the,—a river of Hungary, 1167 n.
- Therapis,—a quarter of Constantinople, 899 m.
- Tinanshan, or Ulugh-Tagh mountains, in Mughülutan, 920 n, 922 n.
- Thus, 1028 n, for Tus the city of Khurisan, which see.

- Thomp-ling or Mountains of Pamir, the, 426 n 6.
- Thutten,—a town about eight miles 8. of Kinnauj, 680 n.
- Tibbat, 517 n 2, 560 and on 4 and 7, 552 n, 562 n, 564 and n, 565, 568 n 7, 572 and n 3, 593 n, 565 n, 737 n 9, 870 n, 889 n, 896 n, 900 n, 922 n, 935 n 9, 944 n, 950 n, 951 n, 961 n, 1046 n, 1084, 1105, 1127 n, 1141 n, 1158 n 2, 1184 n, 1216 n, 1317 n, 1216 n, 1221 n.
- Tiberhind, 458 s, 461 s, for Taberhindah, which see.
- Tiberias,—the Tabariah of the Arabe, 231 m.
- Tibet, 660 n 4, for Tibbat, which
- Tiffis,—a town of Charjistan, 297 n. See also under Taffis.
- Tighari, zlvi.
- Tigin-šbid,—a city between Charnin and Châr, zliz, 89 and a 8, 110 a 5, 111, 148, 258, 324 a, 347 a 2, 350, 353, 376 and a 9, 391, 443 and a 3, 449, 1015 a.
- Tigree Burchuee,—a place of Hindustan, 697 n 5.
- Tigris, the, 64, 207 n 8, 1232, 1236, 1240 n, 1241 n 1, 1260 n 6, 1268 nn 8 and 9. See also under the Dillah.
- Tiklah-Bami, for Tiklah-Bani, which see.
- Tiklah-Bāni,-a town of Hindustan, 697 and n and n 5.
- Tiklah-Māni, for Tiklah-Bāni, which see.
- Til or Til, the,—a river of Kari-Khitie, 956 n.
- Tilak-për,--a town of Hindustan 697 n 5.

- Tilek-paor, for Tilak-par, which
- Tikah-Bānī, for Tīklah-Bānī, which
- Tillock-peer, for Tilak-pür, which see.
- Tillok-poor, for Tilak-par, which see.
- Tilsindah, for the fort of Talsandah, which see.
- Tilsurra,—s town of Hinductan about twelve miles S -S.-W. of Kinnanj, 680 %.
- Timmethah or Timmithah, for Timmishlah, which see.
- Timmishinh, darah or Pass of,—in <u>Khurësën</u>, on the road from Nishëpur to Mäsandurën, lx, 277, 992 and n 6.
- Timrid,--a district in the country of Ghur, 319, 344, 408, 490, 1079.
- Timur Kala'h,-a fortress in the territory of Khurësin, 1004 n 1
- Tingši or Tangši,—a city in the country of Tingšūt or Kūnin, 1085 n. [which see
- Tingbäsh, 1216 s, for Tingmash, Tinghat or Tingü', 917 s. See under Tingküt.
- Tingit,—a tract of country in Turkistän, zivin, 270, 960 and a 6, 963, 1047, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1104, 1106, 1107, 1109, 1111 and a, 1157, 1158
- Tingkut or Tinghût,—a mountainous country adjoining Khitāo, called Kāshin by the Mughala, zivni, 944 z. 947 z. 949 z. 950 z. 952 z. 1046 z. 1047 z. 4. 1073 z. 4. 1081 z. 1085 z. 1088 z. 1092 z. 1115 z. 5. 1140 z. 1217 z. 1220 z. —also called Ankašo or Ankašo.

- Tingnas, 1216 a, for Tingnash, which see.
- Tingaish or Ningaish,—the country cast of Khitā or Chin as called by the Mughals, 1086 n, 1087 n, 1088 n, 1141 n, 1216 n, 1217 n, 1219 n, 1220 n,—it is called Mahū-Ohin by the Hindus and Manaî by the Chinese.
- Tingtanh, 1216 n, for Tinguach, which see.
- Tingut, 1111 a,—probably a misprint for Tingit. (ket.
- Tinkūt, 952 n. See under Ting-Tiparah,—a district in the province of Bengal, 589 n.
- Tiporali, samo sa Tiparali, which
- Tirauri, 469 x 7, for Tarawari, which see.
- Tirbut,—a district and town in the province of Bengal, 563 n, 564 n, 568 and n 7, 588, 627, 630 n 8, 704 n 2, 703 n 7, 727, 830, 838.
- Tirman,—a village in the territory of Kharinan, on the frontier of Balkh, 75 s.5.
- Tirmed or Tirmiz,—a city of Maward-nu-Nahr, on the Jihan or Ogus, 154 n 2, 265 n 4, 275 n 2, 275 n, 401 n, 423 n 6, 424 n 3, 426 n 6, 431, 917, 986 n, 1002 and n 1, 1004 and n 1, 1005 and n, 1011 n, 1034 n 2, 1099 n, 1174, 1175, 1176, 1195 n, 1275 n 3. See also under Tirmiz.
- Tirmid, the,—a river falling into the Jihan, 275 n 3.
- Turmidh, 1275 n 3. See under Tirmid.
- Tirmiz or Tirmid,—a city of Mawara un Nahr, on the Jihun

er Orns, 147 n8, 184 n2, 186 n8, 198 and n2, 288, 276 n, 908 n, 296 n, 1002 n1, 1015 n. See also under Tirmid.

Times,—a town of Hindustin, about ten miles S.-W. of Kunnenj, 680 n.

Tironry, 459 n 7, 461 n, for Tartwari, which see.

Tirur, 691 s, incorrectly for the stronghold of Narwur or Nirwur, which see.

Tieha Lambu or Digarchah,—a monastery in Tibbat, the seat of a Lives, 570 n 9

Tistah, the,—arriver passing through the country of Sikhim, 562 n, 563 n.

Tiz or Tes,—a seaport in the province of Mukran, 1075 *

Tocarestau,: 1010 n, for Tukhārustān, which see

Tocaristan, 1011 n, for Tukhāristān, which see.

To-i of Bushan; [Fushan],—a place in the territory of Hirst, 901 and n 4.

Tokashi,—a tract in the country of the Urus, 1170 n.

Tokharistan, 1011 a, for Tukharustan, which see.

Tolak, 1045 n, for the fortress of Tülak, which see [kāt.

Tencat, 1083 n See under Ten-Teng-king,—a province of <u>Kh</u>ita or <u>Oh</u>in, 1221 n.

Tonkat or Toncat, -a city of Máwari-un-Nahr, 1063 n, '064 n,

Toss, the,-a river of Hindustan, 683 a.

Trāķ,—a town in the territory of Khurisān, 471 s 5.

Transoriuma, 1010 n, 1058 n 6, 1084 n. See also under Misvapiun-Hahr.

Trans Oxus, the equivalent of Mtwarf-un-Nabr, 921 s.

Tripoli, in Syria, 101 * 8.

Tachy Li, the Chinese province of, 885 m.

Taing-fû-hay,—a province of China, 981 s.

Tughlak-Sbäd,—a suburb of the city of Dahli, 599 m.

Tukerabad, 448 a 3, for Tigin-ābād, which see.

Tukhāristān,—a iract of country in Khurāsān, dependent on Balkh, xxn, xlix, lxn, 22, 71, 74, 84, 94 *8, 99, 132, 137, 290, 308 and *3, 317 *85, 320 **3, 337, 363 and *2, 375 **n, 401 **n, 405 **n3, 421, 422, 423 and **8, 424 **n3, 426 and **6, 427 and **n, 424 **nd **n1, 431, 432 **n1, 436 481 **n8, 605, 809 **2, 880, 989 **n, 1002, 1004, 1008, 1008 **a, 1009 **n, 1010 **n, 1011 **n, 1016, 1015 **n, 1025 **n, 1045 **n, 1054, 1067 **s, 1054 **n6, 1001 **n7, 1061 **n1, 1109, 1110 **n, 1133, 1152, 1153 **n, 1226 **n9.

Tüku Kahrah,—a plate in Mughilistan, 940 s.

Tulä, the,—a river of Mughūlistān, 1983 n

Tülak,—a district and town in the mountains near Hirat in the territory of Khurāsāu, xx, xxi, xxii, xxii, xxiv, xxv, 201, 362, 361 n 5, 467 n, 458 and n 4, 464 and n 6, 963, 1003 n 2, 1004, 1006, 1007 465, and n 4, 1028 n, 1045 n 6, 1057, 1059 and n 9, 1060 and n 5, 1061 and n 9, 1062 and n 4 and 5,

1069, 1070 and s, 1197, 1198, 1301 s,--app. iii, v.

Tilhis,—a city of Turkistin, between Bigh-Biligh and Kari-Kuram, 1164 s.

Tün,—a district and fown in the Kuhistin of the Mulihidah, 1192 s, 1196 s, 1197 s 3, 1196, 1214 and s 8.

Tunganabad, 448 a 3, for Tiginābēd, which see,

Ting Chiw,—s city in the country of the Khita-is, 956 s.

Tung-quin, a town between Gannan and Ohin, 1222 n.

Tangût or Tüngüt,—a tract of country south of Mughülmtin, 880 m. [Chūr, 328 s.

Tar,—a place in the sountry of Tar,—a city in the sears or steppe in the E. and S. of the territory of Kähchar, 923 s.

Tür, -or

Türën,—the countries sast of the Jiḥūn [Ozus], from the hmits of Hind to the frontier of the Turks, lxiv, 29, 84, 116, 116, 135, 137, 280, 561 n 9, 579 n 4, 797, 870 and n, 871, 877 n, 882 n, 902 n, 914 n, 915 n, 917 n 1, 920 n, 901 n, 990 n, 1087 n, 1107, 1277 n.

Türün Zamin,—the tract of country from the Naemän country to the banks of the Jihan, 1073 n 4, 1075 n, 1146 a.

Turfau,--a tract of country south of Mughilistan, 889 n, 916 n, 920 n, 922 n, 966 n 6, 969 n 1, 965 n.

Turkey, 694 x 4.

Turkish, or country of the Turks, 878 n. See under Turkistän. Turkistán, zlv. zlvii, liv. lv. 27 a 4. 39, 45 and a 8, 69, 70 and a 8, 84, 86 m, 91 m 7, 94 m 8, 117 and m, 118, 138, 134, 154, 155, 164, 186 m. 281 am 5 and 6, 287, 242 #6, 244 #4, 246, 254, 260, 261, 264, 267, 268 n 4, 270 and n 5, 271 m, 273 and n 5, 280, 383, 423 and = 8, 426 = 6, 474, 480, 481, 485 m 3, 513, 532, 560 and m 4, 561 m9, 562 m, 566 m9, 594 m1, 596, 599 and # 10, 618 m, 626, 656 m 2, 696, 696 n. 712 n 9, 731 n 9, 744 n9, 768, 771 m, 772 m, 774 m. 775 m. 784 and mand m 5, 786 and m 5, 791, 792, 793 m, 796, 800, 809, 815, 816, 847 m 1, 867 and m 1, 862, 868, 870 m, 872 m, 880, 881 m. 884 n. 890 m. 893 m. 896 m. 901 n, 902 n, 903 n, 904 n, 905 n, 906 m, 908 m, 909 n, 910 n, 911, 914 m, 915 m, 916 n, 917 n 1, 918 n, 919 m, 920 m, 921 m, 943 m, 924 m, 925 n, 926 n, 932 n, 933 n, 984, 935 m3, 937, 943 n, 952 n, 960 m6, 961 n, 962 n, 963 n, 966 n f, 968, 4 969 s 1, 977 s, 980 and s 8, 964 s, 1011 s., 1026, 1035 s., 1044 s., 1046 m3, 1047, 1073, 1077, 1082 m, 1084 n, 1091 n, 1097 and n 6, 1104, 1107, 1109, 1111, 1112 and = 8, 1114, 1117, 1119, 1127 n, 1128 n, 1133, 1146, 1147, 1149 n, 1152 n, 1157, 1158, 1166, 1172, 1178, 1186 and s, 1191 s, 1194 s, 1200 s, 1215, 1216 m, 1217 m, 1220, 1223, 1224 m. 1225 m. 1226, 1267 and m. 1283 and an 8 and 1.

Tür-kürghah or Tür-kurghab, name of the Groat Wall of Chma with the Turks, 956 s.

Turshis,-a fortress in the Kuhm-

16n of the Multhidah, 266=4, 266=4, 1198=.

Turnghi,-a town near Rai in the 'India-i-'Ajam, 125 a l.

Tin,... eity of Nighipër in Khurisin, 40 n 4, 44, 47, 48, 71 n 5, 81 n, 130, 131 n 7, 181 n 9, 246 m8, 247 m, 250 m6, 255 m7, 276 m, 471 and m5, 472 m, 470 m, 491, 545 m5, 391 m, 1027 m8, 1028 m, 1030 m, 1067 m, 1046 m, 1117 m, 1138 m, 1149 m, 1194 m, 1196 m, 1357 m, 1267 m.

U.

'Uhaidian,—a tract of country in Arabia, 228 n é.

Uch, the Europeanised mame of Ughchah, 200 x 4, 541 xx 6 and 9, 543 x 9, 310 x, 512 x 8. See also under Ughchah.

Unhahah,-a city in the Sind province, on the united waters of the Ghirt and the Ohmib, xxi, xxv, xxvii, hi, 200 and a 4, 298, 294 n. 440 n.2. 450 m. 451 and n. 489, 491, 530, 531 and #8, 532 and n 1, 583 m, 538 m, 539 m, 541 and na 6 and 7, 542 n 9, 543 n, 544 and w2, 600 w 1, 611, 612 and m6, 613 and m7, 614 m8, 615 and m 1, 617 m, 618 m, 628, 633 m 6, 641 m 8, 646 m, 656 m, 667, 668 and m, 668 and m and w 3, 689 and m and m6, 692 and n 3, 695 and n 2, 696 mand#8, 707, 711 and a 4, 714 m9, 723, 724 and #8, 727 and #6, 728, 730, 731, 746, 758 and a 9, 780, 781 and = 9, 782, 783 and =, 784 and s and s 3, 785, 786 and #6, 792, 809, 810 m, 811 and #8, 812 n 2, 813 n, 825 n 6, 8-0 n 3, 861 n S, 860, 1074 n, 1152 and m 9, 1154 and m 2, 1155 m 6, 1156 and n 7, 1181 x 2, 1201 m, 1202 m. 1224 ×

Uchchah-i-Jalili,—name by which Uchchah is also called, 541 n ft.

Unhahah-i-Hahhdüm,—one of the quarters of the city of Ughchah, 541 n 6.

Unhohah-1-Mughal,—one of the quarters of the city of Unhohah, 541 m 0.

Unchab-i-Sharif,—one of the quarters of the city of Cahabab, 541 s 6.

Uab-Ughul Ulidmur,—a city in the country of the Urus (Russians), 1171 m.

Udal,—a fortress of Hindústán, about four miles S. E. of Kanauj, 680 m.

Udipur,—a town to the east of the Arawali mountains, 521 w.

Udisah,—a territory adjoining Jijnegar, 593 n, 593 n.

Udisah-Jag-aithh,—a district of Bangilah, 688 a.

Uhand, -- a fortress above the junction of the Nil-th or river of Kibul with the Indus, 78 n.

Uiguris, 916 n, for I-ghuriā, or country of the I ghurs, which see.

Un-Kun,—the Turkish name of the Great Wall of China, 956 s.

908 m.

- Ujim,--a town in the territory of Firm 184 n.
- Ujén, 833 n 9, for Ujjain, which see.
 Uji-Khel,—a town in the province
 of Karmiw, in Afrikaistan, 400 n.
- Ujjain, the ancient capital of Milwah, 517 and x 3, 621 x 6, 623 x 9, 732 x 5, 735 x 9, 817 x 7.
- Ujjain-Magari,—a fortress of Milwah, in Hindustan, 622, 622 a 9, 628.
- Oh.—a place in the territory of Sijistän, 36 n, 81 n. See also under Uk.
- Ck,—a fortress of Sijistin or Ziwulistin, xlv, xlvii, 34 and n 5, 85 n, 201 and n 4, 1120 and n 2, 1122 n, 1124, 1135, 1126 and n 6, 1193 n.
- Üţi.—a place in Turkistin, 1088 a. Also called Akibar and Akiir.
- 'Ukba Ghūsak,—a pass in a lofty monutain in Northern India, 87 m.
- Clā-tīmūr [Uladimr],—a secritory in the country of the Urus [Russians], 1171 n.
- Ulugh-Tagh or Ur-Tagh,—a range of mountains in Mughülistän, the Thianshan mountains of the maps, 876 n, 920 n, 922 n, 948 n, 969 n 1, 970 n 2, 1184 n.
- Ulugh-Tak, same as Ulugh-Tagh, which see-
- Olugh-Yūrat, also styled the Aşal or Original Yūrat of the Chingis Khāu, referring to Kalūr-ān and Karā-Kuram, 1104 n.5, 1105 n. 1128 n. 1140 n. 1185 n.
- Ulia or Ulugh Arki or Argi, a tract of country in Mughuliatan, 895 s.

- Olis-i-Aurgah or Orgah, -- the Ourga or Kuren of modern maps, 895 s.
- Umbeylah,—a town in the Panjähthe Umballa of the maps, 327 n "Ummān,—a district and town in Arabia, 65 n 5, 88 n 2, 179 n 3,
- 'Ummin, see of,—the Arabian See,
- Umurdan or Umardan, the capital of the Jij-magar state, 588 a, 763 and a 4.
- Umurdin or Umardin,—a wildyat or district of the Jij-nagar state, 588 a.
- Un Mārān, the,—a river of Mughālistān, 943 a.
- Ungā —name of the Great Wall of China with the <u>Khitā-īa</u>, 956 s. Uu-Nār, the, 77 s. See under the river Nār.
- Un-ui,-s city in the country of the Khita-is, 956 s.
- Upper Andes, the, 668 × 8, 737.
- Upper Do. 1b, 705 a 7.
- Upper Hungary, 1168 a.
- Upper Jeansessi, the, 968 n. Upper Sind. See under Sind.
- Or or Aor Mürän, the,—a river on the frontier of Khith, 948 a.
- Urda Balik. See under the Urdie Baligh.
- Urdüs-Biligh,—another name of Kari-Kuram, which is also called the Ulugh Yürat, 916 n, 1105 n, 1110 n 5, 1121 n, 1139 n, 1140 n, 1172 n 9.
- Urdükand, --- another name of Kighghar, 964 s.
- Urga,—a town in Maghülistän, 1090 a.

- Urgin,—i place in the territory of Chemin: probably Urgin, zix, 398 and n 6.
- Organj, the capital city of Khwarasm, as called by the Turks; the Jurjaniah of the Araba and the Gurganj of the 'Ajamia, ziv, ziviii, 52 n 9, 138 n, 908 n, 929 n, 1000 n, 1097 n 7, 1098 n, 1101 n 1, 1103 n, 1116 n, 1118 n 9, 1123 n, 1145 n.
- Organj-i-Kubri [Kubri?], the capital of <u>Kh</u>wäraum, 920 m. See also under Organj.
- Urgendj, 1097 n 7, 1098 n, for Urgenj, which see.
- Urghundab, the, 1070 x 9, for the Arghand, which see.
- Urgün,—a place in the district of Ghasnin, xlix.
- Urgundab, the, 1070 n 9, for the Arghand-lb, which see.
- Urjand, 52 and n 9, for Us-gand, the city in Farghanab.
- Crkan, 392 = 6, same as Urgin, which see.
- Urmurdan or Armardan. See under Umurdan.
- Uma-dees or the Upper An-dee, a part of Tibbat, 787 and 8.
- Us-Tagh or Ur-Tagh, -or
- Ur-Tak or Ur-Tak,—a range of mountains in Mughülistän, 875 s, 879 n, 970 n 2. See also under Ulugh-Tägh.
- Uramchi,-a city in the country of the Ighura, 916 a
- Urumi or Arumi,—a city ir the territory of Tinghüt or Sighia, 1085 s.
- Urumi, sea of,—the Lake Urumiah in Aşarbāijan, 1262 s.

- Urumish, Lake,—in Aşarbāijān, 1368 n.
- Uramisi,-a city in the country of the I-churs, 216 a.
- Uris or Ris, country of, 1165 s, 1168 s, 1171 s.
- Usaneth,—a fortrees in Charjistan, 1001 s. [658 s 2
- Ush,—a place near Baghdad, 622 s, Ush,—a city in the territory of
- Ush,—a city in the territory of Farghanh, in Mawara-un-Nahr, 921 a, 962 a.
- Uskun-Lük, the,—a range of mountains in the country of the Ighurs, 889 a.
- Usun, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Buldan Ka-ir and falling into the river of Ardish in Turkistan, 1148 w.
- U-tigh, -or
- C-tak,--a tract of country in Mughūlistan, 1178 n.
- Utrār,—a district and town in Turkistān, 362 n 1, 206 n, 272 and n 1, 272 and n 5, 280 n 9, 888 n, 890 n, 908 n, 911 n, 920 n, 953 n, 966, 968, 969 and n 1, 970 and n 2, 971 n, 975 and n 5, 978 and n, 965 n, 965 n, 968 n, 1041, 1164 n,—also called Fārāb, which see.
- Czbakistán, the country of the Uzbake in Turkistán, 890 s.
- 'Uşdi hospital,---of Baghdād, founded by 'Uşd-ud-Daulah Buwiah, 1248 s.
- Usgand,—a city and town in the territory of Parghinah, in Miwa-ri-un-Nahr, 62 n 9, 58 n, 186 n and n 8, 903 n, 921 n, 923 n.
- Usjand, 53 n 9, for Uzgand, the city in Farghanah.

Dahand or Yashand,—a city in Turkistin, ziv, 970 s 3, 971 n. Usmardan er Aşmardan. See under Umirdan.

V.

- Vaihund, 330 x 7, for Waihind, which see.
- Valanta, the country between the Danube and the Atil or Volga, 1108 n.
- Van, Leke,—in Armenia, 1964 n. See also under Win.
- Viadimr,—a servicey in the country of the Uras [Russians], 1171 s.
- Volga, the, 1170 n 3. See also under the Wolga.
- Vā-chang-Fū, capital of the Chiness province of Hā-quang, 1219 s, 1221 s.

W.

- Wabern,--a town of Switserland near Bern, zvii.
- Wabhind or Wabhand, 76 s.2. See under Bahind and Waihind.
- Wadawajad,—a town of Gharjistan in Khurissan, 269 and n 8, 370 a.
- Widi, the,—the valley of the Tigris and the Euphrates, 1260 and a 5.
- Wedi, the,—a valley near the 'Ayn-i-Jajat in Syria, 1277 m,
- Wietal Kürghin or Bijand,—a etroughold in the country of the Makrita, 947 s.
- Wachan,-a district in the country of Ghur, 317 n 4.
- Wahand, 76, 80 n. See under Bahind and Washind.
- Wahind, 76, 77. See under Bahind and Waihind.
- Wahind-Sagar, the,—the river of Waihind or the Index, 80 s.

- Waihind or Bahind,—a city on the bank of the river Sind, 76 and *2, 77 *, 78 *, 79 *, 80 *, 81 *, 293 *, 339 *, 1018 *, 1043 *1.1217 *.
- Washind,—the ancient name of Kandahár of Afghānistān, 1018 n.
- Wajish,—a district and castle in the country of Chur, 340 and a 1, 392 and a 6.
- Wajirstan,—a district and town in the country of Ghür, 108 a 6, 324 a, 334 and a 6, 357 and a 1, 366, 369, 447, 491.
- Wajzāward, 870 m, for Wadāwajad, which see.
- Watf,--a village in the district of Baghdid, 1264 n.
- Wakhan,-a tract of country in Control Asia, 1044 s.
- Wakhah,—a dependency of Badakhahān, 390, 424 and = 2, 426 and

270 Index

n 6, 436, 490, 494, 909 m. Also called Khutlin.

Wakhah-Ab, the,—a river issuing out of Turkietän and falling into the Oxus near Tirmid, 426 n S, 909 n.

Wallan,—incorrectly for Wallahtan, xlviii, 288 n, 289 n, 290 n 4, 1017 n, 1018 n, 1019 n, 1020 n, 1025 n.

Walidan,—a tract of country in the Jazirah [Mesopotamia], 228 * 4.

Walin,-as error for Walwalij, which see, 288 a 8, 1018 a.

Walshian,—a town north of Bämian and <u>Ghasni</u>u, 1018 s.

Wilshian,—a district in the country of Ghur, 317 * 4.

Wälisht,—a territory in the mountain tract of Warani, in the country of Char, 319 and \$6,581 and \$1018 s.

Wälightän, 317 n 4, 581 n. See under Wälight of Chur.

Wälightän,—a tract of country in Tukhäristän of Baikh, zlviii, zliz, 581 and v, 1016, 1017 v, 1018 z, 1019 x, 1020 v, 1025 v.

Wālistān,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, 319 a 5.

Walkh,—a town in Tukhāristān of Balkh, 426 = 6, 989 n, 1002 and n 4, 1004, 1023 and n 9, 1024 n 2, 1025 and n and n 3, 1026, 1027 n 8, 1054, 1058 n 8, 1061 n 7.

Walwälij,—a town in the district of Balkh, in Khurasin, 288 a 3, 426 a 6, 1010 a, 1017 a, 1018 a, 1025 a, 1153.

Wāmišu,—incorrectly for Wālightān, xlvšši, 288 s 3, 280 s, 200 a 4. Wimile, 288 a \$. Some as Bamilla, which see.

Winning, for Waihind in Reynolds' version of al-'Utbi, 76 = 2.

Win [val. Van], Lake,—in Armonia, 1264 n, 1275 n 2.

Wans-Gangi, the,--a river of Hindustin, 588 a.

Wanj-rüt,—a territory in the Multan province, 728 and # 1, 725 # 6.

Waraugul, in the Dakhan, formerly called Araugul, 560 s.

Wareni, a mountain tract in the country of Chur, 319, 1018 a.

Warshid,-or

Warehidah,—a territory in the country of Chur, 389 and n 6.

Warshar, 839 x 6, for Warshidab, which see.

War-Tagh,-or

War-Tak,—a range of mountains in Mughülistau, 875 *-

Warwaiin, 426 = 6, for Zawaiin, the district of Balkh.

Wasit,—a district and town in 'It'sk, 10, 1261 n 7.

Wawstin,—a town in the vicinity of Kundaz, 286 n 3.

Wazirābid,—a town of Hindustān, 678 n l.

Wejz,—a plain in the territory of Ghar, 372 a 8.

Wosah,—a district of Mawara-un-Nahr, 433 = 8.

Western Asia, 125 n 8, 879 n, 930 n, 1190 n 1.

Western Lyan,—the empire of the Kin with the Chinese, 931 n.

Western Kamrup, 563 «..

Western Turkistän, 980 = 8.

Wha-shew,—a town in the district of Si-gan-ft, in Chine, 1218 s. Whan-chew,—a city is the Chinese province of Karohin, 1141 n, 1218 a.

Whatindah, fortress of, 79 m, 80 m.
Same as Bathindah or Bhatindah, which see.

Whayley,—a town in the country of Kkita or Ohin, 968 st.

Wikar, 552 n 3,—name of Bihar in Sanakrit.

Wihat or Bihat, the, 535 n, 536 n, 637 n. See the Bihat.

Wibatah or Bihatah, the, 536 m. See under the Bihat.

Wijayāpār or Bijaipār,—a town of Hindustan, 860 n 4.

Wikrämpür or Bikrämpür,—a bown of Hindüstän south-east of Dhikah, 568 n 1.

Windsor Castle, 651 # 6.

Wolga, the,—the Volga of the maps, 870 n, 1000 n, 1169 n, 1170 w 3, 1173 n 9, 1173 n, 1290 u 9. It is also called the Atil.

Wurdhan, 561 x 8, for Burdhan-kot, which see.

Warmenhan, 392 »6.

Wurudah-Dujg,—a fortross in the mountainous tract between Hamadān and the Sawād of Baghdēd, 1238 a 8.

I.

Kandu, the name in Raurasio of Shang-të or Kay-ping-Fu, the

capital of the Thingisish dynasty in Tartary, 1219 n_1

T.

Yakah Kurük,—the pisce where the <u>Oh</u>ingis <u>Khān</u> was buried, 1128 n. Also styled Bülhān or Bürkān Kāldūn.

Yakah Wandür,—a mouatain range in Mughilistän, 1143 s,—formerly called Buldan Kā-ir.

Ye'kübah,---a district in the neighbourhood of Baghdad, 1255 m.

Yalarwin,—a fortrees in <u>Gh</u>arjusthn of <u>Khurisian</u>, 115 × 6, 1073 × 5. Same as Balarwin of <u>tihar-</u> intin, which see.

Yaiksi-ghūs, 920 s., for Bilāsāghūs, which son. Yamak or Yamik,—a district and town in Turkstitu, 961 n.

Yaman,—a province in Arabia, xxxiii, 6, 7, 8, 26 and 2, 138 140 n 5, 203, 214 and n 6, 226 and n 7, 238 and n 4, 303 n 7.

Yamani, the,—another name of the Jun or Jumnah, 733 a 7, 742 a 9. See also under the Jun.

Yangi-kant,---a town on the Sibius [Jarartos], 970 n 2.

Yangtei, the, -- a river of Mughilistan, 1256 a 6.

Yaras or Yame,—a fortress in the territory of Sijustan, 1028 s.

- Yarkand,--a city south-east of Kanghar, 800 n, 923 n, 943 n, 984 n, 1044 n.
- Yaghkal or Baghgal, Dara'h or Pass of,--on the road to Tal-han, 1200 a.
- Yasan Mur-En, the,—a river issuing from the mountains of Büldän Kā-ir and falling into the river of Ardigh in Turkistän, 1148 n.
- Yatar or Yitar,—a fort near the Junction of the La'ir-wal with the Ab-i-Sind, 77 s, 78 s.
- Yazar or Yaras,—a fortress in Sijustau, 1028 s.
- Yard,—a town in the territory of Aşarbāijān, 296 s.
- Yazdawinh or Zaudiah,—a dependency of Hirat, 287 n.
- Yaswān,—a plain in the territory of Sind, 1047 a t. Also called Mirwan and Nirwān.
- Yehu,—a mountain range of Khita, 958 n.
- Yullow Lake, the,—the Sārigh-Rol, of Badakhahān, lv.
- Yellow Enver, the,—in Mongolia, 950 a.
- Yellow Valley,—the Sarigh-Kol, in Badakhehān, lv.

- Yongigent, 970 s, for Yangi-kant, which see.
- Yenissei, the, 963 n, for the Jam or Kham-Murin.
- Yen-king,—a city a little north of Pekin, 958 s.
- Yighar [I-ghūr],—a country of Turkistën, 267, 270. See under the I-ghūr country.
- Yitür or Yatür,—a fort near the Junction of the LA'ir-wil with the Ab-i-Bind, 77 n, 78 n.
- Yinle, 993 m, for Ital, the fortress of Misandaran, which see.
- Yughmā,—a city and territory in Turkietla, 902 n, 900 n, 935 n 3, 1158 n 3.
- Yughmā-oul,—a city or town in Turkistān, 935 n S.
- Yamghil,—a place in Mughulistin, 1258 a 8.
- Yunan, son of,—the Mediterranean, 1223 n.
- Yun-uau,—a tract of country in Tiblat, 1217 s, 1218 s, 1221 s.
- Yurat. See under the Ulugh Yurat or Asal Yurat.
- Yuzhand or Czhand,—a city in Turkistěn, 971 m.

Z.

- Zābil, 1020 a, wrong spelling of Zābul.
- Zabul,—a district and town in the country of Chur, 309 n, 319 n 5, 376, 880, 1020 n, 1022 n,
- Zabulestan, 1017 * for Zabulistan, which see
- Zābulistān,—a tract of country north-cast and south-east of <u>Ghaznīn</u>, 88 n 2, 194 n, 356 n, 1917 n, 1020 n. Soe also under Zawülistān,
- Zafarabād,—a city of Hindustān, 93 n 9, 591 n,

min,—a district in the country of Ghür, 886.

umin-i-Diwar,—a district in the territory of Ghür, in Khurtsin, 31, 74, 111, 185 n, 367, 317 n 5, 330 n 3, 334 n, 329 n, 350, 355 n 7, 374 and n 8, 386, 398, 394, 397, 492 n 7, 493 n, 1018 n. See also under Däwar.

min of Kupdår, 74. See under Kupdår.

ng, fortress of, 1072 w 4, same as Lang, which see.

u-i-Margh,—a mountain of Mandesh in the country of Chur, 306 and a 4, 318, 331, 410 and a 5.

ran or Basin,—a tract in the country of Ghar, 326 m.

ranj, the capital city of the territory of Sijistan of Khurasan, griv, 20 n 3, 188 n 7, 196 n 2, 200 n, 218 n 6, 1122 n, 1123 n.

grir,—a plain in the territory of

rir,—a plain in the territory of Chur, 372 and # 8.

wistin,—a district in the country of Chur, 319 = 5.

ur-koh,—a fortress in the Kuhistän of the Mulähidah, 1192 m. urauk,—a town of Mäwarä-un-

sudiah or Yandawiah,—a dependency of Hirit, 387 n.

Nahr. 975 a 5.

Zīwah,—a town in the district of Nighāpūr, 960 s, 1195 n.

Zawālin,—a district in the territory of Balkh, in Khurāsān, 426 x 6 1018 n.

Zāwul, \$19 % 5, 880. Same as Zābul, which see.

Zāwalistān,—another name of the territory of Sijistān, in <u>Khurāsān</u>, 16, 21, 67 n 2, 71, 87 n, 88 n 2, 102, 106, 184 n, 267, 317 and n 4, 320, 356 n, 1017 n, 1020 n, 1119, 1120 n 2, 1133.

Zawzan, —a town in the province of Nighspur, in <u>Khurisi</u>n, 177 n 6, 258 n, 266 n, 281 n 5, 282 n 7, 283 and nn 8 and 9, 286 n 7, 287 n.

Zerān,—a darah in the province of Kaymān cast of <u>Shalūzān</u>, 499 n.

Zerni, the ancient capital of the country of Ghur, 1057 n 4.

Zikht or Rikht, 1197 m S, for the fortress of Sar-1-Takht in the Kuhistan.

Zinjan,—a town of Aşarbiijan, 995 s.

Zinjān,—a town in the territory of Khurāsān, zxiz, 821 * 5.

Zuhāk-i-Mārān, castle of,—a fortress near Bāmiān, 304m l, 1025 n.

Zümiaht,—a town in the province of Karman, 499 s

BRRATA

P. 7, for lines 5-7a substitute :--

Akbar-Nāmah, of Abu'l Faşl 'Allāmī, the, 869 n 2, 880 n, 833 n, 888 n 889 n, 894 n.

Akbar-Namah, of Paisi the Sarbindi, the, zvi.

P. 13, 1, 42 b, dele p. 145 n 4, and add -

Ayaz,—one of the officers of Malik Shah, son of Ruku-ud-din Barkiārūķ, the Saljūki sovereign, 145 n 4.

